The DREAM complex promotes gene body H2A.Z for target repression

Isabel Latorre,1,4 Michael A. Chesney,1,4 Jacob M. Garrigues,2 Przemyslaw Stempor,1 Alex Appert,1 Mirko Francesconi,3 Susan Strome,2 and Julie Ahringer1

1The Gurdon Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1QN, United Kingdom; 2Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA; 3EMBL-CRG Systems Biology Unit, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona 08003, Spain

The DREAM (DP, Retinoblastoma [Rb]-like, E2F, and MuvB) complex controls cellular quiescence by repressing cell cycle genes, but its mechanism of action is poorly understood. Here we show that Caenorhabditis elegans DREAM targets have an unusual pattern of high gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z. In mutants of lin-35, the sole p130/Rb-like gene in C. elegans, DREAM targets have reduced gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z and increased expression. Consistent with a repressive role for gene body H2A.Z, many DREAM targets are up-regulated in htz-1/H2A.Z mutants. Our results indicate that the DREAM complex facilitates high gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z, which plays a role in target gene repression.

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Results and Discussion

Genome-wide profiling of DREAM binding

We profiled the genomic distribution of the eight C. elegans DREAM complex members (LIN-35/Rb-like p130, EFL-1/E2F, DPL-1/DP1, LIN-9, LIN-37, LIN-52, LIN-54, and LIN-53/RBBP4) in L3 larvae and embryos using chromatin immunoprecipitation [ChIP] followed by high-throughput sequencing [ChIP-seq] (Fig. 1A; see the Materials and Methods; Supplemental Table S1). The data sets overlap substantially with previously published binding data for individual DREAM proteins at various stages and in various tissues [LIN-54, LIN-53, EFL-1 and DPL-1] (Supplemental Fig. S1; Tabuchi et al. 2011; Kudron et al. 2013). DREAM complex members bound 1619 regions in L3 larvae and 2076 in embryos. Of these, 1350 regions overlapped, indicating similar targets at the two stages [Supplemental Fig. S2A; Supplemental Table S2]. Differences in the numbers of peaks identified per factor and per stage appear to be primarily technical; we did not see enrichment for particular subgroupings of factors [see the Materials and Methods; data not shown]. Overall, we defined 490 high-confidence sites bound by all eight DREAM members [DREAM-8] in L3 and 361 in embryos [Supplemental Table S1; Supplemental Table S2]. These sites showed significant enrichment for motifs previously associated with the DREAM components EFL-1 and LIN-54 (Supplemental Fig. S3; Kirienko and Fay 2007; Tabuchi et al. 2011; Kudron et al. 2013). In this study, we focus on the high confidence DREAM-8 binding sites.

DREAM is associated with broadly expressed genes

We found that most DREAM-8 sites are located at promoters (Fig. 1B,C; Supplemental Table S3). At both the embryo and L3 stages, DREAM-8 genes have intermediate to high levels of gene expression [Supplemental Fig. S4A,B]. Markers of gene activity [H3K4me3,
H3K36me3, and HTZ-1/H2A.Z and repression [H3K27me3] on DREAM-8 genes have patterns similar to those on broadly expressed genes and dissimilar to profiles on tissue-specific genes, suggesting that DREAM-8 genes are likely to be broadly expressed [Fig. 2A–G]. We also observed that many DREAM-8 genes have an unusually high level of HTZ-1/H2A.Z on their transcribed regions [Fig. 2E], which we investigate below.

Identification of direct DREAM target genes

To investigate gene expression regulation by DREAM, we conducted gene expression profiling by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) at the L3 stage, identifying 963 misregulated genes in lin-35/Rh-like p130 mutants (Supplemental Table S4). Of the 484 genes bound by DREAM-8 at the L3 stage, 28% [136 genes] were misexpressed in lin-35 mutants, with 84% of these up-regulated, consistent with previous studies indicating that DREAM generally functions as a transcriptional repressor [Fig. 1D,E; Chi and Reinke 2006; Kirienko and Fay 2007; Petrella et al. 2011]. Similar to DREAM targets in humans, the DREAM-8 genes up-regulated in lin-35 mutants are highly enriched for cell cycle and cell division-related functions [Supplemental Table S5; Litovchick et al. 2007]. Using lin-35 expression data as a proxy for DREAM function, we define direct DREAM targets as genes up-regulated in lin-35 mutants and bound by DREAM-8 and indirect DREAM targets as those up-regulated in lin-35 mutants but not bound by any DREAM member.

