REVIEW

The emerging role of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway in cancer

Melba C. Jaramillo¹ and Donna D. Zhang^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, The University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA; ²Arizona Cancer Center, The University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85724, USA

The Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2 [NF-E2]-related factor 2 [Nrf2])–Keap1 (Kelch-like erythroid cell-derived protein with CNC homology [ECH]-associated protein 1) signaling pathway is one of the most important cell defense and survival pathways. Nrf2 can protect cells and tissues from a variety of toxicants and carcinogens by increasing the expression of a number of cytoprotective genes. As a result, several Nrf2 activators are currently being tested as chemopreventive compounds in clinical trials. Just as Nrf2 protects normal cells, studies have shown that Nrf2 may also protect cancer cells from chemotherapeutic agents and facilitate cancer progression. Nrf2 is aberrantly accumulated in many types of cancer, and its expression is associated with a poor prognosis in patients. In addition, Nrf2 expression is induced during the course of drug resistance. Collectively, these studies suggest that Nrf2 contributes to both intrinsic and acquired chemoresistance. This discovery has opened up a broad spectrum of research geared toward a better understanding of the role of Nrf2 in cancer. This review provides an overview of (1) the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway, (2) the dual role of Nrf2 in cancer, (3) the molecular basis of Nrf2 activation in cancer cells, and (4) the challenges in the development of Nrf2-based drugs for chemoprevention and chemotherapy.

The transcription factor nuclear factor erythroid 2 (NF-E2)-related factor 2 (Nrf2) belongs to the Cap ‘N’ Collar (CNC) family that contains a conserved basic leucine zipper (bZIP) structure. The main function of Nrf2 is to activate the cellular antioxidant response by inducing the transcription of a wide array of genes that are able to combat the harmful effects of extrinsic and intrinsic insults, such as xenobiotics and oxidative stress. As a result, Nrf2 has traditionally been regarded as the cell’s main defense mechanism and a major regulator of cell survival. Activation of the Nrf2 defense response has been shown to protect against neurodegenerative diseases, aging, diabetes, photo-oxidative stress, cardiovascular dis-

ease, inflammation, pulmonary fibrosis, acute pulmonary injury, and cancer (for review, see Motohashi and Yamamoto 2004; Jeong et al. 2006; Zhang 2006; Kensler et al. 2007; Lau et al. 2008).

Recent studies, however, have demonstrated that Nrf2 promotes the survival of not only normal cells but also cancer cells. Accumulation of Nrf2 in cancer cells creates an environment conducive for cell growth and protects against oxidative stress, chemotherapeutic agents, and radiotherapy. This phenomenon has been termed the “dark side of Nrf2” (Lau et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008b). This discovery has opened up a broad spectrum of research geared toward a better understanding of the role of Nrf2 signaling in cancer and has set a new paradigm for the development of pharmacological reagents targeting Nrf2 for cancer prevention and treatment.

The Nrf2–Keap1 (Kelch-like erythroid cell-derived protein with CNC homology [ECH]-associated protein 1)–ARE (antioxidant response element) signaling pathway

Nrf2

Nrf2 contains seven functional domains, known as Neh1–Neh7 (Fig. 1A). Of these, the Neh2 domain, located in the N terminus of Nrf2, is the major regulatory domain. Neh2 contains seven lysine residues that are responsible for ubiquitin conjugation (Zhang et al. 2004) as well as two binding sites (termed ETGE and DLG motifs) that help regulate Nrf2 stability (McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2006b). The ETGE and DLG motifs interact with Keap1, which is a substrate adaptor protein for the Cullin 3 (Cul3)-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that represses Nrf2 by promoting its ubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation (Cullinan et al. 2004; Kobayashi et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2004; Furukawa and Xiong 2005).

The Neh1 and Neh6 domains have also been reported to regulate the stability of Nrf2. Neh1 contains a CNC-

[**Keywords:** Nrf2; Keap1; chemoprevention; chemoresistance; ARE; oxidative stress]

³Corresponding author

E-mail dzhang@pharmacy.arizona.edu

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.225680.113>.

© 2013 Jaramillo and Zhang This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Figure 1. Conserved domains of Nrf2 and Keap1. (A) Nrf2 contains seven domains, known as Neh1–Neh7. The Neh2 domain contains two binding motifs, DLG and ETGE, which interact with Keap1. The Neh4, Neh5, and Neh3 domains are important for the transactivation activity of Nrf2. The Neh6 domain is a serine-rich region that regulates Nrf2 stability. The Neh1 domain is a basic region leucine zipper motif that is important for its stability, DNA binding, and dimerization with Maf. (B) Keap1 contains three major domains. The BTB domain mediates Keap1 homodimerization and associates with Cul3. The IVR domain contains critical cysteine residues and connects the BTB domain with the C terminus Kelch/DGR domain. The Kelch/DGR domain mediates binding with the Neh2 domain of Nrf2.

type bZIP DNA-binding motif that allows Nrf2 to bind DNA and dimerize with other transcription factors (Moi et al. 1994). Additionally, the Neh1 domain has been shown to interact with UbcM2, a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, to regulate the stability of Nrf2 (Plafker et al. 2010). The Neh6 domain contains two binding sites (DSGIS and DSAPGS motifs) for the β -transducin repeat-containing protein (β -TrCP). β -TrCP acts as a substrate adaptor for the Skp1–Cul1–Rbx1/Roc1 ubiquitin ligase complex. Phosphorylation of the DSGIS motif by GSK-3 increases the ability of β -TrCP to ubiquitinate Nrf2 and promote its rapid turnover (McMahon et al. 2004; Rada et al. 2011; Chowdhry et al. 2013).

The Neh3, Neh4, and Neh5 domains interact with coactivators to enable the transactivation of Nrf2 target genes. The Neh3 domain binds to the chromo-ATPase/helicase DNA-binding protein family member CHD6, which functions as an Nrf2 transcriptional coactivator (Nioi et al. 2005). The Neh4 and Neh5 domains have been shown to interact with the CH3 domains of CBP (CREB-binding protein) to facilitate the transactivation of Nrf2 target genes (Katoh et al. 2001; Zhu and Fahl 2001). Recently, however, a seventh Neh domain (Neh7, amino acids 209–316) was identified and shown to interact with the retinoic X receptor α , an Nrf2 repressor, and repress Nrf2 target gene transcription (Wang et al. 2013).

Keap1

Nrf2 is primarily regulated by Keap1, a substrate adaptor for a Cul3-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase. Keap1 possesses three functional domains, including a broad complex/tramtrack/bric-a-brac (BTB) domain, an intervening region (IVR), and a Kelch domain, also known as the double glycine repeat (DGR) domain (Fig. 1B). The BTB domain binds Cul3 and is required for Keap1 dimerization (Zipper and Mulcahy 2002; Lo et al. 2006). The Kelch/DGR domain is critical for maintaining the interaction between Nrf2 and Keap1 by interacting with the Neh2

domain of Nrf2 (Itoh et al. 1999; McMahon et al. 2004). The IVR links the BTB and Kelch/DGR domains and contains several cysteine residues that have been proposed to regulate Keap1 activity (Kobayashi et al. 2004; Ogura et al. 2010). Thus, each of the three domains is thought to play a unique role in mediating Nrf2 ubiquitination and repression.

Under basal conditions, Nrf2 is primarily localized in a complex with Keap1 via direct protein–protein interactions between the Keap1 Kelch domain and the ETGE and DLG motifs on the Neh2 domain of Nrf2 (Fig. 2). Keap1 has been shown to bind to the ETGE motif with a higher affinity than to the DLG motif (McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2006a). Based on these observations, a two-site substrate recognition hinge-and-latch model describing the interaction between Nrf2 and Keap1 was developed (McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2006a,b). The model suggests that Keap1 recruits Nrf2 via the ETGE motif (hinge), and once this interaction has been established, the DLG motif (latch) docks onto an adjacent unoccupied Kelch repeat domain on Keap1. Two Keap1 molecules position the seven ubiquitin-accepting lysine residues that are located between the DLG and ETGE motifs of Nrf2 in a favorable position and promote Nrf2 polyubiquitination and its subsequent proteasomal degradation by the 26S proteasome (Zhang et al. 2004; McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2007). Therefore, the Keap1–Cul3–E3 ubiquitin ligase complex tightly regulates Nrf2 protein to maintain it at a low level. Conversely, recent evidence from our laboratory demonstrated that USP15 (ubiquitin-specific peptidase 15), a deubiquitinating enzyme, also plays an important role in mediating the ubiquitination and degradation of Nrf2. USP15 deubiquitinates Keap1, stabilizes the Keap1–Cul3–E3 ligase complex, and enhances its E3 ligase activity, which ultimately leads to the degradation of Nrf2 (Villeneuve et al. 2013).

