Activation of a yeast replication origin near a double-stranded DNA break
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Irradiation in the G1 phase of the cell cycle delays the onset of DNA synthesis and transiently inhibits the activation of replication origins in mammalian cells. It has been suggested that this inhibition is the result of the loss of torsional tension in the DNA after it has been damaged. Because irradiation causes DNA damage at an undefined number of nonspecific sites in the genome, it is not known how cells respond to limited DNA damage, and how replication origins in the immediate vicinity of a damage site would behave. Using the sequence-specific HO endonuclease, we have created a defined double-stranded DNA break in a centromeric plasmid in G1-arrested cells of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. We show that replication does initiate at the origin on the cut plasmid, and that the plasmid replicates early in the S phase after linearization in vivo. These observations suggest that relaxation of a supercoiled DNA domain in yeast need not inactivate replication origins within that domain. Furthermore, these observations rule out the possibility that the late replication context associated with chromosomal termini is a consequence of DNA ends.
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cells could modulate initiation of replication from the replication origin on the plasmid in response to the break. The presence of replication intermediates can be detected by the two-dimensional agarose gel method (Brewer and Fangman 1987). We reasoned that failure to detect replication intermediates arising on plasmid cut in vivo would indicate inactivation of the plasmid origin by the DNA break. In contrast, the presence of replication intermediates on the cut plasmid would mean that a replication origin in cis to a break can still be activated, and hence that torsional tension at an origin may not be necessary for its activation.

The presence of replication intermediates on the cut plasmid would not rule out the possibility of delayed activation of the plasmid origin. We know from previous work that chromosomal termini in yeast provide a context that leads to activation in late S phase of replication origins located nearby (Ferguson et al. 1991; Ferguson and Fangman 1992, Brewer and Fangman 1993). This late activation requires a chromosome end, as authentic telomeres cause a 6- to 10-fold greater delay in origin activation than does an internal tract of telomeric \((C_1\ldots A)_n\) sequence (Ferguson and Fangman 1992). Does this context effect require a specific telomeric sequence and the resulting specialized telomeric structure, or is it the consequence of some property of a DNA end? Because the in vivo cut plasmid acquires ends but not telomeres, we can distinguish between these two models by determining the time of replication of the cut plasmid. If the cut plasmid replicates early in S phase, then telomeric sequences must be required for the late replication context; if it replicates late, then DNA ends alone must be sufficient to create the late replication context. Delayed activation of a replication origin near a DNA break would be of additional interest because in higher eukaryotes early replication may be required for transcriptional activation of tissue-specific genes (Gottesfeld and Bloomer 1982, Goldman et al. 1984, Riggs and Pfeifer 1992), and damage-dependent late activation of replication origins could, therefore, alter the program of expression of developmentally regulated genes.

Here we show, first, that the presence of double-stranded DNA breaks does not prevent cells from entering S phase; second, that the replication origin on the plasmid remains active after the plasmid has been cut; and third, that the cut plasmid is replicated early in S phase, indicating that DNA ends alone cannot create a late activation context in the absence of telomeric sequences.

Results

Plasmid linearization in vivo

The feasibility of this study required that the plasmid be cut to completion by the \(HO\) endonuclease, and that the cut plasmid molecule not be degraded immediately. Plasmid YCpHOCUT4 (Fig. 1A) contains the \(HO\) gene under control of the \(GAL10\) transcription promoter, as well as the cut site for the \(HO\) endonuclease. In addition, it has the \(URA3\) gene and two potential origins of replication—\(ARS1\) and the \(HO\) ARS. We included a centromere on the plasmid to maintain a low copy number, thereby reducing the likelihood of an uncut plasmid serving as a template for repair of a cut plasmid. Yeast cells transformed with YCpHOCUT4 were grown in minimal medium containing glycerol and were arrested in the \(G_1\) phase of the cell cycle with \(alpha\)-factor. \(HO\) gene expression was induced by the addition of galactose. To assess plasmid linearization, DNA prepared from samples taken at various times was cut with a restriction enzyme, transferred to a membrane after gel electrophoresis, and hybridized to a probe flanking the \(HO\) cut site. Typically, the plasmid was cut to \(\geq 95\%\) within 5 hr after the addition of galactose, and cut plasmid appeared to be stable for several hours at the \(alpha\)-factor arrest [Fig. 1B]. The \(HO\) endonuclease also cuts the DNA at the \(MAT\) locus. This break is repaired within an hour or so of the initial cut by the normal mechanism of mating type switching (Connolly et al. 1988). The galactose-induced expression of the \(HO\) gene in the experiments described here occurs late in the \(G_1\) phase, around the same time in the cell cycle that the \(MAT\) locus is normally cut and repaired during mating type switching in homothallic strains of yeast (Jensen and Herskowitz 1984).
S-phase kinetics after plasmid cutting

