Planar polarization of the atypical myosin Dachs orients cell divisions in Drosophila
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Tissues can grow in a particular direction by controlling the orientation of cell divisions. This phenomenon is evident in the developing Drosophila wing epithelium, where the tissue becomes elongated along the proximal-distal axis. We show that orientation of cell divisions in the wing requires planar polarization of an atypical myosin, Dachs. Our evidence suggests that Dachs constrains cell-cell junctions to alter the geometry of cell shapes at the apical surface, and that cell shape then determines the orientation of the mitotic spindle. Using a computational model of a growing epithelium, we show that polarized cell tension is sufficient to orient cell shapes, cell divisions, and tissue growth. Planar polarization of Dachs is ultimately oriented by long-range gradients emanating from compartment boundaries, and is therefore a mechanism linking these gradients with the control of tissue shape.
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Results and Discussion

Recently, Dachs was found to be localized in a planar-polarized manner along the P-D axis in response to the Dachsous gradient [Supplemental Fig. S1; Rogulja et al. 2008]. We observed that the localization of Dachs correlates with the orientation of cell divisions and tissue growth in the developing fly wing [Fig. 1C,D, Supplemental Figs. S1, S2]. Dachs localizes to the distal side of each cell’s apical surface [Fig. 1D, Rogulja et al. 2008], and clones tend to grow preferentially along the P-D axis to form elongated shapes [Fig. 1C]. This intriguing correlation led us to speculate that Dachs might mediate the orientation of cell divisions and tissue growth in the fly wing.

To test this hypothesis, we examined the behavior of marked clones of cells in wing discs lacking functional Dachs protein [dachs mutant], and wing discs in which Dachs is abnormally localized around the entire apical cell surface [fat mutant] [Rogulja et al. 2008]. The normal elongation of clone shapes along the P-D axis [Fig. 1E] is completely disrupted in either dachs [Fig. 1F] or fat [Fig. 1G] mutant discs, with clones tending to be rounded and misoriented [Fig. 1H]. Orientation of mitotic spindles is also disrupted in these mutants [Fig. 1I–K]. This failure to...
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Figure 1. Planar polarization of Dachs is required for orientation of clone and tissue growth. (A, top) The Dpp and Wg morphogens are expressed along the A–P and dorsal–ventral [D–V] compartment boundaries, respectively, in the wing imaginal disc. (Bottom) The corresponding positions in the pupal wing. (B, top) Dachssous is expressed in a gradient from proximal [P] to distal [D]. (Bottom) The corresponding gradient in the pupal wing. (C, top) Clone shapes (gray) in the wing pouch (the future wing) of the wing disc are oriented along the P–D axis. (Bottom) Clone shapes (gray) in the adult wing are also oriented along the P–D axis. (D) The Dachssous [Ds] gradient orients the planar polarization of Dachs [red] along the P–D axis such that Dachs localizes to the distal side of each cell’s apical surface. (Bottom) A clone in the wing disc expressing Dachs-V5. (E–H) The shape of clones randomly induced by heat shock and marked by the expression of the lacZ gene (anti-bgal) was examined in the wing pouch. (E) Clones are oriented along the P–D axis in wild-type discs. (F) Clones fail to orient in dachs mutants. (G) Clones fail to orient in fat mutants. (H) Quantification of E–G [see Supplemental Fig. S6], with overlays of clone shapes shown on the right. Mean clone elongation ratios are as follows: wild-type = 3.4±1.2 (n=55), dachs mutant = 1.6±0.8 (n=40, F<0.001), fat mutant = 1.6±0.5 (n=41, P<0.001). (I–K) Spindle orientations determined by Tub and phospho-HistoneH3 staining were quantified relative to the P–D axis for wild-type (n=199) (I), dachs mutant (n=236) (J), and fat mutant wing discs (n=235) (K). (L) Wild-type wing. (M) dachs mutant wing. (N) fat mutant wing.

orient cell divisions in dachs and fat mutants results in abnormally shaped adult wings that are reduced in the P–D axis relative to wild-type controls [Fig. 1L–N; Mao et al. 2006]. This shape change is evident despite opposite effects of the two mutations on size. These results indicate that planar polarization of Dachs is essential for orienting cell divisions and tissue growth.

