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Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 impairs leukemia stem cell function through derepression of bivalent chromatin domains
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Epigenetic mechanisms regulating leukemia stem cells (LSCs) are an attractive target for therapy of blood cancers. Here, we report that conditional knockout of the DNA methyltransferase Dnmt1 blocked development of leukemia, and haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 was sufficient to delay progression of leukemogenesis and impair LSC self-renewal without altering normal hematopoiesis. Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 resulted in tumor suppressor gene derepression associated with reduced DNA methylation and bivalent chromatin marks. These results suggest that LSCs depend on not only active expression of leukemogenic programs, but also DNA methylation-mediated silencing of bivalent domains to enforce transcriptional repression.
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Epigenetic changes play important roles in the development and progression of cancer. Historically, alterations in DNA methylation have been associated with transformation. However, it is now being appreciated that within the complex chromatin network, DNA methylation contributes to and is influenced by histone modifications that also may be disrupted in cancer (Esteller 2008). In a seminal study, Dick and colleagues (Lapidot et al. 1994) showed that human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells are organized in a hierarchy that originates from a primitive cell termed a “leukemia stem cell” (LSC). These cells are able to initiate tumorigenesis by undergoing self-renewal and differentiation, processes similar to normal stem cells, whereas the remaining majority of leukemia cells lack these properties. It has been demonstrated that DNA methylation is a shared epigenetic program controlling both normal hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and LSCs, but these stem cell programs may rely on different methylation dosage-dependent control mechanisms (Bröske et al. 2009). It remains unclear whether there are unique gene targets of DNA methylation in LSCs and, furthermore, whether specific cooperating epigenetic mechanisms exist to regulate the critical functions of these cells. In that DNA methylation regulates both HSCs and LSCs, issues of specificity are pertinent to assessing whether DNA methylation and/or its gene targets are to be considered for use in directed leukemia therapy.

Here, using a mouse model of MLL-AF9-induced AML (Krivtsov et al. 2006), we found that Dnmt1 is the only DNA methyltransferase with sustained levels of expression in LSCs compared with HSCs and consequently focused on Dnmt1 as a candidate for maintaining DNA methylation patterns in LSCs. Through functional and molecular studies, we examined the specific consequences of Dnmt1 loss in LSCs and demonstrated selectivity in the response of LSCs versus normal HSCs, revealing that a favorable therapeutic index may be achieved by specifically targeting Dnmt1 in AML therapy.

Results and Discussion

We first examined expression of the DNA methyltransferases Dnmt1, Dnmt3a, and Dnmt3b in MLL-AF9-induced LSCs, defined as leukemic granulocyte–macrophage progenitors (L-GMPs; Lin–IL-7Rα–c-Kit+ Sca-1–CD34+ FcγR+), compared with normal hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell-enriched LSK (Lin–Sca-1+ c-Kit+) cells. We found that expression of Dnmt1 was sustained in L-GMPs versus LSK cells; however, the expression of Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b was reduced in L-GMPs (Fig. 1A). The selective maintenance of Dnmt1 in L-GMPs suggests that Dnmt1 may be responsible for maintaining methylation patterns in L-GMPs.

To define the dependence of MLL-AF9-induced AML on Dnmt1, we used a conditional knockout of Dnmt1 (Dnmt1{fl/fl}) driven by the IFNα-inducible Mx-Cre (Trowbridge et al. 2009). Dnmt1 deletion was induced by poly(I–polyC) injection prior to isolation of LSK cells, infection with MLL-AF9-ires-GFP retrovirus, and transplant into recipients. In all experiments, both control and experimental groups received poly(I–polyC) treatment to control for induced interferon-α expression. While control MLL-AF9-transduced Dnmt1{+/+} cells gave rise to fully penetrant AML with a median recipient survival of 81 d, mice transplanted with MLL-AF9-transduced Dnmt1{−/−} cells failed to develop AML (Fig. 1B). Upon sacrifice of Dnmt1{−/−} recipients at ~200 d post-transplant, GFP+ leukemia cells were not detectable by flow cytometry in the bone marrow or spleen (data not shown), suggesting that the initiation of transformation by MLL-AF9 or, alternatively, survival of target cells is dependent on Dnmt1.

