Maelstrom coordinates microtubule organization during *Drosophila* oogenesis through interaction with components of the MTOC

Kaoru Sato,¹ Kazumichi M. Nishida,¹ Aoi Shibuya,¹ Mikiko C. Siomi,^{1,2} and Haruhiko Siomi^{1,3}

¹Department of Molecular Biology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan; ²Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

The establishment of body axes in multicellular organisms requires accurate control of microtubule polarization. Mutations in *Drosophila* PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA) pathway genes often disrupt the axes of the oocyte. This results from the activation of the DNA damage checkpoint factor Checkpoint kinase 2 (Chk2) due to transposon derepression. A piRNA pathway gene, *maelstrom* (*mael*), is critical for the establishment of oocyte polarity in the developing egg chamber during *Drosophila* oogenesis. We show that Mael forms complexes with microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) components, including Centrosomin, Mini spindles, and γ Tubulin. We also show that Mael colocalizes with α Tubulin and γ Tubulin to centrosomes in dividing cyst cells and follicle cells. MTOC components mislocalize in *mael* mutant germarium and egg chambers, leading to centrosome migration defects. During oogenesis, the loss of *mael* affects oocyte determination and induces egg chamber fusion. Finally, we show that the axis specification defects in *mael* mutants are not suppressed by a mutation in *mnk*, which encodes a Chk2 homolog. These findings suggest a model in which Mael serves as a platform that nucleates other MTOC components to form a functional MTOC in early oocyte development, which is independent of Chk2 activation and DNA damage signaling.

[**Keywords:** maelstrom; microtubule; centrosome; piRNA; axis specification; *Drosophila* oogenesis]

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received July 18, 2011; revised version accepted October 6, 2011.

The cellular processes that elaborate asymmetries along the main body axes are essential to the development of multicellular organisms. In *Drosophila*, the body axes are established during oogenesis through the differential localization of a large number of specific transcripts, including *bicoid* (*bcd*), *oskar* (*osk*), and *gurken* (*grk*) mRNAs. This localization depends on a polarized microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton and correlates with the formation of an MT-organizing center (MTOC), a small organelle from which most MTs grow (Ephrussi et al. 1991; Chasan and Anderson 1993; Neuman-Silberberg and Schupbach 1993; Theurkauf et al. 1993; Pokrywka and Stephenson 1995; van Eeden and St Johnston 1999; Riechmann and Ephrussi 2001; Januschke et al. 2006; Steinhauer and Kalderon 2006). Thus, the formation of the axis depends on upstream cues that lead to the polarization of MTs.

The *Drosophila* ovary consists of 16–20 independent strings of egg chambers called ovarioles, which are the

functional units of oogenesis. Oogenesis starts with an asymmetric division of a germline stem cell, which occurs at the anterior tip of the germarium located at the anterior tip of an ovariole. This gives rise to a new stem cell and a differentiating daughter cell, the cystoblast (Supplemental Fig. S1). Cystoblasts in turn undergo four mitoses to generate a cluster of 16 germ cells, which are interconnected by cytoplasmic bridges, called ring canals (Spradling 1993). This cluster, named the cyst, is surrounded by a monolayer of somatic follicle cells to form an egg chamber, which then exits the posterior end of the germarium and proceeds through the 14 stages of oogenesis as it moves posteriorly toward the oviduct (Spradling 1993). Two germ cells have four ring canals, and one of these cells is selected to differentiate into the oocyte. This cell remains arrested in meiotic prophase I and moves to the posterior of the cyst in region 3 of the germarium, whereas the other germ cells undergo endoreplication to generate polyploid nurse cells (Spradling 1993). Which cell adopts the oocyte fate may depend on the asymmetric distribution of the fusome, a continuous vesicular organelle linking the 16 cells via the ring canals (Lin et al. 1994;

³Corresponding author.

E-mail awa403@z2.keio.jp.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.174110.111>.

Lin and Spradling 1995). The fusome is partitioned asymmetrically during cystoblast divisions, which requires its stable association with MTs (Grieder et al. 2000). It has been hypothesized that the cell that inherits the most fusome material is the one that will become the oocyte. Shortly after oocyte specification, nurse cell centrosomes migrate toward the oocyte, where they eventually accumulate at the posterior of the nucleus, thus defining the major MTOC of the entire cyst (Mahowald and Strassheim 1970; Grieder et al. 2000). As a cyst moves in region 2b of the germarium, the fusome is replaced by a polarized MT network emanating from a single MTOC that resides at the anterior of the future oocyte. This network extends through the ring canals into the nurse cells (Grieder et al. 2000) and is polarized such that the major routes of transport are directed from the nurse cells toward the oocyte (Theurkauf et al. 1992; Clark et al. 1994, 1997). This asymmetric arrangement of the germ cells generates the first anterior–posterior (A–P) polarity during development. Thus, initial polarization of the oocyte during the oocyte specification phase requires MTs and correlates with the formation of the MTOC in the anterior of the cell (Theurkauf et al. 1993).

In region 3, when oocyte positioning is completed, a reorganization of the oocyte MT network takes place, which shifts the anterior MTOC to the posterior pole (Clark et al. 1997). This polarized MT network is required for asymmetric localization of Grk, a TGF- β homolog (Januschke et al. 2006). The A–P axis of the embryo is then polarized by two signaling events. At stage 6, the Grk signal from the oocyte induces the adjacent follicle cells to adopt a posterior rather than an anterior fate. At stages 7–8, the oocyte MT cytoskeleton undergoes a dramatic reorganization in response to an unknown signal from the overlying posterior follicle cells (Theurkauf et al. 1992; Januschke et al. 2006). The posterior MTOC is disassembled and, accompanying this process, the oocyte nucleus moves away from the posterior pole and localizes at the anterodorsal corner of the oocyte (Januschke et al. 2006). MTs then become repolarized, which directs the transport of mRNAs encoding determinants important for embryonic development; for example, *bcd* mRNA is transported to the anterior of the oocyte and *osk* mRNA is transported to the posterior of the oocyte (Brendza et al. 2000; Schnorrer et al. 2000; Arn et al. 2003). At the same time, *grk* mRNA localizes to the anterodorsal cortex of the oocyte, leading to Grk signaling to the dorsal follicle cells (Neuman-Silberberg and Schupbach 1993, 1996). Thus, the oocyte and the surrounding eggshell are patterned by the reciprocal germline-to-soma signaling cascade. Although the process of early oocyte polarization clearly depends on an intact MT network and correlates with the formation, shift, and disassembly of the MTOC, the mechanisms involved are not well understood.

PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), a class of small RNAs associated with PIWI proteins of the Argonaute family, silence transposable elements in animal germ cells (Ghildiyal and Zamore 2009; Malone and Hannon 2009; Siomi et al. 2011). In *Drosophila* ovaries, piRNAs associate with the PIWI subfamily of Argonaute proteins

(AGO3, Aubergine [Aub] and Piwi) to form the effector piRISC, which represses retrotransposons and preserves the integrity of the genome (Aravin et al. 2001; Kalmykova et al. 2005; Saito et al. 2006; Vagin et al. 2006; Brennecke et al. 2007; Gunawardane et al. 2007; Nishida et al. 2007; Li et al. 2009; Malone et al. 2009). A large number of genes have been shown to be involved in piRNA production in *Drosophila* ovaries. The majority of these piRNA pathway genes are required for assembly of nuage, a perinuclear structure found at the cytoplasmic face of the nuclear envelope in animal germline cells, where multiple components of the piRNA pathway are enriched, enabling piRNA processing to occur (Lim and Kai 2007; Li et al. 2009; Malone et al. 2009). Mutations in these piRNA pathway genes often disrupt axis formation and give rise to disorganized MTs in ovaries, which ultimately lead to the production of spindle-shaped eggs (Gillespie and Berg 1995; Wilson et al. 1996; Clegg et al. 1997; Lasko and Ashburner 1988; Styhler et al. 1998; Tomancak et al. 1998; Cook et al. 2004; Klattenhoff et al. 2007; Pane et al. 2007; Klattenhoff and Theurkauf 2008). Indeed, many piRNA pathway genes, including *aub*, *armitage* (*armi*), *maelsrom* (*mael*), *spindle-E* (*spnE*), *vasa* (*vas*), and *zucchini* (*zuc*), had been put in the *spindle* class of genes before their involvement in piRNA production was revealed (Gillespie and Berg 1995; Wilson et al. 1996; Clegg et al. 1997; Lasko and Ashburner 1988; Styhler et al. 1998; Tomancak et al. 1998; Cook et al. 2004; Pane et al. 2007). Mutations in *spindle* class genes are highly pleiotropic, resulting in axis patterning defects, which is attributed to failures in MT polarization and mRNA localization (Tearle and Nüsslein-Volhard 1987; Schupbach and Wieschaus 1991; Gonzalez-Reyes et al. 1997). *armi*, *aub*, *spn-E*, and *vas* mutant oocytes display defects in MT organization, including assembly of the MTOC (Styhler et al. 1998; Cook et al. 2004; Klattenhoff et al. 2007). These findings indicate that in piRNA pathway mutants, the MT network is not polarized, which disrupts the Grk signaling and the initiation of oocyte patterning. Thus, it is possible that piRNA pathway genes are involved in the machinery that impacts MT organization, thereby indicating a link between piRNA production and the polarization of MTs.

