Rev1 promotes replication through UV lesions in conjunction with DNA polymerases η , ι , and κ but not DNA polymerase ζ

Jung-Hoon Yoon, Jeseong Park, Juan Conde, Maki Wakamiya, Louise Prakash, and Satya Prakash

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555, USA

Translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases (Pols) promote replication through DNA lesions; however, little is known about the protein factors that affect their function in human cells. In yeast, Rev1 plays a noncatalytic role as an indispensable component of Pol ζ , and Pol ζ together with Rev1 mediates a highly mutagenic mode of TLS. However, how Rev1 functions in TLS and mutagenesis in human cells has remained unclear. Here we determined the role of Rev1 in TLS opposite UV lesions in human and mouse fibroblasts and showed that Rev1 is indispensable for TLS mediated by Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ but is not required for TLS by Pol ζ . In contrast to its role in mutagenic TLS in yeast, Rev1 promotes predominantly error-free TLS opposite UV lesions in humans. The identification of Rev1 as an indispensable scaffolding component for Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ , which function in TLS in highly specialized ways opposite a diverse array of DNA lesions and act in a predominantly error-free manner, implicates a crucial role for Rev1 in the maintenance of genome stability in humans.

[**Keywords:** DNA repair; UV damage; *cII* mutation analyses; DNA lesion bypass]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received September 18, 2015; revised version accepted November 9, 2015.

By promoting replication through DNA lesions, translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases (Pols) ensure the continued progression of the replication fork. In human cells, DNA Pol η , Pol ι , Pol κ , and Rev1, which belong to the Y family, and Pol ζ , which is a member of the B family, play an important role in TLS (Prakash et al. 2005). Biochemical and structural studies with the Y family Pols have indicated that they function in TLS in highly specialized ways. For example, Pol η can accommodate the two pyrimidine residues of UV-induced cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer (CPD) in its active site (Biertumpfel et al. 2010; Silverstein et al. 2010), and that enables it to replicate through CPDs efficiently and in a predominantly error-free manner (Johnson et al. 1999b, 2000b; Washington et al. 2000); consequently, inactivation of Pol η in humans causes the cancer-prone syndrome, the variant form of xeroderma pigmentosum (XPV) (Johnson et al. 1999a; Masutani et al. 1999). Rev1 is the most intriguing among the Y family Pols; it is highly specialized for inserting a C opposite template G and uses a protein template-directed mechanism for C incorporation (Nair et al. 2005; Swan et al. 2009). Genetic studies in yeast have indicated a non-

catalytic role for Rev1 in which it functions as an indispensable component of Pol ζ (Lawrence and Christensen 1978; Lawrence et al. 1984; Johnson et al. 1998), comprised of the Rev3 catalytic subunit and Rev7, Pol31, and Pol32 accessory subunits (Johnson et al. 2012). Biochemical studies with yeast Pol ζ have shown that it is highly specialized for extending from the nucleotides inserted opposite DNA lesions by other Pols (Johnson et al. 2000a, 2001, 2003; Haracska et al. 2001; Nair et al. 2006, 2008). Since Pol ζ can efficiently extend from the correct as well as the incorrect nucleotides and Rev1 further enhances the proficiency of Pol ζ for extending from the wrong nucleotides opposite DNA lesions (Acharya et al. 2006), Rev1 and Pol ζ play an important role in damage-induced mutagenesis in yeast.

Human and mouse Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι as well as Rev7, the accessory subunit of Pol ζ , have been shown to physically interact with the ~100-amino-acid C-terminal region of Rev1 (Guo et al. 2003; Ohashi et al. 2004; Tissier et al. 2004). The ability of Rev1 to bind to Y family Pols

Corresponding author: lpakash@utmb.edu

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.272229.115>.

© 2015 Yoon et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

and to Rev7 has led to the suggestion that Rev1 acts as a scaffold for recruiting the Y family Pols and Pol ζ to the lesion site. This idea has received further support from nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structural studies indicating that the human and mouse Rev1 C-terminal peptide uses independent binding surfaces to simultaneously bind to an interacting peptide of Pol η and to the Rev7 protein or to an interacting peptide of Pol κ and to Rev7 (Pozhidaeva et al. 2012; Pustovalova et al. 2012; Wojtaszek et al. 2012a,b). Based on these structural observations, it has been proposed that, by simultaneously binding to Y family Pols and the Rev7 subunit of Pol ζ , Rev1 brings about the assembly of Y family Pols, which generally act as inserters, with Pol ζ , which acts at the subsequent step of extension in TLS.

Rev1 has also been suggested to function in lesion bypass in other ways. From alkaline sucrose gradient analyses of the size of nascent DNA fragments in UV-damaged Rev1^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), it has been inferred that Rev1 is not required for the replicative bypass of most CPDs *in vivo* but is required for the replicative bypass of (6-4) photoproducts (Jansen et al. 2009). From other studies, it has been suggested that, in human or mouse cells, efficient and accurate replication past UV lesions is predominantly performed by Pol η and does not require Rev1 (Ito et al. 2012). The requirement for Rev1 arises only when Pol η becomes stalled at a lesion site, whereupon Rev1 facilitates the exchange of catalytically inactive and stalled Pol η with another TLS Pol (Ito et al. 2012). Accordingly, Rev1 would play a minor and subsidiary role in lesion bypass, required only under very specific conditions.

Overall, the many studies that have been carried out thus far have yielded conflicting results for the role of Rev1 in lesion bypass, and there is no consensus on how Rev1 functions in human cells. Thus, it is not known whether, in human cells derived from normal (noncancerous) tissue, Rev1 functions in TLS during replication in conjunction with Pol ζ , as it does in yeast; is required for coordinating TLS mediated by the sequential action of Y family Pols and Pol ζ , as has been suggested from structural studies; or functions in TLS in any of the other ways that have been suggested before. Alternatively, Rev1 may function in lesion bypass in human cells in an entirely different manner, and our evidence shows that to be the case.

To reliably assess the genetic control of TLS in human cells, we devised duplex plasmid systems in which TLS through a DNA lesion can be examined during bidirectional replication initiating from an origin of replication. From analyses of TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the leading or lagging strand DNA template of SV40 origin-based plasmid in human fibroblasts, we determined that Pol η plays a prominent role and promotes highly error-free TLS, whereas Pol κ and Pol ζ function in the alternative error-prone TLS pathways (Yoon et al. 2009). We also examined the genetic control of error-free and mutagenic TLS opposite UV-induced CPDs formed at the TT, TC, and CC dipyrimidine sequences in the *cII* gene carried in the genome in big blue MEFs (BBMEF) and verified that Pol η functions in error-free TLS and that Pol κ and Pol ζ

promote mutagenic TLS (Yoon et al. 2009). Additionally, from analyses of TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the SV40-based plasmid and analyses of error-free and mutagenic TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts formed in the *cII* gene in mouse cells, we determined that Pol η and Pol κ function in alternative mutagenic pathways, whereas Pol ζ controls an error-free mode of TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts (Yoon et al. 2010b).

To provide further evidence that the conclusions for the genetic control of TLS as derived from studies with the SV40-based plasmid in human cells and from *cII* mutational analyses in mouse cells are reflective of TLS processes that occur during cellular replication, we carried out TLS studies with a duplex plasmid in which bidirectional replication initiates from the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) origin of replication (Yoon et al. 2012a). Unlike the SV40-encoded T antigen (which, in addition to origin-binding activity, has a DNA helicase activity), the EBV-encoded binding EBNA1 protein has no DNA helicase activity. The replication of EBV plasmid in human cells has been thoroughly studied and shown to be controlled by the same cellular processes that govern chromosomal replication. For example, the origin recognition complex (ORC1 to ORC6), the regulatory protein Cdc6, the MCM2–MCM7 helicase complex, and the licensing protein Cdt1 are all required for replication of the EBV plasmid (Yates and Guan 1991; Dhar et al. 2001; Schepers et al. 2001; Ritzi et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2006; Lindner and Sugden 2007). Our observations that the genetic control of TLS in EBV plasmid carried in human fibroblasts is identical to that determined from studies with SV40 plasmid in human fibroblasts or from studies with the chromosomal *cII* gene in mouse fibroblasts have added further evidence that TLS and mutational studies using these different approaches provide a consistent means of unraveling the genetic control of TLS and for elucidating the TLS mechanisms that operate during cellular replication (Yoon et al. 2009, 2010b, 2012a).

Here, we carried out a number of studies to analyze the role of Rev1 in TLS in human and mouse cells. We determined the role of Rev1 in mediating replication through a *cis-syn* TT dimer and a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the duplex plasmid in human fibroblasts and showed that Rev1 is indispensable for TLS mediated by Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι but is not required for TLS mediated by Pol ζ . To verify these observations in the genomic context, we analyzed the genetic control of error-free and mutagenic TLS opposite CPDs and (6-4) photoproducts induced by UV light in the chromosomal *cII* gene in mouse fibroblasts and showed that Rev1 functions with the Y family Pols and not Pol ζ . In addition, we provided evidence that Rev1 is required for the accumulation of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ but not of Pol ζ into replication foci in UV-irradiated human and mouse cells and that, for UV survival, Rev1 interacts epistatically with Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ and not with Pol ζ . Altogether, these studies establish a crucial role for human Rev1 in TLS in conjunction with DNA Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ and show that, in normal human cells, Rev1-dependent TLS operates in a predominantly error-free manner.

