The *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus provides new paradigms for transient and dynamic genomic imprinting in mammals

Rachel Duffié,¹ Sophie Ajjan,¹ Maxim V. Greenberg,¹ Natasha Zamudio,¹
Martin Escamilla del Arenal,¹ Julian Iranzo,¹ Ikuhiro Okamoto,^{1,4} Sandrine Barbaux,²
Patricia Fauque,³ and Déborah Bourc'h^{1,5}

¹INSERM U934/CNRS UMR3215, Institut Curie, 75005 Paris, France; ²INSERM U1016/CNRS UMR8104, Institut Cochin, 75005 Paris, France; ³Hôpital de Dijon, Laboratoire de Biologie de la Reproduction, Université de Bourgogne, 21000 Dijon, France

Many loci maintain parent-of-origin DNA methylation only briefly after fertilization during mammalian development: Whether this form of transient genomic imprinting can impact the early embryonic transcriptome or even have life-long consequences on genome regulation and possibly phenotypes is currently unknown. Here, we report a maternal germline differentially methylated region (DMR) at the mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* (DBF-type zinc finger-containing protein 2) locus, which controls the paternal-specific expression of long isoforms of *Zdbf2* (*Liz*) in the early embryo. This DMR loses parental specificity by gain of DNA methylation at implantation in the embryo but is maintained in extraembryonic tissues. As a consequence of this transient, tissue-specific maternal imprinting, *Liz* expression is restricted to the pluripotent embryo, extraembryonic tissues, and pluripotent male germ cells. We found that *Liz* potentially functions as both *Zdbf2*-coding RNA and *cis*-regulatory RNA. Importantly, *Liz*-mediated events allow a switch from maternal to paternal imprinted DNA methylation and from *Liz* to canonical *Zdbf2* promoter use during embryonic differentiation, which are stably maintained through somatic life and conserved in humans. The *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus lacks classical imprinting histone modifications, but analysis of mutant embryonic stem cells reveals fine-tuned regulation of *Zdbf2* dosage through DNA and H3K27 methylation interplay. Together, our work underlines the developmental and evolutionary need to ensure proper *Liz/Zdbf2* dosage as a driving force for dynamic genomic imprinting at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus.

[**Keywords:** genomic imprinting; DNA methylation; epigenetics; mouse development]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received October 12, 2013; revised version accepted January 22, 2014.

The process of germline differentiation produces mature gametes with sexually dimorphic morphologies, functions, and epigenomes. In mammals, the sperm and oocyte genomes display notably different DNA methylation density and distribution (Smallwood et al. 2011; Kobayashi et al. 2012a; Smith et al. 2012) despite reliance on the same enzymatic machinery formed by the de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3A and its cofactor, Dnmt3L (Bourc'h et al. 2001; Bourc'h and Bestor 2004; Kaneda et al. 2004). Intergenic regions and transposable repeats are densely methylated in sperm, while DNA methylation preferentially occurs inside genes in the oocyte, with deeper density at CpG islands (CGIs) coinciding with intragenic promoters. Genetic and genome-wide studies have suggested a role for active transcription

in oocyte DNA methylation whereby transcription promotes DNA methylation deposition in gene bodies (Chotalia et al. 2009; Kobayashi et al. 2012a). In sperm, small RNAs are instead responsible for targeting methylation to transposons (Aravin and Bourc'h 2008).

Given the heritable nature of DNA methylation, gametic sex-specific patterns are transmitted upon fertilization; this creates tremendous opportunity for parental asymmetry in the progeny (Duffié and Bourc'h 2013). However, as part of the early embryonic program, sequential events of DNA demethylation and remethylation remodel most gametically inherited methylation. Only a handful of regions endowed with favorable nucleotide sequence and chromatin environments are capable

⁴Present address: Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

⁵Corresponding author

E-mail deborah.bourc'h@curie.fr

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.232058.113>.

© 2014 Duffié et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

of maintaining parent-of-origin DNA methylation after fertilization. These include regions subject to genomic imprinting. Around 20 imprinting control regions (ICRs) have been identified to date, and these dictate the parent-specific monoallelic expression of ~130 genes in *cis* (Schulz et al. 2008).

All known ICRs harbor binding motifs for ZFP57, a zinc finger protein that recruits the KRAB-associated protein 1 (KAP1)-dependent heterochromatin complex (Schultz et al. 2002; Quenneville et al. 2011). This property confers the ability of ICRs to maintain methylation on one parental allele during the genome-wide demethylation that accompanies preimplantation development (Li et al. 2008; Quenneville et al. 2011; Messerschmidt et al. 2012; Zuo et al. 2012). What protects the unmethylated allele of ICRs from global de novo methylation after implantation has not been determined precisely; occupancy by *trans*-acting factors and/or features linked to active transcription such as permissive histone modifications and R-loop structures are likely to be involved (Ooi et al. 2007; Lienert et al. 2011; Ginno et al. 2012). Once these critical periods of intense DNA methylation remodeling have reached completion, canonical ICRs perpetuate as parental differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in a life-long manner.

We recently uncovered new forms of genomic imprinting, which exist only transiently during preimplantation development or specifically in certain tissues during adulthood (Proudhon et al. 2012). The underlying regions all have ZFP57-binding motifs and are protected against DNA demethylation after fertilization. However, they are susceptible to processes of de novo methylation that occur in the post-implantation embryo or during tissue differentiation and consequently lose imprinting by gain of DNA methylation. Transient genomic imprinting is intriguing: Whether short-term differential methylation is only a byproduct of the divergent sperm and oocyte methylomes or could functionally impact mammalian genome regulation is currently unknown.

Here we report the case of a region of transient germline DMRs (gDMRs) inherited from the oocyte at the mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* (DBF-type zinc finger-containing protein 2) locus (1qC2) (Kobayashi et al. 2009). We found that this maternal gDMR coincides with a promoter, which initiates transcription of long isoforms of *Zdbf2* (*Liz*) in a paternal-specific manner but is shut down upon DNA methylation gain after implantation. Importantly, *Liz* transient expression is associated with acquisition of a paternal somatic DMR (sDMR) and paternal-specific transcription of *Zdbf2* from its canonical promoter, both of which are stably maintained for the rest of life. We further reveal the ubiquitous availability of *Liz/Zdbf2* transcripts throughout development, the fine-tuned regulation of *Zdbf2* promoter activity by interplay between DNA methylation and histone modifications, and the conservation of *GPR1/ZDBF2* gene regulation in humans. In conclusion, we demonstrate for the first time the potential for short-term and long-term effects of transient genomic imprinting on mammalian genome regulation.

Results

Two gDMRs at the Gpr1/Zdbf2 locus exhibit dynamic allele-specific methylation during development

The *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus was first described to be paternally imprinted, with three intergenic paternally methylated DMRs (DMR1, DMR2, and DMR3) that are located between 8.5 and 16 kb upstream of the *Zdbf2* transcription start site (TSS) and can be considered as a single entity (Fig. 1A; Hiura et al. 2010). However, recent work, including our own, suggested that this locus may be primarily under maternal imprinting control (Kobayashi et al. 2012b; Proudhon et al. 2012). Accordingly, we found that the originally described paternal DMR lacks important hallmarks of all ICRs identified so far: TGCCGC motifs for ZFP57 binding and local ZFP57/KAP1 enrichment, as seen in available embryonic stem (ES) cell chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing (ChIP-seq) data (Quenneville et al. 2011). Instead, ZFP57/KAP1 enrichment was found at a CGI in the second intron of the closest neighboring gene, *Gpr1* (G protein-coupled receptor 1), and localized ~65 kb upstream of the paternal DMR (Supplemental Fig. S1A). An *in silico* search allowed us to uncover three ZFP57 recognition motifs at this intragenic CGI.

To resolve the parental origin of imprinting control at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus, we analyzed DNA methylation by bisulfite cloning/sequencing through mouse development. Crosses between the C57Bl6/J (B) and CAST/Ei (C) mouse strains permitted parental distinction based on the presence of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Investigation of *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} embryos derived from DNA methylation-free *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} oocytes further allowed us to infer the role of maternally inherited DNA methylation (Bourc'his et al. 2001). Reciprocal gDMRs were identified, with the paternal DMR harboring sperm-specific methylation (paternal gDMR) and the ZFP57/KAP1 site harboring oocyte-specific methylation (maternal gDMR) (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B). Of note, the maternal gDMR bisulfite amplicon includes the three ZFP57 sequence motifs, which are conserved among our different mouse strains. In embryonic day 3.5 (E3.5) blastocysts, DNA methylation remained at maternal alleles of the maternal gDMR, likely due to protection by the ZFP57/KAP1 complex. In contrast, the paternal gDMR underwent demethylation and loss of parent specificity.

