RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

How duplicated transcription regulators can diversify to govern the expression of nonoverlapping sets of genes

J. Christian Pérez,^{1,4,5} Polly M. Fordyce,^{2,3}
Matthew B. Lohse,¹ Victor Hanson-Smith,¹
Joseph L. DeRisi,^{2,3} and Alexander D. Johnson^{1,2}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94102, USA; ²Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94102, USA; ³Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815, USA

The duplication of transcription regulators can elicit major regulatory network rearrangements over evolutionary timescales. However, few examples of duplications resulting in gene network expansions are understood in molecular detail. Here we show that four *Candida albicans* transcription regulators that arose by successive duplications have differentiated from one another by acquiring different intrinsic DNA-binding specificities, different preferences for half-site spacing, and different associations with cofactors. The combination of these three mechanisms resulted in each of the four regulators controlling a distinct set of target genes, which likely contributed to the adaption of this fungus to its human host. Our results illustrate how successive duplications and diversification of an ancestral transcription regulator can underlie major changes in an organism's regulatory circuitry.

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received March 25, 2014; revised version accepted May 13, 2014.

Gene duplication is a major contributor to the emergence of new genetic functions in all three domains of life (Ohno 1970; Conant and Wolfe 2008). For this process to generate new gene functions, the two resulting duplicates, which start as identical copies right after duplication, must differentiate from each other. For instance, immediately after duplication of a transcription regulator (i.e., a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein), the two resulting copies will have identical DNA-binding profiles; hence, the two will control a common set of target genes. Population genetics predicts that this redundant state will be evolutionarily short-lived (Lynch 2007). Thus, if both copies are to be retained over evolutionary timescales, changes in

one or both genes need to occur. Duplicated transcription regulator genes could undergo changes that modify the activities of the encoded proteins and/or their regulation.

To deduce the mechanisms whereby duplicated transcription regulators acquire new target gene repertoires and DNA-binding specificities, we examined a group of four closely related transcription regulators that arose by successive duplications in the lineage leading to the human commensal and pathogenic yeast *Candida albicans*. Using a combination of in vivo genome-wide molecular biology approaches and large-scale in vitro biochemical measurements, we show that these regulators have differentiated from one another by a combination of three mechanisms: (1) small changes in the intrinsic, monomer DNA-binding specificities; (2) different preferences for half-site arrangements; and (3) association with cofactors. It is the summation of these three mechanisms that confer on the proteins the specificity to regulate independent sets of target genes and control different aspects in the biology of the fungus. These changes in the duplicated transcription regulators led to large expansions in transcription circuitry and evolutionary novelty as they contributed to the ability of *C. albicans* to survive as part of the human microbiota.

Results and Discussion

Successive duplications of a LYS regulator homolog in the Candida lineage

The *C. albicans* genome contains four homologs of *LYS14*, the key transcriptional regulator of lysine biosynthesis genes in the free-living, model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Ramos et al. 1988; Feller et al. 1994). When *LYS14* is deleted, *S. cerevisiae* can no longer up-regulate the genes coding for the lysine biosynthetic enzymes, and, as a result, the mutant strain grows poorly on media lacking lysine (Ramos et al. 1988). Phylogenetic reconstructions (Supplemental Fig. 1) indicate that the ancestor of the *LYS14* regulator underwent several successive duplications in the *Candida* clade lineage, which includes numerous human commensal and pathogenic species (Fig. 1A). Indeed, extant species in the *Candida* clade contain two to five homologs of *LYS14*. In contrast, there appears to be a single copy, that of *LYS14* and its orthologs, in all of the extant species that belong to the *Saccharomyces* clade (Fig. 1A). The *Candida* and *Saccharomyces* clades last shared a common ancestor ~300 million years ago (Taylor and Berbee 2006).

