Mutations in *String/CDC25* inhibit cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of Ataxia telangiectasia

Stacey A. Rimkus,¹ Rebecca J. Katzenberger,² Anthony T. Trinh,² Gerald E. Dodson,² Randal S. Tibbetts,² and David A. Wassarman^{2,3}

¹Department of Biomolecular Chemistry, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA; ²Department of Pharmacology, Molecular and Cellular Pharmacology Program, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

Mutations in *ATM* (*Ataxia telangiectasia mutated*) result in Ataxia telangiectasia (A-T), a disorder characterized by progressive neurodegeneration. Despite advances in understanding how ATM signals cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and apoptosis in response to DNA damage, it remains unclear why loss of ATM causes degeneration of post-mitotic neurons and why the neurological phenotype of ATM-null individuals varies in severity. To address these issues, we generated a *Drosophila* model of A-T. RNAi knockdown of *ATM* in the eye caused progressive degeneration of adult neurons in the absence of exogenously induced DNA damage. Heterozygous mutations in select genes modified the neurodegeneration phenotype, suggesting that genetic background underlies variable neurodegeneration in A-T. The neuroprotective activity of ATM may be negatively regulated by deacetylation since mutations in a protein deacetylase gene, *RPD3*, suppressed neurodegeneration, and a human homolog of RPD3, histone deacetylase 2, bound ATM and abrogated ATM activation in cell culture. Moreover, knockdown of *ATM* in post-mitotic neurons caused cell cycle re-entry, and heterozygous mutations in the cell cycle activator gene *String/CDC25* inhibited cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration. Thus, we hypothesize that ATM performs a cell cycle checkpoint function to protect post-mitotic neurons from degeneration and that cell cycle re-entry causes neurodegeneration in A-T.

[Keywords: *Drosophila*; neurodegeneration; Ataxia telangiectasia; ATM; cell cycle; HDAC]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received December 5, 2007; revised version accepted March 4, 2008.

In 1995, Savitsky et al. (1995) linked the *ataxia telangiectasia mutated* (*ATM*) gene to the human autosomal recessive disorder Ataxia telangiectasia (A-T). Since then, cell-based and animal models have been used to understand how loss-of-function mutations in *ATM* give rise to A-T phenotypes, including immunodeficiency, radiation sensitivity, cancer predisposition, and neurodegeneration (Lavin and Shiloh 1997; Crawford 1998; McKinnon 2004). These studies have shown that the ATM serine/threonine protein kinase plays a central role in maintaining genome stability.

ATM monitors the genome for DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and responds to this signal by phosphorylating hundreds of proteins, including DNA repair factors, cell cycle regulators, and apoptosis regulators (Abraham 2001; Shiloh 2003; Matsuoka et al. 2007). ATM is recruited to DSBs by the trimeric MRE11–RAD50–NBS1

(MRN) DNA repair complex, which possesses ATP-dependent nuclease (MRE11) and DNA-tethering (RAD50) activities (Jackson 2002; Carson et al. 2003; Lee and Paull 2004, 2005). Recruitment of ATM to DSBs is mediated by the NBS1 subunit and is associated with autophosphorylation of ATM on Ser 1981 (pS1981) and conversion of inactive ATM dimers to active ATM monomers (Bakkenist and Kastan 2003; van den Bosch et al. 2003; Abraham and Tibbetts 2005; Falck et al. 2005; Lee and Paull 2005; You et al. 2005; Dupré et al. 2006). Although each of the above processes (ATM recruitment, autophosphorylation, and dimer dissociation) is important for ATM activation, the precise order of these events remains controversial. In addition, other post-translational modifications of ATM contribute to its regulation. Of particular relevance to this study, ATM undergoes acetylation by the Tip60 acetyltransferase in response to DNA damage, and ATM acetylation is required for activation of ATM kinase activity (Sun et al. 2005, 2007).

The most well-understood functions of ATM relate to its control of the cell cycle (Abraham 2001). ATM-defi-

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL dawassarman@wisc.edu; FAX (608) 262-1257.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1639608>.

cient cells are defective in ionizing radiation (IR)-induced G1/S-, intra-S-, and G2/M-phase cell cycle checkpoints. Defective checkpoint function in ATM-deficient cells can be attributed, in part, to defective regulation of the CDC25 family of protein phosphatases. In mammals, Checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1) and CHK2 are major effectors of ATM-dependent checkpoint activation. ATM phosphorylates and activates both kinases, which in turn directly phosphorylate and inactivate one of three CDC25 family members (CDC25A, CDC25B, and CDC25C) that mediate dephosphorylation and activation of cyclin-dependent kinases during cell cycle phase transitions (Boutros et al. 2006).

To date, it is unclear why mutation of *ATM* causes progressive degeneration of cerebellar Purkinje and granule neurons in A-T patients (Lavin and Shiloh 1997; Crawford 1998; McKinnon 2004). Other A-T phenotypes, such as radiation sensitivity and cancer predisposition, are attributed to the failure of cell cycle checkpoint activation, normally mediated by ATM in response to DSBs. However, chromosome breaks are presumed to occur rarely in neurons since they do not undergo DNA replication (Vilenchik and Knudson 2003). Studies of neurodegeneration in A-T have also been hampered by the lack of an experimental animal model. In particular, *ATM* knockout (*ATM*^{-/-}) and knockin (*ATM*^{ΔSRI/ΔSRI}) mice do not display pronounced cerebellar degeneration (Barlow et al. 1996; Elson et al. 1996; Xu et al. 1996; Spring et al. 2001). However, recent studies hinted that *Drosophila* might be a useful model to investigate ATM function in neurons. The mammalian ATM signaling pathway is largely conserved in *Drosophila*, and analysis of *ATM* mutant flies has shown that ATM is involved in early activation of the G2/M-phase cell cycle checkpoint elicited by IR-induced DNA damage and in maintaining chromosome integrity (Bi et al. 2004, 2005; Brodsky et al. 2004; Ciapponi et al. 2004; Oikemus et al. 2004; Silva et al. 2004; Song et al. 2004; Song 2005).

To understand the mechanisms underlying neurodegeneration in A-T, we generated a *Drosophila* model in which RNAi was used to knock down *ATM* expression in neurons. *ATM* knockdown resulted in progressive degeneration of neurons through a programmed cell death pathway. Neurons in *ATM* knockdown flies reentered the cell cycle, as determined by assays for DNA content, DNA replication, or mitosis. Heterozygous mutation of the cell cycle activator gene *String/CDC25* suppressed both cell cycle re-entry and degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons, suggesting that cell cycle re-entry is causative for neurodegeneration. Additionally, the RPD3 deacetylase was implicated as a negative regulator of ATM function in neurons. A human homolog of RPD3, histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2), physically interacted with ATM and negatively regulated ATM activation in the absence of exogenously induced DNA damage. Thus, mechanistic insights provided by the *Drosophila* A-T model may lead to the development of therapies for the most debilitating clinical manifestation of A-T, neuromotor dysfunction.

Results

Generation of *ATM* knockdown flies

To investigate the molecular mechanisms that underlie neurodegeneration in A-T, we generated transgenic flies (*pATM* flies) in which the yeast *GAL4-UAS* system was used to induce conditional or tissue-specific knockdown of *ATM* by RNAi (Brand and Perrimon 1993). The *pWIZ* vector was used to construct the *pATM* transgene, which contained inverted copies of exon 6 from the *Drosophila* *ATM* gene (Lee and Carthew 2003). When transcribed, *pATM* produced dsRNA that targeted *ATM* mRNA for degradation by the RNAi machinery (Fig. 1A). Knockdown of *ATM* in *pATM* transgenic lines was verified by RT-PCR of adult flies and Western blot analysis of embryos carrying the heat-shock-inducible *hsp70-GAL4* driver (*hsp70-GAL4/+*; *pATM/+* flies) (Fig. 1B,C). In addition, consistent with the essential nature of *ATM* in flies, ubiquitous knockdown of *ATM* by the *actin-GAL4* driver or neuron-specific knockdown of *ATM* by the *Elav-GAL4* driver (at 25°C, but not 18°C) resulted in lethality (Oikemus et al. 2004; Silva et al. 2004; Song et al. 2004). These data indicate that dsRNA transcribed from *pATM* reduces *ATM* expression in flies.