Results

The role of Rev1 in TLS opposite the cis-syn TT dimer in human cells

The details of the SV40 plasmid system for TLS studies have been described before (Yoon et al. 2009, 2010b). Briefly, the multiple-cloning site with the *lacZ'* gene is replaced with a specific target sequence, one strand of which harbors a DNA lesion in the MfeI restriction site, and, opposite the DNA lesion site, the other strand has an SpeI sequence that contains a +1 frameshift (+1 nucleotide [nt]) (Supplemental Fig. S1). Since the *lacZ'* sequence in the lesion-containing strand is in-frame and since functional β -galactosidase (β -gal) is dependent only on the reading frame and not the sequence context of the TLS product, both error-free and error-prone TLS products result in blue colonies. Because the other DNA strand, which has no DNA lesion, contains a +1 frameshift, the *lacZ'* gene in this strand is nonfunctional. The two DNA strands are further distinguished in that the plasmid carries the *Kan*⁺ (kanamycin resistance) gene in the lesion-containing strand, whereas the other DNA strand has the *Kan*⁻ gene (Supplemental Fig. S1). TLS frequencies are determined from the number of blue colonies among the total colonies that grow on LB/kan plates. Since a template switch at the lesion site would involve continued synthesis of the *Kan*⁺ strand by using the other DNA strand, which harbors a +1 frameshift, the resulting *Kan*⁺ colonies will be white. *Kan*⁺ white colonies could also result from nucleotide excision repair (NER). However, since blue colonies can only result from TLS through the lesion site, their frequency among the total *Kan*⁺ colonies gives a very reliable and reproducible measurement of the TLS frequency.

To determine the role of Rev1 in TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer, we examined the effects of siRNA depletions of different TLS Pols individually and in combination with Rev1 depletion. For all of the TLS Pols, including Rev1, we ascertained that the siRNA treatment causes a highly efficient depletion of the protein (Supplemental Fig. S2A) and that the wild-type protein complements the TLS deficiency resulting from the siRNA treatment.

To determine that the wild-type protein complements the TLS deficiency engendered by siRNA treatment, we stably expressed the 3xFlag-wild-type protein or its siRNA-resistant (siR) form in normal MRC5 human fibroblasts. As shown in Supplemental Figure S2B, in siRNA-treated cells expressing 3xFlag-tagged wild-type Pol η (3xFlag-WT-Pol η) or 3xFlag-WT-Rev1, Pol η or Rev1 was efficiently depleted by siRNA treatment, indicating that the siRNA treatment conferred efficient knockdown of the proteins expressed from the genome as well as the vector. In contrast, in cells expressing the siR form of Pol η or Rev1, the levels of both proteins remained intact in siRNA-treated cells. As shown in Supplemental Table 1, in normal human fibroblasts treated with control siRNA, TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the leading strand template of SV40-based plasmid occurs with a frequency of ~25%. In human fibroblast cells treated with Pol η siRNA and carrying the vector alone or the vector expressing Flag-WT-Pol η , TLS frequency declines to ~11%, whereas in cells expressing the Flag-WT-siR-Pol η , TLS is restored to wild-type levels. Similarly, for Rev1, the siRNA depletion of Rev1 in human fibroblast cells expressing the vector or the vector containing the Flag-WT-Rev1 TLS frequency is reduced to ~7.5%, and TLS is fully restored to wild-type levels in cells expressing the Flag-WT-siR-Rev1 protein. The complementation of the TLS deficiency by the siR Rev1 or Pol η shows that the TLS defects engendered by siRNA depletion result from the depletion of that particular protein and not from any off-target effects. Similarly, we verified that the TLS deficiency conferred by the siRNA depletion of other TLS Pols opposite this UV lesion was complemented by the respective siR form of wild-type protein.

In contrast to the TLS frequency of ~25% in NER-proficient human fibroblasts (Supplemental Table S1), in NER-defective XPA cells treated with control siRNA, TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the leading strand template of a SV40-based plasmid occurs with a frequency of ~40% (Table 1). Thus, NER removes a considerable proportion of UV lesions from the plasmid before its replication. In Pol η -depleted XPA cells, the TLS frequency is reduced to ~18%, whereas in cells depleted for Pol κ or

Table 1. The effects of siRNA knockdown of TLS Pols on the replicative bypass of a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the leading or lagging strand DNA template in NER-defective XPA human fibroblasts

siRNA	Leading strand			Lagging strand		
	Number of <i>Kan</i> ⁺ colonies	Number of blue colonies among <i>Kan</i> ⁺	TLS	Number of <i>Kan</i> ⁺ colonies	Number of blue colonies among <i>Kan</i> ⁺	TLS
Negative control	612	248	40.6%	526	165	31.4%
Pol η	542	99	18.2%	465	68	14.6%
Pol κ	582	178	30.5%	426	88	20.7%
Rev1	726	113	15.6%	628	78	12.4%
Rev3	421	127	30.2%	592	116	19.6%
Rev7	536	159	29.7%	453	92	20.2%
Pol η + Rev1	685	104	15.2%	628	80	12.7%
Pol κ + Rev1	646	106	16.4%	596	74	12.4%
Rev1 + Rev3	653	36	5.5%	634	33	5.2%
Rev1 + Rev7	678	39	5.8%	618	30	4.8%

the Rev3 or Rev7 subunit of Pol ζ , the frequency is reduced to ~30%. Upon Rev1 depletion, the TLS frequency is reduced to ~15%, and simultaneous depletion of Rev1 and Pol η or Rev1 and Polk confers the same reduction in TLS frequency as that seen upon Rev1 depletion alone (~15%). In contrast, simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Rev3 or Rev7 results in a drastic reduction in TLS frequency (~5%) compared with that conferred by the depletion of Rev1 or Pol ζ subunits individually. From the epistasis of Rev1 over Pol η and Polk and the synergistic interaction of Rev1 with Pol ζ , we deduce that Pol η and Polk function in TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer in conjunction with Rev1, whereas Pol ζ functions independently of Rev1.

Opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the lagging strand template of SV40-based plasmid, TLS occurs with a frequency of ~30% in control siRNA-treated XPA cells (Table 1). The TLS frequency is reduced to ~15% in Pol η -depleted cells and ~20% in Polk- or Pol ζ -depleted cells, and Rev1 depletion confers a reduction in TLS frequency to ~12%. The simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Pol η or Polk causes no further reduction in TLS frequency than that seen upon Rev1 depletion alone, but the simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Pol ζ leads to a larger reduction in TLS frequencies (~5%) than that conferred by Rev1 depletion (~12%). Thus, for TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on either DNA strand, Rev1 functions together with Pol η or Polk and not with Pol ζ .

TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer occurs predominantly in an error-free manner, as only ~2% of TLS products harbor mutations. The frequency of mutagenic TLS rises twofold to threefold in Pol η -depleted cells, whereas the simultaneous depletion of Polk and Pol ζ results in the complete absence of mutagenic TLS. From these and other observations, we concluded previously that Pol η functions in an error-free manner, while Polk and Pol ζ provide alternative mutagenic pathways of TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer (Yoon et al. 2009). As shown in Supplemental Table S2, Rev1 depletion leads to an approximately twofold increase in the frequency of mutagenic TLS, which we expect to have resulted from the combined inactivation of the major Pol η -dependent error-free pathway

of TLS and the relatively minor Polk-dependent pathway, which acts in a weakly mutagenic manner.

The role of Rev1 in TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct in human cells

We showed previously that TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct is mediated by alternative mutagenic pathways that require Pol η or Pol ι and by another error-free Pol ζ -dependent pathway (Yoon et al. 2010b). As shown in Table 2, opposite the (6-4) TT lesion carried on the leading strand template in XPA cells, the TLS frequency is not affected by depletion of either Pol η or Pol ι , but the simultaneous depletion of Pol η and Pol ι reduces TLS frequency to ~27% from ~37% in control siRNA-treated cells; in contrast, in Rev3-depleted cells, the TLS frequency is reduced to a much greater extent (~17%). Thus, Pol ζ plays a major role in TLS opposite the (6-4) TT photoproduct, whereas Pol η and Pol ι provide relatively minor pathways for replicating through this DNA lesion. Depletion of Rev1 in combination with depletion of Pol η or Pol ι conferred no further reduction in TLS frequency than that seen upon Rev1 depletion alone (~27%), whereas simultaneous depletion of Rev1 and Pol ζ led to a drastic reduction in TLS frequency (~6%) from that conferred upon their individual depletions. The epistatic interaction of Rev1 with Pol η or Pol ι and the synergistic interaction of Rev1 with Pol ζ support the inference that, for TLS opposite the (6-4) TT photoproduct also, Rev1 functions together with Pol η and Pol ι and not with Pol ζ . Further support for this conclusion was provided by the genetic analysis of TLS opposite the (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the lagging strand template (Table 2).

