Notch1 is essential for postimplantation development in mice

Pamela J. Swiatek,¹ Claire E. Lindsell,² Francisco Franco del Amo,^{1,3} Gerry Weinmaster,² and Thomas Gridley^{1,4}

¹Roche Institute of Molecular Biology, Roche Research Center, Nutley, New Jersey 07110 USA, ²Department of Biological Chemistry, School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024 USA

The *Notch* gene of *Drosophila* encodes a large transmembrane protein involved in cell fate determination during embryonic and larval development. This gene is evolutionarily conserved, and *Notch* homologs have been cloned from several vertebrate species. To examine the *in vivo* role of the *Notch1* gene, a mouse homolog of *Notch*, a mutation was introduced by targeted disruption in embryonic stem cells, and these cells were used to generate mutant mice. Intercrosses of animals heterozygous for the *Notch1* mutation yielded no live-born homozygous mutant offspring. Homozygous mutant embryos died before 11.5 days of gestation. Morphological and histological analysis of the homozygous mutant embryos indicated that pattern formation through the first nine days of gestation appeared largely normal. However, histological analysis of mutant embryos subsequent to this stage revealed widespread cell death. Death of mutant embryos did not appear to be attributable to defects in placentation or vascularization. Examination of the RNA expression pattern of the *Notch2* gene, another *Notch* gene family member, indicated that it partially overlapped the *Notch1* expression pattern. Genetic analysis of the *Notch1* mutation also demonstrated that it was not allelic to a mouse mutation described previously, *Danforth's short tail* (*Sd*). These results demonstrate that the *Notch1* gene plays a vital role during early postimplantation development in mice.

[Key Words: *Notch*; neurogenic genes; *Danforth's short tail*; *Sd*]

Received November 15, 1993; revised version accepted January 20, 1994.

The *Notch* locus in *Drosophila* encodes a large transmembrane protein required for proper epidermal versus neural cell fate decisions in the neurogenic region of the ectoderm (for review, see Greenspan 1990; Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1991; Campos-Ortega and Jan 1991; Greenwald and Rubin 1992). In embryos homozygous for null mutations of the *Notch* gene, essentially all cells in the neurogenic region become neuroblasts. Such embryos die with a vast hypertrophy of the nervous system and a corresponding absence of epidermal structures. Embryos homozygous for null mutations of at least five other zygotically acting loci, termed the neurogenic genes, exhibit a similar mutant phenotype.

The *Notch* protein contains several repeated amino acid motifs. The extracellular domain contains 36 tandemly repeated copies of an epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like sequence (Wharton et al. 1985; Kidd et al. 1986), as well as three repeats of another cysteine-rich motif, termed the *Notch/lin-12* repeat. This motif is found in the proteins encoded by the *Notch* gene as well as by two genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *lin-12*, and *glp-1* (Yochem and Greenwald 1989). The intracellular

domains of *Notch*, as well as *lin-12* and *glp-1*, also contain six copies of another amino acid motif termed the *cdc10/ankyrin* repeats. This motif was first identified in several genes involved in cell cycle regulation in yeast (Aves et al. 1985; Breeden and Nasmyth 1987; Andrews and Herskowitz 1989) and has since been found in ankyrin and a wide variety of other proteins (Davis and Bennett 1990; Lux et al. 1990; Ohno et al. 1990; Spence et al. 1990; Thompson et al. 1991). This motif has been shown recently to be involved in protein-protein interactions (Davis and Bennett 1990; Thompson et al. 1991).

Recent work has shown that many genes involved in the control of important developmental events in *Drosophila* are conserved in vertebrates. *Notch* homologs have been cloned from several vertebrate species, and amino acid sequence analysis has permitted the assignment of the vertebrate *Notch* genes to distinct groups (Weinmaster et al. 1992). Genes of the *Notch1* family have been cloned from *Xenopus* (Coffman et al. 1990), man (Ellisen et al. 1991), rat (Weinmaster et al. 1991), and mouse (Franco del Amo et al. 1992, 1993; Reaume et al. 1992; Kopan and Weintraub 1993; Lardelli and Lendahl 1993). Recently, Weinmaster et al. (1992) described the sequence and expression pattern of a rat cDNA clone encoding a second *Notch* homolog, *Notch2*. Partial cDNA clones encoding *Notch2* genes have also been isolated

³Present address: Departamento de Bioquímica e Biología Molecular, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

⁴Corresponding author.

from humans (Stifani et al. 1992) and mice (Lardelli and Lendahl 1993; F.F. del Amo and T. Gridley, unpubl.). A third, more distantly related member of the *Notch* gene family is the *int-3* gene, a common integration site for mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)-induced mammary tumors (Robbins et al. 1992). In addition to *Notch* family genes, other neurogenic genes are also conserved in vertebrates. Several genes related to the *groucho* transcript of the *Drosophila Enhancer of split* complex have been isolated (Stifani et al. 1992; Mallo et al. 1993). Genes related to the helix-loop-helix genes of the *Enhancer of split* complex have also been cloned (Sasai et al. 1992).

In situ hybridization analysis of the RNA expression pattern of the mouse *Notch1* gene revealed that expression was first observed in mesoderm and the primitive streak of gastrulating embryos (Franco del Amo et al. 1992; Reaume et al. 1992). However, by mid-gestation *Notch1* expression was observed in a number of other sites and was very high in mitotic cells in the central and peripheral nervous systems (Weinmaster et al. 1991; Franco del Amo et al. 1992; Reaume et al. 1992). To begin to examine the role of the *Notch1* gene in vivo, we created mice lacking a functional *Notch1* gene by targeted disruption in embryonic stem (ES) cells. Mouse embryos homozygous for this mutation die before 11.5 days of gestation, demonstrating that the product of the *Notch1* gene plays a vital role during early postimplantation development in mice.

Results

Targeted disruption of Notch1 and germ-line transmission of the mutated allele

We have described previously the isolation of rat and mouse homologs of *Notch*, and have analyzed the expression pattern of this gene in adults and in early postimplantation embryos (Weinmaster et al. 1991; Franco del Amo et al. 1992, 1993). Initially, we referred to the mouse gene as *Motch*, following the convention initiated for a *Xenopus Notch* homolog designated *Xotch* (Coffman et al. 1990). However, to comply with the Guidelines for Standardized Genetic Nomenclature for Mice (Lyon 1989) and to differentiate this gene from other *Notch* gene family members (Weinmaster et al. 1992), we have renamed this gene *Notch1* (Franco del Amo et al. 1993). To construct a targeting vector for the *Notch1* gene, a *neo* expression cassette was cloned into a unique restriction enzyme site located in an exon encoding EGF repeat 32 (see Materials and methods). This disrupts the reading frame of the *Notch1* gene in the extracellular domain of the protein. Because the *Notch1* gene was disrupted by cloning the *neo* expression cassette in an exon encoding EGF repeat 32 (Fig. 1A), we refer to this mutant allele as *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²*.

The linearized targeting vector was electroporated into Cj7 and Cj9 ES cells (Swiatek and Gridley 1993), and the electroporated cells were subjected to positive/negative selection (Mansour et al. 1988) using G418 and FIAU.

Four independently targeted clones of Cj7 cells and 10 clones of Cj9 cells were identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) screening. This represented a targeting frequency of $\sim 1/34$ double-selected clones. Mutant clones were expanded and confirmed by Southern analysis with various restriction enzymes (see Fig. 1C). Mutant clones were injected into C57Bl/6 recipient blastocysts, and male founder animals exhibiting extensive coat-color chimerism were crossed to C57Bl/6 females. Because the ES cells were derived from 129/Sv mice, progeny of the chimeric founders were F₁ hybrids of the two strains. Germ-line transmission of the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* allele was obtained for three targeted clones (3-3F, 9-7B, and 5-3B), including at least one clone derived from each parental ES cell line. *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* alleles passed through the germ line were analyzed by Southern blotting to confirm that they contained the expected replacement-type homologous recombination event (Fig. 1C). F₁ mice heterozygous for the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* mutation appeared phenotypically normal.

