**Bicaudal-D, a *Drosophila* gene involved in developmental asymmetry: localized transcript accumulation in ovaries and sequence similarity to myosin heavy chain tail domains**
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The *Bicaudal-D* (*Bic-D*) gene is essential for the differentiation of the oocyte in *Drosophila*. Dominant gain-of-function mutations result in the formation of double abdomen embryos. The *Bic-D* gene was cloned and identified using restriction fragment length polymorphisms, Northern analysis, and transformation rescue. *Bic-D* RNA accumulates in the oocyte during the earliest stages of oogenesis and is localized anteriorly in later stages. The predicted protein contains several extended amphipathic helices, and its similarity to myosin heavy chain tails, paramyosin, and kinesin suggests a similar type of coiled-coil protein interaction.
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The mechanisms that establish anterior–posterior polarity in insect embryos have been investigated since the turn of the century. Posterior organizing activity was discovered in eggs of the dragonfly *Platycnemis* as early as 1929 (Seidel 1929) and subsequently in several other insect systems using classical embryological approaches (for review, see Sander 1976; Frohnhofer et al. 1986). Disruption of anterior activity by UV irradiation, pricking, centrifugation, or RNase treatment not only results in the lack of anterior structures in the embryos of the midges *Chironomus* and *Smittia* but also in their replacement by posterior structures. The two abdomens are arranged in mirror-image symmetry, and the resulting pattern is referred to as the bicaudal or double abdomen phenotype (Yajima 1960, 1964; Kalthoff and Sander 1968; Schmidt et al. 1975; Kandler-Singer and Kalthoff 1976). In *Drosophila*, mutations in at least three different maternal-effect loci, *bic*, *Bic-C*, or *Bic-D*, also cause such a double abdomen phenotype (Yajima 1960, 1964; Kalthoff and Sander 1968; Schmidt et al. 1975; Kandler-Singer and Kalthoff 1976). In *Drosophila*, mutants in at least three different maternal-effect loci, *bic*, *Bic-C*, or *Bic-D*, also cause such a double abdomen phenotype (Yajima 1960, 1964; Kalthoff and Sander 1968). Of these, the three dominant gain-of-function alleles at the *Bic-D* locus (71.34, 11E48, and YC67) have the highest penetrance. In addition to the bicaudal phenotype produced by females heterozygous or homozygous for a gain-of-function mutation [at 18°C], eggs laid by homozygous *Bic-D* females exhibit a cold-sensitive chorion phenotype and females homozygous for a recessive mutation in *Bic-D* are sterile (Mohler and Wieschaus 1986).

Weak *Bic-D* dominant phenotypes show a reduction of anterior structure, whereas strong phenotypes lack anterior structures entirely and form posterior structures at the anterior end instead (Fig. 1A). The cellular mechanisms underlying this transformation are not understood. In wild-type embryogenesis, an anterior and a posterior organizing center act together in setting up the anteroposterior polarity (for review, see Nüsslein-Volhard et al. 1987). The anterior organizing activity depends on the *bicoid* gene product, whereas the posterior activity depends on gene products of the posterior group genes such as *oskar* and *nanos*. Associated with the anterior to posterior transformation in embryos from *Bic-D* mothers is a reduction of *bicoid* protein and the presence of posterior factors at the anterior pole (Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986; Driever and Nüsslein-Volhard 1988b). Temperature shift experiments indicate that the cold-sensitive period of the *Bic-D* dominant phenotype is during the final 6 hr of oogenesis, about stages 11–14 (Mohler and Wieschaus 1985). During this same period, anterior and posterior organizing activities can be demonstrated in wild-type oocytes (Sander and Lehmann 1988). Therefore, it is possible that the *Bic-D* product interacts with components of the posterior and/or anterior organizing centers to set up the initial anterior–posterior polarity in late oogenesis.

The phenotype resulting from the two loss-of-function mutations (*PA66* and *R26*) is quite different from that caused by the dominant alleles and suggests that the *Bic-D* gene product is initially required at a very early step in oogenesis. Females homozygous mutant for the...
two loss-of-function alleles do not lay eggs, and their ovaries are full of partially developed egg chambers. These egg chambers contain no oocytes but have 16 rather than the usual 15 polyploid nurse cells instead (Fig. 1B). The wild-type role of the Bic-D gene product in early oogenesis may be the localization of determinants within the early egg chamber, an essential step for the differentiation of the oocyte at the posterior position. Thus, the role of Bic-D in early egg chamber polarity may be related to the polarity reversal produced at later stages by the dominant gain-of-function alleles.