In response to a diverse array of stimuli, it has been proposed that critical cysteine residues, especially Cys151, within Keap1 can be covalently modified, allowing Nrf2 to

Figure 2. Schematic model of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway. Under basal conditions, Keap1 binds to the ETGE and DLG motifs on Nrf2 and brings Nrf2 into Keap1–Cul3–E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, leading to ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of Nrf2. Oxidative stress or electrophiles can cause a conformational change in the Keap1–Cul3–E3 ubiquitin ligase by acting on specific cysteine residues in Keap1. These changes disrupt Nrf2–Keap1 binding at the DLG domain. Nrf2 is stabilized, and free Nrf2 translocates to the nucleus, where it dimerizes with members of the small Maf family and binds to AREs (5′-RTGABNNNGCR-3′) within regulatory regions of a wide variety of cell defense genes, including NQO1, GCLM, HO-1, and MRP1. (E) ETGE; (D) DLG.

evade Keap1-mediated ubiquitination (Fig. 2). The human Keap1 protein contains 27 cysteine residues that can be oxidized to sulfenic acid, form disulfides, or be covalently adducted by electrophiles (Dinkova-Kostova et al. 2002; Zhang and Hannink 2003; McMahon et al. 2010). The modification of thiols on Keap1 is thought to alter its conformation and results in the release of Nrf2 from the low-affinity binding site (DLG motif); however, Nrf2 remains attached to Keap1 by the ETGE motif. These changes are thought to prevent Nrf2 ubiquitination (McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2006a,b). Consequently, Keap1 molecules become saturated with Nrf2 that is no longer targeted for degradation, and newly synthesized, free Nrf2 translocates to the nucleus. In the nucleus, Nrf2 dimerizes with members of the musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma (Maf) protein family that have been shown to facilitate the binding of Nrf2 to AREs located within the regulatory regions of a wide variety of genes involved in cytoprotection and metabolism (Itoh et al. 1997; Nguyen et al. 2000; Motohashi et al. 2004; Hirotsu et al. 2012). The ARE is a *cis*-acting DNA enhancer sequence with the consensus sequence 5′-RTGABnnnGCR-3′, where conserved nucleotides are in capitals, and the “n” represents any nucleotide (Rushmore et al. 1991; Wasserman and Fahl 1997; Hayes and McMahon 2001). The Nrf2–Maf heterodimer recruits transcriptional coactivators that promote the transcription of genes involved in (1) regulating the synthesis and metabolism of glutathione, such as glutamate–cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCS); (2) antioxidant proteins specializing in neutralizing reactive species such as glutathione peroxidase (GPX); (3) drug-metabolizing enzymes like UDP-glucuronosyl-transferase 1A1; (4) xenobiotic transporters, including multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1); and (5) numerous other stress response proteins (for review, see Zhang and Gordon 2004; Hayes et al. 2010). By inducing the expression of this battery of genes, Nrf2 is able to augment a wide range of

cell defense processes, thereby enhancing the overall capacity of cells to detoxify potentially harmful entities. As such, the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway is generally considered a major cellular defense pathway.

The dual role of Nrf2 in cancer

Tumor suppressor functions of Nrf2: ‘the good side of Nrf2’

Several studies using Nrf2 knockout mice (Nrf2^{−/−}) show that Nrf2 protects against chemical carcinogen-induced tumor formation in the stomach, bladder, and skin. For example, Nrf2-null mice are more likely to develop gastric neoplasia after exposure to benzo(a)pyrene compared with wild-type mice (Ramos-Gomez et al. 2001). Higher tumor burdens were reported in the intestines of Nrf2-deficient mice challenged with azoxymethane followed by dextran sodium sulfate compared with wild-type mice (Osburn et al. 2007; Khor et al. 2008). In addition, Nrf2-deficient mice had a higher incidence of bladder tumors following exposure to N-nitrosobutyl(4-hydroxybutyl)amine (Fahey et al. 2002) as well as an increased incidence of skin tumors after exposure to 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene or 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate, two potent carcinogens (Xu et al. 2006). The mechanism by which Nrf2 protects against chemical-induced carcinogenesis may be due in part to its ability to reduce the amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and DNA damage in cells (Hirayama et al. 2003; Morito et al. 2003).

Further evidence supporting the protective role of Nrf2 comes from studies with mice harboring a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the promoter region of the mouse *Nrf2* gene. Mice with this SNP have reduced expression of Nrf2 and are more susceptible to hyperoxia-induced lung damage (Cho et al. 2002). The human *NRF2*

gene also harbors a SNP in its promoter region (rs6721961) (Yamamoto et al. 2004; Marzec et al. 2007). Individuals with this SNP have significantly lower *NRF2* messenger RNA (mRNA) levels and an increased risk of developing non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Suzuki et al. 2013).

Oncogenic functions of Nrf2: 'the dark side of Nrf2'

Although a wide body of evidence indicates that activation of Nrf2 protects against a variety of toxicants and diseases, the prolonged activation of Nrf2 has been shown to favor the progression of several types of cancers. Nrf2 has been shown to be constitutively elevated in lung, breast, head and neck, ovarian, and endometrial carcinomas (Singh et al. 2006; Shibata et al. 2008a,b; Wang et al. 2008b; Jiang et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2010; Solis et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010). The prognosis of patients with tumors expressing high levels of Nrf2 in the clinic is poor (Shibata et al. 2008a; Solis et al. 2010; Sasaki et al. 2012) partly due to Nrf2's ability to enhance cancer cell proliferation and promote chemoresistance and radioresistance. In addition, Nrf2 expression is induced during the course of drug resistance. Collectively, these studies suggest that Nrf2 contributes to both intrinsic and acquired chemoresistance (Shibata et al. 2008a,b; Singh et al. 2008; Solis et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010; Inoue et al. 2012).

Nrf2 and cancer cell proliferation The elevated levels of Nrf2 in cancer cells have been shown to promote cancer cell proliferation. Recently, Mitsuishi et al. (2012) demonstrated that Nrf2 is indeed important for the ability of A549 lung cancer cells to proliferate. They performed microarray analysis to identify Nrf2 target genes involved in cancer cell proliferation and identified several genes involved in the pentose phosphate pathway, including glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (*G6PD*), phosphoglucanate dehydrogenase (*PGD*), transketolase (*TKT*), and transaldolase 1 (*TALDO1*), which are responsible for nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) regeneration. In addition, other metabolic genes, including malic enzyme 1 (*ME1*), phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate amidotransferase (*PPAT*), methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase 2 (*MTHFD2*), and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (*IDH1*), were also identified as transcription targets of Nrf2. Nrf2 directly activated *G6PD*, *PGD*, *TKT*, *TALDO1*, *ME1*, and *IDH1* by binding to their respective AREs. These proteins support glucose flux and generate purines, which are the building blocks of DNA and RNA and are important for accelerating proliferation in cancer cells.

Nrf2 may also promote cancer cell proliferation and tumorigenesis by maintaining the redox balance and generating antioxidants in cancer cells. Recent studies have revealed that glutathione is critical for cell proliferation (Reddy et al. 2007a,b; Ishimoto et al. 2011). Mitsuishi et al. (2012) also found that, in A549 cells, the cellular levels of glutamine are elevated and that a substantial amount of the glutamine was used for the generation of glutathione. Therefore, the enhancement of glutathione synthesis is another important effect of Nrf2 in accelerating cancer cell proliferation.

Nrf2 and chemoresistance and radioresistance Several studies have demonstrated that cancer cells with elevated levels of Nrf2 are less sensitive to common chemotherapeutic agents such as etoposide, carboplatin, cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and doxorubicin (Singh et al. 2006; Ohta et al. 2008; Shibata et al. 2008a; Wang et al. 2008b; Homma et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2010; Lister et al. 2011). Consistent with this notion, ectopic expression of Nrf2 in cancer cell lines that have low basal levels of Nrf2 renders them more resistant to a variety of anti-cancer agents, whereas siRNA-mediated inhibition of Nrf2 in cells with high levels of Nrf2 has been shown to reverse drug resistance (Shibata et al. 2008a; Wang et al. 2008b; Homma et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2010; Lister et al. 2011). Furthermore, cells that have developed resistance to chemotherapeutic agents have also been shown to express high levels of Nrf2. For example, human ovarian cancer cells selected for resistance to doxorubicin have elevated levels of Nrf2 compared with wild-type cells, and depletion of Nrf2 restores drug sensitivity in the resistant cells (Shim et al. 2009). In vivo xenografts derived from Nrf2 silenced lung cancer cells were more susceptible to platin-based chemotherapeutics compared with xenografts with control cells (Singh et al. 2008; Jiang et al. 2010).

Constitutively high levels of Nrf2 have also been shown to protect cancer cells against ionizing radiation and confers radioresistance in NSCLC cells (Singh et al. 2010). A549 and H460 NSCLC cells transfected with Nrf2 siRNA were more susceptible to ionizing radiation, which was measured by an increase in protein oxidation and the total protein carbonyl content, than cells transfected with a control siRNA. Taken together, these findings suggest that Nrf2 plays a role in not only intrinsic chemoresistance but also acquired resistance in cancer cells and that selectively inhibiting Nrf2 may improve the clinical outcome of patients receiving chemotherapy or radiation therapy.

All of these findings suggest that Nrf2 has a dual role in cancer. Based on numerous studies, it appears that transient activation of Nrf2 in normal cells (where the Nrf2–Keap1 axis is intact) is protective; however, constitutive activation of Nrf2, as seen in cancer, enhances the survival and progression of cancer cells (Lau et al. 2008). A recent study supports this hypothesis. Satoh et al. (2013) found that Nrf2-deficient mice exposed to the carcinogen urethane exhibited a relative increase in tumor foci 8 wk after urethane administration. However, after 16 wk, tumors in Nrf2-null mice showed less advanced malignancy (Satoh et al. 2013).

Molecular basis of Nrf2 activation in cancer cells

Several mechanisms have been reported for the increased activity of Nrf2 in cancers, including (1) somatic mutations in *KEAP1*, *CUL3*, or *NRF2*; (2) epigenetic silencing of *Keap1*; (3) aberrant accumulation of proteins that disrupt the interaction between Nrf2 and Keap1; (4) transcriptional up-regulation of *NRF2* through oncogene-dependent signaling; and (5) modification of Keap1 by metabolic

intermediates (for review, see Mitsuishi et al. 2013). A detailed description of each mechanism is discussed below.