In the majority of piRNA pathway mutations, the earliest phenotype is a persistent DNA damage in germline cells of the germarium, probably due to transposon derepression and mobilization, which can lead to DNA breaks (Klattenhoff and Theurkauf 2008). The axis-specific defects associated with these mutations are suppressed by mutations in *mei-41* and *mnk*, which encode ATR and Checkpoint kinase 2 (Chk2) kinases that function in DNA damage signaling (Klattenhoff et al. 2007). Significantly, the same mutations in *mei-41* and *mnk* also restore MTOC formation during early oogenesis (Klattenhoff et al. 2007). How checkpoint activation causes changes in the cytoskeletal network is currently unknown; however, these findings suggest that the axis specification defects associated with piRNA pathway mutations are a secondary consequence of DNA damage signaling.

The *mael* gene was originally identified based on its role in *Drosophila* oocyte axis specification (Clegg et al.

1997). *mael* mutants exhibit mRNA localization patterns similar to *grk* mutants in stages 8–10, accumulating *bcd* mRNA at both poles and *osk* mRNA in the center of the oocyte (Clegg et al. 1997). Mutations in *mael* also prevent efficient *grk* translation in stages 2–6 and disrupt both oocyte nucleus migration to the anterodorsal corner and posterior localization of the MTOC in stage 2–6 oocytes (Clegg et al. 2001). Thus, failure of early Grk signaling in the oocyte has been proposed to be the underlying cause of A–P defects in *mael* mutants. Although Mael contains a domain with weak similarity to a high-mobility group (HMG) box and a domain called the Mael domain with weak homology with the DnaQ-H 3′-to-5′ exonuclease family (Zhang et al. 2008), its biochemical functions in both axis specification and piRNA production remains to be clarified. Mael localizes to the nucleus as well as to the nuage (Findley et al. 2003; Lim and Kai 2007). The nuage localization of Mael depends on other piRNA genes, including *vas*, *aub*, and *spn-E* (Findley et al. 2003; Lim and Kai 2007).

In the course of biochemically characterizing the role of Mael in *Drosophila* ovaries, we found that Mael physically interacts with MTOC components. These MTOC components mislocalize in the germarium of *mael* mutants, leading to centrosome migration defects that are indicative of defects in MT organization. We show that the loss of *mael* affects oocyte determination and causes egg chamber fusion. The patterning defects associated with *mael* mutants are not suppressed by mutation of *mnk*. We propose that, through interaction with MTOC components, Mael contributes to the coordination of MT organization in early oogenesis, which is independent of Chk2 activation and DNA damage signaling and is, therefore, probably independent of its function in piRNA production.

Results

Mael forms complexes with MTOC components

To biochemically characterize its function, we produced a specific monoclonal antibody against Mael. Western blot analysis showed a discrete band in both ovary and cultured Schneider2 (S2) cells but not in *mael*-depleted S2 cells (Supplemental Fig. S2A), demonstrating the specificity of the antibody. These results also indicated that Mael expression is not germline-specific. Immunostaining revealed that Mael in S2 metaphase cells is cytoplasmic and concentrated at mitotic spindles (Supplemental Fig. S2B,C). Lack of staining in *mael*-depleted S2 cells and *mael* mutant ovaries further indicated no off-target binding of the antibody (Supplemental Fig. S2B,D). We performed immunoprecipitation from ovary lysates with the antibody in a buffer containing a strong detergent, Empigen, which will disrupt most protein–protein interactions *in vivo*, although antigen–antibody associations are maintained (Piñol-Roma et al. 1990). Mael was the only protein precipitated with the antibody under these conditions, further confirming the specificity of the antibody (Supplemental Fig. S2E). In a milder Digitonin-based buffer, Mael was copurified with other protein factors. Mael-associated

proteins were resolved by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and visualized by silver staining (Fig. 1A). The eluates were further analyzed by mass spectrometry to identify protein partners that engage with Mael in the ovary. Six proteins were identified as major Mael-interacting proteins: Centrosomin (Cnn), *Drosophila* transforming acidic coiled-coil protein (D-TACC), Mini spindles (Msps), Short spindle 2 (Ssp2), dNAT1 (CG3845), and No circadian temperature entrainment (Nocte). In animal cells, many of these proteins are associated with centrosomes that act as MTOCs to nucleate MTs with uniform polarity (Kwon and Scholey 2004). Centrosomes are composed of two centrioles that recruit a large number of proteins collectively referred to as pericentriolar material (PCM). Cnn is a core centrosome protein required for normal PCM organization and astral MT assembly (Megraw et al. 2001; Terada et al. 2003). Msps, a member of the conserved MT-associated proteins, localizes to centrosomes and mitotic spindles and is required for the integrity of mitotic spindles (Cullen et al. 1999; Cullen and Ohkura 2001). D-TACC is also a centrosomal protein that interacts with MTs and is found at the spindle poles. Complex formation of D-TACC with Msps has been postulated to stabilize centrosomal MTs (Gergely et al.

Figure 1. Mael forms complexes with MTOC components in ovaries. (A) Immunoprecipitation was performed from ovary lysates using an anti-Mael monoclonal antibody. Proteins immunoprecipitated were stained with silver. Mass spectrometry analyses revealed that Nocte, Msps, D-TACC, dNAT1, Cnn, and Ssp2 coimmunoprecipitated with Mael. “n.i.” indicates nonimmune IgG used as a negative control. (B) Western blotting on the Mael immunoprecipitated complex in A using anti-Msps, anti-D-TACC, and anti-Cnn confirmed Mael binding with Msps, D-TACC, and Cnn. Nonimmune IgG (n.i.) was used as a negative control. (C) Immunoprecipitation was performed from wild-type and *cnn* mutant ovary lysates using an anti-Mael monoclonal antibody. *cnn*^{HK21} is a *cnn*-null allele with a nonsense mutation that truncates the protein at amino acid 106 (Megraw et al. 1999). Mael binds with γTub and Msps in a Cnn-independent manner.

2000; Lee et al. 2001). Cnn and the Msps-D-TACC complex are required for efficient MTOC formation. Knockdown of *ssp2* produces a short spindle phenotype, suggesting that this gene is also required for the integrity of mitotic spindles (Goshima et al. 2007). The *nocte* gene encodes a large glutamine-rich protein with unknown function and is involved in maintaining the structure of cilia in circadian clock neurons (Schadova et al. 2009). dNAT1 is a fly homolog of the vertebrate translational regulator NAT1/DAP5/p97, an eIF4G family member (Yoshikane et al. 2007).

The finding that Mael forms a complex in vivo with components of the MTOC suggests that Mael is also a component of the MTOC. Western blot analyses on the immunoprecipitates confirmed that Cnn, Msps, and D-TACC exist in the Mael complex (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S2F). The immunoprecipitates from *mael* mutant ovaries showed no bands corresponding to Cnn, Msps, or D-TACC (Supplemental Fig. S2F). These data show that Mael specifically forms complexes with components of the MTOC and suggest its involvement in normal MTOC formation as an MTOC component. To further confirm our findings, we examined whether Mael interacts with other MTOC proteins in ovaries. γ Tubulin (γ Tub) is a conserved component of all MTOCs and is required for them to nucleate MT polymerization from heterodimers of α Tub and β Tub (Wiese and Zheng 1999). We performed Western blot analysis using an anti- γ Tub antibody on immunopurified Mael complexes and found that Mael indeed interacts with γ Tub (Fig. 1C). Although γ Tub was no longer recruited to the centrosome in the absence of Cnn in *Drosophila* S2 cells (Goshima et al. 2007), γ Tub associated with Mael in *cnn* mutant ovaries, indicating that the association between γ Tub and Mael in the ovary is Cnn-independent (Fig. 1C). This also suggests that γ Tub-Mael complexes are formed before they become stable components of the MTOC. These results are also in accordance with previous genetic analysis showing that *mael* is required for proper positioning of the MTOC during oogenesis (Clegg et al. 1997, 2001).

