Sonic hedgehog acts cell-autonomously on muscle precursor cells to generate limb muscle diversity

Claire Anderson,^{1,6,7} Victoria C. Williams,^{2,6} Benjamin Moyon,³ Philippe Daubas,⁴ Shahragim Tajbakhsh,⁴ Margaret E. Buckingham,⁴ Toshihiko Shiroishi,⁵ Simon M. Hughes,² and Anne-Gaëlle Borycki^{1,8}

¹Department of Biomedical Science, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom; ²Randall Division for Cell and Molecular Biophysics, King's College, London SE1 1UL, United Kingdom; ³MRC Transgenic Facility, Imperial College, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 0NN, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Developmental Biology, Institut Pasteur, CNRS URA 2578, 75015 Paris, France; ⁵Mammalian Genetics Laboratory, Genetic Strains Research Center, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan

How muscle diversity is generated in the vertebrate body is poorly understood. In the limb, dorsal and ventral muscle masses constitute the first myogenic diversification, as each gives rise to distinct muscles. Myogenesis initiates after muscle precursor cells (MPCs) have migrated from the somites to the limb bud and populated the prospective muscle masses. Here, we show that Sonic hedgehog (Shh) from the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) drives myogenesis specifically within the ventral muscle mass. Shh directly induces ventral MPCs to initiate *Myf5* transcription and myogenesis through essential Gli-binding sites located in the *Myf5* limb enhancer. In the absence of Shh signaling, myogenesis is delayed, MPCs fail to migrate distally, and ventral paw muscles fail to form. Thus, Shh production in the limb ZPA is essential for the spatiotemporal control of myogenesis and coordinates muscle and skeletal development by acting directly to regulate the formation of specific ventral muscles.

[Keywords: myogenesis; Shh; limb; *Myf5*]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received January 20, 2012; revised version accepted July 24, 2012.

A central question in muscle development is how and when diversification of muscle precursor cells (MPCs) takes place for the generation of distinct muscles in the body. Skeletal muscles of the limbs represent a useful paradigm to address this question. Limb muscles originate from the paraxial mesoderm and, more specifically, from a subpopulation of epithelial progenitor cells residing in the ventro-lateral dermomyotome of somites (Fig. 1A; Ordahl and Le Douarin 1992). At around embryonic day 10.0 (E10.0) in mouse embryos, MPCs at limb axial levels undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and delaminate from the dermomyotome (see ① in Fig. 1A) under the action of *c-Met*, the receptor for hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor (HGF/SF) (Bladt et al. 1995; Brand-Saberi et al. 1996; Dietrich et al. 1999). They then migrate to the limb mesenchyme along two

routes: a lateral route that brings MPCs to the dorsal limb mesenchyme, and a ventral route heading toward the ventral limb mesenchyme (see ② in Fig. 1A). Migratory MPCs all express the homeobox-containing transcription factors *Lbx1* and *Pax3* and are thought not to be predestined to join ventral or dorsal muscle masses (Kardon et al. 2002). However, mutations in *Lbx1* or in *Cxcr4*, the chemokine receptor for stromal cell-derived factor 1 (Sdf1), affect the formation of specific muscles (Schafer and Braun 1999; Brohmann et al. 2000; Gross et al. 2000; Vasyutina et al. 2005), suggesting that distinct MPC subpopulations are differentially regulated. Once in the ventral or dorsal limb muscle masses, MPCs expand through proliferation (see ③ in Fig. 1A) and only then initiate the myogenic program (see ④ in Fig. 1A). In mice, the onset of the myogenic program involves the sequential activation of *Myf5* at E10.0 (Sassoon et al. 1989; Ott et al. 1991), followed by *MyoD* and *Myogenin* at E10.5–E11 (Sassoon et al. 1989). Mice lacking *Myf5* and *MyoD* function do not form limb muscles (Kassar-Duchossoy et al. 2004). Thus, in contrast to trunk muscles, where early *Mrf4* expression can compensate for loss of *Myf5* and *MyoD*, *Myf5* and

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁷Present address: Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

⁸Corresponding author

E-mail a.g.borycki@sheffield.ac.uk

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.187807.112>.

Figure 1. Shh signaling is required cell-autonomously for the initiation of myogenesis in ventral limb MPCs. (A) Schematic diagram representing the successive steps leading to limb myogenesis with delamination from the ventral dermomyotome (①), migration to the limb bud (②), proliferation and expansion of limb MPCs (③), and activation of the myogenic program with the activation of *Myf5* and *MyoD* (④). (B) Gene network controlling limb myogenesis. (C–T) Immunofluorescence analysis of Pax3 (green) and Myf5 (red) expression in forelimb bud transverse sections from E10.5 wild-type (WT) (C–E), *Shh*^{-/-} (F–H), *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{fllox/fllox} (I–K), *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} (L–N), *Shh*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} (O–Q), and *MFC51*^{-/-} (R–T) embryos. White arrows indicate the location of ventral limb MPCs. White stars indicate incomplete segregation of Pax3⁺ MPCs in dorsal and ventral muscle masses. (nt) Neural tube; (D) dorsal; (V) ventral; (Pr) proximal; (Dt) distal. Magnification: 200×.

MyoD are the key regulators of myogenic determination in the limb musculature (Kassar-Duchossoy et al. 2004). *Myf5* and *MyoD* are expressed with a different spatiotemporal pattern in MPCs of the ventral and dorsal masses and in forelimbs and hindlimbs. Thus, activation of *Myf5* in a

subset of Pax3⁺ cells is the earliest sign of muscle diversification in the limb. Significant progress has been made in the elucidation of *Myf5* and *MyoD* regulation (Mankoo et al. 1999; Carvajal et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2001; Hadchouel et al. 2003; Bajard et al. 2006; Buchberger et al.

2007; Giordani et al. 2007). However, the mechanism of *Myf5* activation and muscle diversification and how these are coordinated in space and time with the patterned limb axes is unknown.

Among major regulators of limb patterning is Sonic Hedgehog (Shh), which is expressed in the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) at the posterior margin of the limb bud and signals to adjacent cells to pattern the antero-posterior lateral plate-derived limb mesenchyme, including the cartilage and tendon elements (Riddle et al. 1993). Shh is also necessary for both maintenance of limb bud outgrowth—and thus the proximo-distal patterning of the limb (Niswander et al. 1994)—and aspects of dorso-ventral limb patterning (Tzchori et al. 2009). Numerous reports have suggested that Shh also regulates limb myogenesis in various ways, although it remains unknown whether these effects result from direct or indirect roles of Shh on MPCs (Amthor et al. 1998; Duprez et al. 1998; Kruger et al. 2001; Bren-Mattison and Olwin 2002; Li et al. 2004; Bren-Mattison et al. 2011).

Here, we use mouse genetics to disrupt Shh signaling in limb MPCs and show that Shh acts cell-autonomously on MPCs upon their entry into the limb bud to initiate *Myf5* expression in the ventral muscle masses of E10.5 embryos. Shh control of *Myf5* expression maps to four putative Gli-binding sites within the 5' region of the *Myf5* limb enhancer, which are essential for Gli-mediated enhancer activity in myoblasts and for reporter gene activity in ventral limb muscles *in vivo*. In addition, Shh signaling acts directly on limb MPCs to promote their distalward migration and the formation of muscles of the forepaws and hindpaws. Altogether, our data indicate a novel role for Shh/Gli signaling in the spatiotemporal control of *Myf5* activation in a subset of limb MPCs and reveal the existence of a specific MPC subpopulation directed by Shh to particular muscle fates within the limb bud.

Results

The ventral limb myogenic program is delayed in the absence of Shh signaling

To investigate the role of Shh signaling in limb myogenesis, we examined limb MPCs at E10.5, half a day after their entry into the limb bud (Fig. 1). Immunofluorescence on transverse sections of wild-type embryos revealed Pax3⁺ MPCs entering the ventral and dorsal muscle masses of the forelimb mesenchyme (Fig. 1C,E). *Myf5* protein was detected in MPCs within the dorsal and ventral muscle masses, marking the onset of the myogenic program (Fig. 1D,E; Ott et al. 1991). In E10.5 *Shh*^{-/-} embryos, we observed a severe reduction in the number of Myf5⁺ cells in the ventral forelimb, whereas the numbers of Myf5⁺ and Pax3⁺ cells in the dorsal forelimb appeared to not be significantly changed (Fig. 1F–H). A similar defect was observed in the hindlimbs of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos from E11.0 onward (Supplemental Fig. S1). To quantify this defect, we counted the number of Pax3⁺ and Myf5⁺ cells in the dorsal and ventral muscle masses of wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} forelimbs (Fig. 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1).

