**Drosophila** motor axons recognize and follow a Sidestep-labeled substrate pathway to reach their target fields
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During development of the *Drosophila* nervous system, migrating motor axons contact and interact with different cell types before reaching their peripheral muscle fields. The axonal attractant Sidestep (Side) is expressed in most of these intermediate targets. Here, we show that motor axons recognize and follow Side-expressing cell surfaces from the ventral nerve cord to their target region. Contact of motor axons with Side-expressing cells induces the down-regulation of Side. In the absence of Side, the interaction with intermediate targets is lost. Misexpression of Side in *side* mutants strongly attracts motor axons to ectopic sites. We provide evidence that, on motor axons, Beaten path Ia (Beat) functions as a receptor or part of a receptor complex for Side. In *beat* mutants, motor axons no longer recognize Side-expressing cell surfaces. Furthermore, Beat interacts with Side both genetically and biochemically. These results suggest that the tracing of Side-labeled cell surfaces by Beat-expressing growth cones is a major principle of motor axon guidance in *Drosophila*.
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Wiring of the nervous system is a precisely controlled process that includes axon outgrowth, axon pathfinding, target recognition, and synapse formation. Outgrowing axons of the same subtype often join and migrate collectively toward their targets, a process called selective fasciculation. Upon arrival in the target region, a subset of growth cones must inevitably defasciculate from the main pathway to select a specific synaptic target. Advancing growth cones are believed to express appropriate receptors that detect and evaluate relevant guidance molecules presented by surrounding cells and tissues. Despite the discovery of several conserved regulators of axonal pathfinding, the spatiotemporal sequence of molecular events that steer growth cones is still unclear (Dickson 2002, Araujo and Tear 2003; Huber et al. 2003). How exactly do growth cones recognize and interpret guidance cues to make pathway decisions? Over the years, several models have been proposed to describe general features of axon navigation across species, including the chemoaffinity hypothesis (Sperry 1963), the guidepost cell hypothesis (Bate 1976), the blueprint hypothesis (Singer et al. 1979), and the labeled pathways hypothesis (Goodman et al. 1983).

The labeled pathways hypothesis postulates that a small number of early differentiating neurons pioneer a stereotypic array of differentially labeled axonal pathways (Goodman et al. 1983; Raper et al. 1983b). These primary pathways are selectively recognized by subsequently developing growth cones (Raper et al. 1983a). While follower axons migrate along a homogenous substrate toward their target region, pioneer axons have to interact with many different substrates, actively search for guidance cues, and interpret relevant guidance information. Pathfinding decisions for pioneer axons are greatly simplified if directional cues would be present in the substrate. However, the experimental evidence for the existence of such substrate pathways is scarce. Ghysen and Janson (Ghysen 1978; Ghysen and Janson 1980) noted that projections of sensory axons in homeotic mutants of *Drosophila* involved the specific recognition of “pre-existing trails.” Similarly, axons emanating from transplanted eyes or Mauthner neurons in *Xenopus* have been observed to project along defined tracks called “substrate pathways” (Katz and Lasek 1979, 1981). Furthermore, Silver and Rutishauser (1984) reported that chick optic axons are guided along a preformed adhesive pathway. Despite the appealing simplicity of substrate pathways, the molecular tags that provide directional information have not been identified.

One possible molecule that could serve as a directional cue for *Drosophila* motor axons is the attractant Sidestep
(Side), a transmembrane protein of the immunoglobulin superfamily that is dynamically expressed during embryogenesis but prominently enriched in muscles when motor axons arrive in their target areas (Sink et al. 2001). In side mutant embryos, motor axons fail to defasciculate at key choice points and hence bypass their muscle targets, suggesting that Side functions as a target-derived attractant (Sink et al. 2001; de Jong et al. 2005). Interestingly, mutations in beaten path la [beat] lead to similar axon guidance phenotypes, and like Side, Beat has been shown to regulate axon defasciculation at choice points (Fambrough and Goodman 1996; Holmes and Heilig 1999, Sink et al. 2001). Based on primary structure predictions, Beat is a secreted protein of the immunoglobulin superfamily that has been shown to function as an anti-adhesive factor on motor axons (Fambrough and Goodman 1996; Pipes et al. 2001). Beat consists of two Ig domains and a Cysteine-rich C-terminal domain that shares similarities with cystine knots [Bazan and Goodman 1997; Mushegian 1997].

Here, we show that side encodes a candidate directional cue that steers Drosophila motor axons from the place of their birth to their peripheral targets. The spatiotemporal expression pattern of Side thus delineates a linear pathway for motor axons. High levels of Side are consistently found ahead of Beat-expressing growth cones. Contact with motor axons induces the down-regulation of Side and eliminates the pathway, preventing other outgrowing nerves from choosing the same route. Furthermore, we provide biochemical and genetic evidence that Beat functions as a membrane-associated receptor or part of a receptor complex for Side. Taken together, these findings support the concept of pre-existing pathways labeled with molecular markers that are recognized by a specialized subset of axons expressing the appropriate receptors.

