Arabidopsis cryptochrome 1 interacts with SPA1 to suppress COP1 activity in response to blue light
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Cryptochromes are photolyase-like blue-light receptors first discovered in Arabidopsis but later found in all major evolutionary lineages (Cashmore 1997, Lin 2002, Sancar 2003). Arabidopsis cryptochrome 1 (CRY1) and cryptochrome 2 (CRY2) mediate primarily blue-light inhibition of hypocotyl elongation (Ahmad and Cashmore 1993) and photoperiodic control of floral initiation (Guo et al. 1998), respectively. It is known that cryptochromes regulate plant development via modulation of gene expression, but the initial photoresponse of the cryptochrome signal transduction is not fully understood. It has been proposed that cryptochromes undergo blue-light-dependent conformational changes to alter their physical interactions that cryptochromes undergo blue-light-dependent conformational changes to alter their physical interactions with signaling proteins (Partch et al. 2005; Yu et al. 2007).

Plant photoreceptors mediate light suppression of the E3 ubiquitin ligase COP1 (CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1) to affect gene expression and photomorphogenesis. However, how photoreceptors mediate light regulation of COP1 activity remains unknown. We report here that Arabidopsis blue-light receptor cryptochrome 1 (CRY1) undergoes blue-light-dependent interaction with the COP1-interacting protein SPA1 (SUPPRESSOR OF PHYTOCHROME A). We further show that the CRY1–SPA1 interaction suppresses the SPA1–COP1 interaction and COP1-dependent degradation of the transcription factor HY5. These results are consistent with a hypothesis that photoexcited CRY1 interacts with SPA1 to modulate COP1 activity and plant development.

Results and Discussion

CRY1 interacts with SPA1 in response to blue light

In a previous study to search for proteins that interact with Arabidopsis CRY2 in response to blue light (Liu et al. 2008), we found several blue-light-specific CRY2-interacting clones corresponding to the SPA1 gene (Zuo et al. 2011). Given the structural and functional conservation of CRY1 and CRY2, and the important role of CRY1 and SPA1 in the blue-light-dependent de-etiolation responses (Lin 2002, Laubinger et al. 2004, Yang et al. 2005, Fittinghoff et al. 2006, Yang and Wang 2006), we investigated the relationship between SPA1 and CRY1 in more detail. We first examined and confirmed that SPA1 interacts with CRY1 in a blue-light-dependent manner in yeast cells using both the auxotrophy marker (LEU2) [Supplemental Fig. S1A] and the colorimetric marker [LacZ] [Fig. 1A,B; Supplemental Fig. S1B] in yeast two-hybrid assays. As shown in Figure 1A, SPA1 interacts with CRY1 in yeast cells illuminated with blue light [Fig. 1A, B40]. In contrast, little CRY1–SPA1 interaction was detected in yeast cells kept in the dark [Fig. 1A,D] or illuminated with red light [Fig. 1A, R40]. These results show the blue-light specificity of the CRY1–SPA1 interaction. The intensity of the CRY1–SPA1 interaction increases as the fluence rates of blue light increased from 1 to 50 μmol m−2 sec−1 to 50 μmol m−2 sec−1 [Fig. 1B], demonstrating that the SPA1–CRY1 interaction in yeast cell is dependent on not only the wavelength, but also the photon...
The expression of the 35S constitutive promoter (35S TATA) in transgenic plants expressing the MycSPA1 fusion protein under control of the constitutive promoter allows for the localization assay using transgenic plants expressing MycSPA1. However, the expression of the 35S constitutive promoter is known that CRY1 is constitutively expressed but blue light stimulates formation of the CRY1–SPA1 complex using coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays. It is well-known that SPA1 and CRY1 accumulate in the nucleus (Yang et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2008). We found that, among the SPA genes such as SPA1, SPA2, SPA3, and SPA4 that play partially redundant functions in photomorphogenesis of Arabidopsis (Lauberger et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2008). We noted that, among the SPA quartet gene products, only SPA1 and SPA4 interacted with CRY1 strongly in response to blue light in yeast cells (Supplemental Fig. S2). We focused on the analysis of the CRY1–SPA1 interaction in the function of CRY1, we investigated the genetic interaction between the CRY1–SPA1 interaction for the rest of this study.