C. albicans LYS regulators control largely nonoverlapping sets of target genes

A priori, there are two plausible explanations as to why *C. albicans* has four homologs of *LYS14* while *S. cerevisiae* has a single gene: Either (1) the duplicated genes underwent "subfunctionalization" whereby each *C. albicans* gene now regulates a subset of lysine metabolic genes and the combined action of all four is equivalent to the

[Keywords: transcription regulator; molecular evolution; *Candida albicans*; gene regulation; gene duplication; regulatory networks]

⁴Present address: Institute for Molecular Infection Biology, University Würzburg, 97080 Würzburg, Germany

⁵Corresponding author

E-mail christian.perez@uni-wuerzburg.de or jchris_perez@yahoo.com

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.242271.114>.

© 2014 Pérez et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Figure 1. Recently duplicated *C. albicans* *LYS* transcription regulators bind to largely nonoverlapping sets of target genes. (A) Cladogram depicting the phylogenetic relationships among extant species of the *Candida* and *Saccharomyces* clades. The arrows to the right of the tree represent the homologs of *S. cerevisiae* *LYS14* found in each species' genome. Gene orthology assignments (represented by arrows of the same color) are based on synteny and the reconstructed phylogeny of the gene family (Supplemental Fig. 1). Red ovals in the branches of the cladogram represent the inferred single-gene duplication events that gave rise to the four *C. albicans* homologs. No "strict orthology" can be inferred between a particular *Candida* *LYS* gene and *Saccharomyces* *LYS14* based on phylogenetic reconstructions (Supplemental Fig. 1) or synteny (therefore, the annotation of one of the *Candida* genes as *LYS14* is misleading in this respect). The similarity in color between *LYS144* and *Saccharomyces* *LYS14* depicts simply the fact that the DNA sequences recognized by these homologous proteins most closely resemble one another. (B) Inferred relationships among the four *LYS* regulators (to the left) in *C. albicans* and the gene network (to the right) formed by the four regulators (purple, orange, blue, and green circles) and their target genes (small black circles) as mapped by ChIP. The distances separating the four Lys proteins are inversely proportional to the number of shared target genes (fewer shared targets, greater separation). Although some target genes are bound by more than one regulator, most of the targets are specific to only one of the four *LYS* regulators.

function of the single ancestral gene or (2) at least one of the duplicated genes in *C. albicans* acquired new functions and no longer regulates the lysine biosynthetic enzymes. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we determined the set of genes regulated by each of the four *LYS14* homologs in *C. albicans* by full-genome chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by array hybridization (ChIP-chip). Because some of the *C. albicans* *LYS14* homologs are expressed only in a mammalian host (Perez et al. 2013), we ectopically expressed each regulator by placing its coding region under the control of the strong promoter *TDH3* (Nobile et al. 2008; Perez et al. 2013). Using this strategy, we determined that each of the four transcription regulators binds to ~50 target regions (Supplemental Table 1), with only partial overlap among them (Fig. 1B). None of the ChIP peaks was located in the intergenic region upstream of any lysine biosynthesis

gene, consistent with our previous finding that none of the four *C. albicans* homologs of *LYS14* is required for growth in the absence of lysine (Homann et al. 2009). Although none of them regulates lysine biosynthesis, we nevertheless retain the names originally assigned to them in the *C. albicans* genome annotation (Braun et al. 2005): *LYS144*, *LYS143*, *LYS142*, and *LYS14*. This nomenclature thus reflects the shared ancestry with the *S. cerevisiae* *LYS14* gene rather than the functions in *C. albicans*.

Candida *LYS* regulators show different intrinsic DNA-binding specificities

Despite their recent expansion, the ChIP results show that the four *C. albicans* *LYS* regulators bind to largely independent sets of target genes, raising the question of how this differentiation in target gene recognition occurred. We considered three nonexclusive models: (1) The proteins have different intrinsic (i.e., monomer) DNA-binding specificities. (2) The proteins function as dimers and have different preferences for the arrangement of their half-sites. (3) The proteins have acquired different cofactor interactions.