Mice homozygous for the Notch1ⁱⁿ³² mutation die before 11.5 days of gestation

To examine whether animals homozygous for the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* mutation were viable, heterozygous F₁ animals were intercrossed and genotypes of the F₂ progeny were determined at 2 or 3 weeks after birth. No mice homozygous for the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* mutation were detected among 104 F₂ progeny analyzed (Table 1). These data include progeny derived from all three independently targeted ES cell clones. This demonstrated ($P < 0.001$) that the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* allele is a completely penetrant-recessive lethal mutation, even on a segregating genetic background.

To determine when homozygous mutant embryos were dying, embryos were isolated between 8.5 and 11.5 days postcoitum (dpc; morning of detection of the copulation plug is 0.5 dpc). Embryos (243) obtained from 22 litters were examined (Table 1). All homozygous mutant embryos were already resorbed when isolated at 11.5 dpc. When isolated at 10.5 dpc, homozygous mutant embryos were either completely resorbed or were severely retarded, compared with littermate controls (Fig. 2). The homozygous mutant embryos isolated at 10.5 dpc had beating hearts, although the majority had vastly distended pericardial sacs. Histological analysis of these embryos revealed that they were severely necrotic and would certainly be resorbed within the next 24 hr (Fig. 2D,E).

Homozygous mutant embryos isolated at 9.5 dpc were developmentally retarded compared with wild-type and heterozygous littermates. Mutant embryos had generally formed 14–16 somites, compared with 20–25 somites for wild-type and heterozygous littermates. Pattern formation in homozygous mutant embryos, as assessed by morphological and histological analysis, appeared largely normal. Homozygous mutant embryos formed brain vesicles, optic vesicles, branchial arches, otocysts, forelimb buds, a beating heart, and 14–16 somites (Fig. 3A).

Figure 1. Targeted disruption of the mouse *Notch1* gene. (A) Schematic representation of the predicted mouse Notch1 protein sequence. The downward arrow indicates where the gene encoding the Notch1 protein is disrupted by insertion of a *neo* expression cassette. The following conserved amino acid motifs are indicated: (SP) Signal peptide; (EGF) epidermal growth factor-like repeats; (N/lin) Notch/lin-12 repeats; (TM) transmembrane domain; (cdc10) cdc10/ankyrin-like repeats; (O) remnant of opa repeat; (P) PEST sequence. (B) Targeting scheme. The upper line represents the structure of the targeting vector. Arrows indicate transcriptional orientation of the *TK* and *neo* expression cassettes. The middle line shows the genomic organization of a portion of the *Notch1* gene. The exons marked a, b, and c encode amino acids 1171–1214, 1215–1300, and 1301–1338, respectively, of the predicted Notch1 protein

(Franco del Amo et al. 1993). The *neo* expression cassette was cloned into a unique *Bst*XI site in exon b. Additional uncharacterized exons are present both 5' and 3' to the exons shown. Shown at the bottom is the predicted structure of the *Notch1* locus following homologous recombination of the targeting vector. The PCR primers used for screening are indicated by arrowheads. The hybridization probe used for Southern blot analysis is indicated. Restriction enzymes: (Bst) *Bst*XI; (H) *Hind*III; (N) *Nco*I; (Ns) *Nsi*I; (S) *Sac*I. (C) Southern blot analysis of DNA from *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³²/+ and +/+ mice. DNA isolated from tail biopsies of 2-week-old progeny of the intercross of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³²/+ heterozygous mice was digested with the indicated restriction enzymes, Southern blotted, and hybridized with probe A. Sizes of hybridizing restriction fragments are indicated. Note the reduction in hybridization intensity of the wild-type fragment in the heterozygous (+/m) animals. Because probe A spans the unique *Bst*XI site used for introduction of the *neo* expression cassette, digestion of DNA from heterozygous animals also yields additional faintly hybridizing restriction fragments from the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² mutant allele. In the *Sac*I digest, the 2.6-kb band in the heterozygote is actually a doublet, and in the *Nco*I digest a faintly hybridizing 1.5-kb band is also present in the heterozygotes. The animals shown were derived from ES cell clone 9-7B. Analysis of progeny of the other two ES cell clones (3-3F and 5-3B) gave identical results.

Somite condensation in the homozygous mutant embryos appeared to cease after formation of the first 14–16 somites—no homozygotes with larger numbers of somites were observed.

Histological analysis of embryos isolated at 9.5 dpc

revealed localized areas of cell death. Cell death did not appear random but appeared preferentially in portions of the central and peripheral nervous systems. Pycnotic cells were found in neuroepithelium of the central nervous system, particularly in the optic lobe and the hind-

Table 1. Genotypes of progeny of F_1 intercrosses of $Notch^{in32}/+$ heterozygous mice

Stage	Number of litters	Total mice or embryos	Resorbed	Genotypes			χ^2	P
				+/+	+/-	-/-		
Adult	13	104	N.A.	45	59	0	40.82	<0.001
8.5 dpc	8	87	1	28	38	19	2.86	>0.05
9.5 dpc	5	59	1	22	24	12	5.17	>0.05
10.5 dpc	4	43	3	20	13	7	13.35	<0.01
11.5 dpc	5	44	14	10	20	0	10.00	<0.01

The adult genotype analysis combines data from mice derived from clones 9-7B, 3-3F, and 5-3B. The embryonic genotype analysis combines data from mice derived from clones 9-7B and 3-3F. Genotypes of adult mice were determined by PCR analysis or Southern analysis of tail DNA. Mice grouped in the adult category were 10 days old or older at the time of tail biopsy. Genotypes of embryos were determined either by PCR analysis or Southern analysis of yolk sac DNA. Resorptions were not genotyped. Ratios of genotypes were tested for goodness of fit to expected Mendelian segregation (1:2:1) by χ^2 analysis, calculated for two degrees of freedom. (N.A.) Not applicable.

brain, and in neural crest-derived cells that were condensing to form cranial sensory ganglia, such as the trigeminal (V) and the facial/acoustic (VII/VIII) ganglia (Fig. 3). Histological analysis did not reveal hypertrophy of neural or other tissues in homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 3; data not shown). Mutant phenotypes were identical for embryos derived from either of the ES cell clones 9-7B or 3-3F. When isolated at 8.5 dpc, homozygous mutant embryos were morphologically indistinguishable from wild-type or heterozygous littermates (data not shown).

We were interested in seeing whether the $Notch1^{in32}$ mutation affected neuronal differentiation in the homozygous mutant embryos. To test this, we assayed $Notch1^{in32}$ homozygous mutant embryos and littermate controls by whole-mount immunohistochemistry with a monoclonal antibody to the 155-kD neurofilament protein (Dodd et al. 1988). This analysis showed that neurofilament-positive cells did form in the homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 4). Furthermore, cranial neural crest migrating from the midbrain and hindbrain to form the cranial sensory ganglia appeared to be correctly pat-

Figure 2. Morphological and histological analysis of embryos from the intercross of $Notch1^{in32}/+$ heterozygous mice, isolated at 10.5 days of gestation. (A) Morphology of a wild-type embryo (left) and three homozygous mutant littermates isolated at 10.5 dpc. (B,C) Higher magnification views of homozygous mutant embryos shown in A (first and third from right, respectively). Note the vastly distended pericardium, which is frequently observed in homozygous mutants isolated at this stage. (D) Transverse section of embryo shown in C. This embryo is severely necrotic, with extensive degeneration in the neuroepithelium of the hindbrain (ne), in the epithelium of the otic vesicle (ot), and in cephalic mesenchyme. (E) Parasagittal section of embryo shown in B. Densely staining pycnotic cells are again seen in both neuroepithelium and mesenchyme, although this embryo is not as necrotic as its littermate shown in C and D. (D,E) Magnification, 400 $\times$. (ne) Neuroepithelium; (ot) otic vesicle.