Results

Neuromuscular connectivity in Drosophila is established by efferent motor axons that project in a stereotypical pattern from the ventral nerve cord to peripheral body wall muscles [Sink and Whitington 1991; van Vector et al. 1993]. To visualize this dynamic process in living embryos, we examined exon trap lines in the fasciclin II [fasII] locus that specifically label motor axons with green fluorescent protein [GFP] [Rasse et al. 2005; Buszczak et al. 2007; Stork et al. 2008]. We decided to use the homozygous viable line FasIGFPMuc397 that contains an insertion in the extracellular domain of FasII [Rasse et al. 2005; Stork et al. 2008]. FasIGFPMuc397 stains all tissues known to express endogenous FasII, as recognized by the monoclonal anti-FasII antibody 1D4 [Grenningloh et al. 1991]. However, GFP was also detectable in the hemolymph of FasIGFPMuc397 embryos [Supplemental Fig. S1]. Since FasIGFPMuc397 is expressed in motor neurons prior to axogenesis, it is an excellent marker for early developmental stages.

Motor axons follow a Sidestep-labeled pathway

The axon guidance molecule Sidestep [Side] attracts motor axons and is expressed in a dynamic pattern in neurons and muscles during embryogenesis [Sink et al. 2001], prompting us to examine its spatiotemporal relationship to motor axons. At embryonic stage 12, Side was expressed in a belt-like pattern along the ventral midline [Fig. 1A–C]. The pioneering motor neuron of the...
intersegmental nerve (ISN), the aCC neuron, developed next to Side-expressing cells [Fig. 1A–C, arrows]. At stage 13, the Side expression domain had changed into a triangular pattern, with the tips pointing away from the midline [Fig. 1E]. Motor axons of the ISN projected along the anterior edge of each triangle [Fig. 1D–F, arrows]. Remarkably, axons of the segmental nerve (SN) grew along the posterior edge. The triangular cell cluster guided motor axons directly toward the exit junction and the incoming ganglionic branch of the trachea [Fig. 1F, small arrows]. At the trachea, motor axons fasciated with Side-positive, afferent sensory axons exactly at the lateral bidendritic neuron (lbd) [Fig. 1G–I, arrows]. When the ISN reached the end of the sensory tracks at the dorsal bidendritic neuron (dbd), expression of Side in sensory neurons was no longer detectable but now could be observed in muscle fibers (Sink et al. 2001). Side-expressing muscles likely attract motor axons, causing them to leave the sensory tracks. In general, cells and tissues located ahead of the growth cones express Side. Upon contact with motor axons, the expression of Side is down-regulated in the substrate, as monitored with anti-Side antibodies [Fig. 1I, asterisk] and in situ hybridizations (Sink et al. 2001). The spatiotemporal expression pattern of Side is therefore consistent with a cell surface marker that prefigures and potentially directs the path of motor axons.

Sensory tracks cannot be recognized in side mutants

If motor axons are attracted to and migrate along Sidestep-expressing sensory axons, then this interaction should be disrupted in side mutants. We examined the fasciculation of motor and sensory axons in FasIIGFpMuc397 embryos stained with anti-Futsch antibodies that recognize a microtubule-associated protein [Hummel et al. 2000]. In wild-type embryos, ISN motor axons remained tightly fasciculated with sensory axons until they reached the dbd neuron (Fig. 2A). Only 2% of ISN nerves showed detachments >4 μm [Table 1]. In contrast, in side mutants, 49% of ISN motor nerves were detached from sensory nerves of the anterior fascicle [Fig. 2B; Table 1]. This phenotype was even stronger when Side was overexpressed in muscles using the muscle-specific driver Mef2-Gal4 [Fig. 2C]. In these embryos, 84% of motor nerves were detached [Table 1]. These results show that the mutual recognition of motor and sensory axons is disturbed when levels of Side are altered. Side thus functions in the attraction of motor axons to sensory axons. The mutual recognition provides a stationary substrate for the migration of either nerve, and eventually results in the formation of coherent nerve bundles.

