KSHV-encoded miRNAs target MAF to induce endothelial cell reprogramming
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Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus (KSHV) induces transcriptional reprogramming of endothelial cells. In particular, KSHV-infected lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs) show an up-regulation of genes associated with blood vessel endothelial cells (BECs). Consequently, KSHV-infected tumor cells in Kaposi sarcoma are poorly differentiated endothelial cells, expressing markers of both LECs and BECs. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short noncoding RNA molecules that act post-transcriptionally to negatively regulate gene expression. Here we validate expression of the KSHV-encoded miRNAs in Kaposi sarcoma lesions and demonstrate that these miRNAs contribute to viral-induced reprogramming by silencing the cellular transcription factor MAF (musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog). MAF is expressed in LECs but not in BECs. We identify a novel role for MAF as a transcriptional repressor, preventing expression of BEC-specific genes, thereby maintaining the differentiation status of LECs. These findings demonstrate that viral miRNAs could influence the differentiation status of infected cells, and thereby contribute to KSHV-induced oncogenesis.
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Kaposi sarcoma (KS) is the most frequent tumor in untreated HIV-infected individuals [Boshoff and Weiss 2002]. KS is a highly vascularized tumor comprised of poorly differentiated spindle-shaped endothelial cells and characterized by a significant inflammatory infiltrate. Disease progression is driven by the proliferation of spindle cells, and they are the predominant cell type in advanced lesions [Boshoff et al. 1995]. Spindle cells are latently infected with KS herpesvirus [KSHV, or human herpesvirus-8], the etiological agent of KS [Chang et al. 1994; Boshoff et al. 1995; Staskus et al. 1997].

The overall gene expression profile of KS is closer to that of lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), than to that of blood vessel endothelial cells (BECs) [Wang et al. 2004]. However, KS spindle cells are poorly differentiated and do not faithfully represent either cell lineage, expressing markers of both BECs [e.g., CXCR4 and CD34] [Regezi et al. 1993] and LECs [e.g., VEGFR-3 and LYVE-1] [Dupin et al. 1999; Weninger et al. 1999, Kahn et al. 2002]. BECs and LECs are two closely related endothelial cell types that form the vessel walls of blood and lymphatic vasculature, respectively. Lymphatic identity is initiated by expression of the homeodomain transcription factor PROX1 [prospero-related homeobox 1] in a subset of venous endothelial cells early during embryogenesis [Wigle and Oliver 1999]. PROX1 expression is required for both the initiation and maintenance of the LEC phenotype, and PROX1 down-regulation in adult mice leads to lymphatic vasculature defects, loss of expression of LEC markers, and acquisition of ectopic markers characteristic of BECs [Johnson et al. 2008]. Therefore, LEC identity appears plastic, with the default state being that of BECs [Bixel and Adams 2008].

KSHV is capable of reprogramming endothelial cell transcriptomes: In vitro, KSHV infection of BECs induces lymphatic markers, including PROX1 [Hong et al. 2004], whereas KSHV infection of LECs induces transcriptional reprogramming toward a more BEC-like phenotype [Carroll et al. 2004; Hong et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2004]. However, the molecular mechanism underlying these transcriptional changes is unknown.
KSHV encodes 17 mature microRNAs (miRNAs) [Cai et al. 2005; Pfeffer et al. 2005; Samols et al. 2005], 14 of which are coexpressed as a cluster. The KSHV miRNAs are latently transcribed, and expression of a subset of viral pre-miRNAs has been confirmed in KS [O’Hara et al. 2009]. The function of the KSHV miRNAs is poorly characterized. Confirmed cellular targets include thrombospondin [Samols et al. 2007], BACH1 [Gottwein et al. 2007; Skalsky et al. 2007], and BCLAF1 [Ziegelbauer et al. 2009]. However, no LEC-specific KSHV miRNA targets have been identified.

