OUTLOOK

Settling the m⁶A debate: methylation of mature mRNA is not dynamic but accelerates turnover

Nicolle A. Rosa-Mercado,¹ Johanna B. Withers,¹ and Joan A. Steitz^{1,2}

¹Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Boyer Center for Molecular Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06536, USA; ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boyer Center for Molecular Medicine, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06536, USA

Post-transcriptional modification of RNA nucleosides has been implicated as a pivotal regulator of mRNA biology. In this issue of *Genes & Development*, Ke and colleagues (pp. 990–1006) provide insights into the temporal and spatial distribution of N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) in RNA transcripts by analyzing different subcellular fractions. Using a recently developed biochemical approach for detecting m⁶A, the researchers show that m⁶A methylations are enriched in exons and are added to transcripts prior to splicing. Although m⁶A addition is widely thought to be readily reversible, they demonstrate in HeLa cells that once RNA is released from chromatin, the modifications are surprisingly static. This study integrates data from previous publications to clarify conflicting conclusions regarding the role of m⁶A in mRNA biogenesis and function. Ke and colleagues found that m⁶A methylation levels negatively correlate with transcript half-life but are not required for most pre-mRNA splicing events.

Although N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) modification of RNA was discovered in the 1970s, the prevalence of this modification in mammalian mRNAs has become evident only recently, with the advent of transcriptome-wide m⁶A detection. Advances in the field have shown that m⁶A methylations are introduced into mRNA by METTL3 in complex with METTL14 and WTAP (Liu et al. 2014). Experiments manipulating the levels of these proteins and other proteins that interact with m⁶A have suggested that this modification negatively affects mRNA stability and alters splicing patterns (Dominissini et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014). These findings motivated researchers to investigate further the importance of this modification to mRNA biology. However, the mechanisms modulating m⁶A modification and its precise role in mRNA biogenesis and regulation have remained debatable. In this issue of *Genes & Development*, Ke et al. (2017) clarify many

of these questions by analyzing the presence of m⁶A in RNAs from different HeLa subcellular fractions and investigating how the distribution and extent of m⁶A modification relate to splicing and turnover of transcripts.

The precise mapping of m⁶A in cellular transcripts was facilitated by the development of m⁶A cross-linking immunoprecipitation (m⁶A-CLIP) (Ke et al. 2015). Single-nucleotide resolution of the positions of m⁶A modification is achieved by UV cross-linking immunoprecipitated RNA bound to anti-m⁶A antibody prior to high-throughput sequencing. The reduced background of m⁶A-CLIP enables researchers to identify the location of m⁶A modifications at unprecedented resolution. By analyzing the distribution of m⁶A in total RNA using this technique, Ke et al. (2015) confirmed that most m⁶A peaks are located in the last exon of a transcript such that nearly half of all m⁶As in mRNAs occur in 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) (Meyer et al. 2012). Ke et al. (2017) furthered their investigation of RNA methylation by comparing the distribution of m⁶A in chromatin-associated, nucleoplasmic, and cytoplasmic RNA fractions obtained from HeLa cells. They found that even for incompletely spliced chromatin-associated pre-mRNA, the majority of m⁶A modifications is in exonic sequences. Additionally, the number and location of m⁶As do not change between nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic RNAs (Fig. 1). Prior studies suggested that this modification is dynamic based on the presence of m⁶A demethylases in the nucleus (Jia et al. 2011); however, the findings of Ke et al. (2017) show that changes in the methylation state are minimal and occur at the pre-mRNA level prior to release from chromatin.

After demonstrating that m⁶A methylation occurs before splicing is complete, the researchers assessed the number of m⁶A modifications in relation to splice sites. Although chromatin-associated RNA contains slightly more m⁶A modifications near 5' and 3' splice sites than

[Keywords: m⁶A-CLIP; pre-mRNA; cell fractionation; mRNA turnover]
Corresponding author: joan.steitz@yale.edu
Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.302695.117>.

© 2017 Rosa-Mercado et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Figure 1. m^6A modifications are added to exonic sequences before splicing and shorten mRNA half-life. RNA polymerase II (blue) synthesizes the pre-mRNA transcript, and, prior to the completion of splicing, a methylation complex containing METTL3 selectively adds m^6A modifications to exonic sequences (thicker black bars). After release of spliced mRNA first into the nucleoplasm and ultimately into the cytoplasm, no further additions or subtractions of m^6A are detected. The presence of m^6A in an mRNA accelerates turnover.

the same regions in nucleoplasmic or cytoplasmic transcripts, a reduction in the density of methylation marks was observed within 50 nucleotides of splice sites in all fractions. Furthermore, no enrichment of this modification was found at either end of exons that can be alternatively spliced. Because this observation contradicts findings by other groups, Ke et al. (2017) reanalyzed previously published data using their own bioinformatic analysis pipeline. This reaffirmed their conclusion that m^6A is not enriched at the ends of alternatively spliced exons. Finally, the researchers knocked out METTL3 in mouse embryonic stem cells and observed no significant changes in splicing patterns compared with those of wild-type cells. Once again, they were able to corroborate this observation by reanalyzing previously published data.

