REVIEW

Transcriptional elongation checkpoint control in development and disease

Edwin Smith and Ali Shilatifard¹

Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, Missouri 64110, USA

Transcriptional elongation control by RNA polymerase II and its associated factors has taken center stage as a process essential for the regulation of gene expression throughout development. In this review, we analyze recent findings on the identification of factors functioning in the regulation of the transcriptional elongation checkpoint control (TECC) stage of gene expression and how the factors' misregulation is associated with disease pathogenesis, including cancer.

It has long been recognized that gene expression can be regulated at the level of transcription elongation (Shilatifard 1998; Saunders et al. 2006). For example, the presence of transcriptionally engaged RNA polymerase (Pol II) without productive elongation (promoter-proximal paused Pol II) was observed for the *Hsp70* gene in *Drosophila* and at the *c-myc* and *c-fos* genes in mammalian cells (Gilmour and Lis 1986; Fort et al. 1987; Rougvie and Lis 1988; Krumm et al. 1992). Subsequent genome-wide analyses of Pol II occupancy and nascent transcription have shown that proximal pausing of Pol II is a common feature of developmentally regulated genes (Guenther et al. 2007; Muse et al. 2007; Zeitlinger et al. 2007). Since the phenomenon of paused Pol II was initially described for the *c-fos* and *Hsp70* genes, both of which are rapidly induced in response to environmental signals, it was considered that rapid transcriptional induction might be the major function of having Pol II present at the promoter prior to transcriptional induction. However, recent work has demonstrated that genes can be rapidly induced without having paused Pol II (Lin et al. 2011). Elegant *in vivo* studies by Boettiger and Levine (2009) have also demonstrated that developmental genes bearing paused Pol II on their promoters have a greater propensity to be induced synchronously. Based on these and additional studies demonstrating an essential role for the super elongation complex (SEC) in rapid transcriptional induction with or without paused Pol II, the emerging consensus is that genes with paused Pol II can be induced in an equitable and synchronous manner compared with genes without paused Pol II that are subject to potentially stochastic initiation events leading to more

variable induction (Boettiger and Levine 2009; Levine 2011; Lin et al. 2011). This well-regulated release of paused Pol II is referred to as the transcriptional elongation checkpoint control (TECC) (Luo et al. 2012b).

Numerous factors have been identified that regulate the transcription elongation stage of gene expression, and many such factors were initially identified from *in vitro* biochemical studies (Shilatifard et al. 2003; Sims et al. 2004). *In vitro* assays typically use fractionated nuclear extracts and a runoff transcription template to measure either elongation rates or the ability to extend RNA chains by RNA Pol II (Shilatifard et al. 2003). The identified factors can be classified according to the step at which they are thought to be required. For example, TFIIF helps recruit Pol II to the promoter and has a role in the transcription of the first few nucleotides (Yan et al. 1999). The RNA Pol II elongation factor TFIIS is required whenever Pol II is irreversibly backtracked, in which case TFIIS stimulates Pol II to cleave the nascent RNA chain to allow realignment of the catalytic pocket with the 3'-OH of the nascent chain (Reines et al. 1996). The elongation factors Elongin A and ELL were identified by their ability to increase the rate (V_{max}) of transcription elongation by Pol II *in vitro*, and even though they possess similar biochemical properties *in vitro*, they have been found to participate in distinct complexes and to have nonredundant functions *in vivo* (Aso et al. 1995; Shilatifard et al. 1996, 2003; Eissenberg et al. 2002; Gerber et al. 2004; Smith et al. 2008; Chopra et al. 2009; Yasukawa et al. 2012). The RNA Pol II elongation factors NELF and DSIF were purified as negative elongation factors that cooperate to promote the paused Pol II state, but DSIF, composed of SPT4 and SPT5, also has a distinct role in regulating the rate of elongation by Pol II (Wada et al. 1998; Yamaguchi et al. 1999).

Many of the Pol II elongation factors identified from these *in vitro* assays were independently identified from genetic studies as regulators of development in *Drosophila*, zebrafish, or mammals. ELL (eleven-nineteen lysine-rich leukemia) was identified as a Pol II elongation factor isolated from rat liver nuclear extracts and turned out to be the ortholog of the ELL gene product from humans (Thirman et al. 1994; Shilatifard et al. 1996). ELL is a frequent translocation partner of MLL (mixed-lineage leukemia), and even though MLL has a large number of translocation partners in leukemia, ELL was the first

[Keywords: cancer; chromatin; elongation; RNA polymerase II; transcription]

¹Corresponding author

E-mail ash@stowers.org

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.215137.113>.

partner for which a biological function was proposed (Shilatfard 1998). Studies from our laboratory and others demonstrated that ELL is a component of the SEC that contains other ELL family members in addition to MLL translocation partners AFF1, AFF4, AF9, and ENL and Pol II elongation factor P-TEFb (He et al. 2010; Lin et al. 2010; Mohan et al. 2010; Sobhian et al. 2010). In vivo studies demonstrated that chromosomal translocations that result in the fusion of MLL with subunits of SEC can lead to the improper recruitment of SEC to MLL target genes, suggesting that misregulation of the TECC stage of gene expression contributes to leukemic pathogenesis (Lin et al. 2010; Mohan et al. 2010).

As indicated above, SEC contains the kinase module P-TEFb, itself a factor that was originally identified as an elongation factor from in vitro biochemical studies (Marshall and Price 1995). The first characterized in vivo role of P-TEFb was as a cellular cofactor for the viral Tat protein's ability to remove an elongation block of transcription of the HIV-1 provirus (Mancebo et al. 1997; Zhu et al. 1997). P-TEFb's kinase activity is required for the release of paused Pol II through the phosphorylations of the RNA Pol II C-terminal domain (CTD), the NELF-E subunit, and the CTD of the SPT5 subunit of DSIF (Fujinaga et al. 2004; Peterlin and Price 2006; Yamada et al. 2006). Phosphorylation of SPT5 results in the release of DSIF from NELF, and DSIF proceeds to travel with Pol II as a positive elongation factor. Foggy, the zebrafish ortholog of SPT5, was identified in a genetic screen for factors required for neuronal development (Guo et al. 1999). The mutant allele showed a single nucleotide change that resulted in an amino acid substitution of a conserved C-terminal residue. The mutant version of Foggy was able to stimulate elongation as well as the wild type but lacked the negative elongation activity, indicating the importance of Pol II pause control for development (Guo et al. 2000).

In this review, we discuss recent findings that provide insight into the nature of transcriptional regulation on genes at the level of paused Pol II and the TECC and the potential for diverse regulatory mechanisms for its regulation in normal developmental contexts as well as disease contexts.