Mammalian miRNAs are involved in mediating cellular differentiation and reprogramming [Chen et al. 2004; Thum et al. 2007; Viswanathan et al. 2008]. To date, all validated viral miRNA targets are involved in regulating viral reactivation, apoptosis, or modulating immune responses [Umbach and Cullen 2009]. We postulated that viral miRNAs may also affect cellular differentiation, and that KSHV-encoded miRNAs could play a role in mediating the transcriptional reprogramming of endothelial cells. Using gene expression microarray analysis, we identified potential KSHV miRNA targets in LECs, including the leucine zipper transcription factor MAF [musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog]. MAF plays a role in tissue specification and terminal differentiation of a wide variety of cell types [Eychene et al. 2008], and here we investigated whether it also played a role in the differentiation status of LECs.

Results

KSHV miRNA expression in KS

In order to determine which of the KSHV miRNAs were expressed and therefore biologically relevant to KS pathogenesis, we performed Agilent miRNA profiling of AIDS-KS lesions.

The focus of this study was to determine the expression profile of the viral miRNAs, we therefore used KSHV-negative skin biopsies as a negative control, allowing a baseline to be set for miRNA detection in samples lacking viral miRNA expression. KSHV viral gene expression was confirmed in all KS lesions by quantitative RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) for the latency-associated nuclear antigen [LANA] (Supplemental Fig. 1A). No viral gene expression was detected in the skin biopsies (Supplemental Fig. 1A).

Expression levels of individual KSHV miRNAs in KS biopsies and normal skin are shown in Figure 1A. Only those miRNAs with significant differential expression between skin and KS are shown. Ten out of the 17 mature viral miRNAs had significantly higher expression in KS than noninfected skin [Fig. 1A], miR-K12-4, miR-K12-1, miR-K12-11, and miR-K12-6-5p show the most significant differential expression. For the remaining seven KSHV mature miRNAs, either no expression was detected or probes for these miRNAs cross-reacted in both KSHV-positive and KSHV-negative samples [Supplemental Fig. 1A].

In order to confirm the viral miRNA microarray data, we performed qRT–PCR quantification of the mature form of all KSHV miRNAs (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. 1C). The difference in threshold detection cycle (ΔCt) between each KSHV miRNA and RNU66 is shown in both KS lesions and skin. Viral miRNAs with a ΔCt of >0 are detected earlier and therefore are more abundant than the small nucleolar RNA RNU66. The ΔCt represents the difference in threshold detection cycle between RNU66 and viral miRNA. Viral miRNAs were undetectable in skin biopsies.
this polymorphism, and that miR-K12-5 is present at low levels. Similar to the miRNA microarray, miR-K12-7 amplified in both KS and KSHV-negative skin samples; therefore, we were unable to confirm its expression in KS lesions [Supplemental Fig. 1C]. However, we detected significant differential expression of miR-K12-3 between KS and skin samples, suggesting this miRNA may be expressed [Supplemental Fig. 1C]. miR-K12-3*, miR-K12-9, and miR-K12-9* had low ΔCts in both skin and KS (Supplemental Fig. 1C).

MAF is a target for KSHV-encoded miRNAs

miRNA targets are cell-type-specific. Therefore, in order to identify KSHV miRNA targets in LECs, we subcloned the genomic region encoding the KSHV miRNA cluster (Fig. 2A; Samols et al. 2007) into lentiviral vector pSIN-MCS [Vart et al. 2007]. Expression of the mature miRNAs from the lentiviral vector was confirmed by qRT–PCR in LECs transduced with the cluster [Supplemental Fig. 2A]. The majority of mature viral miRNAs were expressed from the lentiviral vector. qRT–PCR of two miRNAs—miR-K12-10a and miR-K12-12—not present in the cluster generated a ΔCt of less than −4.8. miRNAs K12-3* and K12-9* also had ΔCts of less than −4.8, suggesting that these miRNAs are not expressed. Expression of miR-K12-6-5p and miR-K12-11 was also confirmed in LECs transduced with individual KSHV miRNAs [Supplemental Fig. 2B]. Activity of KSHV cluster miRNAs was confirmed in 293T cells using sensor vectors possessing synthetic KSHV miRNA target sites inserted downstream from the firefly luciferase coding sequence [Supplemental Fig. 2C; Samols et al. 2007].