To examine the effect of Dnmt1 deletion on the maintenance of pre-existing leukemia, Dnmt1{fl/fl} Mx-Cre+ or control Dnmt1{+/−} L-GMPs were transplanted into recipients and allowed to establish leukemia over the course of 2 wk before inactivation of Dnmt1 (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Prior to poly(I–polyC) injection, all recipient mice demonstrated elevated peripheral white blood cell (WBC) counts (>50 × 10^9 per liter), thrombocytopenia (PLT, <250 × 10^9 per liter), and >80% GFP+ cells in peripheral blood by FACS analysis (data not shown). At this stage, deletion of
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Dnmt1 resulted in a significant delay in leukemia progression and doubled the survival time to a median of 64 d compared with control Dnmt1fl/fl with a median survival of 31 d (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Of note, genomic excision PCR revealed that the leukemia outgrowth from Dnmt1fl/fl Mx-Cre+ cells was comprised of only partially excised leukemic clones (Supplemental Fig. S1C), indicating probable selection against Dnmt1fl/fl leukemia cells. To investigate this further, L-GMPs were isolated from Dnmt1fl/fl Mx-Cre+ or control leukemias (shown in Supplemental Fig. S1B) and transplanted into secondary recipient mice. Strikingly, secondary recipients transplanted with Dnmt1fl/fl Mx-Cre+ L-GMPs demonstrated a prolonged median survival of 66.5 d compared with those transplanted with control L-GMPs with a median survival of 22.5 d (Supplemental Fig. S1D). Excision PCR of the secondary leukemias revealed the absence of the excised Dnmt1 allele (Supplemental Fig. S1E), further indicating selection against leukemic cells bearing the Dnmt1fl/fl allele. Together, these results demonstrate a dependence of MLL-AF9-induced AML on Dnmt1 in [1] transformation giving rise to leukemia, [2] maintenance of established leukemia, and [3] re-establishment of leukemia by transplanted L-GMPs.

As our results indicated the existence of selection pressure against leukemic cells bearing the Dnmt1fl/fl allele, we tested the effect of Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency on the maintenance of leukemia using cells with one wild-type and one floxed allele of Dnmt1 for excision by Mx-Cre (Dnmt1fl/+ Mx-Cre+). MLL-AF9-transduced Dnmt1fl/+ Mx-Cre+ and control Dnmt1fl/+ cells were transplanted into recipients to establish leukemia 2 wk prior to injection of polyI–polyC. Importantly, the control Dnmt1fl/+ cells and mice are fully viable (data not shown), indicating that there are no partial defects resulting from the presence of the Dnmt1fl/+ allele. Deletion of one allele of Dnmt1 after establishment of leukemia resulted in a significant delay in leukemia progression and increased survival time to a median of 67 d compared with a median survival of 31 d [Fig. 2A]. Genomic excision PCR confirmed the genotype of leukemic clones as Dnmt1fl/+ [Supplemental Fig. S2A]. Furthermore, Western blot demonstrated a reduction in DNMT1 protein in Dnmt1fl/+ leukemic cells (Fig. 2B), demonstrating that Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency is sufficient to delay the progression of MLL-AF9-induced AML.

Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 may be achieved pharmacologically in a clinical context; however, the therapeutic index of the LSC response compared with the effects on normal HSCs and hematopoiesis is not known. To evaluate the effects of Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency on nonmalignant hematopoiesis, we used Dnmt1fl/+ Mx-Cre+ or control Dnmt1fl/+ mice. As shown in Figure 2C, deletion of one allele of Dnmt1 did not alter significantly the number of WBCs in the peripheral blood compared with control mice up to 12 wk post-injection of polyI–polyC. Evaluation of the multilineage distribution of WBCs in the peripheral blood demonstrated that Dnmt1fl/+ mice have equivalent distribution of granulocytes, macrophages, and mature B cells and T cells compared with control [Supplemental Fig. S2B]. Examination of bone marrow hematopoiesis also revealed an equivalent total number of cells per femur in Dnmt1fl/+ mice [Supplemental Fig. S2C], multilineage distribution [Supplemental Fig. S2D], and no significant change in numbers of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells, including the LSK and GMP populations [Fig. 2D], compared with control mice. Together, these studies indicate that Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency fails to perturb nonmalignant hematopoiesis, including GMPs that are a target population for transformation by MLL-AF9 [Cozzio et al. 2003; Krivtsov et al. 2006]. Thus, the delayed
progression of MLL-AF9-induced AML resulting from \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency is mediated by a \textit{Dnmt1}-dependent mechanism selective or unique to transformed cells.

