A p53 enhancer region regulates target genes through chromatin conformations in cis and in trans
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We examined how a p53 enhancer transmits regulatory information in vivo. Using genetic ablation together with digital chromosome conformation capture and fluorescent in situ hybridization, we found that a Drosophila p53 enhancer region (referred to as the p53 response element [p53RE]) physically contacts targets in cis and across the centromere to control stress-responsive transcription at these sites. Furthermore, when placed at ectopic genomic positions, fragments spanning this element re-established chromatin contacts and partially restored target gene regulation to mutants lacking the native p53RE. Therefore, a defined p53 enhancer region is sufficient for long-range chromatin interactions that enable multigenic regulation.
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The p53 gene is mutated in a majority of human cancers (Vousden and Prives 2009, Freed-Pastor and Prives 2012). The product of this tumor suppressor regulates transcription of downstream target genes through response elements containing a defined DNA-binding site (for review, see Menendez et al. 2009), and most mutations found in cancer patients are thought to affect this activity (Vousden and Prives 2009). Features of the p53 network that regulate stress-responsive transcription are also evolutionarily conserved [Lu et al. 2009]. Like its human counterpart, Drosophila p53 responds to genotoxic stress and integrates adaptive responses at the cellular level [Brodsky et al. 2000; Ollmann et al. 2000; Sogame et al. 2003]. A well-characterized p53 response element [p53RE] located 4.8 kb upstream of the proapoptotic gene reaper [rpr] consists of adjacent 10mers virtually identical to the human p53-binding consensus sequence [Brodsky et al. 2000]. This element is thought to function as a stress-responsive enhancer by recruiting p53 and inducing rpr [Brodsky et al. 2000]. Genome-wide analyses identified additional DNA damage-responsive genes that also depend on p53 for induction (known as RIPD [radiation-induced p53-dependent] genes) [Akdemir et al. 2007], including two other genes in the Reaper region [hid and sickle [skl]] and others located throughout the genome [Brodsky et al. 2004; Akdemir et al. 2007]. Presumably, other regulatory elements control these genes.

Here, we used the Drosophila model to genetically examine a single p53 enhancer in vivo. This p53 enhancer region conferred cis regulation on multiple genes spanning 330 kb in the Reaper region. Surprisingly, this same enhancer also controlled stimulus-responsive induction of unlinked target genes mapping across the centromere. Using digital chromosome conformation capture (d3C) together with fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), we found that the p53RE physically contacts local and long-distance target sites via looping interactions. Furthermore, when ectopically positioned to a nonnative chromosome, the p53 enhancer re-established long-range contacts and regulation to target genes in mutants lacking the native element. Together, these observations establish that p53 enhancer elements can specify genome-scale regulation through the assembly of chromatin interactions in cis and in trans.

Results and Discussion

A defined p53 enhancer region regulates multiple target genes in cis

To genetically examine the function of a canonical p53 enhancer, we eliminated a well-studied p53 enhancer region (the p53RE) that maps upstream of the rpr gene. The Exelixis transposon collection enabled rapid production of a genomic deletion that removes the p53RE [D2p53RE] (Fig. 1) using FRT-mediated recombination (see the Supplemental Material). Another FRT deletion, D3control, removes the neighboring sequence but leaves the p53RE intact and is used throughout our studies as a control. To examine whether animals lacking the p53RE were affected for p53-dependent, stress-induced cell death, we treated early embryos with ionizing irradiation and stained with acridine orange, a marker for apoptotic cells [Abrams et al. 1993]. Robust induction of apoptosis is seen in control embryos but not in p53-animals [Sogame et al. 2003] or D2p53RE mutants [Figs. 1E,F, 4E [below]]. To examine how activity from the p53 enhancer might be linked to defects seen in D2p53RE animals, we measured p53-dependent gene expression in staged D2p53RE and D3control embryos. As previously reported by others and us [Brodsky et al. 2004; Akdemir et al. 2007], rpr, hid, and skl are induced after radiation challenge in wild-type [w1118] but not p53-embryos [Fig. 1B–D]. Similarly, in D2p53RE mutants, rpr was completely nonresponsive [Fig. 1B], but regulation of this gene was unperturbed in D3control [Fig. 1B] and D2p53RE heterozygous animals (Supplemental Fig. 1A). Furthermore, p53 expression was unaffected in D2p53RE mutants [Supple-
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compared wild-type and Reaper region were affected by the p53RE deletion, we noted induction of blue. Tailored deletions are indicated (brackets), with interval size 18,150,842–18,485,841). Proapoptotic genes are red, and others are.

