RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Gibberellins control fruit patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Nicolas Arnaud,¹ Thomas Girin,² Karim Sorefan,^{2,4} Sara Fuentes,² Thomas A. Wood,² Tom Lawrenson,¹ Robert Sablowski,^{1,3,6} and Lars Østergaard^{2,3,5}

¹Cell and Developmental Biology Department, John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom; ²Crop Genetics Department, John Innes Centre, Norwich, NR4 7UH, United Kingdom

The *Arabidopsis* basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) proteins INDEHISCENT (IND) and ALCATRAZ (ALC) specify tissues required for fruit opening that have major roles in seed dispersal and plant domestication. Here, we show that synthesis of the phytohormone gibberellin is a direct and necessary target of IND, and that ALC interacts directly with DELLA repressors, which antagonize ALC function but are destabilized by gibberellin. Thus, the gibberellin/DELLA pathway has a key role in patterning the *Arabidopsis* fruit, and the interaction between DELLA and bHLH proteins, previously shown to connect gibberellin and light responses, is a versatile regulatory module also used in tissue patterning.

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received May 14, 2010; revised version accepted August 9, 2010.

Development of the *Arabidopsis* fruit is a model system for tissue patterning in plants and for understanding the genetic control of seed dispersal, which has a key role in crop domestication and improvement (Purugganan and Fuller 2009). The fruit of *Arabidopsis*, oilseed rape, and other Brassicaceae is a silique constituted of two valves, protecting the seeds, fused to a central replum by a specific tissue called valve margin (Fig. 1A; Østergaard 2009). Valve margins differentiate into narrow stripes of cells consisting of a lignification layer (LL) and a separation layer (SL). This specialized structure facilitates fruit opening and the efficient release of the seeds: The SL secretes polygalacturonase enzymes to degrade cell walls and allow cell separation, while the LL is believed to provide tension to facilitate the opening mechanism (Petersen et al. 1996; Spence et al. 1996; Mitsuda and Ohme-Takagi 2008). The valve margin identity factors SHATTERPROOF (SHP1/2) and INDEHISCENT (IND) are involved in the differentiation of both the LL and SL (Liljegren et al. 2000, 2004),

whereas ALCATRAZ (ALC) is required for SL specification (Rajani and Sundaresan 2001). *FRUITFULL* (*FUL*) and *REPLUMLESS* (*RPL*) genes, expressed in the valves and replum, respectively, restrict the expression of valve margin identity genes to the valve margins (Ferrandiz et al. 2000; Roeder et al. 2003). Despite the identification of these molecular actors, the precise role of valve margin identity genes—and therefore the set of genes that they control—remains to be elucidated.

Results and Discussion

IND directly activates a key gibberellin biosynthesis gene

To investigate the role of *IND* in the patterning of valve margins, we used a dexamethasone (DEX)-inducible version of the *IND* gene translationally fused to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) under the control of the *CaMV35S* promoter (*35S::IND:GR*) (Sorefan et al. 2009). Global transcriptomic profiling comparing DEX-induced and non-induced plants was used to reveal putative targets of *IND*. We observed that the gene *GA3ox1* was induced after 6 h of DEX treatment compared with the internal control *UBQ10* (Fig. 1B). This result was confirmed using quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1A). We then used cycloheximide to prevent de novo protein synthesis and therefore reveal immediate *IND* targets (Sablowski and Meyerowitz 1998). The DEX treatment was still able to trigger the accumulation of *GA3ox1* mRNA without active translational machinery, suggesting that *GA3ox1* is an immediate target of *IND* (Supplemental Fig. S1B). To investigate whether this regulation is direct, we performed a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay using the GR antibody followed by qPCR analysis. *GA3ox1* was significantly enriched in DEX-induced *35S::IND:GR* plants compared with no DEX control (Fig. 1C), showing that *GA3ox1* was bound by *IND:GR* in vivo.