After implantation, the two parental gDMRs were subjected to de novo DNA methylation. At the maternal gDMR, gain of methylation on the paternal allele abolished maternal specificity by E9.5 (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B). Full biallelic methylation was consistently maintained in all somatic tissues examined (fibroblasts, kidney, muscle, cortex, pituitary gland, and liver) (Fig. 1B; data not shown). This classifies this locus as a transient maternal gDMR, only present during preimplantation development (Proudhon et al. 2012). As previously observed for transient gDMRs, de novo methylation occurred indiscriminately on both parental alleles in *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} embryos, which lacked methylation at the

Figure 1. DNA methylation profiling at two parental DMRs of the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus during mouse development. (A) Mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus indicating CGIs (green bars) and positions of maternal DMRs (red bar) and tripartite paternal DMRs (blue bars) (DMR1, DMR2, DMR3 from left to right). DNA methylation was analyzed by bisulfite cloning/sequencing in gametes and embryos of wild-type (WT) and maternal methylation-free *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} background (A), adult somatic tissues (B), and extraembryonic tissues (C). The maternal DMR is a transient gDMR: It acquires methylation in oocytes, maintains maternal-specific DNA methylation during preimplantation development, and is lost by methylation gain after implantation, except in extraembryonic tissues. Of note, CpGs in positions 2, 5, and 7 of the amplified maternal gDMR amplicon are embedded into hexanucleotidic ZFP57-binding motifs. The paternal DMR is methylated in sperm and lost in the blastocyst but is re-established at implantation and provides paternal-specific information in embryonic and adult somatic tissues, qualifying as both gDMR and sDMR (g/sDMR). Results for paternal DMR3 are depicted here; similar patterns were obtained with DMR2 (data not shown). (Red lines) Maternal alleles; (blue lines) paternal alleles; (white circles) unmethylated CpG; (black circles) methylated CpG; (dash) absent CpG due to SNP or, rarely, sequencing error. Mouse strains used were as follows: C57Bl6/J (designated B) and CAST/Ei (designated C).

maternal gDMR before implantation (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B; Proudhon et al. 2012).

Remarkably, from a totally methylation-free status, the paternal DMR returned to paternal-specific methylation

after implantation (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B). This classifies this paternal DMR as both a gDMR established in sperm and a sDMR established post-fertilization. Paternal-specific methylation at the sDMR was extremely stable,

as it was maintained in all adult tissues examined (Fig. 1B; data not shown). Interestingly, in the *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} context, both parental alleles were targeted for de novo methylation, and the locus was fully methylated by E9.5. This demonstrates that paternal sDMR specificity depends on maternally inherited DNA methylation. Finally, the two CGIs flanking the paternal DMR—one encompassing the *Zdbf2* promoter and the other at an intergenic location ~25 kb upstream—were consistently devoid of DNA methylation throughout development and adulthood in both the wild-type and *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} contexts (Supplemental Fig. S1C).

De novo methylation after implantation globally spares the extraembryonic lineage (Monk et al. 1987). Accordingly, we found that the maternal gDMR was maintained in a preimplantation, blastocyst-like pattern in extraembryonic tissues: Preferential maternal methylation and paternal hypomethylation were observed in trophoblast giant cells (TGCs) and visceral yolk sacs (VYSs) at E9.5 and later, during gestation, in E17.5 placenta (Fig. 1C). Some de novo methylation occurred at the paternal sDMR, but while the paternal allele reached full methylation in the embryo, the density was lower in extraembryonic tissues (30%–60%), and maternal alleles were also targeted, suggesting relaxed imprinted methylation at the paternal sDMR in these tissues.

In summary, two DMRs at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus are targeted by de novo DNA methylation in a parent-of-origin manner during gametogenesis. During preimplantation, only the maternal gDMR is maintained, placing the locus under maternal imprinting. In post-implantation embryos, a switch in parent-of-origin information occurs in the wake of embryonic de novo DNA methylation: The paternal DMR is somatically reestablished at E6.5, just before the maternal gDMR disappears by methylation gain (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Once acquired, the paternal sDMR provides lifelong, paternal specificity to the locus. Extraembryonic tissues are an exception, where maternal specificity is maintained at the maternal gDMR.

Characterization of *Liz*, a transcript linking the two DMRs in ES cells

We next explored ES cells as a model system to investigate the molecular events that underlie the DNA methylation dynamics at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus in embryos. Early passages of ES cells derived in “ground-state” pluripotency 2i conditions (Ying et al. 2008) showed faithful maintenance of blastocyst methylation patterns; i.e., maternal-specific methylation of the maternal gDMR and lack of methylation at the paternal sDMR (Fig. 2A). However, the maternal gDMR rapidly lost DNA methylation upon passage (above passage 5 [P5]) or differentiation, a feature we systematically observed in several independent 2i lines. Furthermore, biallelic methylation was acquired at the paternal sDMR upon differentiation, as observed by day 4 (D4) of retinoic acid (RA) treatment (Fig. 2A). These data underscore, as in *in vivo* embryos, the dependence of the paternal sDMR methyl-

ation upon the maternal gDMR methylation status. ES cells grown in classic serum conditions showed even more aberrant methylation patterns, already demonstrating full paternal sDMR methylation at undifferentiated states (D0) (Supplemental Fig. S2A). In conclusion, no ES cell model is likely to fully recapitulate the epigenetic changes that occur *in vivo* at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus. However, despite loss of imprinting, we were able to use 2i ES cells as a model system to understand what may signal de novo methylation at the sDMR during embryonic differentiation.

Many sDMRs have been proposed to gain methylation as a result of their position along the path of transcripts controlled by promoter-associated gDMRs (John and Lefebvre 2011). Transcriptome reconstruction from RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data shows evidence of a plethora of transcriptional activity arising from the maternal gDMRs (extending antisense to *Gpr1* and sense to *Zdbf2*) and present in ES cells but not in differentiated cell types (Guttman et al. 2010). Using RT-PCR and 3' and 5' RACE in 2i ES cells, we confirmed the presence of a TSS within the maternal gDMR, identified additional exons and splicing events, and demonstrated that these transcripts can be polyadenylated (Fig. 2B). While the shortest variants stopped before or within the paternal sDMR domain, the longest extended over and linked the *Gpr1* and *Zdbf2* genes, covering ~100 kb in genomic distance. These long transcripts shared multiple exons with *Zdbf2*, which are of relatively small sizes (110 base pairs [bp] on average), except the large 12-kb exon 7 and 3' untranslated region (UTR). This led us to postulate that the maternal gDMR coincides with an alternative promoter for *Zdbf2* transcription, located ~73 kb upstream of the annotated RefSeq *Zdbf2* TSS. We termed these extended transcripts *Liz*, for long isoforms of *Zdbf2*. *Liz* spliced variants contain at least two 5' exons that do not overlap with *Zdbf2*, and *Zdbf2* exons 1 and 2 were never incorporated in *Liz* transcripts (Fig. 2B).

Northern blotting with a probe specific for *Liz* detected a unique band, slightly larger than 12 kb (Fig. 2C), indicative of a long spliced variant extending over the last exon of *Zdbf2*, not significantly different in size from canonical *Zdbf2* mRNA. RT-qPCR confirmed that spliced forms of *Liz* were fivefold to 10-fold more abundant than unspliced forms, with ratios similar to protein-coding transcripts (data not shown). Comparison with a probe recognizing both *Zdbf2* and *Liz* revealed dynamic expression changes over RA-induced ES cell differentiation. In undifferentiated D0 and early D2 differentiating ES cells, *Liz* was the dominant transcript. As *Liz* levels declined in abundance by differentiation D4, the canonical form of *Zdbf2* became up-regulated, suggesting a switch from *Liz* to *Zdbf2* promoter usage. Using primers specific to *Liz* or *Zdbf2*, we confirmed the reverse abundance of *Liz* and *Zdbf2* RNAs before and after differentiation in multiple differentiation conditions (Fig. 2D; data not shown).

In ES cell ChIP-seq data sets, the *Liz* TSS is occupied by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) and the pluripotency transcription factor Sox2, which could explain pluripotency-associated *Liz*

Dynamics of imprinted *Zdbf2* regulation

Figure 2. Identification of *Liz* transcripts in ES cells. (A) Bisulfite analysis of maternal gDMR and paternal sDMR methylation in 2i-derived ES cells (Cg1, Cx129 hybrid background) in undifferentiated states (D0) before and after five passages (P5) and after differentiation (D4). (B) Transcription map of the mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus, with positions of putative ORFs for *Zdbf2* translation. Transcripts originating from the maternal gDMR were characterized by sequencing of RT-PCR and RACE products from 2i ES cell RNA. Many transcripts incorporate *Zdbf2* exons, called long isoforms of *Zdbf2* (*Liz*) (blue). (C) Northern blot analysis over ES cell differentiation using a probe specific to *Liz* and a probe that recognizes *Liz* and *Zdbf2* (probe positions in B). (D) RT-qPCR quantification of *Liz* (*Liz* exons 1 and 2) or *Zdbf2* (*Zdbf2* exons 1–3) transcripts confirms reverse abundance of these transcripts over differentiation. Error bars indicate SEM of two individual ES cell lines: Cg1 and gC6. (E) RT-qPCR quantification of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic RNA enrichment. Unless otherwise specified, primers detecting spliced RNA forms (spanning introns) were used. Error bars are as above. (F) RT-qPCR quantification of spliced *Liz* levels after sucrose gradient fractionation of cytosolic RNA without or with EDTA treatment (EDTA– and EDTA+). Unassembled ribosomal fractions (3 and 4) and assembled polyribosomal fractions (7–10) were determined by 28S/18S ratio measurement. Error bars indicate SEM of two biological 2i ES cell grown replicates.

expression (Supplemental Fig. S2C; Mikkelsen et al. 2008). Importantly, using an RT-PCR pyrosequencing assay exploiting strain-specific SNPs, we found *Liz* to be dominantly paternally expressed in early passage 2i ES cells (Supplemental Fig. S2B), which harbor maternal-specific methylation at the maternal gDMR/*Liz* promoter (Fig. 2A). Upon passages, as methylation was lost, *Liz* expression became biallelic, and this coincided with biallelic methylation at the paternal sDMR later upon differentiation (Figs. 1A, 2A; Supplemental Fig. S2B). As the paternal sDMR lies within the path of *Liz* transcription, we

hypothesize that *Liz* mediates the effect of the maternal gDMR on the downstream paternal DMR methylation via either the act of transcription or the RNA product itself.