Setup and release of RNA Pol II: Paused Pol II is not a prerequisite for rapid induction of gene expression

The *Drosophila Hsp70* gene is characterized by the presence of transcriptionally engaged Pol II that is primarily producing 30- to 40-nucleotide (nt) transcripts in the unstressed state. Occasionally, about every 10 min, Pol II escapes and produces a full-length transcript, thus producing a low basal level of the Hsp70 protein. Upon heat shock, Pol II is released about every 4 sec, but the presence of Pol II and the production of shorter transcripts can still be detected even during a full heat-shock response (Nechaev and Adelman 2011). "Constitutively expressed" genes such as actin and tubulin are even known to have a "pileup" of Pol II at their 5' ends and have engaged Pol II in producing the short transcripts while maintaining high levels of expression of the full-length transcripts (Boehm

et al. 2003). These studies support a model in which genes bearing paused Pol II at their promoters are not in an off state, but rather in a preassembled state ready to respond rapidly to cellular signals. In addition to a possible role in rapid induction, one benefit of a preassembled system is to allow a synchronous response to developmental cues between cells in a developing organism, avoiding stochastic steps, including preinitiation complex (PIC) assembly and promoter melting (Boettiger and Levine 2009). Studies in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells demonstrated that the most rapidly induced gene in response to retinoic acid, *Cyp26a1*, lacked paused Pol II in the undifferentiated state, demonstrating that paused Pol II is not a prerequisite for rapid transcriptional induction (Lin et al. 2011). Studies by Lin et al. (2011) also suggested that paused Pol II may function in the regulation of a uniform or equitable induction of gene expression in a given cell, similar to what was proposed on the role of paused Pol II in the regulation of uniform and synchronous transcriptional induction of a given gene in the cells of a developing embryo (Boettiger and Levine 2009).

Release of paused Pol II through CTD phosphorylation

A prominent distinction between paused and elongating Pol II is the phosphorylation state of the heptad repeat in the CTD. This repeat contains three serines, one threonine, and one tyrosine, all of which can be phosphorylated. Notably, the Ser 2 and Ser 5 phosphorylations have been the most widely investigated modification sites (for review, see Hsin and Manley 2012). Ser 5 phosphorylation is enriched in the promoter-proximal region, including genes such as *Hsp70* that exhibit pausing. Ser 2 phosphorylation is enriched in the gene bodies and corresponds to the elongating form of Pol II. Therefore, the transition from paused Pol II to elongating Pol II through phosphorylation has been identified as a key regulatory step in gene expression (Mohan et al. 2010). Given the fact that the SEC family of Pol II elongation factors contains the most catalytically active versions of P-TEFb, their role in the regulation of pause release is of great interest (Luo et al. 2012a).

In addition to phosphorylating the Pol II CTD, P-TEFb also phosphorylates the CTD of SPT5 and the "E" subunit of the NELF complex. Both SPT5 and NELF are implicated in setting up the paused state of RNA Pol II. Phosphorylations of Pol II, Spt5, and NELF may all contribute to the release of the paused state. Phosphorylations of the CTDs of both SPT5 and Pol II also occur in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. As in metazoans, the Pol II CTD in yeast is phosphorylated on Ser 5 at the 5' ends of genes, with a transitioning to Ser 2 phosphorylation toward the 3' ends. However, yeast lacks NELF and may not regulate the pausing of RNA Pol II as seen in metazoans (Kim et al. 2010; Mayer et al. 2010; Churchman and Weissman 2011; Yearling et al. 2011).

An important difference between yeast and metazoan SPT5 is the addition of a conserved region that is C-terminal to the phosphorylated CTD, referred to as the extreme C terminus. This domain is implicated in the pausing of

RNA Pol II and is the affected region in the zebrafish *foggy* mutant (Guo et al. 1999). It is known that phosphorylation of the CTDs of SPT5 and Pol II is required for the interaction of these proteins with a large number of partners mediating various cotranscriptional processes from mRNA capping, histone modifications, and transcription termination (Hsin and Manley 2012; Werner 2012; Zhou et al. 2012). Although the precise molecular details are not known, it is thought that a combination of interactions between Pol II, SPT5, and NELF mediates pausing of Pol II, with the P-TEFb-dependent phosphorylation of these factors disrupting these interactions (Hsin and Manley 2012; Zhou et al. 2012). The P-TEFb within SEC has emerged as the form of P-TEFb that is required for the release of Pol II at *Hsp70*, *c-myc*, *c-fos*, the HIV-LTR, and many of the developmentally regulated genes such as the *Hox* genes in ES cells (Fig. 1; Luo et al. 2012b). Much attention has also focused on the Brd4-associated form of P-TEFb (Zhou et al. 2012). Determining precisely how P-TEFb transitions between different complexes to regulate the various steps of the transcription cycle or the different classes of genes will be an important area of investigation (Bartholomeeusen et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2012).

New regulators of pause-release

Recent yeast studies of nascent transcription suggest that a subset of genes may have some form of promoter-proximal pausing (Churchman and Weissman 2011; McKinlay et al. 2011), raising the possibility that alternate mechanisms for pausing may exist in yeast (Yearling et al. 2011). Furthermore, recent studies in mammalian cells have raised the

possibility that there are multiple ways of regulating the release of paused Pol II, further increasing the complexity of transcriptional regulation at the level of TECC. One factor that was recently implicated in regulating paused Pol II is Gdown1, a protein associated with 30%–50% of Pol II (Hu et al. 2006). The Gdown1 form of Pol II was shown to require Mediator in activator-dependent *in vitro* transcription, while Pol II without Gdown1 did not require Mediator in these *in vitro* assays. Therefore, Gdown1 was seen as repressing transcription in a way that could be relieved by Mediator. Later studies indicated that Gdown1 and TFIIF compete with each other for association with Pol II (Cheng et al. 2012; Espinosa 2012; Jishage et al. 2012). Since TFIIF can act as both a preinitiation factor and an early elongation factor, Gdown1 could prevent transcription at either step. Genome-wide profiling of Gdown1 in HeLa cells shows that Gdown1 colocalizes extensively with Pol II and, despite being found on gene bodies, is particularly prominent at transcription start sites (Cheng et al. 2012). It was noted that on some genes, there were multiple peaks of Pol II and Gdown1, possibly due to alternative transcription start sites. There were many cases in which Gdown1 was strongly bound to both start sites, but Pol II was stronger on one site than the other. This could reflect differential pausing and release of Pol II at the alternate start sites, but differences in initiation cannot be ruled out by these assays.