We next examined whether reorientation of Dachs is sufficient to reorient cell divisions and tissue growth. We expressed Dachssous with the dpp.Gal4 driver, which is expressed in a gradient along the A–P axis in the anterior compartment [Fig. 2A]. This ectopic gradient of Dachssous runs perpendicular to the endogenous gradient and reorients Dachs [Fig. 2B,C]. As a result, clones are reoriented perpendicular to the P–D axis [Fig. 2B,C], as are mitotic spindles [Fig. 2D]. In adult wings, the ectopic Dachssous gradient drives elongation of the wing perpendicular to the P–D axis, in the anterior compartment [Fig. 2E–G].

How might Dachs control the orientation of the mitotic spindle? Dachs might directly orient the mitotic spindle by tethering. Alternatively, since Dachs is a myosin, it might indirectly orient the spindle by controlling cell shape. Existing evidence supports the latter view:
Mitotic spindles align along the long axis of the cell prior to division in both yeast and mammalian cells (Thery et al. 2007; Vogel et al. 2007). In the case of epithelial cells, spindles are restricted to the plane of the epithelium (Lu et al. 2001) and their orientation may be affected by apical surface geometry. We examined apical cell shape and the orientation of cell division in live wing discs in culture (Supplemental Movie S1) and found that cells divide along their long apical axis [Fig. 3A,B]. This correlation persists even in cases where divisions are not oriented along the P–D axis [Fig. 3B; Supplemental Figs. S2–S4]. These results indicate that the geometry of apical cell–cell junctions determines the orientation of cell division.

To test whether Dachs controls cell shape at the apical surface, we examined clones of dachs or fat mutant cells in an otherwise wild-type disc. Cells mutant for dachs are, on average, 60% more dilated in their apical surface area than wild type [Fig. 3C,F]. Cells mutant for fat are, on average, 40% more constricted than neighboring wild-type cells [Fig. 3D,F]. When fat mutant cells are also mutant for dachs, their apical surface area reverts to that of single dachs mutants [Fig. 3E,F]. Overexpression of Dachs enhances constriction of fat mutant cells [Fig. 3F]. These results show that Dachs exerts a contractile force on apical cell junctions. Since Dachs is normally planar-polarized, it would be predicted to constrict cell–cell junctions at the distal end of each cell and the proximal end of its neighbor [Fig. 3G]. Measurement of tension indicates that distal [and proximal] cell–cell junctions are under more tension than others [Supplemental Fig. S5]. Consequently, the cell must grow by lengthening its other cell–cell junctions, resulting in cell shape elongation in the P–D axis prior to division, which then orients the mitotic spindle [Fig. 3G]. This force-driven model is supported by the fact that clones of cells mutant for dachs show normally oriented growth when surrounded by wild-type cells that are capable of nonautonomously exerting force on the mutant clone [Fig. 3H; Mao et al. 2006]. Thus, Dachs appears to act by exerting mechanical force because its loss can be compensated by restoring these forces.

To further test our proposal, we built a computational model of a growing epithelial tissue adapted from a previous model [see the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Figs. S8–S10, Farhadifar et al. 2007]. We found that, compared with isometric apical tension [Fig. 4A; Supplemental Movie S2], polarized apical tension is sufficient to orient cell divisions and tissue growth [Fig. 4B; Supplemental Movie S3]. As in vivo [Fig. 1; Baena-Lopez et al. 2005], cell divisions are only imperfectly correlated with the P–D axis in our model [Supplemental Fig. S10], and this emergent behavior is in fact important to generate the type of clone shapes observed in the developing wing [Fig. 4B,D]. If cell divisions are forcibly oriented in the P–D axis, abnormal clone shapes result [Fig. 4C,D; Supplemental Movie S4] and the link between cell shape and orientation of division is broken [Fig. 5A–C], indicating that Dachs is unlikely to directly orient the spindle in vivo. Our model therefore supports the idea that Dachs indirectly orients the mitotic spindle by polarizing apical constriction to promote cell shape elongation in the P–D axis.