Liz and *Zdbf2* transcripts have similar coding potential

Liz was previously reported to be a long intergenic RNA with no coding potential (GenBank accession no. AB777270) (Guttman et al. 2010, 2011; Kobayashi et al. 2012b). While this may be true for the short isoforms that

do not extend into the *Zdbf2* gene, we found the long isoforms containing multiple *Zdbf2*-derived exons to be the most abundant isoforms in ES cells. ZDBF2 is a protein of unknown function. The C2H2-type zinc finger motif at its N terminus is the only annotated domain (pfam07535) that relates to the budding yeast DBF4 (dumbbell-forming protein 4) protein. Two putative ORFs exist within the RefSeq mouse *Zdbf2* sequence, initiated in exon 4 or exon 5 (Fig. 2B), and have similarly high coding potential calculator (CPC) scores (23.1 vs. 23.2) (Kong et al. 2007). Although the main initiating codon is reported to be in exon 5 (University of California at Santa Cruz [UCSC] and Ensembl genome browsers), the corresponding ORF lacks the complete zinc finger motif (UniProt Q5S200). Importantly, a large fraction of canonical *Zdbf2* and nearly all *Liz* spliced transcripts skip exon 5; this suggests that the exon 4 initiating codon is frequently accessible, which would potentiate translation of a 2498-amino-acid-long protein containing the conserved DBF4 zinc finger (Supplemental Fig. S2D). Importantly, *Liz* and canonical *Zdbf2* exon 4-derived ORF scores are nearly identical (22.9 vs. 23.1), making it likely that *Liz* is an alternative source of zinc finger-containing *Zdbf2* protein during development, with a different 5' UTR region.

To further characterize the translational properties of *Liz*, we performed subcellular fractionation of RNA from D2 differentiating ES cells. The known noncoding *Tsix* and *Airn* RNAs showed high nuclear to cytoplasmic ratios in RT-qPCR assays, in contrast to the protein-coding *Igf2r* and *Rrm2* RNA controls, which were dominantly cytoplasmic, thus potentiating their translation (Fig. 2E; Seidl et al. 2006; Nora et al. 2012). Using *Liz*-specific primers, we found unspliced forms of *Liz* to be retained in the nucleus, while spliced forms were preferentially exported to the cytoplasm, suggesting potential ribosome association (Fig. 2E). Importantly, *Liz* cytoplasmic enrichment was higher compared with canonical spliced *Zdbf2* at this stage of differentiation. Using sucrose gradient fractionation of cytosolic RNAs, we demonstrated that spliced *Liz* RNAs are indeed bound to translating ribosomes (Fig. 2F). The *Liz* polyribosome-association profile was similar to that of the protein-coding *Rrm2* and β -actin RNAs, while the SINEs B1 retrotransposon non-coding transcripts were preferentially found in unassembled ribosome fractions. Moreover, *Liz* RNA showed a clear redistribution toward unassembled ribosomes upon EDTA treatment, which disrupts polysomes, further confirming the specificity of the polyribosome association and the possibility that *Liz* is efficiently translated in ES cells. In summary, while *Liz* RNA may have cis-acting function on DNA methylation, its conserved coding potential and polyribosome association strongly suggest that it may dually function as a message for the *Zdbf2* protein.

Liz and *Zdbf2* promoter usage is dynamically regulated during embryonic development

We hypothesized that the switch from *Liz* to canonical *Zdbf2* transcription observed upon ES cell differentiation

would also occur in vivo during early embryonic development. RT-qPCR analysis revealed that the *Zdbf2* mRNA is abundantly produced in the oocyte and transmitted upon fertilization (Fig. 3A). While the maternal *Zdbf2* transcript was rapidly degraded, *Liz* was activated and accumulated in the pluripotent preimplantation embryo from the eight-cell stage to the blastocyst stage. As in ES cells, the long exon 4-containing form was produced during this period. In the E6.5 epiblast, *Liz* levels sharply dropped, and canonical *Zdbf2* transcripts became the prevailing form in post-implantation embryos, consistent with what was observed in vitro in differentiating ES cells. Therefore, *Zdbf2*-related transcripts are continuously present in the early embryo but originate from distinct sources: first from the canonical *Zdbf2* promoter, then from *Liz*, and finally, from *Zdbf2* again.

To visualize *Liz* allelic expression on a single-cell level, we performed RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of nascent *Liz* transcripts with an intronic BAC probe, spanning the intergenic region 3' of *Gpr1* and 5' of the *Zdbf2* promoter. Single pinpoints indicative of mono-allelic expression were visible in blastomeres of E3.5 blastocysts from a pure C57Bl6/J background (Fig. 3B). *Liz* expression was not lineage-restricted, as we observed predominant monoallelic signals in both the inner cell mass (ICM) and the trophectoderm (TE). Determination of allelic specificity by RT-PCR pyrosequencing further revealed that *Liz* is paternally expressed in blastocysts (Fig. 3C), concordant with maternal methylation of the *Liz* promoter at this stage (Fig. 1A). The repressive effect of DNA methylation was further demonstrated by biallelic expression of *Liz* in maternal methylation-free *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} E3.5 blastocysts (Fig. 1A), as seen by RNA FISH and RT-pyrosequencing. Biallelic expression resulted in a doubling of *Liz* mRNA levels (Fig. 3B,C).

After implantation, in E8.5 embryos, as paternal sDMR methylation is acquired (Figs. 1A, 3A), we also found *Zdbf2* to be paternally expressed (Fig. 3D). We validated the positive correlation between intergenic paternal sDMR methylation and the canonical *Zdbf2* promoter activity with the observation of biallelic and twofold increase of *Zdbf2* transcripts in E8.5 *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} embryos (Fig. 3D), where paternal sDMR methylation is biallelic (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1B). Moreover, reactivation of the normally silent maternal allele of *Zdbf2* in the *Dnmt3L*^{-/+} background is strong evidence that the hypomethylated state of the *Liz* promoter and/or *Liz* expression before implantation is associated with *Zdbf2* promoter activation after implantation.

Liz expression occurs in extraembryonic tissues and during spermatogenesis

We reasoned that *Liz* should also exist in male germ cells and extraembryonic tissues, two contexts outside of the preimplantation window where the *Liz* promoter is totally or partially DNA methylation-free (Fig. 1A). We successfully amplified *Liz* mRNA by RT-qPCR in testes and placenta in levels similar to 2i ES cells but not in any

Figure 3. *Liz* and *Zdbf2* expression is dynamically regulated during mouse embryonic development. (A) *Liz*- and *Zdbf2*-specific detection by RT-qPCR during mouse embryonic development. Error bars indicate SEM of technical replicates in pooled oocytes or embryos. (B) RNA FISH detection of nascent *Liz* transcripts in wild-type (WT) and *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} E3.5 blastocysts. (Left panel) Representative microscopy images. Yellow arrows point to *Liz* FISH signals (red). Dashed white lines indicate nucleus borders delineated by DAPI staining (blue). Bar, 5 μ m. (Right panel) Cell counting of biallelic and monoallelic *Liz* signals. Wild type, $n = 25$ blastocysts/1488 cells; *Dnmt3L*^{-/-}, $n = 13$ blastocysts/659 cells. (C, left panel) RT-PCR pyrosequencing detects *Liz* paternal-specific expression in E3.5 BxC wild-type blastocysts and biallelic expression in *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} blastocysts. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was used to exclude assay-specific biases. (Right panel) RT-qPCR shows increased *Liz* levels in *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} blastocysts. Error bars indicate SEM technical replicates of pooled blastocysts. (*) $P < 0.03$, Student's t -test. (D) Same analyses as in C, for *Zdbf2* in E8.5 wild-type and *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} embryos. (**) $P < 0.002$, Student's t -test. (E) RT-qPCR analysis of *Liz* expression in various mouse tissues. Error bars indicate SEM of technical replicates. (F) RT-qPCR analysis of *Liz* expression over spermatogenesis. Levels were measured in testes at nine different ages, from embryonic (E) to postnatal stages (days post-partum [dpp]), to follow representative male germ cell types appearing during the course of the first spermatogenesis wave, as indicated below the graph. *Dnmt3L* and *Scp3* expression peaks denote periods of de novo DNA methylation and meiotic recombination, respectively. Highest values for each transcript are set to 1. Error bars are as above. (G) Stability of *Zdbf2* paternal-specific expression measured by RT-PCR pyrosequencing in tissues collected in embryonic E8.5 and E17.5 stages and after birth at 3 and 60 dpp. Error bars indicate SEM in reverse cross BxC and CxB tissues.

other tested somatic or postnatal tissue (Fig. 3E). Because we were able to amplify long *Liz* transcripts that extend over the *Zdbf2* RefSeq gene and include exon 4, we concluded that, like in early embryonic cells, *Liz* mRNA can serve as a source of *Zdbf2* protein in the placenta and testis.