Since Pol η and Pol ι provide alternative mutagenic pathways for TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct and since mutagenic TLS is abrogated in cells depleted for both Pol η and Pol ι (Yoon et al. 2010b), the requirement of Rev1 for TLS mediated by these Pols would suggest that mutagenic TLS will be similarly absent in Rev1-depleted cells. As shown in Supplemental Table S3, in control siRNA-treated cells, mutagenic TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct occurs with a frequency of ~2%, whereas

Table 2. The effects of siRNA knockdown of TLS Pols on the replicative bypass of a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the leading or lagging strand DNA template in NER-defective XPA human fibroblasts

siRNA	Leading strand			Lagging strand		
	Number of <i>Kan</i> ⁺ colonies	Number of blue colonies among <i>Kan</i> ⁺	TLS	Number of <i>Kan</i> ⁺ colonies	Number of blue colonies among <i>Kan</i> ⁺	TLS
Negative control	523	191	36.5%	584	163	27.9%
Pol η	685	238	34.7%	608	187	30.8%
Pol ι	426	151	35.4%	421	113	26.8%
Rev1	635	170	26.8%	546	107	19.5%
Rev3	408	69	16.9%	463	58	12.5%
Pol η + Pol ι	598	164	27.4%	574	117	20.3%
Pol η + Rev1	561	139	24.8%	462	86	18.6%
Pol ι + Rev1	493	125	25.3%	580	111	19.2%
Rev1 + Rev3	545	32	5.8%	602	28	4.6%
Rev1 + Rev7	618	38	6.2%	514	26	5.0%

Table 3. UV-induced mutation frequencies in the *cII* gene in BBMEF cells expressing a (6-4)PP photolyase and treated with siRNAs for different TLS Pols

siRNA	UV ^a	Photoreactivation ^b	Mutation frequency ^c
Negative control	—	—	$14.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$
Negative control	+	+	$44.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$
Pol η	+	+	$104.6 \times 10^{-5} \pm 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$
Polk	+	+	$28.6 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev3	+	+	$29.3 \times 10^{-5} \pm 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1	+	+	$76.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Pol η	+	+	$73.4 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Polk	+	+	$71.3 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Rev3	+	+	$16.4 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$

^aFive joules per square meter of UVC (254-nm) light.^bPhotoreactivation with UVA (360-nm) light for 3 h.^cMutation frequency data were obtained from averages of seven independent experiments.

no mutagenic TLS products were recovered from Rev1-depleted cells.

Thus, from the analyses of the role of Rev1 in TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer and its contribution to error-free or mutagenic TLS opposite this lesion, we infer a role of Rev1 in Pol η - and Polk-mediated TLS pathways, which act in an error-free or weakly mutagenic manner, respectively. From similar analyses carried out for a (6-4) TT photoproduct, we infer a role of Rev1 in mediating Pol η - and Pol η -mediated TLS pathways, which act in a weakly mutagenic fashion. Altogether, we conclude that, in human fibroblasts, Rev1 is specifically required for TLS mediated by Pol η , Polk, and Pol η .

The effects of Rev1 depletion on UV mutagenesis in the chromosomal cII gene in MEFs

Next, we examined the effects of Rev1 depletion on mutagenesis resulting from TLS opposite CPDs and (6-4) photoproducts formed at TT, TC, and CC dipyrimidine sites in the *cII* gene that has been integrated into the genome of BBMEF cells (You et al. 2001). This system provides a convenient and reliable way to measure the effects of DNA-damaging agents on mutagenesis and has been shown to exhibit responses similar to those observed with endogenous chromosomal genes (You and Pfeifer 2001; You et al. 2001; Besaratinia and Pfeifer 2006). To examine UV mutagenesis resulting from TLS opposite CPDs, the (6-4) photoproducts were selectively removed from the genome by expressing a (6-4) photoproduct-specific photolyase gene in the BBMEF cell line, and the effects of siRNA depletion of TLS Pols (Supplemental Fig. S2C) were analyzed. As has been reported previously and independently verified by us, this experimental protocol allows for the complete removal of (6-4) photoproducts (You et al. 2001; Yoon et al. 2009).

As shown in Table 3, in unirradiated cells treated with control siRNA, spontaneous mutations in the *cII* gene in BBMEF cells occur at a frequency of $\sim 15 \times 10^{-5}$. In UV-irradiated mouse cells expressing (6-4) photoproduct-specific photolyase and exposed to photoreactivating light to remove (6-4) photoproducts, mutations in the *cII* gene,

which would result from replication through CPDs, are elevated to a frequency of $\sim 45 \times 10^{-5}$. In UV-irradiated cells, siRNA depletion of Pol η confers an increase in mutation frequency to $\sim 105 \times 10^{-5}$, whereas depletion of Polk or Rev3 results in an about equal reduction in mutation frequencies, to $\sim 30 \times 10^{-5}$. As expected from the requirement of Rev1 for error-free TLS mediated by Pol η and for Polk-dependent mutagenic TLS, Rev1 depletion confers a reduction in mutation frequencies to $\sim 75 \times 10^{-5}$ compared with that in Pol η -depleted cells ($\sim 105 \times 10^{-5}$). Our observations that simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Pol η or Polk causes no further reduction in mutation frequencies than that seen upon depletion of Rev1 alone, whereas simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Rev3 leads to a reduction in mutation frequencies nearly to the level observed in unirradiated cells, lend further support for a role of Rev1 in Pol η - and Polk-mediated TLS opposite CPDs but not in Pol ζ -dependent TLS.

To examine UV mutations that result from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts formed at dipyrimidine sites in the *cII* gene, CPDs were selectively removed from the genome in BBMEF cells by expression of a CPD-specific photolyase gene. As shown in Table 4, spontaneous mutations in this cell line occur at a frequency of $\sim 14 \times 10^{-5}$, and in UV-irradiated cells, mutation frequency resulting from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts rises to $\sim 26 \times 10^{-5}$. Since TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts occurs by Pol η - and Pol η -dependent mutagenic pathways and via a Pol ζ -mediated error-free pathway, UV-induced mutation frequencies resulting from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts decline to $\sim 19 \times 10^{-5}$ in cells depleted for Pol η or Pol η , and in cells depleted for both Pol η and Pol η , mutation frequencies are reduced near to the level that occurs in unirradiated cells. Depletion of Rev1 confers a reduction in UV-induced mutation frequencies to nearly the same level as that in unirradiated cells, and simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Pol η or Pol η causes no further reduction in mutation frequencies over that in Rev1-depleted cells. From these observations indicating epistasis of Rev1 over Pol η and Pol η and from the observation that the elevated mutagenesis in Rev3-depleted cells ($\sim 36 \times 10^{-5}$) is reduced in cells additionally depleted for Rev1 to nearly

Table 4. UV-induced mutation frequencies in the *cII* gene in BBMEF cells expressing a CPD photolyase and treated with siRNAs for different TLS Pols

siRNA	UV ^a	Photoreactivation ^b	Mutation frequency ^c
Negative control	—	—	$13.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$
Negative control	+	+	$26.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$
Polη	+	+	$19.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$
Poli	+	+	$18.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev3	+	+	$35.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.2 \times 10^{-5}$
Polη + Poli	+	+	$15.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1	+	+	$15.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Polη	+	+	$16.2 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Poli	+	+	$15.6 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$
Rev1 + Rev3	+	+	$16.0 \times 10^{-5} \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$

^aFive joules per square meter of UVC (254-nm) light.^bPhotoreactivation with UVA (360-nm) light for 3 h.^cMutation frequency data were obtained from averages of seven independent experiments.

spontaneous levels ($\sim 16 \times 10^{-5}$), we conclude that Rev1 functions in TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts together with Polη and Poli and not with Polζ.

Since CPDs and (6-4) photoproducts account for $\sim 75\%$ and 25% of UV-induced mutations, respectively, in UV-irradiated cells containing both these lesions, we expect that, because of inactivation of Polκ-dependent mutagenic TLS opposite CPDs and because of inactivation of Polη- and Poli-mediated error-prone TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts, Rev1 depletion would confer a reduction in UV-induced mutation frequency compared with that observed in Polη-depleted cells. As shown in Supplemental Table S4, UV-induced mutations occur at a frequency of $\sim 52 \times 10^{-5}$ in cells treated with control siRNA, and the mutation frequency rises to $\sim 95 \times 10^{-5}$ in Polη-depleted cells and is reduced to $\sim 70 \times 10^{-5}$ in Rev1-depleted cells. Thus, the overall effects of Rev1 depletion on Polη-dependent error-free TLS and Polκ-dependent mutagenic TLS opposite CPDs and on Polη- and Poli-mediated mutagenic TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts result in elevated UV mutagenesis, but the level of enhancement of UV mutagenesis is reduced in Rev1-depleted cells compared with that in Polη-depleted cells.