Growth cones in side mutants actively search for pathway information

If motor axons use sensory tracks as growth substrates, the absence of Side might affect the rate of growth cone progression. We recorded time-lapse movies of the migration of the ISN in wild-type embryos expressing FasIIGFpMuc397 and compared them with side mutants [see the Materials and Methods]. In wild-type embryos, the ISN emerged as a thin bundle of axons in lateral body wall regions at early stage 14 [Fig. 2D]. The growth cone advanced continuously until it reached the dorsal trunk,
with an average growth rate of 31.9 ± 13.4 μm/h (n = 16). The entire fascicle employed only a single, relatively small growth cone for steering, which increased in brightness and thickness over time (see Supplemental Movie 2-1). It is interesting to note that during its migration along the transverse branch of the trachea, the ISN was not attracted into the nearby myogenic field (Fig. 2D–F, asterisks). The growth cones occasionally diverged from their normal paths, which caused migratory delays but no permanent guidance defects, suggesting that growth cones have the inherent ability to correct minor misprojections [Fig. 2D–F, arrows]. In side mutants embryos, we observed severe delays in the dorsal migration of the ISN (see Supplemental Movie 2-2). Growth cones eventually managed to cross the dorsal trunk [Fig. 2G–I, arrowheads], but a subset lagged behind [Fig. 2G–I, arrows]. The growth rates were strongly reduced compared with wild-type nerves [15.2 ± 4.6 μm/h, n = 16]. Stalled growth cones appeared thicker and sprouted more and longer filopodia. A small percentage of growth cones exhibited highly disoriented directionality, extending in abnormal directions over the course of axonal growth (Fig. 2G–I, cf. arrows). Taken together, the reduced growth rates and the disoriented pattern of growth cone extensions indicate that motor axons in side mutants increase their search behavior, likely due to the absence of attractive cues in the substrate.

**Table 1. Frequencies of detachments of ISN motor axons and sensory axons of the anterior fascicle between the lbd and dbd neurons in FasIGFP<sup>Muc397</sup> (control), FasIGFP<sup>Muc397; side<sup>+/166</sup></sup> (loss-of-function), and FasIGFP<sup>Muc397; UAS-side/Mef2-Gal4 (gain-of-function) embryos**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detachments of the ISN/anterior fascicle</th>
<th>FasIGFP&lt;sup&gt;Muc397&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FasIGFP&lt;sup&gt;Muc397; side&lt;sup&gt;166&lt;/sup&gt; &lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FasIGFP&lt;sup&gt;Muc397; Mef2-Gal4/UAS-side&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent detachments</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n hemisegments</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only detachments >4 μm in stage 17 embryos have been evaluated.

Using Serpent-Gal4, most, if not all, growth cones were attached to hemocytes [Fig. 3D]. Filopodial extensions of both cell membranes were tightly interdigitated. Time-lapse movies in a FasIGFP<sup>Muc397</sup> background showed strong mutual adhesion between hemocytes and growth cones [Fig. 3E–H; Supplemental Movie 3-1]. In a third experiment, we expressed Side in muscles of wild-type embryos under control of Mef2-Gal4. This manipulation results in the up-regulation of Side when muscles are not yet developed but motor axons are just passing by. Under this condition, the ISN nerves were strongly attracted to the developing muscle field and split aberrantly into several directions, suggesting directed growth toward individual muscle precursors [Fig. 3L]. The split growth cones prevented dorsal migration, causing strongly reduced growth rates [see Supplemental Movie 3-2]. In summary, the ability to redirect the path of motor axons shows that Side is an instructive cue for motor axons independent of the tissue in which it is expressed.

**Beaten path Ia (Beat) functions in the recognition of Side-labeled cell surfaces**

Since mutations in beaten path Ia (beat) and side have been reported to cause similar motor axon guidance defects, we wondered whether Beat and Side cooperate in pathfinding decisions [Fambrough and Goodman 1996; Sink et al. 2001]. To test this possibility, we examined the locations of neuromuscular junctions (NMJs) in beat and side mutant third instar larvae that reflect the final outcome of embryonic projection errors at a high spatial resolution [de Jong et al. 2005]. Guidance errors lead to the irreversible formation of NMJs at aberrant positions that can be visualized with the post-synaptic marker CD8-GFP-Sh [Meyer and Aberle 2006]. Compared with wild-type larvae [Fig. 4A], NMJs were frequently absent on dorsal muscles 1/9 in both beat and side mutant larvae [Fig. 4B,C; Table 2]. In addition, beat and side mutants showed similar innervation defects on a variety of muscles, indicating that they function in a related process.

