OUTLOOK

Getting started: altering promoter choice as a mechanism for cell type differentiation

Mukulika Ray and Erica Larschan

Department of Molecular Biology, Cellular Biology, and Biochemistry, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA

In this issue of *Genes & Development*, Lu and colleagues (pp. 663–677) have discovered a key new mechanism of alternative promoter choice that is involved in differentiation of spermatocytes. Promoter choice has strong potential as mechanism for differentiation of many different cell types.

Cell differentiation is both a fascinating and intriguing aspect of the development of multicellular organisms. Regulated gene expression plays a pivotal role in determining the spatial and temporal direction of cellular maturity and diversity (Arney and Fisher 2004). Gene expression during differentiation involves tightly regulated interactions between specific DNA regulatory sequences and protein complexes that can specifically bind to them. Much emphasis has been placed on the role of distal enhancer elements in shaping tissue type-specific transcription at core promoters (Haberle and Stark 2018). For example, certain promoter-proximal elements affect the activities of both enhancers and promoters, which is essential for regulating the specificity of their interactions (Calhoun et al. 2002; Andersson and Sandelin 2020).

However, we are realizing the important role of specific promoter-proximal motifs in directly influencing transcription of genes activated during the differentiation from one cell type to another. For example, protein complexes such as tMAC and dREAM cause either activation or repression of different promoters depending on composition of the complex specific for a particular cell type/stage (Beall et al. 2007; Sadasivam and DeCaprio 2013; Lu and Fuller 2015; Laktionov et al. 2018; Andersson and Sandelin 2020). However, the mechanism by which this choice occurs remained unknown.

Here, Lu et al. (2020) have shown that these complexes can make use of alternate promoters with unique proximal motifs bound by cell type-specific factors to turn off the ongoing transcriptional program and start a new program to transition between two different cell types. This

is also a very efficient mechanism for assuring the same level of transcription of the same gene in two totally different cellular environments with variable protein composition. Previously, alternate promoters were reported as means of achieving mRNA heterogeneity in particular tissue types (Landry et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2007). The present study reveals how the tMAC complex can act similarly to a pioneer factor to initiate transcription by opening cell type-specific promoters by remodeling the nucleosomes present there.

Furthermore, some tMAC components have features found in known pioneer factors such as HNF3 (Cirillo et al. 1998; White-Cooper 2010). Also, there are reports showing dREAM, a similar complex, can recruit other remodeling proteins that in turn can loosen chromatin and thus open chromatin at target loci (Mages et al. 2017). At present, this is a possible mechanistic model that needs to be tested by further biochemical analysis. The presence of other factors that can limit the activity and spread of tMAC complex-induced transcription at multiple promoters indicates the presence of a multilayered fine-tuned regulation essential for such highly dynamic and intricate mechanisms of cell differentiation.

Moreover, tMAC is highly conserved across species, and there are many other protein complexes present that show tissue- or stage-specific binding to chromatin in all phyla (Kent et al. 2004; Creppe et al. 2014; Gregory 2018). Also, the presence of alternate promoters is long known across all organisms to increase tissue transcriptome diversity. There are reports describing several motifs present in promoters that have undefined functions (Smith et al. 2006; Down et al. 2007). Therefore, it is very likely that interplay between chromatin-binding protein complexes and promoter-proximal motifs regulating terminal cell differentiation in different organs will prove to be a highly conserved process in diverse organisms.

[Keywords: *Drosophila*; spermatogenesis; transcription; tMAC; core promoter elements]

Corresponding author: erica_larschan@brown.edu

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.338723.120>.

© 2020 Ray and Larschan. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Acknowledgments

M.R. acknowledges her father, who was the first person to introduce her to the world of scientific thinking and the living kingdom. He inspired her to be inquisitive about her surroundings and be a problem solver.