We next examined whether SPA1 and CRY1 may colocalize in the nuclei of Arabidopsis cells by immunolocalization assay using transgenic plants expressing the MycSPA1 fusion protein under control of the constitutive 35S promoter (35S::MycSPA1). As reported previously, SPA1 and CRY1 accumulate in the nucleus (Yang et al. 2001; Seo et al. 2003; Lauberger et al. 2006). At least a fraction of the CRY1 and SPA1 proteins colocalized in the nuclear bodies. Blue light appears to induce a modest increase in colocalization of the two proteins (Fig. 1C, yellow dots). We further investigated whether CRY1 and SPA1 colocalize in the same protein complex and whether blue light stimulates formation of the CRY1–SPA1 complex using coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assays. It is known that CRY1 is constitutively expressed but SPA1 expression is light-inducible in response to prolonged light treatment of etiolated seedlings (Lin et al. 1998; Hoecker et al. 1999; Fittinghoff et al. 2006; Zhu et al. 2008). However, the expression of the 35S::MycSPA1 transgene showed no change in etiolated seedlings or dark-adapted and red-light-adapted adult plants exposed to blue light for up to 180 min (Fig. 2A, Supplemental Figs. S3, S4). We treated 5-d-old etiolated transgenic seedlings with blue light (20 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹) for 15 or 30 min (Fig. 2A, B15 and B30) and collected samples for the co-IP assays. After blue-light treatment for 30 min, we also transferred a portion of the plants to the dark or red light for up to 60 min and collected more samples for additional analysis of the light effect (Fig. 2A, D30, D60, and R60). As shown in Figure 2, similar levels of MycSPA1 and CRY1 were detected in the immunoblot from etiolated seedlings exposed to blue light (Fig. 2A, Input). Comparable amounts of CRY1 were also immunoprecipitated from those samples (Fig. 2A, CRY1-IP). Little MycSPA1 was coimmunoprecipitated with CRY1 by the anti-CRY1 antibody in etiolated seedlings (Fig. 2A, D). In contrast, MycSPA1 was coimmunoprecipitated with CRY1 in plants treated with blue light. The amount of MycSPA1 coprecipitated by CRY1 increased when plants were exposed to blue light for a longer time (Fig. 2A, B15 and B30), and decreased when plants were transferred to darkness or red light (Fig. 2A, D30 and R60). The CRY1–SPA1 complex was no longer detected after the blue-light-treated plants were transferred to darkness or red light for 60 min (Fig. 2A, D60 and R60). Similarly, the blue-light-specific CRY1–SPA1 complex was also detected by the co-IP assay in adult plants (Supplemental Fig. S4A). Taking into account the results from the yeast two-hybrid, coimmunostaining, and co-IP experiments, we conclude that CRY1 undergoes blue-light-dependent interaction with SPA1.

SPA1 is required for the CRY1-mediated blue-light suppression of HY5 degradation and hypocotyl elongation

To understand the role of the blue-light-dependent CRY1–SPA1 interaction in the function of CRY1, we investigated the genetic interaction between the CRY1 and SPA1 genes. Because both SPA1 and SPA4 interact with CRY1 in a blue-light-dependent manner (Fig. 1, Supplemental Fig. S2), they may function redundantly to mediate the action of CRY1. Therefore, we tested the genetic interaction of the three recessive mutations cry1, spa1, and spa4 for blue-light inhibition of hypocotyl growth response (Fig. 2B,C, Supplemental Fig. S5). As shown in Figure 2, the cry1 mutant seedlings grew markedly taller than the wild type in continuous blue light, and the spa1spa4 double mutant was slightly shorter than the wild type (Fig. 2B,C). Importantly, the spa1spa4cry1 triple mutant exhibited a hypocotyl phenotype indistinguishable from that of the spa1spa4 double mutant (Fig. 2B,C), suggesting that spa1spa4 is epistatic to cry1, and that CRY1 mediates blue-light inhibition of hypocotyl elongation at least partially through SPA1 and SPA4.