Figure 3. Morphological and histological analysis of embryos from intercrosses of *Notch1^{tn32}/+* heterozygous mice, isolated at 9.5 days of gestation. (A) Whole-mount morphology of homozygous mutant embryo isolated at 9.5 dpc. The broken lines indicate the approximate planes of section of the indicated panels. Note the presence in this mutant embryo of the otic vesicle, heart, branchial arch (open arrow), and somites (solid arrows). (B–G) Serial transverse sections of a *Notch1^{tn32}* homozygous mutant embryo (C, E, G) and a wild-type littermate (B, D, F) isolated at 9.5 dpc. (B, C) Transverse sections through neuroepithelium near the top of the head. Pycnotic cells (densely stained with hematoxylin—some are indicated by arrows) are observed in the neuroepithelium of the mutant embryo. (D, E) Transverse sections at the level of the optic vesicle. Pycnotic cells (some of which are indicated by arrows) are observed in the mutant embryo in neuroepithelium adjacent to the optic vesicle. (F, G) Transverse sections at a level just rostral to the otic vesicle. Pycnotic cells are observed in the mutant embryo in the neuroepithelium of the hindbrain and in neural crest that is condensing to form the facial/acoustic (VII/VIII) ganglion. Magnifications 640 $\times$ (B, C); 500 $\times$ (D–G). (h) Heart; (op) optic vesicle; (ov) otic vesicle.

terned, as the primordia for cranial ganglia V, VII/VIII, IX, and X were clearly visible in their proper locations (Fig. 4B). The primordia for these ganglia were also apparent upon histological analysis (Fig. 3).

We also wanted to determine whether the *Notch1^{tn32}* mutation affected the accumulation of stable *Notch1* transcripts. Therefore, we analyzed wild-type and homozygous mutant embryos by whole-mount in situ hybridization. The probe, which we had used previously to examine *Notch1* RNA expression by in situ hybridization of sectioned embryos (Franco del Amo et al. 1992), was located 3' of the insertion site of the *neo* expression cassette used to disrupt the *Notch1* gene. Embryos were analyzed at 8.5 dpc, before there were any obviously detectable morphological differences between wild-type and homozygous mutant embryos. Wild-type embryos

exhibited a strong band of *Notch1* expression in pre-somitic mesoderm (Fig. 5A). Expression was highest just posterior to the last condensed somite. Lower levels of expression were observed in the remainder of the pre-somitic mesoderm. This band of *Notch1* expression marking the nascent somite had been observed previously by Reaume et al. (1992). We could not detect *Notch1* expression in *Notch1^{tn32}* homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 5B) and conclude that the *Notch1^{tn32}* mutation does interfere with accumulation of stable *Notch1* transcripts.

Mice homozygous for the Notch1^{tn32} mutation do not appear to die because of defects in placentation or vascularization

The time of death of *Notch1^{tn32}* homozygous mutant

Figure 4. The *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² mutation does not inhibit neuronal differentiation or affect patterning of cranial neural crest. Whole-mount immunohistochemistry with an anti-neurofilament monoclonal antibody of a *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryo (B) and a wild-type littermate (A) isolated at 9.5 dpc. Although the homozygous mutant embryo is clearly developmentally retarded compared with its wild-type littermate, neurofilament positive cells differentiate and the cranial sensory ganglia develop in their normal location in the mutant embryo. Cranial ganglia are numbered as follows: (5) trigeminal; (7/8) facial/acoustic; (9) glossopharyngeal; (10) vagus.

embryos coincides approximately with the time during mouse embryogenesis when the placenta begins to form and function. For example, mice that are homozygous for the *Brachyury* (T) mutation die at a similar time as the homozygous *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² mutant embryos, because of failure of the allantois to fuse with the chorion (Gluecksohn-Schoenheimer 1944). Cells of the allantois contribute to the formation of the endothelial cells lining the blood vessels in the umbilical cord (Snell and Stevens 1966; Theiler 1989; Rugh 1990; Kaufman 1992). To exclude defects in placentation as the cause of death of the mutant embryos, we examined carefully whether early steps in formation of the placenta were occurring in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryos. The allantois of homozygous mutant embryos appeared normal and fused with the chorion at the normal time, by about the 6-somite stage (data not shown).

Because the *Notch1* gene is expressed in endothelial cells (Franco del Amo et al. 1992), we also were concerned that vascularization might be affected in homozygous mutant embryos. However, red blood cells, which arise in the yolk sac blood islands and migrate into the embryo through the vitelline vessels of the umbilical cord, were present in blood vessels and the heart in the homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 2A,B; data not shown). We also examined vascularization and endothelial cell development using whole-mount in situ hybridization with a probe for the receptor tyrosine kinase *flk-1* (Matthews et al. 1991), which has been shown to be an early marker for endothelial cells and their precursors (Yamaguchi et al. 1993). As described previously (Yamaguchi et al. 1993), whole-mount in situ hybridization

of wild-type embryos at 9.5 dpc with an antisense *flk-1* probe revealed endothelial cells forming an extensive network of anastomosing blood vessels (Fig. 6A). Hybridization of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryos showed a similar pattern of *flk-1*-expressing endothelial cells as the wild-type littermates (Fig. 6B). Thus, death of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryos at ~10.5 dpc does not appear to be attributable to major defects in either placentation or vascularization.

*The RNA expression pattern of the Notch2 gene partially overlaps the Notch1 expression pattern and is not detectably altered in Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutants

Recently, we described the cloning of a second vertebrate *Notch* gene family member, *Notch2*, and analyzed its RNA expression pattern during late embryogenesis and in adults (Weinmaster et al. 1992). This analysis indicated that in rat embryos at 16.5 dpc, expression of the *Notch1* and *Notch2* genes was distinct and included both overlapping and nonoverlapping sites of expression. We were interested, however, in examining the *Notch2* RNA expression pattern in early postimplantation embryos and in determining whether this expression pat-

Figure 5. Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of *Notch1* expression in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous and wild-type embryos. Embryos from the intercross of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³²/+ heterozygous mice were isolated at 8.5 dpc and processed for whole-mount in situ hybridization with a *Notch1* antisense RNA probe. (A) Wild-type littermate and (B) *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryo. Hybridization signal was observed only in the wild-type embryo. Wild-type embryos exhibited a strong band of *Notch1* expression in presomitic mesoderm (arrow). Expression was highest just posterior to the last condensed somite. Lower levels of expression were observed in the remainder of the presomitic mesoderm. No signal was detected in the homozygous mutant embryos. The more extended shape of the homozygous mutant embryo was a result of the dissection and does not reflect a general morphological difference between homozygous mutant and wild-type littermates at this stage of gestation. The small dots present on both embryos represent particulates that adhered to the embryos after proteinase K treatment [orientation of embryos: (A) anterior of embryo, left; (B) anterior, top].

Figure 6. Vascularization, as assessed by in situ hybridization with a probe for the receptor tyrosine kinase *flk-1*, appears normal in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mutant embryos. Embryos from the intercross of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³²/+ heterozygous mice were isolated at 9.5 dpc and were processed for whole-mount in situ hybridization with a mouse *flk-1* antisense RNA probe. (A) Wild-type littermate; (B) *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mutant embryo. In both embryos, *flk-1*-expressing endothelial cells form an extensive network of anastomosing blood vessels.

tern was altered in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mutant embryos. Early headfold-stage and 15-somite-stage rat embryos were analyzed for expression of *Notch2* transcripts by whole-mount in situ hybridization. *Notch2* expression was high in the intraembryonic paraxial mesoderm of headfold stage embryos (Fig. 7A). A strong band of *Notch2* expression was also detected in the most recently formed somites of the 15-somite-stage embryos (Fig. 7B). Lower levels of *Notch2* expression were observed in the remaining somites, decreasing in intensity

Figure 7. Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of *Notch2* expression in wild-type rat embryos. Embryos were processed for whole-mount in situ hybridization with a rat *Notch2* antisense RNA probe. The rostral ends of embryos are to the left of each panel. (A) Headfold-stage embryo (9.5 dpc). *Notch2* expression was observed in the intraembryonic paraxial mesoderm (arrow). (B) 15-Somite stage embryo (10.5 dpc). *Notch2* expression was highest in the two most caudal pairs of somites (arrow). Lower levels of *Notch2* expression were observed in the remaining somites, decreasing in intensity towards the rostral somites.