Mael colocalizes with α Tub and γ Tub in the germarium

Prior studies showed that in the germarium, Mael was present in all regions with diffuse nuclear and cytoplasmic localization, and its accumulation in the nuage became evident in region 3 (Findley et al. 2003). As a starting point for defining the role of Mael in the MTOC, we re-examined its localization using the antibody we produced and reasoned that Mael could colocalize with components of the MTOC in the ovary. Mael was highly concentrated in dividing cells in the germarium, as judged by the coexpression of the mitotic marker, phospho-histone H3 (Ser 10) (pH3) (Fig. 2; Adams et al. 2001). Lack of staining in *mael* mutant ovaries indicated that the signals observed depend on the presence of Mael (Supplemental Fig. S2D). In region 1 of the germarium, Mael accumulated in discrete regions of dividing cyst cells and colocalized with α Tub, indicating that Mael was concentrated at the MT

Figure 2. Mael colocalizes with α Tub in the germarium. (A–A''') Ovaries were immunostained with anti-Mael, anti- α Tub, and anti-pH3 antibodies. pH3 is a mitotic marker. In region 1 of the germarium, Mael accumulates in discrete regions of dividing cyst cells. The Mael signals overlaps with the γ Tub signals, suggesting that Mael is localized to the MT spindles in early cyst cells. In region 2, Mael is localized to granules, in which γ Tub was undetected. In region 3, Mael is accumulated in the nuage, which is also devoid of γ Tub. A Mael signal in a somatic precursor cell is shown by an arrow. (B–B''') Magnified views of dividing cyst cells in A–A'''. (C–C''') Magnified views of the early egg chamber in A–A'''.

spindles of early cyst cells (Fig. 2A,B). In region 2, Mael became localized to granules, which did not colocalize with α Tub (Fig. 2A). In region 3, Mael became localized to the nuage, which did not colocalize with α Tub (Fig. 2A,C). Thus, Mael colocalized with α Tub to centrosomes in early dividing cyst cells and then later became accumulated at the nuage in the germarium. Although Mael is known to colocalize with components of the piRNA pathway in the nuage, mass spectrometry analyses of the complex that we isolated revealed no known proteins functioning in the piRNA pathway, at least as major components (Fig. 1A). We also failed to detect PIWI proteins in the complex by Western blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Reciprocal immunoprecipitation experiments with antibodies against PIWI proteins further showed that PIWI proteins do not interact with Mael or other MTOC components (Supplemental Fig. S3B–D). Thus, these results suggest that although Mael changes its subcellular localization during early oogenesis, the majority of the protein in ovaries physically interacts with MTOC components (Fig. 1A) but not with components of the piRNA pathway.

To confirm its centrosomal localization in region 1 of the germarium, we performed double labeling of Mael and γ Tub in the germarium. γ Tub is known to be present on the centrosomes in the germarium and on migrating centrioles (Mahowald and Strassheim 1970; Bolívar et al.

2001). Double labeling of Mael and γ Tub showed their overlap in cyst cells in region 1 (Fig. 3A). Therefore, Mael was concentrated at centrosomes of dividing cyst cells and colocalized with γ Tub and α Tub in region 1 of the germarium. Together, these findings establish that Mael is a component of centrosomes in dividing cyst cells.

Mael is concentrated in the centrosomal region during follicle cell divisions

Mael was highly expressed in somatic precursor cells (Fig. 2A, arrow; Supplemental Fig. S4). Later, Mael did not accumulate in nuage-like structures in follicle cells but was concentrated at MT spindles of dividing follicle cells in egg chambers, as judged by colocalization with α Tub (Fig. 3B). Therefore, Mael colocalizes with these proteins in both dividing cyst cells and dividing follicle cells in the ovary. These findings suggest that Mael is involved in centrosomal function during *Drosophila* oogenesis.

Protein components of the MTOC are mislocalized in mael egg chambers

An early event in oocyte differentiation is the establishment of a single MTOC in the cyst. At the end of four cystocyte divisions, the centrosomes are inactivated and the centrioles are transported into the pro-oocyte where

a single MTOC in the cyst is established. From this focus in the oocyte, MTs emanate into all of the 15 nurse cells. This polarized MT array is essential for the transport of maternal factors required for oocyte differentiation and development (for reviews, see Cooley and Theurkauf 1994; Knowles and Cooley 1994; Mahajan-Miklos and Cooley 1994; Theurkauf 1994). Centrosomes have been reported to migrate into the pro-oocyte during cyst development in the germarium. Our finding that Mael interacts with centrosome components suggests that centrosome migration may be perturbed in *mael* mutant egg chambers. To test this, we examined the localization of γ Tub and Cnn in the *mael* germarium (Fig. 4). In the wild-type germarium, γ Tub accumulated at dot-like structures, which were presumably centrosomes in region 1. These started to migrate into pro-oocytes in region 2 and then accumulated in pro-oocytes in region 3. γ Tub also accumulated at dot-like structures in region 1 of the *mael* germarium, but it remained at these structures and did not accumulate in pro-oocytes in regions 2–3 (Fig. 4A). In the wild-type germarium, Cnn accumulated in the cytoplasm of germline stem cells in region 1, but its expression in regions 2–3 was barely detected. Cnn was also accumulated in the cytoplasm of germline stem cells in region 1 of the *mael* germarium, but its expression was detected at significant levels in pro-oocytes in regions 2–3 (Fig. 4B). Thus, both γ Tub and Cnn were mislocalized and ectopically expressed in the *mael* germarium.

We also observed mislocalization of γ Tub and Cnn in oocytes in developing *mael* egg chambers. In the wild type, γ Tub accumulated in oocytes with a punctuated pattern (Fig. 4C,E). However, γ Tub was highly concentrated at the posterior region of *mael* oocytes (Fig. 4C,E). Cnn localized to the posterior region of oocytes in stages 6–8 of *mael* egg chambers as in the wild type (Fig. 4D,E). However, Cnn was detected with granules in *mael* egg chambers. In addition, γ Tub and Cnn were ectopically expressed in ~39% and 29%, respectively, of all *mael* egg chambers. Some egg chambers contained two strong signals at both poles, while others contained misplaced oocytes (Fig. 4C–E). We also occasionally observed *mael* egg chambers that contained abnormal accumulation of γ Tub in the nurse cells (Fig. 4C). These observations provide a functional involvement of *mael* in the proper localization and expression of MTOC proteins during *Drosophila* oogenesis.

Loss of mael function affects the distribution and dynamics of oocyte MTs

The dynamics of MT accumulation and the temporal-spatial changes in the MTOC have been observed in the developing oocyte by staining MTs with antibodies against α Tub (Theurkauf et al. 1992). From stage 1 to stage 6, MTs extend into the nurse cells from the MTOC, situated at the posterior of the oocyte (Theurkauf et al. 1992, 1993). Mislocalization and ectopic expression of γ Tub and Cnn in *mael* egg chambers prompted us to examine the MT cytoskeleton in *mael* ovaries. We compared the MT cytoskeleton of the germariums and of stage

Figure 3. Mael is localized to mitotic spindles in the dividing cyst and follicle cells. (A–A'') Immunofluorescence was performed using anti-Mael, anti- α Tub, and anti- γ Tub antibodies. Mael is colocalized with α Tub and γ Tub at mitotic spindles of dividing cyst cells. (B–B'') Immunofluorescence was performed using anti-Mael, anti- α Tub, and anti-pH3 antibodies. In dividing follicle cells (pH3-positive cells; indicated by arrows), Mael is colocalized with α Tub to mitotic spindles. Bars, 20 μ m.