To identify cellular mRNAs targeted by the KSHV miRNAs, we compared the gene expression profile of LECs transduced with a KSHV miRNA cluster to that of an empty lentiviral vector [Fig. 2B; Supplemental Table 1]. Regulation of mRNA transcripts by miRNAs is mediated predominantly through binding sites located in the 3’ untranslated region [UTR] [Gu et al. 2009]. Using the target prediction program PITA [Kertesz et al. 2007], we scanned the 3’UTRs of all genes showing decreased expression in the presence of the cluster for potential KSHV miRNA-binding sites. For each down-regulated transcript, the cumulative PITA score for all expressed viral miRNAs [Fig. 1A,B] was calculated [Fig. 2B, negative ddG...
sum values represent energetically favorable interactions). Down-regulation by the KSHV miRNA cluster of the top three cellular genes—H19, HES1, and MAF—was confirmed by qRT–PCR [Fig. 2C]. MAF was selected as a candidate miRNA target for further validation.

Previously, the transcription factor MAF was identified as a LEC-specific transcript (Petrova et al. 2002; Hong et al. 2004). MAF is involved in tissue specification and terminal differentiation of the lens, T cells, and endochondral bone (Kawauchi et al. 1999; Kim et al. 1999; Ring et al. 2000; MacLean et al. 2003). However, the function of MAF and its target genes in LECs is not known.

We identified 11 potential KSHV miRNA target sites in the MAF 3′UTR [Fig. 3A]. Multiple viral miRNAs, some having more than one site, were predicted to target MAF. The location and degree of sequence complementation to the 3′UTR are shown [Supplemental Fig. 2D]. miR-K12-6-5p had the strongest predicted site, and miR-K12-6-3p had the only predicted target showing perfect sequence complementation across the entire seed region (miRNA base pairs 2–9). The degree of sequence complementation between the miRNA seed region and the 3′UTR correlates with increased prediction ability and silencing via mRNA degradation [Bartel, 2009].

MAF mRNA was down-regulated in the presence of the KSHV miRNA cluster in both LECs and a stable 293 cell line [Fig. 3B]. Western blotting confirmed MAF protein down-regulation in LECs transduced with the miRNA cluster [Fig. 3C]. LECs expressing individual KSHV miRNAs identified miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11 as cluster members capable of MAF silencing [Supplemental Fig. 2E]. Although miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11 induced MAF repression, the strongest down-regulation was caused by the entire cluster [Fig. 3B].

Next, we confirmed that MAF regulation was mediated through miRNA interactions with the 3′UTR. We cloned a fragment of the MAF 3′UTR, containing four predicted binding sites, downstream from a renilla reporter gene. Expression of miR-K12-1, miR-K12-6-5p, miR-K12-11, or the entire cluster induced a significant reduction in

![Figure 3](https://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/33/2/198/F3.large.jpg)
renilla activity compared with empty vector control (Fig. 3D). Empty vector and two viral miRNAs [miR-K12-7 and miR-K12-8] with no predicted binding sites failed to induce silencing (Fig. 3D). Site-directed mutagenesis of KSHV miRNA predicted target sites in the MAF 3′UTR abolished silencing (Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. 2D), confirming that miR-K12-1, miR-K12-6-5p, and miR-K12-11 regulate MAF by interacting with the 3′UTR. miR-K12-1 failed to induce MAF down-regulation when LECs were transduced with a lentivirus encoding for this miRNA (Supplemental Fig. 2E); however, when transfected miR-K12-1, significantly reduced MAF 3′UTR luciferase reporter activity (Fig. 3D). This discrepancy may be due to higher miRNA expression levels in cells transfected with the miR-K12-1 plasmid; hence, silencing is only observed in the luciferase reporter assay. Therefore, we can neither confirm nor exclude miR-K12-1-mediated MAF silencing.