Somatic mutations in KEAP1, NRF2, or CUL3

Gain-of-function mutations in *NRF2* and loss-of-function mutations in *KEAP1* and *CUL3* have been identified in several human cancers (Padmanabhan et al. 2006; Singh et al. 2006; Nioi and Nguyen 2007; Ohta et al. 2008; Shibata et al. 2008a; Li et al. 2011; Ooi et al. 2013; Sato et al. 2013). Mutations in the *KEAP1* gene were initially identified in two human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (Padmanabhan et al. 2006). The mutations involved a glycine-to-cysteine substitution in the Kelch/DGR domain of Keap1. The mutant Keap1 exhibited reduced affinity to Nrf2, and, consequently, Nrf2 was constitutively activated in these cells. Since then, multiple somatic mutations have also been identified in the Kelch or IVR domains of the Keap1 protein in NSCLC cell lines and human tissues from NSCLC patients (Singh et al. 2006; Ohta et al. 2008). The decreased Nrf2/Keap1 interaction resulted in the constitutive activation of Nrf2 and induction of ARE-containing genes. *KEAP1* gene mutations have also been identified in breast cancer cells (Sjoberg et al. 2006) and patients with gallbladder (Shibata et al. 2008a), liver (Shibata et al. 2008b), ovarian (Konstantinopoulos et al. 2008), endometrial (Wong et al. 2008), and lung papillary (Li et al. 2011) cancers. As more studies accessing the status of *KEAP1* in different cancers are undertaken, it is likely that these studies will reveal that *KEAP1* mutations occur frequently in many cancer types.

Although Nrf2 mutations occur less frequently than Keap1 mutations, mutations in the *NRF2* gene have also been identified in several cancers, including lung, head and neck, and esophageal carcinoma (Shibata et al. 2008b, 2011; Kim et al. 2010). Shibata et al. (2008b) recently identified *NRF2* somatic mutations in 11 of 103 patients with lung cancer and in three of 12 patients with head and neck cancers. Of the 14 *NRF2* mutations described in the study, six were within the DLG motif and eight were within the ETGE motif (Shibata et al. 2008b). Mutations in this region impair the two-site substrate recognition of Keap1, resulting in the stabilization of Nrf2, increased nuclear translocation of Nrf2, and activation of Nrf2 target genes (for review, see Mitsuishi et al. 2013).

Recently, somatic mutations in *CUL3* were identified in hereditary type 2 papillary renal cell carcinoma (Ooi et al. 2013). While *CUL3* has a role in regulating the Nrf2/Keap1 pathway, *CUL3* also has many other targets. Thus, mutation of *CUL3* likely has many downstream effects, one of which is up-regulation of Nrf2. Interestingly, all of the *KEAP1*, *NRF2*, and *CUL3* mutations identified thus far are somatic. No genomic amplification or deletion of *NRF2* has been reported in cancer thus far.

Epigenetic silencing of Keap1

Epigenetic modifications in *KEAP1* have also been shown to promote the accumulation of Nrf2. The promoter region

of the *KEAP1* gene is hypermethylated in lung (Wang et al. 2008a; Muscarella et al. 2011), prostate (Zhang et al. 2010), malignant glioma (Muscarella et al. 2011), and colorectal cancers (Hanada et al. 2012). The methylation of specific CpG sites within the promoter region of *KEAP1* affects *KEAP1* expression by inducing local chromatin remodeling and restricting the ability of the transcriptional machinery to bind to the necessary DNA sequences (for review, see Copple 2012). Therefore, hypermethylation inhibits *KEAP1* gene expression, which results in the accumulation of Nrf2. These epigenetic modifications in *KEAP1* confer a growth advantage in cancer cells. In fact, the epigenetic abnormalities in the *KEAP1* gene in lung cancers and malignant gliomas have been associated with poor clinical prognoses in patients (Muscarella et al. 2011).

Several microRNAs (miRNAs) have been shown to be involved in the regulation of *NRF2*, including miR-144, miR-28, and miR-200a. miRNAs are short, single-stranded, noncoding RNAs that regulate gene expression by sequence-specific binding to mRNA that either inhibits translation or causes degradation of mRNA (Bartel 2004). miR-144 was the first miRNA to be fully characterized as a negative regulator of Nrf2 expression (Sangokoya et al. 2010). miR-144 decreased the expression of *NRF2* and its target genes by targeting two distinct sites at positions 265–271 and 370–377 of the 3′ untranslated region of *NRF2* (Sangokoya et al. 2010). Similarly, MCF-7 breast cancer cells ectopically expressing miR-28 exhibited lower levels of *NRF2* mRNA and protein (Yang et al. 2011). miR-200a was shown to negatively regulate the stability of *KEAP1* mRNA and the level of Keap1 protein, suggesting that miR-200a indirectly up-regulates Nrf2 by suppressing Keap1 levels (Eades et al. 2011). Supporting a role for these miRNAs in cancer, aberrant expression of miR-28 and miR-144 has been reported in various cancers, including lymphoma, glioma, and squamous cell carcinoma (Bryan et al. 2012).

Interactions between Nrf2 and other signaling pathways

A growing body of evidence suggests that in tumors, increased levels of Nrf2 can occur in the absence of genomic alterations in the *NRF2* and *KEAP1* genes. Numerous studies have revealed that a wide variety of proteins can activate the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway by altering Nrf2–Keap1 binding (for review, see Yates et al. 2009; Wakabayashi et al. 2010). In this section, we focus on recent evidence highlighting the interactions between the Nrf2–Keap1 complex and p21, p62, and other proteins (Fig. 3).

Nrf2 and p21

Studies have demonstrated that p53 negatively regulates Nrf2-mediated gene transcription (Faraonio et al. 2006). Specifically, p53 has been shown to suppress the transcription of *x-ct*, *NQO1*, and *GST1*, three Nrf2 target genes (Faraonio et al. 2006). In this study, various cell lines were transfected with expression vectors containing Nrf2 or p53. Cotransfection with Nrf2 and p53 resulted in

Figure 3. Cross-talk between Nrf2 and other proteins. The substrate adaptor sequestosome 1 protein (p62) modulates the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway by directly interacting with Keap1. p62 sequesters Keap1 in autophagosomes, which results in a decrease in Nrf2 ubiquitination, an increase in Nrf2 stability, and activation of Nrf2 target genes. Nrf2 is stabilized in response to p21 up-regulation. p21 associates with the DLG motif on Nrf2, thereby disrupting the ability of Keap1 to properly bind and ubiquitinate Nrf2. DPP3 binds to Keap1, inhibits Nrf2 ubiquitination, and drives Nrf2-dependent transcription in cancer cells. (E) ETGE; (S) STGE; (D) DLG.

decreased expression of the three target genes compared with cells transfected with Nrf2 alone. A report from our laboratory examining the relationship between p21 (a direct downstream target of p53) and Nrf2, however, demonstrated that the basal and inducible activity of Nrf2 is subject to positive regulation by p21 (Chen et al. 2009). p21 associates with the DLG motif within Nrf2, thereby disrupting the ability of Keap1 to properly bind and promote the ubiquitination of Nrf2. As a result, Nrf2 is stabilized in response to p21 up-regulation. Conversely, in the absence of p21, the basal and inducible expression of Nrf2 target genes is reduced *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Fig. 3). These findings may suggest that under unfavorable conditions, strong induction of p53 inhibits Nrf2 by an undefined mechanism, which reduces the antioxidant defense and cell survival pathways in order to promote cell death. On the other hand, under mild stress conditions, when p53 is only weakly induced, p21 is increased, stalling the cell cycle at the G1/S-phase checkpoint and eliciting the cytoprotective response to promote cell survival through (1) the induction of DNA damage repair functions of p53 and (2) activation of the Nrf2-mediated cytoprotective response.

Nrf2 and p62

Several laboratories, including ours, have demonstrated that sequestosome 1 protein (p62/SQSTM) can modulate the activity of Nrf2 (Copple et al. 2010; Jain et al. 2010; Komatsu et al. 2010; Lau et al. 2010). p62 is a scaffold protein that binds to polyubiquitinated proteins and targets protein aggregates and damaged organelles for degradation via the autophagy pathway. p62 contains an STGE-binding motif that is similar to the Nrf2 ETGE

motif between amino acids 349 and 354 (Jain et al. 2010). p62 directly interacts with the Kelch domain of Keap1 via its STGE motif, thereby disrupting the proper confirmation of the Keap1–Nrf2 complex (Copple et al. 2010; Jain et al. 2010; Komatsu et al. 2010; Lau et al. 2010). p62 is also capable of binding to LC3, which is associated with the autophagosome membrane, thereby providing a link between the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway and the autophagy pathway (Komatsu et al. 2010). Our laboratory found that ectopic overexpression of p62 or blockage of autophagosomal flux sequestered Keap1 in autophagosomes via direct interaction between Keap1 and p62. The sequestration of Keap1 into autophagosomes resulted in a decrease in Nrf2 ubiquitination, an increase in Nrf2 stability, and, ultimately, the enhanced expression of ARE-bearing genes (Fig. 3). This aberrant accumulation of Nrf2 by autophagy deregulation is p62-dependent and Keap1–Cys151-independent, which we named the noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2 activation. The pathological importance of prolonged Nrf2 activation through the noncanonical mechanism is exemplified in studies with knockout mice showing that accumulation of Nrf2 is the major cause of liver damage in autophagy-deficient mice (Taguchi et al. 2012). Consistent with the notion that Keap1 is degraded through the autophagy–lysosome pathway (Taguchi et al. 2012), siRNA-mediated knockdown of p62 increased the half-life of Keap1 twofold, increased the levels of Keap1, lowered the level of Nrf2, and reduced the expression of Nrf2 target genes (Copple et al. 2010; Lau et al. 2010, 2013). This noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2 activation caused by autophagy deregulation adds another dimension to the regulation of the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway.

Recently identified proteins that interact with Keap1

The list of proteins that interact with Nrf2 or Keap1 and therefore modulate Nrf2 levels is continuously expanding. Recent studies revealed that the Wilms tumor gene on the X chromosome (WTX) tumor suppressor protein physically binds to Keap1 to competitively inhibit Nrf2 ubiquitination (Camp et al. 2012). PALB2 has also been shown to associate with Keap1 and sterically inhibit Nrf2 ubiquitination (Ma et al. 2012). Very recently, Hast et al. (2013) identified the protein dipeptidyl peptidase 3 (DPP3) that binds to Keap1, inhibits Nrf2 ubiquitination, and drives Nrf2-dependent transcription in cancer cells (Fig. 3). All of these proteins contain an ETGE motif, suggesting that certain ETGE-containing proteins are capable of up-regulating Nrf2 by competing with Nrf2 for Keap1 binding and suppressing Keap1-mediated ubiquitination of Nrf2. Therefore, the functional roles of these proteins may derive at least partially from activation of the Nrf2 pathway.