Figure 8. Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of *Notch2* expression in wild type and *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mouse embryos. Embryos from the intercross of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³²/+ heterozygous mice were isolated at 9.5 dpc and processed for whole-mount in situ hybridization with a rat *Notch2* antisense RNA probe. (A) *Notch2* expression in a wild-type embryo. Although use of the rat probe on mouse embryos gave slightly higher background staining, particularly in the telencephalon, *Notch2* expression was observed in the caudal pairs of somites (arrow). (B) *Notch2* expression in a *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mutant mouse embryo. *Notch2* expression was observed in the most caudal pair of somites (arrow) and to a lesser extent in the more rostral somites.

toward the rostral somites (Fig. 7B). Thus, expression of the *Notch2* and *Notch1* genes partially overlap at these stages of development. However, *Notch2* expression persists in formed somites (Fig. 7B), whereas *Notch1* expression is substantially down-regulated upon somite condensation (Franco del Amo et al. 1992; Reaume et al. 1992).

We also examined expression of the *Notch2* gene in wild-type, *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² heterozygous, and *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mouse embryos isolated at 9.5 dpc (Fig. 8; data not shown). Again, *Notch2* expression was observed in the most recently formed pair of somites. Thus, using whole-mount in situ hybridization, no distinct differences in the *Notch2* RNA expression pattern were detected among wild-type, *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² heterozygous, and *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³³² homozygous mutant mouse embryos (Fig. 8; data not shown).

Notch1 is not allelic to Danforth's short tail

We and others have shown previously that the *Notch1* gene maps to the proximal region of mouse chromosome 2 (Reaume et al. 1992; Franco del Amo et al. 1993; Kopan and Weintraub 1993). This region of mouse chromosome 2 contains an area of homology to the long arm of human chromosome 9 where the human *Notch1* homolog, the *TAN-1* gene, has been localized (9q34.3) (Ellisen et al. 1991). Examining this region of the mouse chromosome for mutations described previously which might be located in the *Notch1* gene revealed a single candidate locus, *Danforth's short tail* (*Sd*). *Sd* is a spontaneous mutant identified by Danforth (1930). Heterozygous *Sd* mice have a short tail with a reduced number of caudal vertebrae and some kinking (Dunn et al. 1940; Grüneberg 1953). Homozygotes lack a recognizable notochord, particularly toward the caudal end (Grüneberg 1958;

Theiler 1959; Bovolenta and Dodd 1991), and die shortly after birth. The *Notch1* gene is not expressed in the notochord, and in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryos the notochord is present. However, certain features of the *Sd* mutation (e.g., existence of a single, spontaneously isolated mutant allele and presence of a heterozygous phenotype) suggest that *Sd* may be a gain-of-function mutation. Because the phenotypic effects of such a mutation may be more difficult to predict than that of a null mutation, we examined whether the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² mutation and *Sd* were allelic.

Analysis of the progeny of the mating of *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³²/+ heterozygous mice with *Sd*/+ heterozygous mice indicated that the two mutations complemented each other fully, as 12 of 27 progeny containing the *Sd* chromosome also contained the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² chromosome. However, genetic analysis in *Drosophila* has shown that different *Notch* alleles can participate in extremely complex complementation patterns (Welshons 1971; Foster 1975; Portin 1975; for review, see Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1991). Therefore, to confirm that *Notch1* and *Sd* are not allelic and to determine the genetic distance separating them, we performed a backcross analysis to detect recombination between these two loci. This analysis indicated that *Sd* and *Notch1* are ~10 centiMorgans distant from each other (11/107 recombinant progeny = 10.2 ± 2.9 cM; Table 2). Because only these two markers were segregating in the cross, we have not established in this experiment on which side of *Sd* the *Notch1* gene is located. However, because the homology region to human chromosome 9q (where the human *Notch1* gene maps; Ellisen et al. 1991) lies distal to *Sd* on mouse chromosome 2 (Lyon and Kirby 1993), it is probable that *Notch1* lies distal to *Sd* as well.

Discussion

The *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² phenotype

The work presented here demonstrates that a functional

Notch1 gene is essential for postimplantation development in mice. Embryos homozygous for the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² allele died and were resorbed by 11.5 days of gestation. Considerable postimplantation development takes place in homozygous mutant embryos. As assessed by morphological and histological criteria, the *Notch1* product is not required for largely normal pattern formation through ~9 days of gestation. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that small populations of cells might be missing or have an altered fate, homozygous mutant embryos form brain vesicles, branchial arches, otocysts, a beating heart and the first 14–16 somites. The main phenotype that we have observed in the homozygous mutant embryos is widespread cell death. Initially, cell death does not appear at random but seems to occur preferentially (but not exclusively) in neuroepithelium and in neurogenic neural crest. We have also ruled out obvious defects in placentation or vascularization as the cause of death of homozygous mutant embryos.

It does not appear, then, that *Notch1* function is obligately required for the formation of the first tissues to express the gene. *Notch1* RNA expression is first detected in mesoderm and the primitive streak of gastrulating embryos (Franco del Amo et al. 1992; Reaume et al. 1992). *Notch1* is expressed at very high levels in pre-somitic mesoderm of 8.5 dpc embryos but is down-regulated upon formation of somites. When analyzed by whole-mount in situ hybridization, an intense band of *Notch1* expression appears to mark the nascent somite (Fig. 5; Reaume et al. 1992). This observation prompted the suggestion that *Notch1* might be involved in promoting the cell–cell interactions leading to somite formation (Reaume et al. 1992). However, our results indicate that *Notch1* function is not obligately required either for formation of mesoderm or its condensation to form somites.

Two possibilities could explain the lack of an obvious phenotype affecting mesoderm formation or somite condensation in *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² homozygous mutant embryos. The first possibility is that *Notch1* is not involved in

Table 2. Recombination between *Sd* and the *Notch1* locus

Cross ^a	Progeny genotypes	Number observed	Class ^b
$(Sd\ N1^{+RSV}/Sd^{+}\ N1^{in32})$ $\times$ $(Sd^{+}\ N1^{+C57}/Sd^{+}\ N1^{+C57})$	<i>Sd</i> <i>N1</i> ^{+RSV} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	14	P
	<i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ⁱⁿ³² / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	16	P
	<i>Sd</i> <i>N1</i> ⁱⁿ³² / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	0	R
	<i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+RSV} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	2	R
$(Sd\ N1^{+RSV}/Sd^{+}\ N1^{+C57})$ $\times$ $(Sd^{+}\ N1^{+C57}/Sd^{+}\ N1^{+C57})$	<i>Sd</i> <i>N1</i> ^{+RSV} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	31	P
	<i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	35	P
	<i>Sd</i> <i>N1</i> ^{+C57} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	6	R
	<i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+RSV} / <i>Sd</i> ⁺ <i>N1</i> ^{+C57}	3	R

Totals: Recombinant/recombinant $\pm$ parental 11/107 = 10.2 ± 2.9 cM.

