A new *Drosophila* homeo box gene is expressed in mesodermal precursor cells of distinct muscles during embryogenesis
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Several *Drosophila* homeo box genes have been shown to control cell fates in specific positions or cell groups of the embryo. Because the mechanisms involved in the pattern formation of complex internal organs, such as the musculature and the nervous system, are still largely unknown, we sought to identify and analyze new homeo box genes specifically expressed in these tissues. Here, the molecular analysis and expression pattern of one such gene, containing both a homeo box and a PRD repeat, is described. This gene, designated S59, is expressed in a small number of segmentally repeated mesodermal cells ~2 hr postgastrulation. Gradually, four groups of S59-expressing mesodermal cells appear in each abdominal hemisegment, each one giving rise to a particular somatic muscle after fusion with surrounding myoblasts. Thus, individual precursors for particular muscles, which we call “founder cells,” are specified relatively early during mesodermal development. The expression of a particular homeo box gene in these cells suggests that distinct programs of gene expression are active in subsets of mesodermal cells after germ band elongation, resulting in a specification of their developmental fates. In addition to the mesoderm, S59 is expressed in a subset of neuronal cells of the CNS and their precursors and also in cells of a small region of the midgut.
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term formation genes. An alternative approach is, first, to identify such genes by their molecular structures and, then, to generate mutations for them. Because it is likely that several other genes involved in the specification of internal organs are members of the homeo box gene family, screens to isolate novel homeo box genes utilizing the pronounced sequence homology among divergent homeo boxes have been performed (Levine et al. 1985; Barad et al. 1988; Dalton et al. 1989; Kim and Nirenberg 1989). As described here, one of the newly isolated homeo box genes, called S59, is expressed exclusively in nonpidermal cells in embryos of postgastrulation stages. In particular, S59 expression is restricted to specific muscles of the somatic mesoderm, to subsets of neurons of the CNS, and to a small region of the midgut. Using an antibody directed against the S59 protein, we have identified “founder” cells for particular muscles. These cells are present in very early stages of development of the somatic mesoderm. We present a description of the fates of these cells through the stages when they fuse into syncytia, which then differentiate into the larval muscle fibers.

So far, no mutations are available for the S59 gene that would reveal its functions during embryonic development. However, the detailed analysis of the S59 expression pattern has provided clues to its potential functions. Our observations suggest that S59 may play a role in specifying the identity of particular somatic muscles and neurons of the CNS.

Results

Isolation and molecular organization of the S59 homeo box gene

The genomic clone S59 was isolated in a screen of a Drosophila DNA library for sequences cross-hybridizing with the homeo box region of the homeotic gene Sex combs reduced (Scr, Levine et al. 1985). S59 maps to 93E3.4 on chromosome arm 3R. We have isolated genomic DNA library for sequences cross-hybridizing Drosophila. The genomic clone S59 was isolated in a screen of a 1,300,000 cDNA clones, 10 S59 cDNAs were obtained with lengths between 1.0 and 2.6 kb. Restriction analysis showed that the internal structures of all cDNAs were identical with variations found only at their 3' and 5' ends. Three cDNA clones, including S59/2, had inserts of 2.6 kb. The other cDNAs, including S59/4 (2.4 kb) were shorter at their 5' ends, but had an extension at their 3' ends of 60 bp.

The S59/2 cDNA hybridized with genomic sequences covering a region of 8.5 kb (Fig. 1), and the S59 transcription unit was found to contain three introns. The boundaries between introns and exons were accurately determined by sequencing of the relevant genomic fragments (see Fig. 2).

Using single-stranded dideoxynucleotide sequencing, we have determined the entire nucleotide sequence of the S59/2 and S59/4 cDNAs [Fig. 2A]. The S59/2 cDNA contains 2616 bp, and the 5' end of the S59/4 cDNA corresponds to nucleotide 259 of S59/2. The S59/4 cDNA extends 56 bp farther to the 3' end and, unlike S59/2, contains the polyadenylation signal AATAAA 19 bp upstream from its poly(A) tail. The 2672 nucleotides of the combined S59/2 and S59/4 cDNAs without poly(A) closely match the S59 mRNA size of 2.8 kb as determined by Northern analysis (see below). The sequence AGCATTT at position −12 to −6 strongly resembles the consensus sequence for transcription start sites AT-CAAGTT [Hultmark et al. 1986].