While Gdown1 can antagonize TFIIF function (Cheng et al. 2012; Espinosa 2012; Jishage et al. 2012), Price and colleagues (Cheng et al. 2012) also found that Gdown1 can inhibit the activity of the Pol II termination factor TTF2. TTF2 is an SWI2/SNF2-related ATPase that has a prominent role in terminating transcription before chro-

Figure 1. Transcriptional activation with and without paused Pol II. (A) The classic example of transcriptional regulation by paused Pol II was demonstrated for the *Hsp70* gene in *Drosophila*. Pol II is initially recruited to the gene promoter by the PIC (represented here with a TBP-shaped crescent). (Left panel) DSIF cooperates with NELF to keep Pol II within the first 40–70 nt of the transcription start site (TSS). (Right panel) Upon heat shock, the P-TEFb kinase (red sphere), as a component of the SEC, phosphorylates both DSIF and the Pol II CTD to allow the release from NELF, which allows productive elongation by Pol II, while DSIF becomes a positive factor for processivity. (B) Paused Pol II and its release by SEC have been extensively studied during transactivation of the HIV-1 provirus by the HIV-1 encoded Tat protein. Early in the viral life cycle, provirus transcription is inefficient until enough Tat protein has accumulated. Tat binds to a stem-loop structure early in the first 80 nt of the transcript from which it recruits SEC to cause the release of Pol II into productive transcription. (C) Transcriptional induction without paused Pol II. Recent studies in mouse ES cells revealed that one of the most rapidly induced genes in response to differentiation signals, *Cyp26a1*, lacks paused Pol II in the ES cell state and is covered by H3K27me3. In the presence of retinoic acid (RA), the PIC, Pol II can quickly initiate transcription, and in the presence of SEC, rapid transcriptional induction is ensued (see also Fig. 4A,B).

scription. (C) Transcriptional induction without paused Pol II. Recent studies in mouse ES cells revealed that one of the most rapidly induced genes in response to differentiation signals, *Cyp26a1*, lacks paused Pol II in the ES cell state and is covered by H3K27me3. In the presence of retinoic acid (RA), the PIC, Pol II can quickly initiate transcription, and in the presence of SEC, rapid transcriptional induction is ensued (see also Fig. 4A,B).

mosomes condense at mitosis (Jiang et al. 2004). Interestingly, TTF2 was recently found by the Bentley group (Brannan et al. 2012) to be associated with the XRN2 exonuclease. XRN2 degrades RNA in a 5'–3' manner to facilitate termination of transcription using the 5' end of the transcript created after cleavage by 3' end processing enzymes. XRN2 is proposed to act as a torpedo, catching up to and helping to evict Pol I or Pol II, as the polymerases would otherwise continue transcribing well past the 3' end cleavage site, which, in the case of Pol II, normally occurs just after the polyadenylation signal. Bentley and colleagues (Brannan et al. 2012) also found decapping enzymes in their XRN2 immunoprecipitates. Cytoplasmic decapping factors are used to destabilize transcripts by generating a 5' phosphate for the 5'–3' exonuclease XRN1. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) combined with deep sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis to map the genome-wide distribution of XRN2, TTF2, and the decapping enzyme DCP1A demonstrated an association with Pol II at the 5' end of genes. Furthermore, depletion of these factors by shRNA led to global changes in Pol II distribution. Therefore, it has been proposed that the decapping factors act to initiate the XRN2 torpedo in order to terminate Pol II at an early elongation stage (Fig. 2). Taking into account the work by Price and colleagues (Cheng et al. 2012), who suggested that Gdown1 might help to form a particularly stable form of paused Pol II, Gdown1 could regulate paused Pol II by preventing early termination by the TTF2/XRN2/DCP1A complex.

XRN2 was also recently found to participate in premature termination of HIV transcription (Wagschal et al. 2012). Expression of the full-length RNA constituting the HIV genome requires the viral-encoded transcription activator Tat, which specifically recognizes a stem-loop structure (called the transcription activation response element [TAR]) that forms at the 5' end of all HIV transcripts. Tat

binding to TAR recruits P-TEFb within SEC, which can phosphorylate the Pol II CTD and promotes the production of full-length transcripts. Without Tat, HIV transcription is mostly unproductive, and most Pol II molecules transcribe a short distance and are considered to exist in a paused state. A small percentage of Pol II molecules are able to escape to make longer transcripts, which include those needed to express the Tat protein. Eventually, enough Tat protein is made to bind to TAR elements on nascent transcripts, and the full activation of HIV transcription begins. Wagschal et al. (2012) noticed that the TAR element resembled microRNAs (miRNAs) and asked whether the Drosha endonuclease required for miRNA cleavage regulated HIV transcription. They found that the knockdown of the Drosha levels led to activation of HIV transcription in the absence of Tat, indicating that Drosha was responsible for the nonproductive transcription of HIV. They proposed that Drosha stimulates the premature termination of HIV transcription by cleaving the TAR stem-loop structure. This cleavage generates a 5' fragment containing the 7meG cap and a 3' fragment that is associated with the engaged Pol II. The exposed 5' phosphate of the 3' fragment provides an entry point for the XRN2 torpedo, leading to premature termination of transcription. They proposed that in addition to the decapping/torpedo mechanism of termination observed by the Bentley group (Brannan et al. 2012), the Drosha-dependent cleavage is another path for the XRN2-dependent early termination of RNA Pol II.

A challenging area for the future will be to determine whether genes exhibiting engaged, nonproductive Pol II can be classified into being regulated by NELF/SPT5, XRN2/TTF2, or Gdown1 or to what extent combinations of these mechanisms can regulate the same gene. A subset of genes could have paused Pol II that is later released to elongate, while other genes exhibiting nonproductive Pol II might require termination of the proximally paused Pol II

Figure 2. Multiple ways to regulate paused Pol II. Recent studies have revealed other mechanisms to regulate paused Pol II in addition to the DSIF/NELF pause-release mechanism shown in Figure 1. (A) Paused Pol II is a nonproductive state producing short ~50-nt transcripts that have already been modified with a methyl-7-guanosine cap (m7GPP) protecting the 5' end of the mRNA from exonucleolytic cleavage. (B) Normally, the cap-binding protein complex (CBC) recognizes the m7G cap and stays with the transcript to facilitate RNA processing and the export of the RNA to the cytoplasm. (C) A decapping enzyme (DCP) can remove the m7G cap, thereby restoring the 5' phosphate of the RNA, which allows the RNA to be degraded by the XRN2 exonuclease. The 5'–to–3' processivity of the XRN2 exonuclease helps push the stalling Pol II off the transcript, resulting in transcription termination. Note that an entry point for XRN2-dependent Pol II termination can also come about from any endonucleolytic cleavage event, such as when the miRNA processing factor Drosha cleaves the stem-loop structure of the TAR region of the HIV-1 transcript (also see Fig. 1).

to allow a new productive form of Pol II to initiate a new transcript. However, both mechanisms appear to be used at the HIV LTR whose transcriptional regulation requires NELF for the pausing of Pol II (Zhang et al. 2007), SEC for releasing paused Pol II for productive elongation (He et al. 2010; Sobhian et al. 2010), and Drosha and XRN2 for controlling HIV-1 proviral transcription (Wagschal et al. 2012).