^aAllele designations: (*Sd*) Mutant allele at *Danforth's short tail* (*Sd*) locus; (*Sd*⁺) wild-type allele at the *Sd* locus; (*N1*ⁱⁿ³²) mutant allele at *Notch1* locus; (*N1*^{+RSV}) wild-type allele at *Notch1* locus in the RSV/Le mouse strain; (*N1*^{+C57}) wild-type allele at *Notch1* locus in the C57Bl/6 and 129/Sv mouse strains.

^b(P) Parental; (R) recombinant.

these processes. However, work in *Drosophila* has established that *Notch* mutants have effects in all three germ layers (Corbin et al. 1991; Hartenstein et al. 1992). An alternative possibility is that *Notch1* is involved in these processes but that its loss in *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* mutants is functionally compensated by the expression of another *Notch* gene. Functional compensation (or redundancy) has been demonstrated recently in the formation of skeletal muscle in mice (Rudnicki et al. 1993), has been invoked to explain the lack of a phenotype in the caudal hindbrain and spinal cord of *Wnt-1* mutant mice (McMahon et al. 1992), and also has been implicated in the interpretation of mutant phenotypes of several other targeted or insertional mutations in mice (for review, see Gridley 1991). Functional redundancy of genes and genetic pathways has been described previously in a number of other organisms (see, e.g., Kataoka et al. 1984; Ferguson and Horvitz 1989; for review, see Thomas 1993). We find that expression of the *Notch2* gene overlaps expression of the *Notch1* gene in presomitic mesoderm. Furthermore, recent work in *C. elegans* indicates that different *Notch* gene family members may be, to a large degree, functionally interchangeable. While studying the *Notch*-related genes *lin-12* and *glp-1*, Fitzgerald et al. (1993) expressed *glp-1* protein under the control of *lin-12* regulatory sequences in *lin-12* null mutant animals. They found that *glp-1* could substitute for *lin-12* in mediating several cell fate decisions, suggesting that the two proteins were functionally interchangeable even though they shared only 50% sequence identity. Partial genetic redundancy of *lin-12* and *glp-1* was also indicated by the novel phenotype displayed by *lin-12/glp-1* double mutants (Lambie and Kimble 1991) and by the ability of a particular neomorphic *glp-1* allele to mimic dominant gain-of-function *lin-12* mutations (Mango et al. 1991). To answer the question of possible functional redundancy between *Notch1* and *Notch2* gene products in mice will require the examination of the phenotypes of *Notch2* mutant animals and *Notch1/Notch2* double mutants.

Does the Notch1ⁱⁿ³² mutation represent a loss or gain of function?

Several reports have appeared recently that describe the phenotypes attributable to expression of truncated constructs of *Notch* family genes in *Drosophila* or *C. elegans* (Fortini et al. 1993; Lieber et al. 1993; Rebay et al. 1993; Roehl and Kimble 1993; Struhl et al. 1993; for review, see Fortini and Artavanis-Tsakonas 1993; Sternberg 1993). These truncated constructs express the cytoplasmic domains of the *Notch*, *glp-1*, or *lin-12* proteins in the absence of all or of the majority of the extracellular domains of these proteins. The common finding of these studies is that expression of the cytoplasmic domains of these proteins causes a dominant gain-of-function phenotype.

Several examples of the expression of truncated *Notch* family genes have also been examined in vertebrates. Two mammalian *Notch* gene family members, the human *Notch1* gene (*TAN-1*; Ellisen et al. 1991) and the

mouse *int-3* gene (Robbins et al. 1992), were cloned as oncogenes. In both of these cases, it appears that deregulated expression of a truncated RNA encoding the cytoplasmic domain of the protein contributes to the tumorigenic phenotype (Ellisen et al. 1991; Jhappan et al. 1992). Coffman et al. (1993) described the phenotype resulting from the injection into *Xenopus* embryos of RNA encoding an extracellular deletion of the *Xenopus Notch1* gene (which they term *Xotch*—the truncated form is termed *XotchΔE*). *XotchΔE* embryos exhibit a complete absence of anterior structures such as the cement gland and the eyes. There was also an enlargement and disorganization of other dorsoanterior structures such as the forebrain, spinal cord, and otic vesicle (Coffman et al. 1993). Mosaic expression of the *XotchΔE* construct revealed a hypertrophy of the neural tube and of muscle tissue. This hypertrophy of neural and muscle tissue was readily apparent in histological sections of *XotchΔE*-injected embryos. Coffman et al. propose that *XotchΔE* represents a dominantly activated (i.e., gain-of-function) form of *Xotch*.

The phenotype observed in *XotchΔE*-injected embryos is in marked contrast to the phenotype that we observe in *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* homozygous mutant embryos. The *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* homozygotes exhibit no loss or disorganization of dorsoanterior structures nor do they exhibit a hypertrophy of neural or muscle tissue apparent in histological sections. We suggest that the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* allele represents a loss-of-function mutation. The *neo* expression cassette used for construction of the targeting vector, PGKneobpa (Soriano et al. 1991), contains a strong polyadenylation site and termination codons in all three reading frames. In addition, we have shown that the *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* mutation interferes with stable *Notch1* RNA accumulation and that *Notch1^{in32/+}* heterozygous animals exhibit no obvious phenotype. Also, numerous chromosomal rearrangements or insertions with breakpoints in the region of the *Drosophila Notch* gene encoding the extracellular domain of the protein exhibit loss-of-function phenotypes (Kidd et al. 1983; Grimwade et al. 1985). Thus, the differences in phenotype observed between *XotchΔE*-injected frog embryos and *Notch1ⁱⁿ³²* homozygous mouse embryos likely represent the differences between gain-of-function and loss-of-function alleles of the same gene. To test this hypothesis, experiments are in progress to express truncated *Notch1* constructs in transgenic mice.

The work described here demonstrates that a functional *Notch1* gene is required for normal postimplantation development in mice. However, it will be important to construct and analyze additional mutations in the *Notch1* gene. In a number of instances of targeted gene disruption in mice, different mutant alleles have exhibited quite different phenotypes [see, e.g., *Hoxa-1* (*Hox-1.6*; Lufkin et al. 1991; Chisaka et al. 1992); *N-myc* (Charron et al. 1992; Moens et al. 1992, 1993; Stanton et al. 1992); cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (Clarke et al. 1992; Dorin et al. 1992; Snouwaert et al. 1992)]. In addition, work in *Drosophila* has shown that different *Notch* alleles can exhibit a large

array of phenotypes affecting different tissues and different developmental stages (for review, see Artavanis-Tsakonas et al. 1991; Campos-Ortega and Jan 1991). Thus, analysis of additional mutant *Notch1* alleles may reveal other requirements for this gene during embryonic development in mice.

Materials and methods

Targeting vector construction

Isolation of a 17-kb genomic clone encoding part of the mouse *Notch1* gene has been reported previously (Franco del Amo et al. 1992). A *HindIII*–*NsiI* fragment of this genomic clone was subcloned and used for targeting vector construction. Exons were located and exon–intron boundaries were determined by nucleotide sequencing with specific oligonucleotide primers and by restriction enzyme mapping. To construct the targeting vector, we utilized the *neo* expression cassette from PGKneobpa (Soriano et al. 1991). A blunt-ended *XhoI* fragment from PGKneobpa was blunt-end-ligated into a unique *BstXI* site located in exon B in Figure 1. A pMCI–HSVTK cassette (Mansour et al. 1988) was introduced for negative selection as a *XhoI* fragment into a *XhoI* site in the plasmid polylinker at the 5' end of the *Notch1* genomic fragment. The targeting vector contained 2.6 kb of homologous sequence 5' and 1.1 kb of homologous sequence 3' of the *neo* cassette (Fig. 1B).

Electroporation, selection, and screening of ES cells

CJ7 (Swiatek and Gridley 1993) and CJ9 (derived in the same experimental series as CJ7 cells; T. Gridley, unpubl.) ES cells at passage 3–4 were electroporated with 25 μ g of linearized targeting vector DNA using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser (500 μ F, 240 V). The electroporated cells were then placed under selection and replica-plated as described (Swiatek and Gridley 1993). DNA for Southern analysis was prepared from colonies in the replica plates by the method of Laird et al. (1991). For screening for homologous recombinants, DNA samples were analyzed by PCR as described below.