KSHV miR-K12-11 is a known ortholog of human miR-155 (Gottwein et al. 2007; Skalsky et al. 2007), a cellular miRNA shown to regulate MAF in CD4+ T cells [Rodriguez et al. 2007], miR-155 is not expressed in KS [data not shown]; however, our data indicate that KSHV-encoded miR-K12-11 mimics its function in LECs.

The specificity of MAF down-regulation by way of the KSHV miRNAs was confirmed using antagonors in 293 cells stably expressing the miRNA cluster. miR-K12-6-5p and miR-K12-11 inhibitors both caused a significant increase in MAF mRNA in cells expressing the miRNA cluster (Supplemental Fig. 3B). In contrast, an inhibitor against miR-K12-11 had no effect on MAF mRNA levels in control cell lines (Supplemental Fig. 3B). We observed an increase in MAF mRNA when control cells were transfected with an inhibitor against miR-K12-6 (Supplemental Fig. 3B). However, unlike the cluster plus inhibitor 6, this effect was not significant.

MAF is down-regulated during primary KSHV infection

MAF [also referred to as c-Maf] belongs to the AP1 superfamily of basic leucine zipper [bZIP] proteins, and is the founding member of the Maf family of transcription factors [Nishizawa et al. 1989]. To determine whether MAF, or any of the other family members, were deregulated during primary KSHV infection, we compared the gene expression profile of infected and noninfected LECs. MAF is the only Maf transcription factor that decreased upon primary infection (Fig. 4A). In addition, we observed
a significant up-regulation of two other Maf transcription factors: MAFF and MAFK (Fig. 4A). MAF mRNA suppression occurs early during primary infection; down-regulation then increased and was sustained up to 72 h post-KSHV infection [Fig. 4B]. Decreased mRNA abundance led to reduced MAF protein levels in KSHV-infected cells compared with noninfected LECs [Fig. 4C]. Viral miRNAs miR-K12-6-5p and miR-K12-11 were detected 6 h post-KSHV infection, as was LANA mRNA [Fig. 4D]. miRNA expression increased at 72 h post-infection, correlating with an increased down-regulation of MAF mRNA [Fig. 4D].

To confirm that MAF down-regulation was by way of KSHV miRNA-mediated silencing—in particular, miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11—we inhibited these miRNAs during primary infection. miRNAs were inhibited using LNA-modified oligonucleotides complementary to the mature miRNA sequence. KSHV infection failed to induce MAF mRNA suppression in the presence of inhibitors against miR-K12-11 or miR-K-12-6 [Fig. 4E]. In contrast, inhibition of miR-K12-8, a miRNA not predicted to target MAF, had no effect on MAF mRNA levels. We thus confirmed that MAF silencing is mediated specifically by miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11. Interestingly, inhibition of both mature isoforms of miR-12-6 led to an increase in MAF mRNA [Fig. 4E], suggesting that both branches of the stem–loop contribute to MAF down-regulation, which concurs with the in silico target prediction analysis [Fig. 3A].

**MAF represses BEC marker genes in LECs**

To determine whether MAF down-regulation played a role in KSHV-induced endothelial reprogramming, we studied the expression of BEC-specific genes, which are known to increase upon KSHV infection of LECs. BEC-specific genes were identified as those expressed in BECs, but not LECs, using our previous GEM analysis [Lagos et al. 2007, Supplemental Table 2]. We analyzed data from three independent GEM data sets where we observed suppression of MAF in LECs: KSHV-infected versus non-infected LECs, LECs transduced with the KSHV miRNA cluster versus empty lentiviral vector, and LECs transduced with siRNA targeting MAF versus nontargeting siRNA control.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) [Subramanian et al. 2005] identified a significant and concordant increase in expression of BEC-specific genes across all three GEM data sets [Fig. 5A]. This concordant change indicated that the KSHV miRNAs could contribute to the control of BEC markers through suppression of the transcription factor MAF.