Confirming our observation, there was a 65% reduction in the number of Myf5⁺ cells ($P < 0.0001$) in the ventral limb of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos, accompanied by a more modest decrease (20%, $P = 0.0085$) in the number of Pax3⁺ cells (Fig. 2A–C; Supplemental Table S1). In the dorsal muscle mass of *Shh*^{-/-} limbs, the number of Pax3⁺ and Myf5⁺ cells

Figure 2. A specific defect in *Myf5* activation in the absence of Shh signaling. (A) Quantification of the number of Pax3⁺ cells per transverse section in E10.5 dorsal (gray shaded area) and ventral (yellow shaded area) forelimbs of mutant mouse embryos. (B) Quantification of the number of Myf5⁺ cells per transverse section in E10.5 dorsal (gray shaded area) and ventral (yellow shaded area) forelimbs of mutant mouse embryos. (C) Ratio of the number of Myf5⁺ to Pax3⁺ cells of wild-type (black) and *Shh*^{-/-} (red) ventral forelimb muscle masses between E10.0 and E11.5. Statistical analysis is described in Supplemental Table S1.

was generally not significantly different from controls, although a modest trend toward reduced Pax3 and Myf5 was apparent, likely to reflect the overall smaller size of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1). Thus, the absence of Shh differentially affects dorsal and ventral muscle masses, causing defective myogenic progression in the ventral limb.

The shortfall of Myf5⁺ MPCs in the absence of Shh does not appear to be due to a dorso-ventral patterning defect. Dorso-ventral patterning of the limb is established through mutually exclusive expression of *Wnt7a* in the dorsal ectoderm and *En1* in the ventral ectoderm, allowing for the dorsally restricted expression of *Lmx1b*, which specifies the dorsal limb mesenchyme (Supplemental Fig. S2A; Parr and McMahon 1995; Loomis et al. 1996; Cygan et al. 1997). As *En1* expression requires signaling through the BMP-RI receptor and BMP expression is altered in *Shh*^{-/-} mice (Ahn et al. 2001; Kruger et al. 2001; Ovchinnikov et al. 2006), it was possible that the failure in ventral limb myogenesis results from a dorso-ventral limb patterning defect in *Shh*^{-/-} mice (Supplemental Fig. S2A). However, although we observed a loss of *Bmp4* expression in the posterior limb mesenchyme associated with an expansion in the anterior limb mesenchyme (Supplemental Fig. S2B), there was no change in the respective ventral and dorsal expression domains of *En1* (Supplemental Fig. S2C) and *Wnt7a* (Supplemental Fig. S2D) in *Shh*^{-/-} compared with wild-type limbs. Consistent with this observation and with previous reports (Kraus et al. 2001), *Lmx1b* expression remained dorsally restricted in E10.5 *Shh*^{-/-} limbs (Supplemental Fig. S2E), indicating that dorso-ventral patterning was essentially normal in early *Shh*^{-/-} limbs.

Limb MPCs do not require Shh signaling to enter the limb bud, proliferate, or survive

The decrease in the number of Pax3⁺ MPCs in *Shh*^{-/-} ventral forelimbs suggests that Shh signaling is required to maintain a subset of limb MPCs. The possibility that Shh signaling directly controls Pax3 expression in MPCs is unlikely because the known Pax3 hypaxial enhancer has no Gli-binding site, and transgenic mice expressing a reporter gene under the control of the hypaxial Pax3 enhancer have no defect when crossed into a *Shh*^{-/-} background (Brown et al. 2005). Consistent with this, Pax3 mRNA expression in the dorsal and ventral muscle masses of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos was not detectably different from that of wild-type embryos (Fig. 3A). This implies that Shh controls a process downstream from Pax3 expression in limb MPCs.

Several separate populations of MPCs have been suggested to migrate into limb buds at successive developmental stages (van Swearingen and Lance-Jones 1993). We therefore examined the expression of genes implicated in MPC delamination from the dermomyotome and migration to the limb mesenchyme, including the tyrosine kinase receptor *c-Met* and its ligand, *HGF/SF* (Supplemental Fig. S3D,E); the chemokine receptor *Cxcr4* and its ligand, *Sdf1* (Supplemental Fig. S3G,H); and the transcrip-

tion factor *Lbx1* (Supplemental Fig. S3F; Jagla et al. 1995; Vasyutina et al. 2005). All genes presented similar levels of expression in wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} limbs at E10.5, indicating that delamination and migration were not grossly affected in the absence of Shh. Together, these data suggest that the reduced number of Pax3⁺ and Myf5⁺ MPCs in *Shh*^{-/-} limbs does not result from a defect in delamination or migration into the limb.

Ventral Pax3⁺ MPCs may fail to proliferate. We therefore examined the expression pattern of transcription factors known to be important for MPC expansion prior to myogenic differentiation: *Six1*, *Six4*, and *Meox2* (Mankoo et al. 1999, 2003; Laclef et al. 2003; Grifone et al. 2005). Despite an anterior expansion of *Six1* and *Six4* non-myogenic expression domains (yellow brackets in Supplemental Fig. S3A,B), most likely a consequence of the antero-posterior patterning defect in *Shh*^{-/-} limbs (Chiang et al. 2001), *Six1* and *Six4* expression was not affected in the dorsal and ventral muscle masses of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos (blue and red arrowheads in Supplemental Fig. S3A,B). In contrast, both *Meox2* expression domains in MPCs (black arrow in Supplemental Fig. S3C) and the distal mesenchyme (black arrowhead in Supplemental Fig. S3C) were noticeably reduced in *Shh*^{-/-} limbs, suggesting that the smaller population of Pax3⁺ MPCs in *Shh*^{-/-} limbs may result from an inefficient expansion of the MPC population in the ventral muscle masses. We next assayed the proliferation of limb MPCs by measuring the levels of EdU incorporation in wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} limbs. Overall, cell proliferation in *Shh*^{-/-} forelimbs was not significantly different from that of wild-type forelimbs at E10.5 (Fig. 3K), and the same average number of Pax3⁺/Ki67⁺ cells was present in both wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} ventral forelimbs (Fig. 3L).

Finally, we also assessed the survival of limb MPCs in the absence of Shh (Fig. 3H–J). Although apoptosis increases in the distal limb mesenchyme in the absence of Shh (red arrows in Fig. 3H,I), we only detected occasional Pax3⁺ cells undergoing apoptosis (average one cell per section) (orange arrow in Fig. 3I,J). This suggests that neither the *Meox2* down-regulation nor other effects in Shh-null embryos interfere with MPC proliferation or survival. As *Meox2* binds Pax3 protein (Stamatakis et al. 2001), it remains to be established whether the formation of this complex is important for Pax3 protein accumulation. Whatever the reason for Pax3 reduction, it is clear that lack of Shh causes a failure of normal Myf5 protein accumulation within Pax3⁺ cells of the ventral muscle mass (Fig. 2B).

ZPA-secreted Shh is required for ventral limb myogenesis

Considering that limb MPCs originate from the somite and Shh signaling has previously been shown to play essential roles in somite myogenesis (Borycki et al. 1999; McDermott et al. 2005), we wanted independent evidence that the defect in limb Myf5 activation was not a consequence of an earlier impairment at the time limb MPCs still reside in somites. To address this possibility, we examined the expression levels of Pax3 and Myf5 in the

Figure 3. MPC failure to initiate the myogenic program in the absence of Shh is not due to defective proliferation or survival. Expression of *Pax3* (A), *Myf5* (B), and *MyoD* (C) was examined by in situ hybridization in E10.5 wild-type (WT) and *Shh*^{-/-} forelimbs. Blue and red arrowheads indicate the dorsal and ventral muscle masses, respectively. Red asterisks indicate the loss of *Myf5* and *MyoD* expression in the ventral muscle mass. Note the abnormal pattern of ventral *MyoD* expression in E10.5 *Shh*^{-/-} forelimbs. Magnification: 200×. (D–G) *MyoD* distribution (green) in forelimbs from E10.5 wild-type (D), *Shh*^{-/-} (E), *Smo*^{flox/flox} (F), and *Pax3-Cre;Smo*^{flox/flox} (G) mice. White asterisks indicate the loss of *MyoD* in ventral muscle masses. (H–I) Immunofluorescence analysis of Pax3 (green) and activated Caspase 3 (red) distribution in E10.5 wild-type (H) and *Shh*^{-/-} (I) forelimbs. Red and orange arrows indicate apoptotic mesenchymal cells and MPCs, respectively. (J) Quantification of the average number of Caspase 3⁺ cells (red) and Pax3⁺Caspase 3⁺ cells (green) per section. One-way ANOVA analysis with Bonferroni post-test showed no significant difference in the number of Pax3⁺Caspase 3⁺ cells in wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} limbs. (K) Number of EdU⁺ cells per transverse section in the dorsal and ventral forelimb of E10.5 wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} embryos. Unpaired *t*-test analyses showed no significant differences. (L) Number of Pax3⁺Ki67⁺ cells per transverse section of E10.5 wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} ventral forelimbs.

limbs of *MFCS1*^{-/-} mice in which a distal enhancer that drives *Shh* expression in the limb bud ZPA has been deleted. *MFCS1*^{-/-} mice completely phenocopied the limb patterning defect of *Shh*^{-/-} embryos without showing any other *Shh*^{-/-}-associated defects (Sagai et al. 2004, 2005). Dorsal muscle masses were not affected in *MFCS1*^{-/-} mice (Figs. 1R–T, 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1). However, we observed a significant reduction in the number of Pax3⁺ (31.6%, *P* < 0.0001) and Myf5⁺ (48.3%, *P* < 0.0001) cells in the ventral forelimb of E10.5 *MFCS1*^{-/-} embryos (Figs. 1R–T, 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1). This observation

shows that the myogenic program in ventral limb MPCs depends on Shh signals produced in the limb itself and not in midline body tissues.