Direct DREAM targets are repressed but not completely silenced

Increased expression of target genes at the L3 stage could be due to increased expression in cells that already express the gene or ectopic expression in cells that normally do not express it. To distinguish between these possibilities, we used RNA-FISH to analyze the gene expression pattern of three DREAM targets [hcp-6, lin-9, and polh-1] and a nonregulated control gene [sqv-1] in wild-type and lin-35 mutant animals. We found that all four tested genes showed germline and broad somatic expression in wild-type animals [Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S5A]. In lin-35 mutants, the three DREAM targets exhibited increased RNA-FISH signal in their normal sites of expression in the germline and soma [Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S5B]. In contrast, the control gene sqv-1 showed no change in RNA-FISH signal [Fig. 3]. These results suggest that the DREAM complex acts to reduce the expression of its targets rather than completely silence expression.

DREAM facilitates gene body enrichment of HTZ-1/H2A.Z on its direct targets

The high levels of HTZ-1/H2A.Z on the transcribed regions of some DREAM-bound genes [Fig. 2D,E] prompted us to investigate the relationship between DREAM binding and HTZ-1/H2A.Z pattern. Broadly expressed genes and DREAM-8 genes typically exhibit sharp localization of HTZ-1/H2A.Z at their promoters [Fig. 4A,B]. Notably, many direct DREAM targets additionally show HTZ-1/H2A.Z enrichment along the gene body [Fig. 4A–C]. By comparison, most genes up-regulated in lin-35 mutants but not bound by DREAM (indirect targets) do not exhibit HTZ-1/H2A.Z enrichment on either the promoter or gene body [Fig. 4B,C].

To further explore the relationship between DREAM and HTZ-1/H2A.Z, we determined whether a high level of gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z (top 5%) was associated with direct DREAM regulation. Indeed, 75% of direct DREAM-8 targets are in the top 5% of genes with high levels of gene body HTZ-1 (P = 6.40 × 10−62) [Supplemental Table S6], and these comprise 10% of high HTZ-1 gene body genes. As the DREAM-8 class is a small, high-confidence set of genes bound by all eight DREAM subunits, we also looked at the association of high gene body HTZ-1 with genes bound by at least one DREAM subunit [n = 1087]. We found that 22% of these genes have high gene body HTZ-1, and these comprise 30% of the high HTZ-1 gene body group [P = 1.14 × 10−95] [Supplemental Table S6]. Furthermore, among genes bound by any DREAM subunit that are also up-regulated in lin-35 [n = 185], 71% have high gene body HTZ-1 [P = 4.28 × 10−18] [Supplemental Table S6]. In contrast, genes bound by DREAM-8 but not misregulated in lin-35 mutants are not significantly enriched for having high gene body HTZ-1 levels [P = 0.06]. We also observed that LIN-35 is primarily associated with genes that have high levels of HTZ-1 at both promoters and gene bodies [Fig. 4D]. These results show that high gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z is strongly associated with DREAM-mediated transcriptional repression.

To ask whether gene body HTZ-1/H2A.Z is relevant to DREAM function, we investigated its genome-wide distribution in lin-35 mutants. This revealed a striking and specific loss of gene body HTZ-1 on direct DREAM.
targets, with promoter enrichment remaining high [Fig. 4B]. The loss of HTZ-1 on gene bodies is not due to a general reduction of nucleosome abundance, as histone H3 levels on DREAM targets were not changed in lin-35 mutants [Fig. 4B]. High HTZ-1 in DREAM target gene bodies is also not a consequence of general spreading of markers of active promoters into gene bodies, as two other such markers of active promoters, H3K4me3 and H4ac4, are enriched only at promoters and not on the gene bodies of DREAM targets. In lin-35 mutants, levels of these latter modifications were increased at DREAM target gene promoters, consistent with increased expression [Supplemental Fig. S6]. We conclude that DREAM facilitates enrichment of HTZ-1/H2A.Z on the gene bodies of its targets and that this pattern is associated with target gene repression. Gene body enrichment of H2A.Z could be through promoting its deposition or preventing its removal, either directly or indirectly.