To address the first possibility, we used a microfluidics-based approach (MITOMI 2.0) (Fordyce et al. 2010, 2012) that measured the DNA sequence preferences of each of the four *LYS* regulators *in vitro*. The proteins belong to the zinc cluster class of regulators (for review, see MacPherson et al. 2006), which typically consist of three domains—DNA-binding, dimerization, and a large "activation" domain—all connected by linker regions of variable size (Supplemental Fig. 2A). The core 35-amino-acid DNA-binding domain (based on crystal structures of other members of the family) (e.g., see Fitzgerald et al. 2006) shows 60% amino acid identity across the four Lys proteins (Supplemental Fig. 2B) and includes six cysteine residues, all of them conserved, which are predicted to interact with two zinc atoms (MacPherson et al. 2006). For MITOMI experiments, we used truncated versions of the Lys proteins that included either the DNA-binding domain alone (*LYS143*) or the DNA-binding domain in conjunction with the putative dimerization domains (*LYS14*, *LYS142*, and *LYS144*); full-length proteins were not efficiently transcribed/translated in the assay. By measuring binding affinities for a library containing all possible DNA 8-mers with each of the four proteins, the MITOMI experiments revealed that each Lys protein binds to a set of DNA sequences that were similar, but not identical, to one another (Supplemental Fig. 3; Supplementary Data Set 1). For each protein, we then analyzed this pattern of oligonucleotide binding to determine a consensus binding specificity, represented here as a position-specific affinity matrix (PSAM) (Fig. 2A). The consensus sequence that we identified for each protein, GCGCA^A_T, represents the monomer-binding sequence and resembles the monomer-binding sequences described for other regulators of the zinc cluster class (MacPherson et al. 2006). While broadly similar, these PSAMs also revealed small but significant differences in binding preferences. The MITOMI-derived motifs were significantly overrepresented in the regions bound by the Lys proteins *in vivo* (ChIP peaks compared with a set of random intergenic sequences) (Supplemental Fig. 4); however, differences between these MITOMI motifs *per se* were insufficient to explain why each of the regulators bound *in vivo* to a unique set of target genes (Supplemen-

Figure 2. DNA motifs preferred by *C. albicans* *LYS* transcription regulators. (A) DNA motifs derived from MITOMI and ChIP data sets. (B) Gel shift assays showing binding of the Lys proteins to their predicted binding sites. ³²P-labeled DNA fragments (~0.4 nM) containing the predicted wild-type or mutant *LYS*-binding sites were incubated with increasing concentrations of purified Lys protein (0, 0.039, 0.156, 0.625, 2.5, 10, and 40 nM) for 30 min at room temperature in standard EMSA buffer and resolved in 6% polyacrylamide gels run with 0.5× TGE. Point mutations introduced in the binding sites are indicated in red.

tal Fig. 4). We note that Lys144p shows the most distinct MITOMI-derived motif compared with the other three (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Figs. 3, 4); this motif most closely resembles the DNA sequence bound by *S. cerevisiae* Lys14p (Becker et al. 1998). These results indicate that there must be determinants in addition to the monomer-binding specificity that contribute to the distinct in vivo DNA-binding profiles of each Lys protein.

Candida *LYS* regulators bind to different arrangements of DNA-binding sites

To address the second model (i.e., the potential contribution of different arrangements of DNA monomer-binding sites to the overall affinity of the proteins), we more closely analyzed the DNA sequences enriched in each ChIP data set. The DNA sequences occupied in vivo by Lys14p and Lys144p were clearly composed of two repeats arranged in specific configurations that for Lys14p consisted of inverted repeats located directly adjacent to each other or overlapping by 1 nucleotide (nt) (Fig. 2A). In contrast,

the sequences occupied by Lys144p were comprised of inverted repeats separated by 5 nt (Fig. 2A). We refer to each repeat as a half-site, which is the sequence bound by a monomer of the protein. The half-site motifs independently derived from the ChIP data closely resembled the corresponding MITOMI-generated motifs. Additional DNA-binding experiments using gel mobility shift assays confirmed that the regulators preferentially bound to the predicted arrangement of DNA sequences; the introduction of point mutations in key positions of the DNA motifs abolished this binding (Fig. 2B). In contrast to the specific configurations of the Lys14p and Lys144p half-sites, the sequences occupied in vivo by Lys142p and Lys143p indicated that these proteins bind to flexible arrangements between a “strong” and a “weak” half-site separated by 20–30 nt for Lys142p and 3–25 nt for Lys143p (Fig. 2A). The motifs representing the “strong” sites are in close agreement with the corresponding MITOMI-derived motif.