Results

Localization of Bic-D

Previous studies using complementation and recombination analysis localized the Bic-D gene within Df(2L)TW119 in the cytological region 36C, very close (<0.022 cM) and proximal to dorsal (dl) [Steward and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986]. We initially attempted to identify the Bic-D gene by determining whether any of the Bic-D mutants were associated with DNA lesions detectable by genomic Southern analysis [Southern 1975] in a ~200-kb region around the dl locus. Because none were found, we decided to map Bic-D with respect to restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) in the region. For this purpose, we took advantage of the fact that recombination between a female sterile Bic-D allele and the female sterile dl locus would result in a chromosome that would be fertile over a deficiency that uncovers both genes (Fig. 2A). To be able to map the recombination site at the molecular level, we used two chromosomes with a high number of restriction site polymorphisms, the dorsal allele dl8, induced on a b pr cn wxt bw chromosome, and the Bic-D allele PA66, induced on a cn bw chromosome.

Of 30,000 chromosomes tested, 2 fertile recombinant females were recovered and used to establish the lines rec263 and rec285. Both recombinant females carried the flanking markers b+ and pr, placing the Bic-D gene proximal to dl (map distance between dl8 and PA66 is 0.013 cM, with 95% confidence between 0.0036 and 0.034 cM; Stevens 1942). This result agrees with the distance obtained in earlier experiments between two other dl and Bic-D alleles [Steward and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986].

Because the recombinant females are fertile, they must have inherited a dl+ allele from the PA66 chromosome and a Bic-D+ allele from the dl8 chromosome. As shown in Figure 2, B and C, there are two polymorphic HindIII [H+, H**] sites in the DNA segment immediately proximal to the dl locus. The parental Bic-D chromosome PA66 has these two HindIII sites, whereas they are absent in the parental dl8 chromosome. H+ is present in rec263 and absent in rec285. H** is absent in both recombinants. Thus, the relative order of markers in this region is Bic-DPA66, H+, dl8. The two markers H** and Bic-DPA66 have not been ordered relative to each other by this recombination mapping.

Transformation rescue of Bic-D Mutants

The results of the recombination analysis indicated that...
**The Drosophila bicaudal gene Bic-D**

*Figure 2.* Recombination analysis. (A) Schematic drawing of the strategy used for the isolation of recombinants between *dl* and Bic-D. Only females with a wild-type copy of *dl* and Bic-D produce viable offspring over the deficiency. A detailed description is given in Methods. Note that the genetic orientation is used (distal left, proximal right) in contrast to the molecular map in C and Fig. 3. (B) RFLPs in the *dl* and Bic-D region: a Southern filter of HindIII-digested genomic DNA of the two recombinant lines (rec263 and rec285), their parental Bic-D chromosome PA66, and the parental chromosome of *dp* *(pdP- b pi en wxt bw).* The filter was probed with a recombinant λ phage (B3) containing the sequences indicated in C. The approximate length of the restriction fragments is indicated. (C) Localization of the recombination sites on the genomic map deduced from the Southern analysis shown in B. HindIII sites present in the *dl* and the PA66 chromosomes are indicated. H** is only present in the PA66 chromosome; H* is in the rec263 and the PA66 chromosomes. Note that proximal is to the right, and distal is to the left. Recombination occurred to the left of H* in recombinant line rec263. Therefore, the Bic-D** chromosome maps to the left (proximal) of H*, as indicated by the arrow.

**Bic-D** is located very close and proximal to *dl.* Our Northern analysis of transcripts encoded by the DNA segment proximal to the *dl* locus revealed that there are three transcription units in the immediate vicinity of the first polymorphic HindIII site (H*). As shown in Figure 3A, transcription unit III spans the polymorphic H* site, whereas transcription units I and II are located more proximal. We speculated that one of these transcription units might correspond to the Bic-D gene, to test this possibility, genomic DNA fragments from this region were transformed into flies and assayed for their ability to rescue the female sterility of the recessive loss-of-function Bic-D alleles, PA66 and R26. The three genomic fragments shown in Figure 3B were used for transformation. C, R, and X were subcloned into the CaSpeR transformation vector, which contains a white* (w+) gene as a marker [Pirrotta 1988].