Based on the algorithm described by Dietzl et al. (2007), the *ATM* dsRNA was unlikely to have off-target RNAi effects. A specificity score of 1.0 reflects no off-

Figure 1. *ATM* RNAi in *pATM* flies reduced the level of *ATM* mRNA and protein. (A) A schematic diagram of the *pWIZ-ATM* (*pATM*) transformation vector (Lee and Carthew 2003). (B) Quantitative PCR analysis of *ATM* mRNA in heat-shocked *hsp70-GAL4/+*; *pATM*^{T4}/*+* (*hs-ATMi*) and *hsp70-GAL4/+* (*hs-GAL4*) flies. Graphed is the level of *ATM* mRNA relative to *actin* 5C mRNA in *hs-ATMi* flies normalized to *hs-GAL4* flies. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of experiments performed in triplicate. (C, lanes 3,4) Western blot analysis of *ATM* in *hsp70-GAL4/pATM*^{T4} and *hsp70-GAL4/+* embryos. Extracts were probed with an α -*ATM* antibody and an α -*SIN3* antibody as a loading control (Pile and Wassarman 2000). Note that lane 4 was overloaded relative to lane 3. (Lanes 1,2) RNAi-mediated knockdown of *ATM* in S2 cells by long dsRNA served as a marker for *ATM*.

target effects, and the *ATM* dsRNA had specificity scores of $S_{19} = 0.9987$ (on all on-target 19-mer matches) and $S_{18} = 0.9975$. Furthermore, the *ATM* dsRNA did not contain CAN repeats, a common cause of off-target RNAi effects (Ma et al. 2006). Finally, as described below, phenotypes of *ATM* knockdown flies were similar to *ATM* mutant flies, and genetic modifiers of *ATM* knockdown phenotypes were enriched for recognized components of the ATM signaling pathway, such as RAD50 and CDC25. These data suggest that *ATM* expression is exclusively affected in *ATM* knockdown flies.

ATM knockdown causes degeneration of photoreceptor neurons

To determine whether ATM is required for neuron survival in flies, we examined photoreceptor neurons in the eye. The adult fly eye is made up of ~800 unit eyes called ommatidia, each of which contains eight post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons (Fig. 2A; Wolff and Ready 1993). *ATM* knockdown in all cells or in neurons of the eye was achieved using the *GMR-GAL4* or *Elav-GAL4* driver, respectively (Hay et al. 1994; Yao and White 1994). Morphological analysis of neurons was carried out by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of thin sections of 3-d-old adult flies. *ATM* knockdown induced by either driver resulted in neurodegeneration, as marked by swelling, fragmentation, and loss of rhabdomeres (the

light-sensitive plasma membranes of photoreceptor neurons) throughout the eye (Fig. 2B,D; Supplemental Fig. 1). We consider these phenotypes signs of degeneration as they are observed in flies with light-induced or age-related photoreceptor degeneration due to mutations in phototransduction pathway genes (Davidson and Steller 1998; Hsu et al. 2004). In contrast, these phenotypes were not observed in *GMR-GAL4*, *Elav-GAL4*, or *pATM* flies, although *GMR-GAL4* flies had a low rate of ommatidial defects (Figs. 2A,C, 3; data not shown). Thus, ATM protects post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons from degeneration.

ATM knockdown in post-mitotic, adult neurons is sufficient to cause degeneration

To determine when ATM is required during the lifetime of a neuron for neuron survival, we used the *hsp70-GAL4* driver to knock down *ATM* either during eye development or in the adult eye. Specifically, *hsp70-GAL4/+; pATM^{Tq}/+* (*hs-ATMi*) flies were either heat-shocked twice daily from the time of egg laying to day 3 of adulthood, or from day 3 to day 15 of adulthood. TEM analysis revealed that, in both cases, *ATM* knockdown resulted in degeneration of adult photoreceptor neurons (Fig. 2F,H). In contrast, no degeneration was observed in non-heat-shocked *hs-ATMi* flies or control heat-shocked *hsp70-GAL4/+; pATM^{Tq}/+* (*hs-GAL4*) flies (Fig. 2E,G; Supplemental Fig. 2).

Figure 2. RNAi-mediated knockdown of *ATM* causes degeneration of photoreceptor neurons. Shown are representative TEM micrographs of single ommatidia (A–H) and high-magnification micrographs of single photoreceptor neurons from flies of the indicated genotypes (A'–H'). (A) Three-day-old *Elav-GAL4/+* (*Elav-GAL4*). (B) Three-day-old *Elav-GAL4/pATM^{Tq}* (*Elav-ATMi*). (C) Three-day-old *GMR-GAL4/+* (*GMR-GAL4*). (D) Three-day-old *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{Tq}/+* (*GMR-ATMi*). (E) Three-day-old *hs-ATMi* not heat-shocked. (F) Three-day-old *hs-ATMi* heat-shocked throughout development. (G) Fifteen-day-old *hs-ATMi* not heat-shocked. (H) Fifteen-day-old *hs-ATMi* heat-shocked days 3–15 of adulthood. (A'–H') Red circles indicate the photoreceptor neuron that is shown in high magnification. Red arrows indicate defects in rhabdomere structure.

Rimkus et al.

Figure 3. *ATM* knockdown causes progressive degeneration of adult neurons. Zero-day-old to 4-d-old *GMR-GAL4*, *GMR-ATMi*, and *GMR-GAL4/+; pATMT4/Stg^{EY12388}* (*GMR-ATMi, Stg*) adult flies were collected and aged for 9, 27, and 48 d. The number of morphologically wild-type photoreceptor neurons per ommatidium was determined by analyzing TEM images of sections at the R7 level in the center of each fly eye. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. *P*-values were calculated using two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-tests. (A) Flies of the same genotype were compared at each time point. (B) Flies of different genotypes were compared at each time point.

Since *ATM* knockdown in post-mitotic, adult neurons is sufficient to cause degeneration, neurodegeneration can occur independently of developmental abnormalities.

ATM knockdown causes progressive degeneration of adult neurons

In humans, mutation of *ATM* causes progressive neurodegeneration. Typically, A-T patients are asymptomatic at birth, present with an ataxic gait around the age of two, and are wheelchair-bound by the age of 10 (Crawford 1998). Analysis of *GMR-GAL4/+; pATMT^{T4}/+* (*GMR-ATMi*) flies revealed that the extent of neurodegeneration became more severe as adult flies aged. *GMR-ATMi* flies, but not control *GMR-GAL4* flies, had significantly higher levels of neurodegeneration at 27–31 d versus 48–52 d (Fig. 3A). In addition, *GMR-ATMi* flies had significantly

higher levels of neurodegeneration than *GMR-GAL4* flies at all time points examined (Fig. 3B). No significant difference was observed in the level of neurodegeneration between *GMR-ATMi* flies at any given time point, indicating that the progressive neurodegeneration phenotype is robust. Thus, in flies, as in humans, loss of *ATM* causes age-dependent, progressive degeneration of post-mitotic neurons.

ATM knockdown causes neurodegeneration by programmed cell death

Necrosis and programmed cell death are the primary mechanisms by which a neuron can die (Yuan et al. 2003). To determine whether a programmed cell death pathway mediates degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons, we examined the requirement for caspase activity in *GMR-ATMi* flies. In *Drosophila*, both apoptotic and autophagic programmed cell death can be blocked by expression of P35, a broad-specificity caspase inhibitor encoded by the baculovirus *Autographa californica* (Clem and Miller 1994; Hay et al. 1994; Chen et al. 1996; White et al. 1996; Martin and Baehrecke 2004). Expression of P35 or dIAP1 (*Drosophila* inhibitor of apoptosis 1), a *Drosophila* homolog of P35, suppressed the external rough eye phenotype of *GMR-ATMi* flies (discussed below) (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Table 2; Hay et al. 1995). In contrast, heterozygous mutation of *dIAP1* enhanced the rough eye phenotype (Supplemental Table 2). Moreover, while expression of *GMR-P35* alone did not affect photoreceptor morphology or number, expression of *GMR-P35* significantly suppressed the neurodegeneration phenotype of *GMR-ATMi* flies (Fig. 6 [below]; Supplemental Fig. 3). Thus, *ATM* knockdown causes caspase-dependent programmed cell death of post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons.

Identification of genetic modifiers of neurodegeneration in *ATM* knockdown flies

Having established a *Drosophila* model that recapitulates aspects of neurodegeneration that occur in A-T, we next sought to identify genetic modifiers of this condition. The existence of genes that modify *ATM* loss-of-function phenotypes is hinted at by the fact that some A-T patients have little or no *ATM* protein yet have mild neurological presentation (Hassin-Baer et al. 1999; Lavin et al. 2006; Alterman et al. 2007). Also, cell fusion studies showed that *ATM*^{-/-} cells derived from different A-T patients fall into four distinct genetic complementation groups, suggesting that A-T phenotypes are caused by at least four different mutant genes (Murnane and Painter 1982; Buchwald 1995).