To experimentally determine whether the Lys proteins are able to discriminate among their different half-site arrangements by themselves, we carried out competition binding assays in which we incubated each one of the four proteins with its “preferred” arrangement of DNA-binding sequences. Upon binding, we competed each reaction with unlabeled DNA fragments containing the other regulator’s DNA-binding sites. In general, Lys14p, Lys142p, and Lys143p showed strong preferences for their specific arrangements, as described above (twofold to 20-fold higher affinity) (Fig. 3; Supplemental Figs. 5, 6). In contrast, Lys144p showed no strong preference for its “own” site arrangement compared with the others (Fig. 3C; Supplemental Fig. 7), suggesting that additional factors must contribute to the binding profile of Lys144p in vivo.

Lys144p binds to DNA cooperatively with *Mcm1p*

As described above, a third model that could account for the discrimination of Lys144p in binding in vivo is the interaction with one or more cofactors. To test this possibility, we searched the Lys144p ChIP data set for additional overrepresented sequences. This analysis revealed a DNA sequence (Fig. 4A) that was reminiscent of the DNA motif recognized by *Mcm1p* in *C. albicans* (Tuch et al. 2008; Askew et al. 2011). A significant proportion of these sites is occupied by *Mcm1p* in vivo ($P = 3.4 \times 10^{-6}$) (Fig. 4B; Tuch et al. 2008). Moreover, purified *Mcm1* protein binds to one of these sites in vitro (Supplemental Fig. 8). The Lys144p and *Mcm1p* sites are arranged in a strict configuration (Fig. 4B), suggesting that binding of one protein may facilitate or, conversely, interfere with the binding of the other. We tested this possibility and found that Lys144 protein preferentially binds to its DNA sites when the *Mcm1* protein is present (Fig. 4C); thus, the two proteins bind cooperatively. The binding of *Mcm1p* to promoters in combination with a second regulator is a common mechanism to regulate transcription of specific sets of genes in *S. cerevisiae* (for example, see Carr et al. 2004) and *C. albicans* (Tuch et al.

Figure 3. DNA-binding preferences among the four *C. albicans* Lys proteins. (A) Determination of the DNA-binding preferences of Lys14p through competition experiments. The purified *C. albicans* Lys14 protein was incubated with a radiolabeled DNA fragment containing its preferred binding site (LYS14a; i.e., the sequence consisting of repeats adjacent to each other but not overlapping). Increasing amounts of unlabeled competitor DNA fragments containing LYS14a-, LYS14b-, LYS142-, LYS143-, or LYS144-binding sites were added to the reactions, and the mixtures were resolved by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. (B) Quantification of the assays shown in A and best-fit curves. (C) Summary of the binding preferences of the four proteins. (Top panel) The Lys14p-binding preferences are a summary of the data displayed in A and B. The bars show the inverse of the concentration of competitor DNA needed to achieve a 50% reduction in binding to the radiolabeled sequence, with the concentration of the cognate fragment set to a value of 1. Therefore, values <1 correspond to weaker binding. The middle and bottom panels summarize the corresponding experiments for Lys142p, Lys143p, and Lys144p; the images, quantification, and best-fit curves for these three proteins are shown in Supplemental Figures 5–7.

2008; Askew et al. 2011). Although Lys14p in *S. cerevisiae* does not appear to cooperate with Mcm1p, Lys144p in *C. albicans* clearly has this ability.

