The yeast and human FACT chromatin-reorganizing complexes solve R-loop-mediated transcription–replication conflicts
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FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription) is a chromatin-reorganizing complex that swaps nucleosomes around the RNA polymerase during transcription elongation and has a role in replication that is not fully understood yet. Here we show that recombination factors are required for the survival of yeast FACT mutants, consistent with an accumulation of DNA breaks that we detected by Rad52 foci and transcription-dependent hyperrecombination. Breaks also accumulate in FACT-depleted human cells, as shown by γH2AX foci and single-cell electrophoresis. Furthermore, FACT-deficient yeast and human cells show replication impairment, which in yeast we demonstrate by ChIP–chip (chromatin immunoprecipitation [ChIP] coupled with microarray analysis) of Rrm3 to occur genome-wide but preferentially at highly transcribed regions. Strikingly, in yeast FACT mutants, high levels of Rad52 foci are suppressed by RNH1 overexpression; R loops accumulate at high levels, and replication becomes normal when global RNA synthesis is inhibited in FACT-depleted human cells. The results demonstrate a key function of FACT in the resolution of R-loop-mediated transcription–replication conflicts, likely associated with a specific chromatin organization.

[Keywords: FACT; transcription–replication collisions; R loops; genome instability; chromatin reorganization]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received October 31, 2013; revised version accepted February 21, 2014.

Genome instability is a common feature of aging and cancer. Different cellular processes might become a threat to the integrity of the genomes, such as replication, DNA repair, transcription, or RNA processing (Aguilera and Gomez-Gonzalez 2008). Consistent with the fact that homologous recombination (HR) is a pathway whose main function is the repair of DNA breaks occurring preferentially during replication, increasing evidence has accumulated showing that transcription-associated recombination [TAR] is the consequence of transcription–replication collisions that can cause breakage of the replication fork [RF] (Aguilera 2002; Kim and Jinks-Robertson 2012; Gaillard et al. 2013). The relevance of transcription–replication collisions as a source of genome instability and TAR has been shown for transcription driven by different RNA polymerases [RNAPs] in different organisms (Prado and Aguilera 2005; Gottipati et al. 2008; de la Loza et al. 2009; Gaillard et al. 2013). At a genome-wide scale, it has been shown that highly transcribed RNAPII genes represent obstacles for the progression of RFs, as determined by the accumulation of the Rrm3 helicase required for RF progression through protein obstacles [Ivessa et al. 2003; Azvolinsky et al. 2009].

One element responsible for transcription–replication collisions is the cotranscriptional R loop, formed by DNA–RNA hybrids and the displaced DNA strand. Even though R loops have physiological roles in a number of processes from mitochondrial DNA replication to class-switching recombination, they are, in general, a threat to chromosome integrity (Aguilera and Garcia-Muse 2012). A major cause of genome instability associated with R loops is the stalling of RFs that can eventually lead to the recombinogenic DNA breaks (Wellinger et al. 2006; Gan et al. 2011; Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2011a). R loops seem to occur at low levels during transcription, but defects in a number of nuclear processes can highly enhance their occurrence.
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formation. These include defects in RNA processing and export factors such as the THO/TREX complex, as shown in yeast, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and human cells (Huertas and Aguilera 2003; Domínguez-Sanchez et al. 2011; Castellano-Pozo et al. 2012); splicing factors such as ASF/SF2 in chicken and human cells (Li and Manley 2005); the Sen1/Senataxin DNA–RNA helicase involved in transcription termination (Mischo et al. 2011; Skourtì-Stathaki et al. 2011); the Npl3 RNA-binding hnRNP (Santos-Pereira et al. 2013); and others identified in different genome-wide screenings from yeast to human cells that cause different forms of genome instability (Luna et al. 2005; Paulsen et al. 2009; Wahba et al. 2011; Stirling et al. 2012). Despite evidence for the role of transcription–replication collisions as a source of genome instability, whether mediated by R loops or not, we do not know the mechanisms or factors that replication uses to skip such obstacles.

The conserved FACT (facilitates chromatin transcription) complex is a factor that functions in both transcription and replication, but surprisingly little is known about its role in genome instability, in particular TAR. It is formed of Spt16/SPT16 and Pob3/SSRP1 (as named in yeast/humans) subunits. FACT promotes RNAPII transcription through chromatin templates (Mason and Struhl 2003; Saunders et al. 2003) by interacting with histones. It facilitates promoter activation by nucleosome eviction and transcription elongation by nucleosome disruption and reassembly ahead of and behind the RNAPs, respectively (Orphanides et al. 1998; Belotserkovskaya et al. 2003). FACT also has a role in replication that, even though less studied, is supported by different observations. Thus, yFACT physically interacts with DNA polymerase α (Wittmeyer and Formosa 1997), and the human and yeast complexes interact physically and functionally with other replication and checkpoint factors (Schlesinger and Formosa 2000; Gambus et al. 2006; Tan et al. 2006; VanDemark et al. 2006). Recently it has also been shown to participate in histone redeposition during replication in yeast (Foltman et al. 2013). Furthermore, replication progression is impaired in FACT-deficient *Xenopus* oocyte extracts in vitro and in chicken DT40 cells (Okuhara et al. 1999; Abe et al. 2011).