We found that of >20 independent transformants of C, none was capable of rescuing the female sterility of the Bic-D− alleles. Neither were 20 independent transformants of R capable of this rescue. Because R contains transcription units I and II plus an additional 4 kb of flanking distal sequences that are part of transcription unit III, it seems very unlikely that I or II encodes Bic-D. A quite different result was obtained with fragment X, which encodes the entire transcription units III and II, but only part of transcription unit I. A single copy of the fragment X rescues the female sterility phenotype of all combinations of the Bic-D− alleles. Therefore, the results argue strongly that the DNA encoding transcription unit III is responsible for the rescue of the Bic-D sterility by fragment X. In this context it is interesting to note that in contrast to transcription unit II, transcription unit III encodes an ovarian-specific RNA species (Fig. 3).

**Expression of the Bic-D gene**

The Bic-D transcription pattern during development is shown in the Northern blot in Figure 3C. Two transcripts, one of 3.8 kb and the other of 4.4 kb, are detected in poly(A)+ RNA prepared from either adult females or dissected ovaries. These Bic-D RNA species are also rather abundant in very early, 0- to 2-hr embryos. Because this interval is prior to the onset of high levels of embryonic transcription (which occurs in the 2- to 4-hr interval), we suspect that these transcripts are of maternal origin and were deposited in the maturing egg during oogenesis (see below). The level of both Bic-D RNA species appears to drop in the 2- to 4-hr interval, and by 4-8 hr there is virtually no Bic-D RNA in the embryo. This pattern is similar to that observed for...
other maternally supplied RNAs: The maternal transcripts persist during the very early embryonic cleavage stages but are degraded just prior to cellular blastoderm formation (Steward et al. 1988; Salz et al. 1989). After 8 hr, the larger, 4.4-kb transcript reappears and is detected in all of the stages of the Drosophila life cycle examined, as well as in adult males. In addition, a third Bic-D transcript of ~5.7 kb is found in late embryos, pupae, and adult males. Although the presence of Bic-D transcripts in females, ovaries, and early embryos might be expected from previous genetic analysis, it is not clear what functions the Bic-D RNAs may be providing at other stages in development and in adult males. In particular, all of the known Bic-D mutations have no obvious effects on viability and no apparent phenotype other than those affecting oogenesis and early embryogenesis.

Localization of the Bic-D transcripts

The Drosophila ovary consists of ~16 ovarioles subdivided into two morphologically distinct parts. In the gerarium, a precursor cell divides into 16 cystocytes that stay interconnected through cytoplasmic bridges. One specific cystocyte becomes the oocyte and moves to the most posterior position, whereas the other cystocytes become nurse cells. This complex becomes surrounded by follicle cells and forms the egg chamber. The maturation of the egg chamber occurs in the vitellarium and has been subdivided into 14 stages (King 1970, Mahowald and Kambsellis 1980).

To examine the expression of the Bic-D gene during oogenesis, we hybridized a 35S-labeled Bic-D riboprobe to paraffin sections of wild-type ovaries (Ingham et al. 1985). We also hybridized a digoxigenin-labeled c15 cDNA probe to fixed whole ovaries and embryos (Tautz and Pfeifle 1989). The results of both experiments were comparable: In ovaries, we see hybridization in very young egg chambers (stages 1–2; see arrows in Fig. 4A). Up to stage 7, signal is only found in the oocyte, where it is concentrated around the nucleus. The other cells show only background hybridization with the 35S-labeled probe. At about stage 8, we observe a striking increase of signal in the nurse cell complex; the accumulation of Bic-D RNA in these cells seems to increase up to stage 11 when the nurse cells empty their cytoplasmic contents into the oocyte. At stage 8, the oocyte begins to increase in size due to the deposition of yolk and nurse cell contents, and it is during this period of growth (stages 8–10b) that the Bic-D transcript is localized to the anterior end of the oocyte (Fig. 4A,B). Analysis of cross sections, longitudinal sections, as well as whole-mount ovaries, indicates that the Bic-D RNA forms a cap covering the anterior end of the oocyte. By stage 11–12, when the deposition of nurse cell material and yolk into the oocyte is terminated, the RNA is no longer localized in the oocyte but is found nearly uniformly distributed (Fig. 4C). This distribution is maintained throughout the last stages of oogenesis. As shown in Figure 4D, Bic-D RNA is found uniformly distributed in early stages of embryogenesis up to the syncytial blastoderm stage when the level of RNA begins to drop. By cellular blastoderm stage, there is very little or no Bic-D RNA present (Fig. 4D).