Screening of G418-resistant colonies

The ES cell DNA samples were screened for homologous recombinants using PCR. Primers for the reaction were from the *neo* gene (5'-TCGCAGCGCATCGCCTTCTATCG-3') and from *Notch1* genomic sequence downstream from the *NsiI* site (5'-GGCACTCAGGGCCGGTGAAGGATC-3'). The PCR mix consisted of 20 μ l of lysis buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.3, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.45% NP-40, 0.45% Tween 20, 60 μ g/ml of proteinase K), 2 μ l of 10 $\times$ PCR buffer [166 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 670 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 1 mg/ml of BSA], 200 μ M each dNTP, 200 ng of each primer, and 2 U/ μ l of *Taq* polymerase (Cetus) in a final volume of 40 μ l. Temperature cycling conditions were at 95°C for 30 sec; 65°C for 30 sec; and 72°C for 90 sec for 40 cycles. PCR products were resolved on 1% agarose gels.

Genotyping ES cell lines and mice by Southern analysis and PCR

DNA was isolated from the mutant ES cell lines and tail biopsy samples using standard procedures (Sambrook et al. 1989). Embryos isolated at 8.5–11.5 dpc were genotyped using yolk sac DNA. Yolk sacs were incubated under mineral oil in 20–40 μ l of lysis buffer at 55°C overnight. The proteinase K was inactivated

by heating the lysates at 94°C for 30 min, and the lysates genotyped using PCR or Southern analysis. PCR primers for the wild-type *Notch1* allele were 5'-TCTAACTGCTCCGAG-GAGATCA-3', located in EGF repeat 30, and 5'-CAGGGGT-TGGAGAGACATTTCATTG-3', located in EGF repeat 33. PCR conditions were as described above. For Southern analysis, the yolk sac lysate or 10 μ g of the ES cell or tail DNA was digested with the restriction enzymes *NcoI*, *SacI*, or *NcoI*–*NsiI*. The DNA was fractionated on a 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to ZetaProbe GT membranes (Bio-Rad), and hybridized with probe A (Fig. 1B), a 1.7-kb *BamHI* genomic fragment. Hybridization and washing conditions were as described by the membrane supplier.

Blastocyst injection and animal breeding

ES cell colonies that screened positive for homologous recombination at the *Notch1* locus were injected into blastocysts from C57Bl/6J mice as described (Swiatek and Gridley 1993). Male chimeras with extensive ES cell contribution to the coat were bred with C57Bl/6J females to test for germ-line transmission of the dominant agouti coat color marker. F₁ animals heterozygous for the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² allele were intercrossed.

Breeding analysis with the Danforth's short tail (*Sd*) mutation

Male mice heterozygous for the *Sd* mutation on the RSV/Le inbred strain were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). These mice were also homozygous for *Re* (*Rex*), an unlinked dominant mutation causing curly whiskers and wavy fur. Genomic Southern blotting analysis revealed that there was a restriction-fragment-length polymorphism (RFLP) in the wild-type *Notch1* gene between the allele carried by the RSV/Le mice and the allele carried by both 129/Sv and C57Bl/6J mice. Blotting of a *SacI* digest allowed the detection of both these wild-type alleles, as well as the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² allele. The band sizes were *Notch1*⁺, RSV/Le, 7.0 kb; *Notch1*⁺, 129/Sv and C57Bl/6J, 3.0 kb; *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³², 2.4 kb.

To assay complementation, male mice heterozygous for the *Sd* mutation were crossed to female mice heterozygous for the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² mutation. The presence of the *Sd* mutation was determined visually by the presence of a very distinct, short stubby tail. Genotypes at the *Notch1* locus were determined by Southern blotting, as described above. For the backcross analysis, short-tailed mice from the complementation cross were backcrossed to C57Bl/6 mice, and genotypes of progeny were determined as above. Because of the RFLP in the wild-type *Notch1* gene, short-tailed mice containing either the *Notch1*ⁱⁿ³² allele or the *Notch1* allele from the RSV strain could be backcrossed informatively to C57Bl/6 mice. Recombination frequency and standard error were calculated as described by Klein (1975).

Morphological and histological analysis

Embryos were dissected from the decidua and DNA was prepared from the yolk sacs for genotyping by PCR or by Southern blot analysis. Embryos were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline. Fixed embryos were dehydrated through graded alcohols, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 6 μ m, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry with the monoclonal antibody 2H3, which recognizes a 155-kD neurofilament protein [Dodd et al. 1988, obtained from the Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank, maintained by the Department of Biology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, under contract from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (NICHD)] was performed as described previously [Swiatek and Gridley 1993].

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed according to the method of Wilkinson [1992], with the following modifications. For 8.5 dpc embryos the time of the proteinase K treatment was reduced to 7 min. The time for preblocking the embryos with normal sheep serum was increased to 2.5 hr. In addition, to stop the histochemical reaction we found it necessary to store the embryos overnight in low pH phosphate-buffered saline (pH 5.5), 0.1% Tween 20.

For *Notch1*, the probe for the whole-mount in situ hybridization experiments was the same probe used previously for in situ hybridization to sectioned embryos, the *EcoRI*–*KpnI* fragment of the *Notch1* cDNA clone c195 [Franco del Amo et al. 1992]. For *Notch2*, the antisense riboprobe was transcribed from a 2462-bp fragment of the rat *Notch2* cDNA extending from nucleotide 3991–6453 [Weinmaster et al. 1992]. For *flk-1*, the antisense riboprobe was transcribed from a 710-bp fragment of the mouse *flk-1* cDNA [Matthews et al. 1991] extending from nucleotide 301–1010, that was made by reverse transcriptase (RT)–PCR [gift of Tom Sato, Roche Institute].