A long open reading frame (ORF) extends from nucleotide 296 to 2302 of the S59 cDNA. The nucleotide sequence AAAA, preceding the first ATG (at nucleotide 326) of this ORF, perfectly matches the consensus sequence for Drosophila translation start sites (Cavener 1987). This ORF is predicted to encode a polypeptide of 659 amino acids with a molecular mass of 70 kD. The homeo domain is located close to the carboxyl terminus, between amino acids 545 and 605. The sequence of this homeo domain has also been reported by Kim and Nirenberg (1989; NK-1).

A comparison with other published homeo domains shows that the sequence of this homeo domain has been highly conserved during evolution (Fig. 2C). Close homologs with only several amino acid exchanges have been identified in the honey bee (H40, Walldorf et al. 1989) and in chicken (CHox3, Rangini et al. 1989). The homeo domain of the Caenorhabditis elegans gene JML1001 (listed in Scott et al. 1989) also displays a high sequence similarity [82%] to the S59 homeo domain. In Drosophila, the S59 homeo domain is most closely related to that of msh [muscle segment homeo box, Robert et al. 1989] and zerknüllt [zen, Rushlow et al. 1987], sharing an amino acid identity of 57% with each of them (Kim and Nirenberg 1989).

The amino-terminal part of S59 contains a PRD—[HisPro] repeat beginning at amino acid 176. The S59 PRD repeat displays the highest similarity to the PRD-repeat and adjacent sequences of paired [prd, Frigerio et al. 1986], and a somewhat lower similarity to the bicoid PRD repeat (Berleth et al. 1988). A comparison between these PRD repeats is shown in Figure 2D. A genomic clone [no. 9] isolated by Frigerio et al. [1986] with the HisPro repeat of prd also contains S59 [M. Frasch, unpubl.]. Other conspicuous amino acid sequences include a polyalanine stretch (amino acids 364–372), and an acidic domain followed by a polyglycine stretch just amino-terminal to the homeo domain (Fig. 2B). Regions of similar amino acid compositions were also identified in other Drosophila homeo box genes [Poole et al. 1985; Baumgartner et al. 1987; Frasch et al. 1987; Blochlinger et al. 1988] and in yeast transcription factors [Hope and Struhl 1986; Ma and Ptashne...
Figure 1. Organization of the S59 transcription unit. [Top] The restriction maps and the alignment of three genomic phage clones from the S59 region; (below) a finer restriction map of the genomic region corresponding to the S59 transcription unit in a larger scale. The boxes indicate exons, as determined by hybridizations with cDNAs and by sequencing. The lightly shaded regions represent untranslated leader and trailer sequences of the S59 transcript.

There is no indication yet of the functional significance of such protein sequences in *Drosophila*.

**S59 mRNA expression**

In Northern analysis of poly[A]⁺ RNA from different developmental stages, a single transcript with a size of 2.8 kb is detected using the S59/2 cDNA as a probe (Fig. 3). A strong signal first appears at 8–12 hr of embryonic development and increases slightly in the 12- to 24-hr period. During the larval and pupal stages, and in adult males, the expression is strongly reduced. A much higher level of S59 mRNA is observed in adult females.

**S59 protein expression**

In situ hybridizations with sectioned and whole-mount embryos indicated that the S59 mRNA is expressed mainly in cells of the somatic mesoderm and the CNS. To study the spatial expression pattern of S59 in detail, we produced polyclonal antibodies against an S59 polypeptide. These antibodies specifically recognize the S59 protein; embryos lacking the S59 gene [derived from Df[3R]e^D7; Scalenghe and Ritossa 1977] do not stain [not shown]. The S59 protein is expressed in small subsets of cells in the somatic mesoderm, in muscles, in the CNS, and in a small region of the midgut. As expected for a homeo domain protein, the S59 protein is strictly localized to the nuclei of these cells.

**Muscle expression** Following invagination during gastrulation, the mesodermal cells spread to form a layer under the epidermis and undergo three mitotic divisions [Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985]. After the third division the mesoderm separates into two layers: the somatic mesoderm (somatopleura), contacting the epidermis; and the visceral mesoderm (splanchnopleura), contacting the yolk sac in a dorsolateral position.