Several laboratories have concluded that the presence of m^6A results in faster mRNA turnover (Sommer et al. 1978; Wang et al. 2014; Geula et al. 2015). Ke et al. (2017) confirmed this observation by studying the half-life of m^6A -containing mRNAs after treating cells with actinomycin D. In general, mRNA transcripts containing multiple m^6A s have shorter half-lives than those containing a single m^6A methylation. mRNAs that have m^6A s in both the coding sequence and the 3' UTR have the shortest half-lives. Knocking out METTL3 resulted in longer half-lives of m^6A -containing mRNAs, confirming that the differences in RNA life spans can be attributed to the presence of this RNA modification.

Taken together, the findings presented by Ke et al. (2017) provide a better understanding of the distribution of m^6A at different stages of mRNA processing and demonstrate that the presence of m^6A modification influences the half-life of an mRNA. However, these results raise several interesting new questions and highlight the importance of standardizing bioinformatic analyses to ensure that researchers can achieve reproducible results across the

RNA modification field. The observation that the m^6A distribution is constant in the nucleoplasmic and cytoplasmic fractions highlights the need to revisit the idea that m^6A modification is dynamically regulated. The data presented do not preclude the possibility that m^6A modification may be dynamic in certain stress conditions or developmental processes. The findings also call for the elucidation of the spatiotemporal relationship between the activities of RNA polymerase II, m^6A methylases and demethylases, and the spliceosome with respect to the nascent RNA. The conclusion that m^6A is added selectively to exons before the mRNA is spliced raises the questions of how exons are defined and whether m^6A plays a role in establishing exon identity. This comprehensive analysis by Ke et al. (2017) will hopefully begin to unify the field so that these newly arising questions can be addressed.

Acknowledgments

N.A.R.-M. is supported by a Yale University Fellowship. J.B.W. is a Hope Funds for Cancer Research Fellow. J.A.S. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator.

References

- Dominissini D, Moshitch-Moshkovitz S, Schwartz S, Salmon-Divon M, Ungar L, Osenberg S, Cesarkas K, Jacob-Hirsch J, Amariglio N, Kupiec M, et al. 2012. Topology of the human and mouse m^6A RNA methylomes revealed by m^6A -seq. *Nature* **485**: 201–206.
- Geula S, Moshitch-Moshkovitz S, Dominissini D, Mansour AA, Kol N, Salmon-Divon M, Hershkovitz V, Peer E, Mor N, Manor YS, et al. 2015. m^6A mRNA methylation facilitates resolution of naive pluripotency toward differentiation. *Science* **347**: 1002–1006.
- Jia G, Fu Y, Zhao X, Dai Q, Zheng G, Yang Y, Yi C, Lindahl T, Pan T, Yang Y-G, et al. 2011. N^6 -methyladenosine in nuclear RNA is a major substrate of the obesity-associated FTO. *Nat Chem Biol* **7**: 885–887.
- Ke S, Alemu EA, Mertens C, Gantman EC, Fak JJ, Mele A, Haripal B, Zucker-Scharff I, Moore MJ, Park CY, et al. 2015. A majority of m^6A residues are in the last exons, allowing the potential for 3' UTR regulation. *Genes Dev* **29**: 2037–2053.
- Ke S, Pandya-Jones A, Saito Y, Fak JJ, Vågbo CB, Geula S, Hanna JH, Black DL, Darnell JE Jr., Darnell RB. 2017. m^6A mRNA modifications are deposited in nascent pre-mRNA and are not required for splicing but do specify cytoplasmic turnover. *Genes Dev* (this issue). doi: 10.1101/gad.301036.117.
- Liu J, Yue Y, Han D, Wang X, Fu Y, Zhang L, Jia G, Yu M, Lu Z, Deng X, et al. 2014. A METTL3–METTL14 complex mediates mammalian nuclear RNA N^6 -adenosine methylation. *Nat Chem Biol* **10**: 93–95.
- Meyer KCAD, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason CE, Jaffrey SR. 2012. Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3' UTRs and near stop codons. *Cell* **149**: 1635–1646.
- Sommer S, Lavi U, Darnell JE. 1978. The absolute frequency of labeled N^6 -methyladenosine in HeLa cell messenger RNA decreases with label time. *J Mol Biol* **124**: 487–499.
- Wang X, Lu Z, Gomez A, Hon GC, Yue Y, Han D, Fu Y, Parisien M, Dai Q, Jia G, et al. 2014. N^6 -methyladenosine-dependent regulation of messenger RNA stability. *Nature* **505**: 117–120.

Settling the m⁶A debate: methylation of mature mRNA is not dynamic but accelerates turnover

Nicolle A. Rosa-Mercado, Johanna B. Withers and Joan A. Steitz

Genes Dev. 2017, **31**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.302695.117](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.302695.117)

Related Content	m6A mRNA modifications are deposited in nascent pre-mRNA and are not required for splicing but do specify cytoplasmic turnover Shengdong Ke, Amy Pandya-Jones, Yuhki Saito, et al. Genes Dev. May , 2017 31: 990-1006
References	This article cites 9 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at: http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/31/10/957.full.html#ref-list-1 Articles cited in: http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/31/10/957.full.html#related-urls
Creative Commons License	This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ .
Email Alerting Service	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here .