Shh signaling drives the initiation of limb myogenesis

To establish whether the lack of Myf5 in the absence of Shh reflected a failure of initiation or maintenance, Myf5 and Pax3 accumulation between E10.0 and E11.5 was examined and the ratio of Myf5⁺ to Pax3⁺ cells was determined in the ventral forelimb of wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-}

embryos (Fig. 2C). At E10.0, there was already a significant difference between wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} embryos. Although fewer Pax3⁺ MPCs were present in the *Shh*^{-/-} limb compared with wild type, there was a total absence of Myf5⁺ cells in *Shh*-deficient embryos at E10.0, resulting in a nil Myf5⁺/Pax3⁺ ratio. This indicates that in the absence of Shh, Myf5 fails to be activated. Thereafter, Myf5 accumulation recovered somewhat so that by E11.5, only a 20% shortfall in Myf5⁺ MPCs was detected in *Shh*^{-/-} limbs (Fig. 2C). Thus, an ongoing failure of Myf5 activation persists until at least E11.5 in the absence of Shh signaling.

Cell-autonomous requirement for Shh signaling in limb myogenesis

To address whether Shh signaling is required cell-autonomously in MPCs, we crossed mice carrying a conditional mutant allele of *Smoothed* (*Smo*^{flox}), encoding a transmembrane protein essential for Shh signaling (Long et al. 2001), to a *Pax3:Cre* driver line in which the Cre recombinase gene was introduced into the *Pax3* locus (Engleka et al. 2005) in order to eliminate Smo-mediated signaling specifically in all *Pax3*-expressing cells, including the limb MPCs. *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{flox/flox} limbs displayed a decrease in the number of Pax3⁺ cells in the ventral muscle mass comparable with that of *Shh*^{-/-} limbs (22%, *P* = 0.0208 in the ventral limb) (Figs. 1I,K, 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1). In addition, there was a 45% (*P* = 0.0005) decrease in the number of Myf5⁺ cells in *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{flox/flox} ventral limbs (Figs. 1J,K, 2A,B; Supplemental Table S1), indicating that Myf5 activation in ventral limb MPCs requires functional Smo proteins in a cell-intrinsic manner. Therefore, Myf5 expression appears to require Shh signaling cell-autonomously in limb MPCs.

Sonic hedgehog exerts its effect on limb MPCs through a Gli activator function

Shh signaling exerts dual roles on cells by acting on Gli proteins to prevent the formation of repressor forms of Gli2 and Gli3 and to promote the activator forms of Gli1, Gli2, and Gli3 (Ribes and Briscoe 2009). To establish whether the defect observed in *Shh*^{-/-} and *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{flox/flox} embryos was due to lack of Gli activator or persistence of Gli repressor forms, we compared the phenotype of *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} embryos, which lack all Gli activity (Bai et al. 2004; McDermott et al. 2005), with that of *Gli3*^{-/-}; *Shh*^{-/-} embryos, which lack the powerful repressor activity of Gli3. We found that Myf5 also failed to be activated in E10.5 *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{+/-} (data not shown) and *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} (Fig. 1L–N) ventral limbs, indicating that Shh signals are mediated through the Gli protein family. Furthermore, the loss of Myf5 in *Gli3*^{-/-}; *Shh*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 1O–Q) suggests that Shh requirement in Myf5 expression involves a Gli activator function.

Shh controls directly Myf5 activation in ventral limb MPCs

As Myf5 is the earliest MRF to be expressed in limb MPCs and is delayed in the absence of Shh signaling, we asked

whether Shh signaling directly controls *Myf5* transcription in ventral limb MPCs. A 10-kb enhancer at -48 kb upstream of the *Myf5* start site drives *Myf5* expression in the limb. In particular, a 1.4-kb fragment in the 5' part of the enhancer that contains two elements, H1 and H2, controls *Myf5* transcription in limbs and in the myotome, respectively (see Fig. 4A; Buchberger et al. 2003, 2007; Bajard et al. 2006). As previously reported (Hadchouel et al. 2003), transgenic mice expressing the *lacZ* reporter gene under the control of the 10-kb *Myf5* limb enhancer and *Myf5* minimal promoter display high-level reporter gene activity in both dorsal and ventral limb muscle masses at E10.5 (Fig. 4B,C). In contrast, reporter gene expression was significantly reduced in the ventral limb muscle mass of transgenic embryos crossed into a *Shh*^{-/-} background (*P* = 0.0003) (Fig. 4B,C) but was unchanged in the dorsal limb muscle mass. Thus, the ventral limb muscle phenotype encountered in *Shh*-deficient embryos maps to the *Myf5* limb enhancer.

We identified a number of putative Gli-binding sites clustered at either end of the 10-kb *Myf5* limb enhancer (Fig. 4A). These included four putative Gli-binding sites within a 1.4-kb region (-58 to 56.6) that includes H1 and H2, responsible for *Myf5* activation in limb MPCs and myotome (Hadchouel et al. 2003). To test whether Shh signaling controls directly *Myf5* activation in limb MPCs via the Gli proteins, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) using radioactively labeled oligonucleotides encompassing sites 1–4, located within the 1.4-kb enhancer (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Table S2). All four oligonucleotides formed specific complexes in the presence of nuclear extract from E10.5 mouse embryos, including high-molecular-weight complexes with a gel retardation pattern similar to complexes forming in the presence of an oligonucleotide containing the Gli-binding site from the *Myf5* epaxial enhancer previously found to bind Gli proteins (Fig. 4D; Gustafsson et al. 2002). Complex formation was competed by excess of unlabeled oligonucleotides but not by excess of mutated oligonucleotides in which the putative Gli-binding site was disrupted (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Table S2). Such high-molecular-weight complexes did not form when radiolabeled oligonucleotides were incubated in the presence of nuclear extract from *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} embryos (which also lack Gli1) (Fig. 4E; McDermott et al. 2005), suggesting that these high-molecular-weight complexes contain Gli proteins. To confirm that Gli proteins bind to and transactivate the *Myf5* limb enhancer, we cloned the wild-type 1.4-kb *Myf5* limb enhancer (named pGL3-214) and a mutated version (named pGL3-H1H2) in which all four putative Gli-binding sites were altered into the luciferase reporter construct pGL3. The wild-type enhancer (pGL3-214) yielded a weak increase in transcriptional activity compared with pGL3 alone in C2C12 myoblasts (Fig. 4F). In the presence of a construct expressing a constitutively active form of Gli2 (pGli2A), pGL3-214 transcriptional activity was greatly increased (Fig. 4F). In contrast, the mutated enhancer (pGL3-H1H2) displayed no transcriptional activity in either the presence or absence of pGli2A (Fig. 4F). Together, these data indicate that Gli protein-containing complexes

Figure 4. Gli-binding sites present in the *Myf5* limb enhancer are essential for *Myf5* activation in the ventral forelimb. (A) Schematic representation of the *Myf5/Mrf4* genomic locus indicating the position of the limb (dark blue), epaxial (deep blue) and branchial arch (light blue) enhancers. An enlargement of the 10-kb limb enhancer indicating the position of the 1.4-kb 5' region demonstrated previously to drive *Myf5* expression in the limb is shown. Putative Gli-binding sites are shown in black (with mismatch) and red (no mismatch). An enlargement of the 1.4-kb region is also represented, indicating the position of the putative Gli-binding sites 1–4 in relation to previously identified binding sites. The sequence conservation of sites 1–4 in humans, mice, and chicks is shown. (B) β -Gal staining of E10.5 transgenic embryos expressing LacZ under the control of the 10-kb *Myf5* limb enhancer in a wild-type (WT) background (left) and *Shh*^{-/-} background (right). Transverse sections through the forelimb are shown below. Note the reduced number of β -gal⁺ cells in the ventral limb. (C) Quantification of the number of β -gal⁺ cells present in the dorsal muscle mass (DMM) and ventral muscle mass (VMM) of wild-type and *Shh*^{-/-} transgenic embryos. Unpaired *t*-test analysis was performed. (D) EMSA analysis using oligonucleotides encompassing sites 1–4 and nuclear extract from E10.5 embryos in the presence or not of cold competitor oligonucleotides (wild type or with mutated Gli-binding sites). “EEE” shows the gel shift with an oligonucleotide encompassing the Gli-binding site from the *Myf5* epaxial enhancer. Arrows point to specific complexes that are not competed by cold oligonucleotides with mutated Gli sites. (E) EMSA analysis as in D in the presence of wild-type or *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} nuclear extract. Arrows indicate complexes that disappear in the presence of *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} nuclear extract. (F) Transactivation assay in C2C12 cells using the 1.4-kb *Myf5* enhancer with (H1H2) or without (214) Gli-binding sites 1–4 mutated in a luciferase reporter construct. Relative luciferase activity was determined after cotransfection of a control vector (pCIG) or a construct expressing a constitutively active form of Gli2 (pGli2A). (G) β -Gal staining of E10.5 and E11.0 transgenic embryos expressing LacZ under the control of the 1.4-kb enhancer with mutated Gli sites 1–4 (H1H2) compared with control transgenic mice containing either the 10-kb *Myf5* enhancer (–48LacZ) or the wild-type 1.4-kb enhancer (214). The left panel depicts the H1H2 construct and the mutations introduced into sites 1–4. Dashed lines indicate the boundary between proximal and distal MPC domains. Red arrows show the total absence of β -gal staining in the distal MPC domain.

can bind to and transactivate the 1.4-kb *Myf5* limb enhancer.