**HTZ-1/H2A.Z regulates DREAM target genes**

If HTZ-1/H2A.Z is functionally linked to DREAM and enrichment of HTZ-1/H2A.Z on the gene bodies of DREAM targets contributes to their repression, then loss of HTZ-1/H2A.Z activity would be expected to cause gene expression changes similar to those caused by loss of LIN-35. To test this, we analyzed gene expression in homozygous htz-1-null mutants produced by heterozygous mothers. Loss of maternal htz-1 via RNAi causes embryonic lethality, but due to the maternal load of wild-type gene product, htz-1 homozygotes from heterozygous mothers develop into sterile adults, allowing assessment of a partial loss of HTZ-1 function in larvae [Updike and Mango 2006; Whittle et al. 2008].

We observed a large overlap in gene expression changes in lin-35 and htz-1 mutants at the L3 stage. Of the 1245 genes misexpressed in htz-1 mutants, 29% were also misexpressed in lin-35 mutants ($P = 1 \times 10^{-149}$), with 97% of the changes concordant in the direction of change [up or down] [Supplemental Table S4, Fig. 4E]. Consistent with a repressive role for gene body enrichment of HTZ-1, most (83%) genes in the top 5% of gene body HTZ-1 levels that were misexpressed in htz-1 were up-regulated [Supplemental Table S6]. Furthermore, most (89%) DREAM-bound genes that were up-regulated in htz-1 were also up-regulated in lin-35 [Fig. 4E]. We conclude that HTZ-1 and LIN-35 function in a common pathway to repress DREAM target genes.

**Conclusions**

H2A.Z has multiple regulatory roles and has been associated with both transcriptional activity and repression. This histone variant is most commonly observed at gene promoters, where it is positively correlated with gene expression in higher eukaryotes [Barski et al. 2007; Mavrich et al. 2008; Whittle et al. 2008; Hardy et al. 2009; Jin et al. 2009]. Indeed, HTZ-1/H2A.Z is enriched at the promoters of many broadly expressed genes in *C. elegans*, and this enrichment is not strongly affected in lin-35 mutants.

In contrast, gene body deposition of H2A.Z has been associated with reduced transcription in diverse systems, including mammals, plants, and yeast [Barski et al. 2007; Hardy et al. 2009; Coleman-Derr and Zilberman 2012a]. Some studies support an association between H2A.Z distribution on gene bodies and gene expression only under certain environmental conditions, such as in response to temperature changes or environmental stress [Coleman-Derr and Zilberman 2012b]. In this study, we found that H2A.Z is highly enriched on the gene bodies of direct DREAM target genes, many of which are involved in cell cycle progression. Like environment-responsive genes, expression of cell cycle genes is highly regulated.

Although a mechanistic link between H2A.Z and DREAM has not been previously reported, a model of cooperative gene repression is supported by several previous studies. In *Drosophila*, reduction of function of H2A.Z or four different DREAM components similarly suppressed a cytokinesis defect [DeBruhl et al. 2013].
Drosophila E2F target gene reporter is also derepressed by loss of components of the Swr1 complex, which is involved in H2A.Z deposition [Lu et al. 2007]. Furthermore, mutants of both H2A.Z and Domino, which encodes a Swr1 complex protein, suppress the eye defects of a hypomorphic allele of cyclin E, an E2F target that is also suppressed by the DREAM component Rbf1 [Lu et al. 2007]. In addition, mutants of several C. elegans orthologs of the Tip60/NuA4 complex, which facilitates H2A.Z deposition, genetically interact with or share mutant phenotypes with mutants of DREAM member genes [Ceol and Horvitz 2004; Poulin et al. 2005; Andersen et al. 2008]. Given the conservation of DREAM components and targets across species, it is likely that the relationship between H2A.Z and DREAM that we uncovered in C. elegans applies more generally. Our results provide a new insight into the mechanism of DREAM function and suggest a new avenue for the study of Retinoblastoma-related proteins.