Cells respond to ionizing radiation by delaying the onset of S phase or by arresting in the G2 phase of the cell cycle until the DNA is repaired (Lavin and Schroeder 1988, Hartwell and Weinert 1989). Does the single HO-induced break cause a delay in entry into or completion of S phase? We compared the cell cycle kinetics of galactose-treated cells with those of control, untreated cells. A culture of cells was arrested with α-factor and galactose was added to one-half. In this and subsequent experiments, additional α-factor was added at intervals to maintain the arrest for prolonged periods, and glucose was added to cultures at the end of the period of induction with galactose. The glucose serves both to repress HO gene transcription (thereby allowing repair of the MAT locus) and to eliminate physiological differences between the control and the galactose-treated cultures. Because cells quickly adapt upon shifting to richer growth medium (Rivin and Fangman 1980), the addition of glucose also has the effect of overcoming any potential effect of galactose on replication. To release the cells from the arrest rapidly and synchronously, Pronase was added to the cultures to degrade the α-factor.

Cells containing HO-cut DNA progressed through S and entered the G2 phase with kinetics identical to those of control cells that had not had the HO gene induced (Fig. 2). We conclude that cells enter and complete S phase with the normal kinetics despite the presence of HO-induced DNA breaks. It is possible that in the absence of a synchrony regime, DNA breaks cause a transient delay of entry into S phase, as has been observed in mammalian cells that have undergone DNA damage (Poirik and Painter 1976), but that in this experiment, the cells adapt to the DNA damage during incubation in α-factor. One way to reveal a G1 → S phase delay might be to induce HO gene expression in G2-arrested cells, and monitor the kinetics of G1 → S phase progress in the subsequent cell cycle. However, to overcome the G2 arrest induced by the DNA break, the experiment would have to be done in a rad9Δ strain. In the absence of the RAD9 checkpoint, the results on entry into S phase may not be meaningful.

Protection of ends during α-factor arrest

We noted a slight delay in progress of cells containing cut DNA into mitosis (data not shown). The transient nature of the G2 arrest suggested that although the cut plasmid appeared to be protected from degradation in cells arrested with α-factor, it was degraded by the time the cells reached the M phase. Complete degradation of the cut plasmid in a cell would presumably eliminate the signal that activates the RAD9 checkpoint and thereby release the cells from the G2 arrest. Therefore, we examined the stability of cut plasmid at later stages of the cell cycle by inducing HO gene transcription in a culture of α-factor-arrested cells, adding Pronase to a portion of the culture to release it from the block, and collecting cell samples at various times thereafter. To measure the relative amount of plasmid remaining in each sample, the amount of radioactive probe hybridized to plasmid sequence (on a Southern blot) was compared with the hybridization to chromosomal ARS1-adjacent sequence. Neither plasmid nor chromosomal sequences are replicated in α-factor-arrested cells ("-Pronase" in Fig. 3), whereas both plasmid and chromosomal sequences are replicated (see later) in cells released from the block ("+ Pronase"). A change in the relative amounts of hybridization to plasmid and chromosomal sequences can, therefore, be ascribed to changes in the relative abundance of the two sequences in the samples.

When cells left the G2 phase (Fig. 3A), cut plasmid was degraded extensively and at a high rate after an initial lag (Fig. 3B,C). In an asynchronously growing population of cells, ends created by HO endonuclease are usually degraded by a 5' exonuclease at an average rate of 60–120 nucleotides/min (White and Haber 1990, Fishman-lobell et al. 1992). We estimate from the rate of disappearance of full-length molecules that a similar degradation rate is eventually achieved during the S phase in synchronously growing cells. In contrast, the cut plasmid remains largely intact for more than 2 hr in α-factor-arrested cells as judged by the intensity and sharpness of the band in the gel (Fig. 3C, lanes 12–21). In addition, the BglII restriction enzyme site ~2600 bp from the HO cut site (see Fig. 1) is not converted rapidly to single-stranded DNA by exonuclease, as the site remains susceptible to cleavage by BglII for ~3 hr (data not shown). On the basis of these observations, we estimate that the rate of exonuclease action in cells arrested with α-factor is ≈10