Regulating TECC in the context of chromatin

The in vitro transcription assays that have been used to identify elongation factors have traditionally used naked DNA templates. Attempts to use chromatin-containing templates for in vitro transcription were initially unsuccessful due to competition between nucleosomes and PIC formation (Lorch et al. 1987; Workman and Roeder 1987). The addition of nucleosome remodelers could restore the ability of Pol II to initiate on chromatin templates, but these transcripts were unable to elongate efficiently (Orphanides et al. 1998). Reinberg and colleagues (Orphanides et al. 1998, 1999) therefore assayed for factors that could facilitate transcription on chromatin templates and identified FACT, which is composed of two subunits, SPT16 and SSRP. SPT16 was identified in the same screen for factors affecting TY element transcription in budding yeast that identified SPT4 and SPT5, which were also independently identified biochemically as forming the elongation factor DSIF (Malone et al. 1991; Swanson et al. 1991; Hartzog et al. 1998; Wada et al. 1998; Kaplan et al. 2000, 2003). FACT directly interacts with H2A and H2B and facilitates both the disassembly and reassembly of nucleosomes associated with transcription by acting as a histone chaperone (Winkler and Luger 2011). SPT6, another hit in the TY transcription screen, also acts as a histone chaperone. In vivo, SPT6 associates with the Ser 2 phosphorylated CTD of Pol II (Yoh et al. 2007). Genome-wide studies in yeast have shown that SPT6 and SPT16 enter and leave the transcription unit at different places, indicating that they have nonredundant roles in vivo (Mayer et al. 2010).

Although FACT was identified as a factor that assisted RNA Pol II to transcribe chromatin templates, a function that seemingly would be required at all transcribed loci, FACT has also been implicated in the control of developmentally regulated genes. FACT subunits SPT16 and SSRP have been identified as interactors of TIF1 γ , an E3 ubiquitin ligase that belongs to a family of coactivators and corepressors. TIF1 γ is a major regulator of erythropoiesis from zebrafish to mammals (Ransom et al. 2004; He et al. 2006; Bai et al. 2010, 2013; Kusy et al. 2011) and is recruited to genes through its interactions with the SCL transcription factor complex (Schuh et al. 2005; Bai et al. 2010).

TIF1 γ was first found to be involved in hematopoiesis when mutations in *moonshine*, shown to encode a TIF1 γ ortholog, had severe defects in the differentiation of red blood cells (Ransom et al. 2004). Mutations in *moonshine* were used in the first genetic suppressor screen ever performed in a vertebrate system, which identified the

zebrafish homolog of Cdc73 (named *sunrise*), a subunit of the PAF complex (Bai et al. 2010). The PAF complex has long been linked to transcription elongation through its association with Pol II, playing a role as a platform for the association of different factors mediating numerous cotranscriptional events, such as histone modifications and RNA processing events (for review, see Gerber and Shilatifard 2003; Sims et al. 2004). The *foggy* mutation, which lacks the negative elongation activity of SPT5, was also shown to suppress *moonshine* mutant phenotypes, suggesting a positive elongation activity dependent on TIF1 γ . Defects in transcription elongation were demonstrated for several erythroid lineage genes in *moonshine* mutant zebrafish (Bai et al. 2010). Together with the biochemical association with the FACT complex, these molecular and genetic studies point to a role for TIF1 γ in regulating FACT activity for the control of gene expression at the level of transcription elongation during development (Fig. 3).

The FACT subunit SPT16 was also recently identified as physically interacting with JMJD3, a histone H3K27-demethylating enzyme in human cells (Chen et al. 2012). JMJD3 is associated with a second H3K27 demethylase, KIAA1718; the histone chaperone SPT6; the SPT6 interactor; and Pol II CTD-binding protein IWS1 as well as the H3K36 methyltransferase SET2, itself an interactor with the Pol II CTD. Using PMA induction for differentiation of HL60 cells as a model system, JMJD3 activity was shown to be required for the recruitment of SPT6 and SPT16 as well as for proper levels of the Ser 2 phosphorylated form of Pol II in the gene bodies of target genes. JMJD3 was found at many genes bearing paused Pol II and the bivalent H3K4me3/H3K27me3 mark, and its presence was required for their induction after TPA treatment.

Figure 3. Regulating paused Pol II in the context of chromatin. TIF1 γ associates with histone chaperones and elongation factors to stimulate gene expression during erythropoiesis. Mutations in *moonshine*, encoding zebrafish TIF1 γ , could be suppressed by mutations in *sunrise*, encoding the PAF-c subunit CDC73, and by mutations in *foggy*, which is an allele of the DSIF subunit SPT5, which loses its ability to maintain the paused Pol II state. The SCL complex, containing the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) SCL transcription factor, could recruit TIF1 γ and the histone chaperones SPT6 and SPT16/FACT to remodel nucleosomes to facilitate Pol II release. The most active form of P-TEFb (red sphere) within SEC is involved in Pol II CTD phosphorylation and the release from the paused state. These findings implicate transcription elongation as a major regulatory step for the control of erythroid gene expression.

While more work needs to be done in order to understand the mechanisms by which TIF1 γ and JMJD3 regulate transcription elongation, both studies link the FACT subunits SPT16 and SPT6 to the regulation of genes through the release of paused Pol II. The copurification of SPT6 with SPT16 in the TIF1 γ and JMJD3 purifications suggests that these factors may work in some coordinated manner to regulate the transcription elongation processes on chromatin. Although the histone chaperone activities of SPT6 and FACT may facilitate Pol II translocation through nucleosomes, both have been shown to have effects on transcription elongation outside the context of chromatin: SPT6 can stimulate transcription elongation by Pol II (Endoh et al. 2004), and FACT can relieve DSIF/NELF's transcription inhibitory activity, both on naked DNA templates *in vitro* (Wada et al. 2000). Therefore, interactions with Pol II and/or DSIF/NELF could also contribute to these factors' abilities to facilitate transcription elongation *in vivo*.

Establishment of the TECC in stem cells

Genome-wide studies in both *Drosophila* embryos and mouse ES cells have shown that proximal pausing of Pol II is found at many developmentally controlled genes well before their later activation in response to differentiation cues (Guenther et al. 2007; Muse et al. 2007; Zeitlinger et al. 2007). While several factors such as NELF are known to be required for the paused Pol II state, how this state is established in the early embryonic stage is largely unknown. Sequence-specific binding factors may help establish paused Pol II at specific promoters in the early embryo (Levine 2011). The *Drosophila* protein Zelda can recognize a specific motif, CAGGTAG, found at promoters and enhancers of many developmental genes, and Zelda is present at these genes before zygotic transcription has begun (Liang et al. 2008; Harrison et al. 2011; Levine 2011).

Although no Zelda-like protein has been found in mammals, early enhancer marking by a non-DNA-binding protein was shown for ELL3, which, like its homolog, ELL, can function as an elongation factor *in vitro* (Miller et al. 2000; Lin et al. 2013). ELL3 was found at some of the active, inactive, and poised enhancers in ES cells, being cobound with Mediator and cohesin. Mediator and cohesin were previously shown to be required for enhancer-promoter communication through chromatin looping (Kagey et al. 2010). ELL3's binding to some of the enhancers required cohesin. Additionally, loss of ELL3 led to reduced paused Pol II occupancy at some developmental genes (Lin et al. 2013). Induction of many lineage-specific genes requires the ELL2-containing SEC, and reductions in the levels of ELL3 can lead to defective induction of these genes. This observation suggests that there may be a handoff from ELL3, which helped establish the paused Pol II state and SEC that can mediate the release of paused Pol II during gene activation. In the absence of a Zelda-like protein in mammals, it is unclear at this time how ELL3, Mediator, and cohesin recognize enhancers (Lin et al. 2013).