GA3ox1 encodes a Gibberellin 3-oxidase, which catalyzes the last step in the biosynthesis of bioactive gibberellins (*GA*₁ and *GA*₄) (Talon et al. 1990). GAs are important regulators of plant growth through both cell division and cell elongation (Hedden and Phillips 2000; Sun and Gubler 2004; Yamaguchi 2008). It has been shown that the expression pattern of *GA3ox1* (and other members of the *GA3ox* family) corresponds to the sites where active GAs are produced (Itoh et al. 1999; Mitchum et al. 2006). To confirm that endogenous *IND* participates in establishing the *GA3ox1* expression pattern, we used the *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* reporter, which has been validated previously in a detailed analysis of *GA3ox1* expression in seedlings (Mitchum et al. 2006; Hu et al. 2008). Examination of stage 15 gynoecia showed expression of *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* in valve margins and the septum (Fig. 1D,E; stages defined in Smyth et al. 1990), overlapping with the expression pattern of *IND* (Liljegren et al. 2004). Importantly, this specific aspect of *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* expression depended on *IND*: In the *ind-1* mutant, expression was significantly reduced in valve margins but remained comparable in the gynophore (at the base of the developing fruit) (Fig. 1D). In conclusion, the *IND*-dependent *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* expression, the microarray, and the ChIP results together indicate that *IND* directly activates *GA3ox1* in medial tissues of the fruit, which include valve margins.

[Keywords: Seed dispersal; gibberellin; fruit patterning]

³These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁴Present address: School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom.

Corresponding authors.

⁵E-MAIL lars.ostergaard@bbsrc.ac.uk; FAX 44-1603-450027.

⁶E-MAIL robert.sablowski@bbsrc.ac.uk; FAX 44-1603-450025.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.593410>.

Figure 1. The gibberellin biosynthetic gene *GA3ox1* is a direct target of *IND*. (A) Mature wild-type *Arabidopsis* fruit and schematic cross-section showing the different tissues. The regulatory network controlling the development of fruit tissues is also indicated. Expression of the valve margin identity genes (*IND*, *ALC*, and *SHP1/2*) is restricted to the valve margins by the *FUL* and *RPL* activities in the valve and the replum, respectively. Bar, 1 mm. (B) Transcript profiling assay using 7-d-old *35S::IND:GR* seedlings before (–DEX) and after (+DEX) 6 h of DEX treatment, showing an increase in *GA3ox1* mRNA accumulation in response to DEX treatment compared with *UBQ10* mRNA as internal control ($n = 3$). Error bars represent standard deviation (SD). (C) ChIP showing the direct interaction of *IND-GR* with the *GA3ox1* gene. DNA obtained from pull-down with the *GR* antibody has been analyzed by qPCR using specific primers for the *GA3ox1* gene. Values correspond to the ratios between pull-down and input DNA, both initially normalized to the Mu-like transposon. Values for four biological repeats are represented. P -value, <0.01 . (D) β -Glucuronidase expression of *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* in young fruit (stage 15 according to Smyth et al. 1990) in the wild type (WT) and *ind-1* mutant (*ind-1*). Bar, 500 μ m. (E) β -Glucuronidase expression of *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* in young fruit (stage 15) cross-sections in the wild type and *ind-1* mutant (*ind-1*). Bar, 100 μ m.

Gibberellin is required for valve margin development

Fruit development involves extensive GA-activated cell elongation, which is partially dependent on *GA3ox1* (Koornneef and Van der Veen 1980; Chiang et al. 1995). *IND*, however, is not required for fruit elongation (Liljegren et al. 2004), raising the question of whether GAs might

have additional roles during fruit development. To test whether GAs have specific roles downstream from *IND* during valve margin development, we first analyzed valve margin morphology in the *ga4-1* mutant. This mutant contains a point mutation in the coding sequence of *GA3ox1* (Koornneef and Van der Veen 1980; Chiang et al. 1995), and has reduced levels of bioactive GAs in the shoot (Talon et al. 1990). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) showed that valve margins were not properly defined in the *ga4-1* mutant compared with the wild type (Fig. 2A,B). To avoid the pleiotropic effects of gibberellin-deficient mutants and reveal the consequences of lowering gibberellin levels specifically in the valve margins, we also generated *pIND >> GA2ox* plants, in which the *IND* promoter directed expression of the gibberellin-inactivating *GA2ox2* gene (Rieu et al. 2008). As observed with *ga4-1*, these plants also showed valve margin defects (Fig. 2A,B), confirming that localized gibberellin levels control differentiation of valve margins.

