The role of segment polarity genes during *Drosophila* neurogenesis
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Segment polarity genes in *Drosophila* are required for the proper formation of epidermal pattern within each segment. Here we show that certain segment polarity genes are also critical for the determination of specific neuronal identities in the developing central nervous system (CNS) of the *Drosophila* embryo. For several mutants, however, the pattern defects do not simply parallel their cuticular phenotypes. In *fused, armadillo*, and *cubitus interruptus Dominant* mutants, much of the CNS appears relatively normal. In *hedgehog* mutants, the CNS is highly disorganized, but this disruption may occur secondary to the initial events of neurogenesis. The specific cellular defects in *patched* mutants suggests that this gene specifies a subset of neuroblasts and neural progeny underlying the region of epidermal pattern defect. *gooseberry* mutants display a complex series of alterations in neuronal identity both underlying and outside of the region of epidermal modification. Neuronal identities of a set of cells along the midline appear to be changed in *Cell* mutants. The phenotype of *wingless* mutants is the most restricted and may be due to improper communication between sibling neurons. Thus, in addition to their functions in epidermal pattern formation, at least four of the segment polarity genes (*gooseberry, patched, Cell*, and *wingless*) appear to have specific roles in the control of cell fates during neurogenesis.
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In *Drosophila*, the process of neurogenesis results in the production of a highly ordered array of neurons within the central nervous system (CNS). In each hemisegment in the embryo, ~250 neurons are generated, many of which can be uniquely identified based on the location of their cell bodies and axons and by their expression of particular nuclear proteins, cell-surface proteins, and neurotransmitters. Much is known about the genes required for the initial neurogenic decision between neuronal and nonneuronal fates (e.g., Artavanis-Tsakonas 1988; Campos-Ortega 1988), but we are just beginning to identify genes involved in giving unique identities to the individual neuronal precursor cells and their neuronal progeny.

During insect neurogenesis, a highly stereotyped pattern of neuronal precursor cells, called neuroblasts (NBs), delaminates from a sheet of undifferentiated ectoderm within the ventral neuroepithelium. Depending on the insect species, each hemisegment contains from 25 to 30 NBs (Bate 1976; Hartenstein and Campos-Ortega 1984; Doe and Goodman 1985a; Doe et al. 1988b). Each NB undergoes asymmetric cleavages to generate a string of progeny called ganglion mother cells (GMCs); each GMC then divides once to produce a pair of neurons. Laser ablation experiments (Doe and Goodman 1985b) indicate that any neuroepithelial cell can become a NB, but once a NB forms, it inhibits its neighbors from entering this neuronal differentiation pathway. The position of a NB within the NB array gives it an individual identity. This identity is manifested by the generation of a characteristic family of GMCs through an invariant cell lineage. Each GMC generates a pair of equivalent sibling neurons; each neuron’s final identity is dependent on its GMC of origin and interactions with its sibling (Doe and Goodman 1985b; Kuwada and Goodman 1985).

Many zygotic genes have been identified that control the decision of cells to become neural or nonneural (e.g., Artavanis-Tsakonas 1988, Campos-Ortega 1988), but only recently have genes been identified that are involved in the positional determination of NBs, the lineal determination of their GMC progeny, or the determination by local cell interactions of the final pairs of sibling neurons. Thus far, many of the candidates for genes involved in neuronal determination in the developing CNS come from a group of well-studied genes that are better known for the role they play during segmentation.

Nüsslein-Volhard, Wieschaus, and their colleagues (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980; Jürgens et al. 1984, Nüsslein-Volhard et al. 1984, Wieschaus et al. 1984) discovered three classes of segmentation genes—gap, pair-rule, and segment polarity— which are required
for the proper division of the embryo into segmental units. These genes appear to act in a hierarchical manner as they divide the embryo sequentially into smaller units down to the level of segments and parts of segments [for review, see Akam 1987; Ingham 1988]. The last genes to act are the segment polarity genes, which are required for the formation of the correct pattern of structures within each segment. Mutations in the segment polarity genes lead to the elimination of structures within each segment, and in certain cases, to mirror-image duplications of the remaining structures.

The gap and pair-rule genes are expressed by the cellular blastoderm stage [Akam 1987]; their segmentation functions largely have been performed before neurogenesis begins shortly after gastrulation. An interesting discovery over the past several years was that some of the pair-rule genes are reexpressed during neurogenesis in a different pattern than during segmentation (Carroll and Scott 1985; Hiromi et al. 1985; MacDonald et al. 1986; Frasch et al. 1987). In contrast to their initial pair-rule expression, many of these genes are reexpressed during neurogenesis in a specific subset of neuronal precursor cells and neurons in a segmentally repeated pattern. These observations led to the hypothesis that the same regulatory genes that initially function to control cell fate during segmentation may later be used to control cell fate during neurogenesis. This hypothesis was tested experimentally and confirmed for two of the pair-rule genes: fushi tarazu (ftz) and even-skipped (eve) [Doe et al. 1988a,b].

In this study, we chose to examine the potential role of a second class of segmentation genes, the segment polarity genes, in controlling cell fate during neurogenesis. The segmentally repeated defects in the epidermis of segment polarity mutants led us to wonder whether these genes might also be involved in the patterning of the underlying CNS. Moreover, some of the segment polarity genes are active before and during the period of neurogenesis [Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980; Baker 1987, 1988; Martinez-Arias et al. 1988], and some are known to be expressed within the neurogenic region [Bopp et al. 1986; Baumgartner et al. 1987; Cote et al. 1987]. Thus, just as segment polarity genes divide each segment of the ectoderm into specific regions, so they might also divide the underlying array of NBs into similar regions and thereby impart positional information to the rows and columns of NBs. If this were the case, we would expect to see regional pattern defects in the nervous system that are homologous to the defects seen in the cuticle.

On the other hand, if the segment polarity genes have additional roles in controlling cell fate during neurogenesis apart from their regional specification within each segment [perhaps by specifying particular GMCs or neuronal progeny within individual NB lineages], we might expect to see pattern defects of a more specific nature in the developing CNS that do not simply reflect the defects seen in the cuticle.