Transcriptional up-regulation of Nrf2 by oncogene-dependent signaling

Until recently, it was believed that Nrf2 activity is primarily regulated at the protein level through ubiquitination and degradation, based on the observations that a vast majority of Nrf2 activators enhance Nrf2 at the protein level without affecting the mRNA levels of *NRF2*. A recent study, however, demonstrated that Nrf2 expression might be constitutively elevated by oncogenic activation in cancer cells via transcriptional up-regulation of *NRF2* (DeNicola et al. 2011). Activation of oncogenic alleles of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, and *C-MYC* (*KRAS*^{G12D}, *BRAF*^{V619E}, and *C-MYC*^{ERT12}) increased the mRNA level of *NRF2* and its target genes 60% and resulted in a more reduced intracellular redox environment. Furthermore, results from studies employing Jun and myc siRNA indicate that *KRAS*^{G12D} and *BRAF*^{V619E} may up-regulate the transcription of *NRF2* via Jun and Myc. However, more concrete mechanistic studies are needed to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying the enhanced expression of *NRF2* in response to oncogenic activation of *KRAS*, *BRAF*, and *C-MYC*.

Metabolic activation of Nrf2

Fumarate, a Krebs cycle metabolite, was recently shown to form adducts with Keap1 and activate the Nrf2 signaling pathway in the hereditary form of type 2 papillary renal cell cancer (Ooi et al. 2011). In the Krebs cycle, fumarate is normally metabolized to malate by the enzyme fumarate hydratase (FH). Homozygous *FH* loss-of-function mutations have been shown to cause the hereditary form of type 2 papillary renal carcinoma in humans (for review, see Eng et al. 2003). The loss of the FH enzyme activity causes the accumulation of high levels of fumarate in the affected cells. Mechanistic analysis revealed that fumarate modifies cysteine residues within Keap1, abrogating its ability to ubiquitinate Nrf2 and causing prolonged activation of Nrf2, which is likely to promote the pro-

gression of hereditary type 2 papillary renal cell carcinoma (Adam et al. 2011; Ooi et al. 2011).

Pharmacological modulators of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway

The discovery of mechanisms underlying the regulation of the Nrf2/Keap1 pathway has led to the development of agents that can manipulate Nrf2 in order to obtain therapeutic benefit. Compounds that increase the activity of Nrf2 are being tested for disease prevention, whereas Nrf2 inhibitors are being developed as an adjuvant therapy to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs.

Nrf2 activators

Many Nrf2 activators are naturally occurring, plant-derived phytochemicals. Some examples of natural Nrf2 activators include sulforaphane (SF), curcumin, epigallocatechin-3-gallate, resveratrol, cafestol, kahweol, cinnamonyl-based compounds, zerumbone, garlic organosulfur compounds, lycopene, and carnosol (for review, see Jeong et al. 2006; Lau et al. 2008; Kensler and Wakabayashi 2010). These chemopreventive compounds exert their effects by inducing the Nrf2-mediated defense response, including activation of phase II detoxification enzymes, antioxidants, and transporters that protect cells from subsequent carcinogenic insults.

One of the most extensively investigated natural products that target the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway is SF, an isothiocyanate present in cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli (Kensler et al. 2000). In a mouse cancer model, topical application of SF protected mice from developing skin tumors after they were exposed to several different carcinogens (Xu et al. 2006). SF also inhibited tobacco-induced lung carcinogenesis (Conaway et al. 2005) and reduced the formation of aberrant crypt foci and pancreatic carcinogenesis following carcinogen exposure (Chung et al. 2000; Kuroiwa et al. 2006).

In humans, several cohort and case control studies have shown that there is an inverse correlation between broccoli consumption and the risk of developing colon, lung, breast, liver, and prostate cancer (Kensler et al. 1987, 2000, 2005; Spitz et al. 2000; Seow et al. 2002; Ambrosone et al. 2004; Joseph et al. 2004). Broccoli sprouts contain glucosinolates, which are the precursors of isothiocyanates such as SF. The most abundant glucosinolate found in broccoli is glucoraphanin, the precursor to SF. A phase II clinical trial study in China evaluating the chemopreventive properties of broccoli sprout preparations containing >60% glucoraphanin determined that SF reduced aflatoxin–DNA adducts in humans (Kensler et al. 2005). The success of these studies has led to several phase II clinical trials to study SF and broccoli sprouts as chemopreventive agents (<http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>).

Curcumin is another well-investigated chemopreventive natural product that is capable of activating Nrf2 (Balogun et al. 2003). Dietary administration of curcumin enhanced the expression of Nrf2 and blocked DNA adduct

formation, oxidative stress, and inflammation in the livers and lungs of mice treated with benzo(a)pyrene (Garg et al. 2008; Thimmulappa et al. 2008).

Several synthetic Nrf2 activators have also been developed. Oltipraz (4-methyl-5-[2-pyrazinyl]-1,2-dithiole-3-thione) is a synthetic Nrf2 activator that has been shown to inhibit chemical-induced carcinogenesis in a variety of organs in rodents, including the colon, kidney, liver, stomach, and bladder (Kensler et al. 1987; Roebuck et al. 1991; Rao et al. 1993, 1996; Moon et al. 1994; Clapper et al. 1995; Nishikawa et al. 1998; Iida et al. 2004; Sharma et al. 2006). Oltipraz reduced the number of pulmonary adenomas, gastric cancer, and forestomach tumors in Nrf2 wild-type mice treated with benzo(a)pyrene but not in Nrf2-null mice, suggesting that Nrf2 is in fact important for its chemopreventive effects (Rao et al. 1993; Ramos-Gomez et al. 2003; Sharma et al. 2006). Oltipraz also inhibited N-nitrosobutyl (4-hydroxybutyl) amine (BBN)-induced urinary bladder cancer in an Nrf2-dependent manner (Iida et al. 2004). These promising preclinical studies led to phase I and II clinical trials in humans; however, the results are inconclusive. In a randomized, double-blind phase II clinical trial in China, 1 mo of weekly administration of 500 mg of oltipraz reduced the levels of a toxic hydroxylated metabolite of aflatoxin (Kensler et al. 1998a,b; Wang et al. 1999). However, another randomized, double-blind trial in smokers did not show significant differences in the amount of polyaromatic hydrocarbon DNA adduct levels in lung epithelial cells and blood among trial groups (Kelley et al. 2005). Side effects such as flatulence, gastrointestinal irritation, and paresthesia in fingertips stopped the evaluation of this agent.

Dimethyl fumarate (DMF) is another synthetic Nrf2 activator that has been shown to alkylate critical cysteine residues on Keap1, prevent Nrf2 ubiquitination, and promote Nrf2 stabilization and subsequent activation of Nrf2 target genes (Phillips and Fox 2013). In phase III clinical trials for multiple sclerosis (MS), BG-12, an oral preparation of DMF, led to a reduction in the annual relapse rate for MS (Gold et al. 2012). In addition, DMF protected heart tissues from ischemia–reperfusion injury (Ashrafian et al. 2013). As a result, BG-12 (Tecfidera) has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of MS in March 2013 (<http://www.fda.gov>). Although the cancer-preventive properties of DMF in humans have not been tested, recent studies have also shown that DMF may have potential as an anti-cancer agent in several different types of cancer cells, including melanoma, head and neck carcinoma, glioblastoma, and colon cancer (for review, see Chen and Kirsner 2011).

Nrf2 inhibitors

Given the role of constitutive Nrf2 activation in promoting cancer progression and mediating resistance to cancer therapy, the pharmacological inhibition of Nrf2 signaling has recently emerged as a promising approach for cancer therapy, especially for cancers with elevated levels of Nrf2. Recently, our laboratory screened many

plant extracts to identify Nrf2 inhibitors and found that brusatol, a component of *Brucea javanica* seeds, is able to inhibit the Nrf2 pathway (Ren et al. 2011). Brusatol decreased the protein levels of Nrf2 across a panel of mammalian cells at nanomolar concentrations and decreased the expression of Nrf2 target genes in cancer cells. Consequently, brusatol decreased the intracellular levels of glutathione by suppressing the expression of *GCLM* and *GCLC*, which encode for GCS, a glutathione synthesis enzyme. Additionally, brusatol decreased the intracellular concentration of cisplatin, presumably through enhanced expression of Mrp1, a drug efflux protein highly expressed in cancer cells. As a result, brusatol enhanced the cytotoxic effects of several chemotherapeutic agents both in vitro and in vivo. Currently, the molecular mechanism by which brusatol inhibits Nrf2 is under investigation.

Several other small molecules have been found to suppress the Nrf2 pathway, including ascorbic acid, luteolin, ochratoxin A, trigonelline, and all-*trans* retinoic acid (ATRA) (for review, see Magesh et al. 2012). ATRA and other RA receptor α (RAR α) agonists have been shown to inhibit the basal and inducible activity of Nrf2 in vitro and in vivo (Wang et al. 2007). Mechanistically, in the presence of ATRA, Nrf2 forms a complex with RAR α . The Nrf2:RAR α complex is not able to bind to the ARE and thus decreases the ability of Nrf2 to activate ARE-driven genes. Intriguingly, although these compounds were reported to inhibit Nrf2, they also have been shown to act as Nrf2 activators in other studies. Thus, additional research is needed to establish the specificity and mechanism of action of these and other putative Nrf2 inhibitors before they can be used as therapeutics for cancer patients.

Future directions in the development of Nrf2 modulators

Given the dual role of Nrf2 in cancer, it is natural to wonder whether Nrf2 activation can lead to cancer. There have been a substantial number of studies assessing the ability of Nrf2 activators to promote cancer. There is no evidence to date suggesting that the agents used to activate the Nrf2 pathway have adverse impacts on tumor growth. Administration of oltipraz in rats, for example, did not affect hepatic tumor yield or burden, and similar results were seen with other Nrf2 activators (Maxuitenko et al. 1993). Therefore, transient activation of Nrf2 in cells with an intact Nrf2–Keap1 axis by pharmacological activators is safe for the purpose of chemoprevention.