We next generated transient transgenic mice carrying a transgene that contains the β -galactosidase reporter gene under the control of either the wild-type 1.4-kb *Myf5* enhancer (214) or the mutant 1.4-kb enhancer (H1H2) (Fig. 4G). At E10.5, the mutated enhancer H1H2 failed to drive reporter gene expression in the ventral limb MPCs, and by E11.0, the most distal cells were especially affected compared with the control enhancer (Fig. 4G). These results confirm that Shh signaling directly controls *Myf5*

activation in ventral forelimb MPCs through the interaction of Gli activator proteins with one or more Gli-binding sites located in the 5' domain of the *Myf5* limb enhancer.

Impaired Shh signaling causes transient early loss of *MyoD* expression in the ventral forelimb and loss of specific autopod muscles at later stages

The absence of *Myf5* in the ventral limb of E10.5 *Shh*^{-/-} and *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{fllox/fllox} embryos suggests that some MPCs may fail to implement the myogenic program,

In hindlimbs, a milder, but nevertheless highly specific, phenotype was observed. At E13.5 and E14.5, there was a noticeable loss of some *MyoD* expression domains overlying digits 2–5 of the autopod (Fig. 5M–R). This correlated with the absence of specific distal muscles by E15.5 (Fig. 5S–W). The plantar (ventral) hindpaw musculature consists of four layers of muscles. At E15.5, compared with sibling embryos, layer 1 (abductor hallucis, flexor digitorum brevis, and abductor digiti minimi) and layer 2 (quadratus plantae and the lumbrical muscles) were completely missing from *Pax3:Cre;Smo^{flax/flax}* embryos (Fig. 5S,T,V–X). Layer 3 (flexor hallucis brevis, adductor hallucis, and flexor digiti minimi brevis) and layer 4 (interossei) were still grossly present but reduced in size (see Fig. 5W for a comparison). The stylopod and zeugopod of both

2110 GENES & DEVELOPMENT

forelimbs and hindlimbs, although smaller, appeared to have a full complement of muscles present. We conclude that Shh signaling is cell-autonomously required for the initiation of the myogenic program in the ventral muscle masses and for the formation of specific limb muscles in the autopod.

Loss of Myf5 is not solely responsible for the autopod defect

We next investigated whether the early delay in *Myf5* expression and myogenesis was linked to the later muscle defect. Although *Myf5*-deficient embryos have not been reported to have limb muscle defects (Kablar et al. 1997), we reasoned that a defect in autopod muscle formation in *Myf5*-deficient limbs would be consistent with a role for a specifically *Myf5*-dependent MPC population in the formation of distal limb muscles. Thus, we examined autopod muscle formation in E14.5 and E15.5 *Myf5^{nLacZ}* mutant embryos (Tajbakhsh et al. 1996). At E14.5, we observed a reduction in *MyoD* expression and variable reduction of autopod muscles—in particular, interosseal muscles (Supplemental Fig. S4). However, by E15.5, most of this phenotype was resolved; muscles were present, and paws did not show the severe defect observed in *Smo*-deficient embryos (data not shown). Thus, we concluded that early delay in *Myf5* activation in the limb ventral muscle masses is not solely responsible for the loss of

autopod muscles at later stages in the absence of Shh signaling.

Shh signaling promotes distalward migration of MPCs

Loss of autopod muscles could arise from failure of distal migration of limb MPCs. Consistent with this possibility, we noticed that the distal mesenchymal domain of *Sdf1* expression was missing in *Shh*^{-/-} forelimbs, whereas the proximal domain was unaffected at E11.5 (red arrows in Supplemental Fig. S5A). This was not due to Shh action on MPCs, as *Sdf1* expression in *Pax3:Cre;Smo^{flox/flox}* limbs was unaffected (data not shown). As *Sdf1* signaling through *Cxcr4* has been implicated in the distal migration of dorsal MPCs (Vasyutina et al. 2005), this finding suggests that the migration of distal, but not proximal, MPCs may be affected in *Shh*^{-/-} embryos. Indeed, we observed that the MPC markers *c-Met* and *Lbx1* mRNAs were reduced or absent from the distal limbs of E12.0 *Shh*^{-/-} embryos (red arrows in Supplemental Fig. S5B,C), indicating that MPCs fail to populate the distal limb mesenchyme in the absence of Shh.

To investigate MPC migration, we examined the fate of MPCs lacking *Smo* by crossing *Pax3:Cre;Smo^{flox/+}* mice with the reporter *Rosa26-EYFP* mice. Whereas YFP⁺ Pax3-descendant cells migrated to the same extent in E10.5 wild-type and *Smo*-deficient limbs (Fig. 6A–D; Supplemental Fig. S6A–D), there was a noticeable absence of *Smo*-

Figure 6. Defects in forelimb autopod myogenesis in mice with *Smo*-deficient MPCs are first detected at E11.5 in the distal-most migratory MPC pool. (A–D) At E10.5, migration of Pax3 lineage cells, as revealed by Rosa26EYFP labeling, into the forelimb bud of *Smo*-deficient mice (C,D) is undistinguishable from that of control siblings (A,B). (E–H) At E11.5, a deficit in EYFP⁺ cells at the distal tip of the migrating ventral cohort of MPCs is observed in *Smo*-deficient forelimbs (G,H) compared with control forelimbs (E,F). The white dotted lines outline the ventral muscle mass and its distal tip. Note the ventral-specific migration delay of EYFP⁺ cells in *Smo*-deficient forelimbs (cf. green arrows in h',h'' and f',f''). (I,J) At E13.5, there is a complete absence of Pax3 lineage muscle cells (EYFP⁺) in the autopod of *Smo*-deficient mice (green arrow), whereas Pax3 lineage Schwann cell precursors are unaffected (white arrow). The loss of EYFP⁺ cells in distal muscles correlates with the absence of Pax3⁺ and MyoD⁺ cells in the autopod (cf. j'',j''' and i'',i'''), but not in the zeugopod (i',j'). Red lines indicate the level of transverse and longitudinal sections. Bar, 20 μm.

deficient YFP⁺ cells in the distal domain of E11.5 limbs compared with *Pax3:Cre;Smo^{flox/+};Rosa26-EYFP* controls (Fig. 6E,G). This absence of YFP⁺ Pax3-descendent cells coincided with a loss of Myf5- and MyoD-positive cells in the distal but not proximal domain of the ventral muscle mass (Fig. 6h',h"). At E13.5, no YFP⁺ muscle cells could be detected in the autopod muscles, whereas stylopod and zeugopod muscles appeared normally patterned in *Smo*-deficient limbs (Figs. 6I,J; Supplemental Fig. S6I,J). Notably, YFP⁺ cells were observed associated with autopod median and ulnar nerves, which we attributed to Pax3⁺ neural crest-derived Schwann cell precursors (white arrows in Fig. 6J,j"; Kiousi et al. 1995). Both the neural YFP⁺ pattern and nerve branching were normal in *Smo*-deficient limbs, indicating that the muscle defect observed is autonomous of MPCs. Together, our findings indicate that Shh signaling is necessary for the distalward migration of MPCs and the formation of autopod muscles. Furthermore, our results show that early loss of Myf5 alone in the ventral muscle masses does not prevent migration of autopod MPCs and is therefore not solely responsible for the autopod defects observed when MPCs lack Shh signaling.

Discussion

Our study provides new insight into the role of Shh signaling in limb myogenesis. We show that Shh is required cell-autonomously in ventral limb MPCs for the spatio-temporal control of *Myf5* activation and MPC migration and, subsequently, for the formation of muscles of the autopod. Therefore, Shh acts to promote myogenesis by a subpopulation of MPCs destined for particular muscle fates.