In this study we used six different antibodies to probe the pattern of identified neurons in the developing nervous system of segment polarity mutants, giving particular attention to the developing CNS. Our results suggest that mutations in the segment polarity genes cause pattern defects in the peripheral nervous system [PNS] but that mutations in only a subset of the segment polarity genes cause clear alterations in the CNS. The CNS of gooseberry (gsb), patched (ptc), Cell (Ce), and wingless (wg) mutants show specific cellular defects in a subset of neurons, suggesting that these four genes also function in specifying the fate of a specific subset of neurons during neurogenesis.

Results
Phenotypes of segment polarity mutants

Six segment polarity mutants were initially described by Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus [1980]. Additional mutants in this class have been identified, and now >10 segment polarity genes have been studied to date [Jürgens et al. 1984; Nüsslein-Volhard et al. 1984; Wieschaus et al. 1984; Orenic et al. 1987; Perrimon and Mabowald 1987]. We have examined the development of the nervous system in eight segment polarity mutants, cubitus interruptus Dominant (ciD), wg, gsb, fused (fu), ptc, hedgehog (hh) [Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980], armadillo (arm) [Wieschaus et al. 1984], and Ce [Orenic et al. 1987]. Although the phenotype of each segment polarity mutant is unique, the mutants can be placed into classes according to the nature of their pattern defects.

The most extreme defects are seen in the mutants wg and hh. On the ventral surface of these mutants, the posterior half and the anterior margin of the adjacent segments are deleted. This results in an embryo that is much smaller than normal and is covered with a disorganized array of denticles on the ventral surface.

In the mutant Ce, the pattern defects on the ventral surface also eliminate the posterior half of each segment and extend into the anterior margin of the adjacent segment. In this mutant, the pattern defects are not as extreme as the previous group, and the segmental repeats can be clearly distinguished. The denticle belts that cover the ventral surface generally have normal polarity except along the posterior margin where the polarity is reversed.

In fu, ciP, gsb, and arm mutants, the posterior half of each segment on the ventral surface is replaced with a mirror-image duplication of the anterior half of the segment. This results in the elimination of the clear cuticle from each segment and a duplication of the denticle belt.

In mutants of ptc, structures in the middle of the segment are eliminated and replaced with a mirror-image duplication of the anterior and posterior margins of the segment.

The phenotypes described above are those caused by the strongest mutant alleles. In our characterization of nervous systems from segment polarity mutants, we also have used the strongest alleles, but it is still possible that they are not null mutations. This is certainly a
consideration for the deficiency trans-heterozygous embryos used to analyze the gsb mutation, as a small amount of transcript is still found from the gsb-p gene of the complex (Cote et al. 1987). A second problem is maternal contribution of wild-type product to the egg. In the case of the arm gene, it has been shown that there is a maternal contribution of arm+ product to the egg. Unfortunately, it is not possible to eliminate the maternal contribution because the arm+ product is required for oogenesis (Wieschaus and Noell 1986). There is also a maternal contribution of fu+ product to the egg, but the fu+ function can be eliminated in the germ line of the mother (Lindsley and Grell 1968). The mother does not contribute wg+ [Baker 1987]. Ce+, ciP+ [Orenic et al. 1987], gsb+ [Bopp et al. 1987; Cote et al. 1987], and ptc+ (P. Lawrence, pers. comm.) product to the developing egg, and the maternal contribution of hh+ product has not been tested.

Antibody assays for specific neurons

To study patterning in the developing nervous system in segment polarity mutants, we used a series of antibodies that allow us to examine both the overall structure of the nervous system and the location and identity of particular neurons. In studies of the PNS, we used the SOXII monoclonal antibody (mAb), which stains all sensory neurons (Goodman et al. 1984). In the CNS, we used six antibody probes: [1] the anti-horseradish peroxidase [anti-HRP] antibody, which recognizes a neural-specific carbohydrate moiety expressed on the surface of all neurons and axons [Jan and Jan 1982, Snow et al. 1987]; [2] the 2D5 mAb, which recognizes a surface glycoprotein called fasciclin III (Patel et al. 1987), which is expressed on the surface on a small subset of neuronal cell bodies and axon pathways; [3] the SOXII mAb, which recognizes a subset of motor neurons in the CNS (Goodman et al. 1984); [4] an anti-serotonin [5-HT] antibody, which recognizes a pair of neurons in each hemisegment that expresses this neurotransmitter [Valles and White 1985]; [5] an anti-eve antibody, which recognizes a small number of neuronal cell bodies expressing this nuclear protein [Frasch et al. 1987; Doc et al. 1988a]; and [6] a monoclonal antibody against engrailed [en], which recognizes a different set of neuronal nuclei [DiNardo et al. 1985; N.H. Patel et al., in prep]. The anti-HRP antibody, SOXII mAb, and the serotonin antibody recognize antigens that are not expressed by neurons in the CNS until germ-band retraction. The 2D5 mAb, anti-en mAb, and anti-eve antibody, on the other hand, have the advantage that some neurons express these antigens before germ-band shortening and, therefore, before some of the later morphological deformation that occurs during germ-band retraction in some of the segment polarity mutants.

PNS

We examined the overall structure of the PNS in wg, hh, Ce, fu, ciP, gsb, arm, and ptc mutants. We see pattern defects in all mutants, and the defects seem to parallel the alterations seen in the cuticle [data not shown].

CNS

We examined the CNS in wg, hh, Ce, fu, ciP, gsb, arm, and ptc mutants. In fu, ciP, and arm mutants, we do not find consistent alterations in neuronal cell fate with any of the probes used. Many of the distortions seen in the nervous system of these mutants are probably due to morphological distortions that occur during or after germ-band shortening. The initial neuron pattern appears normal in hh, but severe disruptions occur during germ-band shortening, this may be due to widespread cell death within the CNS. In wg mutants, the only identifiable cell we find consistently altered is the RP2 neuron. Ce mutants reveal a modification of the fate of certain neurons along the midline.