Figure 2. S-phase kinetics of cells containing cut DNA. Cells grown in minimal medium with glycerol as the carbon source were arrested with α-factor (see Fig. 1 legend). The culture was split in two and galactose was added to one portion. Additional α-factor was added to maintain the arrest (see text), and samples were taken at hourly intervals for Southern blot analysis (not shown) to determine the extent of plasmid linearization. After 6 hr, glucose [2%] was added to both portions (see text) and incubation was continued for another hour. Pronase was then added to both cultures and samples were withdrawn at 12-min intervals. The samples were stained and the DNA content was measured by flow cytometry. The relative proportions of cells with a G2 DNA content containing uncut (○) and cut (●) plasmid are plotted. The extent of plasmid linearization was >95% in this experiment (data not shown).
nucleotides/min. A greater stability of HO-generated-ended plasmid degradation has also been reported for cells arrested in S phase with hydroxyurea (Connolly et al. 1988). We note that there is a parallel between plasmid degradation and the process of double-strand break repair: the rate of gap repair is also greatly reduced in G1-arrested cells (Fishman et al. 1992). These observations suggest that additional processing enzymes are activated during S phase. Alternatively, the accessibility of chromatin to nucleases may increase as the cells enter S phase. Because cut plasmid is also protected from degradation in G1-arrested cells (data not shown), resistance of broken DNA to degradation is not a property peculiar to cells treated with α-factor.

Recently it has been reported that HO-mediated cutting of a dispensable plasmid in vivo causes 40–50% of the cells in the population to arrest irreversibly in the G1 or G1 + M phases (Bennet et al. 1993). However, we find that the viability of cells is undiminished after induction of HO gene transcription for 6 hr in the G1 phase—whereas 77% of the cells in the culture failed to form colonies on medium lacking uracil (presumably because the plasmid was degraded), as many cells formed colonies on medium supplemented with uracil as did control, uninduced cells. This difference may stem from differences between the strains used, or be the result of incubation in α-factor.

**Origin activity on a plasmid cut in vivo**

If an origin located in *cis* to a DNA break can initiate replication, then in vivo cut plasmid obtained from S phase cells should contain replication bubbles. Accordingly, we looked for bubble forms of replication intermediates on the cut plasmid using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (Brewer and Fangman 1987). To simplify interpretation of the results, we used a plasmid that has only one potential replication origin, the HO ARS (Fig. 4A).

DNA was isolated from untreated control cells and from cells in which HO gene expression had been induced. In this experiment there was some uncut plasmid after galactose induction of the HO gene, providing an internal control for origin activity on the cut plasmid. After digestion with *BglII* and *NcoI* and two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis (Brewer and Fangman 1987), the DNA was transferred to a membrane and hybridized to a fragment of the plasmid immediately adjacent to the HO cut site (Fig. 4A). Because the DNA fragment being probed contains an asymmetrically placed origin of replication, a bubble-to-Y hybridization pattern (Brewer and Fangman 1987) was seen for the control, uncut plasmid (Fig. 4B). In DNA prepared from cells in which some of the plasmid had been cut in vivo a second bubble-to-Y
ARSl has been deleted. Two-dimensional agarose gels of uncut and cut plasmid. The strain AR120 [YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1] was grown in glycerol and arrested with α-factor [see Fig. 1 legend], and the culture was split into two. Galactose was added to one portion, after 5.5 hr, glucose was added to both cultures. The cells were synchronized further by arrest at the cdc7 block [Huberman et al. 1987; Brewer et al. 1992]. (A) Schematic representation of plasmid YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1. This plasmid differs from YCpHOCUT4 [Fig. 1A] in that ARS1 has been deleted. (B,C) Two-dimensional agarose gels of uncut and cut plasmid. The cartoons [left in B,C] depict the bubble-to-Y two-dimensional gel patterns expected for an origin that is asymmetrically placed in the DNA fragment of uncut and cut plasmids, respectively [Brewer and Fangman 1987]. DNA (3–7 μg) from control (Uncut) and galactose-treated cells (Cut) was digested with BgIII and NcoI. After two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis and transfer to a membrane, the DNA was hybridized to a probe derived from prokaryotic vector sequence (hatched arc in A).