It is known that the SPA1-interacting protein COP1 catalyzes ubiquitination and degradation of the transcription regulator HY5, whereas CRY1 mediates blue-light suppression of COP1 to promote accumulation of HY5, leading to altered transcription of blue-light-regulated genes such as CHS (chalcone synthase) (Ang and Deng 1994; Osterlund et al. 2000). To examine whether SPA1 is involved in the CRY1-dependent and blue-light-dependent accumulation of the HY5 protein, we compared blue-light regulation of the abundance of Myc-tagged HY5 (MycHY5) transgenically expressed in the wild type, Arabidopsis.
How blue light suppresses COP1 activity

The CRY1-interacting domain of SPA1 suppresses blue-light sensitivity of transgenic seedlings

CRY1 has two domains: the N-terminal PHR (photolyase homologous region) domain and the C-terminal CCE (cryptochrome C-terminal extension) domain [Fig. 3A; Yu et al. 2010]. The PHR is the evolutionarily conserved chromophore-binding domain; the CCE domain interacts with COP1 and is critical for the function of CRY1 in de-etiolation responses [Yang et al. 2000, 2001; Wang et al. 2001; Lin and Shalitin 2003; Brautigam et al. 2004]. SPA1 is composed of three domains [Fig. 3A]: the N-terminal kinase-like domain, the central coiled-coil domain, and the C-terminal WD repeat domain [Fig. 3A]. The coiled-coil domain and the WD repeat domain interact with COP1 and its substrate, HY5, respectively [Hoecker and Quail 2001; Saijo et al. 2003]. A yeast two-hybrid analysis indicates that the CCE domain of CRY1 interacts with the WD repeat domain of SPA1 [Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. S1B] or SPA4 [Supplemental Fig. S2C]. It has been reported previously that SPA1, a WD repeat protein that contains only the coiled-coil and WD repeat domains—referred to as CT509 [Fig. 3A] or SCT1 [Lian et al. 2011]—is necessary and sufficient for interacting with COP1 and suppressing photomorphogenesis [Yang and Wang 2006]. We found that the CT509 fragment of SPA1 (and the SPA4 equivalent) constitutively interacts with CRY1 in yeast and plant cells (Fig. 3A,B; Supplemental Figs. S1B, S2C). Transgenic seedlings expressing either MycSPA1 or CT509 grew taller in blue light than wild type or the spa1 mutant parent [Fig. 3C]. Interestingly, the CT509-expressing seedlings grew modestly shorter than the MycSPA1-expressing seedlings under blue light with the relatively low fluence rates (<3 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \), \( P < 0.005 \) [Fig. 3C,D]), but the difference between the two genotypes diminished under blue light of higher fluence rates (>3 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \)) [Fig. 3D]. Because MycSPA1 and CT509 have a similar physiological activity promoting hypocotyl elongation, and the level of CT509 expression is not lower than that of MycSPA1 [Fig. 3C], the blue-light-dependent CRY1–SPA1 interaction and constitutive CRY1–CT509 interaction appear to best explain their different blue-light responses.