Structure of the Bic-D transcripts

Both the 4.4- and the 3.8-kb maternal Bic-D transcripts
persist in early embryos. To isolate cDNA clones corresponding to these transcripts, we screened a 0- to 4-hr embryonic cDNA library (Frigerio et al. 1986). Two of the 38 cDNAs recovered from this screen were larger than 4 kb (c18 and c38), and because of their size, they are presumed to correspond to the larger 4.4-kb transcript. Restriction mapping and Southern analysis revealed a second group of cDNA clones that are 0.5 kb shorter than c18 at the 3' end. Because this is approximately the difference in length between the two Bic-D transcripts found in ovaries and early embryos, these two groups of cDNAs appear to be representative of the two transcripts. We chose one of these ‘short’ cDNAs (c15) and one of the ‘large’ cDNAs (c18) for sequence analysis.

Our analysis revealed that the two cDNAs are co-linear; however, as indicated in Figure 5, c18 extends farther than c15 at both the 3’ and 5’ ends. A polyadenylation signal (AATAAA; Proudfoot and Brownlee 1976) is present at position 3736, and cDNA c15 ends at this signal sequence without a poly(A)^+ tail. To determine whether this represents a bona fide 3’-end signal, we sequenced the 3’ ends of three more cDNAs of the short group [c14, c28, and c32, Fig. 5]. One of these cDNAs, c28, ends 24 nucleotides after this signal with a short poly(A)^+ stretch. On the basis of these results, we believe that this polyadenylation signal is used in ovaries to generate the smaller 3.8-kb transcript. The large cDNA c18 extends 433 bp beyond this polyadenylation site to a poly(A)^+ tail at position 4193. Another polyadenylation signal is 31 bp upstream of this tail. These findings would suggest that the 3.8- and the 4.4-kb Bic-D

Figure 4. In situ hybridization of a Bic-D probe to wild-type ovaries and embryos. [A] Whole-mount preparation of an ovariole (egg chamber stages 1–8), which was hybridized with a digoxigenin-labeled cDNA c15 probe. Arrows indicate staining at the posterior end of approximately stage 1 and stage 2 egg chambers. At stage 8, the Bic-D transcript is localized to the anterior end of the oocyte. The same egg chamber is shown focused onto two different planes. [B–D] Section through egg chambers and embryos hybridized with a ^35S-labeled RNA probe, transcribed from the 0.8-kb HindIII–Xhol fragment [map position 8.8–8.0, Fig. 3]. Bright-field [left] and dark-field [right] micrographs. [B] Bic-D RNA is present in the nurse cell cluster [left] and at the anterior end of the oocyte [stage 10b–11]. It started to spread out posteriorly along the circumference of the oocyte. [C] At stage 13, the Bic-D RNA is found in the entire oocyte with no apparent concentration differences between anterior [left] and posterior [right]. (D) In preblastoderm embryos, the Bic-D RNA is uniformly distributed and disappears at cellular blastoderm.
transcripts in ovaries and early embryos differ in length (at least in part) because of the use of a different poly(A)+ addition signal in the primary Bic-D transcript. Because no other structural difference was evident in our Bic-D cDNAs, it is conceivable that the use of different poly(A)+ addition sites may account for the difference in length (600 bp) of the two RNAs observed in our Northern blots.

Because the same single large open reading frame (ORF) is found in both cDNAs c15 and c18 (with the exception discussed below), it would seem that the large and small Bic-D ovarian transcripts must encode the same protein species. The first methionine within this ORF (position 935) fits the initiator methionine consensus sequence [Kozak 1984, Cavener 1987]. The ORF is 782 amino acids long, and the predicted protein has a...
molecular mass of 89 kD and an isoelectric point (pI) of 4.93.