Figure 4. Origin activity on cut and uncut plasmids. Strain AR120 [YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1] was grown in glycerol and arrested with α-factor [see Fig. 1 legend], and the culture was split in two. Galactose was added to one portion, after 5.5 hr, glucose was added to both cultures. The cells were synchronized further by arrest at the cdc7 block [Huberman et al. 1987; Brewer et al. 1992]. (A) Schematic representation of plasmid YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1. This plasmid differs from YCpHOCUT4 [Fig. 1A] in that ARS1 has been deleted. (B,C) Two-dimensional agarose gels of uncut and cut plasmid. The cartoons [left in B,C] depict the bubble-to-Y two-dimensional gel patterns expected for an origin that is asymmetrically placed in the DNA fragment of uncut and cut plasmids, respectively [Brewer and Fangman 1987]. DNA (3–7 μg) from control (Uncut) and galactose-treated cells (Cut) was digested with BgIII and NcoI. After two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis and transfer to a membrane, the DNA was hybridized to a probe derived from prokaryotic vector sequence (hatched arc in A).

pattern appeared, arising from the lower monomer spot of HO-cut linear molecules [Fig. 4B]. Note that in the in vivo cut molecule, the bubble-to-Y transition occurs only when the DNA fragment is almost fully replicated, as expected because the HO ARS becomes more symmetrically placed in the restriction fragment being probed. We conclude from these observations that the HO ARS can become active even when located in cis to a double-stranded DNA break. Moreover, no significant amount of aberrant replication is initiated at the DNA breaks on the molecule. Such events would have been signaled by a complete simple Y arc.

Replication time of cut plasmid

Because an origin located near a DNA break is activated in S phase, we could use our test plasmid to distinguish between two alternative models for the late activation of origins located close to chromosomal termini. It was clear from previous work that a chromosomal end creates a context that causes late activation of replication origins [Ferguson et al. 1991; Ferguson and Fangman 1992]. However, it was not clear whether a specific (C1–3A)n telomeric sequence-dependent structure was required, or whether some property of a free DNA end was responsible for the late replication context. If the latter possibility were true, then the linearized plasmid should replicate late in S phase, around the same time as a telomeric, linear form of the plasmid. If, on the other hand, the late replication context is created only when a sequence-dependent telomeric structure is present at the ends, then the linearized plasmid [lacking any telomeric sequence] should replicate early in S phase, around the same time as uncut, circular plasmid.

Two controls were necessary to establish the baseline of early- and late-replicating forms of the test plasmid. First, we needed to determine the replication kinetics of uncut YCpHOCUT4. On the basis of previous work [Ferguson and Fangman 1992], we expected that the uncut plasmid would replicate early in the S phase. Second, we needed to show that when YCpHOCUT4 is converted to a genuine linear minichromosome with telomeres, its origins are subject to the late activation context. The linear minichromosome YCpHOCUT4–LIN was therefore created by insertion of telomeric (C1–3A)n sequences at the SphI site of YCpHOCUT4 [see Materials and methods].

The kinetics of plasmid replication were determined by density transfer experiments [McCarroll and Fangman 1988; Ferguson et al. 1991]. For the two controls, cultures of strains transformed with YCpHOCUT4 or YCpHOCUT4–LIN were synchronized by sequential arrests, first in G1 with α-factor, then at the G1/S boundary by incubation at 37°C, the restrictive temperature for the cdc7114 mutation. Sequences adjacent to ARS1 on chromosome IV were used as a marker for early replication [McCarroll and Fangman 1988], and restriction fragment R11, centromere-proximal to ARS501 on chromosome V [see Ferguson et al. 1991], as a marker for late replication. To examine the kinetics of replication of in vivo cut YCpHOCUT4, the culture was synchronized with α-factor alone as cut plasmid undergoes some degradation between the α-factor arrest and the cdc7 arrest. The time scale for replication when α-factor alone is used for synchronization [Fig. 5C] is different from that of the control experiments [Fig. 5A,B]. However, in a separate cutting experiment that included both synchrony steps, where the proportion of cut plasmid had dropped to 60–70% by the time the cells entered S phase, the relative replica-
tion kinetics of plasmid and markers (data not shown) were comparable with those shown in Figure 5C. Likewise, when the control strain with uncut YCpHOCUT4 was used in an experiment with α-factor as the sole synchronizing step, the relative replication kinetics were comparable with those seen when both α-factor and cdc7 were used [Fig. 5A; data not shown]. In general, we find that the \(t_{rep}\) (time of half-maximal replication, adjusting for the population of cells that fails to enter S phase) of a given sequence may vary with the experiment, but the differences in \(t_{rep}\) values between sequences are reproducible.