In gsb and ptc mutants, we see a complex pattern of cell fate alterations, as revealed by several probes. In many of the figures, the fu mutant CNS is shown as a typical example of a segment polarity mutant without clear CNS defects. The fu mutation was chosen for this illustration because the maternal effect allows us to produce a pure population of mutant embryos. Figure 1 schematically illustrates the neurons examined in our analysis of the CNS and the probes that detect these particular cells. It can be used as a reference guide for the data presented in subsequent figures. We illustrate a wild-type nervous system with its normal array of neurons and axons. Diagrams of the nervous systems of ptc and gsb mutants are included to highlight their pattern alterations.

Pattern of anti-HRP antibody staining

The first probe used to examine the pattern of the CNS system in the segment polarity mutants was the anti-HRP antibody. The staining pattern clearly illustrates the segmentally repeated organization of the axons into connectives and commissures. In wild-type embryos [Fig. 2A], each segment has two commissures (anterior and posterior), and this is also the case in fu [Fig. 2D], arm, ciP, and Ce mutants. In wg mutants [Fig. 2B], the commissures are not well formed but are present in some segments and are clearly present in younger mutant embryos. In hh mutants [Fig. 2C], the pattern of connectives and commissures is highly disorganized. A single large commissure per segment is formed by the fusion of the normal commissure pair in ptc mutants [Fig. 2E] [see also Pattern of fasciclin III expression, below]. gsb mutants [Fig. 2F] also possess a single commissure per segment, but this is because the posterior commissure is almost nonexistent, whereas the anterior commissure seems fairly normal.

Pattern of fasciclin III expression

Fasciclin III expression is detected by staining with the
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Figure 1. Summary diagram of diagnostic neurons and their alteration in ptc and gsb mutants. Schematic illustration of several diagnostic neurons in wild-type, ptc, and gsb embryos. The key identifies specific neurons or neuronal clusters and the probes that recognize them. Diagrams illustrate patterns of deletions and duplications observed in ptc and gsb mutants (for details, see discussion).

2D5 mAb [Patel et al. 1987]. Within the CNS during germ-band extension, fasciclin III is expressed by the progeny of two to three NBs in the thoracic segments and the progeny of one to two NBs in the abdominal segments. One of the fasciclin III-positive lineages includes all the progeny of the NB that produces the neurons RP1, RP2, and RP3. A glial cell between the segmentally repeated neuronal lineages also expresses fasciclin III [Fig. 3A] [Patel et al. 1987]. In wg, hh, fu, and gsb mutants, this early pattern appears normal [Fig. 3B–D,F]. In ptc mutants [Fig. 3E], neuron expression is abolished in the abdominal segments and reduced to a single NB lineage in the thoracic segments. The glial cell expression, however, is normal. This indicates that the lineages of at least two NBs, including the NB producing the RP neurons, are deleted in ptc mutants.

In the 12-hr CNS, fasciclin III is expressed on a somewhat different set of neurons. Both the cell body and axons of RP1 and RP3 neurons (RP3 is located just ventral and medial to RP1) are clearly labeled by the 2D5 mAb. Only the cell body of RP2 is labeled, and this labeling is weak and thus cannot be depended on for the identification of this cell. Two other cell bodies ventral to the RP1 and RP3 cell bodies are also lightly labeled, but the rest of the cell bodies that previously stained during the germ-band-extended stage no longer express fasciclin III. Rather, two additional sets of cells are labeled at this stage, which were not part of the germ-band-extended expression pattern. The first set of approximately six cells (DL cells) is located dorsal, lateral, and just anterior to the RPs, and a second large set of cells (VL cells) sits ventral, lateral, and in the same anterior/posterior position as the RPs. Fasciclin III staining also reveals five axon bundles in the commissures: three in the anterior commissure and two in the posterior commissure [Fig. 4C]. Many of the fasciclin III-positive axons that make up these bundles come from neurons that do not express fasciclin III on the surface of their
Figure 2. Anti-HRP antibody staining of the CNS in segment polarity mutants. Photographs of anti-HRP antibody staining in dissections of wild-type (A), wg (B), hh (C), fu (D), ptc (E), and gsb (F) embryos at ~12 hr of development. Anterior is up, and view is onto the dorsal surface of the CNS. (A) Wild-type embryo shows the ladder-like arrangement of axons. There are a pair of commissures, anterior (arrowhead) and posterior (arrow), in every segment. (B) wg mutants reveal the commissure pairs in many segments. (C) The pattern in hh mutants is highly disorganized. (D) fu mutants possess a normal pattern. (E) A fusion of the two commissures forms one large commissure in ptc mutants; (F) the single commissure per segment in gsb mutants is due to having normal anterior commissures and almost totally absent posterior commissures. Scale bar, 80 μm.

cell bodies. Thus, fasciclin III can be expressed regionally on the surface of a single neuron (Patel et al. 1987). The DL cells project their axons in bundle 1, and RP3 projects its axon in bundle 2. RP1 and about half of the VL cells project their axons in bundle 3. The remaining VL axons traverse bundle 4, and bundle 5 contains several axons, including one from a very weakly stained lateral cell body that cannot always be seen clearly.

The wild-type pattern of fasciclin III expression occurs in fu, ciβ, Ce, and arm. In wg mutants (Fig. 4E), there is some disorganization, but the basic pattern appears intact. However, a very strongly labeled cell next to RP1 is occasionally visible; in some embryos, this cell’s axon appears to follow the RP1 axon contralaterally and then posteriorly out the intersegmental nerve, whereas in other embryos it appears to project posteriorly out the intersegmental nerve on its own side. This neuron may represent a transformed RP2 neuron [see Fig. 4E, and Pattern of (eve) expression, below]. hh mutants show a highly disorganized pattern at this time; many cells appear to be absent, and those that are present are hard to identify.