To verify that the valve margin defects caused by gibberellin depletion affected fruit opening, we developed a random impact test (RIT) for quantifying shatter resistance in *Arabidopsis* fruits (see the Materials and Methods for details). Shattering measurements revealed that the fruits of both the *ga4-1* mutant and the *pIND >> GA2ox* transgenic line were more resistant to opening than the *Landsberg erecta* wild type, which is too sensitive

Figure 2. Low GA levels disrupt valve margin development and inhibit fruit opening. (A) SEM images showing the base of *Arabidopsis* fruit (stage 17b) of the wild type (WT), *ind-1*, *ga4-1*, and *pIND >> GA2ox*. Bar, 50 μ m. (B) SEM images showing a close-up of valve margin and replum tissues. Pictures were taken in the middle of an *Arabidopsis* fruit (stage 17b) of the wild type, *ind-1*, *ga4-1*, and *pIND >> GA2ox*. Bar, 50 μ m. (C) Shattering quantification of wild-type, *ind-1*, *ga4-1*, and *pIND >> GA2ox* fruits. Values represent the mean of three biological repeats ($n = 3$) (20 siliques each). Error bars represent standard deviation (SD).

for the assay to obtain a value greater than zero (Fig. 2C). This shatter-resistant phenotype was confirmed using another mutant allele for *GA3ox1*, the *ga4-3* mutant in a Columbia background (Supplemental Fig. S2). The *pIND >> GA2ox* transgenic line showed more pronounced shatter resistance than the *ga4-1* mutant, suggesting that other members of the *GA3ox* family (Mitchum et al. 2006) might contribute to gibberellin production during valve margin formation. In agreement with this observation, expression of a gene encoding a *GA3ox2* enzyme (At1g80340) was also found to be significantly up-regulated in response to DEX induction in the transcriptomic profiling described above (data not shown). In contrast to the partial shatter resistance of *ga4* mutants and the *pIND >> GA2ox* line, fruits of the *ind-1* mutant never opened during the analysis (Fig. 2C), confirming that fruit opening is promoted by additional *IND* functions apart from activating gibberellin synthesis (Sorefan et al. 2009). Nevertheless, the results above showed that local production of gibberellins promoted by *IND* is required for the differentiation of fully functional valve margins.

Valve margin defects caused by low gibberellin resemble the *alc* phenotype

Next, we used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to reveal in cellular detail how gibberellin depletion affected valve margin development. In wild-type fruits, the LL and SL were clearly recognizable: LL cells exhibited thick cell walls, whereas SL cells were small and nonlignified (Fig. 3A,B) as described previously (Rajani and Sundaresan 2001; Wu et al. 2006). Small SL cells were found directly adjacent to the LL. In the *ga4-1* mutant, cells forming the SL could not be identified. Instead, larger cells resembling replum

cells were found adjacent to the LL. The valve margin defect observed in the *ga4-1* mutant background is thus due to the lack of a proper SL, whereas the LL appears to be unaffected compared with the wild type (Fig. 3C). Since *IND* is involved in the formation of both the SL and LL (Liljegren et al. 2004), this result may explain why the fruit-opening defects were less severe in *ga4-1* compared with *ind-1*.

To our knowledge, *ALC* is the only gene shown to be specifically involved in the differentiation of the SL. Interestingly, the valve margin phenotype of *ga4-1* resembles the *alc-1* phenotype described by Rajani and Sundaresan (2001). To compare quantitatively the cellular defects seen in *alc-1* and in plants with reduced gibberellin levels, we analyzed optical cross-sections of *Arabidopsis* fruits stained with a modified pseudo-Schiff propidium iodide (mPS-PI) method (Truernit et al. 2008). Using this technique, the SL is visible in the wild type as a layer of small, thin-walled cells adjacent to the LL (Supplemental Fig. S3). In contrast, the SL in the *alc-1* mutant was not differentiated, and large cells were located close to the lignified tissue as described previously (Rajani and Sundaresan 2001). Similar defects were seen in the *ga4-1* mutant and in the *pIND >> GA2ox* transgenic line (Supplemental Fig. S3). Measurement of the size of the cells directly adjacent to the LL in the wild-type, *alc-1*, *ga4-1*, and *pIND >> GA2ox* transgenic lines confirmed that all three genotypes had significantly larger cells at the site of separation than the wild type (Fig. 3D). The similar phenotypes suggested that *ALC* and *GA3ox1* could act in the same pathway to control SL differentiation. This was confirmed by generating the *alc-1; ga4-1* double mutant: The size of SL cells in the *alc-1; ga4-1* double mutant was not significantly different from SL cell size of *alc-1* and *ga4-1* single mutants (Fig. 3D).