ELL3 was originally described as a protein enriched in testes, but, recently, it was shown that ELL3 is also enriched

in oocytes, with lower levels in ES cells and declining expression during embryoid body formation (Miller et al. 2000; Ahn et al. 2012). Immunogold electron microscopy showed that ELL3 and RNA Pol II are present in sperm nuclei, suggesting that transcription factors could be marking enhancers and promoters as early as the germ cell state (Lin et al. 2013). These studies raise the question of how early factors such as ELL3, Mediator, cohesin, and other transcription factors are brought to the enhancers and what the signals are that initiate enhancer-promoter communication establishing the paused Pol II state.

TECC and the biological significance of pausing

It has been widely assumed that the function of setting up paused Pol II at genes before their induction is to allow for a more rapid induction of the genes, since many of the steps associated with initiation have already taken place (Nechaev and Adelman 2011). This assumption was based in large part on the extraordinarily rapid induction of the *Drosophila Hsp70* gene within seconds after heat shock (Boehm et al. 2003). However, human *Hsp70*—which, like its *Drosophila* counterpart, has paused Pol II that is released by SEC—instead takes hours to be induced, suggesting that paused Pol II is not just for rapid induction (Lin et al. 2010). Furthermore, among all of the genes induced in ES cells by retinoic acid, the most rapidly induced gene was identified as *Cyp26a1*, which lacks paused Pol II (Fig. 4A; Lin et al. 2011). Genes such as *Hoxa1* that are rapidly induced, but whose levels need to be carefully regulated during development, have paused Pol II, suggesting that well-regulated expression is a major function of setting up paused Pol II at inducible genes. Collectively, the studies by Lin et al. (2011) suggest that genes bearing paused Pol II are equitably induced, while the presence of paused Pol II is not a prerequisite for rapid transcriptional induction (Fig. 4B).

Similarly, analysis of a Gro-seq study of estrogen response genes (Hah et al. 2011) showed that these genes could also be rapidly induced without prior paused Pol II (Gilchrist et al. 2012). Furthermore, a study of the *Drosophila* innate immune response pathway showed that rapidly induced anti-bacterial genes lack paused Pol II, while the master regulators of the immune pathway, whose levels need to be precisely controlled, have paused Pol II (Gilchrist et al. 2012). An elegant demonstration of the importance of paused Pol II in development comes from *in situ* hybridization studies of *Drosophila* embryos (Fig. 4C). Genes with paused Pol II were more synchronously induced compared with genes without Pol II that showed stochastic induction (Boettiger and Levine 2009), suggesting that genes without prior paused Pol II are subject to stochastic steps of preinitiation.

Summary and future directions

The past several years have seen a growing appreciation of transcription elongation as a critical aspect of the regulation of development. The number of elongation factors and the diverse ways in which they regulate post-initiation

Figure 4. Paused Pol II in equitable and synchronous transcriptional induction. (A) Rapid induction of gene expression can occur without prior paused Pol II. In mouse ES cells (mES), Pol II is absent from the promoter of *Cyp26a1*. Upon retinoic acid (RA) treatment, Pol II is recruited to *Cyp26a1* along with the SEC component AFF4 (Lin et al. 2011). (B) Time course of transcriptional induction in retinoic acid-treated mouse ES cells. *Cyp26a1* (pink line/top bracket), which A shows lacks paused Pol II in the ES state, is the most rapidly (dynamically) induced gene in response to retinoic acid treatment. Many genes that are rapidly induced by retinoic acid treatment had paused Pol II in mouse ES cells, although they are induced in a more regulated or equitable manner (middle bracket). This study demonstrated that paused Pol II is not a prerequisite for rapid transcriptional induction (Lin et al. 2011). (C) Paused Pol II correlates with the synchronous induction of genes in early *Drosophila* embryos. Two genes, *thisbe* (*ths*) and *short gastrulation* (*sog*), can be distinguished by the absence of paused Pol II in very early embryos. (Left panel) *ths* in situ hybridization shows that *ths*, which lacked paused Pol II prior to induction, has a stochastic induction with a nonuniform pattern of expression. (Right panel) A gene with paused Pol II in the early embryo is *sog*, which, like *ths*, is induced in the neurogenic ectoderm but has a more uniform induction pattern. Therefore, Boettiger and Levine (2009) propose that the prevalence of paused Pol II on developmentally regulated genes is to ensure a well-regulated, less stochastic expression during embryogenesis. A and B are modified with permission from *Genes & Development* (Lin et al. 2011). C is modified from Levine 2011 with permission from Elsevier (© 2011).

events will continue to expand at a rapid rate due to this heightened interest. Elegant genome-wide techniques that look at nascent transcription have provided an unprecedented view of transcribing Pol II (Core et al. 2008; Churchman and Weissman 2011; Khodor et al. 2011), and the power of these techniques for helping solve some of our remaining challenges will be even greater as they are used in combination with experimental perturbations such as the RNAi-mediated knockdown of elongation factors.

An emerging theme in the transcription field is that different elongation factors are required for different classes of genes. The ELL family has prominent roles in small nuclear RNA transcription as part of the little elongation complex (LEC) (Smith et al. 2011), in the rapid gene

activation of developmental genes as part of SEC, and in enhancer-promoter communication for setting up the paused Pol II state and response to developmental signals (Lin et al. 2013). Even within the same complex, different factors have context-specific roles. For example, SPT4, which, with SPT5, forms DSIF, has a particular requirement for transcription of tracts of trinucleotide repeats (Liu et al. 2012). Like DSIF, which has both positive and negative elongation activities, the PAF complex, which is generally thought of as a positive elongation factor, is antagonistic to the productive elongation of erythroid genes, as evidenced by the suppression of the TIF1 γ mutant in zebrafish (Schuh et al. 2005; Bai et al. 2010). Some of the diversity in elongation factors is matched by the multitude of challenges that Pol II encounters in differ-

ent contexts. Discovering the diverse roles and regulation of P-TEFb as part of SEC and SEC-like complexes and within the Brd4 complex is an important challenge (Luo et al. 2012a; Zhou et al. 2012).

Recent studies of promoter-proximally paused Pol II suggest that there may be more than one way to regulate pausing, with Gdown1, TTF2, and XRN2 as new candidates of regulating the pause and release of Pol II (Brannan et al. 2012; Cheng et al. 2012; Wagschal et al. 2012). The paused Pol IIs observed at housekeeping genes, heat-shock loci, or developmental genes could each be controlled by different mechanisms and for different purposes, whether being dynamically or synchronously regulated. As with any step of transcription, knowing the underlying DNA features and their sequence-specific DNA-binding factors will help in understanding how specific elongation factors are recruited to specific classes of genes. Understanding the role of long-range communication between enhancer and promoter elements and the way that cohesin, Mediator, and elongation factors cooperate in this process will undoubtedly be part of the solution to understanding the intricate control of the pausing and release of Pol II in development and their perturbation in human disease.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Laura Shilatifard for editorial assistance. This work was supported in part by funds provided by the National Institute of Health (R01CA89455 and R01CA150265) to A.S.