Shh signaling controls the onset of the myogenic program in ventral limb MPCs cell-autonomously

We demonstrate that Shh acts directly on a subset of limb MPCs in the ventral muscle mass. Previous studies investigating the role of Shh and *lhh* in limb myogenesis have reported that limb MPCs are driven to proliferate (Duprez et al. 1998) or survive (Kruger et al. 2001; Bren-Mattison et al. 2011), change the balance between proliferation and differentiation (Amthor et al. 1998; Bren-Mattison and Olwin 2002), or undergo a slow specific myogenic program (Li et al. 2004) by Hedgehog signals. However, it remained unclear whether any of these effects of Shh act directly on MPCs or indirectly through the limb mesenchyme *in vivo*. Here, we used a combination of genetically altered mice, including a conditional allele that specifically eliminates the activity of Smoothed, the receptor essential for Hedgehog signal transduction in MPCs, to investigate the direct effects of Shh on limb MPCs. We show that in the mouse limb Shh is not required for the proliferation or survival of MPCs. Similar findings using a conditional allele that specifically eliminates *Smo* activity in limb MPCs are presented in the accompanying study (Hu et al. 2012). Together, these data indicate that the effects of Shh on proliferation and

survival of MPCs in early limb buds are indirect and/or triggered by the gain-of-function approaches used previously. In contrast, our data demonstrate that limb-derived Shh directly promotes *Myf5* transcription and MyoD accumulation in the earliest MPCs of the ventral muscle mass in a manner akin to the direct promotion by Hh of myogenic progression observed in early somitic muscle formation from zebrafish to mice (Blagden et al. 1997; Borycki et al. 1999; Wolff et al. 2003).

Shh acts preferentially on ventral muscle mass MPCs

Failure to initiate *Myf5* activation was specifically observed in the ventral muscle mass of Shh-defective limbs, indicating that Shh acts on a subpopulation of limb MPCs to promote myogenesis. Two underlying mechanisms could account for the ventrally restricted defect observed in the absence of Shh signals. Shh could promote myogenesis by a subpopulation of MPCs that already has distinct properties, including distinct Shh responsiveness, prior to their ingress into the limb bud. Previous fate mapping studies have suggested that although some clones can contribute to the full complement of limb muscles, MPCs entering the chick limb bud at different stages may have restricted fates (van Swearingen and Lance-Jones 1993; Kardon et al. 2002; Rees et al. 2003). Such MPC heterogeneity would fit well with our finding that not all ventral muscle mass MPCs need Shh for *Myf5* accumulation. Alternatively, local variation of signaling molecules (including Hedgehog) might act on ingressing limb MPCs and could induce a distinct subpopulation of cells in the ventral muscle mass from an otherwise homogeneous MPC population. Although neither *Shh* nor *Ptch1* mRNAs appear unequally distributed between dorsal and ventral limb regions, a preferential effect on ventral limb MPCs of Shh signaling was previously noted in *Shh^{-/-}* mice (Kruger et al. 2001) and in chick hindlimbs when Shh signaling was blocked by overexpressing a *Gli1* repressor form (Bren-Mattison and Olwin 2002). Our study now shows that Shh mediates myogenesis by a subpopulation of ventral limb MPCs in a cell-autonomous manner that is likely to be conserved among amniotes. Furthermore, as *Myf5* is induced in the dorsal muscle mass, we conclude that myogenesis proceeds without the aid of the Shh/*Gli* pathway in the dorsal limb and that Shh acts specifically on a subpopulation of limb MPCs.

Myf5 is a direct target of Shh signals in ventral limb MPCs

Consistent with our findings that Shh directly controls *Myf5* transcription through *Gli* protein-mediated binding to the *Myf5* limb enhancer, many limb MPCs are labeled in the inducible *Gli*-responsive reporter line *Gli1-CreERT2* (Ahn and Joyner 2004). Pax3 and Six1/4, which also control the activity of the *Myf5* limb enhancer (Bajard et al. 2006; Giordani et al. 2007), are already expressed in MPCs prior to their migration into the limb bud and are expressed similarly in dorsal and ventral MPCs. Additional signals and/or transcriptional activators are therefore required to coordinate *Myf5* activation with the arrival of MPCs in the

limb bud. We show that Shh is one such signal that acts directly on *Myf5* transcription in ventral limb MPCs through the interaction of Gli proteins with one or more Gli-binding sites located within the 5' region of the *Myf5* limb enhancer. This provides a new framework to understand how the onset of myogenesis is regulated in limb MPCs (Fig. 7). In this model, the onset of Shh expression in the limb ZPA at E9.75 (Echelard et al. 1993) provides temporal control of Gli transcription factor activity to mediate the precise timing of *Myf5* transcription, acting in combination with Pax3 and Six1/4. Whereas previous reports have uncovered mechanisms that inhibit the myogenic program in migrating MPCs (Bendall et al. 1999; Lee et al. 2004), no other study has identified a mechanism responsible for timing the onset of myogenesis in limb MPCs. Our finding that limb, not axially derived, Shh expression controls *Myf5* provides a powerful mechanism to coordinate *Myf5* transcription with the arrival of MPCs in the limb bud mesenchyme. Consistent with this, precocious development of forelimb muscles in marsupial embryos correlates with a much earlier onset of limb Shh expression (Keyte and Smith 2011).

Myf5 and MyoD may define different myogenic stages in limb MPCs

Our data that *Myf5* loss at E10.5 results in a transient loss of *MyoD* indicates that, as in the trunk, *Myf5* acts upstream of *MyoD* to initiate the myogenic program. *MyoD* is likely to drive MPCs toward terminal differentiation, and, interestingly, its expression in the ventral forelimb

was recently reported to be temporally controlled by *Sim2* (Havis et al. 2012). Consistent with this, a *Myogenin-lacZ* transgene is not expressed in *MyoD*^{-/-} limbs, whereas some expression occurs in *Myf5*^{-/-} limbs (Kablar et al. 2003). *Myogenin* expression is also delayed in mice lacking the 258-base-pair (bp) *MyoD* core enhancer responsible for the early limb expression (Chen and Goldhamer 2004). Furthermore, transgenic mice expressing β -galactosidase under the control of the 258-bp *MyoD* core enhancer present a reduced transgene expression at E10.5 in *Myf5*^{-/-} limbs and no expression in *MyoD*^{-/-}; *Myf5*^{-/-} limbs (Kablar et al. 1999). Interestingly, this regulatory relationship is mainly active during primary myogenesis, as by E11.5, both *MyoD* and *Myf5* expression appear to be regulated by different *cis*-regulatory elements, most likely in preparation for secondary myogenesis (Kablar et al. 1997, 1999; Hadchouel et al. 2003). This may in part explain the “recovery” of *Myf5* and *MyoD* expression observed in the present study, which coincides with the timing of *Shh* down-regulation in the ZPA (Echelard et al. 1993).

Shh-dependent limb MPCs are differentially recruited into muscles along the proximo-distal axis

We and Hu et al. (2012) now both report that mice in which limb MPCs are unable to respond to Shh lack all forelimb autopod muscles and specific muscles of the autopod in hindlimbs (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, most proximal muscles are present in these mice, again raising the issue of heterogeneity within the limb MPC population.

Figure 7. Model of direct Shh signaling driving muscle diversification in the forelimb through spatiotemporal control of myogenesis and distal MPC migration. In E9.75 wild-type (WT) embryos, Shh is not expressed in the limb, and thus migrating MPCs (red) do not initiate the myogenic program despite the presence of known regulators of *Myf5* expression (Pax3 and Six1/4). With Shh expression (brown) initiating in the limb ZPA around E10.0, Gli activator forms (GliA) are generated, which bind to and cooperatively activate the *Myf5* limb enhancer together with Pax3 and Six1/4 in ventral limb MPCs (green). *Myf5* activation allows for *MyoD* expression and entry into the myogenic program. At E11.5, both *Myf5* and *MyoD* can now be activated via a Smo-independent mechanism (blue), allowing for a recovery of the myogenic program in *Pax3:Cre;Smo*^{flox/flox} mice. At E11.5, Shh signaling acts also in a cell-autonomous manner to promote the distal migration of ventral MPCs, thus segregating a limb MPC population to a pool of MPCs destined to form autopod muscles at E14.5 (in green).

Our analysis of the *Myf5^{nLacZ}* mutant mice indicates that, while we cannot rule out a contribution of an early MPC subpopulation that fails to activate *Myf5* to autopod muscles, it is unlikely that loss of such a MPC population is solely responsible for the distal muscle defect observed in *Smo*-deficient limbs. Although it is possible that the subpopulation of MPCs that forms autopod muscles may require longer/stronger *Shh* exposure, our data (and those of the accompanying study by Hu et al. [2012]) point to the absence of Pax3⁺YFP⁺ cells in the distal limb. This suggests that *Shh* signaling may be required for distalward migration of the subpopulation of MPCs destined to form autopod muscles. This is consistent with previous observations that MPCs fail to migrate and populate distal muscles in *talpid³* chickens (Lee and Ede 1989), which have defective *Shh* responsiveness (Davey et al. 2006). Indeed, further studies by Hu et al. (2012) identified *Net1* as a target of *Shh* signaling, mediating its migratory function in limb MPCs. Thus, a role of *Shh* from the ZPA is to segregate the limb MPC population in order to allocate a pool of MPCs to the distal limb for the formation of the autopod muscles.