Our model also explains an apparent paradox: Despite the presence of planar-polarized Dachs, the apical geometry of most wing cells is not elongated in the P–D axis. Indeed, elongation of cells in the P–D axis is commonly observed only in those cells about to undergo division. Our simulations show that this is because elongated cells divide to produce daughter cells of more rounded or random shapes. The polarized tension exerted by Dachs is of moderate strength, and hence takes time to promote elongation in the P–D axis. Thus, only older cells ready to divide once more tend to show elongated forms. Furthermore, some cells never manage to elongate along the P–D axis, explaining why not all cell divisions occur in the P–D axis. Our model shows how this complex behavior of cells in a tissue emerges simply from the mechanical properties of a dividing epithelium.

Dachs orients tissue growth.

Figure 3. Dachs promotes constriction of apical cell–cell junctions to control cell shape, which may orient the mitotic spindle. (A1–6) Frames (5 min apart) from a live-imaged cell division in the wing pouch. (A4) Cell junction diagram with geometric centers of neighboring cells marked. (A5) Ellipse drawn through vertices of dividing cells (elongation ratio = 1.45±0.31, *n = 95) predicts the orientation of the mitotic spindle [light blue] and the plane of division. (8) Correlation between a dividing cell’s elongation angle and orientation of cell division, both relative to the P–D axis. Pearson’s correlation coefficient, * = 0.81 (n = 72). (C) dachs mutant clones (GFP-negative) dilate the apical surface [marked by E-cad]. (D) Ectopic localization of Dachs around the circumference of apical junctions in a fat mutant clone (GFP-negative) constrains the apical surface. (E) In fat dachs double-mutant clones (GFP-negative) apical junctions are not constricted but are dilated like dachs clones. (F) Quantification of C–E [Supplemental Table S1; Supplemental Fig. S7]. Error bars, standard deviation. (**) fat mutant cells are apically more constricted than wild-type [WT] (*P < 0.001). (**) dachs and fat/dachs mutant cells are apically more dilated than wild type (*P < 0.001) but indifferent from each other. (***) Overexpression of Dachs enhances constriction of fat mutant cells (*P < 0.001). (G) A model of cell growth, shape, and divisions in an isotropic manner [top] and when planar-polarized by Dachs-mediated tension [bottom]; (H) Oriented growth of dachs mutant clones is rescued when they are surrounded by wild-type [WT] cells. (I) Quantification of H versus wild-type clones. The elongation ratio of dachs clones surrounded by wild-type [WT] cells is 3.22±1.07 (n = 38), indifferent from wild-type clones [*P = 0.3] (Fig. 1H).
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It is interesting to compare the function of the Dachs myosin in the proliferating wing epithelium with the function of myosin-II in convergent extension movements of the quiescent embryonic epithelium. Both myosins appear to generate anisotropic junctional tension, but at different strengths. In embryos, myosin-II is planar-polarized at gastrulation and exerts very strong tension, collapsing cell–cell junctions entirely such that the new cells rearrange their positions and intercalate. In the wing disc, Dachs appears much weaker than myosin-II because convergent extension movements are not obvious in live-imaged wing disc epithelia. Thus, orientation of cell divisions appears to require much more subtle polarization of cell tension than convergent extension, and this may explain why an atypical myosin, rather than myosin-II, orients cell divisions. It will be interesting to investigate the role of Dachs in other oriented cell division scenarios, such as wound healing (Li et al. 2009).

In conclusion, planar polarization of Dachs links long-range gradients of secreted morphogens—known to be the fundamental organizers of tissue pattern, size, and shape—with the orientation of cell divisions and tissue growth during development. The mechanism we propose—a gradient directing the planar polarization of a molecule, which then orients cell behavior—may prove to be a widespread mechanism for organizing tissue development.

### Materials and methods

#### Generation of marked clones

Clones marked by absence of ubiquitin.GFP expression were induced by FLP/FRT-mediated mitotic recombination with an FRT40 ubiquitin.GFP chromosome (Bloomington). FLP activity (recombination) was induced 72–96 h after egg laying by a 60-min heat shock at 37°C. Clones marked by expression of actin.lacZ were generated by FLP/FRT-mediated in cis recombination to remove a CD2 stop cassette from the actin.FRT.CD2.FRT.lacZ transgene (Bloomington). FLP activity was induced 48–72 h after egg laying by a 10-min heat shock. Clone shapes were outlined and overlaid following rotational alignment along the P–D axis with Microsoft Powerpoint software.