The fasciclin III expression pattern in ptc mutants (Fig. 4B,D) shows that the RP1, RP2, and RP3 neurons
that normally sit between the commissures are absent, and this alteration may lead to the fusion of the commissures. The remaining fasciclin III-positive cell bodies (DL and VL) appear to be normal. The number of fasciclin III-labeled bundles in the commissures has been reduced to three, and careful analysis indicates that the two missing bundles (2 and 3) are those pioneered by RP1 and RP3. With the elimination of bundle 3, the VL cell bodies now project their axons into bundle 1, 4, or 5, which results in the increased staining of these bundles, especially 4 and 5. In addition, the axons of VL cells sometimes extend into the next most anterior segment and project across bundle 4 or 5.

In gsb mutants, the fasciclin III expression pattern is...
altered slightly. The position and number of the DL and VL neurons appear normal, but all of the VL axons tend to project in bundle 3. Bundle 5 seems to form occasionally in what remains of the posterior commissure. The position of the RP neurons is normal, but the presence of the other fasciclin III-positive neurons in this region makes it difficult to see the duplication of the RPI and RP2 neurons, as is suggested by the SOXII and eve staining (see below).

**Pattern of SOXII mAb staining**

The SOXII mAb labels a subset of motor neurons in the CNS starting at the initiation of axonogenesis. In each hemisegment, just posterior to the anterior commissure, SOXII labels the RPI and the RP2 neurons, and just posterior to the posterior commissure it labels the aCC neuron. The known lineage [from NB 1-1] and the characteristic axon morphology of the aCC neuron make it particularly easy to identify. The SOXII staining is also seen in the cell bodies and axons of other motor neurons leading to the intersegmental nerve [Fig. 5A].

In wg mutants, the structure of the CNS is disrupted; labeled neurons are found in the correct positions for RPI, RP2, and aCC, but their organization is altered [Fig. 5B]. In the hh mutant, the pattern of neurons is drasti-
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Figure 6. Serotonin expression in segment polarity mutants. Photographs of serotonergic neurons in dissections of wild-type (A), wg (B), hh (C), fu (D), ptc (E), and gsb (F) embryos at ~20 hr of development, as revealed by anti-serotonin antibody. Anterior is up, and view is dorsal. (A) Wild-type embryo contains a pair of serotonergic neurons per hemisegment (pair of arrowheads). One pair of serotonergic neurons is out of the plane of focus. These pairs are present in the normal pattern in fu (D) embryos, usually present in wg (B) embryo hemisegments, and only occasionally present within the hemisegments of hh embryos (C). (E) In the ptc mutant, the pairs of small arrowheads on the right point out pairs of serotonergic neurons in adjacent segments, and the large arrowheads on the left indicate a duplicated pair of serotonergic neurons positioned in between normal pairs. (F) In gsb mutants, there are often four serotonergic neurons sitting together in a cluster (four small arrowheads). Scale bar, 45 μm.

cally disrupted, and it is difficult to identify specific neurons with confidence (Fig. 5C). In the fu, arm, Ce, and ciD mutants, a relatively normal array of neurons and axons is present in most segments (Fig. 5D). The staining pattern of the SOXII monoclonal on ptc mutant nervous systems is quite different from that on wild type. Our interpretation of the pattern is that the RP1 and RP2 neurons are deleted and that the aCC neuron is occasionally duplicated (Fig. 5E). The duplicated aCC neuron lies in a position near to that of the original RP1 and RP2 neurons, but we are confident that the labeled neurons are indeed aCC neurons. From the expression pattern of fasciclin III in ptc mutant nervous systems, we know that the RP neurons are deleted, and the alterations in the early fasciclin III expression pattern suggest that a block of NBs have been transformed in ptc mutants. In addition, the aCC neuron is among the earliest to label with SOXII and it can be recognized by the characteristic axonal process that it extends into the periphery. When early ptc mutant nervous systems are labeled with SOXII, segments occasionally contain a duplicated aCC that sends out a mirror-image symmetric process (data not shown). In gsb mutants, the SOXII pattern of labeling is also changed (Fig. 5F). The RP1, RP2, and aCC neurons are all present, but duplications appear to occur, at least in some segments. In Figure 5F, a large cluster of RP1 and RP2 neurons is located just posterior to the single segmental commissure, and a pair of aCC neurons can be seen in one segment.

Pattern of serotonin expression

The pattern of anti-serotonin antibody staining in the wild-type Drosophila CNS (Valles and White 1985) is
Figure 7. *en* expression in segment polarity mutants. Photographs of the expression of *en* in whole mounts of wild-type (A,E,I), *ptc* (B,F), *wg* (C,J), *gsb* (G,K) and *Ce* (D,H,L) embryos at 8 (A–D), 10 (E–H), and 12 (I–L) hr of development, as revealed by anti-*en* mAb. Anterior is up, and view is ventral. (A) Wild-type embryos show an *en* stripe over the *en*-positive neuroblasts [thin arrow] and the MNB and its progeny [arrowhead]. (E,I) Later in development, the lateral neurons are more clearly visible [wide arrow] and the MNB progeny are slightly increased in number [arrowhead]. In *ptc* mutants (B), there is an ectopic *en* stripe [small arrowhead; thin arrow is the normal stripe], an ectopic MNB progeny [horizontal arrowhead in F], and ectopic lateral neurons [wide horizontal arrow in F; slanted arrowheads and arrows indicate normal MNB progeny and lateral neurons in F]. (C) In *wg* mutants, the *en* stripes are missing, but the MNB and its progeny [arrowhead] and lateral neuroblasts [wide arrow] are present. Later in development (F), the normal pattern of MNB progeny [arrowhead] and lateral neurons [wide arrow] are present in *wg* mutants. (G) *gsb* mutants at 10 hr possess fairly normal MNB progeny [arrowhead], lateral neurons [wide arrow], and *en* stripes. (K) By 12 hr in development, expression in some of the lateral neurons [wide arrow] has decayed along with the *en* stripes. The MNB progeny expression, however, remains reasonably normal [arrowhead in K]. In *Ce* mutants, the lateral neurons remain normal throughout development [wide arrows in D,H,L], but the MNB does not produce *en*-positive progeny [arrowhead in H and L points out the single MNB cell present]. Scale bar, 90 μm; (A–D) 60 μm; (I–L) 40 μm.
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tification of several neurons, including many that originate from the posterior part of the segment (Fig. 7A,E,I) [DiNardo et al. 1985; N.H. Patel and C.S. Goodman, in prep.). Expression of en in ectodermal stripes starts just after cellularization and is maintained throughout development [DiNardo et al. 1985]. Most, but not all, of the neuronal staining underlies the ectodermal stripe of en expression. The most obvious elements to the pattern include several midline precursor [MP] progeny and the median neuroblast [MNB] and its progeny along the midline, and a large cluster of neurons located more laterally that are probably derived from approximately four NBs [per hemisegment], two in row 6 and two in row 7 [the last row].