References

- Ahn HJ, Cha Y, Moon SH, Jung JE, Park KS. 2012. Ell3 enhances differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells by regulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition and apoptosis. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e40293.
- Aso T, Lane WS, Conaway JW, Conaway RC. 1995. Elongin (SIII): A multisubunit regulator of elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Science* **269**: 1439–1443.
- Bai X, Kim J, Yang Z, Jurynek MJ, Akie TE, Lee J, LeBlanc J, Sessa A, Jiang H, DiBiase A, et al. 2010. TIF1 γ controls erythroid cell fate by regulating transcription elongation. *Cell* **142**: 133–143.
- Bai X, Trowbridge JJ, Riley E, Lee JA, DiBiase A, Kaartinen VM, Orkin SH, Zon LI. 2013. TIF1- γ plays an essential role in murine hematopoiesis and regulates transcriptional elongation of erythroid genes. *Dev Biol* **373**: 422–430.
- Bartholomeeusen K, Xiang Y, Fujinaga K, Peterlin BM. 2012. Bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) bromodomain inhibition activate transcription via transient release of positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) from 7SK small nuclear ribonucleoprotein. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 36609–36616.
- Boehm AK, Saunders A, Werner J, Lis JT. 2003. Transcription factor and polymerase recruitment, modification, and movement on hsp70 in vivo in the minutes following heat shock. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**: 7628–7637.
- Boettiger AN, Levine M. 2009. Synchronous and stochastic patterns of gene activation in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Science* **325**: 471–473.
- Brannan K, Kim H, Erickson B, Glover-Cutter K, Kim S, Fong N, Kiemele L, Hansen K, Davis R, Lykke-Andersen J, et al. 2012. mRNA decapping factors and the exonuclease Xrn2 function in widespread premature termination of RNA polymerase II transcription. *Mol Cell* **46**: 311–324.
- Chen S, Ma J, Wu F, Xiong LJ, Ma H, Xu W, Lv R, Li X, Villen J, Gygi SP, et al. 2012. The histone H3 Lys 27 demethylase JMJD3 regulates gene expression by impacting transcriptional elongation. *Genes Dev* **26**: 1364–1375.
- Cheng B, Li T, Rahl PB, Adamson TE, Loudas NB, Guo J, Varzavand K, Cooper JJ, Hu X, Gnatt A, et al. 2012. Functional association of Gdown1 with RNA polymerase II poised on human genes. *Mol Cell* **45**: 38–50.
- Chopra VS, Hong JW, Levine M. 2009. Regulation of Hox gene activity by transcriptional elongation in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **19**: 688–693.
- Churchman LS, Weissman JS. 2011. Nascent transcript sequencing visualizes transcription at nucleotide resolution. *Nature* **469**: 368–373.
- Core LJ, Waterfall JJ, Lis JT. 2008. Nascent RNA sequencing reveals widespread pausing and divergent initiation at human promoters. *Science* **322**: 1845–1848.
- Eissenberg JC, Ma J, Gerber MA, Christensen A, Kennison JA, Shilatifard A. 2002. dELL is an essential RNA polymerase II elongation factor with a general role in development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **99**: 9894–9899.
- Endoh M, Zhu W, Hasegawa J, Watanabe H, Kim DK, Aida M, Inukai N, Narita T, Yamada T, Furuya A, et al. 2004. Human Spt6 stimulates transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in vitro. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 3324–3336.
- Espinosa JM. 2012. Get back TFIIF, don't let me Gdown1. *Mol Cell* **45**: 3–5.
- Fort P, Rech J, Vie A, Piechaczyk M, Bonniue A, Jeanteur P, Blanchard JM. 1987. Regulation of *c-fos* gene expression in hamster fibroblasts: Initiation and elongation of transcription and mRNA degradation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **15**: 5657–5667.
- Fujinaga K, Irwin D, Huang Y, Taube R, Kurosu T, Peterlin BM. 2004. Dynamics of human immunodeficiency virus transcription: P-TEFb phosphorylates RD and dissociates negative effectors from the transactivation response element. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 787–795.
- Gerber M, Shilatifard A. 2003. Transcriptional elongation by RNA polymerase II and histone methylation. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 26303–26306.
- Gerber M, Eissenberg JC, Kong S, Tenney K, Conaway JW, Conaway RC, Shilatifard A. 2004. In vivo requirement of the RNA polymerase II elongation factor elongin A for proper gene expression and development. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 9911–9919.
- Gilchrist DA, Fromm G, dos Santos G, Pham LN, McDaniel IE, Burkholder A, Fargo DC, Adelman K. 2012. Regulating the regulators: The pervasive effects of Pol II pausing on stimulus-responsive gene networks. *Genes Dev* **26**: 933–944.
- Gilmour DS, Lis JT. 1986. RNA polymerase II interacts with the promoter region of the noninduced hsp70 gene in *Drosophila melanogaster* cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **6**: 3984–3989.
- Guenther MG, Levine SS, Boyer LA, Jaenisch R, Young RA. 2007. A chromatin landmark and transcription initiation at most promoters in human cells. *Cell* **130**: 77–88.
- Guo S, Wilson SW, Cooke S, Chitnis AB, Driever W, Rosenthal A. 1999. Mutations in the zebrafish unmask shared regulatory pathways controlling the development of catecholaminergic neurons. *Dev Biol* **208**: 473–487.
- Guo S, Yamaguchi Y, Schilbach S, Wada T, Lee J, Goddard A, French D, Handa H, Rosenthal A. 2000. A regulator of transcriptional elongation controls vertebrate neuronal development. *Nature* **408**: 366–369.
- Hah N, Danko CG, Core L, Waterfall JJ, Siepel A, Lis JT, Kraus WL. 2011. A rapid, extensive, and transient transcriptional