Figure 3. Low GA levels cause SL defects that resemble those of the *alc* mutant. (A) Diagram (based on a mPS-PI/confocal image) of the different cell layers in the wild-type valve margins: SL (orange) and LL (red). (B,C) TEM images showing valve margin tissues (cross-section) of the wild type (WT) (B) and *ga4-1* mutant (C). SL cells are marked with asterisks (*). (R) Replum; (V) valve. Bar, 10 μm. (D) Quantification of cell sizes in the cell layer corresponding to the SL in the wild type ($n = 38$), *alc-1* ($n = 46$), *ga4-1* ($n = 41$), *pIND >> GA2ox* ($n = 34$), and *alc-1; ga4-1* double mutant ($n = 49$). Error bars represent standard deviation (SD).

Gibberellin releases *ALC* from *DELLA* repression at the valve margin

ALC is a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor belonging to group VII, according to the bHLH classification described by Heim et al. (2003). This group also contains PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR 3 (PIF3) and PIF4. In the absence of GA, PIF transcription factors are prevented from activating their targets through interaction via their DNA interaction domain with the growth-repressing *DELLA* proteins, whereas the presence of GA relieves this inhibition (de Lucas et al. 2008; Feng et al. 2008). Notably, *ALC*, PIF3, and PIF4 share the same H-E-R motif in their DNA interaction domains (Heim et al. 2003). To test if *ALC* could be regulated in a similar manner, we analyzed the potential interaction of *ALC* with *DELLA* proteins. Yeast two-hybrid experiments revealed that *ALC* indeed interacts with the *Arabidopsis* *DELLA* proteins GAI, RGA, and RGL2 (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S4A). In order to confirm this interaction in planta, we performed bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays. Interaction between *ALC* and RGA was again detected in nuclei of transiently transformed *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf cells (Supplemental Fig. S4B).

Interaction with *ALC* in vivo would also be consistent with the reported expression of GAI, RGA, and RGL2 in flowers and siliques (Lee et al. 2002). To confirm that *DELLA* proteins are expressed in the tissues where *ALC* functions during valve margin formation, we analyzed in

Figure 4. DELLA proteins interact with ALC and inhibit valve margin development in the absence of GA. (A) Yeast two-hybrid interaction using ALC as a bait and GAI, RGL2, and RGA as prey. (Top and bottom panels) Dilution series plated on selective medium (–Leu Trp His Ade) and control medium (–Leu Trp), respectively. (B) Projections of confocal sections showing expression of *pRGA::GFP-RGA* in medial tissues of stage 15 gynoecium: untreated (Ø), treated with 1 μ M PAC (+PAC), or treated with 10 μ M gibberellin (+GA₃) for 24 h. (C) SEM images showing the base of a stage 17b fruit of the *ga1-3* mutant treated with 1 μ M GA₃ (left panel) or 100 μ M GA₃ (right panel), and of the *ga1-3; rga-t2; gai-t6* triple mutant (middle panel). Bar = 100 μ m. (D) Model of DELLA-mediated control of ALC by IND. IND induces GA biosynthesis via the direct activation of *GA3ox1*. Without GAs, ALC interacts with DELLA proteins. During valve margin development, IND-induced local GA production leads to DELLA degradation and release of ALC, which then controls SL genes.

detail the expression pattern of the previously characterized *pRGA::GFP:RGA* line (Silverstone et al. 2001). Because GFP-RGA expressed in the valve margins was predicted to be degraded by locally produced GA, we compared *pRGA::GFP:RGA* expression in untreated controls and in developing fruits treated with the GA biosynthesis inhibitor Paclobutrazol (PAC) or exogenous GA. PAC treatment revealed strong expression of GFP-RGA throughout the medial tissues of young fruits, including valve margins (Fig. 4B). Consistent with the idea that locally produced GA leads to degradation of DELLAs in developing valve margins, untreated controls showed much lower GFP-RGA expression, which was abolished after treatment with GA₃ (Fig. 4B).