It has been demonstrated that DNA hypomethylation induced by a hypomorphic allele of \textit{Dnmt1} can impair self-renewal of MLL-AF9 LSCs (Bröské et al. 2009). As our model of \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency results in expression of DNMT1 to a higher level than the hypomorphic allele (Gaudet et al. 2003), we investigated whether \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency was sufficient to impair the self-renewal and differentiation of L-GMPs, MLL-AF9-transduced \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} or control \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} L-GMPs were plated in methylcellulose for serial colony-forming unit (CFU) assays. In the initial round of plating, \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs formed significantly fewer blast colonies compared with control (\textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} or \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl}) L-GMPs (Fig. 3A). This result demonstrates that \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency impairs the survival and/or differentiation of L-GMPs into blast colonies in vitro. In subsequent replatings of single colonies, \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs formed only a small number of secondary colonies and were unable to form tertiary colonies (Fig. 3B). These results demonstrate a severe replating deficiency compared with control L-GMPs, indicating that \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency is sufficient to cause impaired survival, self-renewal, and differentiation of L-GMPs in vitro.

To evaluate whether pharmacological inhibition of DNA methylation can also impair the growth of L-GMPs, we plated equal numbers of GMPs and L-GMPs in liquid culture with two concentrations (5-aza-2' deoxycytidine) and zebularine (Stresemann et al. 2006). After 72 h, viable cell counts revealed that decitabine and zebularine inhibit the expansion of L-GMPs at concentrations that fail to impair the expansion of normal GMPs (Fig. 3C, Supplemental Fig. 3A). The 0.1 \textmu M decitabine treatment, which was observed to be effective in limiting growth of L-GMPs, has been demonstrated to reduce DNA methylation by 20%-30% in human AML cell lines (Hollenbach et al. 2010), and treatment of cancer cells with 10 \textmu M zebularine reduces genomic DNA methylation by ~20% (Stresemann et al. 2006). Together, these studies demonstrate that reductions in DNA methylation through either \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency or pharmacological inhibition of DNA methylation impair the self-renewal and expansion of L-GMPs. These findings suggest that a favorable therapeutic index targeting L-GMPs may be achieved by specific pharmacological inhibition of \textit{Dnmt1}.

To investigate potential mechanisms underlying the dependence of MLL-AF9 L-GMPs on \textit{Dnmt1}, we took an unbiased, genome-wide expression-profiling approach. Importantly, we observed an ~50% reduction in \textit{Dnmt1} transcripts in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs and did not observe altered expression of \textit{Dnmt3a} or \textit{Dnmt3b} (Supplemental Fig. S3A). In addition, we did not observe significant changes in expression of \textit{Mll1}, \textit{Hoxa9}, \textit{Meis1}, \textit{Myb}, \textit{Hngh3}, or \textit{Cbx5} in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} L-GMPs [Supplemental Fig. S3A], demonstrating that deficiencies in these mediators of leukemogenesis are unlikely to account for the impaired function of \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} L-GMPs. As DNA methylation has historically been associated with transcriptional repression, we focused our analysis on genes that were up-regulated or derepressed as a consequence of \textit{Dnmt1} haploinsufficiency. Approximately 30% of genes up-regulated more than twofold in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs were not up-regulated in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} bulk leukemia cells (Supplemental Fig. S3B), suggesting that a significant complement of genes were specifically derepressed in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs. Interestingly, the majority of these genes were not significantly altered in expression in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{+/+} MxCre 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} HSCs (Supplemental Fig. S3C) or myeloid progenitors (Trowbridge et al. 2009; Supplemental Fig. S3D), suggesting that derepression of this gene set is unique to L-GMPs. We hypothesized that there may be a direct relationship between genes repressed by MLL-AF9 transformation in L-GMPs and genes derepressed in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs. We observed a significant overlap of 155 genes that were down-regulated in MLL-AF9 L-GMPs versus LSK and GMP cells (Krivtsov et al. 2006) and also up-regulated (or derepressed) in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} versus control L-GMPs (Fig. 4A). These 155 genes represent strong candidates for regulation by DNA methylation in L-GMPs. Querying against the CancerGenes database (Higgins et al. 2007) revealed that many of the 155 genes are categorized as “cancer-related” (36%) and a significant number are implicated as tumor suppressor genes (12%). To gain further insight into the cellular functions of the 155-gene set derepressed in \textit{Dnmt1} 
\textsuperscript{fl/fl} L-GMPs, we queried the MSigDB database (Subramanian et al. 2005) for other conditions or...
Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency results in derepression of genes normally silenced and marked by bivalent chromatin domains in L-GMPs. (A) Venn diagram demonstrating the overlap between 1317 genes repressed in L-GMPs (orange circle) (Krivtsov et al. 2006) and 680 genes derepressed in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs (blue circle). The 155-gene overlap represents our derepressed in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs signature. (B) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K79me2 in LSK cells. Our 155-gene signature is highlighted (red circles) compared with genome-wide (gray circles). (C) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K79me2 in L-GMPs. (D) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 in L-GMPs.