As a maternally imprinted gDMR, the *Liz* promoter does not gain methylation in the male germline. Accordingly, *Liz* expression was biallelic in fetal and adult testes (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Interestingly, *Liz* expression exhibited developmentally regulated dynamics throughout

spermatogenesis (Fig. 3F). In fetal testes, *Liz* transcripts accumulated from E14.5 to E18.5, concomitant with the wave of male germline de novo DNA methylation (Kato et al. 2007) and in particular with germline methylation of the paternal DMR (Hiura et al. 2010). After birth, *Liz* transcription increased at the onset of meiosis. Considering the consistently fully methylated status of the *Liz* promoter in all somatic cell types, *Liz* expression in the testis is likely to emanate from germ cells. This was confirmed in sorted primordial germ cells from fetal testes (Supplemental Fig. S3B). Additionally, in *Dnmt3L* mutant adult testes, which exhibit a severe germ cell depletion phenotype (Bourc'his and Bestor 2004), *Liz* expression was dramatically reduced, behaving as typical germ cell markers such as *Vasa* (Supplemental Fig. S3C). In extraembryonic tissues, maternal-specific methylation is globally maintained at the *Liz* promoter. Accordingly, a strong paternal bias in *Liz* expression was found in hybrid E9.5 VYSs and TGCs and E17.5 placenta (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S3D). *Liz* expression therefore occurs in preimplantation embryos, extraembryonic tissues throughout gestation, and male germ cells, all of which are types in which the *Liz* promoter is not completely obstructed by DNA methylation. Besides these specific contexts, we only observed use of the *Zdbf2* promoter.

Stability and extent of imprinted control by the paternal sDMR

As *Zdbf2* monoallelic expression occurs without allele-specific DNA methylation at its TSS (Supplemental Fig. S1C), we questioned the stability of *Zdbf2* paternal-specific expression throughout life. To this end, we conducted quantitative RT-PCR pyrosequencing using a bank of 50 hybrid tissues from reciprocal BxC and CxB crosses collected at fetal, neonatal, and adult stages. According to microarray profiling data (BioGPS), *Zdbf2* is most highly expressed in brain tissues. We found paternal *Zdbf2* expression bias to be extremely stable throughout life and in a tissue-wide manner, independent of *Zdbf2* expression level (Fig. 3G).

As many imprinted DMRs exert their control over large gene clusters (Barlow 2011), we further assessed the imprinted status of the three most proximal genes relative to *Liz/Zdbf2*. We first focused on the *Gpr1* gene (45 kb 5' of the paternal sDMR), which houses the transient maternal gDMR and was formerly reported to have kidney-specific paternal expression (Hiura et al. 2010). Despite an extensive search, we could not find evidence of parent-specific expression in kidney or other somatic tissues, in extraembryonic tissues where *Gpr1* expression levels are the highest (BioGPS), or in late blastocysts, where we detected a slight peak of *Gpr1* expression (Supplemental Fig. S3E–H). Biallelic expression was also consistently observed tissue- and stage-wide for *Eef1b2* (87 kb 5' of the sDMR) and *Adam23* (182 kb 3' of the sDMR) despite a report of moderately biased paternal expression in fetal brains for the latter (Supplemental Fig. S3E; DeVeale et al. 2012). Contrary to previous conclu-

sions, our findings suggest that the two regions of differential DNA methylation of the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus, the maternal gDMR and the paternal sDMR, are exclusively linked to the local regulation of *Zdbf2* imprinted expression in both its long (*Liz*) and canonical forms.

LIZ expression and coding potential are evolutionarily conserved in humans

As an indication of functional relevance of *Liz*, we checked its conservation from mice to humans. Paternal-specific methylation at the paternal g/sDMR and paternal *ZDBF2* expression were previously described in humans, showing imprinting at the syntenic *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus (2q33.3) (Kobayashi et al. 2009; Hiura et al. 2010). We designed RT-qPCR primers that mapped to a predicted transcript (Aceview nehura.cAug10), which arises from a relatively CpG-rich region within *GPR1* intron 2 and is antisense to *GPR1* (similar to mouse *Liz*) but is interrupted before the *ZDBF2* RefSeq sequence (Fig. 4A). As in mice, we detected this transcript in the placenta and testis but not in somatic adult tissues (Fig. 4B). Unlike in mice, however, we failed to measure significant expression in different human ES lines.

We confirmed the production of short truncated versions of *LIZ* in both the placenta and fetal testis by RT-PCR (Fig. 4A,C). Importantly, primers anchored in the first putative exon of *LIZ* and the last exon of *ZDBF2* amplified long multiexonic *LIZ* variants in placenta but not in testes. These extended over the full *ZDBF2* RefSeq sequence, a feature that we confirmed by the detection of a >12-kb band by Northern blotting, which indicates the frequent inclusion of the large last exon/3' UTR of *ZDBF2* (Supplemental Fig. S4A). As in mice, ATG codons exist in exons 4 and 5 of *ZDBF2*, but only exon 4 can potentiate a zinc finger-containing *ZDBF2* ORF (Supplemental Fig. S2D). Exon 4 is present in the majority of *LIZ* forms (Fig. 4A); we concluded that *LIZ* also has the coding potential for a functional *ZDBF2* protein in humans, at least in placenta.

Bisulfite-based methylation analysis around the putative *LIZ* promoter revealed absence of methylation in sperm, full allelic methylation in somatic tissues (liver), and differentially methylated alleles in placenta, indicative of a DMR, although we could not infer parental origin due to the lack of informative SNPs in our samples (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Fig. S4B). Therefore, DNA methylation at the putative human *LIZ* promoter shows developmental dynamics similar to the mouse *Liz* promoter, reminiscent of a tissue-specific maternal gDMR maintained in extraembryonic lineages only. However, unlike in mice, the *LIZ* promoter was fully methylated in several human ES cell lines (Fig. 4E; Supplemental Fig. S4B), in agreement with the more advanced, post-implantation-like state of human versus mouse ES cells (McEwen et al. 2013). These results explain our ability to detect *LIZ* transcription in the testis and placenta but not in human ES cells.

Finally, we analyzed the developmental dynamics of DNA methylation at the paternal DMR in humans. The

Figure 4. DNA methylation and expression at the human *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus. (A) Map of the *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus indicating RT-PCR primers designed for a predicted transcript (green) that detect multiple *LIZ*-like transcripts (blue) in the placenta, including isoforms sharing exons with *ZDBF2* and incorporating *ZDBF2* putative ORFs. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of *LIZ* in human tissues and ES cells. Error bars indicate SEM of technical replicates for tissues and HU1 and H9 biological replicates for ES cells. (C) RT-PCR of short and long forms of *ZDBF2* in placenta and fetal testes. (D) Bisulfite-based DNA methylation analysis in the sperm, liver, and placenta of the *Liz* promoter and four intergenic regions (regions 1–4) upstream of the *ZDBF2* promoter (primer positions in A). (E) DNA methylation in HUES1 and H9 ES cells.

25-kb intergenic region upstream of the *ZDBF2* promoter exhibits low conservation across 32 eutherian mammals (PhyloP) (Pollard et al. 2010) compared with the entire *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus (Supplemental Fig. S4C). This indicates poor evolutionary constraint over the paternal sDMR sequence, except for a 1-kb region, which maps 3'

to the short *BC028329* EST and shares 74% homology between mice and humans. We investigated four regions within the putative paternal DMR locus, including the conserved *BC0288329* sequence (region 4) and a region of relative homology with the mouse paternal DMR2 (58%, region 3) (Fig. 4A). In the liver and placenta, we consistently

found two distinct populations of alleles, methylated and unmethylated, indicative of a single extended DMR or several discrete DMRs in the region (Fig. 4D). In human ES cells, while allelic specificity was conserved in HUES1 and H1, H9 showed complete DNA methylation (Fig. 4E; Supplemental Fig. S4B). This may reflect either loss of imprinting or genetic loss of maternal chromosome 2. In sperm from two fertile men, only one sub-region (region 2) was 100% methylated, as expected for a paternal gDMR (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Fig. S4B); the paternal DMR of the *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus is therefore more constrained in the germline than in somatic tissues, a feature also manifested in publically available whole-genome bisulfite sequencing data (Molaro et al. 2011). The other regions ranged from 0% (region 1) to variable methylation (30%–60%, regions 3 and 4) with extensive allelic variability.

Although pluripotent embryonic states were not accessible due to the advanced epigenetic features of human ES cells, the human *GPR1/ZDBF2* locus seems to conserve the same DNA methylation dynamics that we found in mice. Importantly, *LIZ* transcripts also have the potential to function as regulatory and protein-coding RNA species in humans.