Figure 2. The biochemical and genetic interaction between CRY1 and SPA1. (A) Co-IP analyses showing blue-light-dependent formation of the CRY1–SPA1 complex in Arabidopsis. The wild-type (WT) and transgenic seedlings expressing the 35S::MycSPA1 transgene were grown in the dark for 5 d, or exposed to blue light (20 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \) for 15 min (B15) or 30 min (B30). Aliquots of plant samples were then transferred to darkness for 30 min (D30) or 60 min (D60), or transferred to red light (20 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \) for 60 min (R60). Total protein extracts (Input) and immunoprecipitated products prepared by the anti-CRY1 antibody (CRY1-IP) or preimmune serum (Preim) were fractionated in a SDS-PAGE gel, blotted to membranes, probed with the anti-Myc antibody [MycSPA1], stripped, and reprobed with the anti-CRY1 antibody (CRY1). (B,C) A phenotypic analysis of the cry1, spa1spa4, and spa1spa4cry1 mutants. Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were grown in the dark or continuous blue light (25 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \) for 5 d. Images of the representative seedlings are shown in B, and the hypocotyl lengths of the indicated genotypes grown in different conditions were measured and are shown in C. Standard deviations \( p > 20 \) are indicated. (D) Immunoblot showing blue-light-dependent stabilization of the HY5 protein in wild-type plants and spa1spa4cry1 mutant plants but not in cry1 mutant plants. Five-day-old etiolated transgenic seedlings expressing 35S::MycHY5 in the Col, cry1, and spa1spa4cry1 backgrounds were transferred to blue light (50 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \) for 5 h. Immunoblots were probed with anti-Myc antibody [MycHY5], stripped, and reprobed with the anti-CRY1 antibody (CRY1) to verify the cry1 genotype. The blot was stripped again and reprobed with the anti-HSP90 antibody (HSP90) to access relative loading of samples. The numbers shown at the top [B,D] indicate relative abundance of MycHY5 in light-treated samples, which was calculated by the formula [MycHY5/HSP90 [blue light]]/[MycHY5/HSP90 [dark]]. The relative abundance of MycHY5 in etiolated seedlings was set to 1 for each genotype. (E) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) results showing mRNA expression of the chalcone synthase (CHS) gene in the wild type (Col), and in cry1, spa1spa4, and spa1spa4cry1 mutants. Three-week-old light-grown plants were treated in the dark for 3 d and transferred to blue light (25 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \) for 4 h. The relative level of CHS mRNA was normalized by that of the wild-type seedlings kept in the dark, which was set to 1. The standard deviations were derived from three independent experiments.
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The C-terminal domain of SPA1 interacts with CRY1 to affect the blue-light sensitivity of Arabidopsis seedlings.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** The C-terminal domain of SPA1 interacts with CRY1 to affect the blue-light sensitivity of Arabidopsis seedlings. (A) A diagram depicting the domain organization of the SPA1 and CRY1 proteins. The different domains and regions involved in the CRY1–SPA1 interaction (green shade) are indicated. (B) A co-IP assay showing that CT509, which contains C-terminal 509 residues of SPA1, constitutively interacts with CRY1 in transgenic plants. The wild-type and MycCT509-expressing plants were grown in white light under a long-day photoperiod. (C) Two independent lines of each genotype expressing the respective transgenic lines. (D) Five-day-old transgenic seedlings expressing MycSPA1 or MycCT509 in the spa1-3 mutant background and the controls were grown in continuous blue lights. Two independent lines of each genotype expressing the respective protein were tested, for which the respective levels of MycSPA1 or MycCT509 are shown in an immunoblot. (D) Hypocotyl lengths of the indicated genotypes grown in the dark or continuous blue light with different fluence rates for 5 d were measured and are shown. Standard deviations (n = 20) are indicated.