A p53 enhancer region controls stimulus-induced transcription of multiple genes in the Reaper region. [A] Annotated protein-coding genes in the Reaper region on 3L are labeled (from Drosophila melanogaster assembly release 5 coordinates 18,150,842–18,485,841). Proapoptotic genes are red, and others are blue. Tailored deletions are indicated [brackets], with interval size noted above. The p53-binding site (green diamond) is eliminated in the D2p53RE deletion but remains intact in the D3control deletion. [B–D] Radiation-induced expression of RIPD genes in the Reaper region. Quantitative RT–PCR was used to assess wild-type, D2p53RE, D3control, and p53 mutant embryos, and fold induction is shown. Note that rpr [B], hid [C], and skl [D] are nonresponsive in D2p53RE animals but respond in D3control with the exception of skl [the D3control breakpoint is <2 kb from this gene]. [B–D] p53+ animals are unresponsive for all genes tested. Plots show the average of three biological replicates, with the standard deviation indicated. tpf49 expression was used for normalization. [E] Acridine orange staining of an early wild-type embryo after treatment with irradiation. The yolk is outlined, and arrows indicate examples of dying cells. [F] Acridine orange staining of a similarly aged D2p53RE embryo after treatment with irradiation. Note the absence of dying cells in F. Quantification is presented in Figure 4E.

Figure 1. A p53 enhancer region controls stimulus-induced transcription of multiple genes in the Reaper region. [A] Annotated protein-coding genes in the Reaper region on 3L are labeled (from Drosophila melanogaster assembly release 5 coordinates 18,150,842–18,485,841). Proapoptotic genes are red, and others are blue. Tailored deletions are indicated [brackets], with interval size noted above. The p53-binding site (green diamond) is eliminated in the D2p53RE deletion but remains intact in the D3control deletion. [B–D] Radiation-induced expression of RIPD genes in the Reaper region. Quantitative RT–PCR was used to assess wild-type, D2p53RE, D3control, and p53 mutant embryos, and fold induction is shown. Note that rpr [B], hid [C], and skl [D] are nonresponsive in D2p53RE animals but respond in D3control with the exception of skl [the D3control breakpoint is <2 kb from this gene]. [B–D] p53+ animals are unresponsive for all genes tested. Plots show the average of three biological replicates, with the standard deviation indicated. tpf49 expression was used for normalization. [E] Acridine orange staining of an early wild-type embryo after treatment with irradiation. The yolk is outlined, and arrows indicate examples of dying cells. [F] Acridine orange staining of a similarly aged D2p53RE embryo after treatment with irradiation. Note the absence of dying cells in F. Quantification is presented in Figure 4E.

mental Fig. 1B). Hence, as expected, the p53 enhancer governs stress-responsive induction at the rpr locus in vivo. Surprisingly, hid and skl were also unresponsive in D2p53RE animals [Fig. 1C,D], but induction of hid was unperturbed in D3control mutants and D2p53RE heterozygotes [Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. 1A].

For negative controls, we quantified p53RE colocalization using d3C (Supplemental Fig. 5). Overall, d3C contact patterns were similar, and differences observed in p53+ embryos were not statistically significant. These data suggest that the p53 protein is not generally required for these chromatin contacts. To determine whether irradiation influences chromatin contacts, we also profiled d3C patterns in irradiated embryos [Supplemental Fig. 6]. We found few differences between control and irradiated embryos, suggesting that chromatin interactions are generally preconfigured rather than assembled after stress.