S59 protein is first expressed at mid stage 11 of embryogenesis (6–7 hr of development; Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985), during the period of the third mesodermal mitosis. Initially, S59 occurs in a single mesodermal cell located ventrally in each hemisegment [Fig. 4A and B; this cell and its progeny are called I]. Double staining with antibodies against S59 and *twist*, which is expressed in all mesodermal cells at this stage [Thisse et al. 1988], shows that the S59-expressing cells are considerably larger than the average mesodermal cells (Fig. 5A). Cross sections of embryos stained with both S59 and *twist* antibodies clearly show that the S59-expressing cells belong to the somatopleura [Fig. 5B]. After the third mitotic division, in late stage 11, both daughter cells of I express S59. At the same time, a second cluster of mesodermal cells [II] starts to express S59 [Fig. 4C and D], and differences can be observed between abdominal and more anterior segments for the first time. Whereas cluster I contains four cells in each abdominal segment, more cells express S59 in the thoracic segments and the labial segment. Double staining...
Position at the parasegmental borders, as shown by double staining with S59 and antibodies (Fig. 5C) shows that the twist muscle transiently expressing S59 corresponds to the ventral group, and one in the pleural group (Fig. 4L,K; Fig. 5H,I). Using the nomenclature of Crossley (1965, 1978), we identified these muscles as 25 (derived from the S59 cell lb), 27 (derived from II), and 18 (derived from III). The muscle transiently expressing S59 corresponds to 5. The development of muscles expressing S59 in an abdominal segment is summarized schematically in Figure 6.

In the first abdominal segment and in the thorax, the cells la and lb do not undergo migration as in more posterior segments and do not fuse with additional myoblasts. Consequently, the muscle 25 derived from cell lb is absent in A1 (and in thoracic segments), as reported previously (Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985). In general, the pattern of S59-expressing muscles in the thoracic and gnathal segments is different from that of the abdominal segments. In these segments the number of nuclei containing S59 remains constant after stage 11, and S59 cells probably fuse only with each other or in some cases form fibers with single nuclei (Fig. 4K). In the telson, four muscles express S59 (Fig. 4L).

Previously, it has been difficult to follow the fate of individual myoblasts and muscles during development. The S59 antibody now provides a marker to analyze the morphogenesis of particular muscles, as illustrated in Figure 5E–H for the abdominal muscles expressing S59. These embryos were double stained for S59 and muscle myosin. In Figure 5E (stage 13), fusion of S59-positive myoblasts lb and II with neighboring myoblasts has just occurred, and the outlines of the syncyta as well as some unfused myoblasts can be seen. In the abdomen, with S59 and twist antibodies (Fig. 5C) shows that the cells of cluster II are located at the tips of the parasegmental bulges of the mesoderm. This corresponds to a position at the parasegmental borders, as shown by double staining with an en antibody (Fig. 5D), whereas the cells of type I cells are located close to the anterior segment borders. In stage 12, during the retraction of the germ band, an additional pair of mesodermal cells (III), located in a lateral position at the parasegmental border, starts to express S59 (Fig. 4E and F; Fig. 5D). Cells of the III type are only seen in abdominal segments. The segmental positions of the stained cells with respect to the overlying ectoderm are maintained throughout development, with the exception of the cells la, which move anteriorly into the adjacent abdominal segments (Fig. 4E–H). When comparing the S59 and en patterns, we do not observe a shift of the mesoderm against the epidermis at germ-band retraction, as proposed previously (Akam and Martinez-Arias 1985).

In stage 13, after retraction of the germ band, myoblasts fuse with each other to form syncytia. In embryos stained with S59 antibodies, we observe that S59-expressing cells both fuse with each other and also with neighboring cells. In Figure 4, G and H, an example is shown where the dorsal-most cell of the III pair has just fused with cells located more dorsally [arrowheads]. Directly after fusion, the S59 signal is somewhat weaker in all nuclei of the syncytia, which we attribute to the dilution of S59 protein and/or mRNA. However, soon after fusion, full levels of S59 protein are restored in all nuclei within a syncytium [Fig. 4I], with the exception of the syncytia derived from cell la [Fig. 4I] where expression of S59 protein ceases soon after fusion [Fig. 4I]. Thus, in late embryonic stages, S59 is expressed in three muscle fibers of each abdominal hemisegment: two located in the ventral group, and one in the pleural group [Fig. 4L,K; Fig. 5H,I]. Using the nomenclature of Crossley (1965, 1978), we identified these muscles as 25 (derived from the S59 cell lb), 27 (derived from II), and 18 (derived from III). The muscle transiently expressing S59 corresponds to 5. The development of muscles expressing S59 in an abdominal segment is summarized schematically in Figure 6.
Figure 4. (See following page for legend.)
we counted a three- to fivefold increase in the number of nuclei stained for S59 after syncytium formation. There is almost no myosin expression at this stage. In stages 14–16, these syncytia begin to differentiate into muscles 25 and 27 and strongly express myosin [Fig. 5F–H]. In later stages, the muscles stretch, resulting in an elongation and clustering of the nuclei. As an example, the fully differentiated pleural muscle groups, including muscle 18, are shown in Figure 5I.