Figure 4. Protein components of the MTOC are mislocalized in *mael* mutant ovaries. (A) γ Tub localization in the germarial region of wild-type (left panels) and *mael* mutant (right panels) ovaries. Anti-Orb antibody was used as a marker for developing oocytes. γ Tub is accumulated in the oocyte in the wild-type germarium (arrow), but was absent from the *mael* mutant oocyte in the germarium. (B) Cnn localization in the germarial region of wild-type (left panels) and *mael* mutant ovaries (right panels). Cnn, which is undetectable in the oocyte in the wild-type germarium, was detected in the oocytes in the *mael* mutant germarium (arrows). (C) γ Tub localization in wild-type and *mael* mutant egg chambers. In the wild type, γ Tub is specifically accumulated at the anterior region in the oocyte. However, in the *mael* mutant, γ Tub was often mislocalized to the posterior region of the oocytes. γ Tub was also ectopically accumulated within the egg chambers (arrows) of *mael*. (D) Cnn localization in wild-type and *mael*

mutant egg chambers. Cnn, which is accumulated at the posterior region in the wild-type oocyte, was detected as speckles in the *mael* mutant oocytes. Cnn was ectopically accumulated within the egg chambers (arrows). (E) The percentage of *mael* egg chambers that show ectopic localization of Cnn and γ Tub. Bars, 20 μ m.

1–8 egg chambers in *mael* and wild-type ovaries to look for phenotypic differences. In the wild-type, an MTOC was detected at the posterior region of the stage 1 oocyte (region 3 in the germarium), whereas an MTOC was not detected in the stage 1 *mael* mutant oocytes (Fig. 5A,B). The MT cytoskeleton in the *mael* germarium was clearly disorganized (Fig. 5B). In the wild type, α Tub was concentrated in stage 3–6 oocytes, in the location where MTOCs are formed (Theurkauf et al. 1992). MTs extended from an MTOC at the posterior region of stage 3–6 oocytes through the ring canals and into nurse cells (Fig. 5C). However, high concentrations of MTs at the posterior region of stage 3–6 oocytes in *mael* mutant ovaries were not observed (Fig. 5C). This is not due to reduced expression of α Tub in *mael* mutant ovaries, as judged by Western blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S5).

At stage 7, a shift in the polarity of MT accumulation occurs in oocytes. At this time, the oocyte nucleus migrates to the anterior of the oocyte. Nuclear migration is an MT-dependent process that in many systems is dependent on MTOCs associated with the nucleus (Reinsch and Gonczy 1998). After migration of the nucleus to a position that will mark the anterior–dorsal position of the developing oocyte, MTs are rolled up in the shape of a “diaphragm” in the center of the oocyte. In stages 8–9, the “diaphragm” has opened and MT bundles project from the anterior of the oocyte in the A–P direction in a horseshoe-like pattern (Supplemental Fig. S1; Januschke et al. 2006). In the wild type, in stages 7 and 8, an MTOC was normally reorganized and the oocyte nucleus migrated anteriorly (Fig. 5C). However, in *mael* mutant oocytes, MT accumulation and reorganization were not observed (Fig. 5C).

Figure 5. MTOC formation is defective in *mael* mutant ovaries. MT distribution in wild-type and *mael* mutant (*mael*^{M391/Df}) oocytes was visualized with anti- α Tub antibody (white). DNA was visualized with DAPI (blue). (A) MTs in the control germarium and stage 1 egg chamber. The MTOC was observed at the posterior region of the oocyte in region 3 of the germarium. A red asterisk indicates the nucleus of the oocyte that is denoted by a dotted line. (B) MTs in the *mael* mutant germarium and stage 1 egg chamber. The MTOC was not detected when *mael* function was lost. (C) MTs in stage 3–8 egg chambers in control and *mael* mutant ovaries. The MTOC was detected at the posterior region of stage 3–6 control oocytes, but not in the *mael* mutant oocytes. In the wild type, MTs are then rolled up (“diaphragm” pattern) during stage 7 (Supplemental Fig. S1) and project from the oocyte nucleus in an anterior–posterior direction at stage 8 (“open diaphragm” pattern). This reorganization of MTs was not observed in the *mael* mutant. Bars, 20 μ m.

Thus, *mael* MT cytoskeletons are not organized to form an MTOC even in the germarium and are unable to reorganize and rearrange into an elaborate pattern of subcortical MT bundles that mediate oocyte nucleus migration in stages 6–8 of the oocyte.

Loss of mael function affects the number of germ cells per egg chamber

antibody) and ~5.5% of *mael* egg chambers have two oocyte-like nuclei with >15 nurse cells (Fig. 6A,B). In the egg chambers with supernumerary germ cells, an MTOC was not detected in either of the two oocyte-like cells (Supplemental Fig. S7). The posterior localization of the oocyte is thought to be directed by cell sorting via *DE-cadherin* (*DE-cad*) (Godt and Tepass 1998; González-Reyes and St Johnston 1998). In *mael* mutant ovary egg chambers, *DE-cad* did not appear to be enriched on the oocyte cortex or apically concentrated in follicular epithelia compared with the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S8), indicating that this abnormal localization of *DE-cad* may be responsible for the misplaced oocytes in *mael* egg chambers.

(Supplemental Fig. S9; Huynh 2006). To investigate a possible mechanism in *mael* mutant ovaries that leads to the production of egg chambers with >16 germ cells, we examined the number of ring canals in *mael* egg chambers. About 5.6% of egg chambers showed 30 nurse cells with 30 ring canals and two oocyte-like nuclei (30 + 2) (Fig. 6C [panel b], D [panel c]). Therefore, these *mael* mutant egg chambers were fused to each other. Approximately 1.1% of *mael* egg chambers showed <15 nurse cells and 15 ring canals with or without an oocyte, indicating that these abnormal egg chambers with reduced cell numbers are generated by the arrest of cystoblast mitotic divisions (Fig. 6C [panels c,d], D [panel a]). About 1.3% of egg chambers showed a compound phenotype that contains <30 nurse cells and two oocyte-like nuclei with two distinct populations of ring canals (Fig. 6C [panel e], D [panel b]). The compound phenotype was generated by fusion of egg chambers and defective cystocyte division. These phenotypes are similar to those observed in γ Tub mutant ovaries (Tavosanlis and Gonzalez 2003). We thus conclude that *mael* is required for both germ and somatic follicle cell development, possibly by coordinating components of the MTOC, such as γ Tub, and thereby regulating proper MT organization.

Mutation in *mael* triggers Chk2-independent defects in MT polarity

Most *Drosophila* piRNA pathway mutations lead to germline DNA damage and disrupt axis specification through activation of Chk2, which functions in DNA damage signaling (Cook et al. 2004; Chen et al. 2007; Pane et al. 2007). The axis specification defects of the oocyte that are linked to any of several piRNA pathway mutations are genetically suppressed by a loss of function mutation in *mnk*, which encodes a Chk2 homolog required for DNA damage signaling (Hari et al. 1995; Brodsky et al. 2004). Defects in MT organization in the *mael* oocyte may be secondary to DNA damage due to defects in the piRNA pathway. To test this, we generated double mutants with *mnk* and analyzed the localization of axis determinants Osk and Grk. Loss of *mael* function disrupted the posterior localization of Osk and the dorsal anterior localization of Grk in the oocyte, and the localization of both proteins was not restored in *mnk;mael* double mutants (Fig. 7). Thus, the axis specification defect of *mael* oocytes does not appear to be triggered by germline-specific DNA breaks and the activation of damage signaling through Chk2.

Discussion

In this study, we showed that Mael is an MTOC component and that dynamic organization of MTs does not occur in developing *mael* oocytes, which correlates with mislocalization of other MTOC components. We also observed that loss of *mael* affects the number and position of the oocytes in egg chambers and induces fusion of egg chambers. These results indicate that Mael specifically regulates MTOC formation, and thereby plays a key role in

Figure 7. *mnk* does not suppress the *mael* phenotype. (A) Mutations in *mnk* do not suppress the Osk localization defect in *mael* mutant ovaries. (Top) In the control oocyte (stage 9), Osk is localized at the posterior region of the oocyte (arrow). (Middle) In *mael* egg chambers, the localization of Osk was dispersed throughout the oocyte. (Bottom) In *mnk;mael* double mutant egg chambers, the mislocalization of Osk was not rescued. (B) In the control, Grk is localized at the dorsal anterior cortex (arrow) near the oocyte nucleus (asterisk). (Bottom) In *mnk;mael* double mutant egg chambers, the localizations of Osk and Grk are also dispersed throughout the oocyte. (Middle) In *mael* egg chambers, Grk was not accumulated. (Bottom) In *mnk;mael* double mutant egg chambers, the mislocalization of Grk was not rescued. DAPI (blue) marks the cell nuclei. Bars, 20 μ m.

coordinating dynamic MT organization during *Drosophila* oogenesis.