Several lines of evidence indicated that *GMR-ATMi* flies could be used to identify genes that modulate neurodegeneration. *GMR-ATMi* flies had an external rough eye phenotype that could be detected by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as well as light microscopy (Fig. 4B; data not shown). Among the *pATM* lines, the sever-

ity of the rough eye phenotype positively correlated with the severity of neurodegeneration. To illustrate, when *pATM* lines were driven by *GMR-GAL4*, the severity of rough eye and neurodegeneration phenotypes fit the allelic series $pATM^{T7} < pATM^{T4} < pATM^{T4}/pATM^{T4}$ ($pATM^{T4}$ and $pATM^{T7}$ are alleles of *pATM* in which the transgene is inserted at different positions on the third chromosome) (data not shown). In addition, expression of *GMR-P35* suppressed both the rough eye and neurodegeneration phenotypes of *GMR-ATMi* flies, indicating that the rough eye phenotype could be used as readout for the neurodegeneration phenotype (Figs. 4C, 6 [below]). Thus, using the scheme outlined in Supplemental Figure 4, we performed an unbiased screen of a random collection of 650 *P*-element mutants for modifiers of the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype.

The genetic screen identified alleles of *String* (*Stg*), *RAD50*, and *RPD3* as suppressors of the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype and *MEKK4* (mitogen-activated protein [MAP] kinase kinase kinase 4), *PP2A-B'* (protein phosphatase 2A-B'), and *Delta* as enhancers of the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype (Figs. 4D–F, 5). Supplemental Table 1 provides a summary of the *P*-element alleles identified in the screen and the phenotypes of allelic mutants used to confirm the modifying genes. In all cases, the severity of the rough eye phenotype reflected the severity of neurodegeneration. Suppressors of the rough eye phenotype caused a significant increase in the average number of morphologically normal photoreceptors per ommatidium relative to *GMR-ATMi* flies (Fig. 6). In contrast, enhancers of the rough eye phenotype reduced the number of morphologically normal photoreceptors to such an extent that it was not possible to distinguish individual ommatidia (data not shown). Control flies heterozygous mutant for the identified genes had wild-type eye phenotypes (data not shown). Finally, heterozygous mutations in *Stg* significantly reduced the severity of progressive neurodegeneration in *GMR-ATMi* flies (Fig. 3). Thus, the extent of degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons is affected by the dose of second site genes, which presumably function in cellular processes that regulate neuron survival.

Cell cycle regulatory genes modify the *ATM* knockdown phenotype

Several lines of evidence suggest that cell cycle re-entry plays a role in the mechanism that underlies neurodegeneration in human A-T (Allen et al. 2001; Copani et al. 2001; Yang and Herrup 2005). In particular, aberrant expression of cell cycle regulatory genes in post-mitotic Purkinje and granule cells of A-T patients supports a role for cell cycle re-entry in neurodegeneration (Yang and Herrup 2005). In addition, the importance of cell cycle regulation in the process of neurodegeneration was suggested by our finding that *Stg* mutations inhibited degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons. *Stg* encodes the *Drosophila* homolog of mammalian CDC25 proteins that mediate dephosphorylation and activation of cyclin-dependent kinases during cell cycle phase transitions

Figure 4. Suppressors of the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype. Shown are representative SEM micrographs of 0- to 4-d-old control flies (A,B) and suppressors of *GMR-ATMi* (C–F). (A'–F') High-magnification micrographs of the center of the eye are shown below. (A) *GMR-GAL4*. (B) *GMR-ATMi*. (C) *GMR-P35/+; GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/+* (*GMR-P35; GMR-ATMi*). (D) *GMR-GAL4/RAD50^{EP1}; pATM^{T4}/+* (*RAD50; GMR-ATMi*). (E) *GMR-GAL4/+; pATMT4/RPD3⁰⁴⁵⁵⁶* (*GMR-ATMi, RPD3*). (F) *GMR-GAL4/+; pATMT4/Stg^{EY12388}* (*GMR-ATMi, Stg*).

(Edgar and O'Farrell 1990; Edgar et al. 2001; Park et al. 2003). In a small-scale screen of other cell cycle regulatory genes, we found that heterozygous mutation of *Cdk2* (cyclin-dependent kinase 2, also known as *cdc2c*) and *dE2F2* suppressed the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype, whereas heterozygous mutation of *dE2F1* enhanced the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype (Supplemental Table 2). *Cdk2*, together with its partner Cyclin E, is a critical regulator of entry into S phase, and *dE2F1* and *dE2F2* are transcription factors that regulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Moon et al. 2005; Baker 2007). Consistent with the identification of *dE2F1* mutants as enhancers of *GMR-ATMi*, *E2F1* functions as a cell cycle suppressor in differentiated post-mitotic

Figure 5. Enhancers of the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype. Shown are representative SEM micrographs of 0- to 4-d-old control flies (A,B) and enhancers of *GMR-ATMi* (C–E). (A'–E') High-magnification micrographs of the center of the eye are shown below. Note the increased incidence of ommatidium fusions in the presence of enhancer mutations. (A) *GMR-GAL4*. (B) *GMR-ATMi*. (C) *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/MEKK4^{EY02276}* (*GMR-ATMi, MEKK4*). (D) *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/PP2A-B'^{A131}* (*GMR-ATMi, PP2A-B'*). (E) *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/Delta⁰⁵¹⁵¹* (*GMR-ATMi, Delta*).

mouse neurons (Wang et al. 2007). These data suggest that activities of cell cycle regulatory genes modulate the extent of degeneration of *ATM* knockdown post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons.

ATM knockdown causes neurons to express S-phase and M-phase cell cycle markers

To explore the connection between cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration, we examined photoreceptor neurons in larval eye imaginal discs. In the eye disc, the morphogenetic furrow (MF) marks key cell cycle events important for cell proliferation and differentiation (Wolff and Ready 1993). The MF is initiated at the posterior of the eye disc and then traverses the disc. Cells anterior to the MF divide asynchronously but upon entry into the MF go into synchronous cell cycle arrest. Upon emerging from the posterior edge of the MF, a subset of photoreceptor neurons remain arrested in G1 phase and presumably remain post-mitotic for the lifetime of the fly. Surrounding cells undergo synchronous division and the resulting cells, including photoreceptor neurons, remain post-mitotic.

To determine the extent to which DNA replication or mitosis occurs in post-mitotic neurons, eye discs were costained with antibodies to Elav and 5-bromodeoxyuridine (α -BrdU) or Elav and phosphorylated Ser 10 on histone H3 (α -PH3), respectively. Elav is an early marker of neuronal differentiation that in the eye is exclusively expressed in all post-mitotic neurons (Soller and White 2004). BrdU incorporation occurs in cells actively undergoing DNA replication, and phosphorylation of Ser 10 on histone H3 occurs in cells undergoing mitosis (Yao and White 1994; Wei et al. 1998). As shown in Figure 7, A and B, a substantial increase in BrdU incorporation was observed posterior to the MF in *GMR-ATMi* eye discs compared with control *GMR-GAL4* eye discs. Furthermore, α -Elav and α -BrdU costained cells were sporadically observed in *GMR-ATMi* but not *GMR-GAL4* eye discs (Fig. 7A',A'',B',B''). The α -PH3 antibody detected an equivalent frequency and pattern of cells undergoing mitosis juxtaposed to the MF in *GMR-ATMi* and *GMR-GAL4* discs (Supplemental Fig. 5). However, many more cells

further posterior to the MF were α -PH3-positive in *GMR-ATMi* than control *GMR-GAL4* eye discs. Additionally, cells costained with α -Elav and α -PH3 were observed in *GMR-ATMi* but not control *GMR-GAL4* eye discs. These data indicate that *ATM* knockdown disrupts normal cell cycle control and drives neurons that are normally post-mitotic into the cell cycle.

ATM knockdown causes post-mitotic neurons to re-enter the cell cycle

As an alternative approach to examine the cell cycle in *ATM* knockdown neurons, we analyzed the DNA content of neurons using a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS). For this analysis, *Elav-GAL4* was used to drive expression of *pATM^{T4}* and *UAS-GFP* (*UAS-Green Fluorescent Protein*) (*Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP; pATM^{T4}/+; Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi* flies) in neurons. Larval eye discs were dissociated into single cells, and FACS was used to quantitate Hoechst staining of DNA in GFP-positive neurons. This analysis revealed that *Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi* eye discs had a significantly lower fraction of neurons in G1 phase and higher fraction of cells in S/G2/M phases than control *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP* (*Elav-GFP*) eye discs (Fig. 8A,C,G). Thus, the FACS studies provide independent support for the conclusion that *ATM* knockdown causes re-entry of post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons into the mitotic cell cycle.