Using spt16 and pob3 yeast mutants and human cell lines depleted of SPT16 or SSRP1, we show that FACT solves transcription–replication conflicts to preserve genome stability. Yeast and human cells defective of FACT show DNA breaks and hyperrecombination and display different forms of instability linked to replication impairment, as determined by BrdU incorporation, two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis, DNA combing, or ChiPChip (chromatin immunoprecipitation [ChiP] combined with microarray analysis) with the Rrm3 helicase. Strikingly, replication defects are transcription-dependent, genome instability is suppressed by RNase H overexpression, and DNA–RNA hybrid immunoprecipitation (DRIP) analysis reveals a high accumulation of R loops in yeast FACT mutants and in FACT-depleted human cells. Altogether, the results demonstrate that FACT facilitates RF progression specifically through transcribed DNA regions, supporting the idea that cotranscriptional R loops are formed naturally and associate with chromatin modifications.

**Results**

**Genome instability and recombination-dependent viability in yeast FACT mutants**

To gain insight into the molecular nature of chromatin dynamics in transcription-mediated genome instability, we selected four different thermosensitive mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* SPT16 and POB3 altered in different processes of DNA metabolism—the mutants *spt16-11*, *spt16-G132D*, *pob3-Q308K*, and *pob3-7*—for having the strongest phenotypes. *spt16-11* and *pob3-Q308K* cells displayed a strong sensitivity to low doses of hydroxyurea [HU], methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), and 4-nitroquinoline N-oxide [4-NQO], and *pob3-7* cells were sensitive to HU and 4-NQO (Supplemental Fig. S1A), whereas *spt16-G132D* was only sensitive to 4-NQO at the doses tested.

As these agents have in common their capacity to generate recombinogenic DNA breaks, we wondered whether recombination factors became essential in these mutants for cell viability. Interestingly, whereas, in the absence of Mre11, *spt16-G132D* and *pob3-Q308K* showed a mild growth defect, *spt16-11* and *pob3-7* cells grew poorly, indicating that the absence of HR is highly detrimental in these two mutants (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B). This conclusion was confirmed by assessing the importance of Rad52 for viability. *spt16-11* *rad52Δ* cells grew poorly in synthetic complete (SC) medium and were extremely sensitive to HU, UV, 4-NQO, and MMS at doses that the single *rad52Δ* mutant was resistant to (Fig. 1B). *pob3-7* *rad52Δ* cells were not viable at 30°C. These results indicate that recombinational double-strand break repair is crucial for the viability of *spt16-11* and *pob3-7* mutants. Interestingly, both mutations were viable in a *rad51Δ* background but were extremely sick if the Pol32 subunit of Polδ involved in break-induced replication [BIR] was also absent (Fig. 1A,B). Consistent with previous reports indicating that Rad51 and Pol32 define two repair pathways of replication-mediated breaks (Moriel-Carretero and Aguilera 2010), this result supports the idea that FACT mutations cause replication-associated DNA breaks.

Next, we determined whether the accumulation of breaks resulted in an increase in spontaneous recombination. Indeed, recombination between two *leu2* direct repeats in the plasmid *pLYN5* and the chromosomal *leu2-2::ADE2-URA3::leu2-2* [Lk-AU] (Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2011b) systems was slightly but significantly increased with respect to wild-type levels (Fig. 1C,D). Consistently, high levels of recombinogenic breaks were observed by determining the frequency of Rad52 foci in the mutants (Fig. 1E). Rad52 foci were also increased in cells harboring *SPT16* or *POB3* under the regulated tet promoter (Ptet) and switched off with doxycyclin [DOX] as well as in cells harboring a thermosensitive degron allelic of *Spt16* (*spt16-td*), which produces a Spt16 protein that is
completely degraded after 60 min of degron induction [Fig. 1F; Supplemental Fig. S1C]. Therefore, a functional FACT complex is needed to maintain genome integrity in S. cerevisiae.