**Expression in the midgut and the CNS** In stage 15, after the anterior and posterior primordia of the midgut have fused and surrounded the yolk sac, S59 protein is also expressed in cells of the midgut. The expression is confined to a narrow belt of endodermal cells, directly anterior to the first constriction that will appear somewhat later at this position [Fig. 7A]. In late-stage embryos, all cells of the loop derived from this region of the midgut contain S59 protein [Fig. 7B]. The level of S59 expression in midgut cells is lower than in the somatic mesoderm, as judged by the reduced staining intensity. S59 expression in the CNS starts shortly after mesodermal expression. In early-stage-11 embryos, a single neuronal precursor anterior and medial to mesodermal cell I is stained with S59 antibody in each segment [Fig. 5A]. On the basis of the small size of this cell, we identify it as a ganglion mother cell rather than a neuroblast. During late stage 11 and stage 12, a cluster of several adjacent cells is found at this position, and a separate cluster of S59-positive ganglion mother cells gradually appears [Figs. 4C and 7C]. After germ-band retraction, most cells become a V-shaped arrangement in each ganglion in a pattern that is rather constant along the anterior/posterior axis [Fig. 7D]. Upon contraction of the CNS, most of the S59-expressing neurons become located lateral to the axonal fiber tracts [Fig. 7E]. Horizontal sections and cross sections show that S59-expressing neurons are present both in dorsal and ventral parts of the ventral nerve cord [Fig. 7E and F]. Furthermore, we observe S59 protein in distinct cells of the supraesophageal ganglion [Fig. 7B].

**Discussion**

We have presented a molecular analysis of a new *Drosophila* homeo box gene and determined its pattern of expression during embryogenesis. This gene, S59, is one of the few known *Drosophila* homeo box genes with an expression restricted to postgastrulation-stage embryos and to internal tissues. The other examples reported are H2.0, which is expressed in the visceral mesoderm; BSH4 of the *gooseberry* locus, which is expressed in specific neurons of the CNS; and *cut*, which is expressed mainly in external sensory organs [Barad et al. 1988; Blochliger et al. 1988; Bopp et al. 1989]. Among the homeo box genes described so far, S59 is the only one expressed in a small number of somatic muscles and their precursor cells. In contrast with S59, homeotic genes like *Ubx* are more generally expressed in the mesoderm, as well as in many other tissues including the epidermis [White and Wilcox 1985; Hooper 1986].

Although S59 was isolated using the homeo box of *Scr*, the homeo domains of both genes share only 49% amino acid identity [Kuroiwa et al. 1985]. It is intriguing that the sequence of the S59 homeo domain has been highly conserved in evolution. Genes encoding almost identical homeo domains exist in such distantly related species as the honey bee and chicken. A homeo domain from *C. elegans* with a somewhat more diverged sequence belongs to the same class. It will be interesting to see whether these genes are expressed in patterns analogous to that of S59. Examples for similarities between the expression patterns of homeotic genes of *Drosophila* and their vertebrate homologs have been reported [Duboule and Dällé 1989; Graham et al. 1989]. In an evolutionary context, it may be significant that one of the *Drosophila* genes with a homeo domain most