The pattern of UV mutations in the cII gene in Rev1-depleted MEFs

In control siRNA-treated BBMEF cells, UV mutations in the *cII* gene resulting from TLS opposite CPDs occur primarily at 11 dipyrimidine sites, #1–#11, (Fig. 1A, panel I), and the pattern of UV mutations remains the same in Polη-depleted MEFs (Yoon et al. 2009). However, and interestingly, the pattern of UV mutations differs strikingly in Polκ- versus Polζ-depleted cells, as different hot spots remain in Polκ-depleted cells (#4, #5, #7, and #8) versus Polζ-depleted cells (#1, #2, #3, and #6) (Yoon et al. 2009). As expected from the role of Rev1 in Polη- and Polκ-dependent TLS opposite CPDs, the pattern of hot spots that persists in Rev1-depleted cells (#4, #5, #7, and #8) resembles that in Polκ-depleted cells (Fig. 1A, panel II). Since Polζ function is not affected in Rev1-depleted cells and since

hot spots at position #4, #5, #7, and #8 are eliminated upon Polζ depletion, the observed pattern of hot spots is consistent with the involvement of Rev1 in Polκ-mediated mutagenic TLS but not in Polζ-dependent mutagenic TLS.

UV-induced mutations resulting from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts in the *cII* gene occur predominantly at dipyrimidine sites indicated by #1, #2, #3, and #4 (Fig. 1B), and these UV-induced hot spots are absent in cells depleted for Polη and Poli (Yoon et al. 2010b). As would be expected from the requirement of Rev1 for Polη- and Poli-dependent mutagenic TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts, these hot spots are absent in Rev1-depleted cells also (Fig. 1B).

UV mutagenesis in the cII gene in Rev1^{-/-}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{+/+} primary MEFs

We also generated Rev1^{+/+}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{-/-} mice in the C57BL/6 background. Rev1^{-/-} mice suffer from poor viability and growth retardation (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Rev1^{+/+}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{-/-} primary embryonic fibroblasts harboring the *cII* gene were derived as described in the Materials and Methods. As shown in Supplemental Table S5, in unirradiated Rev1^{+/+}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{-/-} MEFs, mutations in the *cII* gene arise at a frequency of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$. In Rev1^{+/+} MEFs, UV irradiation at 5 J/m² elevates the mutation frequency to $\sim 32 \times 10^{-5}$. As expected from the results of siRNA knockdown of Rev1 (Table 3; Supplemental Table S4), the frequency of UV-induced mutations rises to $\sim 55 \times 10^{-5}$ in both of the Rev1^{-/-} cell lines that we generated. We also determined whether *cII* mutation frequencies in UV-irradiated Rev1^{+/-} heterozygous MEFs remain the same as in Rev1^{+/+} wild-type cells or whether Rev1 protein becomes limiting in Rev1^{+/-} heterozygotes, which then affects UV-induced mutation frequencies. From our observation that, compared with the mutation frequency of $\sim 32 \times 10^{-5}$ in Rev1^{+/+} MEFs, UV-induced mutation frequency in the *cII* gene rises to $\sim 41 \times 10^{-5}$ in Rev1^{+/-} MEFs, we infer that, in the heterozygotes, Rev1 becomes limiting and that the reduced levels of

Figure 1. UV-induced (5 J/m^2) mutational spectra in the *cII* gene in BBMEF mouse cells. (A) Mutational spectra in the *cII* gene resulting from TLS opposite CPDs in BBMEFs expressing (6-4) PP photolyase. (Panel I) In control siRNA-treated cells, UV-induced mutational hot spots in the *cII* gene from nucleotide positions 25–288 are clustered at 11 different positions, #1–#11 (Yoon et al. 2009). A frequently occurring spontaneous mutational hot spot is indicated by “g” at position 223. (Panel II) UV-induced mutations that result from TLS opposite CPDs in Polk siRNA-treated cells are shown *above* the sequence, and mutations that occur in Rev1 siRNA-treated cells are shown *below* the sequence. (B) Mutational spectra in the *cII* gene resulting from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts in BBMEF cells expressing CPD photolyase. UV-induced mutations that result from TLS opposite (6-4) photoproducts in negative control siRNA-treated cells are clustered at four different positions, #1–#4 (Yoon et al. 2010b), and are shown *above* the sequence, whereas mutations that occur in Rev1 siRNA-treated cells are shown *below* the sequence. (NC) Negative control.

Rev1 adversely affect Pol η -mediated error-free TLS opposite CPDs.

The requirement of Rev1 for assembly of Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι but not Pol ζ into replication foci in UV-irradiated human and mouse cells

Next, we examined whether Rev1 was required for the accumulation of Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι into replication foci in UV-irradiated human fibroblasts. For this purpose, XPV cells expressing GFP-Pol η , GFP-Pol κ , or GFP-Pol ι were treated with control siRNA or Rev1 siRNA. As shown in Figure 2A, the incidence of Pol η foci is greatly enhanced in UV-irradiated XPV cells treated with negative control siRNA, whereas in cells treated with Rev1 siRNA, there is no UV-induced accumulation of Pol η into replication foci. We also observed an increase in the frequency of Pol κ and Pol ι foci in UV-irradiated XPV cells, and the inci-

dence of these foci fell dramatically in Rev1-depleted cells, (Fig. 2B,C). In contrast to the large reduction in UV-induced Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι foci in Rev1-depleted XPV cells, Rev1 depletion had no untoward effect on Rev7 focus formation in UV-irradiated XPV cells (Fig. 2D). Quantification of these data show that, in Rev1-depleted cells, the frequency of cells containing elevated levels of Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι foci in UV-irradiated cells is reduced to the levels observed in unirradiated cells (Fig. 2A–C), whereas the frequency of cells containing Rev7 foci remained the same in UV-irradiated cells regardless of whether cells were treated with negative control siRNA or Rev1 siRNA (Fig. 2D). Similarly, Rev1 depletion had no effect on the frequency of cells containing Rev3 foci (data not shown). Thus, Rev1 is indispensable for the accumulation of Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι into replication foci in UV-irradiated XPV cells but is not required for the accumulation of Pol ζ into replication foci. We also verified

Figure 2. Requirement of Rev1 for accumulation of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ into replication foci in UV-damaged human fibroblasts. XPV (XP30R0) cells were transfected with GFP-Pol η (A), GFP-Pol κ (B), GFP-Pol ι (C), or GFP-Rev7 (D), and, after 16 h, cells were treated with control siRNA or Rev1 siRNA followed by treatment with 20 J/m² UVC. Representative images of GFP-Pol η (A), GFP-Pol κ (B), GFP-Pol ι (C), and GFP-Rev7 (D) foci are shown at the left, and quantification of cells containing these foci is shown at the right. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments. (E) Wild-type human fibroblasts were transfected with GFP-Pol η , GFP-Pol κ , GFP-Pol ι , or GFP-Rev7 and exposed to control siRNA or Rev1 siRNA, and the percentage of cells containing foci of these TLS Pols in UVC-treated or untreated cells was quantified. (NC) Negative control.

the requirement of Rev1 for the accumulation of Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι but not Pol ζ into replication foci in UV-irradiated wild-type human fibroblasts (Fig. 2E).

To determine the requirement of Rev1 for recruitment of Y family Pols into replication foci in mouse cells, we examined the assembly of GFP-Pol η and GFP-Polk into foci in UV-irradiated Rev1^{+/+} and Rev1^{-/-} MEFs. The incidence of Pol η and Polk foci is greatly enhanced in UV-irradiated Rev1^{+/+} MEFs (Supplemental Fig. S4A) whereas in UV-irradiated Rev1^{-/-} MEFs, the incidence of Pol η and Polk foci remained the same as in unirradiated MEFs (Supplemental Fig. S4B). The incidence of UV-induced Rev7 foci, however, was not affected in the absence of Rev1, as it remained the same in Rev1^{-/-} and Rev1^{+/+} primary MEFs (Supplemental Fig. S4C). Thus, in mouse cells as well, Rev1 is indispensable for the UV-induced assembly of Y family Pols into replication foci but is not required for Pol ζ assembly into foci.

Requirement of Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι for accumulation of Rev1 into replication foci in UV-irradiated human cells

To determine whether the accumulation of Rev1 into foci in UV-damaged cells occurs independently of Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι or whether these Pols are required for the assembly of Rev1 into replication foci, we examined whether the incidence of Rev1 foci was reduced in UV-irradiated cells depleted of Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι (Supplemental Fig. S5). Approximately 15% of unirradiated wild-type cells contain Rev1 foci, whereas the frequency of cells containing Rev1 foci rises to ~50% in UV-irradiated cells (Supplemental Fig. S5A,B). Interestingly, in UV-irradiated cells, Pol η depletion led to an almost 50% reduction in the frequency of cells containing Rev1 foci (Supplemental Fig. S5A,B); this large effect of Pol η depletion on the proficiency of Rev1 to assemble into foci closely parallels the major contribution of Pol η to TLS opposite CPDs (Table 1). A similar reduction in Rev1 foci is observed in UV-irradiated XPV fibroblasts; moreover, the simultaneous depletion of Polk and Pol ι in XPV cells abrogated all of the UV-induced Rev1 foci in XPV cells (Supplemental Fig. S5C). In contrast to the requirement of Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι for enabling the assembly of Rev1 into foci, depletion of Rev7 had no inhibitory effect on Rev1 focus formation (Supplemental Fig. S5B).