Within the coding region, cDNAs c15 and c18 differ at 5 nucleotide positions. Four of them do not change the predicted amino acid (data not shown). The fifth polymorphism changes Val545 into Ala in cDNA c15. It is likely that this substitution in c15 is caused by a reverse transcription error, because another cDNA, c10, also encodes a valine residue in this position but otherwise has the same sequence polymorphisms as c15.

**Structure of the predicted Bic-D protein**

A search of current sequence data bases reveals that the predicted amino acid sequence of *Bic-D* shows some similarity to the rod region of myosin heavy chain (MHC) and to lamin, desmin, keratin, and other intermediate filament proteins [Parry et al. 1977; Geisler et al. 1982; McLachlan and Karn 1983; Steinert et al. 1985; McKeon et al. 1986]. Shown in Figure 6 is an optimal alignment between the rod portion of *C. elegans* MHC [Karn et al. 1983] and the predicted amino acid sequence of *Bic-D*. Although there is only ~18% identity between the predicted *Bic-D* protein (782 amino acids) and the rod portion of *C. elegans* MHC, this comparatively low level of identity may be significant. First, the similarity between the two proteins extends over essentially the entire length of the *Bic-D* protein (775 amino acids). Second, comparable results are obtained when the predicted *Bic-D* amino acid sequence is aligned to the rod portion of other MHCs. Third, this part of the MHC is generally less well conserved in sequence between different organisms, and the similarity between tail regions of different myosins can be as low as 25% identity [Warrick and Spudich 1987]. These observations suggest that *Bic-D* may be a member of a family of proteins that share sequence and structural similarities with the rod domain of MHC.

The common feature of fibrous proteins such as MHC, lamin, desmin, and keratin is an extended α-helical coiled-coil structure that is built with a characteristic heptad repeat pattern, a,b,c,d,e,f,g, with hydrophobic residues in position a and d. The same motif has been found more recently in other proteins that share similarity to the predicted *Bic-D* protein: *Drosophila* kinesin heavy chain, yeast rad50 gene product, and *C. elegans* paramyosin [Alani et al. 1989; Kagawa et al. 1989; Yang et al. 1989]. We analyzed the predicted *Bic-D* protein sequence for the presence of extended heptad repeats. At least three regions, free of helix-breaking proline residues and with a high probability of forming extended α-helical structures, were found to fit this pattern (Fig. 7). These are heptad 1 (H1) from Leu99 to Gly229, H2 from Leu237 to Leu262, and H3 from Val280 to Met340 (Fig. 7A,B). We adopted the histogram representation of Yang et al. [1989] and McLachlan and Karn [1983] to show the distribution of hydrophobic (Fig. 7C), negatively charged (Fig. 7D), and positively charged (Fig. 7E) residues within these three potential α-helical coiled-coil domains with a minor modification: The percentage of a given type of amino acid sequence of the predicted translation products of *C. elegans* myosin heavy chain (Karn et al. 1983) and the predicted amino acid sequence of *Bic-D*. Although there is only ~18% identity between the predicted *Bic-D* protein (782 amino acids) and the rod portion of *C. elegans* MHC, this comparatively low level of identity may be significant.

**Figure 6.** A comparison of the amino acid sequences of the predicted translation products of *C. elegans* myosin heavy chain [Karn et al. 1983] and the *D. melanogaster* *Bic-D* gene. The alignment was done with the program BESTFIT [Devereux et al. 1984], using a gap weight of 6.0, a gap length weight of 0.3, and the Dayhoff symbol comparison table [Schwartz and Dayhoff 1978], as modified by Gribskov and Burgess [1986]. Vertical bars between the aligned sequences indicate identical amino acids, colons indicate high similarities, and dots show weak similarities.
residue is shown rather than the absolute number. The most prominent feature of this repeat is a strong bias toward hydrophobic residues in positions a and d, which form the core of an \( \alpha \)-helical coiled coil. These heptad regions fit further criteria for \( \alpha \)-helical coiled-coil structures: No negatively charged residues are found in position a, and no positively charged residues are found in position d (Fig. 7D,E).