Initial polarization of the oocyte during the oocyte specification phase in the germarium requires replacement of the fusome by a polarized MT network, which correlates with the formation of the MTOC (Lin et al. 1994; Lin and Spradling 1995; Grieder et al. 2000). Mael is concentrated in the centrosomal region and is colocalized with α Tub and γ Tub during cyst cell divisions. γ Tub does not migrate to a developing oocyte in *mael* germariums, suggesting that Mael is required for the migration of centrosomes from the cytoplasm of cysts to pro-oocytes in the germarium. Currently, the detailed mechanism by which Mael functions in MT organization is not clear. The simplest hypothesis is that Mael might serve as a platform that nucleates other MTOC components to form a functional MTOC. A previous report has shown that weaker mutant alleles of γ Tub affect the number of nurse cells and oocytes within the egg chamber (Tavosanlis and Gonzalez 2003). These γ Tub mutant defects are very similar to those found in *mael* mutants in this study. γ Tub is involved in the nucleation of MTs and is present in the centrosomes and MTOCs in many different systems (Jeng and Stearns 1999; Moritz and Agard 2001). It was hypothesized that reduced activity of γ Tub could activate the oocyte determination program in one of the nurse cells by ectopically presenting MTOC material (Tavosanlis and Gonzalez 2003). Our findings that γ Tub does not accumulate at centrosomes in the *mael* germarium and is ectopically expressed in the *mael* egg chamber suggest that Mael regulates localization of γ Tub at centrosomes

through its complex formation and is thereby involved in properly organizing or positioning the MTOC.

PIWI proteins function in transposon silencing via association with piRNAs and maintain genome integrity during germline development. Recent studies have suggested that PIWI proteins in sea urchin (Seawi) and *Xenopus* (Xiwi) can interact with the MTs of the meiotic spindle (Rodriguez et al. 2005; Lau et al. 2009), while fly ovarioles with mutations in any of several piRNA pathway genes, including *spn-E*, *aub*, and *armi*, have disorganized MTs (Chen et al. 2007; Klattenhoff et al. 2007; Pane et al. 2007). This raises the possibility for either a functional role of PIWI proteins in the machinery that impacts on MT organization (in addition to transposon silencing), a role of the MT cytoskeleton in piRNA generation, or both. Our findings further corroborate a link between components of the piRNA pathway and proper MT organization. Although we found that Mael forms a complex with MTOC components, we were unable to identify components of the piRNA pathway in this complex. This is in contrast to observations of the mouse Mael homolog, which functions in the piRNA pathway (similar to fly Mael) and interacts with mouse PIWI proteins in the testes (Costa et al. 2006). Mouse Mael in the testes is almost exclusively cytoplasmic with accumulation at nuage (Soper et al. 2008). In contrast, fly Mael is located in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm in the ovary and is known to shuttle between them. Thus, one possibility is that in fly ovaries, there may exist nuclear Mael complexes involved in both piRNA generation and transposon silencing, which are distinct from the cytoplasmic complex containing MTOC components that we identified in this study.

Female flies with mutations in several genes in the piRNA pathway often lay eggs with axis patterning defects because of MT cytoskeletal changes that result in the mislocalization of *bic*, *grk*, and *osk* mRNAs within the egg chamber (Chen et al. 2007; Klattenhoff et al. 2007; Pane et al. 2007). These defects have been linked to the Chk2 DNA damage checkpoint that may be activated by increased retrotransposon transcript levels in mutants defective in piRNA biogenesis. However, because a mutation in *mnk* does not suppress the mislocalization of *Osk* and *Grk* in the *mael* oocyte, the axis specification defect of *mael* oocytes does not appear to be triggered by the activation of germline-specific DNA breaks and damage signaling through Chk2. In addition, a mutation in the *mei-W68* locus, which encodes the *Drosophila* Spo11 homolog and induces meiotic double-strand breaks in chromosomes (McKim and Hayashi-Hagihara 1998), cannot suppress the axis specification defect of *mael* oocytes (Findley et al. 2003). Therefore, these results suggest that the axis specification defects of *mael* oocytes are not a secondary consequence of DNA damage signaling. However, it has been shown that in *mael* mutant ovaries, Vas is post-translationally modified (Findley et al. 2003), which is another hallmark of checkpoint activation (Ghabrial and Schupbach 1999). These results together imply that, acting not only through Chk2, the functions of Mael in MT organization are in parallel with its function in piRNA generation and transposon silencing.

There are mutants—including *zuc* and *spn-E*, which are piRNA pathway genes—whose axis defects cannot be rescued by *mnk* mutations (Pane et al. 2007). Vas also appears modified in these mutants, although the relationship with activated checkpoint-modified Vas is unclear (Pane et al. 2007).

Given that Mael is a new component of the MTOC in the *Drosophila* ovary, identification of a domain within Mael that is responsible for binding to other MTOC components could aid in understanding how Mael nucleates and regulates MTOC formation. Because Mael contains an evolutionarily highly conserved domain of unknown function, termed the Mael domain (Zhang et al. 2008), determination of its crystal structure should prove valuable in elucidating mechanisms of both MTOC formation and piRNA generation processes.

Materials and methods

Drosophila strains

For ovary staining and molecular work, *y w* or *mael*^{M391}/TM3 was used as a control. The mutant alleles and allelic combinations used in this work were *mael*^{M391}/Df(3L)BSC554 (described as *mael*^{M391}/Df) and *cnn*^{HK21}. *mael*^{M391}/TM3 *Sb* (Clegg et al. 1997; Findley et al. 2003) and *mnk*^{P6}/CyO were kind gifts from T. Kai (National University of Singapore), and *w*¹¹¹⁸; Df(3L)BSC554/TM6C, *Sb*¹ and *cn*¹ *cnn*^{HK21} *bw*¹/CyO, l(2)DTS513¹ were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center at Indiana University.

Production of an anti-Mael monoclonal antibody

An anti-Mael monoclonal antibody was raised specifically against the N terminus of the protein. A 200-amino-acid N-terminal fragment of Mael, fused with glutathione S-transferase (GST), was used as the antigen to immunize mice. The anti-Mael monoclonal antibody was produced essentially as described previously (Ishizuka et al. 2002) and was purified from culture supernatant of hybridoma cells under standard procedures using Thiophilic-Superflow resin (BD Biosciences).

Immunoprecipitation

Ovaries were dissected manually from adult flies in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and homogenized in 300 μ L of Digitonin-based binding buffer containing 30 mM HEPES-KOH (pH7.3), 150 mM potassium acetate (KOAc), 4 mM magnesium acetate (MgOAc), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 50 ng/mL Digitonin, 2 μ g/mL pepstatin, 2 μ g/mL leupeptin, and 0.5% aprotinin. In Supplemental Figure S2E, immunoprecipitation was performed using Empigen-based binding buffer containing 1% Empigen BB (Sigma), 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 2 μ g/mL pepstatin, 2 μ g/mL leupeptin, and 0.5% aprotinin in PBS. After centrifugation at 13,500 rpm for 1 min at 4°C, the supernatant was collected into a new microcentrifuge tube and kept on ice. The pellet was rehomogenized in 300 μ L of binding buffer and recentrifuged as described above. The second aliquot of supernatant was combined with the first. These steps were repeated three times. Collected supernatant was used as the sample solution for immunoprecipitation. The protein concentration of the sample solution was adjusted each time to 5 mg/mL with binding buffer, and incubated with 5 μ g of purified anti-Mael monoclonal antibody immobilized on Gamma-Bind beads (Amersham Bioscience) for 2 h at 4°C with rotation. After incubation, the bead fractions were extensively washed at

least five times using binding buffer, and the protein complexes were eluted from the beads with sample buffer containing SDS by heating for 10 min at 70°C and then loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels. After electrophoresis, proteins were visualized by silver staining using Silver-Quest (Invitrogen) or processed for Western blot analyses. Protein bands detected by silver staining were excised for mass spectrometric analysis.