We next tested for possible activating or antagonizing effects of Beat with Side. We expressed Beat and Side individually or together in muscles of wild-type animals using Mef2-Gal4. Since expression of Side on muscle precursors prematurely attracts motor axons [Fig. 3L], we assumed that the simultaneous overexpression of Beat might increase or suppress this effect. While increased levels of Beat had only minor effects on the innervation pattern of dorsal muscles [Fig. 4D], ectopic expression of Side resulted in an almost complete lack of dorsal NMJs...
due to premature attraction into ventral and lateral muscle fields (Fig. 4E; Table 2). Simultaneous expression of Beat and Side in muscles completely abolished the Side gain-of-function phenotype (Fig. 4F; Table 2). Thus, Beat is able to suppress the function of exogenous Side when coexpressed in the same tissue. To collect further evidence for a genetic interaction, we created double mutants. In beat;side double mutants, the pattern of mislocalized NMJs was qualitatively similar and quantitatively not increased, when compared with single mutants of beat or side (Fig. 4G; Table 2). Since Beat is expressed in motor axons [Fambrough and Goodman 1996], it might function in the detection of Side on substrates. If so, muscles overexpressing Side should not attract motor axons in a beat mutant background, as they normally would in a wild-type background [Figs. 3I, 4E]. Indeed, overexpression of Side in muscles of beat mutants did not increase the dorsal innervation defects of beat mutants (Fig. 4H; Table 2). Motor axons lacking Beat seem therefore to be unable to respond to Side presented on muscle progenitors, and hence unable to recognize Side-labeled substrates. Genetic evidence thus suggests that beat and side cooperate in axonal pathway decisions.

Beat regulates the expression levels of Side

In wild-type embryos, Side is down-regulated shortly after contact with motor axons [e.g., Fig. 11, asterisk]. Since the genetic evidence presented above suggests that Beat is required to detect Side, the distribution of Side might be altered in beat mutants. We analyzed the expression level and subcellular distribution of Side in wild-type and beat mutant embryos employing anti-Side antibodies [Sink et al. 2001]. Peripheral nerves normally lack detectable levels of Side at stage 16/17, since Side is efficiently cleared from sensory axons once motor axons have entered their target regions [Fig. 5A]. In beat mutants, however, Side was not eliminated, and instead was expressed on sensory axons until late embryonic stages [Fig. 5B]. To test whether Beat plays a role in the regulation of Side levels we ectopically expressed Beat either in all post-mitotic neurons or in all muscles in a beat mutant background. Nerve-derived Beat fully rescued the constitutive expression phenotype of Side and induced the elimination of Side from sensory axons [Fig. 5C]. Only low traces of Side expression remained [Fig. 5C, arrow]. In contrast, muscle-derived Beat failed to down-regulate Side on sensory axons [Fig. 5D]. In addition, pan-neuronal [Elav-Gal4] and motor neuronal [FasII-Gal4], but not muscular Beat [Mef2-Gal4] expression, rescued the axon guidance phenotype, leading to a neuromuscular innervation pattern almost indistinguishable from wild-type larvae [Table 2]. These results suggest that Beat is required cell-autonomously in motor neurons.

Based on the analysis of its primary sequence and its staining pattern “around axons and growth cones” Beat has been suggested to be a secreted protein [Fambrough and Goodman 1996]. However, no costaining with an axonal membrane marker has been provided [Fambrough and Goodman 1996]. Surprisingly, protein sequence analysis using several topology prediction algorithms [HMMTOP, PredictProtein, TMPred, Phobius, and TopPred] indicates a transmembrane region in Beat (data not shown). We therefore wanted to examine whether Beat is a secreted or membrane-associated protein. In transiently transfected S2 cells, myc-tagged Beat was not
secreted into the supernatant (Supplemental Fig. S2). Similar to Side, most of the Beat protein was detected in the organelle fraction but a significant portion was associated with the fraction containing integral membrane proteins (Supplemental Fig. S2). These results combined with the strict cell-autonomous function suggest that Beat functions on cell surfaces.

Beat interacts with Side

Neuronal Beat might recognize Side-expressing cell surfaces by directly binding to Side or a Side-containing complex. To test if Beat interacts with Side, we transiently transfected S2 cells with tagged constructs of either protein and subjected these cells to aggregation assays. S2 cells expressing either Beat-myc or Side-GFP did not form cell–cell aggregates, indicating that neither Beat nor Side interact homophilically (Fig. 6B,C). In contrast, cells cotransfected with Beat-myc and Side-GFP formed large aggregates (Fig. 6D). Large cell clusters also formed when we mixed Beat-myc-expressing cells with Side-GFP-expressing cells (Fig. 6E). We obtained similar results with Beat–Cherry constructs as well (Fig. 6F). The cell aggregates consisted almost exclusively of