### Drosophila genotypes

The following Drosophila genotypes were used: w hs.Flp/+; FRT40/FRT40 ubiquitin.GFP [Fig. 1C], yw hs.Flp/+; UAS.Dachs-V5/+; actin.FRT.CD2.FRT Gal4, UAS.GFP /+ [Fig. 1D], yw hs.Flp/+; actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 1E], yw hs.Flp/+; dachsΔ/Δ dachsΔ/Δ actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 1F], yw hs.Flp/+ fatGRV/fatGRV actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 1G], w dachsΔ/Δ dachsΔ/Δ [Fig. 1H], w fatGRV/fatGRV [Fig. 1I], w dachsΔ/Δ dachsΔ/Δ [Fig. 1J], w fatGRV/fatGRV [Fig. 1K], w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2A, top]; w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2A, bottom]; w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2B, top]; w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2B, bottom]; w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2C, top]; w actin.FRT.CD2.FRT lacZ/+ [Fig. 2C, bottom].

### Figure 4

Computer simulations show that polarized tension is sufficient to orient cell divisions and tissue growth. See Supplemental Movies S2–S4. For detailed parameter explorations, see Supplemental Figures S6 and S7. | A | Isometric tension & division in long axis ‘No Dachs’ |
| B | Polarized tension & division in long axis ‘Dachs orients the spindle via cell shape’ |
| C | Isometric tension & polarized cell division ‘Dachs orients the spindle by tethering it in the P–D axis’ |

**Figure 4.** Computer simulations show that polarized tension is sufficient to orient cell divisions and tissue growth. See Supplemental Movies S2–S4. For detailed parameter explorations, see Supplemental Figures S6 and S7. A Isometric tension at junctions leads to isometric clone shapes. B Polarized tension at junctions orients most cell divisions in the P−D axis, producing clone shapes and P−D orientation elongated and P−D-aligned than in vivo clones. C Polarized tension at junctions orients most cell divisions in the P−D axis, producing clone shapes and P−D orientation. See Supplemental Figure 4 for detailed parameter explorations, see Supplemental Figures S6 and S7. A Isometric tension & division in long axis ‘No Dachs’ |

### Figure 5

Evidence against Dachs directly orienting the mitotic spindle by tethering it in the P–D axis. (A) The difference (Δ) between the angle of daughter cells (relative to P–D = 0) and the long axis of their mother cell (relative to P–D = 0) is near 0 if orientation of cell shape determines the orientation of division. The difference (Δ) is negative if the daughter cells are more P–D-aligned than their mother. According to the “cell shape orients the spindle” model, the difference (Δ) should be centered around 0. According to the “Dachs directly orients the spindle” model, the difference (Δ) should be negative, because divisions would be expected to be biased along the P–D axis regardless of the shape of the mother cell. (A′) Analysis of in vivo cell division data from live imaging. The spread of the difference (Δ) is asymmetric around the P–D axis (0). For rounder cells (elongation ratio close to 1), the long axis is less defined, thus giving a wider spread of difference (Δ). (B) In simulation B (polarized tension and division in long axis), cell shape orients the mitotic spindle and the difference (Δ) is symmetric, with a wider spread of difference (Δ) for rounder cells, as in vivo. (C) In simulation C (isometric tension and polarized cell division), spindles are tethered in the P–D axis (by Dachs) and the difference (Δ) is no longer symmetric and is negative. There is also no correlation between the elongation ratio and spread of the difference (Δ). This remains true even when the spindle-tethering mechanism is made imprecise enough to produce the correct clone shape (not shown). These results indicate that, in vivo, Dachs does not directly control spindle orientation.