**Genome instability in yeast FACT mutants is transcription-dependent**

Since FACT-mediated nucleosome-reorganizing activity is necessary during transcription elongation, we wondered whether genome instability was transcription-dependent. We assayed recombination between two leu2 direct repeats separated by the GC-rich lacZ gene under the inducible GAL1,10 promoter (Pgal) or the constitutive LEU2 promoter (Pleu2) [Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2011b]. When transcription was low (Pgal in glucose), recombination levels in spt16-11, spt16-G132D, and pob3-7 (pob3-Q308K) were indistinguishable from the wild type [Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S2A,B]. However, when transcription was medium (pleu2) or high (Pgal in galactose), recombination increased in all mutants, even though to different extents. The mutant with the clearest effect was spt16-11,
Defective S-phase progression of yeast FACT mutants

Next, we analyzed progression of cells through the S phase. G1-synchronized cultures were released into the S phase at a restrictive temperature (37°C) with or without 20 mM HU, and cell cycle progression was monitored by FACS. Whereas spt16-G132D cells were arrested in G1/early S phase (Supplemental Fig. S3), spt16-Q308K cells accumulated in the S phase under replication stress (+HU). In contrast, progression through the S phase was delayed in spt16-11 and pob3-7 with and without HU, suggesting that replication is affected in all of these mutants, although to a different extent.

To confirm that the defect in S-phase progression was not allele-specific, we performed the same experiment in cells depleted of Spt16 using the degron strain. spt16-td cells were retained in the early S phase (Supplemental Fig. S3), consistent with a defect in replication. Thereafter, we decided to work only with a representative mutant from each subunit: spt16-11 and pob3-7. From these, spt16-11, the mutant most affected in TAR, was unaffected in the transcription of the systems analyzed, whereas pob3-7, the sickest mutant in the experiment, was significantly affected, as assayed by Northern of lacZ system, TLEU2 (low and high transcription levels, respectively), the tet promoter with or without 5 g/mL DOX [low and high, respectively]. [*] P < 0.05 [Student’s t-test]. (B) Recombination frequency of the direct repeat HHR-OUT and HHR-IN systems in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEI-48], and pob3-7 [WEII-36] cells. Replication from the ARSH4 replication origin and transcription driven by the HHR2 promoter are in codirectional [OUT] or convergent [IN] orientations. Other details are as in A. (C) Recombination frequency of the RFB-empty, RFB-trNAi, and RFB-trNAo systems in wild-type [W303-1ARb] and spt16-11 [WXEI-48] cells grown in glucose. Transcription of the SUP53 trNA gene and replication initiated at ARSH4 are either codirectional (trNAi) or convergent (trNAo). The RF coming from downstream from the trNA gene is paused due to the RF barrier (RFB). [*] P < 0.05 [Mann-Whitney U-test]. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are depicted for each genotype.

Transcription–replication conflicts as a source of genome instability in yeast FACT mutants

We next wondered whether hyperrecombination of FACT mutants occurred at regions in which replication collided
with transcription. We studied recombination in two previously described plasmid-borne recombination assays in which the RF coming from an ARSH4 replication origin encounters RNAPII at leu2 direct repeats in co-directional [HHF-OUT] or convergent [HHF-IN] orientations (Prado and Aguilera 2005). Transcription in these systems is driven from the HHF2 promoter (PHHF2), which only works during the S phase. In both the IN and OUT systems, recombination increased significantly with respect to wild type in spt16-11 and pob3-7 [Fig. 2B]. These differences are not due to an enhanced transcription of the recombination systems analyzed, since their transcript levels are either similar or lower in the mutants in respect to the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S2E). A similar effect was observed in spt16-11 in a system (de la Loza et al. 2009) in which the RF encounters the RNAPIII at the SUP53 gene in convergent orientation (Fig. 2C). The results indicate that FACT maintains genome integrity at sites in which the RF encounters an elongating RNAP, the effect being more accentuated when the encounter is in the opposite orientation, and that FACT functions in RNAPIII-dependent transcribed genes in addition to those transcribed by RNAPII.

We then assayed whether replication through the transcribed regions was specifically impaired in the mutants. For this, spt16-11 and pob3-7 cells were synchronized in G1 and released into the S phase at a semipermissive temperature (30°C), and replication intermediates were visualized by 2D gel electrophoresis at the early ARS305 replication origin and in a region between ARS305 and ARS306 [region C] containing several transcribed genes at different time points. In spt16-11 cells, RF intermediates followed kinetics similar to the wild type, whereas in pob3-7, the RF showed a delay of 20 min (Fig. 3A), suggesting a defect in replication initiation. Nevertheless, the passive replication arc at region C was observed.