Table 2. Percentage of dorsal and ventral muscles lacking NMJs in third instar larvae of the indicated genotypes expressing CD8-GFP-Sh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lack of NMJs on dorsal muscles</th>
<th>Muscle 1</th>
<th>Muscle 9</th>
<th>Muscle 2</th>
<th>Muscle 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (CD8-GFP-Sh)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/beat\textsuperscript{C163}</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side\textsuperscript{C137}/side\textsuperscript{C163}</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/side\textsuperscript{C137}</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-Gal4/UAS-side</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met2-Gal4/UAS-side</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-dsRed\textsubscript{2}, Met2-Gal4/UAS-side</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met2-Gal4/UAS-beat, UAS-side</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met2-Gal4/UAS-beat</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/beat\textsuperscript{C163}</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24B-Gal4/UAS-side</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/Elav-Gal4/UAS-beat</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/Met2-Gal4/UAS-beat</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FasII-Gal4, beat\textsuperscript{3}/beat\textsuperscript{C163}, UAS-beat</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lack of NMJs on ventral muscles</th>
<th>Muscle 12</th>
<th>Muscle 13</th>
<th>Muscle 6</th>
<th>Muscle 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (CD8-GFP-Sh)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/beat\textsuperscript{C163}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>side\textsuperscript{C137}/side\textsuperscript{C163}</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beat\textsuperscript{3}/side\textsuperscript{C137}</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(n = 100\) hemisegments for each genotype.
Beat- and Side-positive cells, and contained only marginal amounts of nontransfected cells (<10%). Consistent with the subcellular fractionation, both Beat and Side were predominantly detected in small granular compartments in the cytoplasm but also at the plasma membrane (Fig. 6F). The formation of large cell clusters indicates that Beat and Side interact heterophilically. To confirm these interactions, we performed immunoprecipitations to isolate protein complexes containing Side-GFP. Beat-myc- and Side-GFP-expressing S2 cells were lysed and incubated with anti-GFP antibodies (Fig. 6G). Beat-myc specifically precipitated with Side-GFP. Nonspecific interaction of Beat-myc with anti-GFP antibodies was not observed (Fig. 6G). In the reverse experiment, Side-myc was specifically precipitated with Beat-GFP-containing protein complexes (Fig. 6H). These results indicate that Beat and Side interact either directly or indirectly to form a heterophilic adhesion complex that, in embryos, might mediate the adhesion between motor axons and the substrate.

Discussion

In this work, we provided evidence for a simple guidance mechanism that guides *Drosophila* motor axons to their target regions. During the period of axonal pathfinding, Side is dynamically expressed on different tissues but its temporal shift forms a spatial pattern as such that high levels are located ahead of motor axonal growth cones. Beat-expressing motor axons recognize and follow Side-labeled surfaces. In order to better visualize the complex spatial and temporal dynamics of axon guidance processes, we established a FasIIIGFPMuc397-based imaging assay that allowed us to analyze the activity of growth cones in living wild-type and mutant embryos. These
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Figure 5. Expression of Beat in neurons but not in muscles regulates the expression level of Side. (A) At stage 16, Side is no longer detected on peripheral nerves of wild-type embryos but remains weakly expressed in muscles and the neuropil. (B) In beat mutant embryos, Side remains highly expressed on peripheral nerves and the ventral neuropil until the end of embryogenesis. (C) Expression of wild-type Beat in a beat mutant embryo using Elav-Gal4 rescues the constitutive expression phenotype and induces the down-regulation of Side on peripheral nerves. A few Side-positive particles, however, remain. (D) Expression of wild-type Beat in muscles of beat mutant embryos using Mef2-Gal4 fails to down-regulate Side on peripheral nerves, indicating that Beat does act cell-autonomously.
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Figure 6. Beat interacts with Side. (A–F) S2 cell aggregation assays. (A–C) Mock transfected [A], Beat-myc transfected [B], or Side-GFP transfected [C] S2 cells do not form homophilic cell aggregates. (D) S2 cells cotransfected with Beat-myc and Side-GFP form large cell aggregates. (E) S2 cells transfected with Beat-myc or Side-GFP in discrete culture dishes and mixed together in a common dish form large cell aggregates [Mix experiment]. Bar, 30 μm. (F) S2 cells individually transfected with Beat-Cherry or Side-GFP were mixed together [Mix experiment]. Cell aggregates are comprised of both cell types. Bar, 15 μm. (G,H) Immunoprecipitation of protein complexes containing Beat and Side. (G) S2 cells transiently transfected with Beat-myc and/or Side-GFP were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibodies. Immunoblots of the immunoprecipitates (IP) were developed with anti-myc and anti-Side antibodies. Beat-myc is precipitated only in the presence of Side-GFP. (H) S2 cells transiently transfected with Beat-GFP and/or Side-myc were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibodies. Immunoblots of the precipitates were developed with anti-GFP and anti-Side antibodies. Side-myc is precipitated only in the presence of Beat-GFP. Note that molecular weights depend on the tags used.
time-lapse observations revealed that in wild-type embryos, the ISN migrates continuously through the lateral body wall until it reaches a choice point near the dorsal trunk. As expected for migration along a substrate pathway, the ISN employs a relatively small growth cone that extends only few filopodia for steering. The observed growth rates are in good agreement with the growth rates of the RP2 axon in filleted, semiviable embryo preparations (Murray et al. 1998).