We compared the leading-edge genes [i.e., those genes most highly up-regulated] within each GSEA analysis to identify those regulated by MAF within our BEC marker set [Supplemental Fig. 4A]. Three putative MAF targets were highly up-regulated after KSHV infection, expression of the miRNA cluster, and siRNA knockdown of MAF: TFEC, NRCAM, and SLC1A1. Another gene, HDAC9, was one of the most highly up-regulated genes after KSHV infection and MAF siRNA knockdown, and it was also up-regulated by the miRNA cluster, although not present in the leading edge [Supplemental Fig. 4A]. qRT-PCR confirmed the up-regulation of these candidate MAF target genes by the KSHV miRNAs and after siRNA-mediated MAF silencing [Fig. 5B]. To confirm that the KSHV miRNAs regulate BEC-specific genes by way of MAF silencing, we overexpressed the MAF ORF, without its 3’UTR, in the presence of the miRNA cluster. Exogenous MAF ablated the miRNA cluster-induced up-regulation of the four identified BEC-specific genes [Fig. 5C]. Moreover, we showed that MAF regulated the transcription of additional known BEC markers [MAML2, FLT1, CXCR4, and CXCL12]. We confirmed their significant up-regulation after MAF silencing by siRNA in LECs [Supplemental Fig. 4B].

MAF is differentially expressed between LECs and BECs [Supplemental Fig. 5A]. MAF levels in BECs were similar to PROX1, the master regulator of LEC differentiation [Wigle and Oliver 1999; Wigle et al. 2002]. In contrast, the MAF targets genes we identified were more highly expressed in BECs compared with LECs [Supplemental Fig. 5A]. Exogenous MAF expression in BECs led to suppression of these MAF targets [Fig. 6A]. MAF expression in BECs also repressed additional known BEC-specific genes: FLT1, CXCR4, and CXCL12 [Fig. 6A]. MAF overexpression in BECs was confirmed by Western blotting [Fig. 6B].

MAF and PROX1 are both highly expressed in the lens, where PROX1 was shown previously to enhance MAF activation of β-crystallin transcription [Chen et al. 2002; Cui et al. 2004]. However, the mechanism behind MAF and PROX1 cooperation is unclear, and direct interaction has not been demonstrated. Petrova et al. [2002] observed a set of 63 genes that were repressed in BECs following PROX1 overexpression. Many of the same genes were enriched in LECs following siMAF knockdown [Supplemental Fig. 5B]. Furthermore, similar to our data on MAF, PROX1 has been shown to suppress expression of BEC markers in LECs [Johnson et al. 2008]. Due to the LEC-specific expression of both MAF and PROX1, we could envisage that these transcription factors form a repressor complex, suppressing BEC markers to maintain the LEC phenotype.

**Discussion**

KSHV induces transcriptional reprogramming upon infection of LECs [Wang et al. 2004]. Our study shows that multiple KSHV-encoded miRNAs—in particular, miR-K12-11 and miR-K12-6—function in concert to target the LEC-specific transcription factor MAF to modulate LEC fate during infection [Fig. 7]. Therefore, these findings show that the KSHV miRNAs contribute in part to the mechanism underlying viral-induced reprogramming.

Previous studies confirmed the expression of a subset of viral miRNAs in KS [O’Hara et al. 2009]. Here we validate KSHV miRNA expression in KS lesions, confirming that the majority of viral miRNAs are expressed and therefore are likely to be relevant to KS oncogenesis.
In order to elucidate the role of viral miRNAs during infection, it is necessary to identify their targets. Specifically, we sought to identify genes deregulated upon miRNA expression in LECs, as these are the endothelial cell type most closely related to KS tumor (spindle) cells (Wang et al. 2004). Our gene expression microarray approach identified a cohort of genes significantly down-regulated in the presence of the KSHV miRNA cluster, which encodes 14 out of the 17 KSHV mature miRNAs. Although useful in detecting cellular genes silenced by miRNA degradation, additional proteomic approaches will be necessary to detect those KSHV miRNA targets regulated by translation inhibition (Mathonnet et al. 2007).