Dynamic and unusual chromatin features at the imprinted Gpr1/Zdbf2 locus

To gain insight into the chromatin regulation of promoter usage and imprinting of the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus, we analyzed histone modification patterns in mouse undifferentiated 2i ES cells and in a differentiated tissue—the whole brain from neonates—by ChIP-qPCR. We found enrichment of histone H3 Lys 4 di- and trimethylation (H3K4me2 and H3K4me3) at the *Liz* promoter in ES cells, while the canonical *Zdbf2* promoter was preferentially enriched in the brain, reflecting differential promoter activity in these two cell types (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S5A,B). The intergenic CGI was occupied by these active marks in both ES cells and the brain, in agreement with its ubiquitous DNA methylation-free status.

Co-occurrence of H3K4 and H3K9 methylation is considered to be a hallmark of imprinted DMRs, in correlation with the differential allelic activity of these loci (McEwen and Ferguson-Smith 2010). In concordance with the rapid loss of imprinting in culture, we did not find this typical chromatin signature anywhere throughout the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus in ES cells. In the brain, the maternal gDMR was enriched in H3K9me3 only, reflecting the extinction and full DNA methylation of the *Liz* promoter upon differentiation. Surprisingly, the intergenic paternal sDMR also failed to display patterns of dual H3K4/H3K9 methylation, despite imprinted DNA methylation in the brain (sDMR2 and sDMR3) (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S5A,B). Instead, there was significant enrichment of H3K9me3 compared with the rest of the locus, but the level of H3K4 methylation was low and similar to random intergenic sites located 5' of the intergenic CGI border. Finally, co-occurrence of H3K4/H3K9 methylation was not found at the *Zdbf2* promoter despite consistent

monoallelic paternal activity in all somatic tissues. In summary, while histone modification patterns are consistent with the developmental promoter switch in *Zdbf2* transcription, the imprinted status of the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus is not apparent at the chromatin level.

Interestingly, at a region overlapping the paternal sDMR, we found a compact block of repressive H3K27me3 marks that was delineated by the intergenic CGI in 5' and the *Zdbf2* promoter CGI in 3' (Fig. 5A). This broad local H3K27me3 enrichment was constitutively observed in all cell types available in public ChIP-seq data sets (Supplemental Fig. S5A; Mikkelsen et al. 2007; Marks et al. 2012). However, we noted some developmental differences: The paternal sDMR was 50-fold more enriched in brain cells compared with 2i ES cells, and H3K27me3 marks extended into the *Zdbf2* gene in brain cells but not in ES cells (Fig. 5A).

Dual roles of DNA and H3K27 methylation on Zdbf2 expression

We then tested the allelism of H3K27me3 enrichment by ChIP followed by quantitative pyrosequencing of whole neonate brains from hybrid mouse crosses. At the *Zdbf2* promoter, which is constitutively devoid of DNA methylation, the maternal allele was substantially more enriched in H3K27me3 than the paternal allele (70/30 ratio) (Fig. 5B), in agreement with maternal-specific *Zdbf2* silencing in differentiated tissues. However, at the paternal sDMR, there was no parental distinction in H3K27me3 enrichment. Using sequential bisulfite sequencing after ChIP, we confirmed the presence of both paternally DNA methylated and maternally unmethylated alleles in H3- and H3K27me3-captured chromatin (Fig. 5C; Supplemental Fig. S5C); this indicates that, at least in brains, DNA and H3K27 methylation can co-occur on the paternal allele of the paternal sDMR. While DNA and H3K27 methylation are usually antagonistic at CGIs, recent studies have shown their compatibility in CG-poor regions (Tanay et al. 2007; Brinkman et al. 2012; Statham et al. 2012), which is the case for the paternal sDMR.

We functionally tested the respective role of DNA and H3K27 methylation on *Zdbf2* expression by comparing ES cell lines that are genetically deficient for the three active DNA methyltransferases (*Dnmt1*, *Dnmt3A*, and *Dnmt3B*; *Dnmt* triple knockout [TKO]) or the H3K27 methyltransferase *Ezh2* (*Ezh2* knockout [KO]) (Fig. 5D). The J1 ES cells, from which the *Dnmt*-TKO cells were derived (Tsumura et al. 2006), showed full DNA methylation at the paternal sDMR, as consistently observed for serum-grown ES cells (Supplemental Figs. S2A, S5D). In DNA methylation-free *Dnmt*-TKO J1 cells, RT-qPCR assays measured increased *Liz* transcript levels, confirming the repressive role of DNA methylation on the *Liz* promoter. In contrast, levels of canonical *Zdbf2* were reduced, arguing toward a positive role of the paternal sDMR methylation on *Zdbf2* promoter activity. Alternatively, higher *Liz* transcripts may also be responsible for reduced *Zdbf2* expression via cross-talk between the two promoters.

Figure 5. Interplay between histone modifications and DNA methylation at the mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus. (A) Histone modification profiling by ChIP-qPCR in 2i Cg1 ES cells and neonate whole brains (WBs) over the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus (primer positions in Supplemental Fig. S5A). Error bars indicate SEM of two biological replicates for ES cells and SEM from reverse cross BxC and CxB neonates for whole brains. (B) H3K27me3 allelic enrichment detected by ChIP pyrosequencing at the *Zdbf2* promoter and at the paternal sDMR in neonate brains. Error bars indicate SEM from reverse crosses BxC and CxB. (C) Bisulfite-based DNA methylation analysis of H3- and H3K27me3-bound chromatin at the paternal sDMR (DMR3) in neonate brains from CxB and BxC crosses. (D) RT-qPCR analysis of *Liz* and *Zdbf2* RNA levels in *Dnmt*-TKO and *Ezh2*-KO ES cells, normalized to wild-type (WT) parental cell lines. Error bars indicate SEM from two (*Dnmt*-TKO) and four (*Ezh2*-KO) biological replicates. (E, left panel) RT-qPCR analysis of *Liz* and *Zdbf2* levels after *Ezh2* shRNA-mediated knockdown in MEFs. *Liz* levels were undetectable (UD), as expected from *Liz* TSS full DNA methylation in somatic cells. (Right panel) RT-qPCR analysis shows 80% depletion of *Ezh2* levels by two independent hairpins. Error bars indicate SEM from two biological replicates.

The effect of H3K27me3 marks was inferred after tamoxifen-induced deletion of the *Ezh2* gene in 2i-derived ES cells. Ten days after *Ezh2* deletion, EZH2 protein and H3K27me3 marks were barely detectable, while the paternal sDMR maintained low DNA methylation levels, comparable with the nondeleted parental 2i cell line (Supplemental Fig. S5D,E). Upon *Ezh2* knockout, we found a highly reproducible up-regulation of *Zdbf2* (Fig. 5D), which was not related to altered pluripotency state of these cells (normal *Oct4* and *Nanog* mRNA levels) (data not shown). This suggests that H3K27me3 acts by repressing the *Zdbf2* promoter. To determine whether H3K27me3 also tunes down *Zdbf2* expression in differentiated cells where the paternal sDMR is DNA methylated, we performed an *Ezh2* shRNA knockdown in immortalized hybrid mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Fig. 5E; Supplemental Fig. S5D,E). With two independent hairpins inducing 80% reduction of *Ezh2* mRNA, we observed a more than twofold increase in *Zdbf2* levels, confirming that H3K27me3 consistently down-regulates *Zdbf2* output in different cell types. Interestingly, we did not find a relaxation of imprinted *Zdbf2* expression in *Ezh2* knockdown MEFs by RT-PCR pyrosequencing (data not shown). Together, these

results imply that DNA and H3K27me3 methylation oppositely regulate the activity of the *Zdbf2* promoter, with DNA methylation likely acting on expression allelism, and H3K27me3 modulating expression levels in a direct or indirect manner. These two marks do not seem to be spatially competing: Loss of DNA methylation does not increase H3K27me3 levels at the paternal sDMR in *Dnmt*-TKO ES cells, as manifested in available ChIP-seq data sets (Brinkman et al. 2012), and H3K27me3 loss does not promote ectopic gain of DNA methylation at this locus in ES cells or MEFs (Supplemental Fig. S5C).

Discussion

Our study of the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus provides new important paradigms in mammalian genomic imprinting. It illustrates the functional relevance of transient imprinting for short- and long-term genome regulation. It also reveals the existence of dynamic genomic imprinting occurring via a parent-of-origin switch in DNA methylation. Together, our work demonstrates how intricate mechanisms can evolve to ensure proper gene dosage regulation through life despite the dramatic epigenetic reprogramming undergone by the embryonic genome.

Genomic imprinting is currently defined by epigenetic characteristics universally shared by all lifelong imprinted loci: (1) acquisition of a gDMR in one of the parental germline only, (2) an uninterrupted continuum of paternal- or maternal-specific methylation from gametes to adult progeny, and (3) the combination of both repressive and permissive histone marks. The *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus breaks these rules: It contains two gDMRs of opposite parental origins, neither of which is permanent after fertilization, and they lack dual patterns of H3K4/H3K9 methylation. Importantly, the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus demonstrates a lifelong imprinted status (Fig. 6): The maternal gDMR provides maternal-specific methylation in the preimplantation embryo and in the placenta after implantation, while the paternal DMR is somatically re-established in the embryo after implantation and maintained in somatic tissues. Discovering whether the maternal DMR alone or both parental DMRs together act as ICRs (i.e., sequences regulating imprinted gene expression) will require genetic testing. Incidentally, our study raises the possibility that transient gDMRs may be tissue-specific gDMRs of the most extreme sort: those that diverge at the time of the first lineage differentiation and are maintained in extra-embryonic but not embryonic tissues. This implies that the number of imprinted gDMRs may be greater in the placenta than in the embryo.