Side labels the path for motor axons

The absence of the attractant Side strongly interferes with axonal growth and results in delayed arrival of the ISN in its dorsal target regions, frequently failing to innervate the dorsal-most muscles. The lack of Side-mediated attraction likely prevents the progression at a normal rate, causing the ISN to develop a complex growth cone that appears to actively search for guidance information. Since Side is a transmembrane protein, it is predicted to function as a contact attractant. The spatio-temporal expression pattern should therefore provide pathway information. The growth cone of the ISN follows Side-positive cell surfaces from its first emergence in the ventral nerve cord to its dorsal target region. Consequently, the tight association of motor axons and their substrates—e.g., sensory axons—is partially lost in side mutants. Since Side is expressed in all sensory clusters (Sink et al. 2001), it likely also prefigures the SNa and SNe pathways. Based on the positions of the segmental sensory clusters in the body wall, motor axons following Sidestep-labeled sensory axons are guided into the proximity of their target regions. At stage 15, motor axons reach the end of the sensory tracks and begin to defasciculate into the muscle fields that up-regulate Side at this developmental time point. In this respect, it is interesting to note that motor pathways terminate on the cell bodies of sensory neurons when deprived of their target muscles (Landgraf et al. 1999). The opposed migration of efferent motor axons and afferent sensory axons therefore provides a robust mechanism for the establishment of the basic neuromuscular connectivity pattern in Drosophila. In the brain of vertebrates, a similar mechanism controls the wiring of the thalamus and the cortex (Lopez-Bendito and Molnar 2003). Corticothalamic and thalamocortical fibers meet at a common intermediate target and continue to grow along each other in opposite directions.

During its journey through the lateral body wall, the ISN completely ignores the nearby ventral and lateral muscle fields. At this stage, muscle fibers are not yet differentiated, and hence do not express endogenous Side. If these muscle precursors, however, are forced to express Side prematurely under control of Mef2-Gal4, the ISN diverts from its normal path and grows straight into the muscle field. The premature attraction drastically slows down the migration toward dorsal targets, leading to a permanent lack of NMJs on dorsal muscles. Thus, both gain and loss of Side cause migratory delays that result in the lack of NMJs on dorsal muscles but for different reasons. Ectopic expression of Side leads to excess attraction in wrong directions, whereas lack of Side leads to reduced attraction along the predestined path.

Beat interacts with Side

Since mutations in both beat and side cause similar axon guidance phenotypes in embryos (Fambrough and Goodman 1996; Sink et al. 2001) and innervation defects in larvae (this study), we assumed that the products of both genes might interact functionally. Several pieces of genetic evidence suggest that beat and side function in a common pathway. First, the double mutant phenotype is similar to the respective single mutant phenotypes. Second, overexpression of Side in muscles of wild-type embryos leads to premature attraction of the ISN into ventral and lateral muscle fields. This gain-of-function phenotype is completely suppressed by coexpression of Beat. Third, overexpression of Side in muscles of beat mutants renders motor axons unresponsive to ectopic Side. Fourth, endogenous Side is not down-regulated in beat mutants. In addition, Beat and Side interact in vitro. S2 cells expressing Beat and Side form large cell clusters in aggregation assays when individually transfected cell populations are mixed. Moreover, Beat coimmunoprecipitates with Side, supporting the idea that Beat interacts with Side or a Side-containing complex. The formation of cell aggregates further argues that Beat–Side interactions lead to the formation of heterophilic adhesion complexes.

The spatiotemporal expression of Side appears to be strictly regulated. The levels of Side expression are highest in front of motor axonal growth cones. Side disappears from cell surfaces once these cells have been contacted by motor axons, indicating that motor nerves neutralize attractive surfaces and thereby disguise the path they are following. Motor nerve bundles that exit the CNS at a later time point are thus prevented from choosing the same route. In beat mutants, this regulatory mechanism appears to be nonfunctional. Side is constitutively expressed in peripheral nerves. Expression of exogenous Beat in post-mitotic neurons but not in muscles rescued the regulatory defects, suggesting that Beat induces the down-regulation of Side cell-autonomously. If Beat would be a secreted protein, one would expect that expression in muscles down-regulates Side on sensory axons. The secreted metalloprotease tollloid-related (Meyer and Aberle 2006; Serpe and O’Connor 2006) as well as the secreted TGF-β ligand Dawdle (Parker et al. 2006) have been shown to rescue axonal guidance defects tissue independently. Biochemical data from transiently transfected S2 cells further support a cell-autonomous function for Beat. Beat was not secreted into the medium, and a fraction of it was associated with membranes. However, since Beat is not normally expressed in S2 cells the lack of a coreceptor or chaperone might prevent its secretion. Candidate coreceptors are the remaining members of the beat multigene family (Pipes et al. 2001). Several family members are expressed in the ventral nerve cord, and might function together with Beat in the regulation of its subcellular localization and/or function (Pipes et al. 2001). Further experiments will be necessary to determine the
composition of Beat-containing complexes, and how they transduce guidance signals into the growth cone.