The CRY1–SPA1 interaction suppresses the COP1–SPA1 interaction in response to blue light

We then asked the question of how blue-light-dependent CRY1–SPA1 interaction conveys CRY1-mediated blue-light response in plants. We reasoned that, because SPA1 interacts with COP1 to positively regulate COP1 activity [Hoecker and Quail 2001; Saijo et al. 2003; Sco et al. 2003; Laubinger et al. 2006], light suppresses SPA1–COP1 interaction [Saijo et al. 2003], and that, since SPA1 interacts with CRY1 and COP1 via adjacent domains, the CRY1–SPA1 interaction might interfere with the COP1–SPA1 interaction to suppress the COP1 activity in response to blue light. We tested this possibility using a yeast three-hybrid assay [Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S6; Tirode et al. 2003].
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**Figure 4.** The CRY1–SPA1 interaction suppresses the COP1–SPA1 interaction in response to blue light. (A) Yeast three-hybrid assays showing the fluence rate-dependent CRY1 inhibition of the SPA1–COP1 interaction in yeast cells exposed to blue light. Relative β-galactosidase activity of yeast cells expressing the GAL4 DB-COP1 [bait] and GAL4 AD-SPA1 [prey] in the absence [square] or presence [diamond] of the CRY1 bait mate, grown in the dark or blue light of different fluence rates, were analyzed, calculated, and plotted. The relative extents of the SPA1–COP1 interaction were calculated and are presented as arbitrary units [AU] (AU = [Miller units] [light]/[Miller unit [dark]], with the AU of dark-treated samples set to 1. Standard deviations are derived from three independent experiments (n = 3). (B) A yeast three-hybrid assay with COP1 as bait, CRY1 as prey, and SPA1 as bait mate shows that the CRY1–COP1 interaction was not affected by SPA1 or blue light in yeast cells. (C) A co-IP experiment showing a reduction of the SPA1–COP1 interaction in the wild-type but not the cry1 mutant seedlings in response to blue light. Transgenic seedlings expressing MycSPA1 in the wild type (CRY1) or cry1 mutant (cry1) and the cop1 control were grown in continuous white light for 4 d, transferred to dark for 2 d, and exposed to blue light (50 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹) for 60 min. Total protein extractions [Input] and immunoprecipitation product [Myc-IP] prepared by the anti-CRY1 antibody [CRY1-IP] or preimmune serum [Preim] were fractionated in a SDS-PAGE gel, blotted to membranes, probed with the anti-Myc antibody [MycSPA1], stripped, and reprobed with the anti-CRY1 antibody [CRY1], followed by anti-COP1 antibody [COP1]. The relative levels of the COP1 co-IP product of dark-treated samples [set to 1] or blue-light-treated samples were calculated by the formula (COP1/MycSPA1 of dark-treated sample)/(COP1/MycSPA1 of blue light-treated sample) and are indicated at the bottom. (D) A working model depicting how CRY1 mediates blue-light suppression of COP1 activity. In the dark, SPA1 interacts with COP1 to activate COP1, and COP1 facilitates degradation of its substrates, such as HY5. In response to blue light, photexcited CRY1 adopts an open conformation to interact with SPA1 via the CCE domain of CRY1 and the WD repeat domain of SPA1 encompassed in the C-terminal 509 residues of SPA1 [CT509]. The CRY1–SPA1 interaction suppresses the SPA1–COP1 interaction and consequentially reduces COP1 activity, resulting in the accumulation of COP1 substrates and light-induced gene expression changes.
In this experiment, the protein–protein interaction between the bait (BD-COP1) and prey (AD-SPA1) was tested in the absence or presence of the third protein bait mate (CRY1) in response to blue light. Expression of CRY1 was controlled by the methionine-suppressible Met25 promoter in yeast cells [Supplemental Fig. S6A, Tirode et al. 1997]. As shown in Figure 4A, the COP1–SPA1 interaction was not affected by blue light in the absence of CRY1 expression [Fig. 4A, COP1/SPA1]. Importantly, the COP1–SPA1 interaction was also not affected by CRY1 in the absence of blue light [0 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹] [Fig. 4A, COP1/SPA1/CRY1]. However, the SPA1–COP1 interaction was suppressed in the presence of CRY1 when yeast cells were exposed to blue light [Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S6B]. The CRY1-dependent suppression of the SPA1–COP1 interaction is dependent on the fluence rate of blue light: The level of SPA1–CRY1 interaction reached the lowest level in yeast cells illuminated with the highest fluence rate of blue light tested (50 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹), which is ~40% that of the yeast cells grown in the dark or in the absence of CRY1 [Fig. 4A]. A control experiment showed that the CRY1–COP1 interaction was not affected by SPA1 or blue light [Fig. 4B], which is consistent with a previous report that CRY1 interacts with COP1 constitutively [Wang et al. 2001; Yang et al. 2001].