Western blot analysis

Whole embryo, larval, and pupal bodies were collected and homogenized in lysis buffer containing 30 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.3), 150 mM KOAc, 4 mM MgOAc, 1 mM DTT, 50 ng/mL digitonin, 2 µg/mL pepstatin, 2 µg/mL leupeptin, and 0.5% aprotinin. After centrifugation at 13,500 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatants were used as samples. Ovary lysates were prepared as described above. Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (Miyoshi et al. 2005). Culture supernatant of hybridoma cells producing anti-Mael monoclonal antibody was used without dilution as a primary antibody. Anti-Cnn was a kind gift from T.C. Kaufman (Indiana University) and used at 1:2000. Anti-D-TACC was a kind gift from J.W. Raff (University of Oxford) and used at 1:10,000. Anti-Msps was a kind gift from H. Ohkura (University of Edinburgh) and used at 1:10,000 dilution. Anti-γTub (GTU88; Sigma, #T6557) was used at 1:2000. Anti-Ago1, anti-Ago2, anti-Ago3, anti-Piwi, and anti-Aub antibodies have been described previously (Miyoshi et al. 2005; Gunawardane et al. 2007; Saito et al. 2006; Nishida et al. 2007). Anti-αTub was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and used at 1:1000.

Immunohistochemistry

Ovaries were dissected manually from adult flies in cold PBS. For MT detection, dissected ovaries were fixed immediately in cold methanol for 10 min at −20°C, rehydrated in PBS for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.5% NP-40 in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 and 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 min, and then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-αTub antibodies. For detection of αTub, anti-αTub antibody (rat YL1/2; Serotec) and FITC-conjugated anti-αTub (Sigma, #F2168) were used at a 1:150 dilution in Figures 2–4 and at a 1:50 dilution in Figure 6. Anti-Cnn and anti-γTub (Sigma, #T3559) antibodies were used at 1:500 and 1:100 dilutions, respectively. Anti-Orb, anti-Grk, and anti-DE-cad antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and used at 1:400, 1:40, and 1:20 dilutions, respectively. Anti-Osk and anti-Vas were a kind gift from A. Nakamura (RIKEN, CDB) and used at a 1:3000 and 1:1000 dilutions, respectively. PY20 (BD Transduction Laboratories) and anti-pH3 (Upstate Biotechnologies) antibodies were used at 1:100 and 1:1000 dilutions, respectively. Alexa Fluor488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2a, Alexa Fluor546-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2b, Alexa Fluor633-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor546-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa-Fluor488 conjugated anti-guinea pig IgG, and Alexa Fluor488-conjugated anti-rat IgG (Molecular Probes) were used as secondary antibodies. Ovaries were mounted in VectaShield with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride) (Vector Laboratories). All images were collected using a Zeiss LSM510 laser-scanning microscope. Image processing and annotation were performed using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe) and ImageJ (NIH) software.

Cell culture and RNAi

mael cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR from *Drosophila* ovarian total RNA using the following primers: 5'-GGGGGATCCATG

GCTCCTAAGAAGCATAGT-3' and 5'-GGGCTCGAGTTATT TTTTAAGTTTCCCATC-3'. PCR products were digested with BamHI and XhoI, and then subcloned into pBlueScript SK. The entire inserted sequence was amplified by PCR using the resultant plasmid as a template with T7 (5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAG GG-3') and T3 (5'-ATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGGAA-3') promoter primers. *cnn*, *cdc27* cDNAs were amplified by RT-PCR from *Drosophila* ovarian total RNA using the following specific primers: for *cnn*, 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAGATGGCGG-3' and 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTTCTCC TCC-3'; for *cdc27*, 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGCCGAGGACA-3' and 5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTTCATTGCA-3'. It should be noted that the 5' end of each primer contained the T7 RNA polymerase promoter sequence (5'-TAATACGACTCAC TATAG-3'). PCR products were purified using the QiaQuick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified PCR products were used to produce dsRNAs using Megascript T7 and T3 transcription kits (Ambion). The resultant RNAs were purified according to the manufacturer's instruction, heated for 5 min at 95°C, and then left to cool to room temperature. S2 cells were grown at 26°C in Schneider's Insect medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco), 50 µg/mL streptomycin, and 50 µg/mL penicillin. For RNAi in S2 cells, ~5 × 10⁶ cells were suspended with 5 µg of dsRNA and incubated for 4 d at 26°C.

Mitotic index

To determine the mitotic index, S2 cells were processed for immunofluorescence microscopy as described above. The cells were labeled with anti-pH3, as a marker of mitotic cells and with DAPI to visualize DNA (Goshima et al. 2007). The percentage of mitotic cells was determined using the image processing software ImageJ.

Live-cell imaging

Aequorea coerulescens GFP (AcGFP) cDNA was amplified by PCR from pAcGFP1 vector (Clontech) using the primers 5'-TTT TTCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGCCG-3' and 5'-GATACATTC ACGTGCTAGAATTCCTGCCTTGACAGC-3'. *αTubulin84B (αTub)* cDNA was amplified by RT-PCR from *Drosophila* ovarian total RNA using the primers 5'-GCTGTACAAGGCAGGAATTC TAGCAGTGAATGTATC-3' and 5'-TTTTTGCTAGCTTAGT ACTCCTCAGCGCCCT-3'. A cDNA encoding an AcGFP-αTub fusion protein was then amplified by an "overlap PCR" method using *AcGFP* and *αTub* PCR products with primers 5'-TTT TTCCATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGCCG-3' and 5'-TTTTTGCTA GCTTAGTACTCCTCAGCGCCCT-3'. The PCR product was digested with NcoI and NheI, and then subcloned into a vector downstream from the metallothionein promoter. The AcGFP-αTub-expressing plasmid vector was transfected into S2 cells using Cellfectin (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The AcGFP-αTub fusion protein was induced by adding CuSO₄ to the culture medium (final concentration ~0.5 mM). For time-lapse video fluorescence microscopy imaging, AcGFP-αTub transfected S2 cells were plated in poly-L-lysine-coated glass-bottom culture dishes (Matsunami) on day 4 after RNAi treatment. All images were collected in 35- to 55-sec intervals using a Zeiss LSM710 laser-scanning microscope. Image processing and annotation were performed using ZEN software (Carl Zeiss).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to G. Goshima, T. Kai, T. Kaufman, H. Kose, A. Nakamura, H. Ohkura, and J.W. Raff for providing antibodies, fly

stocks, protocols, and advice. Special thanks to I. Sagawa for mass spectrometry. We also thank members of the Siomi laboratory for discussions and helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by MEXT (Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan) grants to H.S., M.C.S., M.K.N., and K.S. M.C.S. is supported by CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology) from JST (Japan Science and Technology Agency).