Figure 3. Analysis of replication in yFACT mutants. [A] Replication intermediates detected by 2D gel electrophoresis in the origin ARS305 and in the 18-kb downstream region C in wild-type [WT; W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEI-48], and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] cells synchronized in G1 with α-factor and released at 30°C. FACS analysis is shown at the bottom. [B] ChIP analysis of BrdU incorporation into the DNA at the 5’ region of LEU2 of the pARSHLB-Leu2 plasmid in wild-type [WRBbL] and spt16-11 [WSRBbL] cells synchronized in G1 and released. Mean and standard error of the mean [SEM] of two independent experiments are shown. [C] Percentage of pARSHLB-Leu2 plasmid loss in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEI-48], and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] cells growing in YEPD-rich medium. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are shown. [*] P < 0.05 (Student’s t-test). [D] ChIP analysis of BrdU incorporation into the DNA at genomic regions located 2312 and 417 base pairs [bp] upstream of and 125 and 2502 bp downstream from the ARS1211 replication origin. Other details are as in B.
in both mutants with a considerable delay with respect to wild type (>20–40 min), indicating that the RF progresses more slowly in spt16-11 and pob3-7 than in wild-type cells (Fig. 3A).

Next, we monitored replication by BrdU incorporation via ChIP at the 5' region of the LEU2 gene transcribed from the pHHF2 in the plasmid-borne HHF-IN system. The kinetics of BrdU incorporation were clearly slower in spt16-11 cells with respect to the wild type (Fig. 3B), consistent with a defect in the replication of FACT mutants. In accordance, spt16-11 and pob3-7 cells showed almost threefold higher rates of plasmid loss than wild-type cells (Fig. 3C). Analysis of RF progression by BrdU incorporation at four endogenous chromosomal regions located upstream of and downstream from the ARS1211 early replication origin confirmed the replication defect (Fig. 3D). Altogether, these results demonstrate that replication is hampered in yeast FACT mutants, which can be clearly seen at transcribed DNA regions.

**Genome instability in yeast FACT mutants is R-loop-dependent**

Next, we tested whether the transcription-dependent instability of the FACT mutants was dependent on R loops. For this, we assayed whether overexpression of the yeast RNH1, which degrades the RNA moiety of DNA:RNA hybrids, suppressed genome instability in spt16-11 and pob3-7 cells. Interestingly, the percentage of cells with Rad52 foci was reduced after RNH1 overexpression in both mutants at 30°C and 37°C (Fig. 4A), indicating that R loops are responsible in large part for the genetic instability of FACT-deficient cells and suggesting that FACT facilitates replication at regions where R loops accumulate. This conclusion is supported by the ability of RNH1 overexpression to suppress the increased recombination of spt16-11 and pob3-7 in the TL-lacZ direct repeat system under high transcription conditions (Fig. 4B).

A prediction of our conclusion is that the effect should be more accentuated in strains accumulating R loops at a high frequency. To test this, we analyzed the effect of FACT deficiency in cells lacking the THO subunit Hpr1, known to accumulate R loops at high levels (Huertas and Aguilera 2003; Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2011a). Interestingly, spt16-11 hpr1Δ and pob3-7 hpr1Δ cells showed a synthetic growth defect even at a permissive temperature (Supplemental Fig. S5A) and were unable to grow in the presence of low HU doses. In addition, hpr1Δ increased recombination in the HHF-OUT system fourfold above wild-type levels (Fig. 4C) and twice more if SPT16

---

**Figure 4.** Effect of R-loop formation in yFACTs mutants. (A) Percentage of wild-type [WT; W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEI-48], and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] S and G2 cells forming Rad52 recombination foci 3 h after a temperature shift from 26°C to 30°C or 37°C with or without RNase H1 [RNH1] overexpression from the pCM189-RNH1 plasmid. (B) Recombination frequency in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 (WXEI-48), and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] cells in the plasmid-borne direct repeat system TL-lacZ, which is highly expressed in the absence of DOX. Other details are as in Figure 2A. (C) Recombination frequency using the direct repeats HHF-OUT in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 (WXEI-48), and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] cells with or without the hpr1Δ mutation [26°C]. (D) Recombination analysis in the Lk-AU direct repeat system in spt16-11 [AYS11-1B], wild-type [A3Y3A], hpr1Δ [AYH-2D], and mft1Δ [AYM-1D] strains plus the double mutants. (E) Percentage of pARSHLB-Leu2 plasmid loss in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEI-48], and pob3-7 [WEIII-36] cells with or without the hpr1Δ mutation growing in YEPD-rich medium. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are shown. (*) P < 0.05 (Student's t-test).
or POB3 were also mutated (Fig. 4C). We confirmed the results in the more sensitive chromosomal Lk-AU system, in which recombination was increased ~1900-fold in hpr1Δ and threefold more in spt16-11 hpr1Δ cells [Fig. 4D]. Consistently, recombination augmented 16-fold in spt16-11 mft1Δ cells compared with cells just lacking the THO subunit Mft1. Similarly, plasmid loss was significantly increased in spt16-11 hpr1Δ and pob3-7 hpr1Δ [Fig. 4E].

Altogether, the data suggest that in the absence of FACT, R loops became a major obstacle for RF progression as a putative source of recombinogenic DNA breaks. Consistently, spt16-11 hpr1Δ and pob3-7 hpr1Δ cells took longer than single mutants to progress through the S phase or accumulate in S–G2 [Supplemental Fig. S5B].