- response to estrogen signaling in breast cancer cells. *Cell* **145**: 622–634.
- Harrison MM, Li XY, Kaplan T, Botchan MR, Eisen MB. 2011. Zelda binding in the early *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo marks regions subsequently activated at the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *PLoS Genet* **7**: e1002266.
- Hartzog GA, Wada T, Handa H, Winston F. 1998. Evidence that Spt4, Spt5, and Spt6 control transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genes Dev* **12**: 357–369.
- He W, Dorn DC, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Moore MA, Massague J. 2006. Hematopoiesis controlled by distinct TIF1 γ and Smad4 branches of the TGF β pathway. *Cell* **125**: 929–941.
- He N, Liu M, Hsu J, Xue Y, Chou S, Burlingame A, Krogan NJ, Alber T, Zhou Q. 2010. HIV-1 Tat and host AFF4 recruit two transcription elongation factors into a bifunctional complex for coordinated activation of HIV-1 transcription. *Mol Cell* **38**: 428–438.
- Hsin JP, Manley JL. 2012. The RNA polymerase II CTD coordinates transcription and RNA processing. *Genes Dev* **26**: 2119–2137.
- Hu X, Malik S, Negroiu CC, Hubbard K, Velalar CN, Hampton B, Grosu D, Catalano J, Roeder RG, Gnatt A. 2006. A Mediator-responsive form of metazoan RNA polymerase II. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 9506–9511.
- Jiang Y, Liu M, Spencer CA, Price DH. 2004. Involvement of transcription termination factor 2 in mitotic repression of transcription elongation. *Mol Cell* **14**: 375–385.
- Jishage M, Malik S, Wagner U, Uberheide B, Ishihama Y, Hu X, Chait BT, Gnatt A, Ren B, Roeder RG. 2012. Transcriptional regulation by Pol II(G) involving mediator and competitive interactions of Gdown1 and TFIIF with Pol II. *Mol Cell* **45**: 51–63.
- Kagey MH, Newman JJ, Bilodeau S, Zhan Y, Orlando DA, van Berkum NL, Ebmeier CC, Goossens J, Rahl PB, Levine SS, et al. 2010. Mediator and cohesin connect gene expression and chromatin architecture. *Nature* **467**: 430–435.
- Kaplan CD, Morris JR, Wu C, Winston F. 2000. Spt5 and spt6 are associated with active transcription and have characteristics of general elongation factors in *D. melanogaster*. *Genes Dev* **14**: 2623–2634.
- Kaplan CD, Laprade L, Winston F. 2003. Transcription elongation factors repress transcription initiation from cryptic sites. *Science* **301**: 1096–1099.
- Khodor YL, Rodriguez J, Abruzzi KC, Tang CH, Marr MT II, Rosbash M. 2011. Nascent-seq indicates widespread cotranscriptional pre-mRNA splicing in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev* **25**: 2502–2512.
- Kim TS, Liu CL, Yassour M, Holik J, Friedman N, Buratowski S, Rando OJ. 2010. RNA polymerase mapping during stress responses reveals widespread nonproductive transcription in yeast. *Genome Biol* **11**: R75.
- Krumm A, Meulia T, Brunvand M, Groudine M. 1992. The block to transcriptional elongation within the human *c-myc* gene is determined in the promoter-proximal region. *Genes Dev* **6**: 2201–2213.
- Kusy S, Gault N, Ferri F, Lewandowski D, Barroca V, Jaracz-Ros A, Losson R, Romeo PH. 2011. Adult hematopoiesis is regulated by TIF1 γ , a repressor of TAL1 and PU.1 transcriptional activity. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**: 412–425.
- Levine M. 2011. Paused RNA polymerase II as a developmental checkpoint. *Cell* **145**: 502–511.
- Liang HL, Nien CY, Liu HY, Metzstein MM, Kirov N, Rushlow C. 2008. The zinc-finger protein Zelda is a key activator of the early zygotic genome in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **456**: 400–403.
- Lin C, Smith ER, Takahashi H, Lai KC, Martin-Brown S, Florens L, Washburn MP, Conaway JW, Conaway RC, Shilatifard A. 2010. AFF4, a component of the ELL/P-TFEB elongation complex and a shared subunit of MLL chimeras, can link transcription elongation to leukemia. *Mol Cell* **37**: 429–437.
- Lin C, Garrett AS, De Kumar B, Smith ER, Gogol M, Seidel C, Krumlauf R, Shilatifard A. 2011. Dynamic transcriptional events in embryonic stem cells mediated by the super elongation complex (SEC). *Genes Dev* **25**: 1486–1498.
- Lin C, Garruss AS, Luo Z, Guo F, Shilatifard A. 2013. The RNA Pol II elongation factor Ell3 marks enhancers in ES cells and primes future gene activation. *Cell* **152**: 144–156.
- Liu CR, Chang CR, Chern Y, Wang TH, Hsieh WC, Shen WC, Chang CY, Chu IC, Deng N, Cohen SN, et al. 2012. Spt4 is selectively required for transcription of extended trinucleotide repeats. *Cell* **148**: 690–701.
- Lorch Y, LaPointe JW, Kornberg RD. 1987. Nucleosomes inhibit the initiation of transcription but allow chain elongation with the displacement of histones. *Cell* **49**: 203–210.
- Luo Z, Lin C, Guest E, Garrett AS, Mohaghegh N, Swanson S, Marshall S, Florens L, Washburn MP, Shilatifard A. 2012a. The super elongation complex family of RNA polymerase II elongation factors: Gene target specificity and transcriptional output. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**: 2608–2617.
- Luo Z, Lin C, Shilatifard A. 2012b. The super elongation complex (SEC) family in transcriptional control. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**: 543–547.
- Malone EA, Clark CD, Chiang A, Winston F. 1991. Mutations in SPT16/CDC68 suppress *cis*- and *trans*-acting mutations that affect promoter function in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 5710–5717.
- Mancebo HS, Lee G, Flygare J, Tomassini J, Luu P, Zhu Y, Peng J, Blau C, Hazuda D, Price D, et al. 1997. P-TFEB kinase is required for HIV Tat transcriptional activation in vivo and in vitro. *Genes Dev* **11**: 2633–2644.
- Marshall NF, Price DH. 1995. Purification of P-TFEB, a transcription factor required for the transition into productive elongation. *J Biol Chem* **270**: 12335–12338.
- Mayer A, Lidschreiber M, Siebert M, Leike K, Soding J, Cramer P. 2010. Uniform transitions of the general RNA polymerase II transcription complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**: 1272–1278.
- McKinlay A, Araya CL, Fields S. 2011. Genome-wide analysis of nascent transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *G3 (Bethesda)* **1**: 549–558.
- Miller T, Williams K, Johnstone RW, Shilatifard A. 2000. Identification, cloning, expression, and biochemical characterization of the testis-specific RNA polymerase II elongation factor ELL3. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 32052–32056.
- Mohan M, Lin C, Guest E, Shilatifard A. 2010. Licensed to elongate: A molecular mechanism for MLL-based leukaemogenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **10**: 721–728.
- Muse GW, Gilchrist DA, Nechaev S, Shah R, Parker JS, Grissom SF, Zeitlinger J, Adelman K. 2007. RNA polymerase is poised for activation across the genome. *Nat Genet* **39**: 1507–1511.
- Nechaev S, Adelman K. 2011. Pol II waiting in the starting gates: Regulating the transition from transcription initiation into productive elongation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1809**: 34–45.
- Orphanides G, LeRoy G, Chang CH, Luse DS, Reinberg D. 1998. FACT, a factor that facilitates transcript elongation through nucleosomes. *Cell* **92**: 105–116.
- Orphanides G, Wu WH, Lane WS, Hampsey M, Reinberg D. 1999. The chromatin-specific transcription elongation factor FACT comprises human SPT16 and SSRP1 proteins. *Nature* **400**: 284–288.
- Peterlin BM, Price DH. 2006. Controlling the elongation phase of transcription with P-TFEB. *Mol Cell* **23**: 297–305.