Requirement of Rad18 for the assembly of TLS Pols into replication foci in UV-irradiated human fibroblasts

Previously, we showed that Rad18 is indispensable for promoting TLS through UV lesions in human and mouse cells (Yoon et al. 2012b). This requirement of Rad18 derives from its roles as the E3 component of the Rad6-Rad18 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme complex, which ubiquitylates PCNA at the Lys164 residue, which is an essential prerequisite for TLS to occur. Since the UV sensitivity of cells simultaneously depleted for Rad18 and a TLS Pol such as Pol η , Polk, or Pol ζ remains the same as

that of cells depleted for Rad18 alone, Rad18 exhibits an epistatic relationship with the various TLS Pols (Yoon et al. 2012b).

To determine whether Rad6–Rad18-mediated PCNA ubiquitylation was required for the accumulation of TLS Pols into replication foci, we examined the effects of Rad18 depletion (Supplemental Fig. S6A) on the assembly of TLS Pols into replication foci in UV-irradiated human fibroblasts. Our observations that the UV-induced assembly of Pol η , Polk, Rev1, or Rev7 does not occur in Rad18-depleted cells (Supplemental Fig. S6B,C) show that Rad6–Rad18-mediated PCNA ubiquitylation is a necessary prerequisite for initiating the assembly of TLS Pols at DNA lesion sites.

Epistasis of Rev1 over Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι for UV survival in human and mouse fibroblasts

The requirement of Rev1 for TLS mediated by Pol η and Polk opposite CPDs and for TLS by Pol η and Pol ι opposite (6-4) photoproducts predicts that Rev1 depletion would confer a greater increase in UV sensitivity than that imparted by depletion of Pol η , Polk, or Pol ι alone, and, importantly, the UV sensitivity of Rev1-depleted cells would not increase upon depletion of either Pol η , Polk, or Pol ι . To determine whether such an epistatic relationship exists between Rev1 and these other Y family Pols, we examined the UV sensitivity of wild-type human fibroblasts treated with control siRNA, Rev1 siRNA, or siRNAs for other TLS Pols. As shown in Figure 3A, UV survival was reduced by ~55% in Rev1-depleted cells, whereas among the other Y family Pols, UV survival was affected the most upon Pol η depletion, no reduction in UV survival occurred in cells depleted for Pol ι , and a modest reduction in UV survival was noted upon Polk depletion. These effects of TLS Pols on UV survival approximate their relative contributions to the replication of UV-damaged DNA (Tables 1, 2). Our observations that the UV sensitivity of cells depleted for Rev1 in combination with depletion of Pol η , Polk, or Pol ι remains the same as that of cells depleted for Rev1 alone are in accord with an epistatic interaction of Rev1 with Pol η , Polk, and Pol ι (Fig. 3A).

Since Pol ζ functions in TLS opposite UV lesions in a Rev1-independent manner, we expect that simultaneous depletion of Rev1 with Pol ζ will confer an increase in UV sensitivity compared with that in Rev1-depleted cells. Accordingly, we found that depletion of Rev1 in conjunction with depletion of Rev3 or Rev7 results in a greater reduction in UV survival than that observed in Rev1-depleted cells (Fig. 3A). The increased UV sensitivity of cells simultaneously depleted for Rev1 and Pol ζ adds further support for a Pol ζ -independent role of Rev1 in lesion bypass. As expected, the UV sensitivity of human fibroblasts simultaneously depleted for Rad18 and Rev1 remains the same as that of Rad18-depleted cells, indicating epistasis (Fig. 3A).

We also verified that the UV sensitivity of MEFs depleted for Rev1 in combination with depletion of Pol η , Polk, or Pol ι remains the same as that of cells depleted for Rev1 alone (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, the simultaneous depletion

Figure 3. UV sensitivity of human and mouse fibroblasts depleted for Rev1 and other TLS Pols. Human and mouse cells were treated with the siRNA for 48 h and then irradiated with 10 J/m² of UVC light. Cells were incubated for an additional 48 h after UV irradiation, and UV sensitivity was determined by the MTT assay. The data represent the mean and standard deviation of results from five independent experiments. (A) UV survival of human fibroblast (HF) cells. (B) UV survival of BBMEF cells.

of Rev1 and Pol ζ resulted in a greater reduction in UV survival than that in Rev1-depleted cells, and the UV survival of Rad18-depleted cells is not affected upon Rev1 depletion (Fig. 3B). Thus, in MEFs also, Rev1 exhibits epistatic interactions with Pol η , Pol κ , and Pol ι and not Pol ζ , and Rev1 functions in TLS in a Rad18-dependent manner.

UV survival of Rev1^{-/-}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{+/+} primary MEFs

Our observations that the frequency of UV-induced mutations is elevated in Rev1^{+/-} primary MEFs suggested that the levels of Rev1 needed for its role in TLS become limiting in these cells (Supplemental Table S5). To determine whether such a semidominant effect of Rev1 knockout mutation extends to other phenotypes, we examined the UV survival of Rev1^{+/+}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{-/-} primary MEFs. As shown in Supplemental Figure S3C, compared with the UV survival of Rev1^{+/+} MEFs, the UV survival of Rev1^{+/-} cells was reduced by ~30%, whereas the survival of Rev1^{-/-} cells was reduced by ~70%. Thus, the complete absence of Rev1 has a very drastic effect on UV survival, and a single copy of Rev1 does not suffice for wild-type levels of UV survival.

Discussion

Here we determined the role of Rev1 in mediating replication through the UV lesions in human and mouse fibroblasts and provided several lines of evidence that show that Rev1 functions with Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ and not Pol ζ . Briefly, we found that (1) for TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the DNA template for leading or lagging strand replication in SV40-based duplex plasmid in

human cells, Rev1 interacts epistatically with Pol η and Pol κ but not Pol ζ ; (2) for TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the SV40-based duplex plasmid in human cells, Rev1 interacts epistatically with Pol η and Pol ι but not Pol ζ ; (3) for UV-induced mutations resulting from replication through CPDs or (6-4) photoproducts in the genomic *cII* gene in mouse cells, Rev1 displays epistasis with Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ but not Pol ζ ; (4) the accumulation of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ into replication foci in UV-damaged human and mouse cells requires Rev1, but the accumulation of Pol ζ into replication foci is not affected in Rev1-depleted cells; (5) in both human and mouse fibroblasts, the UV sensitivity of Rev1-depleted cells is not affected upon the additional depletion of Pol η , Pol ι , or Pol κ ; however, the UV sensitivity of Rev1-depleted cells is enhanced upon the additional depletion of Pol ζ ; and (6) since the above noted studies were carried out with human and mouse fibroblasts that have been immortalized, to confirm that the genetic mechanisms of TLS in these cells do not differ from those in normal cells, we derived primary embryonic fibroblasts from Rev1^{+/+}, Rev1^{+/-}, and Rev1^{-/-} mice generated in the C57BL/6 genetic background. As expected from the predominant role of Rev1 in the replication of UV-damaged DNA in an error-free manner, the frequency of UV-induced mutations rises in Rev1^{-/-} cells, and the lack of Rev1 confers a large reduction in UV survival.

Since the Y family Pols Pol η , Pol ι , Pol κ , and Rev1 function in highly specialized ways in promoting replication through DNA lesions that include UV lesions (Johnson et al. 1999b; Biertumpfel et al. 2010; Silverstein et al. 2010), DNA cross-links (Ummat et al. 2012), lesions that disrupt Watson-Crick pairing (Nair et al. 2006), and lesions that protrude into the DNA minor groove (Nair et al. 2008), these Pols would play a major role in promoting

replication through a large variety of DNA lesions. The dual requirement of Rev1 as a DNA Pol that can specifically act in TLS opposite bulky N²-dG adducts (Nair et al. 2005; Swan et al. 2009) and its more significant scaffolding role as an indispensable component of TLS mediated by Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ would suggest that Rev1 deficiency will have a more pronounced effect on genomic stability than the deficiency of Pol η , Pol ι , or Pol κ . In accord with this, Rev1-null mice in the C57Bl/6 background display poor viability, a greatly reduced body size, and developmental defects (Supplemental Fig. S3A; Jansen et al. 2006), whereas null mutants of Pol η , Pol κ , or Pol ι are viable and exhibit no growth or developmental defects. Since the mutational inactivation of the DNA Pol function of Rev1 has no obvious debilitating effects on viability and development (Kano et al. 2012), presumably these severe effects of the Rev1-null mutation derive at least in part from the defects engendered in lesion bypass by Rev1 deficiency impacting on the ability of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ to function in TLS and, to a much lesser extent, from the lack of its Pol function.

The requirement of Rev1 for TLS mediated by Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ opposite UV lesions observed in our studies differs from the role that Rev1 plays in TLS that occurs during gap-filling reactions in human or mouse cells. The observations that TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on a gapped plasmid is greatly reduced in cells lacking Rev1 or Rev3 have suggested that Rev1 and Pol ζ function together in mediating TLS opposite this lesion in the gapped plasmid (Jansen et al. 2009; Shachar et al. 2009). However, since no epistasis analyses had been carried out in those previous studies, to ascertain that Rev1 interacts epistatically with Pol ζ , we examined the effects of depletions of Rev1 and Rev3 individually and simultaneously on TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct carried on the gapped plasmid in human fibroblasts (Supplemental Fig. S7). From our observation that depletion of Rev1, Rev3, or both Rev1 and Rev3 generates the same level of reduction in gap filling (Supplemental Table S6), we conclude that, for gap filling opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct, Rev1 functions together with Pol ζ . Pol η plays a major role in TLS opposite a *cis-syn* TT dimer carried on the gapped plasmid in human cells (Supplemental Table S7). Our observations that depletion of Rev1 or Rev3 has no effect on the frequency of gap filling opposite this lesion have indicated that Rev1 is not required for Pol η -mediated TLS in gap-filling reactions (Supplemental Table S7). Thus, for TLS in a gapped plasmid, Rev1 functions together with Pol ζ and not Pol η .