To test whether DELLA expression during valve margin formation is functionally relevant, we compared

valve margin morphology in the *ga1-3* mutant and the *ga1-3; rga-t2; gai-t6* triple mutant. The *GA1* gene encodes a key enzyme in the GA biosynthetic pathway, and the *ga1-3* mutant presents severe developmental defects due to very low levels of GA (Sun et al. 1992). Applications of GA₃ were necessary to restore plant growth and obtain fruits. Consistent with our previous results, fruits of *ga1-3* mutant treated with low GA₃ concentration (1 μ M) presented the same valve margin defects as *ga4-1* and *pIND >> GA2ox* plants (Fig. 4C). This valve margin defect was corrected in both the *ga1-3* treated with high GA₃ (100 μ M) and the *ga1-3; rga-t2; gai-t6* triple mutant (Fig. 4C). We conclude that the DELLA proteins RGA and GAI act as repressors of valve margin formation in the absence of GA.

Together, all of the results described above support the following model for GA-mediated specification of SL in *Arabidopsis* (Fig. 4D). We propose that IND directly activates the expression of *GA3ox1* and, consequently, the production of bioactive GAs in developing valve margins. Prior to SL specification, we hypothesize that ALC is bound to DELLA proteins, preventing activation of its targets. Local gibberellin synthesis leads to degradation of DELLA proteins, releasing ALC to modulate the expression of its target genes and direct the differentiation of the SL. In this model, activation of ALC by GA biosynthesis occurs post-transcriptionally and not through regulation of *ALC* gene expression. To test if this is the case, we analyzed the *ALC* expression pattern in the *ga4-1* mutant (Supplemental Fig. S5). No alterations in *ALC* expression were observed in the *ga4-1* mutant background, consistent with our hypothesis that GAs control ALC at a post-transcriptional level.

Conclusions

Our results show that the GA/DELLA pathway is an important component of the regulatory network controlling fruit opening and seed dispersal. de Lucas et al. (2008) and Feng et al. (2008) demonstrated that the interaction between DELLA and PIF3/PIF4 transcription factors coordinate light and gibberellin responses, raising the possibility that interactions with DELLA proteins could control the activity of additional plant bHLH transcription factors. Accordingly, two other bHLH transcription factors, PIL5 and SPT, have also been involved in light and GA signaling (Oh et al. 2007; Penfield et al. 2005), making them potential targets for DELLA repressors. Here we show that this DELLA/bHLH regulatory module is not only involved in the integration of light and gibberellin signals, but is implicated in fruit patterning as well, supporting the idea that it is a versatile regulatory device used in multiple environmental and developmental responses.

An emerging feature of plant development is that major regulatory genes often function as orchestrators of localized hormone synthesis or response. The results reported here reveal IND as a central coordinator of different hormonal pathways. Our demonstration that IND-promoted biosynthesis of GA is necessary for fruit opening and seed dispersal echoes the previous implication of another plant hormone, auxin, in this mechanism (Sorefan et al. 2009). This raises the question of how GA and auxin pathways interact to form the valve margin. In fact, these two pathways appear to function independently: The auxin minima flanking the replum (Sorefan et al. 2009) were still

present in the *ga4-1* mutant (Supplemental Fig. S6), suggesting that establishment of the auxin minima is independent of GA biosynthesis. IND therefore controls multiple hormonal pathways to ensure the proper development of the valve margins and possibly to coordinate valve margin differentiation with fruit growth. This may reflect the location of valve margins at a developmental boundary, between the valves and the replum. Coordinated regulation of multiple intercellular signals is characteristic of boundary regions, seen, for example, during anteroposterior patterning of the *Drosophila* wing imaginal disc (Bang and Wharton 2006).

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Plants were grown on soil in long days (16 h light/8 h dark). The mutant lines *ind-1* (Liljegrén et al. 2004), *ga4-1* (Koornneef and Van der Veen 1980), and *alc-1* (Rajani and Sundaresan 2001) were in Landsberg erecta background, and the *ga4-3* mutant was in Columbia background (Mitchum et al. 2006). *AtGA3ox1 TC-GUS* plants were in Columbia background (Mitchum et al. 2006). The *35S::IND:GR* line has been described (Sorefan et al. 2009). Constructs were generated according to standard techniques. Details for constructs are given in the Supplemental Material.

For expression assays, *35S::IND:GR* seeds (~20) were germinated in 0.5% Murashige and Skoog medium with constant shaking. After 7 d of growth under constant light, seedlings were treated with DEX for 6 h and then snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. When cycloheximide was used, DEX treatment was reduced to 2 h. DEX (Sigma, D1756) was dissolved in DMSO and used at a final concentration of 10 μ M. Cycloheximide (Sigma, C4859) was dissolved in ethanol and used at a final concentration of 100 μ M.