The p53RE restores contacts from an ectopic position

To determine whether the p53RE region is sufficient to establish trans looping contacts, we profiled contacts formed by an ectopic enhancer in animals that lacked the native enhancer. Specifically, we used a 19-kb rescue construct [BAC 17] containing this enhancer on the second chromosome, which was crossed into the D2p53RE line to generate a strain referred to as 17; D2p53RE. Some, but not all, looping contacts were restored to the Reaper region despite the fact that the p53RE was relocated to a nonnative site on a different chromosome (Fig. 2C,D). For example, contacts to region 7 are significantly above background, while regions near rpr and skl display interactions with the exogenous p53RE as well. To verify these trans contacts, we performed FISH on 17; D2p53RE animals. For these studies, we used a probe specific to the ectopic rescue fragment along with probes for either hid or skl. For negative controls, we quantified p53RE colocalization with the Bithorax region [BX-C]. Automated software was used to authenticate colocalization events, enabling unbiased surveys of whole-mount embryos. As seen in Figure 2, E–H, and Supplemental Table 1, these studies verified that the ectopic p53RE fragment interacts with endogenous targets in a subset of cells. We also tested whether a smaller fragment, Rpr11, could restore contacts to targets in the Reaper region from an ectopic location in trans. Using d3C and FISH, we found that

The p53RE region contacts targets in cis

To regulate local RIPD genes, the p53 enhancer could physically contact these genes through chromosomal looping conformations [Baker 2011]. To test this possibility, we synthesized genomic structure at the p53RE using 3C. This method combines cross-linking, ligation, and PCR to detect chromatin contact sites in vivo [Dekker et al. 2002]. Throughout these studies, stringent controls ensured that only authentic contacts were detected [see the Supplemental Material]. Furthermore, to improve our experimental resolution, we measured all 3C contacts using a droplet digital PCR [ddPCR] system [see the Supplemental Material; Hindson et al. 2011; Pinheiro et al. 2012], which enables direct quantitative comparisons among 3C contacts. In this d3C assay, labeled probes are used in multiplexed PCR reactions that are partitioned into thousands of droplets and titrated such that each droplet yields a binary output when read by a detector. Because reactions are cycled to saturation, the number of positive and negative droplets produce an absolute measurement of cross-linked starting molecules, enabling efficient comparisons across samples [see the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Figs. 3, 4, Pinheiro et al. 2012].

As seen in Figure 2B and Supplemental Figures 5 and 6, we discovered numerous contacts between the p53 enhancer and local targets in the Reaper region. For example, variable primers 2–4 indicate contacts near hid. We also considered the possibility that p53 might influence p53RE interactions with target sites. Therefore, we profiled 3C contacts in p53– animals using d3C [Supplemental Fig. 5]. Overall, d3C contact patterns were similar, and differences observed in p53– embryos were not statistically significant. These data suggest that the p53 protein is not generally required for these chromatin contacts. To determine whether irradiation influences chromatin contacts, we also profiled d3C patterns in irradiated embryos [Supplemental Fig. 6]. We found few differences between control and irradiated embryos, suggesting that chromatin interactions are generally preconfigured rather than assembled after stress.
The p53 enhancer contacts multiple genes in the Reaper region from native and ectopic sites. (A) A schematic of the Reaper region illustrates radiation-inducible apoptotic genes (red) and nonrelevant genes (blue). Numbers label variable 3C primers designed at HindIII sites. A 3.7-kb HindIII fragment spanning the p53RE (green diamond) is magnified here to show the position of the constant (c) primer (red arrow) and the canonical p53-binding site (green rectangle). (B) Quantitative 3C reactions generated using the constant primer (c), CFPrev2 (red arrow in A), and variable primers indicated (numbers in A) in wild-type animals. Regions that contact the p53 enhancer produce 3C products quantified as starting molecules per reaction (see the Supplemental Material). Plots are averages of three independent experiments, and error bars represent the SEM. Not all HindIII sites are illustrated. (C) The p53RE (green diamond) and the D2p53RE deletion are shown along with a rescue BAC containing the p53RE within a 19.3-kb fragment (labeled as 17) inserted on the second chromosome and placed into the D2p53RE background (17; D2p53RE). (D) Rescue animals shown in C were profiled for the indicated 3C contacts using CFPrev2 in the Reaper region. The native p53RE is missing in this rescue strain, and all products represent trans contacts between the ectopic p53RE and the indicated locus. Plots represent the average of three independent experiments. Error bars indicate the SEM. An asterisk represents contacts significantly different from background (two-tailed t-test, P = 0.05). (E–G) Confocal images of FISH between the ectopic p53RE (green) and endogenous xrp1 (red) gene, known as Rpr11, was able to contact sites at hid and skl (Supplemental Fig. 7). Hence, the p53RE region can assemble contacts with native target sites from ectopic positions in trans. Since only a subset of normal contacts was observed, constraints associated with ectopic positions might limit chromatin movements needed to produce full wild-type conformations. Alternatively, additional sequences not contained in the ectopic fragment may be needed to fully restore wild-type contact patterns.