**FACT acts at transcription-replication conflicts**

Next, we assayed whether human FACT is also involved in maintaining genome integrity and RF progression at transcribed regions. To address this question, we depleted both FACT components, SPT16 and SSRP1, via siRNA from HeLa cells and the MRC-5 fibroblast cells derived from normal lung tissue. siRNA transfected HeLa cells showed a clear reduction in SPT16 and SSRP1 mRNA and/or protein levels [Supplemental Fig. S11A,B], and SSRP1 was reduced after SPT16 depletion in both cell types, consistent with both proteins forming a protein complex.

We then analyzed by immunofluorescence the formation of γH2AX foci, known to accumulate early at sites of DNA breaks. The percentage of cells with γH2AX foci was clearly increased in SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted HeLa cells with respect to the siC control [Fig. 6A]. Alkaline single-cell electrophoresis revealed that FACT knockdown led to a significant increase in tail moment in both HeLa [Fig. 6E] and MRC-5 [Fig. 6B] cells, demonstrating that DNA breaks accumulate spontaneously. Finally, we de-
Figure 5. Genome-wide analyses of Rrm3 recruitment in yFACT mutants. (A) Genome-wide occupancy of Rrm3 in wild-type (WT; WRBb-9B), spt16-11 (WSRBb-2D), and pob3-7 (WPRBb-10D) cells 4 h after a 26°C–30°C temperature shift and in wild-type (WDRB-18A) and spt16-td (degron) (WSDR-8C) cells after 75 min of degron induction. Histogram bars in the Y-axis show the signal of loci enriched in the immunoprecipitated fraction along the indicated regions in log2 scale. Positive enrichment clusters (P-value < 0.01) are depicted in red. ORFs and other SGD genome features are indicated. (B) Composite profile of Rrm3 occupancy across the average ORF plotted as percentage of hits per segment in wild-type, spt16-11, and pob3-7 cells and in wild-type and spt16-td cells. ORFS were divided into 10 segments and studied together with the two immediately contiguous. (C) Composite profile of Rrm3-IP signal log2 ratio across the average tRNA gene and regions 600 bp around in wild-type, spt16-11, and pob3-7 cells and in wild-type and spt16-td cells. (D) Composite profile of Rrm3-IP signal log2 ratio across the average 2-kb region around centromeres in wild-type, spt16-11, and pob3-7 cells and in wild-type and spt16-td cells. (E) DRIP using the S9.6 antibody in wild-type [W303-1ARb], spt16-11 [WXEL-48], and pob3-7 (WEII-36) asynchronously growing cells at GCN4, PSK1, PET9, and TPD3 genes. Signal values of R-loop detection are shown. (F) Spt16-Flag ChIP analysis in wild-type [WS16] and hpr1Δ [WHS16] asynchronously growing cells with or without RNase H1 (RNH1) overexpression from the pRS416-GALRNH1 plasmid in the GCN4, PSK1, PET9, and TPD3 genes. Signal ChIP values normalized in respect to the wild-type levels are shown. Mean and SD of at least three independent experiments are shown. (*) P < 0.05 (Student’s t-test).
complemented FACT in HeRG HeLa stable cell lines carrying the pIREC direct repeat recombination system based on two truncated copies of GFP stably integrated in the genome (Dominguez-Sanchez et al. 2011). Recombination, as determined by GFP fluorescence via FACS, was increased 2.2-fold and 1.8-fold in SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted cells with respect to the control [Supplemental Fig. S11C], consistent with an increase in DNA breaks and recombination in human FACT-deficient cells.

Next, we wondered whether these breaks could also be seen in the form of anaphase bridges and micronucleus accumulation, two well-known biomarkers of chromosomal instability resulting from unrepaired DNA breaks or of interlinked sister chromatid intermediates generated by replication stress. The percentage of anaphase cells containing bridges and the percentage of micronucleus-containing cells were significantly increased after SPT16 and SSRP1 depletion (Fig. 6C,D). Therefore, FACT is needed to maintain genome integrity in human cells, as it occurs in *S. cerevisiae*.

Finally, to investigate whether DNA breaks in FACT-depleted cells were dependent on transcription, we per-
formed comet assays in HeLa cells in which transcription was inhibited with 3’ deoxyadenosine (cordycepin), a specific inhibitor of RNA chain elongation (Tuduri et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2013). Strikingly, cordycepin fully suppressed the twofold to fourfold increase in comet tail moment of SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted cells (Fig. 6E), supporting the view that, as in yeast cells, DNA breaks in the absence of human FACT are transcription-dependent.