---

**Figure 4.** Expression of S59 protein in embryos. Whole-mount embryos [A, C, E, G, I] and sectioned embryos [B, D, F, H, J, K, L] are positioned so that anterior is to the left and dorsal is up. [A] Early-stage 11 embryo. S59 is expressed in a single, large mesodermal cell per hemisection [II]. Only the cells of the abdominal segments A4–A8 are in focus. [B] High-magnification view of a sectioned embryo of the same stage as in A. The epidermal [E] and mesodermal [M] germ layers are indicated. [C] Late-stage 11 embryo [ventral view]. S59 is now expressed in two mesodermal cell clusters per hemisection [I and II] and also in two clusters of ganglion mother cells (GC). [D] High-magnification view of mesodermal clusters I and II in a sectioned late-stage 11 embryo. II cells are at the tips of the parasegmental bulges of the mesoderm. [E] Stage 12 embryo. In abdominal segments, two additional mesodermal cells [cluster III] in dorsolateral positions express S59. [F] High-magnification view of the T3–A4 region. The daughter cells of I, la and lb, start to migrate apart. [G] Stage 13 embryo. Each abdominal segment contains four clusters of mesodermal cells expressing S59. The pattern in more anterior segments has diverged. S59-expressing cells in the ventral portion of the embryo belong to the CNS. [H] High-magnification view of T3–A4 in stage 13. The dorsal cells of cluster III have just fused with more dorsally located myoblasts resulting in a spreading and transient reduction of the S59 signal (arrowhead). This event of the spreading of the S59 protein after fusion is seen more clearly using fluorescent staining, as shown for A4 [right]. Here, again, the dorsal cell of cluster III has already fused with other myoblasts, and all nuclei of the syncytia contain S59 at a reduced level (arrowhead). The ventral III cell and the lb cell have not yet fused, and their nuclei have high levels of S59. [I] Stage 15 embryo. In abdominal segments, all S59 stained nuclei are contained in muscular syncytia. The numbers of these muscles correspond to the nomenclature of Crossley [1978]. S59 expression in the syncitia derived from la cells has ceased. [J] High-magnification view of segments T3–A5 of a stage 14 embryo. At this stage, the fusion process of S59-expressing cells with surrounding myoblasts has just been completed. The nuclei of the lb clusters [muscle 25] are not in the focal plane. [K] S59-expressing muscles in segments T1–A3 of a stage-16 embryo. At this stage, the muscles are fully differentiated and each type of muscle has a characteristic local distribution of its nuclei. The S59-expressing muscle fibers in the thorax could not be correlated with any of the larval muscles described earlier [Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1975]. [L] Posterior end of a stage 16 embryo. In the telson, four muscles express S59. They correspond to pl1, srn, pet1, and dio1, according to Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein [1985].
Figure 5.  (See following page for legend.)
The expression of S59 in specific mesodermal cells and muscles provides new insights into how particular muscles develop. It appears that founder cells exist for each of the scored muscles at an early stage of mesodermal development. The first of these cells can be distinguished by their S59 expression at ~2 hr after mesodermal invagination, shortly before they undergo their last division. The founder cells for the other muscles can be detected slightly later. The pattern of both their spatial and temporal appearance is exactly reproduced in each embryo. We do not observe extensive migration of these founder cells. In general, they remain at their original sites with respect to the compartmental borders of the overlying epidermis throughout development. However, we cannot exclude more extensive movements of other mesodermal cells. As an exception, the daughter cells of one S59-expressing cell [I] in each abdominal hemisegment do move apart a short distance and consequently end up in two different segments. This suggests that, at least within each parasegment, there are no apparent compartmental restrictions. Similar results have also been obtained by lineage analyses of the mesoderm (Lawrence and Johnston 1982; Beer et al. 1987). In addition, the behavior of these cells shows that founder cells of two different types of muscles (in this case, 25 and 5) can be clonally related.

Large mesodermal cells serving as “muscle pioneers” have been observed in the early mesodermal development of grasshoppers (Ho et al. 1983). Their properties are reminiscent of those of the S59-expressing founder cells in that they are larger than other mesodermal cells, they appear early, and they seem to organize other myoblasts into muscle fibers. The occurrence of muscle pioneers in Drosophila has been suggested by Leiss et al. (1988) and Johansen et al. (1989). However, further analysis, including dye injection followed by antibody staining, is required to confirm a correlation between S59-expressing cells and muscle pioneers.