A potentially significant difference to MHC tails might be that these \( \alpha \)-helical regions do not span the entire protein but are broken up into several shorter regions. According to Cohen and Parry (1986), if the ratio of charged to apolar residues within a heptad repeat is close to 1.0, an elongated structure is possible. If the ratio is less than \( \sim 0.6 \), a globular shape will probably result. For H1, a ratio of 1.2 was calculated from the sequence shown in Figure 7B, suggesting an elongated structure for H1. For H3, the ratio of charged to apolar residues is 0.8, which does not allow a prediction. H2 might be elongated over the amino-terminal 10–12 helix turns (5–6 heptad repeats) and take up a globular shape in its carboxy-terminal region. A ratio of 1.3 was calculated for the first six repeats, and a ratio of 0.2 was calculated for the last two.

Although other regions within the predicted \( \text{Bic-D} \) protein might form this type of \( \alpha \)-helix, they are either shorter or show a less pronounced heptad repeat pattern (data not shown). Extended heptad repeats support the formation of coiled-coil dimerization of the fibrous proteins or stabilize intramolecular structures in a variety of proteins with different functions (for review, see Cohen and Parry 1986). One of the regions with a less pronounced coiled-coil pattern may be involved in another type of protein–protein interaction: A leucine (or methionine) residue is present in every seventh position from Leu150 to Leu194. Only the third leucine is substituted by methionine. Such a structural motif was described as the leucine zipper, and it was proposed to support dimerization of closely related proteins (Buckland and Wild 1989; Landschulz et al. 1989).

**Discussion**

Previous genetic studies have suggested that the \( \text{Bic-D} \) gene is involved in several different processes during oogenesis. In this respect, it may be of interest that our in situ hybridization experiments indicate that \( \text{Bic-D} \) is expressed in at least two different phases in the ovary (Fig. 4). In the first phase, the \( \text{Bic-D} \) transcript accumulates in only a single cell of the egg chamber. The localized \( \text{Bic-D} \) transcript can first be detected at stage 1–2, and this pattern of accumulation persists up until about stage 7–8. In the early stages (1–4), the labeled cell is at the very posterior end of the egg chamber and presumably corresponds to the oocyte. At later stages (5–7) when the oocyte is readily distinguished from the 15 nurse cells, it is clear that only the oocyte contains the \( \text{Bic-D} \) transcript. Both of the recessive loss-of-function \( \text{Bic-D} \) alleles, \( \text{PA66} \) and \( \text{R26} \), cause a block at a point very early in oogenesis: No oocyte develops and all 16

---

**Figure 7.** Secondary structure predictions for the \( \text{Bic-D} \) protein. (A) Possible secondary structure calculated according to Garnier et al. (1978) and displayed by the GCG program PlotStructure (Devereux et al. 1984). For each region within the protein, one of the three structures shown or a random coil structure is predicted according to the highest probability. This is displayed by the high value of the corresponding line. (B) Heptad repeat sequences. The numbering of the residues is the same as that in Figs. 5 and 6. The position of each residue is given as a, b, c, d, e, f, g. (C–E) Histograms showing the distribution of hydrophobic residues (C), negatively charged residues (D), and positively charged residues (E) within the repeats. The signatures used for the different heptads are shown in C. (Hydrophobic residues, F, I, L, M, V, W, Y; negatively charged residues, D, E; positively charged residues, H, K, R). None of the heptads contains a proline residue.
cystocytes differentiate into nurse cells [Fig. 1B]. Functional Bic-D product is therefore required for the differentiation of the oocyte. In the germarium this could involve the exclusive expression of the Bic-D gene in presumptive oocytes, where it perhaps serves to distinguish this cell from the other cystocytes. Unfortunately, our in situ hybridization experiments were not sensitive enough to detect Bic-D transcripts in the germarium, and other techniques will be required to test this model. In addition, it will be of interest to determine whether the presence of Bic-D transcripts in the oocyte between egg chamber stages 1 and 7 reflects some type of ‘maintenance’ function for Bic-D in the development of the oocyte.