**Figure 5.** Evidence against Dachs directly orienting the mitotic spindle by tethering it in the P–D axis. (A) The difference (Δ) between the angle of daughter cells (relative to P–D = 0) and the long axis of their mother cell (relative to P–D = 0) is near 0 if orientation of cell shape determines the orientation of division. The difference (Δ) is negative if the daughter cells are more P–D-aligned than their mother. According to the “cell shape orients the spindle” model, the difference (Δ) should be centered around 0. According to the “Dachs directly orients the spindle” model, the difference (Δ) should be negative, because divisions would be expected to be biased along the P–D axis regardless of the shape of the mother cell. (A′) Analysis of in vivo cell division data from live imaging. The spread of the difference (Δ) is asymmetric around the P–D axis (0). For rounder cells (elongation ratio close to 1), the long axis is less defined, thus giving a wider spread of difference (Δ). (B) In simulation B (polarized tension and division in long axis), cell shape orients the mitotic spindle and the difference (Δ) is symmetric, with a wider spread of difference (Δ) for rounder cells, as in vivo. (C) In simulation C (isometric tension and polarized cell division), spindles are tethered in the P–D axis (by Dachs) and the difference (Δ) is no longer symmetric and is negative. There is also no correlation between the elongation ratio and spread of the difference (Δ). This remains true even when the spindle-tethering mechanism is made imprecise enough to produce the correct clone shape (not shown). These results indicate that, in vivo, Dachs does not directly control spindle orientation.
Discs were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed with PBT (PBS, 0.3% Triton X-100), blocked with PBT + 0.1% BSA, and stained with primary and fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes and Jackson Immunoresearch) with additional PBT washes. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-VS [Abcam], mouse anti-Wingless, mouse anti-Engrailed, rat anti-E-cad, mouse anti-Tubulin (all from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-Ph3 [Upstate Biotechnologies], rabbit anti-βgal [Cappel].

Fixed-sample imaging

Fluorescent imaging of fixed samples was performed with a Leica S5P laser-scanning confocal microscope. Bright-field imaging of adult tissues was performed with a Zeiss Axioplan microscope. Images were processed in Adobe Photoshop.

Live imaging

Live imaging of ex vivo cultured wing discs was performed with a Perkin Elmer Spinning Disc microscope. Discs were cultured in a 35-mm Florodish with Shields and Sang M3 media containing 2% fetal bovine serum, 10 μg/mL streptomycin/penicillin, 10 mU/L insulin, and 2.5% methyl cellulose (Aldaz et al. 2010). Live imaging of ex vivo cultured wing discs was performed with a Perkin Elmer Spinning Disc microscope. Discs were cultured in a 35-mm Florodish with Shields and Sang M3 media containing 2% fetal bovine serum, 10 μg/mL streptomycin/penicillin, 10 mU/L insulin, and 2.5% methyl cellulose (Aldaz et al. 2010). Z-stacks were taken at 1-μm intervals, with total thickness of 20–30 μm. Z-stacks were scanned at 2-min intervals for up to 5 h. Images were projected and time points were collated in Imovision ProSegmentation software.

Statistical analysis of elongation and orientation of cell divisions and clone shapes

Statistical analysis of the orientation of clone shapes and cell divisions were performed with software purpose-built using the OpenCV library, an open-source image analysis library written in C (see Supplemental Fig. S6). The software used the least-square fitting algorithm provided in OpenCV (cvFistEllips2d) to fit ellipses to the shape of entire clones, or the dividing cell (just before mitosis) and the position of daughter cells, as indicated by the user by selecting certain points outlining the clone and cells. The elongation ratios, centers, and main axis of these ellipses were then used to calculate angles and for further statistical analysis. P-values were calculated with a Wilcoxon nonparametric test.

Systematic definition of the P–D axis

To define the P–D axis in the wing pouch, we used a mathematical approximation that agrees with the experimentally observed orientation of wild-type clones: The distal-most segment of the wing pouch was defined as a line along the dorsal–ventral (D–V) boundary between two lateral points, determined by the user (Supplemental Fig. S6, white arrows). The theoretical (ideal) P–D orientation for a particular position (e.g., of the center of a cell, spindle, or clone) was calculated by projecting that point on the distal-most segment and moving one-third of the way from the projection point toward the center of the distal-most segment (Supplemental Fig. S6C).

Apical cell surface area quantification

Cell area measurements and cell counts were performed with software purpose-built using the OpenCV library, an open-source image analysis library written in C (see Supplemental Fig. S7). Since the apical surface area of cells in the wing disc varies depending on its position from the center of the disc, mutant cell surface areas must be compared with the immediate wild-type neighbors that are in the same relative positions in the wing disc. Dividing the area of the clone by number of cells in this clonal region gave the average area of cells in that clone. Dividing the average mutant apical cell area by the average wild-type apical cell area gave the ratio of mutant to wild-type area. The ratios for the apical area of each mutant clone were then averaged, and the mean and standard deviation were calculated. P-values were calculated with a Wilcoxon nonparametric test. See Supplemental Table S1 for further details.
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