The requirement of Rev1/Pol ζ for TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct in a gapped plasmid suggested that, similar to that in yeast, in human cells also, this complex may function in a highly error-prone manner. To examine this, we analyzed the mutagenicity of Rev1/Pol ζ opposite (6-4) TT photoproduct in the gapped plasmid. Sequence analyses of 288 TLS products obtained from negative control siRNA-treated cells showed that ~12% of them harbor mutational changes that include incorporation of G or T opposite the 3' or 5' site of the photoproduct, whereas ~2% of mutations occurred in the flanking 3' or 5' base

and not opposite the two Ts of the photoproduct (Supplemental Table S8). In contrast, the (6-4) TT photoproduct carried in the same sequence context in the duplex plasmid as in the gapped plasmid generated only ~2% mutational products (Supplemental Table S3; Yoon et al. 2010b). Thus, Rev1/Pol ζ -dependent TLS opposite a (6-4) TT photoproduct in the gapped plasmid incurs approximately sixfold more mutational events than the mutagenic TLS that occurs opposite this lesion during replication and is mediated by the Rev1-dependent Pol η and Pol ι pathways.

In the lack of requirement of Rev1 for Pol η function but in its requirement for Pol ζ function, the role of Rev1 in TLS in the gapped plasmid in human cells resembles that in yeast, where Rev1 acts as an indispensable component for TLS mediated by Pol ζ but not for Pol η -dependent TLS. Moreover, since TLS in yeast occurs post-replicatively in gaps (Daigaku et al. 2010; Karras and Jentsch 2010) and since a concordance exists in the role of Rev1 for TLS in yeast and in the gapped plasmid in human cells, we surmise that the requirement of Rev1 for Pol ζ -mediated TLS in normal mammalian cells may be indicative of TLS that occurs post-replicatively rather than in coordination with the replication fork.

Because of the highly specialized roles of Y family Pols in inserting nucleotides opposite DNA lesions and because their active sites are adapted to incorporate a correct nucleotide opposite the DNA lesions, Rev1, via its role as an indispensable component of Y family Pols, would function in predominantly error-free lesion bypass in human cells. Hence, Rev1 would contribute to genome stability in normal human cells. In cancer cells, however, Rev1 may function together with Pol ζ , and the Rev1/Pol ζ complex may mediate a highly mutagenic mode of TLS (Doles et al. 2010; Xie et al. 2010). The adoption of error-prone TLS processes by cancer cells may contribute to their high mutagenicity and their ability to acquire resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs (Doles et al. 2010; Xie et al. 2010). Such a role of Rev1 in conjunction with Pol ζ in mediating highly error-prone TLS in cancer cells may be similar to the role that the Rev1/Pol ζ complex plays in yeast or in gapped plasmid in human cells.

Intriguingly, in chicken DT40 cells, Rev1 functions in a Rad18-, PCNA ubiquitylation-, and Pol η -independent manner (Edmunds et al. 2008). In its lack of requirement for Rad6–Rad18-mediated PCNA ubiquitylation, the role of Rev1 in DT40 cells differs vastly from that in human or mouse fibroblast cells, as Rad18 is indispensable for TLS in these cells (Yoon et al. 2012b). Furthermore, we show here that Rad18 is indispensable for the accumulation of TLS Pols into replication foci in UV-irradiated human fibroblasts; thus, Rad6–Rad18-mediated PCNA ubiquitylation is essential for the targeting of TLS Pols to lesion sites in normal human cells. Although the evolutionary divergence of TLS processes between aves and mammals may account for the strikingly different roles of Rev1 in chicken DT40 cells versus that in normal human fibroblasts, a more likely explanation is that the very different role of Rev1 in TLS in chicken DT40 cells results from the myriad genetic changes that would

have occurred during the transition of normal chicken cells to tumor cells. The DT40 cell line originates from avian leucosis virus (ALV)-induced lymphomas; these cells contain proviral DNA sequences integrated upstream of the *c-myc* proto-oncogene and express elevated levels of *c-myc* mRNA (Baba et al. 1985). Since the regulatory processes in DT40 cells differ from that in normal cells, the genetic control of lesion bypass processes would have diverged in DT40 cells from that in normal chicken cells. Furthermore, our observations imply that the genetic control of TLS processes as inferred from studies in DT40 cells has no bearing on the understanding of TLS processes that occur in normal human cells.

How does Rev1 affect the TLS function of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ in human cells? Since we found no effect of Rev1 on DNA synthesis by Pol η or other Y family Pols opposite DNA lesions, Rev1 must affect the function of these TLS Pols in other ways. The requirement of Rev1 for the assembly of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ into replication foci in UV-damaged cells suggests a role of Rev1 in the placement of these Pols at the damage site; however, our observations that Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ also affect the UV-induced assembly of Rev1 into replication foci would suggest that, in the binary complex of Rev1 with Pol η or with other TLS Pols, both the proteins are involved in modulating their accumulation at DNA lesion sites. To account for such a role, we suggest that both of the proteins in the binary complex are involved in physical and functional interactions with other proteins and that they all together form a multiprotein assembly and are required for the formation of stable and functional assemblages at DNA damage sites. This proposal raises many interesting questions, such as the identity of the proteins that are components of such multiprotein assemblies and how they affect the proficiency and fidelity of lesion bypass. The identification of Rev1 as an indispensable component of Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ lays the groundwork for deciphering the various components of the TLS machinery and analyzing their respective roles in lesion bypass in normal human cells.

Concluding remarks

The major findings of this study and their possible implications are as follows: (1) In TLS that occurs during replication of UV-damaged DNA in human cells, Rev1 functions as an indispensable component of Y family Pols but not of Pol ζ and promotes predominantly error-free lesion bypass. (2) Rev1 plays an essential role in the assembly of Y family Pols at UV lesion sites but is not required for Pol ζ assembly. (3) A role of Rev1 in the assembly of multiprotein complexes of Y family Pols at DNA lesion sites, as proposed here, raises the interesting possibility that all of the Y family Pol η , Pol ι , and Pol κ and Rev1 share identical multiprotein assemblies. This suggests that the mechanism of TLS by Y family Pols would differ from that of Pol ζ or other TLS Pols. (4) The genetic mechanisms and mutagenicity of TLS in a gapped plasmid differ vastly from TLS that occurs during replication in human cells.

Materials and methods

Construction of plasmid vectors containing a cis-syn TT dimer or a (6-4) TT photoproduct

The heteroduplex vectors containing a *cis-syn* TT dimer or a (6-4) TT photoproduct on the leading or lagging strand template were constructed as described previously (Yoon et al. 2009, 2010a).

In vivo TLS assays in human cells

For human Rev1 siRNA knockdown, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-purified duplex siRNA for human Rev1 was purchased from Ambion. The sense sequence of human Rev1 siRNA was 5'-GCAUCAAGCUGGACGACU-3', and the efficiency of its knockdown was verified by Western blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S2). Anti-Rev1 antibody and anti-Rev7 antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology and used for Western blot analysis. The antibodies used for determining the siRNA knockdown efficiency of other TLS Pols as well as the detailed methods for TLS assays have been described previously (Yoon et al. 2009, 2010a). For complementation assay, normal human fibroblast (MRC5) cells were transfected with pCMV7.1-3xFlag vectors (Sigma) containing the wild-type or siR form of Pol η or Rev1. Cells stably expressing these recombinant proteins were selected by zeocin (Invitrogen), and protein expression and siRNA knockdown efficiency were analyzed by Western blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S2B). Stably transfected cells were used for TLS assay as described previously (Yoon et al. 2014).

UV survival assays

Normal human fibroblasts or BBMEFs were transfected with siRNAs, and, 48 h after siRNA transfection, cells were treated with UV. For the UV irradiation, cells were washed with PBS buffer and irradiated at 10 J/m² of UVC light in PBS buffer. After irradiation, fresh growth medium without caffeine was added, and cells were incubated for an additional 48 h. UV survival was determined by the MTT assay (Promega) as described in the manufacturers' manuals. Briefly, 200 μ L of MTT assay solution was added to each well and incubated for 30 min. Cell viability was determined by the measurement of OD at 490 nm.