References

- Andersson R, Sandelin A. 2020. Determinants of enhancer and promoter activities of regulatory elements. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**: 71–87. doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0173-8
- Arney KL, Fisher AG. 2004. Epigenetic aspects of differentiation. *J Cell Sci* **117**: 4355–4363. doi:10.1242/jcs.01390
- Beall EL, Lewis PW, Bell M, Rocha M, Jones DL, Botchan MR. 2007. Discovery of tMAC: a *Drosophila* testis-specific meiotic arrest complex paralogous to Myb–Muv B. *Genes Dev* **21**: 904–919. doi:10.1101/gad.1516607
- Calhoun VC, Stathopoulos A, Levine M. 2002. Promoter-proximal tethering elements regulate enhancer–promoter specificity in the *Drosophila* antennapedia complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **99**: 9243–9247. doi:10.1073/pnas.142291299
- Cirillo LA, McPherson CE, Bossard P, Stevens K, Cherian S, Shim EY, Clark KL, Burley SK, Zaret KS. 1998. Binding of the winged-helix transcription factor HNF3 to a linker histone site on the nucleosome. *EMBO J* **17**: 244–254. doi:10.1093/emboj/17.1.244
- Creppe C, Palau A, Malinverni R, Valero V, Buschbeck M. 2014. A Cbx8-containing polycomb complex facilitates the transition to gene activation during ES cell differentiation. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004851. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004851
- Down TA, Bergman CM, Su J, Hubbard TJ. 2007. Large-scale discovery of promoter motifs in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Comput Biol* **3**: e7. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030007
- Gregory BD. 2018. Shedding some blue light on alternative promoter usage in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **115**: 7654–7656. doi:10.1073/pnas.1809312115
- Haberle V, Stark A. 2018. Eukaryotic core promoters and the functional basis of transcription initiation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **19**: 621–637. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0028-8
- Kent NA, Eibert SM, Mellor J. 2004. Cbflp is required for chromatin remodeling at promoter-proximal CACGTG motifs in yeast. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 27116–27123. doi:10.1074/jbc.M403818200
- Laktionov PP, Maksimov DA, Romanov SE, Antoshina PA, Posukh OV, White-Cooper H, Koryakov DE, Belyakin SN. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of gene regulation mechanisms during *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **11**: 14. doi:10.1186/s13072-018-0183-3
- Landry JR, Mager DL, Wilhelm BT. 2003. Complex controls: the role of alternative promoters in mammalian genomes. *Trends Genet* **19**: 640–648. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2003.09.014
- Lu C, Fuller MT. 2015. Recruitment of Mediator complex by cell type and stage-specific factors required for tissue-specific TAF dependent gene activation in an adult stem cell lineage. *PLoS Genet* **11**: e1005701. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005701
- Lu D, Sin H-S, Lu C, Fuller MT. 2020. Developmental regulation of cell type-specific transcription by novel promoter-proximal sequence elements. *Genes Dev* (this issue). doi:10.1101/gad.335331.119
- Mages CF, Wintsche A, Bernhart SH, Müller GA. 2017. The DREAM complex through its subunit Lin37 cooperates with Rb to initiate quiescence. *Elife* **6**: e26876. doi:10.7554/eLife.26876
- Sadasivam S, DeCaprio JA. 2013. The DREAM complex: master coordinator of cell cycle-dependent gene expression. *Nat Rev Cancer* **13**: 585–595. doi:10.1038/nrc3556
- Smith AD, Sumazin P, Xuan Z, Zhang MQ. 2006. DNA motifs in human and mouse proximal promoters predict tissue-specific expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 6275–6280. doi:10.1073/pnas.0508169103
- White-Cooper H. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of gene regulation during *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. *Reproduction* **139**: 11–21. doi:10.1530/REP-09-0083
- Zhang SX, Searcy TR, Wu Y, Gozal D, Wang Y. 2007. Alternative promoter usage and alternative splicing contribute to mRNA heterogeneity of mouse monocarboxylate transporter 2. *Physiol Genomics* **32**: 95–104. doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.00192.2007

Getting started: altering promoter choice as a mechanism for cell type differentiation

Mukulika Ray and Erica Larschan

Genes Dev. 2020, **34**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.338723.120](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.338723.120)

Related Content

Developmental regulation of cell type-specific transcription by novel promoter-proximal sequence elements

Dan Lu, Ho-Su Sin, Chenggang Lu, et al.

Genes Dev. May , 2020 34: 663-677

References

This article cites 19 articles, 8 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/34/9-10/619.full.html#ref-list-1>

Articles cited in:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/34/9-10/619.full.html#related-urls>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).