Replication is impaired in FACT-depleted human cells in a transcription-dependent manner

Next, we investigated how RF progression was affected by the down-regulation of SPT16 and SSRP1 in human cells. DNA combing in MRC-5 cells depleted of SPT16 or SSRP1 via siRNA for 72 h revealed shorter replicons and slower replication velocity (Fig. 7A). Similar results were observed in FACT-depleted HeLa cells (Fig. 7B), demonstrating that this phenomenon is not cell type-specific. Interestingly, the reduction in RF velocity is paralleled by an increase in the number of replication origins activated, since the distances between active origins detected by DNA combing are strongly reduced in SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted cells [Fig. 7A], consistent with similar results obtained recently in chicken DT40 cells (Abe et al. 2011).

Replication impairment was not due to the impediment of the RF’s progress through spontaneously damaged DNA, since treatment with MMS did not cause further reduction in track length or RF velocity in FACT-depleted cells [Supplemental Fig. S11D]. Thus, we wondered whether replication failures were associated with transcription. Analysis of RF progression by DNA combing in HeLa cells in which transcription elongation was inhibited using cordycepin, which inhibits RNA synthesis, revealed that replication track length and velocity were not affected by cordycepin in the siC control. Strikingly, in cordycepin-treated SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted cells, track length and velocity values recovered to the same levels as those of the siC control [Fig. 7B], indicating that the defect in RF progression was transcription-dependent.

R loops accumulate in FACT-depleted human cells

Next, we wondered whether R loops were involved in the genome instability of human cells depleted of FACT. For this, we investigated whether DNA damage accumulation in SPT16-depleted cells was suppressed by over-expression of the human RNase H1. Indeed, the number of cells containing γH2AX foci was reduced in SPT16-depleted cells down to the siC control levels [Fig. 7C]. We then assayed whether R loops accumulate in FACT-depleted HeLa cells by direct detection of R loops via DRIP using the S9.6 antibody at several genes previously reported to naturally form R loops in human Ntera2 cells (Ginno et al. 2012, 2013). As can be seen in Figure 7D and Supplemental Figure S12A, R loops accumulate in siC control cells and at higher levels in SPT16- and SSRP1-depleted cells in the analyzed genes (APOE, RPL13A, EGR1, and BTBD19). In addition, ChIP experiments showed that both FACT subunits bind to these regions [Supplemental Fig. S12B]. The results suggest that FACT facilitates RF progression at transcribed chromatin, which, when it accumulates R loops, likely associates with specific chromatin modifications.

Discussion

We show that the FACT chromatin-reorganizing complex facilitates RF progression through transcribed DNA in both yeast and human cells. As a consequence, FACT-deficient cells accumulate DNA breaks and show different kinds of genome instability that are in a significant part mediated by R loops. Our study supports the idea that cotranscriptional R loops are formed naturally as a major obstacle of replication and that a key function of FACT during replication is to facilitate the resolution of R-loop-mediated transcription–replication conflicts likely associated with a particular chromatin structure that demands the action of FACT for its reorganization.

The role of FACT as a histone chaperone during transcription is relatively well defined. In vivo and in vitro experiments support the view that FACT destabilizes nucleosomes by disrupting interactions between the DNA and H2A/H2B dimers, thereby facilitating the progression of RNAP through a less repressive chromatin. In addition, FACT activity is known to be important to prevent histone eviction during this process and promote nucleosome recovery afterward (Reinberg and Sims 2006; Formosa 2012). During replication, chromatin is disrupted to allow RF progression, but assembly of new nucleosomes behind the fork requires factors such as ASF1 and CAF1 (Alabert and Groth 2012). FACT’s physical interaction with DNA polymerase α (Wittmeyer and Formosa 1997) and its isolation as a RF component in yeast (Gambus et al. 2006) suggest that it participates in nucleosome dynamics during replication.