LYS transcription regulators contribute to *Candida*'s colonization of mammalian hosts

We described here how the four LYS transcription regulators have diversified with respect to their DNA-binding specificities and cofactor interactions and therefore target gene selection. What, however, is the overall biological

function of each protein? Our ChIP data indicated that Lys143p binds upstream of *WOR1* (Zordan et al. 2006) and *AHR1* (Askew et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011) (Supplemental Fig. 9), two key regulators of white–opaque switching in *C. albicans*, a phenomenon whereby two distinct, heritable cell types (white and opaque) arise from the same genome (for a review, see Soll 2004; Lohse and Johnson 2009). White and opaque cells interact differently with the host immune system, prefer different host niches, and may differ in their suitability for commensal or invasive growth (Pande et al. 2013). The ectopic expression of LYS143 also resulted in increased transcript levels of *WOR3* (Supplemental Fig. 10), another DNA-binding protein that can affect this phenotypic switch (Lohse et al. 2013). These observations raised the possibility that LYS143 may affect white–opaque switching as well. Consistent with this hypothesis, a *C. albicans* *lys143* mutant strain showed an approximately fivefold increase in the frequency of white-to-opaque switching (Supplemental Fig. 9). LYS143 clearly regulates genes in addition to *WOR1*, but it remains to be seen whether and how these other genes are related to white–opaque switching.

We recently reported that two of the LYS regulators, LYS14 and LYS144, are required for *C. albicans* to proliferate in its mammalian host, with each regulator being required for a separate niche (Perez et al. 2013): While the *lys144* mutant displays impaired ability to colonize the mammalian gut, the *lys14* mutant colonizes the intestine at wild-type levels. The converse is true when we measured their fitness after bloodstream infections; that is, only the *lys14* mutant displays a phenotype in this niche. Further evidence of nonredundancy among the four *C. albicans* regulators is the finding that the *lys143* mutant is the only one of the four regulator mutants affecting white–opaque switching (Supplemental Fig. 9). Likewise, the ectopic expression of different LYS regulators in *C. albicans* results in distinct genome-wide transcriptional profiles (Supplemental Fig. 10). Taken together, these findings demonstrate that each of the duplicated LYS regulators has taken a largely distinct role in *C. albicans*: The phenotypes resulting from their deletion are different, and their targets of regulation are, for the most part, nonoverlapping.

Conclusions

Our results indicate that the four copies that resulted from successive duplications of the LYS ancestor in the lineage giving rise to *C. albicans* have differentiated from one another by a combination of three mechanisms: (1) small changes in the intrinsic, monomer DNA-binding specificities (i.e., variations in the core GCGCA_T motif); (2) different preferences for half-site arrangements (direct vs. inverted repeats; preferred distances between repeats); and (3) association with cofactors (e.g., Lys144p with Mcm1p). These three differences together give each *C. albicans* Lys protein the specificity to regulate a separate set of target genes and thereby control different aspects in the biology of the fungus. Although we cannot rule out other changes (e.g., differences in the potency of the activation domains or other cofactor interactions), we believe that the changes that we documented are sufficient to explain how each duplicated regulator has acquired a distinct group of target genes.

Our findings illustrate the prominent role that changes in the binding specificity of transcription regulators can play in the rewiring of gene regulatory networks. While

Figure 4. Mcm1p promotes Lys144p binding to DNA. (A) Putative Mcm1p motif identified in the set of DNA sequences occupied in vivo by Lys144p. (B) Distribution of Lys144p and Mcm1p DNA-binding sites in a subset of the sequences occupied by Lys144p. Putative Lys144p sites are shown in purple (half-sites), whereas the predicted Mcm1p sites are underlined. Check marks to the right indicate whether Mcm1p has been found to bind in vivo to the respective target gene (Tuch et al. 2008). (C) Gel shift assays carried out with one of the sequences shown in B (ORF19.2077) and purified Lys144 and Mcm1 proteins. The open arrow corresponds to the DNA+Lys144p-bound complex, whereas the solid arrow indicates the location of the DNA+Lys144+Mcm1 tripartite complex.

the mechanisms uncovered here have been invoked to account for observed differences in DNA-binding preferences among members of monophyletic groups of transcription regulators (Ptashne 2004; Jolma et al. 2013; Nakagawa et al. 2013), our results demonstrate (largely through direct biochemical experiments) that it is the interplay of all three mechanisms—not any single mechanism on its own—that is responsible for the diversification of the *LYS* regulators. This diversification took place during the evolution of the *C. albicans* lineage and is closely linked to the ability of this clade to proliferate in mammalian hosts. Since a significant proportion of transcription regulators found in eukaryotic genomes arose through gene duplications (Weirauch and Hughes 2011), we propose that similar combinations of biochemical changes underlie the diversification of duplicated transcription regulators, the consequent expansion of transcription networks, and the generation of novel phenotypes.