Figure 4. Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency results in derepression of genes normally silenced and marked by bivalent chromatin domains in L-GMPs. (A) Venn diagram demonstrating the overlap between 1317 genes repressed in L-GMPs (orange circle) (Krivtsov et al. 2006) and 680 genes derepressed in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs (blue circle). The 155-gene overlap represents our derepressed in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs signature. (B) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K79me2 in LSK cells. Our 155-gene signature is highlighted (red circles) compared with genome-wide (gray circles). (C) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K79me2 in L-GMPs. (D) Graphical representation of genes marked by H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 in L-GMPs.

treatments that could result in induction of a significant number of the same 155 genes. Within the top five gene sets (Supplemental Fig. S3E), we identified an enrichment of EZH2 (Enhancer of Zeste homolog 2) target genes. As part of Polycomb-repressive complex 2 (PRC2), EZH2 catalyzes the addition of methyl groups to histone H3 at Lys 27 (H3K27) and is associated with transcriptional repression. Enrichment of this EZH2 target gene set suggests that polycomb-mediated repression may cooperate with DNA methylation-mediated silencing of target genes in L-GMPs.

To further explore the relationship between DNA methylation and histone modifications induced by Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency, we focused on a subset of tumor suppressor genes (Klf4, Dusp6, Ccnd2, Tgfbi, and Timp2) expressed in LSK cells and repressed in L-GMPs (Supplemental Fig. S6A). As anticipated, these genes were derepressed upon haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 (Supplemental Fig. S6B). Consistent with derepression, we observed increased occupancy of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) at the proximal promoter regions of these genes (Supplemental Fig. S6C). In Dnmt1 haploinsufficient L-GMPs, the 5’ regulatory regions of Ccnd2 and Timp2 were largely unmethylated (Supplemental Fig. S6D,E). Examining changes in histone modifications, H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 were reduced at the Klf4, Dusp6, Ccnd2, and Tgfbi loci but enriched at the Timp2 locus in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs (Fig. 5A,B). Importantly, despite different levels of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3, the ratio of H3K4me3/H3K27me3 remained consistent at the majority of these loci (Fig. 5C), indicating that these bivalent domains were not resolved into monovalent H3K4me3 active domains. A similar observation has been reported in cancer cell lines treated with DNA methylation inhibitors, in which up-regulation of silenced genes was not associated with resolution of bivalent domains [Rodriguez et al. 2008]. We also assessed the presence of other transcriptional activation-associated histone marks [H3K9ac and H3K79me2]. We observed enrichment in both H3K9ac and H3K79me2 in the proximal promoter regions in Dnmt1+/- L-GMPs compared with control (Supplemental Fig. S6F,G). These data suggest that haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 in L-GMPs results in reduced DNA methylation and levels of bivalent chromatin marks at these tumor suppressor genes and increased levels of H3K79me2, occupancy of RNA Pol II, and activation of transcription.

We propose a model to account for these observations. In normal LSK cells, these tumor suppressor genes are marked by H3K4me3 and DOT1L-mediated H3K79me2 in association with active transcription [Fig. 5D]. Upon transformation by MLL-AF9, these genes remain marked by H3K4me3 but also gain the polycomb-mediated H3K27me3 mark to establish bivalent chromatin and DNMT1-mediated DNA methylation that enforces transcriptional repression [Fig.
Figure 5. Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 results in reduced bivalent chromatin marks in L-GMPs. (A, B) Enrichment of histone marks H3K4me3 (A) and H3K27me3 (B) at the Cend2, Tgfbi, Dusp6, Timp2, and Klf4 loci in Dnmt1+/− and control Dnmt1+/+ L-GMPs. (C) Ratio of H3K4me3:H3K27me3 in Dnmt1+/− and control L-GMPs. (D) In LSK cells, transcription is initiated by Pol II at promoters lacking DNA methylation and marked by H3K4me3. DOT1L-mediated H3K79me2 is distributed broadly across promoter and ORF regions. (E) In L-GMPs, bivalent chromatin domains are established by the addition of H3K27me3. DNA methylation acts to enforce transcriptional repression of these loci. (F) Upon haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1, these loci lose DNA methylation, have reduced bivalent chromatin marks, and gain H3K79me2. This allows Pol II binding, deposition of H3K9ac, and active transcription.

Materials and methods

Mice

Dnmt1+/+ Mx1-Cre mice (Trowbridge et al. 2009) were administered poly-PolyC (InVivoGen) at 25 mg/kg per injection (Hock et al. 2004). Deletion of Dnmt1 was confirmed by PCR genotyping (Jackson-Grusby et al. 2001). Our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all experiments.