Long-distance control by the p53RE

To determine whether the native p53RE normally governs distant target genes outside of the Reaper region, we examined a previously studied RIPD gene that is not linked to the p53RE and also does not reside near a computed p53-binding site [Akdemir et al. 2007]. This gene, known as xrp1, ranks among the most acutely responsive RIPD genes and, like rpr, exhibits rapid induction within 15 min after radiation exposure [Brodsky et al. 2004]. As seen in Figure 3A, xrp1 regulation was unperturbed in D2p53RE animals. However, this gene was strikingly unresponsive in D2p53RE homozygotes despite the fact that it resides across the centromere and >20 Mb from the p53RE.

The endogenous p53RE contacts long-distance targets

To determine how the p53RE regulates xrp1, we used d3C to examine whether the p53RE interacts with the xrp1 locus. Figure 3 shows that this enhancer, which resides on the left arm of chromosome 3, interacts with the xrp1 locus (Fig. 3C) on the right arm of chromosome 3. To independently corroborate these findings, we conducted FISH experiments using probes specific for the p53RE and xrp1 [Fig. 3C–E]. As a positive control, previously reported contacts in the BX-C region were visualized [see Supplemental Table 1, Lanzuolo et al. 2007], and, as a negative control, we included colocalization between the p53RE and rp49. As seen in Figure 3B, the in vivo frequency of contact between the p53 enhancer and xrp1 ranged from 8% to 46%. Clearly, not all nuclei registered colocalization events, suggesting that the p53 enhancer makes physical contact to trans targets within only a subset of cells at any given time. Nevertheless, the frequencies seen for this trans contact are similar to reports for other enhancers and their long-range cis targets [Lomvardas et al. 2006].

To determine whether an ectopically positioned p53RE is also sufficient to establish looping contacts with xrp1, we profiled 17; D2p53RE animals using d3C assays. Like contacts seen in the Reaper region [Fig. 2D], we found that looping contacts near xrp1 were also re-established despite the fact that the p53RE was relocated to a non-native site on a different chromosome [Fig. 3H]. To verify these trans contacts, we performed FISH using rescued animals lacking the native enhancer. We used a probe specific to the ectopic rescue fragment along with a probe for xrp1 and verified that a significant subset of cells contained contacts between the exogenous p53RE and xrp1 [Fig. 2H]. Hence, the p53RE can assemble appropriate contacts with long-distance target sites from ectopic positions in trans in a sequence-specific manner.