Figure 8. *eve* expression in germ-band-extended embryos. Photographs of anti-*eve* antibody staining in whole-mount wild-type (A), *wg* (B), *hh* (C), *fu* (D), *ptc* (E), and *gsb* (F) embryos at ~7 hr of development. Anterior is up and ventral is to the right. (A) Wild-type embryo shows neuron cluster composed of aCC, pCC, and two CQs [arrow] and the offset RP2 neuron [arrowhead]. This same pattern is present in *hh* (C) and *Ce* [D] mutants. In both *wg* [B] and *ptc* [E] mutants, the cluster of neurons is present, but RP2 is absent. (F) In the *gsb* mutant a string of *eve*-positive neurons is present all along the germ-band. Scale bar, 90 µm.

shown in Figure 6A. The last segment of the nervous system has a single serotonergic neuron per hemisegment, each abdominal and thoracic segment has a pair of serotonergic neurons per hemisegment, and the gnathal segments have clusters of three serotonergic neurons per hemisegment. In all segments, the serotonergic neurons are located in the posterior part of each neuromere.

Figure 6 shows the abdominal and thoracic region, where we expect to see a pair of serotonergic neurons in each hemisegment in wild-type embryos. In *wg* mutants, the normal pair of serotonergic neurons is found in most hemisegments, but occasionally clusters are missing [Fig. 6B]. In *hh* mutants, pairs of serotonergic neurons are found only sporadically along the nervous system [Fig. 6C]. The pattern of serotonergic neurons in *fu*, *arm*, and *ci* and *Ce* mutants is normal [Fig. 6D]. In *ptc* mutants, additional clusters of paired serotonergic neurons are found in the middle of segments [Fig. 6E]. In *gsb* mutants, the paired serotonergic neurons are replaced by clusters of four serotonergic neurons [Fig. 6F].

**Pattern of en expression**

The anti-*en* mAb [N.H. Patel et al., in prep.] allows indentification of several neurons, including many that originate from the posterior part of the segment (Fig. 7A,E,I) [DiNardo et al. 1985; N.H. Patel and C.S. Goodman, in prep.). Expression of *en* in ectodermal stripes starts just after cellularization and is maintained throughout development [DiNardo et al. 1985]. Most, but not all, of the neuronal staining underlies the ectodermal stripe of *en* expression. The most obvious elements to the pattern include several midline precursor [MP] progeny and the median neuroblast [MNB] and its progeny along the midline, and a large cluster of neurons located more laterally that are probably derived from approximately four NBs [per hemisegment], two in row 6 and two in row 7 [the last row].

Figure 9. *eve* in germ-band-shortened embryos. Photographs of anti-*eve* antibody staining in whole-mount wild-type (A,C), *ptc* (B), *hh* (D), *gsb* (E), and *wg* (F) embryos at ~10 [A,B] and 12 [C-F] hr of development. Anterior is up and view is ventral. At 10 hr, wild-type embryos show the aCC, pCC, CQ cluster [large arrowhead], RP2 [arrow], and lateral EL cluster [triangle]. (B) *ptc* mutants at the same stage are lacking the EL cluster and RP2 neuron but possess the aCC/pCC/CQ cluster [large arrowhead]. Occasionally, a pair of neurons [small arrowhead] is seen where RP2 is expected and these are thought to be a duplicated aCC and pCC. (C) The wild-type pattern at 12 hr consists of an aCC [small arrowhead], a pCC [large arrowhead], the CQ neurons [wide arrow], RP2 neuron [thin arrow], and an EL cluster [triangle]. (D) The pattern in *hh* mutants is extremely disorganized. (E) The *gsb* pattern includes the EL cluster but seems to be lacking the CQ neurons. In addition, there appears to be a pair of RP2s [thin arrows], aCCs [small arrowheads], and pCCs [large arrowheads] per hemisegment, although the duplications are not perfect in every segment. (F) In the *wg* mutants, all the elements are present with the exception of the RP2 neuron. Scale bar, 40 µm.
The pattern of en-positive neurons is normal in wg (Fig. 7C, J), even though wg mutants show a rapid deterioration of the ectodermal en stripes during the early part of the germ-band-extended period (DiNardo et al. 1988; Martinez-Arias et al. 1988). This indicates that en expression in the CNS is independent of wg and, therefore, is under different regulation. The en neuronal pattern appeared normal in germ-band-extended hh embryos, but later in development (~10 hr) the pattern was highly disrupted and only a small number of scattered en-positive neurons were left by ~12 hr of development. ptc mutants (Fig. 7B, F) show a partial duplication of en-positive neurons in the CNS in the region just anterior to the region of normal en expression. ptc mutants also show thin, ectopic en stripes in the ectoderm (DiNardo et al. 1988; Martinez-Arias et al. 1988), which are aligned with the ectopic CNS expression. This ectopic expression is visible at the time neuroblasts are beginning to form. Therefore, the expression of en is largely affected in the same way in both the CNS and the ectoderm in ptc mutants. In gsb mutants (Fig. 7G, K), the en stripe of neurons starts out normally and then decays after germ-band shortening to about one-half its normal width at the same time that the en ectodermal stripe is decaying in these mutants. It appears that the narrowing is due to the elimination of staining in the progeny of the row 6 neuroblasts. In Ce mutants (Fig. 7D, H, L), the lateral pattern elements appear normal, but a clear alteration is seen along the midline. There appear to be no en-positive MP progeny, and the single nucleus at the midline probably belongs to the MNB. In Ce mutants, this NB either does not produce any progeny or alternatively produces progeny that are not en positive. The ectodermal stripe in this mutant begins to decay during germ-band shortening. Normal patterns of en expression in the CNS were observed for fu and arm, with decay of the ectodermal stripe occurring during germ-band shortening. ciP mutants have not been examined for en expression.