One of the major concerns with the Nrf2 activators that are currently being used as pharmacological agents, however, is cytotoxicity due to off-target effects. Given the increased tendency for these chemopreventive agents to react with cysteines, multiple signaling pathways can conceivably be modulated. For example, chemopreventive agents such as CDDO-Im, SF, and dithiolethione have been shown to affect multiple pathways. Bardoxolone methyl, a CDDO derivative, was recently withdrawn

from a phase III clinical trial in end stage renal disease patients with type II diabetes following an excess of unspecified serious adverse effects and mortality among the treated patients (Tayek and Kalantar-Zadeh 2013; Zhang 2013). The reactive site on bardoxolone can undergo Michael addition reactions readily with a range of nucleophiles. Thus, it is possible that the adverse events associated with bardoxolone are due to off-target events and unlikely to be due to the activation of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway.

Several studies have been published that will help improve the specificity of Nrf2-based therapies. The identification of the cocrystal structure of Keap1 in complex with the Neh2 domain of Nrf2, for example, will provide opportunities to design molecules that specifically and selectively interfere with the binding of Keap1 and Nrf2 (McMahon et al. 2006; Tong et al. 2006a). Small mimetics of the ETGE or DLG domains of Nrf2, for example, may serve this purpose. The demonstration that the expression of *NRF2* and *KEAP1* is also controlled by specific miRNAs provides additional targets to manipulate the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway. Furthermore, mounting evidence suggests that Nrf2 can cross-talk with other pathways important for cell survival (for review, see Wakabayashi et al. 2010). These converging points may offer great opportunities for pharmacological intervention to control the level of Nrf2 and its downstream effects.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Aikseng Ooi for critical reading of the manuscript. We apologize to colleagues whose work was not cited due to space limitations or oversight. This work was supported by the following grants: NCI R01 CA154377 and NIEHS ES015010 to D.D.Z., and center grant P30ES006694.

References

- Adam J, Hatipoglu E, O'Flaherty L, Ternette N, Sahgal N, Lockstone H, Baban D, Nye E, Stamp GW, Wolhuter K, et al. 2011. Renal cyst formation in Fhl1-deficient mice is independent of the Hif/Phd pathway: Roles for fumarate in KEAP1 succination and Nrf2 signaling. *Cancer Cell* **20**: 524–537.
- Ambrosone CB, McCann SE, Freudenheim JL, Marshall JR, Zhang Y, Shields PG. 2004. Breast cancer risk in premenopausal women is inversely associated with consumption of broccoli, a source of isothiocyanates, but is not modified by GST genotype. *J Nutr* **134**: 1134–1138.
- Ashrafi H, Czibik G, Bellahcene M, Aksentijevic D, Smith AC, Mitchell SJ, Dodd MS, Kirwan J, Byrne JJ, Ludwig C, et al. 2013. Fumarate is cardioprotective via activation of the Nrf2 antioxidant pathway. *Cell Metab* **15**: 361–371.
- Balogun E, Hoque M, Gong P, Killeen E, Green CJ, Foresti R, Alam J, Motterlini R. 2003. Curcumin activates the haem oxygenase-1 gene via regulation of Nrf2 and the antioxidant-responsive element. *Biochem J* **371**: 887–895.
- Bartel DP. 2004. MicroRNAs: Genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* **116**: 281–297.
- Bryan HK, Olayanju A, Goldring CE, Park BK. 2012. The Nrf2 cell defence pathway: Keap1-dependent and -independent mechanisms of regulation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **85**: 705–717.
- Camp ND, James RG, Dawson DW, Yan F, Davison JM, Houck SA, Tang X, Zheng N, Major MB, Moon RT. 2012. Wilms tumor gene on X chromosome (WTX) inhibits degradation of NRF2 protein through competitive binding to KEAP1 protein. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 6539–6550.
- Chen AF, Kirsner RS. 2011. Mechanisms of drug action: The potential of dimethylfumarate for the treatment of neoplasms. *J Invest Dermatol* **131**: 1181.
- Chen W, Sun Z, Wang XJ, Jiang T, Huang Z, Fang D, Zhang DD. 2009. Direct interaction between Nrf2 and p21(Cip1/WAF1) upregulates the Nrf2-mediated antioxidant response. *Mol Cell* **34**: 663–673.
- Cho HY, Jedlicka AE, Reddy SP, Kensler TW, Yamamoto M, Zhang LY, Kleeberger SR. 2002. Role of NRF2 in protection against hyperoxic lung injury in mice. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **26**: 175–182.
- Chowdhry S, Zhang Y, McMahon M, Sutherland C, Cuadrado A, Hayes JD. 2013. Nrf2 is controlled by two distinct β -TrCP recognition motifs in its Neh6 domain, one of which can be modulated by GSK-3 activity. *Oncogene* **32**: 1–17.
- Chung FL, Conaway CC, Rao CV, Reddy BS. 2000. Chemoprevention of colonic aberrant crypt foci in Fischer rats by sulforaphane and phenethyl isothiocyanate. *Carcinogenesis* **21**: 2287–2291.
- Clapper ML, Wood M, Leahy K, Lang D, Miknyoczki S, Ruggeri BA. 1995. Chemopreventive activity of Oltipraz against N-nitrosobis(2-oxopropyl)amine (BOP)-induced ductal pancreatic carcinoma development and effects on survival of Syrian golden hamsters. *Carcinogenesis* **16**: 2159–2165.
- Conaway CC, Wang CX, Pittman B, Yang YM, Schwartz JE, Tian D, McIntee EJ, Hecht SS, Chung FL. 2005. Phenethyl isothiocyanate and sulforaphane and their N-acetylcysteine conjugates inhibit malignant progression of lung adenomas induced by tobacco carcinogens in A/J mice. *Cancer Res* **65**: 8548–8557.
- Copple IM. 2012. The Keap1–Nrf2 cell defense pathway—a promising therapeutic target? *Adv Pharmacol* **63**: 43–79.
- Copple IM, Lister A, Obeng AD, Kitteringham NR, Jenkins RE, Layfield R, Foster BJ, Goldring CE, Park BK. 2010. Physical and functional interaction of sequestosome 1 with Keap1 regulates the Keap1–Nrf2 cell defense pathway. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 16782–16788.
- Cullinan SB, Gordan JD, Jin J, Harper JW, Diehl JA. 2004. The Keap1-BTB protein is an adaptor that bridges Nrf2 to a Cul3-based E3 ligase: Oxidative stress sensing by a Cul3–Keap1 ligase. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 8477–8486.
- DeNicola GM, Karreth FA, Humpton TJ, Gopinathan A, Wei C, Frese K, Mangal D, Yu KH, Yeo CJ, Calhoun ES, et al. 2011. Oncogene-induced Nrf2 transcription promotes ROS detoxification and tumorigenesis. *Nature* **475**: 106–109.
- Dinkova-Kostova AT, Holtzclaw WD, Cole RN, Itoh K, Wakabayashi N, Katoh Y, Yamamoto M, Talalay P. 2002. Direct evidence that sulfhydryl groups of Keap1 are the sensors regulating induction of phase 2 enzymes that protect against carcinogens and oxidants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **99**: 11908–11913.
- Eades G, Yang M, Yao Y, Zhang Y, Zhou Q. 2011. miR-200a regulates Nrf2 activation by targeting Keap1 mRNA in breast cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 40725–40733.
- Eng C, Kiuru M, Fernandez MJ, Aaltonen LA. 2003. A role for mitochondrial enzymes in inherited neoplasia and beyond. *Nat Rev Cancer* **3**: 193–202.
- Fahey JW, Haristoy X, Dolan PM, Kensler TW, Scholtus I, Stephenson KK, Talalay P, Lozniewski A. 2002. Sulforaphane inhibits extracellular, intracellular, and antibiotic-resistant strains of *Helicobacter pylori* and prevents benzo[a]pyrene-induced stomach tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **99**: 7610–7615.