Generation of transformed cells and leukemia

Retroviral supernatant was made as described (Krivtsov et al. 2006). Bone marrow LSK cells were transduced for 2 d, then sorted GFP+ cells were transplanted into sublethally irradiated (600 rad) recipients at 1 × 105 cells per mouse. For secondary transplants, 500 GFP+ L-GMPs were injected.

Western blot

Whole lysates from spleens of leukemic mice were separated by SDS-PAGE. Western blot was performed using monoclonal anti-Dnmt1 (Active Motif) or β-actin (Abcam).

Peripheral blood and bone marrow analysis

Peripheral blood differential counts were taken using an Act™ (Beckman Coulter). For analysis of LSK and GMP populations, lineage depletion of

5E]. Our observation of wild-type MLL binding at a subset of these loci with DNA methylation [Supplemental Fig. S5B–D] is not consistent with the known function of MLL in selectively binding unmethylated DNA (Birke et al. 2002). We speculate that this observation is a consequence of heterogeneity within the L-GMP population and the true co-occurrence of protein occupancy and methylation marks will be resolved with the development of technology to evaluate these at the single-cell or clonal resolution. In Dnmt1 haploinsufficient L-GMPs, reductions in DNA methylation, H3K4me3, and H3K27me3 allow the establishment of H3K79me2 at these loci, presumably mediated by a DOT1L-containing complex, and activation of transcription [Fig. 5F]. Together, these findings suggest that L-GMPs depend not only active expression of leukemogenic programs, but also reversible, DNA methylation-mediated silencing of bivalent chromatin domains to enforce transcriptional repression of genes involved in tumor suppression, hematopoietic lineage restriction, and apoptosis.

In MLL-AF9-induced AML, it has been previously demonstrated that a hypomorphic allele of Dnmt1 [Dnmt1−/−] impaired the self-renewal of LSCs [Bröské et al. 2009]. Importantly, this level of DNMT1 expression also significantly impaired normal hematopoiesis and HSC function [Bröské et al. 2009], leading to the hypothesis that both LSCs and HSCs are similarly dependent on moderate levels of DNMT1. Here, we demonstrate that haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 significantly impairs leukemogenesis and LSC self-renewal without altering normal hematopoiesis. Similarly in intestinal tract tumors, Dnmt1 haploinsufficiency was found to suppress tumor development [Laird et al. 1995], suggesting that a broad spectrum of tumor types may have enhanced dependence on Dnmt1. Two DNA methylation inhibitors, azacitidine and decitabine, have been FDA-approved for clinical use in the United States. In recent preclinical and clinical studies, therapeutic doses of decitabine were demonstrated to induce terminal differentiation of AML cells without altering normal HSC self-renewal [Negro et al. 2011], and azacitidine was found to have an acceptable safety profile and could substantially prevent or delay hematologic relapse in MDS and AML following HSC transplant [Platzbecker et al. 2011]. Despite these successes, the high-dose cytotoxic effects and chemical instability of these DNA methylation inhibitors have prompted the development of additional strategies to target DNA methylation [Fathi and Abdel-Wahab 2011]. Our work suggests that LSCs and leukemias have an increased dependence on or “addiction” to DNA methylation specifically mediated by Dnmt1 and that direct targeting of Dnmt1 will generate a favorable therapeutic index.
bone marrow cells and FACS were performed as described [Trowbridge et al. 2009].

**CFU assays**

GFP-transduced leukemia cells or L-GMPs were plated in MethoCult GF M3534 (StemCell Technologies) at 1000 or 5000 cells per plate and replated weekly at 1000 cells per plate. Colonies were scored on day 7.

**In vitro culture with DNA methylation inhibitors**

GMPS and L-GMPs (1×10⁶) were seeded in IMDM with 20% FCS, 20 ng/mL mSCF, 10 ng/mL mIL-6, 10 ng/mL mIL-3 [all Peprotech], 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and dilutions of decitabine and zebularine (Tocris). Viable cell counts were taken following 72 h of culture.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-quantitative PCR (qPCR)**

L-GMPs (1×10⁶) were processed for ChIP as previously described [Krivtsov et al. 2008] using antibodies against H3K4me3 (Abcam), H3K27me3 (Millipore), H3K79me2 (Abcam), H3K9ac (Millipore), and RNA Pol II (Millipore).

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analysis of survival curves was performed using the log-rank Mantel-Cox) test, bar graphs using the unpaired t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test, Venn diagrams by calculating the hyper-geometric probability of the overlapping region, and enrichment of bivalent chromatin domains using ratios comparing the observed overlap and expected overlap.

**Accession numbers**

Raw data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo] under accession numbers GSE31626 and GSE34261.
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