Big blue transgenic mouse cell line and siRNA knockdown

The transgenic BBMEF cells expressing either the (6-4) PP photolyase or the CPD photolyase were grown in Dulbecco modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% FBS (GenDEPOT) and antibiotics. HPLC-purified duplex siRNA for mouse Rev1 was purchased from Ambion. The sense sequence of mouse Rev1 siRNA was 5'-GGCACUAUGUCAGUGUUGA-3', and the efficiency of its knockdown was confirmed by Western blotting with mouse Rev1 antibodies that we raised in rabbits. The siRNA knockdown efficiency of other mouse TLS genes has been described previously (Yoon et al. 2009; Yoon et al. 2010a). For the *cII* mutation assay, cells were plated on 100-mm plates at 50% confluence ($\sim 5 \times 10^6$ cells), and 500 pmol of synthetic duplex siRNAs was transfected using 50 μ L of Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

UV irradiation, photoreactivation, and cII mutational assays in siRNA-treated BBMEFs

The methods for *cII* mutational analyses opposite CPDs and (6-4) photoproducts have been described previously (Yoon et al. 2009, 2010a).

Fluorescence microscopy in human cells

Full-length human Pol η , Pol ι , Pol κ , Rev1, and Rev7 were subcloned into the pEGFPN1 vector (Clontech) and transiently transfected into SV40 transformed XPV (XP30R0) or normal human fibroblasts. After 16 h of incubation, cells were suspended and treated with Rev1 siRNA and cultured on a coverslip in six-well plates with 50% confluence. After 48 h, cells were treated with 20 J/m² of UVC. For the UV irradiation, cells were washed with PBS buffer and irradiated with UVC light in the presence of PBS buffer. After irradiation, cells were incubated in fresh growth medium for 6 h. After washing with PBS buffer, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min. Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS buffer. Nuclear staining was performed with DAPI (Molecular Probe) in PBS buffer for 20 min. The fluorescent images were visualized and captured by fluorescence microscope (Nikon fluorescence microscope).

Generation of Rev1^{-/-} mice and Rev1^{-/-} transgenic BBMEF cell lines

Rev1^{+/-} mice (TIGM, IST10468C11) generated by the gene trap method (Supplemental Fig. S3B) were purchased from TIGM. Rev1^{+/-} mice were crossed with C57BL/6 to generate male and female Rev1^{+/-} mice. Rev1^{+/-} mice were then intercrossed to generate Rev1^{-/-} mice. All animal studies were approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. To identify the Rev1 knockout, tail DNA genotyping was performed using the primers IST10468C11F (5'-CATGTGAAGTGGAGAGATCAAAGC-3') and IST10468C11R (5'-AGTACACAGCTACAAGAGTATGC-3') for the wild-type allele and primers IST10468C11F (5'-CATGTGAAGTGGAGAGATCAAAGC-3') and LTR-rev (5'-ATAAACCCTCTTGACAGT TGCATC-3') for the Rev1-null allele. All primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. To produce Rev1^{-/-} transgenic primary BBMEFs, big blue transgenic male mice (C57BL/6 background) were purchased from Agilent-Stratagene and crossed with Rev1^{+/-} females. The big blue transgene was identified by tail DNA genotyping with primers *cII* forward primer and *cII* reverse primer, as described previously (You et al. 1998), and Rev1 genotype was determined by tail DNA genotyping as described above. Primary MEFs were isolated from embryos derived from intercrossing of Rev1^{+/-} big blue transgenic parents according to published procedures (Tommasi et al. 2005). In brief, mouse embryos harvested in utero at 13.5 d of gestation were roughly minced and incubated with trypsin for 20 min at room temperature. Homogenous cell suspensions were then added to 25 mL of DMEM (GeneDepot) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. Early-passage ($P < 5$) MEFs were used for all experiments. To check mouse Rev1 expression, cell extracts were prepared from Rev1^{+/+} and Rev1^{-/-} MEFs, and Western blot analysis was done with rabbit anti-mouse Rev1 antibody against mouse Rev1 protein.

Fluorescence microscopy in Rev1^{+/+} and Rev1^{-/-} MEFs

To examine UV-induced Pol η and Pol κ focus formation in MEFs, Rev1^{+/+} and Rev1^{-/-} MEFs were immortalized by lentiviral expression of SV40-T antigen (GeneCopoeia). GFP-Pol η or GFP-Pol κ was transiently transfected into transformed MEFs. After 16 h of incubation, cells were suspended and cultured on a coverslip in a six-well plate with 50% confluence. After 48 h, cells were treated with 20 J/m² of UVC. After 6 h of incubation, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min. Nuclear staining was performed with DAPI (Molecular Probe) in PBS buffer for 20 min. To examine Rev7 foci in MEFs, primary Rev1^{+/+} and

Rev1^{-/-} MEFs were cultured on a coverslip and incubated for 20 h. Cells were treated with 20 J/m² of UVC, and, after 6 h incubation, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min. Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS buffer. Cells were immunostained with Rev7 antibody (BD Bioscience) and then incubated with Alexa fluor 488 secondary antibody (Molecular Probe). Nuclear DNA was stained with DAPI (Molecular Probe) for 20 min. The fluorescent images were visualized and captured by fluorescence microscope (Nikon fluorescence microscope).

Acknowledgments

The Rev1 knockout mouse was obtained from the Texas A&M Institute for Genomic Medicine in College Station, TX. This study was supported by National Institutes of Health grants ES020833 and ES022948.

References

- Acharya N, Johnson RE, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2006. Complex formation with Rev1 enhances the proficiency of yeast DNA polymerase ζ for mismatch extension and for extension opposite from DNA lesions. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**: 9555–9563.
- Baba TW, Giroir BP, Humphries EH. 1985. Cell lines derived from avian lymphomas exhibit two distinct phenotypes. *Virology* **144**: 139–151.
- Besaratinia A, Pfeifer GP. 2006. Investigating human cancer etiology by DNA lesion footprinting and mutagenicity analysis. *Carcinogenesis* **27**: 1526–1537.
- Biertumpfel C, Zhao Y, Kondo Y, Ramon-Maiques S, Gregory M, Lee JY, Masutani C, Lehmann AR, Hanaoka F, Yang W. 2010. Structure and mechanism of human DNA polymerase η . *Nature* **465**: 1044–1048.
- Daigaku Y, Davies AA, Ulrich HD. 2010. Ubiquitin-dependent DNA damage bypass is separable from genome replication. *Nature* **465**: 951–955.
- Dhar SK, Yoshida K, Machida Y, Khaira P, Chaudhuri B, Wohlschlegel JA, Leffak M, Yates J, Dutta A. 2001. Replication from oriP of Epstein-Barr virus requires human ORC and is inhibited by geminin. *Cell* **106**: 287–296.
- Doles J, Oliver TG, Cameron ER, Hsu G, Jacks T, Walker GC, Hemann MT. 2010. Suppression of Rev3, the catalytic subunit of Pol ζ , sensitizes drug-resistant lung tumors to chemotherapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 20786–20791.
- Edmunds CE, Simpson LJ, Sale JE. 2008. PCNA ubiquitination and REV1 define temporally distinct mechanisms for controlling translesion synthesis in the avian cell line DT40. *Mol Cell* **30**: 519–529.
- Guo C, Fischhaber PL, Luk-Paszyc MJ, Masuda Y, Zhou J, Kamiya K, Kisker C, Friedberg EC. 2003. Mouse Rev1 protein interacts with multiple DNA polymerases involved in translesion DNA synthesis. *EMBO J* **22**: 6621–6630.
- Haracska L, Unk I, Johnson RE, Johansson E, Burgers PMJ, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2001. Roles of yeast DNA polymerases δ and ζ and of Rev1 in the bypass of abasic sites. *Genes Dev* **15**: 945–954.
- Ito W, Yokoi M, Sakayoshi N, Sakurai Y, Akagi J, Mitani H, Hanaoka F. 2012. Stalled Pol η at its cognate substrate initiates an alternative translesion synthesis pathway via interaction with REV1. *Genes Cells* **17**: 98–108.
- Jansen JG, Langerak P, Tsaalbi-Shtylik A, van den Berk P, Jacobs H, de Wind N. 2006. Strand-biased defect in C/G transversions