- Faraonio R, Vergara P, Di Marzo D, Pierantoni MG, Napolitano M, Russo T, Cimino F. 2006. p53 suppresses the Nrf2-dependent transcription of antioxidant response genes. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 39776–39784.
- Furukawa M, Xiong Y. 2005. BTB protein Keap1 targets antioxidant transcription factor Nrf2 for ubiquitination by the Cullin 3-Roc1 ligase. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**: 162–171.
- Garg R, Gupta S, Maru GB. 2008. Dietary curcumin modulates transcriptional regulators of phase I and phase II enzymes in benzo[a]pyrene-treated mice: Mechanism of its anti-initiating action. *Carcinogenesis* **29**: 1022–1032.
- Gold R, Kappos L, Arnold DL, Bar-Or A, Giovannoni G, Selmaj K, Tornatore C, Sweetser MT, Yang M, Sheikh SI, et al. 2012. Placebo-controlled phase 3 study of oral BG-12 for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* **367**: 1098–1107.
- Hanada N, Takahata T, Zhou Q, Ye X, Sun R, Itoh J, Ishiguro A, Kijima H, Mimura J, Itoh K, et al. 2012. Methylation of the KEAP1 gene promoter region in human colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer* **12**: 66.
- Hast BE, Goldfarb D, Mulvaney KM, Hast MA, Siesser PF, Yan F, Hayes DN, Major MB. 2013. Proteomic analysis of ubiquitin ligase KEAP1 reveals associated proteins that inhibit NRF2 ubiquitination. *Cancer Res* **73**: 2199–2210.
- Hayes JD, McMahon M. 2001. Molecular basis for the contribution of the antioxidant responsive element to cancer chemoprevention. *Cancer Lett* **174**: 103–113.
- Hayes JD, McMahon M, Chowdhry S, Dinkova-Kostova AT. 2010. Cancer chemoprevention mechanisms mediated through the keap1-nrf2 pathway. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **13**: 1713–1748.
- Hirayama A, Yoh K, Nagase S, Ueda A, Itoh K, Morito N, Hirayama K, Takahashi S, Yamamoto M, Koyama A. 2003. EPR imaging of reducing activity in Nrf2 transcriptional factor-deficient mice. *Free Radic Biol Med* **34**: 1236–1242.
- Hirotsu Y, Katsuoka F, Funayama R, Nagashima T, Nishida Y, Nakayama K, Engel JD, Yamamoto M. 2012. Nrf2–MafG heterodimers contribute globally to antioxidant and metabolic networks. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**: 10228–10239.
- Homma S, Ishii Y, Morishima Y, Yamadori T, Matsuno Y, Haraguchi N, Kikuchi N, Satoh H, Sakamoto T, Hizawa N, et al. 2009. Nrf2 enhances cell proliferation and resistance to anticancer drugs in human lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**: 3423–3432.
- Iida K, Itoh K, Kumagai Y, Oyasu R, Hattori K, Kawai K, Shimazui T, Akaza H, Yamamoto M. 2004. Nrf2 is essential for the chemopreventive efficacy of oltipraz against urinary bladder carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* **64**: 6424–6431.
- Inoue D, Suzuki T, Mitsuishi Y, Miki Y, Suzuki S, Sugawara S, Watanabe M, Sakurada A, Endo C, Uruno A, et al. 2012. Accumulation of p62/SQSTM1 is associated with poor prognosis in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Sci* **103**: 760–766.
- Ishimoto T, Nagano O, Yae T, Tamada M, Motohara T, Oshima H, Oshima M, Ikeda T, Asaba R, Yagi H, et al. 2011. CD44 variant regulates redox status in cancer cells by stabilizing the xCT subunit of system xc⁻ and thereby promotes tumor growth. *Cancer Cell* **19**: 387–400.
- Itoh K, Chiba T, Takahashi S, Ishii T, Igarashi K, Katoh Y, Oyake T, Hayashi N, Satoh K, Hatayama I, et al. 1997. An Nrf2/small Maf heterodimer mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes through antioxidant response elements. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **236**: 313–322.
- Itoh K, Wakabayashi N, Katoh Y, Ishii T, Igarashi K, Engel JD, Yamamoto M. 1999. Keap1 represses nuclear activation of antioxidant responsive elements by Nrf2 through binding to the amino-terminal Neh2 domain. *Genes Dev* **13**: 76–86.
- Jain A, Lamark T, Sjøttem E, Larsen KB, Awuh JA, Overvatn A, McMahon M, Hayes JD, Johansen T. 2010. p62/SQSTM1 is a target gene for transcription factor NRF2 and creates a positive feedback loop by inducing antioxidant response element-driven gene transcription. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 22576–22591.
- Jeong WS, Jun M, Kong AN. 2006. Nrf2: A potential molecular target for cancer chemoprevention by natural compounds. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **8**: 99–106.
- Jiang T, Chen N, Zhao F, Wang XJ, Kong B, Zheng W, Zhang DD. 2010. High levels of Nrf2 determine chemoresistance in type II endometrial cancer. *Cancer Res* **70**: 5486–5496.
- Joseph MA, Moysich KB, Freudenheim JL, Shields PG, Bowman ED, Zhang Y, Marshall JR, Ambrosone CB. 2004. Cruciferous vegetables, genetic polymorphisms in glutathione S-transferases M1 and T1, and prostate cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer* **50**: 206–213.
- Katoh Y, Itoh K, Yoshida E, Miyagishi M, Fukamizu A, Yamamoto M. 2001. Two domains of Nrf2 cooperatively bind CBP, a CREB binding protein, and synergistically activate transcription. *Genes Cells* **6**: 857–868.
- Kelley MJ, Glaser EM, Herndon JE 2nd, Becker F, Bhagat R, Zhang YJ, Santella RM, Carmella SG, Hecht SS, Gallot L, et al. 2005. Safety and efficacy of weekly oral oltipraz in chronic smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**: 892–899.
- Kensler TW, Wakabayashi N. 2010. Nrf2: Friend or foe for chemoprevention? *Carcinogenesis* **31**: 90–99.
- Kensler TW, Egner PA, Dolan PM, Groopman JD, Roebuck BD. 1987. Mechanism of protection against aflatoxin tumorigenicity in rats fed 5-(2-pyrazinyl)-4-methyl-1,2-dithiol-3-thione (oltipraz) and related 1,2-dithiol-3-thiones and 1,2-dithiol-3-ones. *Cancer Res* **47**: 4271–4277.
- Kensler TW, Groopman JD, Roebuck BD. 1998a. Use of aflatoxin adducts as intermediate endpoints to assess the efficacy of chemopreventive interventions in animals and man. *Mutat Res* **402**: 165–172.
- Kensler TW, He X, Otieno M, Egner PA, Jacobson LP, Chen B, Wang JS, Zhu YR, Zhang BC, Wang JB, et al. 1998b. Oltipraz chemoprevention trial in Qidong, People's Republic of China: Modulation of serum aflatoxin albumin adduct biomarkers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **7**: 127–134.
- Kensler TW, Curphey TJ, Maxiutenko Y, Roebuck BD. 2000. Chemoprotection by organosulfur inducers of phase 2 enzymes: Dithiolethiones and dithiols. *Drug Metabol Drug Interact* **17**: 3–22.
- Kensler TW, Chen JG, Egner PA, Fahey JW, Jacobson LP, Stephenson KK, Ye L, Coady JL, Wang JB, Wu Y, et al. 2005. Effects of glucosinolate-rich broccoli sprouts on urinary levels of aflatoxin–DNA adducts and phenanthrene tetraols in a randomized clinical trial in He Zuo township, Qidong, People's Republic of China. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**: 2605–2613.
- Kensler TW, Wakabayashi N, Biswal S. 2007. Cell survival responses to environmental stresses via the Keap1–Nrf2–ARE pathway. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **47**: 89–116.
- Khor TO, Huang MT, Prawan A, Liu Y, Hao X, Yu S, Cheung WK, Chan JY, Reddy BS, Yang CS, et al. 2008. Increased susceptibility of Nrf2 knockout mice to colitis-associated colorectal cancer. *Cancer Prev Res* **1**: 187–191.
- Kim YR, Oh JE, Kim MS, Kang MR, Park SW, Han JY, Eom HS, Yoo NJ, Lee SH. 2010. Oncogenic NRF2 mutations in squamous cell carcinomas of oesophagus and skin. *J Pathol* **220**: 446–451.
- Kobayashi A, Kang MI, Okawa H, Ohtsuiji M, Zenke Y, Chiba T, Igarashi K, Yamamoto M. 2004. Oxidative stress sensor Keap1 functions as an adaptor for Cul3-based E3 ligase to