References

- Adams RR, Maiato H, Earnshaw WC, Carmena M. 2001. Essential roles of *Drosophila* inner centromere protein (INCENP) and aurora B in histone H3 phosphorylation, metaphase chromosome alignment, kinetochore disjunction, and chromosome segregation. *J Cell Biol* **153**: 865–880.
- Aravin AA, Naumova NM, Tulin AV, Vagin VV, Rozovsky YM, Gvozdev VA. 2001. Double-stranded RNA-mediated silencing of genomic tandem repeats and transposable elements in the *D. melanogaster* germline. *Curr Biol* **11**: 1017–1027.
- Arn EA, Cha BJ, Theurkauf WE, Macdonald PM. 2003. Recognition of a bicoid mRNA localization signal by a protein complex containing Swallow, Nod, and RNA binding proteins. *Dev Cell* **4**: 41–51.
- Bolívar J, Huynh JR, López-Schier H, González C, St Johnston D, González-Reyes A. 2001. Centrosome migration into the *Drosophila* oocyte is independent of BicD and egl, and of the organisation of the microtubule cytoskeleton. *Development* **128**: 1889–1897.
- Brendza RP, Serbus LR, Duffy JB, Saxton WM. 2000. A function for kinesin I in the posterior transport of oskar mRNA and Staufen protein. *Science* **289**: 2120–2122.
- Brennecke J, Aravin AA, Stark A, Dus M, Kellis M, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ. 2007. Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **128**: 1089–1103.
- Brodsky MH, Weinert BT, Tsang G, Rong YS, McGinnis NM, Golic KG, Rio DC, Rubin GM. 2004. *Drosophila melanogaster* MNK/Chk2 and p53 regulate multiple DNA repair and apoptotic pathways following DNA damage. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**: 1219–1231.
- Chasan R, Anderson K. 1993. Maternal control of dorso-ventral polarity and pattern in the embryo. In *The development of Drosophila melanogaster* (ed. M. Bate and A. Martínez-Arias), pp. 387–424. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Chen Y, Pane A, Schüpbach T. 2007. Cutoff and aubergine mutations result in retrotransposon upregulation and checkpoint activation in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **17**: 637–642.
- Clark I, Giniger E, Ruohola-Baker H, Jan L, Jan Y. 1994. Transient posterior localisation of a kinesin fusion protein reflects anteroposterior polarity of the *Drosophila* oocyte. *Curr Biol* **4**: 289–300.
- Clark I, Jan LY, Jan YN. 1997. Reciprocal localization of Nod and kinesin fusion proteins indicates microtubule polarity in the *Drosophila* oocyte, epithelium, neuron and muscle. *Development* **124**: 461–470.
- Clegg NJ, Frost DM, Larkin MK, Subrahmanyam L, Bryant Z, Ruohola-Baker H. 1997. maelstrom is required for an early step in the establishment of *Drosophila* oocyte polarity: Posterior localization of grk mRNA. *Development* **124**: 4661–4671.
- Clegg NJ, Findley SD, Mahowald AP, Ruohola-Baker H. 2001. Maelstrom is required to position the MTOC in stage 2–6 *Drosophila* oocytes. *Dev Genes Evol* **211**: 44–48.
- Cook HA, Koppetsch BS, Wu J, Theurkauf WE. 2004. The *Drosophila* SDE3 homolog armitage is required for oskar mRNA silencing and embryonic axis specification. *Cell* **116**: 817–829.
- Cooley L, Theurkauf WE. 1994. Cytoskeletal functions during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Science* **266**: 590–596.
- Costa Y, Speed RM, Gautier P, Semple CA, Maratou K, Turner JM, Cooke HJ. 2006. Mouse MAELSTROM: The link between meiotic silencing of unsynapsed chromatin and microRNA pathway? *Hum Mol Genet* **15**: 2324–2334.
- Cullen CF, Ohkura H. 2001. Msp protein is localized to acentrosomal poles to ensure bipolarity of *Drosophila* meiotic spindles. *Nat Cell Biol* **3**: 637–642.
- Cullen CF, Deák P, Glover DM, Ohkura H. 1999. Mini spindles: A gene encoding a conserved microtubule-associated protein required for the integrity of the mitotic spindle in *Drosophila*. *J Cell Biol* **146**: 1005–1018.
- Ephrussi A, Dickinson LK, Lehmann R. 1991. oskar organizes the germ plasm and directs localization of the posterior determinant nanos. *Cell* **66**: 37–50.
- Findley SD, Tamanaha M, Clegg NJ, Ruohola-Baker H. 2003. Maelstrom, a *Drosophila* spindle-class gene, encodes a protein that colocalizes with Vasa and RDE1/AGO1 homolog, Aubergine, in nuage. *Development* **130**: 859–871.
- Gergely F, Kidd D, Jeffers K, Wakefield JG, Raff JW. 2000. D-TACC: A novel centrosomal protein required for normal spindle function in the early *Drosophila* embryo. *EMBO J* **19**: 241–252.
- Ghabrial A, Schupbach T. 1999. Activation of a meiotic checkpoint regulates translation of Gurken during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* **1**: 354–357.
- Ghildiyal M, Zamore PD. 2009. Small silencing RNAs: An expanding universe. *Nat Rev Genet* **10**: 94–108.
- Gillespie DE, Berg CA. 1995. homeless is required for RNA localization in *Drosophila* oogenesis and encodes a new member of the DE-H family of RNA-dependent ATPases. *Genes Dev* **9**: 2495–2508.
- Godt D, Tepass U. 1998. *Drosophila* oocyte localization is mediated by differential cadherin-based adhesion. *Nature* **395**: 387–391.
- González-Reyes A, St Johnston D. 1998. The *Drosophila* AP axis is polarised by the cadherin-mediated positioning of the oocyte. *Development* **125**: 3635–3644.
- Gonzalez-Reyes A, Elliott H, St Johnston D. 1997. Oocyte determination and the origin of polarity in *Drosophila*: The role of the spindle genes. *Development* **124**: 4927–4937.
- Goshima G, Wollman J, Goodwin SS, Zhang N, Scholey JM, Vale RD, Stuurman N. 2007. Genes required for mitotic spindle assembly in *Drosophila* S2 cells. *Science* **316**: 417–421.
- Grieder N, De Cuevas M, Spradling A. 2000. The fusome organizes the microtubules network during oocyte differentiation in *Drosophila*. *Development* **127**: 4253–4264.
- Gunawardane LS, Saito K, Nishida KM, Miyoshi K, Kawamura Y, Nagami T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. 2007. A slicer-mediated mechanism for repeat-associated siRNA 5' end formation in *Drosophila*. *Science* **315**: 1587–1590.
- Hari KL, Santerre A, Sekelsky JJ, McKim KS, Boyd JB, Hawley RS. 1995. The mei-41 gene of *D. melanogaster* is a structural and functional homolog of the human ataxia telangiectasia gene. *Cell* **82**: 815–821.
- Huynh JR. 2006. Fusome as a cell-cell communication channel of *Drosophila* ovarian cyst. In *Cell-cell channels* (ed. F Baluska, et al.), pp. 217–235. Landes Bioscience-Springer Verlag, New York.
- Ishizuka A, Siomi MC, Siomi H. 2002. A *Drosophila* fragile X protein interacts with components of RNAi and ribosomal proteins. *Genes Dev* **16**: 2497–2508.
- Januschke J, Gervais L, Gillet L, Keryer G, Bornens M, Guichet A. 2006. The centrosome-nucleus complex and microtubule