- in hypermutating immunoglobulin genes in Rev1-deficient mice. *J Exp Med* **203**: 319–323.
- Jansen JG, Tsaalbi-Shtylik A, Hendriks G, Gali H, Hendel A, Johansson F, Erixon K, Livneh Z, Mullenders LHF, Haracska L, et al. 2009. Separate domains of Rev1 mediate two modes of DNA damage bypass in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**: 3113–3123.
- Johnson RE, Torres-Ramos CA, Izumi T, Mitra S, Prakash S, Prakash L. 1998. Identification of *APN2*, the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* homolog of the major human AP endonuclease *HAP1*, and its role in the repair of abasic sites. *Genes Dev* **12**: 3137–3143.
- Johnson RE, Kondratyck CM, Prakash S, Prakash L. 1999a. *hRAD30* mutations in the variant form of xeroderma pigmentosum. *Science* **285**: 263–265.
- Johnson RE, Prakash S, Prakash L. 1999b. Efficient bypass of a thymine-thymine dimer by yeast DNA polymerase, Pol η . *Science* **283**: 1001–1004.
- Johnson RE, Washington MT, Haracska L, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2000a. Eukaryotic polymerases ι and ζ act sequentially to bypass DNA lesions. *Nature* **406**: 1015–1019.
- Johnson RE, Washington MT, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2000b. Fidelity of human DNA polymerase η . *J Biol Chem* **275**: 7447–7450.
- Johnson RE, Haracska L, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2001. Role of DNA polymerase η in the bypass of a (6-4) TT photoproduct. *Mol Cell Biol* **21**: 3558–3563.
- Johnson RE, Yu S-L, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2003. Yeast DNA polymerase zeta (ζ) is essential for error-free replication past thymine glycol. *Genes Dev* **17**: 77–87.
- Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S. 2012. Pol31 and Pol32 subunits of yeast DNA polymerase δ are also essential subunits of DNA polymerase ζ . *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 12455–12460.
- Kano C, Hanaoka F, Wang JY. 2012. Analysis of mice deficient in both REV1 catalytic activity and POLH reveals an unexpected role for POLH in the generation of C to G and G to C transversions during Ig gene hypermutation. *Int Immunol* **24**: 169–174.
- Karras GI, Jentsch S. 2010. The *RAD6* DNA damage tolerance pathway operates uncoupled from the replication fork and is functional beyond S phase. *Cell* **141**: 255–267.
- Lawrence CW, Christensen RB. 1978. Ultraviolet-induced reversion of *cyc1* alleles in radiation-sensitive strains of yeast. I. rev1 mutant strains. *J Mol Biol* **122**: 1–21.
- Lawrence CW, O'Brien T, Bond J. 1984. UV-induced reversion of *his4* frameshift mutations in *rad6*, *rev1*, and *rev3* mutants of yeast. *Mol Gen Genet* **195**: 487–490.
- Lindner SE, Sugden B. 2007. The plasmid replicon of Epstein-Barr virus: mechanistic insights into efficient, licensed, extrachromosomal replication in human cells. *Plasmid* **58**: 1–12.
- Masutani C, Kusumoto R, Yamada A, Dohmae N, Yokoi M, Yuasa M, Araki M, Iwai S, Takio K, Hanaoka F. 1999. The XPV (xeroderma pigmentosum variant) gene encodes human DNA polymerase η . *Nature* **399**: 700–704.
- Nair DT, Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S, Aggarwal AK. 2005. Rev1 employs a novel mechanism of DNA synthesis using a protein template. *Science* **309**: 2219–2222.
- Nair DT, Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S, Aggarwal AK. 2006. Hoogsteen base pair formation promotes synthesis opposite the 1,N⁶-ethenodeoxyadenosine lesion by human DNA polymerase ι . *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **13**: 619–625.
- Nair DT, Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S, Aggarwal AK. 2008. Protein-template directed synthesis across an acrolein-derived DNA adduct by yeast Rev1 DNA polymerase. *Structure* **16**: 239–245.
- Ohashi E, Murakumo Y, Kanjo N, Akagi J-i, Masutani C, Hanaoka F, Ohmori H. 2004. Interaction of hREV1 with three human Y-family DNA polymerases. *Genes Cells* **9**: 523–531.
- Pozhidaeva A, Pustovalova Y, D'Souza S, Bezsonova I, Walker GC, Korzhnev DM. 2012. NMR structure and dynamics of the C-terminal domain from human Rev1 and its complex with Rev1 interacting region of DNA polymerase η . *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **51**: 5506–5520.
- Prakash S, Johnson RE, Prakash L. 2005. Eukaryotic translesion synthesis DNA polymerases: specificity of structure and function. *Annu Rev Biochem* **74**: 3173–3153.
- Pustovalova Y, Bezsonova I, Korzhnev DM. 2012. The C-terminal domain of human Rev1 contains independent binding sites for DNA polymerase η and Rev7 subunit of polymerase ζ . *FEBS Lett* **586**: 3051–3056.
- Ritzi M, Tillack K, Gerhardt J, Ott E, Humme S, Kremmer E, Hammerschmidt W, Schepers A. 2003. Complex protein-DNA dynamics at the latent origin of DNA replication of Epstein-Barr virus. *J Cell Sci* **116**: 3971–3984.
- Schepers A, Ritzi M, Bousset K, Kremmer E, Yates JL, Harwood J, Diffley JF, Hammerschmidt W. 2001. Human origin recognition complex binds to the region of the latent origin of DNA replication of Epstein-Barr virus. *EMBO J* **20**: 4588–4602.
- Shachar S, Ziv O, Avkin S, Adar S, Wittschieben J, Reißner T, Chaney S, Friedberg EC, Wang Z, Carell T, et al. 2009. Two-polymerase mechanisms dictate error-free and error-prone translesion DNA synthesis in mammals. *EMBO J* **28**: 383–393.
- Silverstein TD, Johnson RE, Jain R, Prakash L, Prakash S, Aggarwal AK. 2010. Structural basis for the suppression of skin cancers by DNA polymerase η . *Nature* **465**: 1039–1043.
- Swan MK, Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S, Aggarwal AK. 2009. Structure of the human REV1–DNA–dNTP ternary complex. *J Mol Biol* **390**: 699–709.
- Tissier A, Kannouche P, Reck M-P, Lehmann AR, Fuchs RPP, Cordonnier A. 2004. Co-localization in replication foci and interaction of human Y-family members, DNA polymerase pol η and REV1 protein. *DNA Repair* **3**: 1503–1514.
- Tommassi S, Dammann R, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Liu L, Tsark WM, Wilczynski SP, Li J, You M, Pfeifer GP. 2005. Tumor susceptibility of Rassf1a knockout mice. *Cancer Res* **65**: 92–98.
- Ummat A, Rechkooblit O, Jain R, Roy Choudhury J, Johnson RE, Silverstein TD, Buku A, Lone S, Prakash L, Prakash S, et al. 2012. Structural basis for cisplatin DNA damage tolerance by human polymerase η during cancer chemotherapy. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**: 628–632.
- Wang J, Lindner SE, Leight ER, Sugden B. 2006. Essential elements of a licensed, mammalian plasmid origin of DNA synthesis. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**: 1124–1134.
- Washington MT, Johnson RE, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2000. Accuracy of thymine-thymine dimer bypass by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA polymerase η . *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **97**: 3094–3099.
- Wojtaszek J, Lee CJ, D'Souza S, Minesinger B, Kim H, D'Andrea AD, Walker GC, Zhou P. 2012a. Structural basis of Rev1-mediated assembly of a quaternary vertebrate translesion polymerase complex consisting of Rev1, heterodimeric polymerase (Pol) ζ , and Polk. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 33836–33846.
- Wojtaszek J, Liu J, D'Souza S, Wang S, Xue Y, Walker GC, Zhou P. 2012b. Multifaceted recognition of vertebrate Rev1 by translesion polymerases ζ and κ . *J Biol Chem* **287**: 26400–26408.
- Xie K, Doles J, Hemann MT, Walker GC. 2010. Error-prone translesion synthesis mediates acquired chemoresistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 20792–20797.

Yoon et al.

- Yates JL, Guan N. 1991. Epstein-Barr virus-derived plasmids replicate only once per cell cycle and are not amplified after entry into cells. *J Virol* **65**: 483–488.
- Yoon J-H, Prakash L, Prakash S. 2009. Highly error-free role of DNA polymerase η in the replicative bypass of UV induced pyrimidine dimers in mouse and human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 18219–18224.
- Yoon J-H, Bhatia G, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2010a. Error-free replicative bypass of thymine glycol by the combined action of DNA polymerases κ and ζ in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 14116–14122.
- Yoon J-H, Prakash L, Prakash S. 2010b. Error-free replicative bypass of (6-4) photoproducts by DNA polymerase ζ in mouse and human cells. *Genes Dev* **24**: 123–128.
- Yoon JH, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2012a. Genetic control of translesion synthesis on leading and lagging DNA strands in plasmids derived from Epstein-Barr virus in human cells. *MBio* **3**: 00271–00212.
- Yoon JH, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2012b. Requirement of Rad18 protein for replication through DNA lesions in mouse and human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 7799–7804.
- Yoon JH, Acharya N, Park J, Basu D, Prakash S, Prakash L. 2014. Identification of two functional PCNA-binding domains in human DNA polymerase κ . *Genes Cells* **19**: 594–601.
- You Y-H, Pfeifer GP. 2001. Similarities in sunlight-induced mutational spectra of CpG-methylated transgenes and the *p53* gene in skin cancer point to an important role of 5-methylcytosine residues in solar UV mutagenesis. *J Mol Biol* **305**: 389–399.
- You YH, Halangoda A, Buettner V, Hill K, Sommer S, Pfeifer G. 1998. Methylation of CpG dinucleotides in the *lacI* gene of the Big Blue transgenic mouse. *Mutat Res* **420**: 55–65.
- You Y-H, Lee D-H, Yoon J-H, Nakajima S, Yasui A, Pfeifer GP. 2001. Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers are responsible for the vast majority of mutations induced by UVB irradiation in mammalian cells. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 44688–44694.

Rev1 promotes replication through UV lesions in conjunction with DNA polymerases η , ι , and κ but not DNA polymerase ζ

Jung-Hoon Yoon, Jeseong Park, Juan Conde, et al.

Genes Dev. 2015, **29**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.272229.115](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.272229.115)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2015/12/17/29.24.2588.DC1>

References

This article cites 57 articles, 29 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/29/24/2588.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).