Materials and methods

C. albicans strains

All strains used in this study are derivatives of the clinical isolate SC5314 (Noble and Johnson 2005) and are listed in Supplemental Table 2. Gene tagging and construction of *TDH3* promoter-driven overexpression strains followed standard fusion PCR strategies (Nobile et al. 2008; Hernday et al. 2010). Oligos used in the study are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

Phylogenetic reconstructions

Three maximum likelihood phylogenies were generated by aligning (1) full-length amino acid sequences, (2) activation domain sequences, and (3) DNA-binding domain sequences using the software MUSCLE (Edgar

2004). Multiple phylogenetic models were then tested using RAXML (Stamatakis 2006) in order to find the best-fitting phylogenetic model according to the Akaike Information Criteria (Akaike 1973). The best-fitting models were (1) PROTGAMEJTT, (2) PROTGAMEALG, and (3) PROTCATLG, respectively. Approximate likelihood ratio (aLR) branch supports were computed using PhyML (Anisimova et al. 2011) by first computing the aLR test statistics and then manually converting the statistic to aLRs using the following relationship: $aLR = \exp(aLRT/2.0)$. The *Candida* Gene Order Browser (Maguire et al. 2013) was used to assess synteny.

Full-genome ChIP

Each transcription regulator was tagged with a GFP tag at the N-terminal end of the protein in a wild-type reference strain background. The tagged strains along with untagged controls were grown to mid-logarithmic phase in YPD at 30°C, and ChIP was carried out as described (Hernday et al. 2010) with the following modifications: GFP-tagged regulators were immunoprecipitated with an anti-rGFP polyclonal antibody (Clontech); the DNA recovered after cross-link reversal was purified with QIAquick PCR purification columns (Qiagen) and amplified using the GenomePlex complete whole-genome amplification kit (Sigma). Input and immunoprecipitated DNA were fluorescently labeled and competitively hybridized to custom full-genome oligonucleotide tiling microarrays (Agilent) as previously described (Perez et al. 2013). MochiView (Homann and Johnson 2010) was used for data visualization, identification of binding events, and DNA motif analysis.

ChIP-chip data analysis

The microarray data were normalized using the global lowess method. A full description of the data analysis can be found in the Supplemental Material.

ChIP DNA motif analysis

Sequences of 500 nt centered on the midpoint of ~15–20 of the top-scoring peaks for each regulator were used to derive motifs in Scope (Chakravarty et al. 2007) or MochiView. The software's default parameters were used.

MITOMI 2.0

Experiments for transcription regulator target site discovery were performed largely as published previously (Fordyce et al. 2010, 2012) and are described in the Supplemental Material.

Protein purification

The N-terminal portions of the *C. albicans* Lys144, Lys143, Lys142, and Lys14 proteins (amino acids 1–279, 1–142, 1–280, and 1–236, respectively) were N-terminally fused to 6His and to the maltose-binding protein, expressed in *Escherichia coli* as described (Fitzgerald et al. 2006), and purified with Ni-NTA columns (Qiagen). Protein concentrations were estimated in Coomassie blue-stained gels using known concentrations of bovine serum albumin as standards.

Gel mobility shift assays

EMSA were carried out as described previously (Cain et al. 2012).

White-to-opaque switching assay

Plate-based, quantitative white–opaque switching assays were performed as described (Miller and Johnson 2002) with the following modifications. Either three (in case of the mutant deletion strains) or five (reference strain) biological replicates were performed for each strain. Each biological replicate used cells taken from three to five independent colonies.