Mutation of Stg blocks cell cycle re-entry of ATM knockdown neurons

We hypothesized that reducing the dose of *Stg* in *GMR-ATMi* flies suppresses neurodegeneration by suppressing cell cycle re-entry. In response to DSBs, *ATM* activates cell cycle checkpoints by phosphorylating CHK1 and CHK2 kinases, which in turn phosphorylate and inactivate CDC25 proteins (Abraham 2001; Shiloh 2003; McKinnon 2004). FACS was used to examine whether mutation of *Stg* affected the cell cycle re-entry phenotype of *ATM* knockdown neurons. As shown in Figure 8, heterozygous mutation of *Stg* had no significant effect on the

Figure 6. Genetic background modified the severity of the *GMR-ATMi* neurodegeneration phenotype. The number of morphologically wild-type photoreceptor neurons per ommatidium was determined by analyzing TEM images of sections at the R7 level in the center of eyes from 0- to 4-d-old flies of the indicated genotypes. More than 260 ommatidia were scored from at least four eyes of each genotype. *RAD50^{EP1}*, *RPD3⁰⁴⁵⁵⁶*, *Stg^{EY12388}*, or *GMR-P35* alleles were used for this analysis. (A) Graphed is the average number of normal photoreceptors per ommatidium for each genotype. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. *P*-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison post-tests where all genotypes were compared with *GMR-ATMi* flies. The statistical difference between genotypes is not attributable to the variability seen in flies of a given genotype. (B) Graphed is the percentage of total ommatidia with zero to seven normal photoreceptor neurons for each genotype.

cell cycle profile of neurons in control *Elav-GFP* eye discs; however, it significantly increased the fraction of neurons in G1 phase and reduced the fraction of cells in S/G2/M phases in *Elav-GFP*; *Elav-ATMi* eye discs (Fig. 8B,D,G). Given that *Stg* mutations suppressed degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons, these data indicate that cell cycle re-entry is causative for degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons.

Cell cycle re-entry precedes neurodegeneration of ATM knockdown neurons

To examine the extent to which *ATM* knockdown causes apoptosis of photoreceptor neurons in eye discs, control *GMR-GAL4* and *GMR-ATMi* eye discs were analyzed by TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase biotin-dUTP nick end labeling) and acridine orange staining. Both analyses revealed a reduction in apoptotic cells directly posterior to the MF and an increase in apoptotic cells more posterior to the MF in *GMR-ATMi* eye discs relative to control *GMR-GAL4* eye discs (Supplemental Fig. 6). The TUNEL staining pattern of *GMR-ATMi* eye discs was similar to that of *ATM* mutant eye discs (Song et al. 2004). Additionally, occasional TUNEL-positive signals in *GMR-ATMi* eye discs colo-

calized with neurons, as marked by α -Elav fluorescence. These data indicate that *ATM* knockdown blocks apoptosis of mitotic cells directly posterior to the MF and promotes apoptosis of older post-mitotic neurons more posterior to the MF.

To examine the epistatic relationship between cell cycle re-entry and apoptosis of neurons, we used FACS to analyze the cell cycle profile of neurons from *Elav-GFP*; *Elav-ATMi* eye discs that expressed the apoptosis inhibitor P35. We hypothesized that if cell cycle re-entry caused apoptosis, then expression of P35 would prevent cycling neurons from dying and increase the percentage of cycling neurons. In contrast, if apoptosis caused cell cycle re-entry, then expression of P35 would inhibit cell cycle re-entry and reduce the percentage of cycling neurons. This analysis revealed that in *Elav-GFP*; *Elav-ATMi* flies, *GMR-P35* caused a significant reduction in G1-phase neurons and increase in S/G2/M-phase neurons, but in control *Elav-GFP* flies, *GMR-P35* had no effect on the cell cycle profile (Fig. 8E–G). Thus, inhibiting apoptosis did not inhibit cell cycle re-entry of *ATM* knockdown neurons but instead caused them to accumulate in S/G2/M phases, suggesting that cell cycle re-entry precedes apoptosis in *ATM* knockdown neurons. In other words, these data provide evidence that *ATM*

Figure 7. DNA replication occurred in neurons of *GMR-ATMi* flies. Eye discs from control *GMR-GAL4* (A,A',A'') or *GMR-ATMi* (B,B',B'') third instar larvae were costained with α -Elav (red) and α -BrdU (green) antibodies. A' and A'' and B' and B'' are higher-magnification images of A and B, respectively. A'' and B'' are merged images of α -Elav and α -BrdU. Arrows indicate a neuron in which DNA replication has occurred. MF indicates the position of the MF.

knockdown neurons that re-entered the cell cycle are fated to die.

HDAC2 physically and functionally interacts with ATM in human cells

Another suppressor identified in the screen, *RPD3*, encodes the *Drosophila* homolog of human class 1 HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, and HDAC8 (Pandey et al. 2002). *RPD3* has several potential links to ATM. Inhibition of HDACs by trichostatin A (TSA) induces ATM autophosphorylation in the absence of DNA damage and hyperphosphorylation upon IR-induced DNA damage (Bakkenist and Kastan 2003). HDAC1 physically associates with ATM in vitro and in vivo, and the extent of association is increased by exposure of cells to IR (Kim et al. 1999). Acetylation of ATM by the Tip60 acetyltransferase activates ATM kinase activity in response to DNA damage (Sun et al. 2005). Finally, the lysine residue acetylated by Tip60 in human ATM is conserved in *Drosophila* ATM (Sun et al. 2007). These observations suggest that *RPD3* negatively regulates ATM activation,

perhaps by directly antagonizing Tip60-mediated ATM acetylation.

In support of this model, we found that HDAC2 physically associated with ATM in human HEK 293T cells. ATM coprecipitated with overexpressed, Flag-epitope-tagged HDAC2 and endogenous HDAC2 (Fig. 9A,B). In addition, the interaction between ATM and HDAC2 was observed under basal and DNA damage conditions (Fig. 9A). To examine the functional consequence of the ATM-HDAC2 interaction, we assayed ATM activation, as measured by autophosphorylation of Ser 1981 (pS1981) and *trans*-phosphorylation of 53BP1 (an ATM kinase substrate), both of which are detected by an antibody generated against pS1981 (Bakkenist and Kastan 2003; Jowsey et al. 2007; R.S. Tibbetts, unpubl.). In the absence of IR, ATM activation, as indicated by ATM S1981 and 53BP1 phosphorylation, was enhanced by siRNA knockdown of *HDAC2* in HEK 293T cells (Fig. 9C). In contrast, while HDAC1 was found to associate with ATM, *HDAC1* knockdown did not cause ATM activation (data not shown). These data suggest that ATM is a direct substrate for deacetylation and repression of kinase activity by HDAC2. However, our inability to

Figure 8. Cell cycle re-entry in *Elav-ATMi* flies is inhibited by mutation of *Stg* but not expression of P35. FACS analysis was carried out on eye imaginal discs dissected from control *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/+* (*Elav-GFP*, $n = 9$) (A); *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/+; Stg^{EY12388}/+* (*Elav-GFP; Stg*, $n = 4$) (B); *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/+; pATM^{T4}/+* (*Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi*, $n = 8$) (C); *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/+; pATM^{T4}/Stg^{EY12388}* (*Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi, Stg*, $n = 6$) (D); *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/GMR-P35* (*Elav-GFP, GMR-P35*, $n = 2$) (E); and *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP/GMR-P35; pATM^{T4}/+* (*Elav-GFP, GMR-P35; Elav-ATMi*, $n = 4$) (F) third instar larvae. (G) Quantitation of cell cycle phases from multiple, independent samples. For each sample, 5000 live, single, GFP-positive events were analyzed. P -values were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison post-tests.

consistently observe acetylated ATM by Western blot with α -acetyl-lysine antibodies precluded a direct test of this hypothesis. Thus, it is formally possible that mutation of *RPD3/HDAC* suppresses degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons through increased acetylation of proteins other than ATM.

Discussion

Human A-T can be modeled in *Drosophila*

Our data indicate that *ATM* knockdown by RNAi causes degeneration of *Drosophila* post-mitotic photoreceptor neurons. The neurodegeneration phenotype of *ATM*

Figure 9. HDAC2 interacts with ATM and represses ATM activation. (A) Western blot analysis of lysates and immunoprecipitates from HEK 293T cells transfected with empty plasmid (vector) or plasmids that expressed Flag-epitope-tagged human HDAC1 (FLAG-H1) or HDAC2 (FLAG-H2). Cells were either exposed to 20 Gy of IR (+) or not exposed (–), and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an α -Flag antibody. Immunoprecipitates (IP) or total lysates (Input) were immunoblotted (WB) for ATM or the Flag tag. (B) Lysates prepared from HEK 293T cells were immunoprecipitated with a rabbit IgG antibody (C), an α -ATM antibody from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (A1), and α -ATM antibody from Genetex (A2), or an α -HDAC2 antibody (H2). Immunoprecipitates (IP) were immunoblotted (WB) for ATM or HDAC2. (C) HEK 293T cells were transfected with control scrambled (S) or HDAC2 (H2)-specific siRNAs. Cell lysates were immunoblotted (WB) for pS1981 on ATM (pS1981-ATM), total ATM (ATM) as a loading control, or HDAC2. The triangle indicates increasing amounts of siRNAs.

knockdown flies is similar to that observed in A-T patients. Neurodegeneration in the fly model occurred in the absence of exogenously induced DNA damage, it occurred independently of developmental defects, and it was progressive, increasing in severity as flies aged (Figs. 2, 3). Thus, ATM knockdown flies appear to be an appropriate model to study the cellular mechanisms underlying neurodegeneration in A-T.