Materials and methods

Worm culture and strains

The following strains were used and cultured using standard methods: N2, lin-35(n745) outcrossed 5× (kindly provided by D. Fay), and VC2480 [htz-1(ok3099) IV/nT1(qIs51) IV].

ChIP-seq and RNA-seq experiments

Antibodies used for ChIP are described in Supplemental Table S8. Collection of wild-type or lin-35 mutant L3 larvae, extract preparation, and ChIP were performed as in Kolasinska-Zwierz et al. [2009] with the following modifications. Cross-linked chromatin was sonicated using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) to an average size of 250 base pairs [bp]. After ChIP and DNA purification, libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq kit. Fragments in the 250–350 bp range were size-selected by gel extraction and sequenced on the Illumina platform. Embryo extracts and ChIPs were performed as in Rechtsteiner et al. [2010], except that late stage embryos (aged 3.5 h after being obtained from gravid hermaphrodites) were collected and frozen prior to fixation; extracts were prepared as described above for L3 larvae, and the Illumina ChIP-seq DNA sample preparation kit was used for library preparation.

N2, lin-35, and htz-1 larvae for RNA-seq were grown at 20°C and harvested at the L3 stage. htz-1 homozygotes were isolated from a mixed population that also contained htz-1(nT1[qIs51]) larvae by using a COPAS worm sorter (Union Biometrica). Total RNA was extracted using TriPure (Qiagen). RNA-seq libraries were prepared from 2 μg of total RNA using the Illumina TruSeq RNA kit according to the manufacturers’ instructions and sequenced on the Illumina platform.

Data processing and plotting

In short, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq reads were aligned to the WS220/ce10 assembly of the C. elegans genome using BWA version 0.6.2 [Li and Durbin 2009] with default settings (BWA-backtrack algorithm). ChIP-seq data were normalized using the BEADS algorithm implemented in R [Cheung et al. 2011]. Where available, we used transcription start sites (TSSs) recently identified based on capped RNA-seq [Chen et al. 2013; Kruezi et al. 2013]. If none were available, we used WormBase annotated TSSs. Average signal plots and heat maps for histone marks and DNA-associated factors were created using in-house tools. Venn diagrams were generated using the R package VennDiagram [Chen and Boutros 2011]. Statistical analyses of Venn overlapping regions were performed using hypergeometric probability functions in R. Motif analyses were performed as previously described using the Match algorithm [Gewith 1990].
performed on L3 DREAM-8 peak overlap regions using the Seqpos motif tool in the Cistrome toolbox with default parameters [Liu et al. 2011]. Peak calling for Chip-seq tracks was done using the wignorm utility from MACS14 [Zhang et al. 2008]. Differential gene expression between N2, the lin-35 mutant, and the htx-1 mutant was tested using DESeq2 [Love et al. 2014]. Supplemental Table S5 gives log2 fold change (FC), statistical significance estimates, and reads per kilobase of exome mapped reads (RPKM) values for each gene. The average HTZ1 Chip-seq signal on gene body regions (from 500 bp downstream from the WormBase gene start to the gene end, excluding genes <500 bp in length) was extracted from BEADS normalized tracks using the bigWigSummary utility from University of California at Santa Cruz user tools [Kent et al. 2010]. Mean signals, log2 FC between wild-type and mutant samples, and the statistical significance estimate (p-value) of this change are in Supplemental Table S6. Full details of data processing are in the Supplemental Material.

RNA-FISH

N2 and lin-35 larvae were fixed and stained by RNA-FISH as described [Raj et al. 2008]. Stellaris FISH probes targeting htp-6, lin-9, polh-1, and ssv-1 were obtained from BioResearch Technologies. Samples were imaged on an Axioplan 2 microscope fitted with an LSM 510 confocal detection system [Carl Zeiss]. For each animal, FISH signals in the germline and soma were counted in three or four focal planes across the gonad; the position of the germline was determined from DAPI staining of nuclei. Images from two or three animals (seven to 12 focal planes) were used per experiment. For each probe, average counts in germline or soma of lin-35(n745) were divided by average counts in wild type to determine relative mRNA expression.

Data access

The Chip-seq and RNA-seq data used in this study are available from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) or modENCODE (http://intermine.modencode.org) [Supplemental Table S9].
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