Acknowledgments

We thank Tom Sato for the *flk-1* in situ hybridization probe.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Andrews, B.J. and I. Herskowitz. 1989. The yeast SWI4 protein contains a motif present in developmental regulators and is part of a complex involved in cell-cycle-dependent transcription. *Nature* **342**: 830–833.
- Artavanis-Tsakonas, S., C. Delidakis, and R.G. Fehon. 1991. The *Notch* locus and the cell biology of neuroblast segregation. *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* **7**: 427–452.
- Aves, S.J., B.W. Durkacz, A. Carr, and P. Nurse. 1985. Cloning, sequencing, and transcriptional control of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* *cdc10* start gene. *EMBO J.* **4**: 457–463.
- Bovolenta, P. and J. Dodd. 1991. Perturbation of neuronal differentiation and axon guidance in the spinal cord of mouse embryos lacking a floor plate: Analysis of Danforth's short-tail mutation. *Development* **113**: 625–639.
- Breeden, L. and K. Nasmyth. 1987. Similarity between cell-cycle genes of budding yeast and fission yeast and the *Notch* gene of *Drosophila*. *Nature* **329**: 651–654.
- Campos-Ortega, J.A. and Y.N. Jan. 1991. Genetic and molecular bases of neurogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **14**: 399–420.
- Charron, J., B.A. Malynn, P. Fisher, V. Stewart, L. Jeannotte, S.P. Goff, E.J. Robertson, and F.W. Alt. 1992. Embryonic lethality in mice homozygous for a targeted disruption of the *N-myc* gene. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 2248–2257.
- Chisaka, O., T.S. Musci, and M.R. Capecchi. 1992. Developmental defects of the ear, cranial nerves and hindbrain resulting from targeted disruption of the mouse homeobox gene *Hox-1.6*. *Nature* **355**: 516–520.
- Clarke, L.L., B.R. Grubb, S.E. Gabriel, O. Smiithies, B.H. Koller, and R.C. Boucher. 1992. Defective epithelial chloride transport in a gene-targeted mouse model of cystic fibrosis. *Science* **257**: 1125–1128.
- Coffman, C., W. Harris, and C. Kintner. 1990. *Xotch*, the *Xenopus* homolog of *Drosophila Notch*. *Science* **249**: 1438–1441.
- Coffman, C.R., P. Skoglund, W.A. Harris, and C.R. Kintner. 1993. Expression of an extracellular deletion of *Xotch* diverts cell fate in *Xenopus* embryos. *Cell* **73**: 659–671.
- Corbin, V., A.M. Michelson, S.M. Abmayr, V. Neel, E. Alcamo, T. Maniatis, and M.W. Young. 1991. A role for the *Drosophila* neurogenic genes in mesoderm differentiation. *Cell* **67**: 311–323.
- Danforth, C.H. 1930. Developmental anomalies in a strain of mice. *Am. J. Anat.* **45**: 113–118.
- Davis, L.H. and V. Bennett. 1990. Mapping the binding sites of human erythrocyte ankyrin for the anion exchanger and spectrin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **265**: 10589–10596.
- Dodd, J., S.B. Morton, D. Karageos, M. Yamamoto, and T. Jessell. 1988. Spatial regulation of axonal glycoprotein expression on subsets of embryonic spinal neurons. *Neuron* **1**: 105–116.
- Dorin, J.R., P. Dickinson, E.W. Alton, S.N. Smith, D.M. Geddes, B.J. Stevenson, W.L. Kimber, S. Fleming, A.R. Clarke, M.L. Hooper, L. Anderson, R.S. Beddington, and D.J. Porteous. 1992. Cystic fibrosis in the mouse by targeted insertional mutagenesis. *Nature* **359**: 211–215.
- Dunn, L.C., S. Gluecksohn-Schoenheimer, and V. Bryson. 1940. A new mutation in the mouse affecting spinal column and urogenital system. *J. Hered.* **31**: 343–348.
- Ellisen, L.W., J. Bird, D.C. West, A.L. Soreng, T.C. Reynolds, S.D. Smith, and J. Sklar. 1991. *TAN-1*, the human homolog of the *Drosophila Notch* gene, is broken by chromosomal translocations in T lymphoblastic neoplasms. *Cell* **66**: 649–661.
- Ferguson, E.L. and H.R. Horvitz. 1989. The multivulva phenotype of certain *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants results from defects in two functionally redundant pathways. *Genetics* **123**: 109–121.
- Fitzgerald, K., H.A. Wilkinson, and I. Greenwald. 1993. *glp-1* can substitute for *lin-12* in specifying cell fate decisions in *C. elegans*. *Development* **119**: 1019–1027.
- Fortini, M.E. and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1993. *Notch*: Neurogenesis is only part of the picture. *Cell* **75**: 1245–1247.
- Fortini, M.E., I. Rebay, L.A. Caron, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1993. An activated *Notch* receptor blocks cell-fate commitment in the developing *Drosophila* eye. *Nature* **365**: 555–557.
- Foster, G.G. 1975. Negative complementation at the *Notch* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **81**: 99–120.
- Franco del Amo, F., D.E. Smith, P.J. Swiatek, M. Gendron-Maguire, R.J. Greenspan, A.P. McMahon, and T. Gridley. 1992. Expression of *Notch*, a mouse homolog of *Drosophila Notch*, suggests an important role in early postimplantation mouse development. *Development* **115**: 737–745.
- Franco del Amo, F., M. Gendron-Maguire, P.J. Swiatek, N.A. Jenkins, N.G. Copeland, and T. Gridley. 1993. Cloning, analysis and chromosomal localization of *Notch-1*, a mouse homolog of *Drosophila Notch*. *Genomics* **15**: 259–264.
- Gluecksohn-Schoenheimer, S. 1944. The development of normal and homozygous *Brachy* (*T/T*) mouse embryos in the extraembryonic coelom of the chick. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **30**: 134–140.
- Greenspan, R.J. 1990. The *Notch* gene, adhesion, and developmental fate in the *Drosophila* embryo. *New Biol.* **2**: 595–