Pattern of eve expression

Antibodies against eve allow the identification of ~14 neurons. Before germ-band shortening, each hemisegment contains a cluster of neurons composed of aCC, pCC, and two CQ neurons (ventral to aCC and pCC) and offset from this cluster lies the RP2 neuron (Fig. 8A). The pattern after germ-band retraction consists of these original neurons, plus three to four additional CQ neurons and a set of five to seven EL neurons that lie toward the lateral edge of the CNS posterior and ventral to pCC (Fig. 9A). As the CNS condenses, aCC, pCC, and RP2 are progressively displaced toward the midline. One or two of the CQ neurons are also moved toward the midline, and the remaining CQ neurons stay near their original position (Fig. 9C).

wg mutants (Figs. 8B and 9F) show a deletion of the RP2 neuron, with all other elements appearing normal. In ptc mutants, (Figs. 8E and 9B), the CQ neurons are normal and the EL neurons are deleted. The aCC and pCC neurons are present, but the RP2 neuron is absent. The RP2 neuron is occasionally replaced by a pair of darkly staining eve-positive cells that may be duplications of aCC and pCC. In gsb mutants (Figs. 8F and 9E), the EL cells are present and the CQ cells are absent. In addition, the normal pattern of eve-stained aCC, pCC and RP2 cells is replaced by three pairs of eve-positive cells. These probably represent pairs of aCCs, pCCs, and RP2s. Mutations in hh, mutants, the pattern is normal initially (Fig. 8C) but then deteriorates after germ-band shortening (Fig. 9D). The pattern in arm, ciP, and Ce mutants is normal (Fig. 8D). fu mutants have not been examined for eve expression.

Discussion

The segment polarity genes play a critical role in the formation of the Drosophila epidermis, where their function is required for the generation of proper pattern along the anteroposterior axis in each segment. Mutations in the segment polarity genes lead to the deletion of structures from each segment and, in certain cases to the mirror-image duplication of remaining structures (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980). Because mutations in the segment polarity genes cause pattern defects in the same relative position within each segment, it has been proposed that these genes function to specify position and cell fate along the anteroposterior axis within each segment.

In this study we have examined the role of these genes during neurogenesis. We used a variety of antibodies to examine the pattern of individual neurons, particularly in the developing CNS, of eight segment polarity mutants to address the following questions. Which segment polarity genes are required for the proper development of the nervous system? What is the nature of the nervous system defect in segment polarity mutants? Is the pattern of defects in the nervous system homologous to that seen in the epidermis? Do any of the mutant phenotypes suggest a role for these genes during neurogenesis that is distinct from their regional specification of the epidermis?

Segment polarity mutants have homologous pattern defects in the epidermis and the PNS

In the PNS, pattern defects occur in all the segment polarity mutants, and these alterations appear to be homologous to the defects observed in the epidermis. This suggests that the same mechanism is used to specify positionally the cells in the epidermis and the neurons in the PNS. This result is not surprising, because the cells that give rise to the PNS are located within the epidermis and do not leave the epidermal layer until germ-band shortening (Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein 1985). It is known that the gsb, ptc, and wg genes are active during germ-band extension (Nüsslein-Volhard and Wieschaus 1980; Bopp et al. 1986; Baker 1987; Baumgartner et al. 1987; Cote et al. 1987; Martinez-Arias et al. 1988). Therefore, during the time of segment polarity gene
function, the progenitor cells of the PNS are still part of the epidermal layer and presumably are able to respond to the same positional information that specifies the epidermis.

**Three segment polarity mutants have a relatively normal CNS**

Of the eight segment polarity mutants examined, only five—wg, hh, Ce, ptc, and gsb—showed alterations in the pattern of neurons within the CNS. In fu, arm, and ciP mutants, the nervous system was not perfect, but the normal set of diagnostic neurons were typically present. Our negative results carry the caveat that we were only assaying a small number of neurons and that the mutant phenotypes may not have been amorphic. Nevertheless, it appears likely that the fu, arm, and ci genes act primarily in the epidermis, and are probably performing functions specific to the epidermis at a time after the NBs have delaminated.

**The hh mutant has a global and possibly late defect in the CNS**

Mutations in the hh gene cause extreme alterations in the pattern of the CNS. The anti-HRP antibody staining pattern shows disorganization in the pattern of axon pathways. The 2D5 mAb [anti-fasciclin III] occasionally stains neurons that may be RP1, the SOXII mAb stains an array of neurons that bear no resemblance to its normal pattern, and serotonergic neurons are present but only in a few segments. The variability in the pattern between segments and the severe nature of the defects, make the interpretation of the mutant phenotype very difficult. The fact that the fasciclin III and eve patterns appear normal during germ-band extension but then deteriorate rapidly shortly thereafter suggests that the initial patterning may be normal but the CNS may become disorganized because of secondary causes. Given the appearance of the CNS, it is possible that widespread cell death is responsible for this transition.

**The wg, Ce, ptc, and gsb mutants have specific defects in the CNS**

The defect in wg mutants is quite subtle. The anti-eve antibody labels all of its normal neurons, with the exception of RP2, which does not stain in wg mutants. However, RP2's sibling, RP1, is present. In addition, the fasciclin III staining pattern of this entire lineage during the germ-band-extended period indicates that the other neurons of this lineage, such as RP3, are present. Previous experiments [Doe et al. 1988a] indicated that lack of eve expression in RP2 transforms it toward the fate of its sibling, RP1. Thus, it is possible that there are two RP1 neurons in each hemisegment and no RP2 neuron in wg mutants. This would explain the additional fasciclin III-positive neuron next to RP1. This alteration may have been missed with the SOXII antibody, as both RP1 and RP2 are SOXII-positive, and both normally follow pathways filled with SOXII-labeled axons. The lack of some serotonergic cells and fusion of commissures may be due to a general deterioration of the CNS that occurs late in development.