Here, we demonstrate that replication elongation and initiation are altered in yeast and human FACT-deficient cells by 2D gel electrophoresis, ChIP of BrdU incorporation, and DNA combing assays at transcribed regions [Figs. 3, 7]. Accordingly, it has been shown that yeast H2Bub1, the modification that stabilizes Spt16 association with transcribed DNA, is essential to guarantee RF progression and histone deposition during DNA replication, suggesting that H2Bub1 also stabilizes Spt16 on replicating chromatin (Trujillo and Osley 2012). Thus, FACT could also help nucleosome reassembly factors to build new nucleosomes on replicated DNA strands, as proposed in yeast (VanDemark et al. 2006), and has been recently shown to facilitate the reincorporation of histones onto the DNA via its collaboration with Mcm2 replication helicase subunit (Foltman et al. 2013). This model is consistent with our results supporting that FACT could travel with the RF, somehow ensuring its stability. However, despite the fact that RF progression is perturbed in the absence of a functional FACT in Xenopus oocytes in vitro and in chicken DT40 cells (Okuhara et al. 1999; Abe et al. 2011), the function of FACT in preventing
Figure 7. Transcription-dependent replication deficiencies in hFACT-depleted cells. (A) Single-molecule analysis of DNA replication (DNA combing) in siC, siSPT16, and siSSRP1 transfected MRC-5 cells. Cells were pulse-labeled for 10 min with IdU followed by 20 min with CldU. Distributions of CldU track lengths, RF velocity, and interorigin distance are shown. Median values are indicated. Boxes and whiskers indicate 25–75 and 10–90 percentiles, respectively. (*) \( P < 0.05 \) (median test). (B) DNA combing in siC, siSPT16, and siSSRP1 transfected HeLa cells with or without 100 min of treatment with 50 \( \mu \)M cordycepin. Cells were pulse-labeled for 10 min with IdU (red) followed by 30 min with CldU (green). DNA is shown in blue. Other details are as in A. (C) Immunofluorescence of γH2AX in siC (control) and siSPT16 transfected HeLa cells with or without RNase H1 (RNH1) overexpression. Cells were transfected with pcDNA3 (control) or pcDNA3-RNaseH1 (RNH1) during 24 h. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Bars, 25 \( \mu \)m. Graphics show the quantification of the percentage of cells containing γH2AX foci relative to the siC (control) in each case. Mean and SEM for two independent experiments are shown. (*) \( P < 0.05 \) (Student’s \( t \)-test). (D) DRIP using the S9.6 antibody, which specifically recognizes DNA:RNA hybrids in siC, siSPT16, and siSSRP1 cells at \( \text{APOE} \), \( \text{RPL13A} \), \( \text{EGR1} \), and \( \text{BTBD19} \) genes. Signal values of R-loop detection normalized in respect to the siC control are shown. Signal values from samples treated with RNase H were subtracted. Red lines indicate the regions where DRIP analyses were performed. Mean and SD for four independent experiments are shown. (*) \( P < 0.05 \) (Mann-Whitney \( U \)-test). (E) Model to explain the molecular defects occurring in FACT-deficient cells. RF progression through R-loop-altered chromatin is facilitated by the FACT complex, which would assist nucleosome swapping to facilitate nucleosome reassembly at transcription–replication collisions sites. For clarity, only the MCM helicase is depicted at the RF.
RF instability during DNA replication elongation is not well established.

Importantly, FACT assists RFs at transcribed regions, since the replication defect of FACT mutants is not observed all over the genome but mainly at transcribed regions, as determined by the genome-wide ChIP–chip analyses of Rrm3 [Fig. 5; Supplemental Figs. S8, S9]. The RF is not only slower but also instable in FACT-defective cells, since genome integrity is highly compromised in the absence of FACT [Figs. 1, 6], which could explain the severe growth defect reported in pob3 mutants in the absence of Rad53 and Mec1 DNA damage checkpoint factors [Schlesinger and Formosa 2000; VanDemark et al. 2006].

One major obstacle for RF progression is the transcription machinery, which has a stronger impact on replication if R loops are also present [Wellinger et al. 2006; Gan et al. 2011]. Strikingly, our study reveals that FACT’s key function during replication is to assist the RF to overcome transcription conflicts, many of which are R-loop-dependent. Hyperrecombination in yeast FACT mutants is higher at sites where transcription–recombination collisions occur [Fig. 2], and RF progression is impaired in the absence of FACT [Fig. 3]. Importantly, replication impairment and DNA break accumulation in human FACT-deficient cells are suppressed when transcription is shut off [Figs. 6E, 7B]. Therefore, beyond the idea that FACT’s putative role in replication is general, our results indicate that the main function of FACT during RF progression is critical at transcribed chromatin.

R loops may form at a low level at transcribed chromatin but increase by defects in RNA processing, export, and splicing factors [Aguilera and Garcia-Muse 2012]. Importantly, the accumulation of recombinogenic DNA breaks in yeast FACT mutants is suppressed by RNH1 overexpression, which eliminates R loops. Furthermore, replication and genome stability are highly compromised in yeast FACT mutants in the absence of THO components, which lead to an increase of R loops [Fig. 4, Supplemental Fig. S5], and the spt16-197 is lethal in combination with the RNA export mutant yra1-1 [Burkin et al. 2005]. Furthermore, yeast FACT mutants and FACT-depleted human cells accumulate R loops at high levels, as detected by DRIP [Figs. 5E, 7D]. All of these data are consistent with the view that R loops are a major natural obstacle for RF progression. In yeast and human FACT-deficient cells, R loops could trigger genome instability. FACT could thus help the DNA polymerase solve collisions with the transcription machinery in DNA regions prone to form R loops.