Accession numbers

The ChIP-chip data reported in this study have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under accession number GSE52203.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jiashun Zheng (Hao Li's laboratory) for providing some of the DNA templates used in MITOMI. J.C.P. was supported by a Post-doctoral Fellowship from the American Heart Association and a Post-doctoral Research Award from the University of California at San Francisco's Program for Breakthrough Biomedical Research (PBBR). P.M.F. was supported by a K99 Pathway to Independence award from the US National Institute of General Medical Sciences (5K99GM099848). This work was supported by US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases grant RO1 AI049187 (to A.D.J.).

References

- Akaike H. 1973. Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In *Proceedings of the second international symposium on information theory* (ed. Petrov BN, Caski F), pp. 267–281. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest.
- Anisimova M, Gil M, Dufayard JF, Dessimoz C, Gascuel O. 2011. Survey of branch support methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based approximation schemes. *Syst Biol* **60**: 685–699.
- Askew C, Sellam A, Epp E, Mallick J, Hogues H, Mullick A, Nantel A, Whiteway M. 2011. The zinc cluster transcription factor Ahrlp directs Mcm1p regulation of *Candida albicans* adhesion. *Mol Microbiol* **79**: 940–953.
- Becker B, Feller A, el Alami M, Dubois E, Pierard A. 1998. A nonameric core sequence is required upstream of the *LYS* genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for Lys14p-mediated activation and apparent repression by lysine. *Mol Microbiol* **29**: 151–163.
- Braun BR, van Het Hoog M, d'Enfert C, Martchenko M, Dungan J, Kuo A, Inglis DO, Uhl MA, Hogues H, Berriman M, et al. 2005. A human-curated annotation of the *Candida albicans* genome. *PLoS Genet* **1**: 36–57.
- Cain CW, Lohse MB, Homann OR, Sil A, Johnson AD. 2012. A conserved transcriptional regulator governs fungal morphology in widely diverged species. *Genetics* **190**: 511–521.
- Carr EA, Mead J, Vershon AK. 2004. α 1-induced DNA bending is required for transcriptional activation by the Mcm1- α 1 complex. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**: 2298–2305.
- Chakravarty A, Carlson JM, Khetani RS, DeZiel CE, Gross RH. 2007. SPACER: identification of *cis*-regulatory elements with non-contiguous critical residues. *Bioinformatics* **23**: 1029–1031.
- Conant GC, Wolfe KH. 2008. Turning a hobby into a job: how duplicated genes find new functions. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**: 938–950.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**: 1792–1797.
- Feller A, Dubois E, Ramos F, Pierard A. 1994. Repression of the genes for lysine biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is caused by limitation of Lys14-dependent transcriptional activation. *Mol Cell Biol* **14**: 6411–6418.
- Fitzgerald MX, Rojas JR, Kim JM, Kohlhaw GB, Marmorstein R. 2006. Structure of a Leu3-DNA complex: recognition of everted CGG half-sites by a Zn2Cys6 binuclear cluster protein. *Structure* **14**: 725–735.
- Fordyce PM, Gerber D, Tran D, Zheng J, Li H, DeRisi JL, Quake SR. 2010. De novo identification and biophysical characterization of transcription-factor binding sites with microfluidic affinity analysis. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**: 970–975.
- Fordyce PM, Pincus D, Kimmig P, Nelson CS, El-Samad H, Walter P, DeRisi JL. 2012. Basic leucine zipper transcription factor Hac1 binds DNA in two distinct modes as revealed by microfluidic analyses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: E3084–E3093.
- Hernday AD, Noble SM, Mitrovich QM, Johnson AD. 2010. Genetics and molecular biology in *Candida albicans*. *Methods Enzymol* **470**: 737–758.
- Homann OR, Johnson AD. 2010. MochiView: versatile software for genome browsing and DNA motif analysis. *BMC Biol* **8**: 49.
- Homann OR, Dea J, Noble SM, Johnson AD. 2009. A phenotypic profile of the *Candida albicans* regulatory network. *PLoS Genet* **5**: e1000783.
- Jolma A, Yan J, Whittington T, Toivonen J, Nitta KR, Rastas P, Morgunova E, Enge M, Taipale M, Wei G, et al. 2013. DNA-binding specificities of human transcription factors. *Cell* **152**: 327–339.