Transcriptional events likely drive the cross-talk between the two parental DMRs, which allows a parent-of-origin switch in DNA methylation at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus: The maternal gDMR hosts a starting site for transcripts that are paternally expressed in early embryos and 2i ES cells. Among them, the *Liz* spliced variants, which extend across the paternal DMR and incorporate

many *Zdbf2* exons, are the dominantly produced isoforms. *Liz* expression positively correlates with paternal DMR methylation in *cis*: Paternal-specific *Liz* expression is associated with paternal-specific sDMR methylation. When *Liz* transcription is biallelic, as in *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} embryos, cultured 2i ES cells, or fetal male germ cells, biallelic methylation is acquired. However, *Liz* expression is not sufficient: The height of *Liz* expression coincides with the lowest DNA methylation of the paternal sDMR in blastocysts. More likely, *Liz* expression primes paternal sDMR accessibility to de novo DNA methyltransferases for when they become available: after implantation or during ES cell differentiation. Interestingly, ChIP-seq data sets show evidence of H3K36me3 marks lining the path of *Liz* transcription in ES cells (Supplemental Fig. S5A; Mikkelsen et al. 2007). Given the ability of the PWWP domain of DNMT3 enzymes to interact with H3K36me3-modified tails (Dhayalan et al. 2010), changes in histone modifications likely provide intermediates between *Liz* expression and paternal DMR de novo methylation. Whether the act of *Liz* transcription or the *Liz* RNA per se is involved in this process will be an important question to address.

Chromatin remodeling properties have been traditionally attributed to noncoding RNAs, including at imprinted loci such as *Airn* or *Kcnq1ot1* (Pandey et al. 2008; Khalil et al. 2009; Latos et al. 2012). Accordingly, *Liz* was originally described as a long intergenic noncoding RNA (lincRNA) (Guttman et al. 2010, 2011) despite the lack of experimental proof (Kobayashi et al. 2012b, 2013). In contrast, we show here that *Liz* RNA can be polyadenylated, is efficiently spliced and exported to the cytoplasm, associates with translating polyribosomes, includes multiple exons of *Zdbf2*, and, most

Figure 6. Model for developmental dynamics of promoter usage and parental DNA methylation at the mouse *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus. *Zdbf2*-related transcripts are produced from alternative promoters: *Liz* (dark blue) is available in the male germline, preimplantation embryos, and extraembryonic tissues; canonical *Zdbf2* (orange) is expressed in the female germline, post-implantation embryos, and somatic tissues. Parent-of-origin methylation occurs in a lifelong manner: Maternal-specific (red) information is provided by the maternal DMR in preimplantation embryos and extra-embryonic tissues, and paternal-specific information (light blue) is carried by the paternal intergenic DMR in post-implantation embryos and somatic tissues. Sex-specific methylation occurs in the male and female germlines.

importantly, has the same coding potential as *Zdbf2* for an ORF, which is evolutionarily conserved in humans. Because *Liz* and canonical *Zdbf2* transcripts share the same putative ORF, it is impossible to distinguish their respective association efficiency and occupancy timing with the translation machinery by ribosome footprinting (Ingolia et al. 2011; Guttman et al. 2013). However, as *Liz* and *Zdbf2* vary by their 5' UTR regions, differential secondary structure or stability could entail additional levels of post-transcriptional regulation. In conclusion, we postulate that *Liz* likely functions as an alternative mRNA source for *Zdbf2* protein translation during development, depending on promoter availability (Fig. 6).

Nothing is known about *Zdbf2* protein function, except for the inclusion of a DBF4-like zinc finger when translation is initiated from exon 4. The eponymous DBF4 protein is an activator of the CDC7 serine/threonine kinase, which has a conserved role in DNA replication initiation from yeast to humans (Hughes et al. 2012). In yeast, the *dbf4/cdc7* complex was moreover linked to chromosome segregation during meiosis (Kovacikova et al. 2013; Le et al. 2013). Coincidentally, we found that *Liz* expression peaks at meiosis during mouse spermatogenesis, and canonical *Zdbf2* is highly transcribed in the oocyte, leading to the exciting possibility that *Zdbf2* may fulfill meiotic roles in mammals.

Alternative promoter usage is a common process of tissue- and stage-specific gene regulation, which may affect 40% of protein-coding genes in mouse and human genomes (Sun et al. 2011). Very little is known about the nature of the mutual regulation between alternative promoters. We found that the downstream *Zdbf2* promoter is turned on when the upstream *Liz* promoter is turned off upon differentiation: *Liz* transcription may prevent activity from the *Zdbf2* canonical promoter in a competitive model where the promoter located the most upstream is dominant over the one located the most downstream (Maunakea et al. 2010). However, our results further suggest that *Liz* activity prior to differentiation promotes *Zdbf2* promoter activation in *cis* after differentiation: *Liz* expression precedes *Zdbf2* expression from the same, paternal allele, and in cases of biallelic *Liz* expression, biallelic *Zdbf2* expression occurs. As discussed above, in addition to functioning as a protein-coding RNA, *Liz* may prepare *Zdbf2* promoter activity via chromatin changes, therefore classifying as a bifunctional RNA.

Finally, we identified an unusual interplay between DNA and H3K27 methylation that highlights the developmental complexity of *Liz* and *Zdbf2* regulation. Using *Dnmt3L*^{-/-} embryos, *Dnmt*-TKO ES cells, and 2i versus serum ES cells, we demonstrated the functional link between DNA methylation and transcriptional activity but with opposite effects on *Liz* and canonical *Zdbf2*. DNA methylation at the *Liz* promoter is negatively correlated with *Liz* transcription levels. In contrast, *Zdbf2* paternal-specific expression is extremely stable throughout somatic life yet does not rely on differential DNA methylation or H3K9 methylation at its promoter,

as is usually the case for imprinted genes. The only form of parent-specific DNA methylation found in the vicinity is at the intergenic paternal DMR located a few tens of kilobases upstream. Here, DNA methylation positively correlates with activity of the canonical *Zdbf2* promoter: When DNA methylation is biallelically gained, biallelic *Zdbf2* expression is observed. When DNA methylation is absent at the sDMR, *Zdbf2* expression is down-regulated. The other important chromatin component of *Zdbf2* regulation is linked to H3K27me3 marks, which occur on the maternal allele at the *Zdbf2* promoter specifically, while it densely accumulates on both maternal and paternal alleles over the paternal sDMR located upstream. Importantly, we found that upon deletion or knockdown of the H3K27 methyltransferase of the Polycomb complex (*Ezh2*), *Zdbf2* expression levels are increased. These results suggest that DNA methylation and H3K27 methylation coregulate *Zdbf2* expression, with intergenic DNA methylation linked to the active *Zdbf2* allele, while H3K27me3 down-regulates *Zdbf2* output.

Here we provide evidence for multiple conserved mechanisms acting at the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus for the sole regulation of *Zdbf2* dosage, which is the only gene to show imprinted expression over 400 kb. We show here that besides serving as a new paradigm of dynamic genomic imprinting, the *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus can provide an important model system for dissecting the signals shaping DNA methylation patterns, the interplay between DNA and histone modifications, and alternative promoter usage.

Materials and methods

Additional materials and methods can be found in the Supplemental Material.

Isolation of mouse gametes, embryos, and tissues

The care and use of animals used here strictly adhered to European and National Regulation for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and other Scientific Purposes (directive 86/609 and 2010/63). Mice were bred in the pathogen-free Animal Care Facility of the Institut Curie (agreement no. C 75-05-18). MII stage oocytes from superovulated females, epididymal sperm, preimplantation embryos, post-implantation embryos, extraembryonic tissues, and postnatal tissues were prepared as described previously (Proudhon et al. 2012). Purity of gamete samples was assessed by bisulfite-based DNA methylation analysis of maternally imprinted *KvDMR* and paternally imprinted *H19/Igf2* DMD. The *Mus musculus* C57Bl/6J strain was used in a wild-type or *Dnmt3L* mutant background (Bourc'his et al. 2001). Allelic information was obtained from crosses between C57Bl/6J and *Mus castaneus* CAST/Ei or *Mus molossinus* JF1 strains.

Bisulfite-based DNA methylation analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted according to Smith et al. (2009), except for sperm DNA (Jeffreys et al. 1994). The Epitect kit (Qiagen) was used for bisulfite conversion of DNA, except for limited quantities (oocytes and embryos from E3.5 to E6.5) where DNA was embedded in agarose beads before processing

Duffié et al.

for bisulfite conversion according to Proudhon et al. (2012). Nested or seminested PCR was performed using the primers listed in Supplemental Table S1. PCR amplicons were gel-purified (Qiagen) and subsequently cloned in pCR2.1 Topo-TA vector (Invitrogen) before Sanger sequencing of at least 30 clones. BiQ Analyzer software was used for sequence alignments (Bock et al. 2005).