- organization in the *Drosophila* oocyte. *Development* **133**: 129–139.
- Jeng R, Stearns T. 1999. γ -Tubulin complexes: Size does matter. *Trends Cell Biol* **9**: 339–342.
- Kalmykova AI, Klenov MS, Gvozdev VA. 2005. Argonaute protein PIWI controls mobilization of retrotransposons in the *Drosophila* male germline. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**: 2052–2059.
- Klattenhoff C, Theurkauf W. 2008. Biogenesis and germline functions of piRNAs. *Development* **135**: 3–9.
- Klattenhoff C, Bratu DP, McGinnis-Schultz N, Koppetsch BS, Cook HA, Theurkauf WE. 2007. *Drosophila* rasiRNA pathway mutations disrupt embryonic axis specification through activation of an ATR/Chk2 DNA damage response. *Dev Cell* **12**: 45–55.
- Knowles BA, Cooley L. 1994. The specialized cytoskeleton of the *Drosophila* egg chamber. *Trends Genet* **10**: 235–241.
- Kwon M, Scholey JM. 2004. Spindle mechanics and dynamics during mitosis in *Drosophila*. *Trends Cell Biol* **14**: 194–205.
- Lasko PF, Ashburner M. 1988. The product of the *Drosophila* gene *vasa* is very similar to eukaryotic initiation factor-4A. *Nature* **335**: 611–617.
- Lau NC, Ohsumi T, Borowsky M, Kingston RE, Blower MD. 2009. Systematic and single cell analysis of *Xenopus* Piwi-interacting RNAs and Xiwi. *EMBO J* **28**: 2945–2958.
- Lee MJ, Gergely F, Jeffers K, Peak-Chew SY, Raff JW. 2001. Msps/XMAP215 interacts with the centrosomal protein D-TACC to regulate microtubule behaviour. *Nat Cell Biol* **3**: 643–649.
- Li C, Vagin VV, Lee S, Xu J, Ma S, Xi H, Seitz H, Horwic MD, Syrzycka M, Honda BM, et al. 2009. Collapse of germline piRNAs in the absence of Argonaute3 reveals somatic piRNAs in flies. *Cell* **137**: 509–521.
- Lim AK, Kai T. 2007. Unique germ-line organelle, nuage, functions to repress selfish genetic elements in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 6714–6719.
- Lin H, Spradling A. 1995. Fusome asymmetry and oocyte determination in *Drosophila*. *Dev Genet* **16**: 6–12.
- Lin H, Yue L, Spradling A. 1994. The *Drosophila* fusome, a germ-line-specific organelle, contains membrane skeletal proteins and functions in cyst formation. *Development* **120**: 947–956.
- Mahajan-Miklos S, Cooley L. 1994. Intercellular cytoplasm transport during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Dev Biol* **165**: 336–351.
- Mahowald AP, Strassheim JM. 1970. Intercellular migration of centrioles in the germarium of *Drosophila melanogaster*. An electron microscopic study. *J Cell Biol* **45**: 306–320.
- Malone CD, Hannon GJ. 2009. Small RNAs as guardians of the genome. *Cell* **136**: 656–668.
- Malone CD, Brennecke J, Dus M, Stark A, McCombie WR, Sachidanandam R, Hannon GJ. 2009. Specialized piRNA pathways act in germline and somatic tissues of the *Drosophila* ovary. *Cell* **137**: 522–535.
- Mata J, Curado S, Ephrussi A, Rorth P. 2000. Tribbles coordinates mitosis and morphogenesis in *Drosophila* by regulating string/CDC25 proteolysis. *Cell* **101**: 511–522.
- McKim KS, Hayashi-Hagihara A. 1998. mei-W68 in *Drosophila melanogaster* encodes a Spo11 homolog: Evidence that the mechanism for initiating meiotic recombination is conserved. *Genes Dev* **12**: 2932–2942.
- Megraw TL, Li K, Kao LR, Kaufman TC. 1999. The Centrosomin protein is required for centrosome assembly and function during cleavage in *Drosophila*. *Development* **126**: 2829–2839.
- Megraw TL, Kao LR, Kaufman TC. 2001. Zygotic development without functional mitotic centrosomes. *Curr Biol* **11**: 116–120.
- Miyoshi K, Tsukumo H, Nagami T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. 2005. Slicer function of *Drosophila* Argonautes and its involvement in RISC formation. *Genes Dev* **19**: 2837–2848.
- Moritz M, Agard DA. 2001. γ -Tubulin complexes and microtubule nucleation. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **11**: 174–181.
- Neuman-Silberberg FS, Schupbach T. 1993. The *Drosophila* dorsoventral patterning gene *gurken* produces a dorsally localized RNA and encodes a TGF α -like protein. *Cell* **75**: 165–174.
- Neuman-Silberberg FS, Schupbach T. 1996. The *Drosophila* TGF α -like protein Gurken: Expression and cellular localization during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Mech Dev* **59**: 105–113.
- Nishida KM, Saito K, Mori T, Kawamura Y, Nagami-Okada T, Inagaki S, Siomi H, Siomi MC. 2007. Gene silencing mechanisms mediated by Aubergine piRNA complexes in *Drosophila* male gonad. *RNA* **13**: 1911–1922.
- Pane A, Wehr K, Schupbach T. 2007. *zucchini* and *squash* encode two putative nucleases required for rasiRNA production in the *Drosophila* germline. *Dev Cell* **12**: 851–862.
- Piñol-Roma S, Choi YD, Dreyfuss G. 1990. Immunological methods for the purification and characterization of hnRNP particles. *Methods Enzymol* **181**: 317–325.
- Pokrywka N, Stephenson E. 1995. Microtubules are a general component of mRNA localization systems in *Drosophila* oocytes. *Dev Biol* **167**: 363–370.
- Reinsch S, Gonczy P. 1998. Mechanisms of nuclear positioning. *J Cell Sci* **111**: 2283–2295.
- Riechmann V, Ephrussi A. 2001. Axis formation during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **11**: 374–383.
- Rodriguez AJ, Seipel SA, Hamill DR, Romancino DP, Di Carlo M, Suprenant KA, Bonder EM. 2005. Seawi—a sea urchin piwi/argonaute family member is a component of MT-RNP complexes. *RNA* **11**: 646–656.
- Saito K, Nishida KM, Mori T, Kawamura Y, Miyoshi K, Nagami T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. 2006. Specific association of Piwi with rasiRNAs derived from retrotransposon and heterochromatic regions in the *Drosophila* genome. *Genes Dev* **20**: 2214–2222.
- Schnorrer F, Bohmann K, Nusslein-Volhard C. 2000. The molecular motor dynein is involved in targeting swallow and bicoid RNA to the anterior pole of *Drosophila* oocytes. *Nat Cell Biol* **2**: 185–190.
- Schupbach T, Wieschaus E. 1991. Female sterile mutations on the second chromosome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. Mutations blocking oogenesis or altering egg morphology. *Genetics* **129**: 1119–1136.
- Shadova H, Glaser FT, Gentile C, Simoni A, Giesecke A, Albert JT, Stanewsky R. 2009. Temperature entrainment of *Drosophila*'s circadian clock involves the gene *nocte* and signaling from peripheral sensory tissues to the brain. *Neuron* **64**: 251–266.
- Siomi MC, Sato K, Pezic C, Aravin AA. 2011. PIWI-interacting small RNAs: The vanguard of genome defence. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **12**: 246–258.
- Soper SE, van der Heijden GW, Hardiman TC, Goodheart M, Martin SL, de Boer P, Bortvin A. 2008. Mouse maelstrom, a component of nuage, is essential for spermatogenesis and transposon repression in meiosis. *Dev Cell* **15**: 285–297.
- Spradling A. 1993. Developmental genetics of oogenesis. In *The development of Drosophila melanogaster* (ed. M Bate and A Martinez-Arias), pp. 1–70. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Steinhauer J, Kalderon D. 2006. Microtubule polarity and axis formation in the *Drosophila* oocyte. *Dev Dyn* **235**: 1455–1468.
- Styhler S, Nakamura A, Swan A, Suter B, Lasko P. 1998. *vasa* is required for GURKEN accumulation in the oocyte, and is involved in oocyte differentiation and germline cyst development. *Development* **125**: 1569–1578.

- Tavosanis G, Gonzalez C. 2003. γ -Tubulin function during female germ-cell development and oogenesis in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **100**: 10263–10268.
- Tearle R, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 1987. Tübingen mutants stocklist. *Drosoph Inf Serv* **66**: 209–226.
- Terada Y, Uetake Y, Kuriyama R. 2003. Interaction of aurora-A and centrosomin at the microtubule-nucleating site in *Drosophila* and mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol* **162**: 757–764.
- Theurkauf WE. 1994. Microtubules and cytoplasm organization during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Dev Biol* **165**: 352–360.
- Theurkauf W, Smiley S, Wong M, Alberts B. 1992. Reorganization of the cytoskeleton during *Drosophila* oogenesis: Implications for axis specification and intercellular transport. *Development* **115**: 923–936.
- Theurkauf W, Alberts M, Jan Y, Jongens T. 1993. A central role for microtubules in the differentiation of *Drosophila* oocytes. *Development* **118**: 1169–1180.
- Tomancak P, Guichet A, Zavorszky P, Ephrussi A. 1998. Oocyte polarity depends on regulation of gurken by Vasa. *Development* **125**: 1723–1732.
- Vagin VV, Sigova A, Li C, Seitz H, Gvozdev V, Zamore PD. 2006. A distinct small RNA pathway silences selfish genetic elements in the germline. *Science* **313**: 320–324.
- van Eeden F, St Johnston D. 1999. The polarisation of the anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axes during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **9**: 396–404.
- Wiese C, Zheng Y. 1999. γ -Tubulin complexes and their interaction with microtubule-organizing centers. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **9**: 250–259.
- Wilson JE, Connell JE, Macdonald PM. 1996. aubergine enhances oskar translation in the *Drosophila* ovary. *Development* **122**: 1631–1639.
- Yoshikane N, Nakamura N, Ueda R, Ueno N, Yamanaka S, Nakamura M. 2007. *Drosophila* NAT1, a homolog of the vertebrate translational regulator NAT1/DAP5/p97, is required for embryonic germband extension and metamorphosis. *Dev Growth Differ* **49**: 623–634.
- Zhang D, Xiong H, Shan J, Xia X, Trudeau VL. 2008. Functional insight into Maelstrom in the germline piRNA pathway: A unique domain homologous to the DnaQ-H 3'–5' exonuclease, its lineage-specific expansion/loss and evolutionarily active site switch. *Biol Direct* **3**: 48. doi: 10.1186/1745-6150-3-48.

Maelstrom coordinates microtubule organization during *Drosophila* oogenesis through interaction with components of the MTOC

Kaoru Sato, Kazumichi M. Nishida, Aoi Shibuya, et al.

Genes Dev. 2011, **25**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.174110.111](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.174110.111)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2011/11/11/25.22.2361.DC1>

References

This article cites 85 articles, 37 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/25/22/2361.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).