Relative to the early- and late-replicating markers, uncut circular YCpHOCUT4 replicated before chromosomal \(ARSI\), very early in the S phase [Fig. 5A], and the telomeric linear plasmid YCpHOCUT4—LIN replicated much later, at about the same time as R11 [Fig. 5B]. Therefore, both control plasmids behave as expected from previous work (Ferguson and Fangman 1992), and serve as guideposts for comparison with the cut plasmid.

In vivo-cut YCpHOCUT4 replicated early in S phase, before chromosomal \(ARSI\) [Fig. 5C]. Although a precise determination of \(t_{rep}\) for the cut plasmid is complicated by the apparent low extent of replication of the cut plasmid, it is clear that as with the intact, circular plasmid, 50% of its replication was completed before 50% of the replication of chromosomal \(ARSI\) sequence was completed [Fig. 5A,C]. These kinetics contrast with the replication kinetics of the telomeric linear plasmid [Fig. 5B], which completed 50% of its replication well after chromosomal \(ARSI\) sequence, around the same time as the R11 late replication marker. We interpret the apparently low extent of replication of cut plasmid to be a consequence of its degradation. Substantial (25–30%) replication of the plasmid occurs before the onset of degradation as judged by the amount of hybridization to plasmid sequences compared with chromosomal sequences [Fig. 5C inset]. Therefore, we believe that replication of the cut plasmid precedes its degradation. Thus, the plasmid in a cell would likely be replicated before the onset of degradation, and the pool of hybrid density plasmid molecules would be depleted preferentially, depressing the apparent extent of replication of the cut plasmid.

Discussion

Origin activation in the presence of a DNA break

The results described here show that replication origins can be activated in a cell that contains a unique double-stranded DNA break. Because cells containing cut plasmid enter and complete S phase with normal kinetics [Fig. 2], the general program of chromosomal replication—and hence, origin activation—must continue even in the presence of the DNA damage. This observation contrasts with previous observations that DNA synthesis is apparently delayed upon irradiation of G1 cells (Painter and Young 1987; Siegel et al. 1993). The difference in response to radiation versus an HO-induced break may stem from the differing extents of DNA dam-
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age. Indeed, there is some correlation between the radiation dose and the magnitude of the response [Leeper et al. 1973; Watanabe 1974], suggesting that very low levels of damage may fall below the threshold of detection by a cell in G1. Alternatively, yeast cells containing broken DNA may adapt to the damage during the synchronization procedure. This possibility would be difficult to test experimentally: Because in vivo cutting takes a finite amount of time, it would have to precede or accompany the synchronization step, creating the opportunity for the cells to adapt to the broken DNA either during the synchronizing block or during recovery from the block.

A perhaps more striking result is that an origin located in cis to a DNA break (and, in this instance, only ~1 kb from the break) can be activated in S phase. Because the HO-mediated break presumably eliminates superhelical tension on the plasmid, the implication of this result is that torsional tension is not required for origin activation. The observation that the presence of a torsional sink in the form of an easily unwound sequence does not prevent ARS function on a plasmid [Umek and Kowalski 1990] indirectly supports this interpretation.

How, then, does one explain the apparent inactivation of replication origins after irradiation of mammalian cells [Watanabe 1974; Painter and Young 1975, 1976; Povirk and Painter 1976; Povirk 1977]? Could the cut plasmid, being an extrachromosomal element, have different topological requirements for origin activation than authentic chromosomes? The observation that a broken test chromosome in yeast can be propagated for several generations [Sandell and Zakian 1993] argues against this idea. Could the HO plasmid somehow behave as a covalently closed circle even after it has been cut? This possibility also seems unlikely, both because the HO endonuclease does not covalently bind the DNA at the site of cleavage [Raveh et al. 1989], and because the 5' ends created by the HO endonuclease are susceptible in vivo to attack by exonuclease [Fishman-Lobell and Haber 1992, Fishman-Lobell et al. 1992, Sugawara and Haber 1992]. Consequently, even if the 5' ends were held together by the endonuclease, the 5' ends would be free to swivel. Sandell and Zakian [1993] have proposed cycles of end fusion and chromosome breakage as a possible mechanism for the unstable propagation of a broken test chromosome. Because we see no evidence by Southern blotting of end fusion after plasmid cutting, we can rule out the possibility that fused, covalently closed forms of the plasmid are created. We therefore believe that HO cleavage relaxes the plasmid, and hence, that superhelical tension is not required for origin function. A more plausible explanation for the initiation block in X-ray-irradiated mammalian cells is that the block is not directly caused by a loss of torsional tension, rather, the radiation-induced breaks signal a control mechanism that then suppresses origin activity in the vicinity of the break [Hartwell and Weinert 1989]. The radio-resistant initiation of DNA synthesis seen in the genetic disease ataxia-telangiectasia is believed to be a consequence of a defect in such a control mechanism [Painter and Young 1980]. As with the cell cycle delay, there might be a threshold level of damage below which no response is elicited.