Polysome fractionation

Sucrose gradient polysome fractionation was performed as described in Gu et al. (2012), with some modifications. ES cells grown in 15-mm plates in 2i medium (5×10^7 cells per plate) were incubated with 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ cycloheximide (Sigma) for 15 min to arrest ribosome movement on mRNAs. Cells were then incubated for 5 min on ice in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl_2 , 1% Triton, 1 mM DTT, 40 U/mL RNase inhibitors [Promega], 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ cycloheximide), harvested by scraping off the dish, transferred to Eppendorf tubes, homogenized by three passages through a 26-gauge needle, and further incubated for 5 min on ice. Nuclei and insolubilized material were pelleted by two rounds of centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 6 min. Clarified lysates were then kept as total cytosolic RNA fraction (input) or processed for fractionation by loading 1 mL onto an 11-mL linear 10%–50% sucrose gradient in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl_2 , 1 mM DTT, and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ cycloheximide prepared using the gradient master (Biocomp). A control experiment of polyribosome disruption was performed by adding 15 mM EDTA before sucrose fractionation. Twelve fractions were collected from top to bottom after ultracentrifugation at 36,000 rpm for 2 h at 4°C using an SW41 swinging-bucket rotor (Beckman Coulter). To determine the distribution of nonassembled ribosomal fractions and translation-engaged polyribosomes (without EDTA), 28S/18S ratios were measured after RNA extraction using the 2000 Bioanalyzer (Agilent). Nonassembled ribosomes have a 28S/18S ratio below or above 2, while assembled 80S ribosomes show 28S/18S ratios close to 2. Based on these measurements and RNA integrity, layers three and four were pooled as the nonassembled ribosome fraction, and layers seven to 10 were pooled as the polyribosome-loaded fraction.

RNA FISH

RNA FISH on preimplantation embryos was performed as previously described (Okamoto et al. 2004) using the intron-spanning BAC probe W11-2819H7 (BacPac Consortium at Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute). The probe maps to chr1: 63266216–63306435 (UCSC mm9 alignment). Probe labeling was done with SpectrumRed-dUTP by nick translation (Vysis). Images were acquired using a spinning-disk confocal microscope (Zeiss) at $63\times$ magnification with 0.3 μm between each image obtained in Z dimension. Images were analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH).

Acknowledgments

We thank Patricia Diabangouaya, Michel Wassef, Ronan Chaligné, Manuela Portoso, Elphège Nora, Veronica Rodilla, and Marius Walter for experimental help; Raphaël Margueron for the anti-EZH2 antibody and *Ezh2*-shRNAs; Claire Rougeulle for human ES material; Stéphane Viville for Oct4-GFP-sorted PGCs; the imaging facility Bioimaging Cell and Tissue Core Facility of the Institut Curie (PICT-IBiSA) at BDD; and the Institut Curie animal facility for excellent mouse husbandry. D.B.'s laboratory is part of the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX) entitled DEEP (11-

LBX-0044). This research was supported by a European Young Investigator Award (EURYI) and the Fondation Schlumberger. R.D. and S.A. are the recipients of PhD fellowships from Institut Curie and Canceropôle Ile-de-France, respectively.

References

- Aravin AA, Bourc'his D. 2008. Small RNA guides for de novo DNA methylation in mammalian germ cells. *Genes Dev* **22**: 970–975.
- Barlow DP. 2011. Genomic imprinting: A mammalian epigenetic discovery model. *Annu Rev Genet* **45**: 379–403.
- Bock C, Reither S, Mikeska T, Paulsen M, Walter J, Lengauer T. 2005. BiQ Analyzer: Visualization and quality control for DNA methylation data from bisulfite sequencing. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 4067–4068.
- Bourc'his D, Bestor TH. 2004. Meiotic catastrophe and retrotransposon reactivation in male germ cells lacking Dnmt3L. *Nature* **431**: 96–99.
- Bourc'his D, Xu GL, Lin CS, Bollman B, Bestor TH. 2001. Dnmt3L and the establishment of maternal genomic imprints. *Science* **294**: 2536–2539.
- Brinkman AB, Gu H, Bartels SJ, Zhang Y, Matarese F, Simmer F, Marks H, Bock C, Gnirke A, Meissner A, et al. 2012. Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. *Genome Res* **22**: 1128–1138.
- Chotalia M, Smallwood SA, Ruf N, Dawson C, Lucifero D, Frontera M, James K, Dean W, Kelsey G. 2009. Transcription is required for establishment of germline methylation marks at imprinted genes. *Genes Dev* **23**: 105–117.
- DeVeale B, van der Kooy D, Babak T. 2012. Critical evaluation of imprinted gene expression by RNA-Seq: A new perspective. *PLoS Genet* **8**: e1002600.
- Dhayalan A, Rajavelu A, Rathert P, Tamas R, Jurkowska RZ, Ragozin S, Jeltsch A. 2010. THE DNMT3A PWWP domain reads histone 3 lysine 36 trimethylation and guides DNA methylation. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 26114–26120.
- Duffié R, Bourc'his D. 2013. Parental epigenetic asymmetry in mammals. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **104**: 293–328.
- Ginno PA, Lott PL, Christensen HC, Korf I, Chedin F. 2012. R-loop formation is a distinctive characteristic of unmethylated human CpG island promoters. *Mol Cell* **45**: 814–825.
- Gu L, Zhang H, He J, Li J, Huang M, Zhou M. 2012. MDM2 regulates MYCN mRNA stabilization and translation in human neuroblastoma cells. *Oncogene* **31**: 1342–1353.
- Guttman M, Garber M, Levin JZ, Donaghey J, Robinson J, Adiconis X, Fan L, Koziol MJ, Gnirke A, Nusbaum C, et al. 2010. Ab initio reconstruction of cell type-specific transcriptomes in mouse reveals the conserved multi-exonic structure of lincRNAs. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**: 503–510.
- Guttman M, Donaghey J, Carey BW, Garber M, Grenier JK, Munson G, Young G, Lucas AB, Ach R, Bruhn L, et al. 2011. lincRNAs act in the circuitry controlling pluripotency and differentiation. *Nature* **477**: 295–300.
- Guttman M, Russell P, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Lander ES. 2013. Ribosome profiling provides evidence that large non-coding RNAs do not encode proteins. *Cell* **154**: 240–251.
- Hiura H, Sugawara A, Ogawa H, John RM, Miyauchi N, Miyanari Y, Horiike T, Li Y, Yaegashi N, Sasaki H, et al. 2010. A tripartite paternally methylated region within the Gpr1-Zdbf2 imprinted domain on mouse chromosome 1 identified by meDIP-on-chip. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: 4929–4945.
- Hughes S, Elustondo F, Di Fonzo A, Leroux FG, Wong AC, Snijders AP, Matthews SJ, Cherepanov P. 2012. Crystal