Analysis of ATM knockdown flies identified genetic modifiers of neuron survival

A-T is a monogenic disease resulting from mutation of the ATM gene; however, our genetic screen identified second site genes that affect an A-T phenotype, neurodegeneration (Figs. 4, 5; Supplemental Tables 1, 2). Remarkably, independent lines of evidence, from the literature and from our studies, support the relevance of each of the modifier genes to the mechanism underlying neurodegeneration in A-T.

Three of the six identified genes—*Stg*, *RAD50*, and *PP2A-B'*—are known components of the ATM signaling

pathway that responds to DNA damage in mammals (Abraham 2001; Shiloh 2003). The relevance of *Stg* to ATM signaling and neurodegeneration is described in a subsequent section of the Discussion. *RAD50* encodes a component of the MRN complex that mediates ATM activation at DSBs (Abraham and Tibbetts 2005). A role for *RAD50* in promoting neurodegeneration is not specific to the eye, as mutation of *RAD50* suppressed the lethality of *Elav-ATMi* flies (data not shown). In addition, mutation of the gene encoding the NBS1 subunit of MRN suppressed the *GMR-ATMi* rough eye phenotype, suggesting that suppression by *RAD50* and *NBS1* mutants is due to reduced activity of the MRN complex (Supplemental Table 2). Nevertheless, the mechanism underlying suppression of neurodegeneration is unclear since reduced levels of the MRN complex would intuitively be expected to enhance *GMR-ATMi* phenotypes. One possibility is that the MRN complex is deregulated in the absence of ATM and carries out activities that are lethal to neurons. Finally, *PP2A* has been shown to dephosphorylate several ATM signaling pathways substrates, including ATM (Guo et al. 2002; Goodarzi et al. 2004; Chowdhury et al. 2005; Petersen et al. 2006). Mutation of *PP2A-B'*, which encodes a regulatory subunit of the *PP2A* complex, may enhance neurodegeneration in ATM knockdown flies by affecting the phosphorylation state of ATM substrates (Liu et al. 2007).

Two of the identified genes, *MEKK4* and *Delta*, have potential links to ATM. Mutation of *MEKK4* may enhance neurodegeneration in *GMR-ATMi* flies by allowing cell cycle progression. Published studies suggest a model whereby ATM and *MEKK4* pathways collaborate to prevent cell cycle re-entry of post-mitotic neurons by maintaining the latency of CDC25 proteins (Ben-Levy et al. 1998; Abraham 2005; Lopez-Aviles et al. 2005; Manke et al. 2005; Boutros et al. 2006). *Delta* encodes a ligand for the Notch receptor, which regulates cell cycle progression and differentiation in many tissues, including the eye (Baonza and Freeman 2005; Yang and Baker 2006). Thus, there may be cross-talk between the ATM and Notch signaling pathways in neurons.

Finally, studies in cultured cells revealed a direct link between HDAC2, the human homolog of *Drosophila* RPD3, and ATM. HDAC2 was found to directly associate with ATM and regulate its kinase activity in the absence of exogenously induced DNA damage (Fig. 9). Thus, HDAC2 is likely the TSA-sensitive deacetylase that negatively regulates ATM kinase activity (Bakkenist and Kastan 2003). HDAC2 may function by counteracting acetylation of ATM or downstream components of the ATM signaling pathway (Sun et al. 2005, 2007). It is important to note that although deacetylation of ATM by HDAC2 may regulate ATM activity, HDAC2 is not necessarily an important factor in A-T since the majority of mutations in A-T patients are nonsense or frameshift mutations that result in complete loss or truncation of ATM protein. Nevertheless, the demonstrated physical and functional interactions between HDAC2 and ATM indicate that HDAC2 is an important component of the ATM signaling paradigm and that in-

formation garnered from studies of *ATM* knockdown flies can advance our understanding of *ATM* function in humans.

Results from the genetic screen predict that A-T patients with mild neurodegeneration will carry heterozygous mutations in suppressor genes, such as *CDC25* family members, whereas A-T patients with severe neurodegeneration will carry heterozygous mutations in enhancer genes, such as *MEKK4*. It will be interesting to see if genes that enhance neurodegeneration in *ATM* knockdown flies also enhance neurodegeneration in mice. For example, do *ATM*^{-/-} *MEKK4*^{+/-} mice exhibit progressive degeneration of cerebellar neurons? If so, this would make mice a practical model for studying the neurodegenerative aspects of A-T.

Cell cycle re-entry may cause neurodegeneration in A-T

Our data indicate a causal relationship between cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration in the *Drosophila* model of A-T presented here. First, *ATM* knockdown in photoreceptor neurons resulted in cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration, implicating *ATM* in both processes (Figs. 2, 6–8). Second, heterozygous mutation of cell cycle regulatory genes *Stg/CDC25*, *Cdk2*, *dE2F1*, and *dE2F2* modified the neurodegeneration phenotype of *ATM* knockdown flies, highlighting the importance of cell cycle regulation in neurodegeneration (Supplemental Table 2). Third, inhibition of cell cycle re-entry by mutation of *Stg/CDC25* also inhibited degeneration of *ATM* knockdown neurons. In contrast, inhibition of neurodegeneration by expression of P35 did not inhibit cell cycle re-entry (Figs. 6, 8). Finally, inhibition of neurodegeneration by expression of P35 caused the accumulation of neurons in S/G2/M phases of the cell cycle, indicating that the neurons that re-entered the cell cycle are the ones that degenerated (Fig. 8E–G).

These findings add to a growing literature linking cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration (Herrup and Yang 2007; Khurana and Feany 2007). The observations that terminally differentiated neurons are resistant to oncogenic transformation and that brain tumors of neuronal origin rarely occur suggest that cell cycle re-entry of post-mitotic neurons results in death rather than proliferation (Heintz 1993). In fact, it has been shown in a variety of systems, including flies and humans, that when neurons re-enter the cell cycle, the result is degeneration rather than proliferation and that ectopic cell cycle activation in neurons is sufficient to trigger degeneration (al-Ubaidi et al. 1992; Feddersen et al. 1992; Herrup and Busser 1995; Du et al. 1996; Kranenburg et al. 1996; Park et al. 1996; Athanasiou et al. 1998; Kruman et al. 2004). Furthermore, up-regulation of cell cycle genes, such as *proliferating cell nuclear antigen*, *cyclin A*, and *cyclin B*, has been shown to occur in post-mitotic Purkinje and granule cells of A-T patients; and neurons of *ATM*^{-/-} mice have been found to undergo DNA replication (Yang and Herrup, 2005). Similarly, studies in both fly and mammalian models of Alzheimer's disease sup-

port a causative link between cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration (Khurana and Feany 2007; Yang and Herrup 2007). Thus, failure of cell cycle regulation may be a common cause of neurodegenerative disorders, including A-T.

It is important to keep in mind that equally plausible and nonexclusive models have been put forth for why neurodegeneration occurs in A-T. The oxidative stress model proposes that neurodegeneration occurs as a consequence of increased oxidative stress, and the DNA damage model proposes that neurodegeneration occurs as a consequence of the accumulation of DNA damage (Rolig and McKinnon 2000; Barzilai et al. 2002; Shiloh 2003; Watters 2003). While we describe these models as functioning independently, it is unlikely that this is the case. For example, oxidative stress could lead to cell cycle re-entry through several different pathways, and in response to DNA damage, neurons may re-enter the cell cycle before undergoing cell death.

Concluding remarks

Here we have described a powerful experimental model in *Drosophila* to study the molecular mechanisms that underlie neurodegeneration in the human disease A-T. *ATM* knockdown in flies caused post-mitotic neurons to re-enter the cell cycle and die by programmed cell death. This finding suggests that *ATM* performs a cell cycle checkpoint function in post-mitotic neurons, as it does in response to DNA damage in proliferating nonneuronal cells and neuroblasts. Heterozygous mutation of *Stg/CDC25* suppressed neurodegeneration in *ATM* knockdown flies and inhibited cell cycle re-entry, suggesting that cell cycle re-entry is causative for neurodegeneration in A-T. In the future, further genetic, cell biological, and molecular analysis of the *Drosophila* A-T model will allow us to address unresolved issues, such as the extent to which oxidative stress and DNA damage contribute to neurodegeneration in the absence of *ATM*, what factors trigger cell cycle re-entry in the absence of *ATM*, and what factors link cell cycle re-entry to programmed cell death as opposed to cell division in the absence of *ATM*.