**Time of origin activation on cut plasmid**

Previous work showing that chromosomal termini are 6- to 10-fold more effective at creating a late replication context than internal \( [C_{12}A]_n \) sequences had suggested two alternative mechanisms for the context effect—a sequence-dependent telomeric structure could be responsible, or a sequence-independent property of a DNA end could be responsible. Our observation that the cut plasmid replicates early in S phase [Fig. 5, cf. B and C] rules out the latter model. By inference, therefore, the late replication context associated with chromosomal termini must be the consequence of some sequence-specific telomeric structure. It seems likely that the specialized chromatin structure at telomeres [Wright et al. 1992] plays a role in creating this context. Chromatin structure has been implicated in the silencing of genes close to telomeres in yeast (Gottschling 1992), and proteins important for silencing colocalize with the telomere-binding protein RAP1 [Palladino et al. 1993]. Although the transcriptional silencing effect does not spread as far from the telomere as does the late replication context [Aparicio et al. 1991; Ferguson et al. 1991, Renaud et al. 1993], it is attractive to think that there may be a common underlying mechanism.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmids**

Plasmid YCpHOCUT4 was constructed by insertion of the 123-bp HO cut site [Kostriken et al. 1983] at the SalI site of pGAL-HO [Jensen and Herskowitz 1984]. Plasmid YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1 was derived from YCpHOCUT4 by deletion of the XhoI–Ndel fragment containing ARS1. To construct YCpHOCUT4–LIN, an SphI fragment of DNA containing two ~280-bp tracts of \( [C_{12}A]_n \) telomeric sequence in inverted orientation was obtained from plasmid pLYPvW1, a gift from R. Wellinger and V. Zakian [Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA]. This DNA fragment was inserted in the SphI site of YCpHOCUT4 (see Fig. 1A). The resulting construct was digested with SacI, which cuts ~10 bp internal to each telomeric tract, linearizing the plasmid and leaving the telomeric sequences in the proper orientation relative to the ends. Yeast strain AR120 [MATa, ho, ade7-1, bar1, ura3-52, trpl-289, leu2-3, 112, his6, HMLa, HMRa] was transformed to uracil prototrophy with YCpHOCUT4, YCpHOCUT4ΔARS1, or YCpHOCUT4–LIN.

**Flow cytometry**

Cell samples were mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold 0.2 M EDTA, 0.02% NaN3, pelleted by centrifugation, stained for flow cytometry as previously described [Hutter and Eipel 1979], and analyzed on a Becton–Dickinson flow cytometer.

**Two-dimensional agarose gel electrophoresis**

DNA extracted from pooled S-phase culture samples [Huberman et al. 1987; Brewer et al. 1992] was analyzed by two-di-
mensional gel electrophoresis as described previously [Brewer and Fangman 1987]. The first dimension gel was 0.4% agarose in 1× TBE, and the second dimension gel was 1.1% agarose in 1× TBE, 0.3 µg/ml ethidium bromide.

**Determination of replication times**

The density transfer procedure was modified from a previously published method [Ferguson et al. 1991]. Cells were grown at 23°C for at least seven generations in medium containing 0.1% [14C]-sodium acetate and 0.01% [15N]-ammonium sulfate as the sole carbon and nitrogen source, respectively. The cells were arrested with α-factor for up to 1 ½ population doubling times (~12 hr), filtered and resuspended in isotopically normal minimal medium containing glycerol and α-factor and lacking uracil. The culture was shifted to 37°C immediately (Fig. 5A) or after an additional 5.5 hr (Fig. 5B) and Pronase (to 0.1 mg/ml) was added to allow the cells to progress from the α-factor block to the cdc7 block. Alternatively, galactose was added to the culture and incubation was continued for 5.5 hr (Fig. 5C). Cells were released into S phase by a temperature downshift to 23°C (Fig. 5, A and B) or by addition of Pronase to the culture (Fig. 5C). Samples were collected at various times thereafter, and processed for CsCl density gradient centrifugation as described previously [Ferguson et al. 1991]. Hybridization signals were quantitated by PhosphorImaging.
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