The bZIP transcription factor MAF was selected as a candidate miRNA target for further validation. Although originally classified as a transforming oncogene (Nishizawa et al. 1989; Kawai et al. 1992), MAF has subsequently been shown to mediate terminal differentiation of a range of cell types (Eychene et al. 2008). We therefore hypothesized that MAF may be involved in endothelial differentiation, and that deregulation of this transcription factor could play a role in KSHV-mediated reprogramming. KSHV miRNA cluster-induced MAF down-regulation was confirmed at both the RNA and protein levels. Multiple KSHV miRNA-binding sites were identified spanning the MAF 3′UTR, with miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11 both having more than one predicted site. Lentiviral expression of individual viral miRNAs and MAF 3′UTR luciferase reporter assays confirmed miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11 as cluster members capable of silencing MAF. This is in keeping with other validated miRNA targets, whereby a single cellular gene is targeted by multiple KSHV miRNAs (Samols et al. 2007; Ziegelbauer et al. 2009). Site-directed mutagenesis of predicted binding sites abolished KSHV miRNA-induced MAF silencing; confirming regulation is mediated through interactions with the 3′UTR.

MAF down-regulation occurs early and is maintained during whole-virus infection; silencing coincides with expression of the viral miRNAs. Inhibition of miR-K12-6 and miR-K12-11 during primary KSHV infection prevented MAF silencing, restoring MAF mRNA levels to those comparable with noninfected cells. In contrast, inhibition of miR-K12-8, which does not silence MAF, had no effect on MAF mRNA levels. This work confirms MAF
down-regulation during KSHV infection is mediated by several viral miRNAs. miRNA target sites located within 40 base pairs (bp) of each other have been shown to act cooperatively during silencing, whereas sites further apart act independently [Grimson et al. 2007; Baek et al. 2008]. Since none of the KSHV miRNA target sites are closely situated, MAF silencing by multiple viral miRNAs is likely to be additive; further experimental work is needed to confirm this.

In concordance with its role in tissue differentiation, MAF regulates distinct target genes in different cell types [Hegde et al. 1999; Kim et al. 1999; Ring et al. 2000; Aziz et al. 2009]. We identified a cohort of potential MAF target genes through GEM analysis of LECs in which MAF had been silenced. In this context, we observed a significant up-regulation of genes associated with BEC differentiation status. Therefore, it appears that, in LECs, MAF functions as a transcriptional repressor. MAF contains a transactivation domain, and the majority of studies into its function show MAF to be a transcriptional activator. However, our work is consistent with reports showing that MAF can also function as a repressor [Dhakshinamoorthy and Jaiswal 2002; Aziz et al. 2009].

LEC identity is initiated by expression of PROX1 in a subset of venous endothelial cells during embryogenesis [Wigle and Oliver 1999, Wigle et al. 2002]. Furthermore, sustained PROX1 expression is necessary in the adult mouse to maintain the LEC phenotype; otherwise, cells revert to the default blood vessel endothelial state [Johnson et al. 2008]. Here we identify a role for MAF in suppressing BEC markers, thus also contributing to LEC identity. KSHV causes transcriptional reprogramming during infection, whereby infected LECs or BECs are more similar to each other than their noninfected counterparts. KSHV miRNA silencing of MAF, and the subsequent increase in BEC marker expression, explain in part the mechanism behind this reprogramming. Although, overall, the poorly differentiated KS tumor cells are most similar to LECs [Wang et al. 2004], our findings provide a potential explanation for their BEC-like characteristics. Future work will delineate the relationship between MAF and PROX1 in the maintenance of LEC identity.

Together our results provide insight into the function of the KSHV miRNAs, identify a novel role for viral miRNAs in regulating the cellular differentiation state, and identify MAF as a transcription factor involved in the plasticity of LECs and BECs.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

LEC were cultured as described previously [Lagos et al. 2007]. LECs were used for experiments at passages 3–7. 293T and HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium [Invitrogen] supplemented with 10% FCS (Sigma). BECs were isolated from myometrium, and cells were cultured as described previously [Nikitenko et al. 2006]. 293 cell lines were cultured as above but with 100 μg/mL geneticin [Invitrogen]. BCLB-1 cells

Figure 6. MAF represses BEC markers. (A) In BECs, exogenous MAF represses MAF target genes. qRT–PCR analysis of BEC marker genes in BECs transduced with pSIN or MAF lentivirus. Values normalized to GAPDH and relative to empty vector, pSIN. (**) P < 0.005. (B) Western blot analysis of MAF and loading control actin protein in LECs transduced with either empty lentiviral vector [pSIN] or MAF-expressing lentivirus [MAF].