The genetic mechanisms involved in the formation of the embryonic muscle pattern are largely unknown. An important and still open question is to what degree muscle development is regulated autonomously, that is, through programs intrinsic to the mesoderm. For muscle development during metamorphosis, evidence for a non-autonomous specification has been obtained from mo-

Figure 5. Expression of S59 in mesodermal cells and muscle fibers. [A–C] Embryos were double stained with S59 antibodies [dark brown] and twist antibodies [light brown]. [A] Early-stage 11 embryo. A dorsal view of the elongated germ band is shown [segments A4–A8]. The more anterior parts of the germ band are to the right. S59 appears in the nucleus of one large mesodermal cell [I] per hemisegment. More medially, a single ganglion mother cell [GCC] stains for S59. [B] Cross section through a similar embryo as in A. In the mesodermal layer [M] of the dorsal part of the embryo, two S59-stained cells I are seen. twist expression in the mesoderm is segmentally modulated at this stage and is therefore uneven. [C] Section through a late-stage 11 embryo [orientation as in A]. In each segment, two daughter cells of 1 and at the parasegmental bulges of the mesoderm a cluster of II cells are seen. At these bulges, twist expression persists more strongly. [D] Stage 12 embryo double stained with S59 antibodies [purple] and engrailed antibodies [ocher]. Orientation as in A. engrailed is expressed in the posterior compartments of the epidermis. S59-expressing mesoderm cells I are at the anterior segment border, cells II and cells III are at the anterior/posterior compartment border. [E–H] Embryos double stained with S59 antibodies [dark brown] and myosin antibodies [ocher]. [E–H] Morphogenesis of the ventral muscle group in the segments T3–A4. [I] Stage 13. Syncitia have just formed. Unfused myoblasts [MR] are also still present. [F] Stage 14. Myosin is accumulating in the syncitia. [G and H] Stages 15 and 16. The muscle fibers differentiate to assume their final shape. Muscles 27 contain 8–12 S59-stained nuclei, muscles 25 have 4–6 nuclei. [I] Stage 16, pleural muscles of A2–A6. The muscles are fully differentiated. Muscles 18 contain 8–12 S59-stained nuclei arranged in clusters at either end of the fibers [also seen in Fig. 4I].

Dohrmann et al.

Closely related to that of S59, msh, also seems to be expressed in muscles [Robert et al. 1989]. When isolating and analyzing genomic regions flanking S59, we found that S59 is a member of a new cluster containing at least four homeo box genes [M. Frasch, unpubl.; see also Kim and Nirengberg 1989]. It remains to be seen whether any of the other homeo box genes of this cluster have functions related to that of S59 and whether the cluster as a whole has been conserved in evolution.

The regulatory mechanisms activating S59 expression in a small number of defined mesodermal cells must be rather intricate. It is likely that spatial information from earlier pattern formation events is passed on in the mesoderm and becomes further refined. Since most of the segmentation genes are no longer expressed in the mesoderm at the S59 appears, intermediary genes twist, pox meso, and the mesodermally expressed homeotic genes may regulate S59 expression [White and Wilcox 1985; Thisse et al. 1988, Bopp et al. 1989]. Double staining with Ubx and S59 antibodies indicates that there are mesodermal cells containing both proteins; and in embryos mutant for Ubx, we observe alterations of the S59 expression pattern [M. Frasch, unpubl.]. Although it is not yet clear that this is a direct interaction, we assume that inputs from the homeotic genes result in the modulation of S59 expression along the anterior/posterior axis of the embryo. It is also possible that signals transmitted from epidermal regions contribute to the activation of S59 in defined mesodermal cells.

Interestingly, S59 expression in the midgut corresponds to a region where the homeo box gene labial is also expressed [Diederich et al. 1989]. In the same region, cells of the visceral mesoderm contacting the endoderm express Ubx [Akam and Martinez-Arias 1985; Tremml and Bienz 1989], decapentaplegic (dpp), encoding a gene product with homology to vertebrate TGF-ß [Padgett et al. 1987; St. Johnston and Gelbart 1987], and wingless (wg), which is also thought to be involved in cell–cell communication [van den Heuvel et al. 1989]. Ubx, dpp, and wg are required for normal expression of labial in the endoderm [Immerglück et al. 1990]. It is possible that S59 is a second endodermal target of this cascade of inductive interactions, being activated either in parallel to labial or downstream of it.
sideral cells are singled out and assume a specific fate in the late phase of their proliferation or shortly thereafter. Thus, if inductive mechanisms are important for embryonic mesoderm development, such events are likely to occur at this early stage of mesodermal development. We suggest that later steps of muscle development are mainly determined by regulatory programs within the mesoderm. Because the homeo domain protein S59 is likely to act as a transcription factor, the S59 gene could well be one component in setting up distinct differentiation pathways for particular cells. Therefore, we favor a role of S59 for the specification of myoblast and muscle identities. We assume that other genes with an analogous function are expressed in the precursor cells of muscles that do not express S59. In the CNS, S59 may perform a similar function for neuronal specification. To determine the function of S59, we are currently performing a genetic screen to isolate mutants for this homeo box gene.