Shh from the ZPA specifies an antero-posterior muscle pattern within the limb (Duprez et al. 1999). Although much of this action is likely to be through an indirect effect of *Shh* on limb mesenchyme, we observed MPC-autonomous preservation of hindlimb autopod muscles in the most anterior and posterior locations but loss of muscles at intermediate positions within the limb. Thus, *Shh* signals to MPCs subdivide the limb MPC pool not only in the dorso-ventral and proximo-distal dimensions, but also the antero-posterior. It seems possible that, during evolution of the amniote autopod, an existing system of direct control of MPC behavior was co-opted to play a more significant role in autopod myogenesis. Such findings may have important implications for our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the great heterogeneity and diversity of muscles affected in muscle dystrophies (Emery 2002).

Materials and methods

Mouse lines

Shh^{+/-} (Chiang et al. 1996), *Gli2*^{+/-}; *Gli3*^{+/-} (Mo et al. 1997), *Shh*^{+/-}; *Gli3*^{+/-}, *MFCS1*^{+/-} (Sagai et al. 2005), *Rosa26-YFP* (Srinivas et al. 2001), *Myf5^{nLacZ/+}* (Tajbakhsh et al. 1996), *B6;129-Pax3^{tm1(cre)lof}* (Engleka et al. 2005), and *Smo^{tm2Amc}* (Long et al. 2001) were maintained as described. -48*Myf5nLacZ* mice were maintained as heterozygous and bred to *Shh*^{+/-} mice to generate -48*Myf5nLacZ;Shh*^{+/-} mice. E0.5 was the day vaginal plugs were found. Embryos were harvested between E10.0 and E15.5 by caesarian section, and genotyping was performed on yolk sac DNA by PCR with primers described previously (Chiang et al. 1996; Mo et al. 1997; Long et al. 2001; Maynard et al. 2002; Engleka et al. 2005; Sagai et al. 2005). -48*Myf5nLacZ* mice were genotyped using the primers 48Wt-F (5'-GGCTGGGAGAC TATTAATGACTGC-3'), 48Wt-R (5'-GGCCTATGTGCAACAG CAAGAG-3'), and pA-F2 (5'-CCCCTGAACCTGAAACATAA AATGAATGC-3') to detect a 230-bp wild-type PCR product and a 260-bp transgene PCR product.

Whole-mount and section in situ hybridization

Embryos were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% formaldehyde + 2 mM EGTA in PBS (pH 7.5) and processed for whole-mount in situ hybridization using DIG-labeled RNA probes as previously described (Anderson et al. 2009). Whole-mount images were captured on a stereomicroscope (Leica) using a Spot digital camera (Spot Insight Color). Transverse sections (80 µm) were cut from embryos embedded in 2% agarose in PBS using a Vibratome 1500 and mounted in Glycergel (Dako). Sections were photographed on a DMR microscope using DIC optics (Leica) and captured using a Leica camera, DC300FX. In situ hybridization on sections was performed on 15-µm cryosections. Probes used in this study are described in Supplemental Table S3.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was essentially performed as described previously (Anderson et al. 2007). Antibodies used in this study are described in Supplemental Table S4. Images were captured on a BX51 microscope (Olympus) using a Hamamatsu digital camera or Zeiss Axiophot with Axiocam and Openlab image capture software (Improvisions). Images were treated using Photoshop (Adobe) software.

X-Gal staining

Embryos were fixed in 2% PFA for 30–60 min at room temperature, washed in lacZ rinse solution (5 mM EGTA, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% NP40 [Igepal], 2 mM MgCl₂ in PBS), and stained for 8–16 h at 37°C in lacZ rinse solution containing 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆, and 1 mg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal, Invitrogen).

EdU labeling

Pregnant female mice received an intraperitoneal injection of 1.33 mM EdU (Click-iT EdU kit, catalog no. C10339, Invitrogen) in 300 µL of PBS 90 min before harvesting the embryos. Embryos were cryo-embedded, and cryosections were taken as described above. Sections were rehydrated for 30 min in 1× PBS, washed twice for 5 min each in 3% BSA (bovine serum albumine) in PBS, permeabilized for 15 min in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, and washed twice for 5 min each in 3% BSA in PBS before the incubation with the labeling reaction mix for 30 min according to the manufacturer's protocol. Following incubation, sections were washed twice for 5 min each in 3% BSA in PBS before immunodetection of Pax3.

EMSA

Nuclear extract was prepared from E10.5 C57BL/6 or E10.5 *Gli2*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} mouse embryos, and EMSAs were performed using radiolabeled oligonucleotides (described in Supplemental Table S2) as previously described (Coy et al. 2011).

Transactivation assay

C2C12 myoblasts were cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS (HyClone Laboratories, Inc.). Cells were seeded at 6 × 10⁵ cells per well in a six-well plate 16 h prior to transfection. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Plasmids used were pGL3-214 in which the -58-56.6 *Myf5* enhancer was cloned into the firefly luciferase reporter construct (pGL3-Promoter, Promega), pGL3-H1H2 in which the four puta-

tive Gli-binding sites of pGL3-214 were mutated, and pGli2^{act} in which constitutively active mouse Gli2 was cloned into pCIG vector. Transfection efficiency was assessed by cotransfecting pRL-SV40, which expresses the Renilla luciferase. Thirty-six hours after transfection, firefly luciferase and renilla luciferase activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter (DLR) Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol on a luminometer (Berthold Sirius)

Generation of transgenic mice

The -58-56.6BAMy5nLacZ transgene construct (Hadchouel et al. 2003) was mutated on all four putative Gli-binding sites by site-directed mutagenesis to generate the -58-56.6^{mut}BAMy5nLacZ transgene construct. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out following the protocol described in Coy et al. (2011). Primers used are described in Supplemental Table S2. Transgene DNA purification was performed as described previously (Kelly et al. 1995). Pronuclear injections on single-cell embryos were carried out, and injected eggs were reimplanted into pseudo-pregnant foster females and staged as E0.5.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jimmy Hu and Cliff Tabin for sharing unpublished results. We are grateful to Susanne Dietrich, Thomas Braun, Christine Hartmann, Baljinder Mankoo, Martin Goulding, Carmen Birchmeier, Pascal Maire, Cliff Tabin, Delphine Duprez, Gabrielle Kardon, Chi-Chung Hui, Lisa Goodrich, and Andy McMahon for kindly providing us with mouse probes. We also thank Jonathan Epstein, Malcolm Logan, and Andrew McMahon for mouse strains. We thank Marysia Placzek for sharing her equipment. Thanks to Michelle Down, who contributed to early studies. A.G.B.'s laboratory was supported by grants from the European Commission Sixth Framework Programme (MYORES contract 511978) and from the AFM, which also supported work in M.E.B.'s laboratory. S.M.H. is an MRC Scientist with Programme Grant support. C.A. was supported by funding from the European Commission and by a Wellcome Trust Value in People award.