The p53RE restores regulation from an ectopic position

We also tested whether the p53 enhancer could direct stimulus-dependent regulation of target genes when relocated to ectopic positions. For these studies, 17; D2p53RE or Rpr11; D2p53RE embryos were irradiated and assessed for induction of rpr, skl, hid, and xrp1 using digital RT–PCR. As seen in Figure 4, stimulus-induced
transcript levels of these RIPD genes are strongly attenuated in D2p53RE embryos. However, in 17; D2p53RE animals containing the ectopic p53RE transgene, baseline and stimulus-responsive transcription of *hid* and *xrp1* was restored to wild-type levels [Fig. 4A,B], while *rpr11; D2p53RE* animals mildly rescued to wild-type levels [Fig. 4A,B], while *Rpr11; D2p53RE* animals were responsive. Plots show the average of three biological replicates with standard deviation. *rp49* expression was used for normalization. (B) Quantification of colocalized FISH signals in wild-type animals. The percentage represents the number of cells with overlapping signals relative to the total cells containing both green and red signals for each confocal stack. Colocalizing probes within the BX-C region were included as a positive control, and *rp49* served as a negative control. (* P = 0.05; ** P < 0.005; two-tailed t-test). See Figure 2H for FISH colocalization between *xrp1* and the ectopic p53RE. (C–E) Representative FISH confocal images of the p53RE (green) and *xrp1* (red) in wild-type embryos (0–7 h). (F) The colocalized signal (yellow in D) in the confocal images is shown as an Imaris projection. Bars, 1 μm. (G) A schematic of the *xrp1* locus on 3R illustrates the radiation-induced, p53-dependent sequence (red) and other genes (blue). Variable primers designed at HindIII sites are numbered accordingly. (H) 17; D2p53RE animals [see Fig. 2C] were profiled for the indicated 3C contacts using wild-type animals with the constant primer CFPrev2 (red arrow in Fig. 2A) and variable primers (see Supplemental Table 3). (I) 17; D2p53RE animals [see Fig. 2C] were profiled for the indicated 3C contacts using CFPrev2 in the *xrp1* region. In these animals, the native p53RE is missing, and all products represent *trans* contacts with the ectopic p53RE. Quantified 3C profiles are shown as an average of three independent trials, with error bars representing the SEM. An asterisk represents contacts significantly different from background [two-tailed t-test, *P = 0.05].

**The p53RE can generate simultaneous contacts with multiple targets in a single cell**

To test whether multiple targets can simultaneously contact the p53RE in a single cell, we performed three-color FISH using probes for the p53RE, *hid*, and *xrp1* [Fig. 5]. We found colocalization of all three loci within 23% of cells, indicating that the p53RE can contact multiple targets within a single nucleus.

Here we present in vivo functional evidence that a single enhancer region can specify regulation of multiple targets in *cis* and in *trans*. Using tailored deletions, we found that a p53 regulatory element controlled stimulus-dependent induction of multiple genes, with effects on targets that range from 4 kb to 330 kb throughout the *Drosophila* Reaper region. In our studies, the p53RE also regulated *xrp1*, a genetically unlinked target residing across the centromere. Furthermore, when transplanted to ectopic locations, contacts with target sites were re-established and regulation of some target genes was restored. Together, these functional studies offer compelling evidence that an enhancer transmits regulatory activity in *trans* through direct physical contact.

In principle, long-range regulation of *xrp1* by the native p53RE could involve local induction of an activator that subsequently induces distant genes, but this type of expression cascade would not explain the data presented here. First, no correlation exists between the timing of RIPD gene induction and proximity to the p53RE. Second, *cis* targets in the Reaper interval encode products with no known function in the nucleus or in transcription [Tweedie et al. 2009]. Third, conventional expression cas-
The p53 enhancer region can contact multiple targets in a single nucleus. Confocal images [A, C] and Imaris projections [B, D] of three-color FISH in Drosophila embryos. [A, B] Examples of three-way colocalization for the p53RE (green), hid (red), and xrp1 (purple) probes. [C, D] Examples in which only the p53RE (green), hid (red), and xrp1 (purple) probes. [E] Quantification of colocalization as indicated. Note that only nuclei containing signals for all three probes were counted.
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