It is possible that the inefficient chromatin reorganization during transcription elongation in the absence of FACT could facilitate the formation of R loops that could impair RF progression. However, the fact that R loops are clearly observed in normal cells, that FACT is required during replication when transcription is active, and that FACT is preferentially accumulated in R-loop-rich regions supports the conclusion that a key function of FACT is to reorganize chromatin at transcription–replication collisions, with a major role at regions enriched in R loops. Consistently, a specific enrichment of FACT has been observed in the hypermutable regions of Immunoglobulin genes prone to accumulate R loops [Aida et al. 2013].

Given the function of FACT as a chromatin reorganizer, our study raises the possibility that R loops promote specific chromatin modifications that may demand the obligatory action of FACT for replication to reorganize chromatin. It is worth noting that the thermosensitivity and HU sensitivity of spt16-11 mutant are suppressed by histone mutations that weaken the interface between histones within nucleosomes [McCullough et al. 2011], consistent with the idea that the spt16-11 FACT mutant indeed may have difficulties in reorganizing nucleosomes and thereby may hamper the progression of RF through R-loop-enriched chromatin. Interestingly, R loops have recently been detected at centromeres [Nakama et al. 2012], in which we clearly observed that FACT is required to prevent RF stalling [Fig. 5D]. Importantly, we found recently that R loops promote compaction and heterochromatinization of DNA, as detected by histone H3 Ser10 phosphorylation and/or Lys9 dimethylation in yeast, C. elegans, and human cells [Castellano-Pozo et al. 2013]. It would be interesting to see whether the FACT relationship to R-loop accumulation might be mediated by histone modifications.

Therefore, we conclude that transcription–replication collisions may be associated with R loops, which cause chromatin compaction as a major obstacle to RF progression. For an efficient replication through these regions, a specific chromatin-reorganizing activity would be required to help reorganize and reassemble chromatin after the RF has passed. We propose a model in which FACT helps the replisome to overcome transcription-mediated obstacles whether they are mediated by R loops or not [Fig. 7E]. The need for FACT at the sites of transcription–replication conflicts could be explained by the need to swap nucleosomes from ahead of the RNA and DNA polymerases to behind to allow the progression of both machineries and nucleosome reassembly. In addition, a more compacted chromatin structure formed at the R-loop-containing regions [Castellano-Pozo et al. 2013] would make FACT function critical at those sites to allow replication to resume [Fig. 7E] and, consequently, prevent genome instability.

Materials and methods

Replication analysis in yeast

2D gel electrophoresis of CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide)-extracted DNA of cultures growing in YEPD was performed as described [Moriel-Carretero and Aguilera 2010]. BrdU ChIPs were performed in cells released from G1 in the presence of 200 μg/ml BrdU using monoclonal anti-BrdU (MBL) antibody. For details, see the Supplemental Material.

ChIP–chip experiments

ChIP–chip experiments of yeast asynchronous mid-log cultures were performed after a 26°C–30°C temperature shift (4 h) in the spt16-11 and pob3-7 mutants or 75 min after Spt16 degron
induction in spt16-td cells as described [see the Supplemental Material, Gomez-Gonzalez et al. 2011a]. High-density oligonucleotide tiling arrays (Affymetrix) were used for the analysis of yeast chromosomes at a 300-base-pair (bp) resolution, each 300-bp region being covered by at least 60 probes. Data were analyzed using the Affymetrix Tiling Array Suite software (TAS). Protein chromosomal distribution was analyzed using binding clusters defined as ranges that respected an estimated signal (IP/SUP-binding ratio) positive in the whole range, a P-value < 0.01, minimum run of 100 bp, and maximum gap of 250 bp. ChiP-chip data can be accessed at the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE51653).

Genome instability and replication analysis in human cell lines

Immunofluorescence, comet assay, and DNA combing were performed as described previously [Dominguez-Sanchez et al. 2011]. For micronuclei and anaphase bridge analyses, DNA was stained with DAPI. Representative images of DNA fibers were assembled from different microscopic fields of view.

DRIP assays

DNA:RNA hybrids were immunoprecipitated using the S9.6 antibody from gently extracted and enzymatically digested DNA, treated or not with RNase H as described (Ginno et al. 2012). Quantitative PCR was performed at the indicated regions. The relative abundance of DNA:RNA hybrid immunoprecipitated in each region was normalized to the signal at the negative control region SNRPN gene in human cell lines. For details, see the Supplemental Material.

Miscellanea

Strains, plasmids, and primers are shown in the Supplemental Material. Recombination and plasmid loss assays, Rad52-YFP foci formation of mid-log S–G2 cells; FACs of yeast cells; Northern, Western, and ChiP assays; microarray analyses (Gene Expression Omnibus database, GSE54340); cell transfection; image acquisition, data analysis; and quantitative PCR were performed using standard procedures, as indicated in the Supplemental Material.
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