- structure of human CDC7 kinase in complex with its activator DBF4. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**: 1101–1107.
- Ingolia NT, Lareau LF, Weissman JS. 2011. Ribosome profiling of mouse embryonic stem cells reveals the complexity and dynamics of mammalian proteomes. *Cell* **147**: 789–802.
- Jeffreys AJ, Tamaki K, MacLeod A, Monckton DG, Neil DL, Armour JA. 1994. Complex gene conversion events in germline mutation at human minisatellites. *Nat Genet* **6**: 136–145.
- John RM, Lefebvre L. 2011. Developmental regulation of somatic imprints. *Differentiation* **81**: 270–280.
- Kaneda M, Okano M, Hata K, Sado T, Tsujimoto N, Li E, Sasaki H. 2004. Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting. *Nature* **429**: 900–903.
- Kato Y, Kaneda M, Hata K, Kumaki K, Hisano M, Kohara Y, Okano M, Li E, Nozaki M, Sasaki H. 2007. Role of the Dnmt3 family in de novo methylation of imprinted and repetitive sequences during male germ cell development in the mouse. *Hum Mol Genet* **16**: 2272–2280.
- Khalil AM, Guttman M, Huarte M, Garber M, Raj A, Rivea Morales D, Thomas K, Presser A, Bernstein BE, van Oudenaarden A, et al. 2009. Many human large intergenic noncoding RNAs associate with chromatin-modifying complexes and affect gene expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 11667–11672.
- Kobayashi H, Yamada K, Morita S, Hiura H, Fukuda A, Kagami M, Ogata T, Hata K, Sotomaru Y, Kono T. 2009. Identification of the mouse paternally expressed imprinted gene *Zdbf2* on chromosome 1 and its imprinted human homolog *ZDBF2* on chromosome 2. *Genomics* **93**: 461–472.
- Kobayashi H, Sakurai T, Imai M, Takahashi N, Fukuda A, Yayoi O, Sato S, Nakabayashi K, Hata K, Sotomaru Y, et al. 2012a. Contribution of intragenic DNA methylation in mouse gametic DNA methylomes to establish oocyte-specific heritable marks. *PLoS Genet* **8**: e1002440.
- Kobayashi H, Sakurai T, Sato S, Nakabayashi K, Hata K, Kono T. 2012b. Imprinted DNA methylation reprogramming during early mouse embryogenesis at the *Gpr1-Zdbf2* locus is linked to long *cis*-intergenic transcription. *FEBS Lett* **586**: 827–833.
- Kobayashi H, Yanagisawa E, Sakashita A, Sugawara N, Kumakura S, Ogawa H, Akutsu H, Hata K, Nakabayashi K, Kono T. 2013. Epigenetic and transcriptional features of the novel human imprinted lncRNA *GPR1AS* suggest it is a functional ortholog to mouse *Zdbf2linc*. *Epigenetics* **8**: 635–645.
- Kong L, Zhang Y, Ye ZQ, Liu XQ, Zhao SQ, Wei L, Gao G. 2007. CPC: Assess the protein-coding potential of transcripts using sequence features and support vector machine. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: W345–W349.
- Kovacikova I, Polakova S, Benko Z, Cipak L, Zhang L, Rumpf C, Miadokova E, Gregan J. 2013. A knockout screen for protein kinases required for the proper meiotic segregation of chromosomes in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Cell Cycle* **12**: 618–624.
- Latos PA, Pauler FM, Koerner MV, Senergin HB, Hudson QJ, Stocsits RR, Allhoff W, Stricker SH, Klement RM, Warczok KE, et al. 2012. Airn transcriptional overlap, but not its lncRNA products, induces imprinted *Igf2r* silencing. *Science* **338**: 1469–1472.
- Le AH, Mastro TL, Forsburg SL. 2013. The C terminus of *S. pombe* DDK subunit Dfp1 is required for meiosis-specific transcription and cohesin cleavage. *Biol Open* **2**: 728–738.
- Li X, Ito M, Zhou F, Youngson N, Zuo X, Leder P, Ferguson-Smith AC. 2008. A maternal-zygotic effect gene, *Zfp57*, maintains both maternal and paternal imprints. *Dev Cell* **15**: 547–557.
- Lienert F, Wirbelauer C, Som I, Dean A, Mohn F, Schubeler D. 2011. Identification of genetic elements that autonomously determine DNA methylation states. *Nat Genet* **43**: 1091–1097.
- Marks H, Kalkan T, Menafrà R, Denisov S, Jones K, Hofmeister H, Nichols J, Kranz A, Stewart AF, Smith A, et al. 2012. The transcriptional and epigenomic foundations of ground state pluripotency. *Cell* **149**: 590–604.
- Maunakea AK, Nagarajan RP, Bilenky M, Ballinger TJ, D'Souza C, Fouse SD, Johnson BE, Hong C, Nielsen C, Zhao Y, et al. 2010. Conserved role of intragenic DNA methylation in regulating alternative promoters. *Nature* **466**: 253–257.
- McEwen KR, Ferguson-Smith AC. 2010. Distinguishing epigenetic marks of developmental and imprinting regulation. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **3**: 2.
- McEwen KR, Leitch HG, Amouroux R, Hajkova P. 2013. The impact of culture on epigenetic properties of pluripotent stem cells and pre-implantation embryos. *Biochem Soc Trans* **41**: 711–719.
- Messerschmidt DM, de Vries W, Ito M, Solter D, Ferguson-Smith A, Knowles BB. 2012. Trim28 is required for epigenetic stability during mouse oocyte to embryo transition. *Science* **335**: 1499–1502.
- Mikkelsen TS, Ku M, Jaffe DB, Issac B, Lieberman E, Giannoukos G, Alvarez P, Brockman W, Kim TK, Koche RP, et al. 2007. Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. *Nature* **448**: 553–560.
- Mikkelsen TS, Hanna J, Zhang X, Ku M, Wernig M, Schorderet P, Bernstein BE, Jaenisch R, Lander ES, Meissner A. 2008. Dissecting direct reprogramming through integrative genomic analysis. *Nature* **454**: 49–55.
- Molaro A, Hodges E, Fang F, Song Q, McCombie WR, Hannon GJ, Smith AD. 2011. Sperm methylation profiles reveal features of epigenetic inheritance and evolution in primates. *Cell* **146**: 1029–1041.
- Monk M, Boubelik M, Lehnert S. 1987. Temporal and regional changes in DNA methylation in the embryonic, extraembryonic and germ cell lineages during mouse embryo development. *Development* **99**: 371–382.
- Nora EP, Lajoie BR, Schulz EG, Giorgetti L, Okamoto I, Servant N, Piolot T, van Berkum NL, Meisig J, Sedat J, et al. 2012. Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre. *Nature* **485**: 381–385.
- Okamoto I, Otte AP, Allis CD, Reinberg D, Heard E. 2004. Epigenetic dynamics of imprinted X inactivation during early mouse development. *Science* **303**: 644–649.
- Ooi SK, Qiu C, Bernstein E, Li K, Jia D, Yang Z, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Lin SP, Allis CD, et al. 2007. DNMT3L connects unmethylated lysine 4 of histone H3 to de novo methylation of DNA. *Nature* **448**: 714–717.
- Pandey RR, Mondal T, Mohammad F, Enroth S, Redrup L, Komorowski J, Nagano T, Mancini-Dinardo D, Kanduri C. 2008. *Kcnq1ot1* antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. *Mol Cell* **32**: 232–246.
- Pollard KS, Hubisz MJ, Rosenbloom KR, Siepel A. 2010. Detection of nonneutral substitution rates on mammalian phylogenies. *Genome Res* **20**: 110–121.
- Proudhon C, Duffie R, Ajjan S, Cowley M, Iranzo J, Carbajosa G, Saadeh H, Holland ML, Oakey RJ, Rakyan VK, et al. 2012. Protection against de novo methylation is instrumental in maintaining parent-of-origin methylation inherited from the gametes. *Mol Cell* **47**: 909–920.
- Quenneville S, Verde G, Corsinotti A, Kapopoulou A, Jakobsson J, Offner S, Baglivo I, Pedone PV, Grimaldi G, Riccio A, et al. 2011. In embryonic stem cells, ZFP57/KAP1 recognize

Duffié et al.

- a methylated hexanucleotide to affect chromatin and DNA methylation of imprinting control regions. *Mol Cell* **44**: 361–372.
- Schultz DC, Ayyanathan K, Negorev D, Maul GG, Rauscher FJ 3rd. 2002. SETDB1: A novel KAP-1-associated histone H3, lysine 9-specific methyltransferase that contributes to HP1-mediated silencing of euchromatic genes by KRAB zinc-finger proteins. *Genes Dev* **16**: 919–932.
- Schulz R, Woodfine K, Menhenniott TR, Bourc'his D, Bestor T, Oakey RJ. 2008. WAMIDEX: A Web atlas of murine genomic imprinting and differential expression. *Epigenetics* **3**: 89–96.
- Seidl CI, Stricker SH, Barlow DP. 2006. The imprinted Air ncRNA is an atypical RNAPII transcript that evades splicing and escapes nuclear export. *EMBO J* **25**: 3565–3575.
- Smallwood SA, Tomizawa S, Krueger F, Ruf N, Carli N, Segonds-Pichon A, Sato S, Hata K, Andrews SR, Kelsey G. 2011. Dynamic CpG island methylation landscape in oocytes and preimplantation embryos. *Nat Genet* **43**: 811–814.
- Smith ZD, Gu H, Bock C, Gnirke A, Meissner A. 2009. High-throughput bisulfite sequencing in mammalian genomes. *Methods* **48**: 226–232.
- Smith ZD, Chan MM, Mikkelsen TS, Gu H, Gnirke A, Regev A, Meissner A. 2012. A unique regulatory phase of DNA methylation in the early mammalian embryo. *Nature* **484**: 339–344.
- Statham AL, Robinson MD, Song JZ, Coolen MW, Stirzaker C, Clark SJ. 2012. Bisulfite sequencing of chromatin immunoprecipitated DNA (BisChIP-seq) directly informs methylation status of histone-modified DNA. *Genome Res* **22**: 1120–1127.
- Sun H, Wu J, Wickramasinghe P, Pal S, Gupta R, Bhattacharyya A, Agosto-Perez FJ, Showe LC, Huang TH, Davuluri RV. 2011. Genome-wide mapping of RNA Pol-II promoter usage in mouse tissues by ChIP-seq. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: 190–201.
- Tanay A, O'Donnell AH, Damelin M, Bestor TH. 2007. Hyperconserved CpG domains underlie Polycomb-binding sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 5521–5526.
- Tsumura A, Hayakawa T, Kumaki Y, Takebayashi S, Sakaue M, Matsuoka C, Shimotohno K, Ishikawa F, Li E, Ueda HR, et al. 2006. Maintenance of self-renewal ability of mouse embryonic stem cells in the absence of DNA methyltransferases Dnmt1, Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b. *Genes Cells* **11**: 805–814.
- Ying QL, Wray J, Nichols J, Batlle-Morera L, Doble B, Woodgett J, Cohen P, Smith A. 2008. The ground state of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. *Nature* **453**: 519–523.
- Zuo X, Sheng J, Lau HT, McDonald CM, Andrade M, Cullen DE, Bell FT, Iacovino M, Kyba M, Xu G, et al. 2012. Zinc finger protein ZFP57 requires its co-factor to recruit DNA methyltransferases and maintains DNA methylation imprint in embryonic stem cells via its transcriptional repression domain. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 2107–2118.

The *Gpr1/Zdbf2* locus provides new paradigms for transient and dynamic genomic imprinting in mammals

Rachel Duffié, Sophie Ajjan, Maxim V. Greenberg, et al.

Genes Dev. 2014, **28**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.232058.113](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.232058.113)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2014/02/26/28.5.463.DC1>

References

This article cites 61 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/28/5/463.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).