Figure 7. KSHV miRNAs contribute to LEC reprogramming. MAF represses the transcription of BEC marker genes in LECs [blue cell]. BEC markers, but not MAF, are expressed in BECs [red cell]. Upon KSHV infection and expression of the viral miRNAs, MAF is silenced [purple cell], leading to increased expression of BEC marker genes. The resulting KSHV-infected LEC is more similar to BECs than a noninfected cell. BEC marker gene names are shown in blue.
KSHV production and infection of LECs

KSHV was produced as described, and LECs were infected with 250–500 viral copies per cell [Wang et al. 2004]. This procedure reproducibly resulted in 30%–50% of LECs expressing GFP at 24 h and 72 h post-infection, respectively, as measured by flow cytometry.

Western blotting

Forty-eight hours post-infection with either lentivirus or KSHV, LECs were lysed in RIPA buffer and equal concentrations of protein were resolved on a gel. Antibodies against MAF [Image-nx, IMG-6076A] and actin [Calbiochem, CP01] were detected with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and were quantified using ECL [GE Healthcare].

Subcloning of KSHV miRNAs into pSIN-MCS

The KSHV miRNA cluster and individual miRNAs were cloned into pcDNA3.1/V5/HisA as described [Samols et al. 2007]. The cluster and individual miRNAs were subcloned into the lentiviral vector pSIN-MCS, derived from pCSGW as described [Vart et al. 2007].

Lentivirus production and infection of LECs

Lentivirus was produced as described previously [Vart et al. 2007]. LECs were harvested 3 d post-infection.

qPCR and qRT–PCR

Genomic DNA for qPCR was extracted using the QIAamp DNA mini-kit [Qiagen]. The number of lentiviral copies per cell (c/c) was determined as described previously [Vart et al. 2007]. Total RNA was extracted using either RNeasy mini-kit or miRNeasy mini-kit [Qiagen]. qRT–PCR quantification of GAPDH and LANA mRNA was performed as described previously [Vart et al. 2007]. All other mRNAs were quantified using commercially available TaqMan Gene Expression assay [Applied Biosystems].

cDNA synthesis for qRT–PCR quantification of mature miRNAs was performed using the TaqMan miRNA Reverse Transcription Kit [Applied Biosystems] according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Custom TaqMan miRNA Assays were designed against the mature miRNA sequence as detailed in miRBase version 13.0. Cellular small nucleolar RNA RNU66 was used as a reference RNA.

Luciferase reporter assays

miRNA activity from pSIN-Cluster was quantified using miRNA sensor vectors pGL3-mir1 or pGL3-mir11 as described previously [Samols et al. 2005]. The first 2.3 kb of the MAF 3’UTR were cloned into the psiCheck-2 renilla reporter plasmid [Promega]. Site-directed mutagenesis of the KSHV miRNA-binding sites in the MAF 3’UTR was performed using QuikChange XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit [Stratagene]. A distinct mutated UTR was made for miR-K12-6-3p, miR-K12-11, and miR-K12-1. Successful mutagenesis was confirmed by sequencing. The reporter plasmid (50 ng) was cotransfected with the KSHV miRNAs or empty lentiviral vector (500 ng) in 2.5 × 10⁴ HeLa cells. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were harvested and the luciferase activity was measured using a Fluoroskan Ascent FL luminometer [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.]. Renilla activity was normalized to internal firefly luciferase levels.