Materials and methods

Drosophila genetics

Flies were maintained and crosses were carried out at 25°C unless otherwise noted. *pATM* was generated by cloning a 642-base-pair (bp) fragment corresponding to exon 6 (nucleotides 1185–1827 of CG6535) of the *Drosophila ATM* gene into the *pWIZ* transformation vector (Lee and Carthew 2003). *pATM* was injected into a *y¹w¹¹¹⁸* strain by standard methods (Rubin and Spradling 1982). *GMR-P35* flies were provided by B. Hay, *RAD50* flies were provided by M. Gatti, *Elav-GFP* flies were provided by G. Boekhoff-Falk, and GAL4-driver lines and *P*-element strains used in the screen were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center.

The genetic screen was performed as described in Supplemental Figure 4. So as not to bias the analysis, stock numbers, not genotypes, were used as identifiers during the screen. To assess

the developmental requirement for *ATM*, *pATM^{T4}* flies were crossed to *hsp70-GAL4/CyO* flies and *hsp70-Gal4/+; pATM^{T4}/+* (*hs-ATMi*) progeny were heat-shocked for 1 h at 37°C, twice a day until 3 d post-eclosion, when the flies were processed for TEM. To control for effects of *GAL4* expression on neuron morphology, *hsp70-GAL4/CyO* flies were crossed to *w¹¹¹⁸* flies, and *hsp70-GAL4/+ (hs-GAL4)* progeny were subjected to the same heat-shock regime. To assess the requirement for *ATM* in adult flies, 3-d-old *hs-ATMi* and *hs-GAL4* flies were heat-shocked for 1 h at 37°C, twice a day for 12 d, at which time the flies were processed for TEM.

To examine whether neurodegeneration was progressive, 20–30 0- to 4-d-old female *GMR-GAL4/+ (GMR-GAL4)*, *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/+* (*GMR-ATMi*), and *GMR-GAL4/+; pATM^{T4}/String^{EY12388}* (*GMR-ATMi*, *Stg*) flies were maintained in food-containing vials and turned into new vials every 7 d. Flies were processed for TEM 9, 27, or 48 d later.

For FACS analysis, *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP (Elav-GFP)* flies were crossed to *w¹¹¹⁸* flies; *Elav-GAL4, UAS-GFP; pATM^{T4}/TM6b, Tb (Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi)* flies were crossed to *w¹¹¹⁸* flies; *Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi* flies were crossed to *String^{EY12388}/TM6b, Tb* flies; *Elav-GFP* flies were crossed to *String^{EY12388}/TM6b, Tb* flies; *Elav-GFP; Elav-ATMi* flies were crossed to *GMR-P35* flies; and *Elav-GFP* flies were crossed to *GMR-P35* flies. Crosses were maintained for 8–9 d at 18°C and then placed for 3 d at 25°C before eye discs were dissected from non-*Tb* wandering third instar larvae.

Quantitation of *ATM*

To assess the efficacy of *ATM* mRNA knockdown in *pATM* flies, 3-d-old *hs-ATMi* or *hs-GAL4* flies were heat-shocked for 1 h at 37°C, and total RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's protocol, at 2, 5, and 8 h post-heat-shock. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed and quantitated as described (Katzenberger et al. 2006).

To assess the efficacy of *ATM* protein knockdown in *pATM* flies, *hsp70-GAL4/hsp70-GAL4* flies were crossed to *pATM^{T4}/pATM^{T4}* flies, and *hsp70-GAL4/pATM^{T4}* embryos were heat-shocked for 2 h at 37°C and allowed to recover for 1 h at 25°C. Embryos were homogenized in Laemmli protein loading buffer (Bio-Rad) containing β-mercaptoethanol. Embryo extracts were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to Western blot analysis. The SIN3 antibody was described previously, and the *ATM* polyclonal antibody was raised in rabbits against a recombinant protein that included the kinase domain (encoded by nucleotides 6202–7290 of CG6535) (Pile and Wassarman 2000).

Electron microscopy

For SEM images, anesthetized 0- to 4-d-old female flies were imaged on a Quanta 200 Environmental Electron Scanning Electron Microscope (FEI Company) using a gaseous secondary electron detector. The cooling stage was set to 0°C to preserve the head while imaging.

For TEM images, adult fly heads were fixed for 4 h rotating at 4°C in 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3). The initial fixative was then replaced with 2% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, and 1% tannic acid in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3), and the samples were rotated overnight. Heads were washed (five times for 5–10 min) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3) and were post-fixed with 2% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer for 2 h at room temperature. Heads were washed (five times for 15–30 min) in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3), dehydrated in an ethanol-in-water series (50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, twice at 95%, and four

times at 100%), washed (three times for 10 min) with 100% propylene oxide, and equilibrated into Spurr's resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in a propylene oxide-in-Spurr's resin series (2:1, 1:1, 1:2, 100% Spurr's resin). Each equilibration step was carried out rotating for 8 h at room temperature. Heads were placed in molds (Ted Pella) and cured overnight at 70°C. Eyes were sectioned using a Reichert-Jung Ultracut E Microtome. Ultrathin sections were placed on pioloform-coated one-hole slot grids and contrasted with Reynolds lead citrate and 8% uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol. Sections were analyzed on a Philips CM120 electron microscope.

Quantitation of the neurodegenerative phenotype was carried out by scoring the number of morphologically normal photoreceptor neurons in a field of defined size located in the center of the eye. At least four individual eyes and ≥260 ommatidia were scored for each genotype. Ultrathin sections were taken at a constant depth of 25 μm from the surface of the eye. Analysis software (Soft Imaging System Corp.) was used to take multiple overlapping images of the entire eye section at a magnification of 2050×, which were compiled using Adobe Photoshop. Rhabdomeres were considered normal if they did not contain holes and were not condensed, fragmented, or split. *P*-values were calculated using one-way or two-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison tests or Bonferroni post-tests using Prism 4.0c (Graphpad) software.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, eye-antennal imaginal discs were dissected from wandering third instar larvae in 1× PBS and prepared for PH3, BrdU, TUNEL, or acridine orange (Invitrogen) staining as described (Wolff 2002). The primary antibodies used were α-PH3 (1:100 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology), α-Elav (1:500 dilution; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), α-BrdU (1:200 dilution; Becton Dickinson), and rhodamine-conjugated α-DIG (1:200 dilution; Chemicon). The fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies used were α-mouse FITC (1:200 dilution; Jackson Laboratories), α-rabbit FITC (1:200 dilution; Jackson Laboratories), α-rat rhodamine (1:5000 dilution; Molecular Probes), and α-rat Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200 dilution; Invitrogen). TUNEL assays were performed using the ApopTag Red In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Chemicon). The following changes were made to the Wolff (2002) TUNEL staining protocol: 1× PBS was substituted for 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer; initial fixation was performed in 5% formaldehyde/1× PBS; eye discs were incubated in stop-reaction mix for 1 h at 37°C; blocking was performed at room temperature for 1 h in a solution of 12% blocking solution (Chemicon)/BSS/0.3% TX-100 (BS/BSS/TX); rhodamine-conjugated α-DIG and α-Elav antibodies were diluted in BS/BSS/TX and eye discs were incubated overnight at 4°C; and α-rat Alexa Fluor 488 was diluted in BS/BSS/TX and eye discs were incubated overnight at 4°C and processed as described by Wolff (2002). The following change was made to the Wolff (2002) acridine orange staining protocol: 1× PBS was substituted for *Drosophila* Ringer's solution. Eye-antennal imaginal discs were imaged on a Zeiss Axiovert 200M inverted microscope.

FACS analysis

FACS analysis was performed as described by Neufeld et al. (1998) with the indicated modifications. Thirty to forty pairs of eye-antennal imaginal discs from wandering third instar larvae were dissected in PBS and transferred immediately into 500 μL of TEHP buffer (9× Sigma Trypsin-EDTA, 15 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 in PBS). Eye-antennal imaginal discs were incubated with

gentle agitation for 3 h. To aide cell dissociation, discs were gently pipetted up and down 10 times every 20 min. After dissociation, 100 μ L of 33 μ g/mL propidium iodide in PBS was added to each sample. A Becton Dickinson LSRII was used to analyze the cells. Five-thousand live, single, GFP-positive events were collected for each sample, and the data were analyzed using CellQuest (Becton Dickinson) and ModFit (Verity Software House) software. Propidium iodide was used to discriminate between live and dead cells, and doublets were excluded during data acquisition and analysis. The *n*-values for each set of experiments are indicated in the figure legends. Different individuals repeated experiments at least two independent times for each genotype. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. *P*-values were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison post-test using Prism 4.0c (Graphpad) software.