The *ga1-3* mutant and *ga1-3; rga-t2; gai-t6* triple mutant were grown under continuous light. GA (Sigma, G7645) was applied every 2 d.

Array hybridization and analysis of expression data

For microarray analysis, total RNA were first isolated using RNeasy Kit (Qiagen), then hybridized to Affymetrix ATH1 array according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three biological repeats were analyzed. The microarray results were visualized and normalized using Genespring GX 7.3 software (Agilent). Normalization was performed by RMA (robust multichip average).

ChIP

ChIP experiments were performed using a GR antibody as described previously (Sorefan et al. 2009). qPCR was performed using SYBR Green JumpStart *Taq* ReadyMix in a Bio-Rad Chromo4 Q-PCR machine and using the primers GA3OX1F, GA3OX1R, Mu-likeF, and Mu-likeR. The values correspond to the ratios between pull-down DNA and input with the GR antibody, both initially normalized by *Mu-like* transposon.

Expression analysis

GUS assays were performed according to the protocol described by Rodrigues-Pousada et al. (1993). Plants were fixed in 90% acetone on ice for 20 min, then rinsed with a rinse buffer containing 0.5 mM K-ferrocyanide (Sigma, P-8131) and from 0 to 0.2 mM K-ferricyanide (Sigma, P-9387) in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). Samples were then incubated for 24–48 h at 37°C in this rinse buffer containing 2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl p-D-glucuronide (Melford, MB1121).

SEM

Plants were fixed in FAA (3.7% of formaldehyde, 5% acetic acid, 50% ethanol) overnight at 4°C, then dehydrated in ethanol series. Tissues were critical-point-dried in liquid CO₂, sputter-coated with gold, and analyzed with a Philips XL 30 FEG SEM.

TEM

Stage 17b fruits were fixed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and 0.05 M Na cacodylate (pH 7.2), vacuum-infiltrated, and left overnight at room temperature. Samples were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.05 M Na cacodylate for 1 h, washed briefly with water, and dehydrated in ethanol series. Samples were then infiltrated in London Resin White resin (London Resin Co., Ltd.) and sectioned for TEM imaging with an FEI Technai G2 20 Twin TEM.

mPS-PI staining, confocal microscopy, and cell size quantification

Stage 17b fruits were cut into small pieces (~0.5 mm) and stained as described previously (Truernit et al. 2008), then incubated for 5 h in 50 μ g/mL PI. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss Axo Imager M1 upright microscope. PI and GFP were excited using the 488-nm argon ion laser and collected between 600 and 656 nm and 505 nm and 530 nm, respectively. Images were analyzed using the Zeiss LSM 510 software. SL cell size quantification was achieved by measuring the size (in square microns) of cells adjacent to the LL. For each genotype, a total of six different images were used, and SL cells were measured from both sides of the central replum using ImageJ. For RGA-GFP expression, the same settings were used to generate maximum intensity projections from image stacks for each treatment.

Assessment of dehiscence using an Arabidopsis RIT (ARIT)

An assay enabling measurement of the strength required to initiate dehiscence in oilseed rape pods has been described previously (Morgan et al. 1998; Bruce et al. 2002). This RIT was modified to enable quantification of shatter resistance in *Arabidopsis*. Silique samples at stage 18 or older (Smyth et al. 1990) were selected randomly from wild-type and mutant plants. Fruits were placed in an equilibration chamber at 25°C and 50% relative humidity for a minimum of 3 d. Three replicate samples of 20 siliques were then subjected to the ARIT. Siliques were placed together with five 2-mm steel balls (weighing ~275 mg) in a 60-mm diameter glass petri dish, attached to an eppendorf shaker. The petri dish was agitated for 5-sec intervals from 0 to 60 sec and 10-sec intervals from 60 to 150 sec, until all fruit had dehisced or 150 sec of shaking had elapsed. After each interval, the frequency of intact/dehisced siliques was recorded. Fruits were considered to have dehisced only when both valves had detached. The time point at which 50% of siliques had dehisced, estimated using a fitting curve, was used as a comparative measure of shattering between different lines.