In summary, the results indicate that the wg CNS defect is far less extensive than the ectodermal defect. The results suggest further that wg and eve interact differently in the CNS than in the epidermis. For example, the posterior en-positive neurons are normal in the CNS of wg mutants, whereas the ectoderm in such mutants shows deletion of the posterior regions of cuticle. Moreover, in wg mutants, eve expression in the ectoderm is eliminated shortly after germ-band extension, whereas en expression in the CNS is not. This indicates that unlike in the epidermis, wg does not control the expression of en in the CNS.

wg is thought to be involved in cell–cell communication in the ectoderm because: (1) it appears to act in a non-cell autonomous fashion [Morata and Lawrence 1977]; (2) the region of deleted cuticle exceeds the region of wg expression [Baker 1987]; and (3) the sequence data suggest that the protein product is secreted [Rijsewijk et al. 1987]. In this context, it is interesting to note that the choice of cell fate between sibling neurons involves local interactions between these cells [Kuwada and Goodman 1985]. The only effect of wg mutants that we have observed in the developing CNS is on the determination of a single neuron, RP2, which is one of a pair of neurons that must interact to specify their fates. Thus, wg may play a role in allowing the communication between the sibling neurons RP1 and RP2. Without this normal interaction, both cells fail to express eve and may thus be acquiring identical fates. We are attempting to observe whether any other sibling pairs show similar transformations in wg mutants.

Ce mutants show a lack of en-positive MP progeny and a MNB which, if present, fails to produce progeny or alternatively generates progeny that do not express en. It is possible that the defects in Ce mutants reflect an indirect influence from the epidermis on these neurons. It is also possible that Ce may play some specific role in specifying the fates of certain midline neurons, or the phenotype may reveal a role for this gene in controlling certain neuronal division patterns.

In ptc and gsb mutants, we find numerous alterations in the pattern of neurons. Summary diagrams indicating the positions of the labeled neurons in the CNS of wildtype, ptc, and gsb embryos are shown in Figure 1.

The CNS phenotype of ptc mutants resembles its ectodermal defects in several ways. The region of deletion in the epidermis is around the middle of the segment; the deleted cells in the CNS, the RP neurons, and the EL cells are located in the middle of the nervous system segment. Because a number of the deleted cells normally sit between the two commissures and appear to be involved in keeping these axon pathways separated [R. Jacobs, pers. comm.], this pattern alteration may be responsible for the formation of the fused commissures. It is important to note, however, that not all neurons in
the middle of the CNS segments are affected. For example, the VL cells lie in the same anterior–posterior position as the RP neurons but they are not deleted [see Fig. 4D]. The additional fasciclin III-positive neuroblast of the thoracic segments probably sits anterior to the pattern deletion of ptc, and thus its progeny are still present.

Therefore, it appears as though ptc controls the fate of only a subset of neurons underlying the region of ectoderm that it controls. From this, we predict that ptc would be expressed in a solid stripe in the ectoderm, but expression would be heterogeneous in the nervous system, reflecting the pattern of neurons that do and do not require ptc function.

In ptc mutants, the replacement of deleted cells by duplicated cells from the ends of the segment may be occurring. The serotonergic cells are clearly duplicated in the middle of the segment; these cells are known to originate from an NB in the last NB row of each segment. The en stripe is partially duplicated in the ectoderm of ptc mutants; in a similar fashion, the en-positive neuroblasts and neurons, which define the posterior region of each CNS segment, appear to undergo a duplication. Consequently, duplicated en-positive neurons come to lie toward the middle of the segment. The aCC and pCC neurons are also occasionally duplicated; these cells are from NB 1-1 in the first row of each segment (though these are two of the very few cells that migrate to their final position). The ptc gene probably controls neuronal determination at the level of the NBs because we see deletions of entire NB lineages and duplications of entire lineages [see Figs. 3E and 7F].

The defects seen in gsb mutants are more complex. There are deletions that seem to involve cells that reside in the more posterior regions of each CNS segment. For example, en expression after germ-band shortening is narrowed to a thinner stripe of cells. Within a wild-type hemisegment, en is expressed by progeny from two neuroblasts in the NB row 6 and two in the NB row 7 [N.H. Patel et al., in prep.]. It is possible that the progeny of the NB row 6 lose en expression and those of NB row 7 are unaffected. The CQ cells are also deleted, and the lack of a posterior commissure may reflect the absence of certain landmark neuronal or nonneuronal cells from this region. The pattern of duplications is not as clear as in ptc. The serotonergic cells sit near the edge of the deletion [based on the en data], and they appear to be duplicated [see Fig. 6F]. These pattern alterations might be tied in with the changes that occur in the ectoderm.

In addition, an interesting set of duplications occurs in gsb mutants in the region of the aCC, pCC, and RP1, RP2 neurons. The anti-eve staining suggests that certain individual cells are replaced by pairs of cells. The SOXII data also indicate that there are extra pairs of SOXII-positive cells in the area. The duplicated cells do not seem to be made in only the regions of the deletions [see Figs. 8F and 9E] but occur all along the length of each neurone. One possibility for this pattern of duplications is that the gsb gene may be required for the normal cell lineage process to occur, i.e., for NBs to generate their proper lineage of GMCs. For example, the gsb product might be responsible for making the first GMC of a NB different from the second GMC of that same NB. In the absence of gsb product, the second GMC might become equivalent to the first. This would lead to the tightly coupled pairs of duplicated neurons that are seen throughout the CNS.