Mammalian cell culture

HEK 293T cells were maintained in Eagle's minimum essential medium (MEM) containing 5% FCS. Antibody suppliers included Genetex (α -ATM, α -HDAC1), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (α -ATM), Rockland (α -pS1981-ATM), Upstate Biotechnology (α -HDAC2), and Sigma (α -Flag M2). For the coimmunoprecipitation experiments, HEK 293T cells were transfected with Flag-HDAC1 or Flag-HDAC2 expression vectors (P. Yao, Duke University), and cell extracts were prepared before or 1 h after irradiation (20 Gy) as described previously (Tibbetts et al. 1999). Cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with 2 mg of the indicated antibodies for 2–4 h prior to analysis by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting (Shi et al. 2004). Coimmunoprecipitations of endogenous proteins were performed using HEK 293T cell extracts immunoprecipitated with 2 mg of control IgG, HDAC1, HDAC2, or ATM antibodies as described (Tibbetts et al. 1999). Scrambled and HDAC2 siRNA transfections were carried out as described (Dodson and Tibbetts 2004) using SmartPool siRNA mixtures (Dharmacon).

Acknowledgments

We thank Ben August from the University of Wisconsin-Madison Electron Microscopy Facility for sectioning and staining *Drosophila* eyes for TEM, as well as for training and assistance in the use of the transmission electron microscope. In addition, we thank E. Spalding (University of Wisconsin-Madison, Botany Department SEM facility; NSF Grant DBI-0421266); G. Boekhoff-Falk, N. Colley, M. Brodsky, R. Carthew, M. Gatti, B. LeMaitre, T. Neufeld, A. DeAntonio, P. Yao, and B. Hay for providing flies, reagents, or technical assistance; G. Boekhoff-Falk, S. Miyamoto, C. Metcalf, and M. Marengo for insights that greatly improved this work; and the A-T Children's Project for supporting our work on A-T. This work was funded by grants from the National Ataxia Foundation (to D.A.W.), the University of Wisconsin-Madison Medical School (to D.A.W.), and the NIH (R01 NS059001 to D.A.W. and R01 GM 067868 to R.S.T.).

References

Abraham, R.T. 2001. Cell cycle checkpoint signaling through ATM and ATR kinases. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 2177–2196.
 Abraham, R.T. 2005. MAPKAP kinase-2: Three's company at the G2 checkpoint. *Mol. Cell* **17**: 163–164.
 Abraham, R.T. and Tibbetts, R.S. 2005. Guiding ATM to broken DNA. *Science* **308**: 551–554.
 Allen, D.M., van Praag, H., Ray, J., Weaver, Z., Winrow, C.J.,

Carter, T.A., Braquet, R., Harrington, E., Ried, T., Brown, K.D., et al. 2001. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated is essential during adult neurogenesis. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 554–566.
 Alterman, N., Fattal-Valevski, A., Moyal, L., Crawford, T.O., Lederman, H.M., Ziv, Y., and Shiloh, Y. 2007. Ataxia-telangiectasia: Mild neurological presentation despite null ATM mutation and severe cellular phenotype. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **143A**: 1827–1834.
 al-Ubaidi, M.R., Hollyfield, J.G., Overbeck, P.A., and Baehr, W. 1992. Photoreceptor degeneration induced by the expression of simian virus 40 large tumor antigen in the retina of transgenic mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89**: 1194–1198.
 Athanasiou, M.C., Yunis, W., Coleman, N., Ehlenfeldt, R., Clark, H.B., Orr, H.T., and Feddersen, R.M. 1998. The transcription factor E2F-1 in SV40 T antigen-induced cerebellar Purkinje cell degeneration. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **12**: 16–28.
 Baker, N.E. 2007. Patterning signals and proliferation in *Drosophila* imaginal discs. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **17**: 287–293.
 Bakkenist, C.J. and Kastan, M.B. 2003. DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular autophosphorylation and dimer association. *Nature* **421**: 499–506.
 Baonza, A. and Freeman, M. 2005. Control of cell proliferation in the *Drosophila* eye by Notch signaling. *Dev. Cell* **8**: 529–539.
 Barlow, C., Hirotsune, S., Paylor, R., Liyanage, M., Eckhaus, M., Collins, F., Shiloh, Y., Crawley, J.N., Ried, T., Tagle, D., et al. 1996. Atm-deficient mice: A paradigm of Ataxia telangiectasia. *Cell* **86**: 159–171.
 Barzilai, A., Rotman, G., and Shiloh, Y. 2002. ATM deficiency and oxidative stress: A new dimension of defective response to DNA damage. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* **1**: 3–25.
 Ben-Levy, R., Hooper, S., Wilson, R., Paterson, H.F., and Marshall, C.J. 1998. Nuclear export of the stress-activated protein kinase p38 mediated by its substrate MAPKAP kinase-2. *Curr. Biol.* **8**: 1049–1057.
 Bi, X., Wei, S.-C., and Rong, Y.S. 2004. Telomere protection without a telomerase: The role of ATM and Mre11 in *Drosophila* telomere maintenance. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1348–1353.
 Bi, X., Gong, M., Srikanta, D., and Rong, Y.S. 2005. *Drosophila* ATM and MRE11 are essential for the G2/M checkpoint induced by low-dose radiation. *Genetics* **171**: 845–847.
 Boutros, R., Dozier, C., and Ducommun, B. 2006. The when and whereas of CDC25 phosphatases. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **18**: 185–191.
 Brand, A.H. and Perrimon, N. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**: 401–415.
 Brodsky, M.H., Weinert, B.T., Tsang, G., Rong, Y.S., McGinnis, N.M., Golic, K.G., Rio, D.C., and Rubin, G.M. 2004. *Drosophila melanogaster* MNK/Chk2 and p53 regulate multiple DNA repair and apoptotic pathways following DNA damage. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 1219–1231.
 Buchwald, M. 1995. Complementation groups: One or more per gene? *Nat. Genet.* **11**: 228–230.
 Carson, C.T., Schwartz, R.A., Stracker, T.H., Lilley, C.E., Lee, D.V., and Weitzman, M.D. 2003. The Mre11 complex is required for ATM activation and the G2/M checkpoint. *EMBO J.* **15**: 6610–6620.
 Chen, P., Nordstrom, W., Gish, B., and Abrams, J.M. 1996. *grim*, a novel cell death gene in *Drosophila*. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 1773–1782.
 Chowdhury, D., Keogh, M.C., Ishii, H., Peterson, C.L., Buratowski, S., and Lieberman, J. 2005. γ -H2AX dephosphorylation by protein phosphatase 2A facilitates DNA double-strand break repair. *Mol. Cell* **20**: 801–809.