Materials and methods

Library screens for genomic clones and cDNA clones

The procedure used to isolate genomic clones under conditions of low stringency for hybridization with the Scr homeo box probe has been described previously (Levine et al., 1985). Phage clones overlapping with the original S99 clone were isolated from a library provided by M. Goldberg consisting of partially digested Sau3A fragments of genomic Drosophila DNA cloned into BamHI-digested AEMBL4 arms. The Drosophila embryonic cDNA libraries were a generous gift from L. Kauvar and N. Brown. The Kauvar library consisted of 3- to 12-hr embryonic cDNAs cloned into agt10 phage vectors. The Brown libraries contained 8- to 12-hr cDNAs and 12- to 24-hr cDNAs. These cDNAs were cloned into the plasmid vector pNB40 in a single orientation, such that the leader sequence of Xenopus β-globin became ligated to the 5' end of each cDNA (Brown and Kafatos, 1988). The cDNAs described in Results were obtained from the Brown libraries.

Sequencing

cDNAs and fragments of genomic DNA were subcloned into pBluescript KS+ and SK+ (Stratagene). After restriction mapping, deletions were created with appropriate restriction enzymes. Single-stranded templates were obtained by supernatant fractionation of X1L-blue cells harboring these constructs with R-408 helper phages (Bullock et al., 1987). Sequencing was performed by the dideoxynucleotide sequencing method of Sanger et al. (1977), using a modified form of T7 polymerase (Sequenase, U.S. Biochemicals). Both strands of the S59/2 cDNA and the 3' end of the S59/4 cDNA were sequenced.

Northern analysis

Total RNA was prepared by grinding embryos, larvae, and adult flies frozen in liquid nitrogen in a mortar followed by extraction with a buffer containing 3 M LiCl, 6 M urea, 0.1% SDS, 10 mM Na acetate (pH 5.6), and 200 mg/ml heparin. The RNA was precipitated in this buffer overnight, washed twice with 3 M LiCl and 6 M urea, and dissolved in 50 mM Na acetate (pH 5.6), 1% SDS. After ethanol precipitation poly(A)+ RNA was isolated using oligo(dT)-cellulose (BRL). After heat denaturation in the
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Figure 6. Schematic diagram of the development of S59-expressing muscles in an abdominal segment. Each box in A represents an abdominal segment A2-A7 in different stages of development. The shaded area corresponds to the posterior compartment of the epidermis. Note that the posterior parts of the segments are pulled into the segmental furrows after stage 11, and the muscles form attachment sites at the apodemes. In B, the muscle pattern of an abdominal hemisegment in a late-stage embryo is shown. The scheme is a compilation from segments A2-A7 of several embryos stained for muscular myosin. The S59-expressing muscles are shaded and named according to the nomenclature of Crossley (1978) and Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein (1975). S59 protein has disappeared from muscle 5/poel at this stage. Staining of 26/vs2 is extremely weak and might be due to a transient coupling with 27/vs3 (Johansen et al., 1989). We do not know at what stage of embryonic development mesodermal cells become committed to particular muscular fates. In transplantation experiments, Beer et al. (1987) found that shortly after their invagination, mesodermal cells are not yet committed to form particular muscles or even particular mesodermal tissues. The expression of S59 in several defined mesodermal cells ~2 hr later suggests that at least some me-
Figure 7. S59 expression in the midgut and in the CNS. Embryo sections are positioned so that anterior is to the left. [A] S59 is expressed just anterior to the constriction appearing first in the midgut (arrowheads). [B] In late-stage embryos, endodermal cells of a whole loop of the midgut express S59. More anteriorly, cells of the supraesophageal ganglion (SOG) stain for S59. [C] High-magnification view of the mid-ventral germ band of a stage 11 embryo. On either side of the ventral midline, a cluster of four to six and one or two separate, more anteriorly located ganglion mother cells (GC) express S59 at this stage. Cells stained more laterally are the mesodermal cells I and II. [D] Section through the CNS of a stage 13 embryo showing S59 expression in the ganglia of A1–A6. [E] Tangential, [F] sagittal, and [G] transverse sections through the ventral cord of stages 15–16 embryos. In F and G, S59-expressing neurons in both the dorsal and ventral portions of the ganglia are seen. The section in E is through the ventral portion of the nerve cord. Because of its curvature, neurons located at the ventral surface are in focus in the posterior part and somewhat more interior neurons are seen in the other parts.