References

- Ahn S, Joyner AL. 2004. Dynamic changes in the response of cells to positive hedgehog signaling during mouse limb patterning. *Cell* **118**: 505–516.
- Ahn K, Mishina Y, Hanks MC, Behringer RR, Crenshaw EB 3rd. 2001. BMPR-1A signaling is required for the formation of the apical ectodermal ridge and dorsal–ventral patterning of the limb. *Development* **128**: 4449–4461.
- Amthor H, Christ B, Weil M, Patel K. 1998. The importance of timing differentiation during limb muscle development. *Curr Biol* **8**: 642–652.
- Anderson C, Winder SJ, Borycki AG. 2007. Dystroglycan protein distribution coincides with basement membranes and muscle differentiation during mouse embryogenesis. *Dev Dyn* **236**: 2627–2635.
- Anderson C, Thorsteinsdottir S, Borycki AG. 2009. Sonic hedgehog-dependent synthesis of laminin α 1 controls basement membrane assembly in the myotome. *Development* **136**: 3495–3504.
- Bai CB, Stephen D, Joyner AL. 2004. All mouse ventral spinal cord patterning by hedgehog is Gli dependent and involves an activator function of Gli3. *Dev Cell* **6**: 103–115.
- Bajard L, Relaix F, Lagha M, Rocancourt D, Daubas P, Buckingham ME. 2006. A novel genetic hierarchy functions during hypaxial myogenesis: Pax3 directly activates Myf5 in muscle progenitor cells in the limb. *Genes Dev* **20**: 2450–2464.
- Bendall AJ, Ding J, Hu G, Shen MM, Abate-Shen C. 1999. Msx1 antagonizes the myogenic activity of Pax3 in migrating limb muscle precursors. *Development* **126**: 4965–4976.
- Bladt F, Riethmacher D, Isenmann S, Aguzzi A, Birchmeier C. 1995. Essential role for the c-met receptor in the migration of myogenic precursor cells into the limb bud. *Nature* **376**: 768–771.
- Blagden CS, Currie PD, Ingham PW, Hughes SM. 1997. Notochord induction of zebrafish slow muscle mediated by Sonic hedgehog. *Genes Dev* **11**: 2163–2175.
- Borycki AG, Brunk B, Tajbakhsh S, Buckingham M, Chiang C, Emerson CP Jr. 1999. Sonic hedgehog controls epaxial muscle determination through Myf5 activation. *Development* **126**: 4053–4063.
- Brand-Saberi B, Muller TS, Wilting J, Christ B, Birchmeier C. 1996. Scatter factor/hepatocyte growth factor (SF/HGF) induces emigration of myogenic cells at interlimb level in vivo. *Dev Biol* **179**: 303–308.
- Bren-Mattison Y, Olwin BB. 2002. Sonic hedgehog inhibits the terminal differentiation of limb myoblasts committed to the slow muscle lineage. *Dev Biol* **242**: 130–148.
- Bren-Mattison Y, Hausburg M, Olwin BB. 2011. Growth of limb muscle is dependent on skeletal-derived Indian hedgehog. *Dev Biol* **356**: 486–495.
- Brohm H, Jagla K, Birchmeier C. 2000. The role of Lbx1 in migration of muscle precursor cells. *Development* **127**: 437–445.
- Brown CB, Engleka KA, Wenning J, Min Lu M, Epstein JA. 2005. Identification of a hypaxial somite enhancer element regulating Pax3 expression in migrating myoblasts and characterization of hypaxial muscle Cre transgenic mice. *Genesis* **41**: 202–209.
- Buchberger A, Nomokonova N, Arnold HH. 2003. Myf5 expression in somites and limb buds of mouse embryos is controlled by two distinct distal enhancer activities. *Development* **130**: 3297–3307.
- Buchberger A, Freitag D, Arnold HH. 2007. A homeo-paired domain-binding motif directs Myf5 expression in progenitor cells of limb muscle. *Development* **134**: 1171–1180.
- Carvajal JJ, Cox D, Summerbell D, Rigby PW. 2001. A BAC transgenic analysis of the Mrf4/Myf5 locus reveals interdigitated elements that control activation and maintenance of gene expression during muscle development. *Development* **128**: 1857–1868.
- Chen JC, Goldhamer DJ. 2004. The core enhancer is essential for proper timing of MyoD activation in limb buds and branchial arches. *Dev Biol* **265**: 502–512.
- Chen JC, Love CM, Goldhamer DJ. 2001. Two upstream enhancers collaborate to regulate the spatial patterning and timing of MyoD transcription during mouse development. *Dev Dyn* **221**: 274–288.
- Chiang C, Litingtung Y, Lee E, Young KE, Corden JL, Westphal H, Beachy PA. 1996. Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog gene function. *Nature* **383**: 407–413.
- Chiang C, Litingtung Y, Harris MP, Simandl BK, Li Y, Beachy PA, Fallon JF. 2001. Manifestation of the limb prepatterning: Limb development in the absence of sonic hedgehog function. *Dev Biol* **236**: 421–435.
- Coy S, Caamano JH, Carvajal J, Cleary ML, Borycki AG. 2011. A novel Gli3 enhancer controls the Gli3 spatiotemporal expression pattern through a TALE homeodomain protein binding site. *Mol Cell Biol* **31**: 1432–1443.
- Cygan JA, Johnson RL, McMahon AP. 1997. Novel regulatory interactions revealed by studies of murine limb pattern in Wnt-7a and En-1 mutants. *Development* **124**: 5021–5032.

- Davey MG, Paton IR, Yin Y, Schmidt M, Bangs FK, Morrice DR, Smith TG, Buxton P, Stamatakis D, Tanaka M, et al. 2006. The chicken talpid3 gene encodes a novel protein essential for Hedgehog signaling. *Genes Dev* **20**: 1365–1377.
- Dietrich S, Abou-Rebyeh F, Brohmann H, Bladt F, Sonnenberg-Riethmacher E, Yamaai T, Lumsden A, Brand-Saberi B, Birchmeier C. 1999. The role of SF/HGF and c-Met in the development of skeletal muscle. *Development* **126**: 1621–1629.
- Duprez D, Fournier-Thibault C, Le Douarin N. 1998. Sonic Hedgehog induces proliferation of committed skeletal muscle cells in the chick limb. *Development* **125**: 495–505.
- Duprez D, Lapointe F, Edom-Vovard F, Kostakopoulou K, Robson L. 1999. Sonic hedgehog (SHH) specifies muscle pattern at tissue and cellular chick level, in the chick limb bud. *Mech Dev* **82**: 151–163.
- Echelard Y, Epstein DJ, St-Jacques B, Shen L, Mohler J, McMahon JA, McMahon AP. 1993. Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative signaling molecules, is implicated in the regulation of CNS polarity. *Cell* **75**: 1417–1430.
- Emery AE. 2002. The muscular dystrophies. *Lancet* **359**: 687–695.
- Engleka KA, Gitler AD, Zhang M, Zhou DD, High FA, Epstein JA. 2005. Insertion of Cre into the Pax3 locus creates a new allele of Sp100 and identifies unexpected Pax3 derivatives. *Dev Biol* **280**: 396–406.
- Giordani J, Bajard L, Demignon J, Daubas P, Buckingham M, Maire P. 2007. Six proteins regulate the activation of Myf5 expression in embryonic mouse limbs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 11310–11315.
- Grifone R, Demignon J, Houbrion C, Souil E, Niro C, Seller MJ, Hamard G, Maire P. 2005. Six1 and Six4 homeoproteins are required for Pax3 and Mrf expression during myogenesis in the mouse embryo. *Development* **132**: 2235–2249.
- Gross MK, Moran-Rivard L, Velasquez T, Nakatsu MN, Jagla K, Goulding M. 2000. Lbx1 is required for muscle precursor migration along a lateral pathway into the limb. *Development* **127**: 413–424.
- Gustafsson MK, Pan H, Pinney DF, Liu Y, Lewandowski A, Epstein DJ, Emerson Jr CP. 2002. Myf5 is a direct target of long-range Shh signaling and Gli regulation for muscle specification. *Genes Dev* **16**: 114–126.
- Hadchouel J, Carvajal JJ, Daubas P, Bajard L, Chang T, Rocancourt D, Cox D, Summerbell D, Tajbakhsh S, Rigby PW, et al. 2003. Analysis of a key regulatory region upstream of the Myf5 gene reveals multiple phases of myogenesis, orchestrated at each site by a combination of elements dispersed throughout the locus. *Development* **130**: 3415–3426.
- Havis E, Coumilleau P, Bonnet A, Bismuth K, Bonnini MA, Johnson R, Fan CM, Relais F, Shi DL, Duprez D. 2012. Sim2 prevents entry into the myogenic program by repressing MyoD transcription during limb embryonic myogenesis. *Development* **139**: 1910–1920.
- Hu JKH, McGlinn E, Harfe BD, Kardon G, Tabin C. 2012. Autonomous and nonautonomous roles of hedgehog signaling in regulating limb muscle formation. *Genes Dev* (this issue). doi: 10.1101/gad.187385.112.
- Jagla K, Dolle P, Mattei MG, Jagla T, Schuhbauer B, Dretzen G, Bellard F, Bellard M. 1995. Mouse Lbx1 and human LBX1 define a novel mammalian homeobox gene family related to the *Drosophila* lady bird genes. *Mech Dev* **53**: 345–356.
- Jeong J, Mao J, Tenzen T, Kottmann AH, McMahon AP. 2004. Hedgehog signaling in the neural crest cells regulates the patterning and growth of facial primordia. *Genes Dev* **18**: 937–951.
- Kablar B, Krastel K, Ying C, Asakura A, Tapscott SJ, Rudnicki MA. 1997. MyoD and Myf-5 differentially regulate the development of limb versus trunk skeletal muscle. *Development* **124**: 4729–4738.
- Kablar B, Krastel K, Ying C, Tapscott SJ, Goldhamer DJ, Rudnicki MA. 1999. Myogenic determination occurs independently in somites and limb buds. *Dev Biol* **206**: 219–231.
- Kablar B, Krastel K, Tajbakhsh S, Rudnicki MA. 2003. Myf5 and MyoD activation define independent myogenic compartments during embryonic development. *Dev Biol* **258**: 307–318.
- Kardon G, Campbell JK, Tabin CJ. 2002. Local extrinsic signals determine muscle and endothelial cell fate and patterning in the vertebrate limb. *Dev Cell* **3**: 533–545.
- Kassar-Duchossoy L, Gayraud-Morel B, Gomes D, Rocancourt D, Buckingham M, Shinin V, Tajbakhsh S. 2004. Mrf4 determines skeletal muscle identity in Myf5:MyoD double-mutant mice. *Nature* **431**: 466–471.
- Kelly R, Alonso S, Tajbakhsh S, Cossu G, Buckingham M. 1995. Myosin light chain 3F regulatory sequences confer regionalized cardiac and skeletal muscle expression in transgenic mice. *J Cell Biol* **129**: 383–396.
- Keyte AL, Smith KK. 2011. Developmental origins of precocial forelimbs in marsupial neonates. *Development* **137**: 4283–4294.
- Kioussi C, Gross MK, Gruss P. 1995. Pax3: A paired domain gene as a regulator in PNS myelination. *Neuron* **15**: 553–562.
- Kraus P, Fraidraich D, Loomis CA. 2001. Some distal limb structures develop in mice lacking Sonic hedgehog signaling. *Mech Dev* **100**: 45–58.
- Kruger M, Mennerich D, Fees S, Schafer R, Mundlos S, Braun T. 2001. Sonic hedgehog is a survival factor for hypaxial muscles during mouse development. *Development* **128**: 743–752.
- Laclef C, Hamard G, Demignon J, Souil E, Houbrion C, Maire P. 2003. Altered myogenesis in Six1-deficient mice. *Development* **130**: 2239–2252.
- Lee KK, Ede DA. 1989. The capacity of normal and talpid3 mutant fowl myogenic cells to migrate in quail limb buds. *Anat Embryol* **179**: 395–402.
- Lee H, Habas R, Abate-Shen C. 2004. MSX1 cooperates with histone H1b for inhibition of transcription and myogenesis. *Science* **304**: 1675–1678.
- Li X, Blagden CS, Bildsoe H, Bonnini MA, Duprez D, Hughes SM. 2004. Hedgehog can drive terminal differentiation of amniote slow skeletal muscle. *BMC Dev Biol* **4**: 9. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-4-9.
- Long F, Zhang XM, Karp S, Yang Y, McMahon AP. 2001. Genetic manipulation of hedgehog signaling in the endochondral skeleton reveals a direct role in the regulation of chondrocyte proliferation. *Development* **128**: 5099–5108.
- Loomis CA, Harris E, Michaud J, Wurst W, Hanks M, Joyner AL. 1996. The mouse Engrailed-1 gene and ventral limb patterning. *Nature* **382**: 360–363.
- Mankoo BS, Collins NS, Ashby P, Grigorieva E, Pevny LH, Candia A, Wright CV, Rigby PW, Pachnis V. 1999. Mox2 is a component of the genetic hierarchy controlling limb muscle development. *Nature* **400**: 69–73.
- Mankoo BS, Skuntz S, Harrigan I, Grigorieva E, Candia A, Wright CV, Arnheiter H, Pachnis V. 2003. The concerted action of Meox homeobox genes is required upstream of genetic pathways essential for the formation, patterning and differentiation of somites. *Development* **130**: 4655–4664.
- Maynard TM, Jain MD, Balmer CW, LaMantia AS. 2002. High-resolution mapping of the Gli3 mutation extra-toes reveals a 51.5-kb deletion. *Mamm Genome* **13**: 58–61.