**Beat–Side complexes determine the growth direction of motor axons**

If Side is an instructive signal for Beat-expressing motor axons it should be possible to redirect their paths in a side mutant background; i.e., in the absence of endogenous Side but in the presence of exogenous Side on a defined tissue. Regardless of whether Side is expressed in trachea, muscles, or hemocytes in a side mutant background, motor axons head toward the ectopic source of Side. The growth cones find and recognize Side-expressing cell surfaces and adopt their route accordingly. In the most extreme case, motor axons strongly interacted with hemocytes, which are highly motile cells. Side therefore potently controls the path of motor axons. Although Side-mediated attraction is likely not the only mechanism to reach the muscle targets, these results, together with the high penetrance of sidestep mutant phenotypes, suggest that it is one of the major mechanisms. In this respect, it is important to note that Side is not required for motor axon outgrowth per se, but rather for the specification of the growth direction. Based on the experimental evidence, we propose a model for the navigation of motor axons from the ventral nerve cord to their target area in *Drosophila* (Fig. 7). Beat-expressing motor axon fascicles recognize, extend on, and subsequently mask a pre-existing, Side-labeled substrate pathway that determines their growth direction (Fig. 7A). In the absence of the labeled pathway, in side mutants, axonal migration is delayed or growth cones head into aberrant directions. In either case they will miss their targets (Fig. 7B). In beat mutants, the pathway is constitutively labeled but cannot be recognized, leading to similar phenotypes [Fig. 7B]. Since Beat and Side are conserved in insects, similar guidance principles might occur in all organisms, in which the peripheral nervous system develops from sensory organ precursors.

**Materials and methods**

**Genetics and fly stocks**

The exon trap line Mue397 was identified in the Muenster 2004 exon trap screen performed by the DFG Consortium Cell Polarity [SFP1111] [Rasse et al. 2005, Stork et al. 2008]. The side$^{C137}$ and side$^{I1563}$ alleles were isolated in an EMS mutagenesis screen for recessive mutations affecting the structure of NMJs [Aberle et al. 2002]. beat$^5$, beat$^{C163}$, and UAS-dsRED were obtained from the Bloomington stock center. UAS-side294 and UAS-beat$^5$ were kindly provided by C.S. Goodman. The following Gal4-lines were used: 24B-Gal4, Mef2-Gal4 [gifts of C.S. Goodman], breathless [btIGal4, gift of M.A. Krasnow], Serpent-Gal4 [gift of R. Reuter], FasII-Gal4 [Mz507; B. Altenhein, pers. comm.]. As wild-type control strains, y w$^1$ or w$^1$, CD8-GFP-Sh (Zito et al. 1999) were used.

**Molecular biology**

Full-length beat Ia and side cDNAs (kindly provided by C.S. Goodman) were amplified by PCR and cloned into Gateway Entry Vectors (pENTR D-TOPO, Invitrogen). The inserts were sequenced and subcloned into pTWH, pTWM, and pTWG Destination Vectors (*Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center, donated by Terence Murphy) by LR in vitro recombination, containing UAST promotors and C-terminal 3xHA, 6xMyc, and eGFP tags. The pTWC vector encoding a C-terminal Cherry tag was a generous gift of F. Rodrigues and C. Kla¨mbt. The pUAST vector encoding Slit-myc was kindly provided by J. Hillebrand and C. Kla¨mbt, and the Actin5C-Gal4 vector was provided by A. Wodarz. All steps were performed according to the manual of the manufacturer [Escherichia coli Expression System with Gateway Technology, Invitrogen]. Sequence analysis and topology prediction (HMMTOP, PredictProtein, TMpred, and TopPred) were performed at the ExPASy and EBI Proteomics servers.