In the second phase, the pattern of accumulation of the Bic-D transcript changes dramatically. Beginning at about stage 8, we observe a continuous increase in labeling over the nurse cells, suggesting that the expression of the Bic-D gene is activated in the polyploid nurse cell nuclei. This newly synthesized Bic-D RNA appears to be transported from the nurse cells into the oocyte, where it accumulates in a cap around the anterior end of the oocyte in the period between stages 8 and 10b. Similar anterior localization in the oocyte has been described for the mRNAs of fs(1)K10 (Haenlin et al. 1987) and bicoid (Berleth et al. 1988). Macdonald and Struhl [1988] showed that sequences within the long 3’-untranslated sequence [trailer] of the bicoid mRNA are responsible for its localization within the oocyte, and conceivably, the Bic-D transcript may contain analogous sorts of cis-acting elements that function in its localization.

The presence and localization of the Bic-D RNA at these later stages suggest that the wild-type Bic-D protein may have an additional function during this period of oocyte development. This view is supported by two observations: First, the temperature-sensitive period of the Bic-D dominant phenotype is late in oogenesis; second, the double abdomen phenotype is most penetrant in embryos from homo- or hemизygous Bic-D females but is reduced in offspring of Bic-D/+ and even further reduced in offspring of Bic-D/+ + females (Mohler and Wieschaus 1986). Therefore, it seems that the wild-type gene product of Bic-D competes with the Bic-D mutant gene product in stages after the oocyte has been determined but when the polarity of the oocyte can still be affected by gain-of-function alleles.

These dominant Bic-D alleles affect the localization of anterior and posterior factors in the embryo: In Bic-D embryos [embryos from Bic-D mothers], the anteriorly localized bicoid mRNA is less stable, and the bicoid protein, responsible for positional information in the anterior part of the embryo, is absent [Driever and Nüsslein-Volhard 1988a,b]. Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard [1986] could also demonstrate that posterior factors are mislocalized to the anterior pole of Bic-D embryos, where they are able to generate a second posterior center. These mislocalized posterior activities may alter subsequent developmental fate by inactivating or destabilizing anterior activities anteriorly. Indeed, nanos, one of the posterior genes, has been shown to repress the maternally supplied anterior activity of the gap gene hunchback in the posterior region [Tautz 1988; Hülskamp et al. 1989; Irish et al. 1989, Struhl 1989]. The complex rearrangement of anterior and posterior activities caused by the dominant Bic-D mutations suggests that the Bic-D protein may interact with one or more of the components involved in the establishment of anterior-posterior polarity, perhaps functioning to localize or stabilize these components properly in the developing egg chamber.

The sequence analysis of the Bic-D gene reveals that it encodes a protein with structural features that would be consistent with some type of role in determining the organization of the oocyte. The predicted Bic-D protein shows similarity to the myosin heavy chain [MHC] tail. MHC is composed of a globular head and a coiled-coil tail region. By comparing the two sequences, we could only find similarity to the tail region, indicating that there are clear differences between the two related proteins [Fig. 6]. The identity of the Bic-D protein to different MHC tails is 18% at the most. Though this figure is rather low, it should be noted that the amino acid identity in MHC tails can be as low as 25% in distantly related organisms [Warrick and Spudich 1987]. Amino acids that are identical in the two proteins are more or less evenly distributed throughout the Bic-D protein and the MHC tail, and at least two different alignments result in the same overall identity. In MHC, two α-helices coil around each other to form the rod-like tail. The fundamental structure of this amphipathic helix is a heptad repeat with a characteristic distribution of hydrophobic and charged residues [McLachlan and Karn 1983]. Extended heptad repeats of the same type are also present in the predicted Bic-D protein [Fig. 7]. Heptad repeats are a common motif in proteins. They generally support coiled-coil formation between different domains of a protein or between two protein molecules, as is the case for MHC [Steinert et al. 1985, Cohen and Parry 1986; Murre et al. 1989]. It seems likely that the Bic-D heptad repeats are also used for coiled-coil interactions leading to, e.g., homo- dimer formation and possibly higher order aggregates similar to MHC filaments. This could explain how the wild-type Bic-D gene product can suppress the effects of the mutant gain-of-function gene products.

The predicted Bic-D protein also contains regions that have no apparent heptad repeats. These could mediate interactions with other gene products involved in oocyte differentiation and embryonic development. Whether these interactions are responsible for the localization of different maternal gene products will be the object of further investigations.