Gene expression and miRNA microarray profiling

Gene expression profiling was performed using the Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array [Affymetrix]. Total RNA was extracted from subconfluent LECs (passage 4) infected with lentivirus expressing the miRNA cluster or empty vector (average of 12.7 copies per cell). Similarly, total RNA was extracted 48 h post-transfection from subconfluent LECs transfected with MAF On-Target Plus SMARTpool or the On-Target plus Non-targeting Pool [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.]. We previously generated gene expression microarray data of KSHV-infected and noninfected LECs [Lagos et al. 2007].

Fresh frozen KS and skin biopsies were obtained from the NIH AIDS Cancer Specimen Resource Bank, and from Chelsea and Westminster Hospital. All participants were male, HIV-infected, and between the ages of 26 and 58 years old. All participants were undergoing anti-retroviral treatment at the time of collection. RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy mini-kit [Qiagen].

The small RNA fraction was assessed using the Agilent small RNA kit. Quality and integrity of the RNA fraction between 6 and 150 nucleotides was quantified using the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer [Agilent]. One-hundred nanograms of total RNA per sample were hybridized to the Agilent Human miRNA microarray according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

GEM accession numbers

GEM expression data were deposited with the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo]: KLEC, GSE16357; miRNA cluster, GSE16355; miRNA KS, GSE16358; and siMAF, GSE16356.

KSHV miRNA inhibition

KSHV miRNAs were inhibited using LNA-modified oligonucleotides from Exiqon. LECs (2 × 10⁴) were transfected in OptiMEM [Invitrogen] using oligofectamine [Invitrogen], with 50 nM inhibitors designed against the mature miRNA sequence of K12-6-3p, K12-6-5p, K12-8, K12-11, or a nontargeting control. Cells were incubated for 5 h in the transfection mixture, 1 mL of LEC media was then added per well. Twenty-four h post-transfection, cells were washed and media were changed. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, LECs were infected with KSHV, 24 h post-infection, cells were harvested, and MAF mRNA levels were assessed by qRT–PCR.

Statistical analysis

Gene expression and miRNA microarray GEM and miRNA analysis were carried out using Bioconductor packages for the R statistical programming language [Gentleman et al. 2004]. For Affymetrix GEM, the affyPLM package was used for quality control, the robust multiarray algorithm [affy] from the affy package was used for preprocessing, and the limma package was used to determine differential gene expression [Smyth, 2004]. For Agilent miRNA arrays, we extracted the median (medianSignal) and background signal (bgR Bust) using the AffyPLM package. The arrays were then background-corrected using the “normexp” function [Ritchie et al. 2007] of the limma package and quantile-normalized to each other. The replicate probes were averaged and, for Figure 1A, the median of the remaining pseudoreplicates was used (i.e., usually four distinct probes per miRNA).
miRNA target prediction analysis. The PITA algorithm [Kertesz et al. 2007] was used to calculate both a single miRNA-to-target interaction score (ddG) and a cumulative score for multiple miRNA-to-target interactions using the recommended formula [http://genie.weizmann.ac.il/pubs/mir07/mir07_notes.html]; ddGsum = −log[E[e−ddG]]. Potential interactions are 8mers with at most either one G/U wobble or one mismatch (but not both). Here we calculated cumulative interaction scores including only the KSHV miRNA that we found to be significantly expressed (in AIDS-KS relative to skin). Target 3′UTR sequences from genes that were downregulated upon KSHV miRNA expression in LECs were obtained from Ensembl [http://www.ensembl.org/Multi/martview].

GSEA GSEA measures the enrichment of a gene set within a GEM experiment [Subramanian et al. 2005]. The enrichment score (ES) is a metric of the skew of a gene set within the rank of genes sorted by their GEM expression difference. The significance of enrichment [q, or false discovery rate] is the proportion of true ES >1000 ES generated from random gene sets [of equal size] e.g., proportion of ES \text{\textit{ES}_{\text{NULL}}} > ES. Leading-edge genes are the subset that contributes most to the ES.

For all experiments, bars represent the average of three experiments and error bars correspond to standard deviation. P-values were calculated using a two-tailed Student’s t-test, unless stated otherwise.
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