- Lohse MB, Johnson AD. 2009. White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans*. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **12**: 650–654.
- Lohse MB, Hernday AD, Fordyce PM, Noiman L, Sorrells TR, Hanson-Smith V, Nobile CJ, DeRisi JL, Johnson AD. 2013. Identification and characterization of a previously undescribed family of sequence-specific DNA-binding domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**: 7660–7665.
- Lynch M. 2007. *The origins of genome architecture*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- MacPherson S, Larochelle M, Turcotte B. 2006. A fungal family of transcriptional regulators: the zinc cluster proteins. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **70**: 583–604.
- Maguire SL, OhEigeartaigh SS, Byrne KP, Schroder MS, O'Gaora P, Wolfe KH, Butler G. 2013. Comparative genome analysis and gene finding in *Candida* species using CGOB. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 1281–1291.
- Miller MG, Johnson AD. 2002. White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* is controlled by mating-type locus homeodomain proteins and allows efficient mating. *Cell* **110**: 293–302.
- Nakagawa S, Gisselbrecht SS, Rogers JM, Hartl DL, Bulyk ML. 2013. DNA-binding specificity changes in the evolution of forkhead transcription factors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**: 12349–12354.
- Nobile CJ, Solis N, Myers CL, Fay AJ, Deneault JS, Nantel A, Mitchell AP, Filler SG. 2008. *Candida albicans* transcription factor Rim101 mediates pathogenic interactions through cell wall functions. *Cell Microbiol* **10**: 2180–2196.
- Noble SM, Johnson AD. 2005. Strains and strategies for large-scale gene deletion studies of the diploid human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell* **4**: 298–309.
- Ohno S. 1970. *Evolution by gene duplication*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- Pande K, Chen C, Noble SM. 2013. Passage through the mammalian gut triggers a phenotypic switch that promotes *Candida albicans* commensalism. *Nat Genet* **45**: 1088–1091.
- Perez JC, Kumamoto CA, Johnson AD. 2013. *Candida albicans* commensalism and pathogenicity are intertwined traits directed by a tightly knit transcriptional regulatory circuit. *PLoS Biol* **11**: e1001510.
- Ptashne M. 2004. *A genetic switch, third edition, phage λ revisited*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Ramos F, Dubois E, Pierard A. 1988. Control of enzyme synthesis in the lysine biosynthetic pathway of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Evidence for a regulatory role of gene *LYS14*. *Eur J Biochem* **171**: 171–176.
- Soll DR. 2004. Mating-type locus homozygosity, phenotypic switching and mating: a unique sequence of dependencies in *Candida albicans*. *BioEssays* **26**: 10–20.
- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **22**: 2688–2690.
- Taylor JW, Berbee ML. 2006. Dating divergences in the fungal tree of life: review and new analyses. *Mycologia* **98**: 838–849.
- Tuch BB, Galgoczy DJ, Hernday AD, Li H, Johnson AD. 2008. The evolution of combinatorial gene regulation in fungi. *PLoS Biol* **6**: e38.
- Wang H, Song W, Huang G, Zhou Z, Ding Y, Chen J. 2011. *Candida albicans* Zcf37, a zinc finger protein, is required for stabilization of the white state. *FEBS Lett* **585**: 797–802.
- Weirauch MT, Hughes TR. 2011. A catalogue of eukaryotic transcription factor types, their evolutionary origin, and species distribution. *Subcell Biochem* **52**: 25–73.
- Zordan RE, Galgoczy DJ, Johnson AD. 2006. Epigenetic properties of white-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* are based on a self-sustaining transcriptional feedback loop. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 12807–12812.

How duplicated transcription regulators can diversify to govern the expression of nonoverlapping sets of genes

J. Christian Pérez, Polly M. Fordyce, Matthew B. Lohse, et al.

Genes Dev. 2014, **28**: originally published online May 29, 2014
Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.242271.114](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.242271.114)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2014/05/23/gad.242271.114.DC1>

References

This article cites 35 articles, 8 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/28/12/1272.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.