- regulate proteasomal degradation of Nrf2. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 7130–7139.
- Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, Taguchi K, Kobayashi A, Ichimura Y, Sou YS, Ueno I, Sakamoto A, Tong KI, et al. 2010. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat Cell Biol* **12**: 213–223.
- Konstantinopoulos PA, Fountzilas E, Pillay K, Zerbini LF, Libermann TA, Cannistra SA, Spentzos D. 2008. Carboplatin-induced gene expression changes in vitro are prognostic of survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. *BMC Med Genomics* **1**: 59.
- Kuroiwa Y, Nishikawa A, Kitamura Y, Kanki K, Ishii Y, Umemura T, Hirose M. 2006. Protective effects of benzyl isothiocyanate and sulforaphane but not resveratrol against initiation of pancreatic carcinogenesis in hamsters. *Cancer Lett* **241**: 275–280.
- Lau A, Villeneuve NF, Sun Z, Wong PK, Zhang DD. 2008. Dual roles of Nrf2 in cancer. *Pharmacol Res* **58**: 262–270.
- Lau A, Wang XJ, Zhao F, Villeneuve NF, Wu T, Jiang T, Sun Z, White E, Zhang DD. 2010. A noncanonical mechanism of Nrf2 activation by autophagy deficiency: Direct interaction between Keap1 and p62. *Mol Cell Biol* **30**: 3275–3285.
- Lau A, Zheng Y, Tao S, Wang H, Whitman SA, White E, Zhang DD. 2013. Arsenic inhibits autophagic flux, activating the Nrf2–Keap1 pathway in a p62-dependent manner. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**: 2436–2446.
- Li QK, Singh A, Biswal S, Askin F, Gabrielson E. 2011. KEAP1 gene mutations and NRF2 activation are common in pulmonary papillary adenocarcinoma. *J Hum Genet* **56**: 230–234.
- Lister A, Nedjadi T, Kitteringham NR, Campbell F, Costello E, Lloyd B, Copple IM, Williams S, Owen A, Neoptolemos JP, et al. 2011. Nrf2 is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer: Implications for cell proliferation and therapy. *Mol Cancer* **10**: 37.
- Lo SC, Li X, Henzl MT, Beamer LJ, Hannink M. 2006. Structure of the Keap1:Nrf2 interface provides mechanistic insight into Nrf2 signaling. *EMBO J* **25**: 3605–3617.
- Ma J, Cai H, Wu T, Sobhian B, Huo Y, Alcivar A, Mehta M, Cheung KL, Ganesan S, Kong AN, et al. 2012. PALB2 interacts with KEAP1 to promote NRF2 nuclear accumulation and function. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**: 1506–1517.
- Magesh S, Chen Y, Hu L. 2012. Small molecule modulators of Keap1–Nrf2–ARE pathway as potential preventive and therapeutic agents. *Med Res Rev* **32**: 687–726.
- Marzec JM, Christie JD, Reddy SP, Jedlicka AE, Vuong H, Lanken PN, Aplenc R, Yamamoto T, Yamamoto M, Cho HY, et al. 2007. Functional polymorphisms in the transcription factor NRF2 in humans increase the risk of acute lung injury. *FASEB J* **21**: 2237–2246.
- Maxuitenko YY, MacMillan DL, Kensler TW, Roebuck BD. 1993. Evaluation of the post-initiation effects of oltipraz on aflatoxin B1-induced preneoplastic foci in a rat model of hepatic tumorigenesis. *Carcinogenesis* **14**: 2423–2425.
- McMahon M, Thomas N, Itoh K, Yamamoto M, Hayes JD. 2004. Redox-regulated turnover of Nrf2 is determined by at least two separate protein domains, the redox-sensitive Neh2 degron and the redox-insensitive Neh6 degron. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 31556–31567.
- McMahon M, Thomas N, Itoh K, Yamamoto M, Hayes JD. 2006. Dimerization of substrate adaptors can facilitate cullin-mediated ubiquitylation of proteins by a ‘tethering’ mechanism: A two-site interaction model for the Nrf2–Keap1 complex. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 24756–24768.
- McMahon M, Lamont DJ, Beattie KA, Hayes JD. 2010. Keap1 perceives stress via three sensors for the endogenous signaling molecules nitric oxide, zinc, and alkenals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 18838–18843.
- Mitsuishi Y, Taguchi K, Kawatani Y, Shibata T, Nukiwa T, Aburatani H, Yamamoto M, Motohashi H. 2012. Nrf2 re-directs glucose and glutamine into anabolic pathways in metabolic reprogramming. *Cancer Cell* **22**: 66–79.
- Mitsuishi Y, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. 2013. The Keap1–Nrf2 system in cancers: Stress response and anabolic metabolism. *Front Oncol* **2**: 200.
- Moi P, Chan K, Asunis I, Cao A, Kan YW. 1994. Isolation of NF-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), a NF-E2-like basic leucine zipper transcriptional activator that binds to the tandem NF-E2/AP1 repeat of the β -globin locus control region. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **91**: 9926–9930.
- Moon R, Rao K, Detrisac C, Kelloff G, Steele V, Doody L. 1994. Chemoprevention of respiratory-tract neoplasia in the hamster by oltipraz, alone and in combination. *Int J Oncol* **4**: 661–667.
- Morito N, Yoh K, Itoh K, Hirayama A, Koyama A, Yamamoto M, Takahashi S. 2003. Nrf2 regulates the sensitivity of death receptor signals by affecting intracellular glutathione levels. *Oncogene* **22**: 9275–9281.
- Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. 2004. Nrf2–Keap1 defines a physiologically important stress response mechanism. *Trends Mol Med* **10**: 549–557.
- Motohashi H, Katsuoka F, Engel JD, Yamamoto M. 2004. Small Maf proteins serve as transcriptional cofactors for keratinocyte differentiation in the Keap1–Nrf2 regulatory pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **101**: 6379–6384.
- Muscarella LA, Parrella P, D’Alessandro V, la Torre A, Barbano R, Fontana A, Tancredi A, Guarnieri V, Balsamo T, Coco M et al. 2011. Frequent epigenetics inactivation of KEAP1 gene in non-small cell lung cancer. *Epigenetics* **6**: 710–719.
- Nguyen T, Huang HC, Pickett CB. 2000. Transcriptional regulation of the antioxidant response element. Activation by Nrf2 and repression by MafK. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 15466–15473.
- Nioi P, Nguyen T. 2007. A mutation of Keap1 found in breast cancer impairs its ability to repress Nrf2 activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **362**: 816–821.
- Nioi P, Nguyen T, Sherratt PJ, Pickett CB. 2005. The carboxy-terminal Neh3 domain of Nrf2 is required for transcriptional activation. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**: 10895–10906.
- Nishikawa A, Tanakamura Z, Furukawa F, Lee IS, Kasahara K, Ikezaki S, Takahashi M. 1998. Chemopreventive activity of oltipraz against induction of glandular stomach carcinogenesis in rats by N-methyl-N’-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine. *Carcinogenesis* **19**: 365–368.
- Ogura T, Tong KI, Mio K, Maruyama Y, Kurokawa H, Sato C, Yamamoto M. 2010. Keap1 is a forked-stem dimer structure with two large spheres enclosing the intervening, double glycine repeat, and C-terminal domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 2842–2847.
- Ohta T, Iijima K, Miyamoto M, Nakahara I, Tanaka H, Ohtsuiji M, Suzuki T, Kobayashi A, Yokota J, Sakiyama T, et al. 2008. Loss of Keap1 function activates Nrf2 and provides advantages for lung cancer cell growth. *Cancer Res* **68**: 1303–1309.
- Ooi A, Wong JC, Petillo D, Roossien D, Perrier-Trudova V, Whitten D, Min BW, Tan MH, Zhang Z, Yang XJ, et al. 2011. An antioxidant response phenotype shared between hereditary and sporadic type 2 papillary renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* **20**: 511–523.
- Ooi A, Dykema K, Ansari A, Petillo D, Snider J, Kahnoski R, Anema J, Craig D, Carpten J, Teh BT, et al. 2013. CUL3 and NRF2 mutations confer an NRF2 activation phenotype in a sporadic form of papillary renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **73**: 2044–2051.
- Osburn WO, Karim B, Dolan PM, Liu G, Yamamoto M, Huso DL, Kensler TW. 2007. Increased colonic inflammatory in-

- jury and formation of aberrant crypt foci in Nrf2-deficient mice upon dextran sulfate treatment. *Int J Cancer* **121**: 1883–1891.
- Padmanabhan B, Tong KI, Ohta T, Nakamura Y, Scharlock M, Ohtsui M, Kang MI, Kobayashi A, Yokoyama S, Yamamoto M. 2006. Structural basis for defects of Keap1 activity provoked by its point mutations in lung cancer. *Mol Cell* **21**: 689–700.
- Phillips JT, Fox RJ. 2013. BG-12 in multiple sclerosis. *Semin Neurol* **33**: 56–65.
- Plafker KS, Nguyen L, Barneche M, Mirza S, Crawford D, Plafker SM. 2010. The ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbcM2 can regulate the stability and activity of the antioxidant transcription factor Nrf2. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 23064–23074.
- Rada P, Rojo AI, Chowdhry S, McMahon M, Hayes JD, Cuadrado A. 2011. SCF/ β -TrCP promotes glycogen synthase kinase 3-dependent degradation of the Nrf2 transcription factor in a Keap1-independent manner. *Mol Cell Biol* **31**: 1121–1133.
- Ramos-Gomez M, Kwak MK, Dolan PM, Itoh K, Yamamoto M, Talalay P, Kensler TW. 2001. Sensitivity to carcinogenesis is increased and chemoprotective efficacy of enzyme inducers is lost in nrf2 transcription factor-deficient mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**: 3410–3415.
- Ramos-Gomez M, Dolan PM, Itoh K, Yamamoto M, Kensler TW. 2003. Interactive effects of nrf2 genotype and oltipraz on benzo[a]pyrene-DNA adducts and tumor yield in mice. *Carcinogenesis* **24**: 461–467.
- Rao CV, Rivenson A, Katiwalla M, Kelloff GJ, Reddy BS. 1993. Chemopreventive effect of oltipraz during different stages of experimental colon carcinogenesis induced by azoxymethane in male F344 rats. *Cancer Res* **53**: 2502–2506.
- Rao CV, Rivenson A, Zang E, Steele V, Kelloff G, Reddy BS. 1996. Inhibition of 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine-induced lymphoma formation by oltipraz. *Cancer Res* **56**: 3395–3398.
- Reddy NM, Kleeberger SR, Cho HY, Yamamoto M, Kensler TW, Biswal S, Reddy SP. 2007a. Deficiency in Nrf2–GSH signaling impairs type II cell growth and enhances sensitivity to oxidants. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **37**: 3–8.
- Reddy NM, Kleeberger SR, Yamamoto M, Kensler TW, Scollick C, Biswal S, Reddy SP. 2007b. Genetic dissection of the Nrf2-dependent redox signaling-regulated transcriptional programs of cell proliferation and cytoprotection. *Physiol Genomics* **32**: 74–81.
- Ren D, Villeneuve NF, Jiang T, Wu T, Lau A, Toppin HA, Zhang DD. 2011. Brusatol enhances the efficacy of chemotherapy by inhibiting the Nrf2-mediated defense mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 1433–1438.
- Roebuck BD, Liu YL, Rogers AE, Groopman JD, Kensler TW. 1991. Protection against aflatoxin B1-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in F344 rats by 5-(2-pyrazinyl)-4-methyl-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (oltipraz): Predictive role for short-term molecular dosimetry. *Cancer Res* **51**: 5501–5506.
- Rushmore TH, Morton MR, Pickett CB. 1991. The antioxidant responsive element. Activation by oxidative stress and identification of the DNA consensus sequence required for functional activity. *J Biol Chem* **266**: 11632–11639.
- Sangkoy C, Telen MJ, Chi JT. 2010. microRNA miR-144 modulates oxidative stress tolerance and associates with anemia severity in sickle cell disease. *Blood* **116**: 4338–4348.
- Sasaki H, Suzuki A, Shitara M, Hikosaka Y, Okuda K, Moriyama S, Yano M, Fujii Y. 2012. Genotype analysis of the NRF2 gene mutation in lung cancer. *Int J Mol Med* **31**: 1135–1138.
- Sato Y, Yoshizato T, Shiraishi Y, Maekawa S, Okuno Y, Kamura T, Shimamura T, Sato-Otsubo A, Nagae G, Suzuki H, et al. 2013. Integrated molecular analysis of clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nat Genet* **45**: 860–867.
- Satoh H, Moriguchi T, Takai J, Ebina M, Yamamoto M. 2013. Nrf2 prevents initiation but accelerates progression through the Kras signaling pathway during lung carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* **73**: 4158–4168.
- Seow A, Yuan JM, Sun CL, Van Den Berg D, Lee HP, Yu MC. 2002. Dietary isothiocyanates, glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and colorectal cancer risk in the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *Carcinogenesis* **23**: 2055–2061.
- Sharma S, Gao P, Steele VE. 2006. The chemopreventive efficacy of inhaled oltipraz particulates in the B[a]P-induced A/J mouse lung adenoma model. *Carcinogenesis* **27**: 1721–1727.
- Shibata T, Kokubu A, Gotoh M, Ojima H, Ohta T, Yamamoto M, Hirohashi S. 2008a. Genetic alteration of Keap1 confers constitutive Nrf2 activation and resistance to chemotherapy in gallbladder cancer. *Gastroenterology* **135**: 1358–1368.
- Shibata T, Ohta T, Tong KI, Kokubu A, Odogawa R, Tsuta K, Asamura H, Yamamoto M, Hirohashi S. 2008b. Cancer related mutations in NRF2 impair its recognition by Keap1–Cul3 E3 ligase and promote malignancy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 13568–13573.
- Shibata T, Kokubu A, Saito S, Narisawa-Saito M, Sasaki H, Aoyagi K, Yoshimatsu Y, Tachimori Y, Kushima R, Kiyono T, et al. 2011. NRF2 mutation confers malignant potential and resistance to chemoradiation therapy in advanced esophageal squamous cancer. *Neoplasia* **13**: 864–873.
- Shim GS, Manandhar S, Shin DH, Kim TH, Kwak MK. 2009. Acquisition of doxorubicin resistance in ovarian carcinoma cells accompanies activation of the NRF2 pathway. *Free Radic Biol Med* **47**: 1619–1631.
- Singh A, Misra V, Thimmulappa RK, Lee H, Ames S, Hoque MO, Herman JG, Baylin SB, Sidransky D, Gabrielson E, et al. 2006. Dysfunctional KEAP1–NRF2 interaction in non-small-cell lung cancer. *PLoS Med* **3**: e420.
- Singh A, Boldin-Adamsky S, Thimmulappa RK, Rath SK, Ashush H, Coulter J, Blackford A, Goodman SN, Bunz F, Watson WH, et al. 2008. RNAi-mediated silencing of nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 gene expression in non-small cell lung cancer inhibits tumor growth and increases efficacy of chemotherapy. *Cancer Res* **68**: 7975–7984.
- Singh A, Bodas M, Wakabayashi N, Bunz F, Biswal S. 2010. Gain of Nrf2 function in non-small-cell lung cancer cells confers radioresistance. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **13**: 1627–1637.
- Sjoblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, Parsons DW, Lin J, Barber TD, Mandelker D, Leary RJ, Ptak J, Silliman N, et al. 2006. The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. *Science* **314**: 268–274.
- Solis LM, Behrens C, Dong W, Suraokar M, Ozburn NC, Moran CA, Corvalan AH, Biswal S, Swisher SG, Bekele BN, et al. 2010. Nrf2 and Keap1 abnormalities in non-small cell lung carcinoma and association with clinicopathologic features. *Clin Cancer Res* **16**: 3743–3753.
- Spitz MR, Duphorne CM, Detry MA, Pillow PC, Amos CI, Lei L, de Andrade M, Gu X, Hong WK, Wu X. 2000. Dietary intake of isothiocyanates: Evidence of a joint effect with glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms in lung cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **9**: 1017–1020.
- Suzuki T, Shibata T, Takaya K, Shiraishi K, Kohno T, Kunitoh H, Tsuta K, Furuta K, Goto K, Hosoda F, et al. 2013. Regulatory nexus of synthesis and degradation deciphers cellular Nrf2 expression levels. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**: 2402–2412.
- Taguchi K, Fujikawa N, Komatsu M, Ishii T, Unno M, Akaike T, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. 2012. Keap1 degradation by autophagy for the maintenance of redox homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 13561–13566.