- McDermott A, Gustafsson M, Elsam T, Hui CC, Emerson CP Jr, Borycki AG. 2005. Gli2 and Gli3 have redundant and context-dependent function in skeletal muscle formation. *Development* **132**: 345–357.
- Mo R, Freer AM, Zinyk DL, Crackower MA, Michaud J, Heng HH, Chik KW, Shi XM, Tsui LC, Cheng SH, et al. 1997. Specific and redundant functions of Gli2 and Gli3 zinc finger genes in skeletal patterning and development. *Development* **124**: 113–123.
- Niswander L, Jeffrey S, Martin GR, Tickle C. 1994. A positive feedback loop coordinates growth and patterning in the vertebrate limb. *Nature* **371**: 609–612.
- Ordahl CP, Le Douarin NM. 1992. Two myogenic lineages within the developing somite. *Development* **114**: 339–353.
- Ott MO, Bober E, Lyons G, Arnold H, Buckingham M. 1991. Early expression of the myogenic regulatory gene, myf-5, in precursor cells of skeletal muscle in the mouse embryo. *Development* **111**: 1097–1107.
- Ovchinnikov DA, Selever J, Wang Y, Chen YT, Mishina Y, Martin JF, Behringer RR. 2006. BMP receptor type IA in limb bud mesenchyme regulates distal outgrowth and patterning. *Dev Biol* **295**: 103–115.
- Parr BA, McMahon AP. 1995. Dorsalizing signal Wnt-7a required for normal polarity of D–V and A–P axes of mouse limb. *Nature* **374**: 350–353.
- Rees E, Young RD, Evans DJ. 2003. Spatial and temporal contribution of somitic myoblasts to avian hind limb muscles. *Dev Biol* **253**: 264–278.
- Ribes V, Briscoe J. 2009. Establishing and interpreting graded Sonic Hedgehog signaling during vertebrate neural tube patterning: The role of negative feedback. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **1**: a002014. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a002014.
- Riddle RD, Johnson RL, Laufer E, Tabin C. 1993. Sonic hedgehog mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA. *Cell* **75**: 1401–1416.
- Sagai T, Masuya H, Tamura M, Shimizu K, Yada Y, Wakana S, Gondo Y, Noda T, Shiroishi T. 2004. Phylogenetic conservation of a limb-specific, *cis*-acting regulator of Sonic hedgehog (Shh). *Mamm Genome* **15**: 23–34.
- Sagai T, Hosoya M, Mizushima Y, Tamura M, Shiroishi T. 2005. Elimination of a long-range *cis*-regulatory module causes complete loss of limb-specific Shh expression and truncation of the mouse limb. *Development* **132**: 797–803.
- Sassoon D, Lyons G, Wright WE, Lin V, Lassar A, Weintraub H, Buckingham M. 1989. Expression of two myogenic regulatory factors myogenin and MyoD1 during mouse embryogenesis. *Nature* **341**: 303–307.
- Schafer K, Braun T. 1999. Early specification of limb muscle precursor cells by the homeobox gene Lbx1h. *Nat Genet* **23**: 213–216.
- Srinivas S, Watanabe T, Lin CS, William CM, Tanabe Y, Jessell TM, Costantini F. 2001. Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of EYFP and ECFP into the ROSA26 locus. *BMC Dev Biol* **1**: 4. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-1-4.
- Stamatkaki D, Kastrinaki M, Mankoo BS, Pachnis V, Karagogeos D. 2001. Homeodomain proteins Mox1 and Mox2 associate with Pax1 and Pax3 transcription factors. *FEBS Lett* **499**: 274–278.
- Tajbakhsh S, Bober E, Babinet C, Pournin S, Arnold H, Buckingham M. 1996. Gene targeting the myf-5 locus with nlacZ reveals expression of this myogenic factor in mature skeletal muscle fibres as well as early embryonic muscle. *Dev Dyn* **206**: 291–300.
- Tzchori I, Day TF, Carolan PJ, Zhao Y, Wassif CA, Li L, Lewandoski M, Gorivodsky M, Love PE, Porter FD, et al. 2009. LIM homeobox transcription factors integrate signaling events that control three-dimensional limb patterning and growth. *Development* **136**: 1375–1385.
- van Swearingen JM, Lance-Jones C. 1993. Spatial and temporal patterns of muscle formation in the limb of the avian embryo. *Prog Clin Biol Res* **383B**: 553–562.
- Vasyutina E, Stebler J, Brand-Saberi B, Schulz S, Raz E, Birchmeier C. 2005. CXCR4 and Gab1 cooperate to control the development of migrating muscle progenitor cells. *Genes Dev* **19**: 2187–2198.
- Wolff C, Roy S, Ingham PW. 2003. Multiple muscle cell identities induced by distinct levels and timing of hedgehog activity in the zebrafish embryo. *Curr Biol* **13**: 1169–1181.

Sonic hedgehog acts cell-autonomously on muscle precursor cells to generate limb muscle diversity

Claire Anderson, Victoria C. Williams, Benjamin Moyon, et al.

Genes Dev. 2012, **26**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.187807.112](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.187807.112)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2012/09/06/26.18.2103.DC1>

Related Content

Autonomous and nonautonomous roles of Hedgehog signaling in regulating limb muscle formation

Jimmy Kuang-Hsien Hu, Edwina McGlinn, Brian D. Harfe, et al.

Genes Dev. September , 2012 26: 2088-2102

References

This article cites 80 articles, 37 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/26/18/2103.full.html#ref-list-1>

Articles cited in:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/26/18/2103.full.html#related-urls>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).