Yeast two-hybrid

The GAI, RGA, RGL2, and ALC coding regions were PCR-amplified with the primers described in Supplemental Table 1, and were cloned in pGAD424 and pGBT9 vectors (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.). The yeast two-hybrid experiment was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Further details are given in the Supplemental Material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Sue Bunnewell (John Innes Centre, Norwich) for TEM, Vicente Balanzá and Alejandro Ferrando for providing BiFC vectors, Valérie Nicaise and Myriam Charpentier for BiFC advice, and Tai-ping Sun, Nick Harberd, Martin Yanofsky, and The Nottingham *Arabidopsis* Stock Centre for seeds. Work in the R.S. laboratory and the L.Ø. laboratory is funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (CISCODE project) and the European Union.

References

- Bangi E, Wharton K. 2006. Dpp and Gbb exhibit different effective ranges in the establishment of the BMP activity gradient critical for *Drosophila* wing patterning. *Dev Biol* **295**: 178–193.
- Bruce DM, Farrent JW, Morgan CL, Child RD. 2002. Determining the oilseed rape pod strength needed to reduce seed loss due to pod shatter. *Biosys Eng* **81**: 179–184.

- Chiang HH, Hwang I, Goodman HM. 1995. Isolation of the *Arabidopsis* GA4 locus. *Plant Cell* 7: 195–201.
- de Lucas M, Daviere JM, Rodriguez-Falcon M, Pontin M, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Lorrain S, Fankhauser C, Blazquez MA, Titarenko E, Prat S. 2008. A molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. *Nature* 451: 480–484.
- Feng S, Martinez C, Gusmaroli G, Wang Y, Zhou J, Wang F, Chen L, Yu L, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Kircher S, et al. 2008. Coordinated regulation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* development by light and gibberellins. *Nature* 451: 475–479.
- Ferrandiz C, Liljegren SJ, Yanofsky MF. 2000. Negative regulation of the SHATTERPROOF genes by FRUITFULL during *Arabidopsis* fruit development. *Science* 289: 436–438.
- Hedden P, Phillips AL. 2000. Gibberellin metabolism: New insights revealed by the genes. *Trends Plant Sci* 5: 523–530.
- Heim MA, Jakoby M, Werber M, Martin C, Weisshaar B, Bailey PC. 2003. The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor family in plants: A genome-wide study of protein structure and functional diversity. *Mol Biol Evol* 20: 735–747.
- Hu J, Mitchum MG, Barnaby N, Ayele BT, Ogawa M, Nam E, Lai WC, Hanada A, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, et al. 2008. Potential sites of bioactive gibberellin production during reproductive growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20: 320–336.
- Itoh H, Tanaka-Ueguchi M, Kawaide H, Chen X, Kamiya Y, Matsuoka M. 1999. The gene encoding tobacco gibberellin 3 β -hydroxylase is expressed at the site of GA action during stem elongation and flower organ development. *Plant J* 20: 15–24.
- Koornneef M, Van der Veen JH. 1980. Induction and analysis of gibberellin sensitive mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) heynh. *Theor Appl Genet* 58: 257–263.
- Lee S, Cheng H, King KE, Wang W, He Y, Hussain A, Lo J, Harberd NP, Peng J. 2002. Gibberellin regulates *Arabidopsis* seed germination via RGL2, a GAI/RGA-like gene whose expression is up-regulated following imbibition. *Genes Dev* 16: 646–658.
- Liljegren SJ, Ditta GS, Eshed Y, Savidge B, Bowman JL, Yanofsky MF. 2000. SHATTERPROOF MADS-box genes control seed dispersal in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* 404: 766–770.
- Liljegren SJ, Roeder AH, Kempin SA, Gremski K, Østergaard L, Guimil S, Reyes DK, Yanofsky MF. 2004. Control of fruit patterning in *Arabidopsis* by INDEHISCENT. *Cell* 116: 843–853.
- Mitchum MG, Yamaguchi S, Hanada A, Kuwahara A, Yoshioka Y, Kato T, Tabata S, Kamiya Y, Sun TP. 2006. Distinct and overlapping roles of two gibberellin 3-oxidases in *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant J* 45: 804–818.
- Mitsuda N, Ohme-Takagi M. 2008. NAC transcription factors NST1 and NST3 regulate pod shattering in a partially redundant manner by promoting secondary wall formation after the establishment of tissue identity. *Plant J* 56: 768–778.
- Morgan CL, Bruce DM, Child R, Ladbrooke ZL, Arthur AE. 1998. Genetic variation for pod shatter resistance among lines of oilseed rape developed from synthetic B-Napus. *Field Crops Res* 58: 153–165.