- 600.
- Greenwald, I. and G.M. Rubin. 1992. Making a difference: The role of cell-cell interactions in establishing separate identities for equivalent cells. *Cell* **68**: 271–281.
- Gridley, T. 1991. Insertional versus targeted mutagenesis in mice. *New Biol.* **3**: 1025–1034.
- Grimwade, B.G., M.A.T. Muskavitch, W.J. Welshons, B. Yedvobnick, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1985. The molecular genetics of the *Notch* locus in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev. Biol.* **107**: 503–519.
- Grüneberg, H. 1953. Genetical studies on the skeleton of the mouse VI. Danforth's short tail. *J. Genet.* **51**: 317–326.
- . 1958. Genetical studies on the skeleton of the mouse XXII. The development of Danforth's short tail. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **6**: 124–148.
- Hartenstein, A.Y., A. Rugendorff, U. Tepass, and V. Hartenstein. 1992. The function of the neurogenic genes during epithelial development in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Development* **116**: 1203–1220.
- Jhappan, C., D. Gallahan, C. Stahle, E. Chu, G.H. Smith, G. Merlino, and R. Callahan. 1992. Expression of an activated *Notch*-related *int-3* transgene interferes with cell differentiation and induces neoplastic transformation in mammary and salivary glands. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 345–355.
- Kataoka, T., S. Powers, C. McGill, O. Fasano, J. Strathern, J. Broach, and M. Wigler. 1984. Genetic analysis of yeast RAS1 and RAS2 genes. *Cell* **37**: 437–445.
- Kaufman, M.H. 1992. *The atlas of mouse development*. Academic Press, New York.
- Kidd, S., M.R. Kelley, and M.W. Young. 1986. Sequence of the *Notch* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*: Relationship of the encoded protein to mammalian clotting and growth factors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **6**: 3094–3108.
- Kidd, S., T.J. Lockett, and M.W. Young. 1983. The *Notch* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cell* **34**: 421–433.
- Klein, J. 1975. Principles of genetic analysis. In *Biology of the mouse histocompatibility-2 complex*. pp. 181–191. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Kopan, R. and H. Weintraub. 1993. Mouse *Notch*: Expression in hair follicles correlates with cell fate determination. *J. Cell Biol.* **121**: 631–641.
- Laird, P.W., A. Zijderfeld, K. Linders, M.A. Rudnicki, R. Jaenisch, and A. Berns. 1991. Simplified mammalian DNA isolation procedure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19**: 4293.
- Lambie, E.J. and J. Kimble. 1991. Two homologous regulatory genes, *lin-12* and *glp-1*, have overlapping functions. *Development* **112**: 231–240.
- Lardelli, M. and U. Lendahl. 1993. *MotchA* and *MotchB*—Two mouse *Notch* homologues coexpressed in a wide variety of tissues. *Exp. Cell Res.* **204**: 364–372.
- Lieber, T., S. Kidd, E. Alcamo, V. Corbin, and M.W. Young. 1993. Antineurogenic phenotypes induced by truncated *Notch* proteins indicate a role in signal transduction and may point to a novel function for *Notch* in nuclei. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 1949–1965.
- Lufkin, T., A. Dierich, M. LeMeur, M. Mark, and P. Chambon. 1991. Disruption of the *Hox-1.6* homeobox gene results in defects in a region corresponding to its rostral domain of expression. *Cell* **66**: 1105–1119.
- Lux, S.E., K.M. John, and V. Bennett. 1990. Analysis of cDNA for human erythrocyte ankyrin indicates a repeated structure with homology to tissue-differentiation and cell-cycle control proteins. *Nature* **344**: 36–42.
- Lyon, M.F. 1989. Rules and guidelines for gene nomenclature. In *Genetic variants and strains of the laboratory mouse* (ed. M.F. Lyon and A.G. Searle), pp. 1–11. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Lyon, M.F. and M.C. Kirby. 1993. Mouse chromosome atlas. *Mouse Genome* **91**: 40–80.
- Mallo, M., F. Franco del Amo, and T. Gridley. 1993. Cloning and developmental expression of *Grg*, a mouse gene related to the groucho transcript of the *Drosophila Enhancer of split* complex. *Mech. Dev.* **42**: 67–76.
- Mango, S.E., E.M. Maine, and J. Kimble. 1991. Carboxy-terminal truncation activates *glp-1* protein to specify vulval fates in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **352**: 811–815.
- Mansour, S.L., K.R. Thomas, and M.R. Capecchi. 1988. Disruption of the proto-oncogene *int-2* in mouse embryo-derived stem cells: A general strategy for targeting mutations to non-selectable genes. *Nature* **336**: 348–352.
- Matthews, W., C.T. Jordan, M. Gavin, N.A. Jenkins, N.G. Copeland, and I.R. Lemischka. 1991. A receptor tyrosine kinase cDNA isolated from a population of enriched primitive hematopoietic cells and exhibiting close genetic linkage to *c-kit*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **88**: 9026–9030.
- McMahon, A.P., A.L. Joyner, A. Bradley, and J.A. McMahon. 1992. The midbrain-hindbrain phenotype of *Wnt-1⁻/Wnt-1⁻* mice results from stepwise deletion of *engrailed*-expressing cells by 9.5 days postcoitum. *Cell* **69**: 581–595.
- Moens, C.B., A.B. Auerbach, R.A. Conlon, A.L. Joyner, and J. Rossant. 1992. A targeted mutation reveals a role for *N-myc* in branching morphogenesis in The embryonic mouse lung. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 691–704.
- Moens, C.B., B.R. Stanton, L.F. Parada, and J. Rossant. 1993. Defects in heart and lung development in compound heterozygotes for two different targeted mutations at the *N-myc* locus. *Development* **119**: 485–499.
- Ohno, H., G. Takimoto, and T.W. McKeithan. 1990. The candidate proto-oncogene *bcl-3* is related to genes implicated in cell lineage determination and cell cycle control. *Cell* **60**: 991–997.
- Portin, P. 1975. Allelic negative complementation at the *Abruptex* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **81**: 121–133.
- Reaume, A.G., R.A. Conlon, R. Zirngibl, T.P. Yamaguchi, and J. Rossant. 1992. Expression analysis of a *Notch* homologue in the mouse embryo. *Dev. Biol.* **154**: 377–387.
- Rebay, I., R.G. Fehon, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1993. Specific truncations of *Drosophila Notch* define dominant activated and dominant negative forms of the receptor. *Cell* **74**: 319–329.
- Robbins, J., B.J. Blondel, D. Gallahan, and R. Callahan. 1992. Mouse mammary tumor gene *int-3*: A member of the *notch* gene family transforms mammary epithelial cells. *J. Virol.* **66**: 2594–2599.
- Roehl, H. and J. Kimble. 1993. Control of cell fate in *C. elegans* by a GLP-1 peptide consisting primarily of ankyrin repeats. *Nature* **364**: 632–635.
- Rudnicki, M.A., P.N.J. Schnegelsberg, R.H. Stead, T. Braun, H.-H. Arnold, and R. Jaenisch. 1993. MyoD or Myf-5 is required for the formation of skeletal muscle. *Cell* **75**: 1351–1359.
- Rugh, R. 1990. *The mouse: Its reproduction and development*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Sasai, Y., R. Kageyama, Y. Tagawa, R. Shigemoto, and S. Nakanishi. 1992. Two mammalian helix-loop-helix factors structurally related to *Drosophila hairy* and *Enhancer of split*. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 2620–2634.
- Snell, G.D. and L.C. Stevens. 1966. Early embryology. In *Biology of the laboratory mouse* (ed. E.L. Green), pp. 205–245.

- Dover Press, New York.
- Snouwaert, J.N., K.K. Brigman, A.M. Latour, N.N. Malouf, R.C. Boucher, O. Smithies, and B.H. Koller. 1992. An animal model for cystic fibrosis made by gene targeting. *Science* **257**: 1083–1088.
- Soriano, P., C. Montgomery, R. Geske, and A. Bradley. 1991. Targeted disruption of the *c-src* proto-oncogene leads to osteopetrosis in mice. *Cell* **64**: 693–702.
- Spence, A.M., A. Coulson, and J. Hodkin. 1990. The product of *fem-1*, a nematode sex-determining gene, contains a motif found in cell cycle control proteins and receptors for cell-cell interactions. *Cell* **60**: 981–990.
- Stanton, B.R., A.S. Perkins, L. Tessarollo, D.A. Sassoon, and L.F. Parada. 1992. Loss of *N-myc* function results in embryonic lethality and failure of the epithelial component of the embryo to develop. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 2235–2247.
- Sternberg, P.W. 1993. Falling off the knife edge. *Curr. Biol.* **3**: 763–765.
- Stifani, S., C.M. Blumeller, N.J. Redhead, R.E. Hill, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1992. Human homologs of a *Drosophila Enhancer of Split* gene product define a novel family of nuclear proteins. *Nature Genet.* **2**: 119–127.
- Struhl, G., K. Fitzgerald, and I. Greenwald. 1993. Intrinsic activity of the *Lin-12* and *Notch* intracellular domains in vivo. *Cell* **74**: 331–345.
- Swiatek, P. and T. Gridley. 1993. Perinatal lethality and defects in hindbrain development in mice homozygous for a targeted mutation of the zinc finger gene *Krox20*. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 2071–2084.
- Theiler, K. 1959. Anatomy and development of the “truncate” (boneless) mutation in the mouse. *Am. J. Anat.* **104**: 319–343.
- . 1989. *The house mouse: Atlas of embryonic development*. Springer Verlag, New York.
- Thomas, J.H. 1993. Thinking about genetic redundancy. *Trends Genet.* **9**: 395–399.
- Thompson, C.C., T.A. Brown, and S.L. McKnight. 1991. Convergence of Ets- and Notch-related structural motifs in a heteromeric DNA binding complex. *Science* **253**: 762–768.
- Weinmaster, G., V.J. Roberts, and G. Lemke. 1991. A homolog of *Drosophila Notch* expressed during mammalian development. *Development* **113**: 199–205.
- . 1992. *Notch2*: A second mammalian *Notch* gene. *Development* **116**: 931–941.
- Welshons, W.J. 1971. Genetic basis for two types of recessive lethality at the *Notch* locus of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **68**: 259–268.
- Wharton, K.A., K.M. Johansen, T. Xu, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1985. Nucleotide sequence from the neurogenic locus *Notch* implies a gene product that shares homology with proteins containing EGF-like repeats. *Cell* **43**: 567–581.
- Wilkinson, D.G. 1992. Whole mount in situ hybridization of vertebrate embryos. In *In situ hybridization: A practical approach* (ed. D.G. Wilkinson), pp. 75–83. IRL Press, Oxford, UK.
- Yamaguchi, T.P., D.J. Dumont, R.A. Conlon, M.L. Breitman, and J. Rossant. 1993. *flt-1*, an *flt*-related receptor tyrosine kinase is an early marker for endothelial cell precursors. *Development* **118**: 489–498.
- Yochem, J. and I. Greenwald. 1989. *glp-1* and *lin-12*, genes implicated in distinct cell-cell interactions in *C. elegans*, encode similar transmembrane proteins. *Cell* **58**: 553–563.

Notch1 is essential for postimplantation development in mice.

P J Swiatek, C E Lindsell, F F del Amo, et al.

Genes Dev. 1994, **8**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.8.6.707](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.8.6.707)

References

This article cites 77 articles, 30 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/8/6/707.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.

USC
SCIENTIFIC
SIDE BY SCIENCE