- Tayek JA, Kalantar-Zadeh K. 2013. The extinguished BEACON of bardoxolone: Not a Monday morning quarterback story. *Am J Nephrol* **37**: 208–211.
- Thimmulappa RK, Rangasamy T, Alam J, Biswal S. 2008. Dibenzoylmethane activates Nrf2-dependent detoxification pathway and inhibits benzo(a)pyrene induced DNA adducts in lungs. *Med Chem* **4**: 473–481.
- Tong KI, Katoh Y, Kusunoki H, Itoh K, Tanaka T, Yamamoto M. 2006a. Keap1 recruits Neh2 through binding to ETGE and DLG motifs: Characterization of the two-site molecular recognition model. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**: 2887–2900.
- Tong KI, Kobayashi A, Katsuoka F, Yamamoto M. 2006b. Two-site substrate recognition model for the Keap1–Nrf2 system: A hinge and latch mechanism. *Biol Chem* **387**: 1311–1320.
- Tong KI, Padmanabhan B, Kobayashi A, Shang C, Hirotsu Y, Yokoyama S, Yamamoto M. 2007. Different electrostatic potentials define ETGE and DLG motifs as hinge and latch in oxidative stress response. *Mol Cell Biol* **27**: 7511–7521.
- Villeneuve NF, Tian W, Wu T, Sun Z, Lau A, Chapman E, Fang D, Zhang DD. 2013. USP15 negatively regulates Nrf2 through deubiquitination of Keap1. *Mol Cell* **51**: 68–79.
- Wakabayashi N, Slocum SL, Skoko JJ, Shin S, Kensler TW. 2010. When NRF2 talks, who's listening? *Antioxid Redox Signal* **13**: 1649–1663.
- Wang JS, Shen X, He X, Zhu YR, Zhang BC, Wang JB, Qian GS, Kuang SY, Zarba A, Egner PA, et al. 1999. Protective alterations in phase 1 and 2 metabolism of aflatoxin B1 by oltipraz in residents of Qidong, People's Republic of China. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **91**: 347–354.
- Wang XJ, Hayes JD, Henderson CJ, Wolf CR. 2007. Identification of retinoic acid as an inhibitor of transcription factor Nrf2 through activation of retinoic acid receptor α . *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 19589–19594.
- Wang R, An J, Ji F, Jiao H, Sun H, Zhou D. 2008a. Hypermethylation of the Keap1 gene in human lung cancer cell lines and lung cancer tissues. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **373**: 151–154.
- Wang XJ, Sun Z, Villeneuve NF, Zhang S, Zhao F, Li Y, Chen W, Yi X, Zheng W, Wondrak GT, et al. 2008b. Nrf2 enhances resistance of cancer cells to chemotherapeutic drugs, the dark side of Nrf2. *Carcinogenesis* **29**: 1235–1243.
- Wang H, Liu K, Geng M, Gao P, Wu X, Hai Y, Li Y, Li Y, Luo L, Hayes JD, et al. 2013. RXR α inhibits the NRF2–ARE signaling pathway through a direct interaction with the Neh2 domain of NRF2. *Cancer Res* **73**: 3097–3108.
- Wasserman WW, Fahl WE. 1997. Functional antioxidant responsive elements. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **94**: 5361–5366.
- Wong TF, Yoshinaga K, Monma Y, Ito K, Niikura H, Nagase S, Yamamoto M, Yaegashi N. 2008. Association of keap1 and nrf2 genetic mutations and polymorphisms with endometrial adenocarcinoma survival. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* **21**: 1428–1435.
- Xu C, Huang MT, Shen G, Yuan X, Lin W, Khor TO, Conney AH, Kong AN. 2006. Inhibition of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-induced skin tumorigenesis in C57BL/6 mice by sulforaphane is mediated by nuclear factor E2-related factor 2. *Cancer Res* **66**: 8293–8296.
- Yamamoto T, Yoh K, Kobayashi A, Ishii Y, Kure S, Koyama A, Sakamoto T, Sekizawa K, Motohashi H, Yamamoto M. 2004. Identification of polymorphisms in the promoter region of the human NRF2 gene. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **321**: 72–79.
- Yang M, Yao Y, Eades G, Zhang Y, Zhou Q. 2011. MiR-28 regulates Nrf2 expression through a Keap1-independent mechanism. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **129**: 983–991.
- Yates MS, Tran QT, Dolan PM, Osburn WO, Shin S, McCulloch CC, Silkworth JB, Taguchi K, Yamamoto M, Williams CR, et al. 2009. Genetic versus chemoprotective activation of Nrf2 signaling: Overlapping yet distinct gene expression profiles between Keap1 knockout and triterpenoid-treated mice. *Carcinogenesis* **30**: 1024–1031.
- Zhang DD. 2006. Mechanistic studies of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway. *Drug Metab Rev* **38**: 769–789.
- Zhang DD. 2013. Bardoxolone brings Nrf2-based therapies to light. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **19**: 517–518.
- Zhang Y, Gordon GB. 2004. A strategy for cancer prevention: Stimulation of the Nrf2–ARE signaling pathway. *Mol Cancer Ther* **3**: 885–893.
- Zhang DD, Hannink M. 2003. Distinct cysteine residues in Keap1 are required for Keap1-dependent ubiquitination of Nrf2 and for stabilization of Nrf2 by chemopreventive agents and oxidative stress. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**: 8137–8151.
- Zhang DD, Lo SC, Cross JV, Templeton DJ, Hannink M. 2004. Keap1 is a redox-regulated substrate adaptor protein for a Cul3-dependent ubiquitin ligase complex. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 10941–10953.
- Zhang P, Singh A, Yegnasubramanian S, Esopi D, Kombairaju P, Bodas M, Wu H, Bova SG, Biswal S. 2010. Loss of Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 function in prostate cancer cells causes chemoresistance and radioresistance and promotes tumor growth. *Mol Cancer Ther* **9**: 336–346.
- Zhu M, Fahl WE. 2001. Functional characterization of transcription regulators that interact with the electrophile response element. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **289**: 212–219.
- Zipper LM, Mulcahy RT. 2002. The Keap1 BTB/POZ dimerization function is required to sequester Nrf2 in cytoplasm. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 36544–36552.

The emerging role of the Nrf2–Keap1 signaling pathway in cancer

Melba C. Jaramillo and Donna D. Zhang

Genes Dev. 2013, **27**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.225680.113](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.225680.113)

References

This article cites 144 articles, 64 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/27/20/2179.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.

USC
SCIENTIFIC
SIDE BY SCIENCE