- Oh E, Yamaguchi S, Hu J, Yusuke J, Jung B, Paik I, Lee HS, Sun TP, Kamiya Y, Choi G. 2007. PIL5, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH protein, regulates gibberellin responsiveness by binding directly to the GAI and RGA promoters in *Arabidopsis* seeds. *Plant Cell* 19: 1192–1208.
- Østergaard L. 2009. Don't 'leaf' now. The making of a fruit. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 12: 36–41.
- Penfield S, Josse EM, Kannangara R, Gilday AD, Halliday KJ, Graham IA. 2005. Cold and light control seed germination through the bHLH transcription factor SPATULA. *Curr Biol* 15: 1998–2006.
- Petersen M, Sander L, Child R, van Onckelen H, Ulvskov P, Borkhardt B. 1996. Isolation and characterisation of a pod dehiscence zone-specific polygalacturonase from *Brassica napus*. *Plant Mol Biol* 31: 517–527.
- Purugganan MD, Fuller DQ. 2009. The nature of selection during plant domestication. *Nature* 457: 843–848.
- Rajani S, Sundaresan V. 2001. The *Arabidopsis* myc/bHLH gene ALCA-TRAZ enables cell separation in fruit dehiscence. *Curr Biol* 11: 1914–1922.
- Rieu I, Eriksson S, Powers SJ, Gong F, Griffiths J, Woolley L, Benlloch R, Nilsson O, Thomas SG, Hedden P, et al. 2008. Genetic analysis reveals that C19-GA 2-oxidation is a major gibberellin inactivation pathway in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20: 2420–2436.
- Rodrigues-Pousada RA, De Rycke R, Dedonder A, Van Caeneghem W, Engler G, Van Montagu M, Van Der Straeten D. 1993. The *Arabidopsis* 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase gene 1 is expressed during early development. *Plant Cell* 5: 897–911.
- Roeder AH, Ferrandiz C, Yanofsky MF. 2003. The role of the REPLUM-LESS homeodomain protein in patterning the *Arabidopsis* fruit. *Curr Biol* 13: 1630–1635.
- Sablowski RW, Meyerowitz EM. 1998. A homolog of NO APICAL MERISTEM is an immediate target of the floral homeotic genes APETALA3/PISTILLATA. *Cell* 92: 93–103.
- Silverstone AL, Jung HS, Dill A, Kawaide H, Kamiya Y, Sun TP. 2001. Repressing a repressor: Gibberellin-induced rapid reduction of the RGA protein in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 13: 1555–1566.
- Smyth DR, Bowman JL, Meyerowitz EM. 1990. Early flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 2: 755–767.
- Sorefan K, Girin T, Liljegren SJ, Ljung K, Robles P, Galvan-Ampudia CS, Offringa R, Friml J, Yanofsky MF, Østergaard L. 2009. A regulated auxin minimum is required for seed dispersal in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* 459: 583–586.
- Spence J, Vercher Y, Gates P, Harris N. 1996. 'Pod shatter' in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Brassica napus* and *B. juncea*. *J Microsc* 181: 195–203.
- Sun TP, Gubler F. 2004. Molecular mechanism of gibberellin signaling in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 55: 197–223.
- Sun T, Goodman HM, Ausubel FM. 1992. Cloning the *Arabidopsis* GA1 locus by genomic subtraction. *Plant Cell* 4: 119–128.
- Talon M, Koornneef M, Zeevaert JA. 1990. Endogenous gibberellins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and possible steps blocked in the biosynthetic pathways of the semidwarf ga4 and ga5 mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 87: 7983–7987.
- Truernit E, Bauby H, Dubreucq B, Grandjean O, Runions J, Barthelemy J, Palauqui JC. 2008. High-resolution whole-mount imaging of three-dimensional tissue organization and gene expression enables the study of Phloem development and structure in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20: 1494–1503.
- Wu H, Mori A, Jiang X, Wang Y, Yang M. 2006. The INDEHISCENT protein regulates unequal cell divisions in *Arabidopsis* fruit. *Planta* 224: 971–979.
- Yamaguchi S. 2008. Gibberellin metabolism and its regulation. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 59: 225–251.

Gibberellins control fruit patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Nicolas Arnaud, Thomas Girin, Karim Sorefan, et al.

Genes Dev. 2010, **24**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.593410](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.593410)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2010/09/27/24.19.2127.DC1>

References

This article cites 37 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/24/19/2127.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).