Methods

Genetic recombination and fly stocks

Most fly stocks and markers have been described elsewhere [Lindsley and Grell 1968, Mohler and Wieschaus 1986, Steward and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986]. Dominant maternal-effect alleles of Bic-D are 71.34, 11IE48, and 7C67. Recessive female sterile
alleles are R26, a revertant of 71.34 and PA66. Df(2L)TW119 fails to complement dl and Bic-D and is homozygous lethal.

The protocol described by Steward and Nüsslein-Volhard (1986) was followed for the recombination analysis. Recombination was allowed to occur in females that were trans-heterozygous for dl and PA66. These females were crossed to Df(2L)TW119 males. In the next generation, females were tested for fertility (Fig. 2A). Only females that inherited a recombinant chromosome (i.e., dl^+ and Bic-D^+) were fertile. Fertile recombinants were crossed to b pr cn sca for testing the presence of flanking markers b and pr. They were also back-crossed to Df(2L)TW119 to establish lines that are homo- or hemizygous for the recombinant dl^+ Bic-D^+ region. Flies were kept at 25°C or at room temperature.

Genomic and cDNA libraries, general methods

Recombinant genomic λ libraries used are described elsewhere [Steward et al. 1984; Riggelman et al. 1989]. Phage used for this work were B1 [0.6 to 13.4], B2 [−8.4 to 7], and B3 [−16.6 to −2]. The recombinant Oregon-R cosmid library was obtained from L. Brennan [Princeton]. cDNAs were recovered from a 0- to 4-hr embryonic λgt10 library [Frigerio et al. 1986].

Standard cloning and labeling techniques were done according to Maniatis et al. (1982). Single-stranded riboprobes [Melton et al. 1984] were used to determine the direction of transcription and for in situ hybridization. Southern and Northern hybridizations were carried out on GeneScreen and GeneScreen Plus membranes (New England Nuclear) with the hybridization buffer of Church and Gilbert (1984). Isolation of RNA and Northern hybridizations were essentially done according to Doerig et al. (1988), except that the glyoxal was removed by a procedure that quantitatively removes glyoxal from RNA in solution without damaging the RNA [A. Zaug, pers. comm.]. After transfer onto GeneScreen membranes, UV cross-linking, and baking, the membrane was incubated for 2 hr at 50°C in 0.3 M Tris base.

For in situ hybridization, tissue sections (6 μm) were prepared and hybridized with a 32P-labeled antisense RNA; controls were prepared with a sense strand probe, as described by Ingham et al. (1985). Whole-mount in situ hybridization to ovaries and embryos was performed as described by Tautz and Pfeifle (1989).

Transformation of Drosophila

The genomic restriction fragment X [Fig. 3B] is the proximal 30-kb XbaI fragment purified from the genomic cosmid clone B25 [L. Brennan, unpubl.]. It was directly subcloned into the single XbaI site of the pCaSpeR transformation vector, which contains a w+ marker [Pirrotta 1988]. The XbaI–EcoRI fragment in the multicloning site of pCaSpeR was replaced by the corresponding fragment of pUC18, thereby introducing a singular Asp718 site for subcloning the genomic fragments R and C [Fig. 3B] out of the Bluescript vector.

P-element-mediated transformation [Spradling and Rubin 1982] was done with the genomic transposase source [Robertson et al. 1988]. Lines of flies with w^+ inserts were crossed to either w; Df(2L)TW119 b or dp/CyO b w; R26 b hp/CyO b flies or w; PA66 cn sca/CyO flies. These recombinant lines were used to test for rescue of female sterility caused by homo- or hemizygous PA66 and R26 mutations.

Structural analysis

For DNA sequencing, nested deletions were produced by treatment with exonuclease III and S1 nuclease [Henikoff 1987], using the Bluescript vector [Stratagene]. Single-stranded DNA was sequenced by the method of Sanger et al. (1977), with the modifications for the Sequenase system (U.S. Biochemical). The sequence data base search was done with the TFASTA program [Lipman and Pearson 1985], and the optimal alignment was done with the program BESTFIT [Devereux et al. 1984]. Programs by Staden [Staden 1986] and GCG [Devereux et al. 1984] were used for the structural analysis of nucleic acid and protein sequences.
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