**Immunohistochemistry**

For immunohistochemical stainings, embryos were dechorionated, fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde and devitellinized. Embryos were washed with PTx [PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100] and blocked in PTx/5% normal goat serum. Primary antibodies were added overnight at 4°C. Stainings were developed with fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies. The dilutions of the primary antibodies were as follows: mouse anti-Fasciclin II (1D4) 1:20, mouse anti-Sidestep (9B8) 1:20, and mouse anti-Repo (8D12) 1:40 [all gifts of C.S. Goodman], mouse anti-myc (9E10) 1:10 and mouse anti-Futsch (22C10) 1:100 [both from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank], mouse anti-GFP 1:400 [Roche], and rabbit anti-GFP 1:1000 [Torrey Pines Biolabs]. Cy3- and Alexa488-conjugated secondary antibodies were

---

**Figure 7.** Model: Beat-expressing motor axons follow a Side-labeled substrate pathway. **[A]** In wild-type embryos, Beat-expressing motor axons [green] recognize and follow Side-labeled cell surfaces [red]. Contact with motor axons induces the down-regulation of Side [gray]. Growth cones migrate until the end of the Side expression domain. Developmentally controlled up-regulation of Side in another tissue induces growth cone turning. **[B, left]** In side mutants, growth cones fail to turn, as substrates are not labeled. Other possible phenotypes such as delays or detours are not depicted. **[Right]** In beat mutants, the Side-labeled pathway is constitutively marked but cannot be recognized, thereby preventing growth cone turning.
diluted 1:400 [Jackson Immunoresearch; Molecular Probes]. The Rhodamine-conjugated chitin-binding probe [New England Biolabs] was diluted 1:400 and consists of the maltose-binding protein fused to a C-terminal region of chitinase A1. Stained embryos were imaged as whole mounts using a LSM510 confocal laser scanning microscope [Zeiss]. Projections and single images were adjusted for brightness, contrast, and color matching using linear functions of Adobe Photoshop.

Cell aggregation assays and biochemical methods

S2 cells were grown in complete Schneider medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 50 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin. Cells (3 × 10^6) were seeded into six-well plates and transiently transfected using calcium phosphate precipitation [5 μg per vector]. The medium was replaced after 16 h. For cell aggregation assays, cells were separated by pipetting and seeded into uncoated 6-cm plastic dishes 3 d post-transfection. Cells were agitated on a rotary shaker (100 rpm) for 2 h. Aggregates were transferred onto microscope slides and imaged using a Zeiss AxioPlan-2 microscope adjusted for DIC. For the detection of secreted proteins, transfected S2 cells (3-d-old cultures) were incubated for 24 h in serum-free medium. Cells were pelleted, washed, and lysed in 2 × SDS sample buffer. The medium was mixed with an equal volume of 50% [w/v] TCA (trichloroacetic acid) [Sigma] and incubated for 30 min on ice. Precipitated proteins were collected (14,000 rpm, 30 min, 4°C) and washed with ice-cold isopropanol. The pellet was solubilized in 2 × SDS sample buffer and titrated with 1 M Tris [pH 7.5]. For subcellular fractionation, S2 cells were lysed by sonication and subjected to differential centrifugation [5000 rpm, 24,000 rpm, and 75,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C in an ultracentrifuge [Optima Max-E, Beckman Coulter]. The pellet was incubated with 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ [pH 11.5] to release peripheral membrane proteins.

Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting

S2 cells from aggregation assays were collected by centrifugation and lysed in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]]. Insoluble debris was pelleted by centrifugation [15 min, 14,000 rpm, 4°C]. The supernatants were incubated with 1 μg of rabbit anti-GFP antibody [Invitrogen] for 1 h at room temperature on a rotary shaker. Protein complexes were collected by addition of equilibrated protein A sepharose beads and washed with washing buffer [50 mM Tris at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40]. Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 2 × SDS sample buffer. Samples were separated on 7.5% SDS-PAGE gels [Bio-Rad] and transferred onto PVDF membranes [GE Healthcare] by semidry blotting [Biozym]. Blots were incubated with anti-Neu antibodies [AB116/3-1, AB116/4-1] or anti-myc antibodies [9E10] (1:100; Developmental Biology). Blots were incubated with anti-Side antibodies (9B8) (1:10) or anti-myc antibodies (9E10) (1:100; Developmental Biology) and washed with ice-cold isopropanol. The pellet was solubilized in 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ (pH 11.5) to release peripheral membrane proteins.

Time-lapse imaging

Living, GFP-expressing embryos were dechorionated, mounted in 70% glycerol/PBS, and immediately examined for still images. For time-lapse movies, embryos were dechorionated, placed on a coverslip, and gently covered with a piece of fruit agar (0.8 × 0.8 × 0.2 cm). Liquid agarose [0.4%, 42°C] was then pipetted between the coverslip and the fruit agar block to embed the embryos. The assembly [coverslip up, agar block down] was placed onto a greased glass ring (0.4 cm high, 1 cm diameter). The glass ring was fixed before on a microscope slide with vacuum grease and contained a drop of liquid agarose to support the agar block after solidifying. Time-lapse imaging was performed at an upright confocal laser scanning microscope LSM510 Meta [Zeiss] using a 40× objective. Stacks of four to five Z-planes were acquired in 45-sec intervals for up to 5 h. Stacks were processed and exported as Quicktime movies using LSM software. Growth cone migration was quantified by measuring the advance of its center in time.
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