To further investigate the hypothesis that CRY1 mediates blue-light suppression of COP1 activity by inhibiting the SPA1–COP1 interaction, we tested whether CRY1 affects formation of the COP1–SPA1 complex in Arabidopsis cells using a co-IP assay. In this experiment, transgenic seedlings expressing the 3SS::MycSPA1 transgene in the wild-type [CRY1] or cry1 mutant background were examined. The dark-adapted seedlings were illuminated with blue light for 1 h, and the SPA1–COP1 interaction was compared in the presence (CRY1) or absence [cry1] of CRY1 in response to blue light. Similar levels of MycSPA1 and COP1 proteins were detected regardless of blue-light treatment in both the CRY1 and cry1 backgrounds [Fig. 4C]. As expected, CRY1 was coprecipitated by MycSPA1 from the blue-light-treated plants but not from the dark-adapted control [Fig. 4C, CRY1]. Importantly, a relatively lower amount of COP1 was coprecipitated by MycSPA1 in the wild-type [CRY1] seedlings exposed to blue light [Fig. 4C, COP1]. We calculated the relative amount of COP1 coimmunoprecipitated with MycSPA1 in response to blue light in the presence or absence of CRY1 [Fig. 4C]. According to this calculation, the COP1–SPA1 interaction in blue-light-treated wild-type seedlings is ~40% that of the dark control, which is consistent with the results of the yeast three-hybrid experiment [Fig. 4A]. The blue-light suppression of the SPA1–COP1 interaction was not observed in the cry1 mutant seedlings [Fig. 4C], demonstrating that CRY1 mediates blue-light suppression of the SPA1–COP1 interaction. Because blue light stimulates the CRY1–SPA1 interaction, it is conceivable that photoexcited CRY1 acts as a competitive inhibitor of the SPA1–COP1 interaction to suppress COP1 activity in response to blue light.

Taking into account the results that CRY1 undergoes a light-dependent interaction with SPA1 [Figs. 1, 2], that SPA1 is required for the CRY1 function and CRY1-mediated blue-light suppression of the COP1-dependent HY5 degradation [Figs. 2, 3], and that the CRY1–SPA1 interaction suppresses the SPA1–COP1 interaction in response to blue light [Fig. 4], we propose a working hypothesis to explain how CRY1 mediates blue-light suppression of COP1 activity [Fig. 4D]. According to this model, SPA1 interacts with COP1 via the coiled-coil domain of SPA1 to activate COP1-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of transcription factors such as HY5, resulting in suppression of light-dependent transcription in etiolated seedlings. In response to blue light, the photoexcited CRY1 interacts with SPA1 via the CCE domain of CRY1 and the WD repeat domain of SPA1, resulting in suppression of the SPA1–COP1 interaction. A weaker SPA1–COP1 interaction reduces COP1 activity, leading to the accumulation of transcription factors such as HY5, light-dependent transcription, and de-etiolation in response to blue light. In addition to this mode of action, other mechanism[s] may also be involved in the action of CRY1 in the de-etiolation response. For example, given the light-independent CRY1–COP1 interaction reported previously [Wang et al. 2001; Yang et al. 2001], photoexcited CRY1 may also directly suppress COP1 activity. Additional studies are needed to further elucidate the biochemical mechanism of CRY1.
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