Indeed, the RP1 and RP2 neurons, the aCC and pCC neurons, and the pair of serotonergic neurons are all thought to be siblings that arise from the first GMC from three different neuroblasts [Taghert and Goodman 1984; Kuwada and Goodman 1985; Patel et al. 1987]. As of yet, we have no idea how the fates of subsequent GMCs in these lineages are affected. A series of primary neuronal culture experiments that are in progress might help test our hypothesis and allow a better analysis of the lineage modifications in gsb mutants.

One final caveat to the analysis we have presented with all of our probes has to do with our ability to identify duplicated cell types properly. In a wild-type embryo, cells are most often identified on the basis of their position and axon morphology, but when these cells are born in new positions, these criteria cannot be used alone. We then try to identify cells based on their expression of specific molecules, but this can lead to complex problems. The genes involved in neuronal determination may work in a combinatorial manner just as segmentation genes do earlier in development. It is possible that the elimination of one of these components can create a cell containing a combination of determinants that does not normally exist in any cell of a wild-type embryo. This could lead to abnormal development in which a single neuron might express products normally restricted to different lineages. Fortunately, many of the changes we see are paralleled by various markers, suggesting that our basic observations are sound.

In conclusion, several segment polarity mutations do not cause noticeable alterations in neuronal cell determination within the CNS. One gene, hh, causes a severe defect that may simply be due to secondary causes.

The segment polarity mutation ptc causes a set of duplications and deletions that reflect its cuticle phenotype in certain respects. We believe that this gene may be involved in the process of positionally specifying a subset of NBs. A second mutation, gsb, gives rise to deletions that correspond to the deletions in the cuticle, but the pattern of duplications suggest a more complex role. One hypothesis is that in addition to this gene’s role in positional specification, it may also play a role in GMC determination within specific NB lineages. The Ce gene may be important for providing positional information to certain neural precursors along the midline and/or may play a role in controlling the division patterns of these same midline cells. wg mutants appear to have a very subtle defect that does not correspond to their cuticular defect: A single neuron, RP2, is missing, whereas other neurons in its lineage appear normal. This nervous system defect could imply that the wg gene serves a role in the cell–cell communication that allows sibling neurons to interact and take on different fates.
Methods
Drosophila stocks

The criz/M63a and the wgG22 en bw/sp/CyO stocks were obtained from the Boeing Green Stock Center. C. Nüsslein-Volhard provided the cn bw sp DfH62/CyO, b pr/Bl cn Df8B1/SM1 [deficiencies of the gsh locus], and cn proBog bw/sp/CyO stocks. The P43c26 st et/TM3 Sb and the y armX22/XY stocks were obtained from E. Wieschaus. N. Baker and B. Hochman provided the P43c26 b pr/CyO [a transcript null] and CyO[eyP] stocks, respectively. The fu46 mutation was an X-ray-induced allele with very good expressivity of the fu segmentation defect.

Immunofluorescence

Whole mounts Embryos were dechorionated in a 1 : 1 mixture of Clorox and water and fixed for 20 min at the interface between heptane and 4% paraformaldehyde in 1 x PBS. The vitelline membranes were removed by replacing the fix with an equal volume of methanol and shaking the tube vigorously. The heptane and methanol solutions were removed, and the embryos were washed twice in methanol [Mitchison and Schedl 1983]. The embryos were then washed three times for 10 min each in BSS [55 mM NaCl, 40 mM KCl, 15 mM MgSO4, 5 mM CaCl2, 10 mM Tricine-buffer, 20 mM glucose, 50 mM sucrose, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [fraction VI] [pH 7.0]]. [Chan and Gehring 1971], followed by one 30-min wash in TFN [150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 5% fetal bovine serum, 0.5% NP-40].

Dissections Embryos 10–12 hr old were dechorionated in a 1 : 1 mixture of Clorox and water and transferred to a piece of double-stick tape. The embryos were covered with BSS and removed from the vitelline membranes by making a hole in the membrane near the head region of the embryo with a glass needle and carefully teasing the embryos out. The embryos were transferred to a ring chamber containing BSS that was less, 4% paraformaldehyde in 1 x PBS. The vitelline membranes were removed by replacing the fix with an equal volume of methanol and shaking the tube vigorously. The heptane and methanol solutions were removed, and the embryos were washed twice in methanol [Mitchison and Schedl 1983]. The embryos were then washed three times for 10 min each in BSS [55 mM NaCl, 40 mM KCl, 15 mM MgSO4, 5 mM CaCl2, 10 mM Tricine-buffer, 20 mM glucose, 50 mM sucrose, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [fraction VI] [pH 7.0]]. [Chan and Gehring 1971], followed by one 30-min wash in TFN [150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 5% fetal bovine serum, 0.5% NP-40].

Antibody staining Embryos were stained by replacing the TFN with an equal volume of the desired antibody diluted with TFN. Primary antibody dilutions: SOXII [1 : 3], anti-serotonin [ImmunonoNuclear] [1 : 1000]. The embryos were incubated overnight at 4°C. After four 30-min washes in TFN, a Texas red-linked secondary antibody [Amersham] was diluted 1 : 50 with TFN and incubated with the embryos for 6 hr at 4°C. After 160-min washes in TFN, the whole-mount and dissected embryos were double-labeled with fluorescein-conjugated anti-HRP antibody [Cooper Biomedical], diluted 1 : 200 with TFN. The embryos were incubated for 1 hr at room temperature and washed three times, for 15 min each in TFN. The embryos were mounted in a solution of 80% glycerol, 4% n-propyl galate, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5].

HRP immunocytochemistry

All 2D5, en, and eve antibody staining on whole-mount em-

bryos was done following the procedure described previously in Patel et al. [1987], with the following modifications: Embryos were fixed for 45 min (instead of 10 min), and the antibiotin/avidin-HRP system was replaced by HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse or rabbit antibodies [Jackson Immunoresearch Labs] at a concentration of 1 : 200. The 2D5 and anti-en mAbs were used at a 1 : 1 dilution, and the affinity-purified rabbit anti-eve antibody was used at a concentration of 1 : 50.
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