- Ransom DG, Bahary N, Niss K, Traver D, Burns C, Trede NS, Paffett-Lugassy N, Saganic WJ, Lim CA, Hersey C, et al. 2004. The zebrafish moonshine gene encodes transcriptional intermediary factor 1 γ , an essential regulator of hematopoiesis. *PLoS Biol* **2**: e237.
- Reines D, Conaway JW, Conaway RC. 1996. The RNA polymerase II general elongation factors. *Trends Biochem Sci* **21**: 351–355.
- Rougvié AE, Lis JT. 1988. The RNA polymerase II molecule at the 5' end of the uninduced hsp70 gene of *D. melanogaster* is transcriptionally engaged. *Cell* **54**: 795–804.
- Saunders A, Core LJ, Lis JT. 2006. Breaking barriers to transcription elongation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **7**: 557–567.
- Schuh AH, Tipping AJ, Clark AJ, Hamlett I, Guyot B, Iborra FJ, Rodriguez P, Strouboulis J, Enver T, Vyas P, et al. 2005. ETO-2 associates with SCL in erythroid cells and megakaryocytes and provides repressor functions in erythropoiesis. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**: 10235–10250.
- Shilatifard A. 1998. Factors regulating the transcriptional elongation activity of RNA polymerase II. *FASEB J* **12**: 1437–1446.
- Shilatifard A, Lane WS, Jackson KW, Conaway RC, Conaway JW. 1996. An RNA polymerase II elongation factor encoded by the human ELL gene. *Science* **271**: 1873–1876.
- Shilatifard A, Conaway RC, Conaway JW. 2003. The RNA polymerase II elongation complex. *Annu Rev Biochem* **72**: 693–715.
- Sims RJ III, Belotserkovskaya R, Reinberg D. 2004. Elongation by RNA polymerase II: The short and long of it. *Genes Dev* **18**: 2437–2468.
- Smith ER, Winter B, Eissenberg JC, Shilatifard A. 2008. Regulation of the transcriptional activity of poised RNA polymerase II by the elongation factor ELL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 8575–8579.
- Smith ER, Lin C, Garrett AS, Thornton J, Mohaghegh N, Hu D, Jackson J, Saraf A, Swanson SK, Seidel C, et al. 2011. The little elongation complex regulates small nuclear RNA transcription. *Mol Cell* **44**: 954–965.
- Sobhian B, Laguette N, Yatim A, Nakamura M, Levy Y, Kiernan R, Benkirane M. 2010. HIV-1 Tat assembles a multifunctional transcription elongation complex and stably associates with the 7SK snRNP. *Mol Cell* **38**: 439–451.
- Swanson MS, Malone EA, Winston F. 1991. SPT5, an essential gene important for normal transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, encodes an acidic nuclear protein with a carboxy-terminal repeat. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 4286.
- Thirman MJ, Levitan DA, Kobayashi H, Simon MC, Rowley JD. 1994. Cloning of ELL, a gene that fuses to MLL in a t(11;19)(q23;p13.1) in acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **91**: 12110–12114.
- Wada T, Takagi T, Yamaguchi Y, Ferdous A, Imai T, Hirose S, Sugimoto S, Yano K, Hartzog GA, Winston F, et al. 1998. DSIF, a novel transcription elongation factor that regulates RNA polymerase II processivity, is composed of human Spt4 and Spt5 homologs. *Genes Dev* **12**: 343–356.
- Wada T, Orphanides G, Hasegawa J, Kim DK, Shima D, Yamaguchi Y, Fukuda A, Hisatake K, Oh S, Reinberg D, et al. 2000. FACT relieves DSIF/NELF-mediated inhibition of transcriptional elongation and reveals functional differences between P-TEFb and TFIIF. *Mol Cell* **5**: 1067–1072.
- Wagschal A, Rousset E, Basavarajiah P, Contreras X, Harwig A, Laurent-Chabalier S, Nakamura M, Chen X, Zhang K, Mezziane O, et al. 2012. Microprocessor, Setx, Xrn2, and Rps6 co-operate to induce premature termination of transcription by RNAPII. *Cell* **150**: 1147–1157.
- Werner F. 2012. A nexus for gene expression-molecular mechanisms of Spt5 and NusG in the three domains of life. *J Mol Biol* **417**: 13–27.
- Winkler DD, Luger K. 2011. The histone chaperone FACT: Structural insights and mechanisms for nucleosome reorganization. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 18369–18374.
- Workman JL, Roeder RG. 1987. Binding of transcription factor TFIID to the major late promoter during in vitro nucleosome assembly potentiates subsequent initiation by RNA polymerase II. *Cell* **51**: 613–622.
- Yamada T, Yamaguchi Y, Inukai N, Okamoto S, Mura T, Handa H. 2006. P-TEFb-mediated phosphorylation of hSpt5 C-terminal repeats is critical for processive transcription elongation. *Mol Cell* **21**: 227–237.
- Yamaguchi Y, Takagi T, Wada T, Yano K, Furuya A, Sugimoto S, Hasegawa J, Handa H. 1999. NELF, a multisubunit complex containing RD, cooperates with DSIF to repress RNA polymerase II elongation. *Cell* **97**: 41–51.
- Yan Q, Moreland RJ, Conaway JW, Conaway RC. 1999. Dual roles for transcription factor IIF in promoter escape by RNA polymerase II. *J Biol Chem* **274**: 35668–35675.
- Yasukawa T, Bhatt S, Takeuchi T, Kawauchi J, Takahashi H, Tsutsui A, Muraoka T, Inoue M, Tsuda M, Kitajima S, et al. 2012. Transcriptional elongation factor elongin A regulates retinoic acid-induced gene expression during neuronal differentiation. *Cell Rep* **2**: 1129–1136.
- Yearling MN, Radebaugh CA, Stargell LA. 2011. The transition of poised RNA polymerase II to an actively elongating state is a 'complex' affair. *Genet Res Int* **2011**: 206290.
- Yoh SM, Cho H, Pickle L, Evans RM, Jones KA. 2007. The Spt6 SH2 domain binds Ser2-P RNAPII to direct Iws1-dependent mRNA splicing and export. *Genes Dev* **21**: 160–174.
- Zeitlinger J, Stark A, Kellis M, Hong JW, Nechaev S, Adelman K, Levine M, Young RA. 2007. RNA polymerase stalling at developmental control genes in the *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo. *Nat Genet* **39**: 1512–1516.
- Zhang Z, Klatt A, Gilmour DS, Henderson AJ. 2007. Negative elongation factor NELF represses human immunodeficiency virus transcription by pausing the RNA polymerase II complex. *J Biol Chem* **282**: 16981–16988.
- Zhou Q, Li T, Price DH. 2012. RNA polymerase II elongation control. *Annu Rev Biochem* **81**: 119–143.
- Zhu Y, Pe'ery T, Peng J, Ramanathan Y, Marshall N, Marshall T, Amendt B, Mathews MB, Price DH. 1997. Transcription elongation factor P-TEFb is required for HIV-1 Tat transactivation in vitro. *Genes Dev* **11**: 2622–2632.

Transcriptional elongation checkpoint control in development and disease

Edwin Smith and Ali Shilatifard

Genes Dev. 2013, **27**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.215137.113](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.215137.113)

References

This article cites 92 articles, 39 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/27/10/1079.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.