Preparation of S59 fusion proteins and S59 antibodies

For the production of S59 proteins in *Escherichia coli*, a pUR expression vector (Rüther and Müller-Hill 1983) and the pT7-7 vector (Tabor and Richardson 1985, S. Tabor, unpubl.) were employed. An 880-bp partial *BamHl–XbaI* fragment corresponding to the 3′ third of the S59/2 cDNA was cloned into pUR 278. The expression and purification of the lacZ/S59 fusion protein was performed as described in Frasch et al. (1987). This fusion protein was used for the first immunization and two subsequent boosts of a rabbit ("Sifty"). The antibody used for the experiments was obtained after two additional boosts with an S59 protein obtained with the T7 expression construct. For this construct, a 1.7-kb *PstI–Clal* fragment excised from S59/2 in pBluescript was cloned into pT7-7. This construct produced a protein consisting of 13 amino acids from the vector and 471 amino acids from S59. To produce this protein, BL21(DE3)LysS cells (Studier and Moffat, 1986, F.W. Studier, unpubl.) containing the plasmid were grown in L broth, 20 mM glucose, 200 μg/ml ampicillin, to an optical density of 0.5, transferred into fresh medium, and induced with 1 mM IPTG for 30 min. After addition of rifampicin (200 μg/ml) and incubation for another 1.5 hr at 37°C the bacterial pellet was collected, resuspended in PBS, and treated with 1 mg/ml of lyso-
Phila embryos were removed with affinity columns prepared in buffer (8 M urea, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl [pH 8], 1 mM EDTA, 30 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and dialyzed against PBS. For the rabbit immunization, S59 protein was further purified by dialysis in 0.1 M NaHCO₃ buffer (pH 8.3), 6 M guanidinium-HCl, and 0.5 M NaCl and conjugated to CNBr-activated Sepharose (Pharmacia). Antibodies directed against other bacterial proteins and antibodies with unspecific affinities to Drosophila embryos were removed with affinity columns prepared similarly using bacterial extracts or total proteins from 0- to 4-hr embryos.

Antibody stainings

For antibody stainings of whole-mount embryo preparations, the embryos were fixed and incubated with the first antibody as described in Frasch et al. [1987]. The secondary antibody was a biotinylated horse anti-rabbit IgG, and an avidin/biotin/horse-radish peroxidase [HRP] complex was used for the detection (VECTORSTAIN from Vector Laboratories). The HRP substrate was diaminobenzidine [DAB] with CoCl₂ and NiSO₄ (0.03% each, Adams 1981) in PBS. Double stainings were performed as in Lawrence and Johnston (1989), omitting the Co³⁺ and Ni³⁺ in the first reaction. After staining, the embryos were dehydrated and mounted in Permount. For sections (25 μm), the embryos were embedded in araldite (Roth et al. 1989). Photographs were taken with Nomarski optics on a Zeiss Axiosphot microscope. Kodak Ektachrome EPT-160 with correction filters KR1.5–KR6 was used for color photographs, and Kodak technical pan was used for black-and-white photographs.

Acknowledgments

We thank Michael Levine for discussions and encouragement in the initial stages of the project, Hanna Radomska for isolating a cDNA, Meike Müller for technical assistance, Dan Kiehart for providing the myosin antibody, Siegfried Roth for the twist antibody, Nick Brown and Larry Kauvar for cDNA libraries, Yosef Gruenbaum for communicating sequences, and Helen Doyle, Daniel St. John, Rolf Reuter, Dave Stein, and Paul Whittington for helpful comments on the manuscript. Part of this work was supported by a grant of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note added in proof

Sequence data described in this paper have been submitted to EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession number X55393.
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