- Ciapponi, L., Cenci, G., Ducau, J., Flores, C., Johnson-Schlitz, D., Gorski, M.M., Engels, W.R., and Gatti, M. 2004. The *Drosophila* Mre11/Rad50 complex is required to prevent both telomeric fusion and chromosome breakage. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1360–1366.
- Clem, R.J. and Miller, L.K. 1994. Control of programmed cell death by the baculovirus genes *p35* and *iap*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**: 5212–5222.
- Copani, A., Uberti, D., Sortino, M., Bruno, V., Nicoletti, F., and Memo, M. 2001. Activation of cell-cycle-associated proteins in neuronal death: A mandatory or dispensable path? *Trends Neurosci.* **24**: 25–31.
- Crawford, T.O. 1998. Ataxia telangiectasia. *Semin. Pediatr. Neurol.* **5**: 287–294.
- Davidson, F.F. and Steller, H. 1998. Blocking apoptosis prevents blindness in *Drosophila* retinal degeneration mutants. *Nature* **391**: 587–591.
- Dietzl, G., Chen, D., Schnorrer, F., Su, K.C., Barinova, Y., Fellner, M., Gasser, B., Kinsey, K., Oppel, S., Scheiblaue, S., et al. 2007. A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional inactivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **448**: 151–156.
- Dodson, G.E. and Tibbetts, R.S. 2004. DNA replication defects, spontaneous DNA damage, and ATM-dependent checkpoint activation in replication protein A-deficient cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**: 34010–34014.
- Du, W., Xie, J.-E., and Dyson, N. 1996. Ectopic expression of dE2F and dDP induces cell proliferation and death in the *Drosophila* eye. *EMBO J.* **15**: 3684–3692.
- Dupré, A., Boyer-Chatenet, L., and Gautier, J. 2006. Two-step activation of ATM by DNA and the Mre11–Rad50–Nbs1 complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **13**: 451–457.
- Edgar, B.A. and O'Farrell, P.H. 1990. The three postblastoderm cycles of *Drosophila* embryogenesis are regulated in G2 by *string*. *Cell* **62**: 469–480.
- Edgar, B.A., Britton, J., de la Cruz, A.F., Johnston, L.A., Lehman, D., Martin-Castellanos, C., and Prober, D. 2001. Pattern- and growth-linked cell cycles in *Drosophila* development. *Novartis Found. Symp.* **237**: 3–12.
- Elson, A., Wang, Y., Daugherty, C.J., Morton, C.C., Zhou, F., Campos-Torres, J., and Leder, P. 1996. Pleiotropic defects in ataxia-telangiectasia protein-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 13084–13089.
- Falck, J., Coates, J., and Jackson, S.P. 2005. Conserved modes of recruitment of ATM, ATR and DNA-PKcs to sites of DNA damage. *Nature* **434**: 605–611.
- Feddersen, R.M., Ehlenfeldt, R., Yunis, W.S., Clark, H.B., and Orr, H.T. 1992. Disrupted cerebellar cortical development and progressive degeneration of Purkinje cells in SV40 T antigen transgenic animals. *Neuron* **9**: 955–966.
- Goodarzi, A.A., Jonnalagadda, J.C., Douglas, P., Young, D., Ye, R., Moorhead, G.B., Lees-Miller, S.P., and Khanna, K.K. 2004. Autophosphorylation of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated is regulated by protein phosphatase 2A. *EMBO J.* **23**: 4451–4461.
- Guo, C.Y., Brautigan, D.L., and Lerner, J.M. 2002. ATM-dependent dissociation of B55 regulatory subunit from nuclear PP2A in response to ionizing radiation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**: 4839–4844.
- Hassin-Baer, S., Bar-Shira, A., Gilad, S., Galanty, Y., Khosravi, R., Lossos, A., Giladi, N., Weitz, R., Ben-Zeev, B., Goldhammer, Y., et al. 1999. Absence of mutations in ATM, the gene responsible for ataxia telangiectasia in patients with cerebellar ataxia. *J. Neurol.* **246**: 716–719.
- Hay, B.A., Wolff, T., and Rubin, G.M. 1994. Expression of baculovirus P35 prevents cell death in *Drosophila*. *Development* **120**: 2121–2129.
- Hay, B.A., Wassarman, D.A., and Rubin, G.M. 1995. *Drosophila* homologs of baculovirus inhibitor of apoptosis proteins function to block cell death. *Cell* **83**: 1253–1262.
- Heintz, N. 1993. Cell death and the cell cycle: A relationship between transformation and neurodegeneration. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **18**: 2385–2395.
- Herrup, K. and Busser, J.C. 1995. The induction of multiple cell cycle events precedes target-related neuronal death. *Development* **121**: 2385–2395.
- Herrup, K. and Yang, Y. 2007. Cell cycle regulation in the post-mitotic neuron: Oxymoron or new biology? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **8**: 368–378.
- Hsu, C.-D., Whaley, M.A., Frazer, K., Miller, D.A., Mitchell, K.A., Adams, S.M., and O'Tousa, J.E. 2004. Limited role of developmental programmed cell death pathways in *Drosophila* *norPA* retinal degeneration. *J. Neurosci.* **24**: 500–507.
- Jackson, S.P. 2002. Sensing and repairing DNA double-strand breaks. *Carcinogenesis* **23**: 687–696.
- Jowsey, P., Morrice, N.A., Hastie, C.J., McLauchlan, H., Toth, R., and Rouse, J. 2007. Characterization of the sites of DNA damage-induced 53BP1 phosphorylation catalyzed by ATM and ATR. *DNA Repair (Amst.)* **6**: 1536–1544.
- Katzenberger, R.J., Marengo, M.S., and Wassarman, D.A. 2006. ATM and ATR pathways signal alternative splicing of *Drosophila* *TAF1* pre-mRNA in response to DNA damage. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**: 9256–9267.
- Khurana, V. and Feany, M.B. 2007. Connecting cell-cycle activation to neurodegeneration in *Drosophila*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1772**: 446–456.
- Kim, G.D., Choi, Y.H., Dimtchev, A., Jeong, S.J., Dritschilo, A., and Jung, M. 1999. Sensing of ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage by ATM through interaction with histone deacetylase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**: 31127–31130.
- Kranenburg, O., van der Eb, A.J., and Zantema, A. 1996. Cyclin D, is an essential mediator of apoptotic neuronal cell death. *EMBO J.* **15**: 46–54.
- Kruman, I.I., Wersto, R.P., Cardozo-Palaez, F., Smilenov, L., Chan, S.L., Chrest, F.J., Emokpae, R., Gorospe, M., and Mattson, M.P. 2004. Cell cycle activation linked to neuronal cell death initiated by DNA damage. *Neuron* **41**: 549–561.
- Lavin, M.F. and Shiloh, Y. 1997. The genetic defect in ataxia-telangiectasia. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **15**: 177–202.
- Lavin, M.F., Delia, D., and Chessa, L. 2006. ATM and the DNA damage response. *EMBO Rep.* **7**: 154–160.
- Lee, Y.S. and Carthew, R.W. 2003. Making a better RNAi vector for *Drosophila*: Use of intron spacers. *Methods* **30**: 322–329.
- Lee, J.H. and Paull, T.T. 2004. Direct activation of the ATM protein kinase by the Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 complex. *Science* **304**: 93–96.
- Lee, J.H. and Paull, T.T. 2005. ATM activation by DNA double-stranded breaks through the Mre11–Rad50–Nbs1 complex. *Science* **308**: 551–554.
- Liu, W., Silverstein, A.M., Shu, H., Martinez, B., and Mumby, M.C. 2007. A functional genomics analysis of the B56 isoforms of *Drosophila* protein phosphatase 2A. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **6**: 319–332.
- Lopez-Aviles, S., Grande, M., Gonzalez, M., Helgesen, A.-L., Alemany, V., Sanchez-Pris, M., Bachs, O., Millar, J.B.A., and Aligue, R. 2005. Inactivation of the CDC25 phosphatase by the stress-activated Srk1 kinase in fission yeast. *Mol. Cell* **17**: 49–59.
- Ma, Y., Creanga, A., Lum, L., and Beachy, P.A. 2006. Prevalence of off-target effects in *Drosophila* RNA interference screens. *Nature* **443**: 359–363.
- Manke, I.A., Nguyen, A., Lim, D., Stewart, M.Q., Elia, A.E., and

- Yaffe, M.B. 2005. MAPKAP kinase-2 is a cell cycle checkpoint kinase that regulates the G2/M transition and S phase progression in response to UV irradiation. *Mol. Cell* **17**: 37–48.
- Martin, D.N. and Baehrecke, E.H. 2004. Caspases function in autophagic programmed cell death in *Drosophila*. *Development* **131**: 275–284.
- Matsuoka, S., Ballif, B.A., Smogorzewska, A., McDonald, E.R., Huroy, K.E., Luo, J., Bakalarski, C.E., Zhao, Z., Solimini, N., Lerenthal, Y., et al. 2007. ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. *Science* **316**: 1160–1166.
- McKinnon, P.J. 2004. ATM and ataxia telangiectasia. *EMBO Rep.* **5**: 772–776.
- Moon, N.-S., Frolov, M.V., Kwon, E.-J., Di Stefano, L., Dimova, D.K., Morris, E.J., Taylor-Harding, B., White, K., and Dyson, N.J. 2005. *Drosophila* E2F1 has context-specific pro- and antiapoptotic properties during development. *Dev. Cell* **9**: 463–475.
- Murnane, J.P. and Painter, R.B. 1982. Complementation of the defects in DNA synthesis in irradiated and unirradiated ataxia-telangiectasia cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **79**: 1960–1963.
- Neufeld, T.P., de la Cruz, A.F., Johnston, L.A., and Edgar, B.A. 1998. Coordination of growth and cell division in the *Drosophila* wing. *Cell* **93**: 1183–1193.
- Oikemus, S.R., McGinnis, N., Queiroz-Machado, J., Tukachinsky, H., Saeko, T., Sunkel, C.E., and Brodsky, M.H. 2004. *Drosophila atm.telomere* fusion is required for telomeric localization of HP1 and telomere position effect. *Genes & Dev.* **18**: 1850–1861.
- Pandey, R., Muller, A., Napoli, C.A., Selinger, D.A., Pikaard, C.S., Richards, E.J., Bender, J., Mount, D.W., and Jorgensen, R.A. 2002. Analysis of histone acetyltransferases and histone deacetylase families of *Arabidopsis thaliana* suggest functional diversification of chromatin modification among multicellular eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**: 5036–5055.
- Park, D.S., Farinelli, S.E., and Green, L.A. 1996. Inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases promote survival of post-mitotic neuronally differentiated PC12 cells and sympathetic neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**: 8161–8169.
- Park, Y., Ng, C., and Datta, S. 2003. Induction of String rescues the neuroblast proliferation defect in *trol* mutant animals. *Genesis* **36**: 187–195.
- Petersen, P., Chou, D.M., You, Z., Hunter, T., Walter, J.C., and Walter, G. 2006. Protein phosphatase 2A antagonizes ATM and ATR in a Cdk2 and Cdc7-independent DNA damage checkpoint. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**: 1997–2011.
- Pile, L.A. and Wassarman, D.A. 2000. Chromosomal localization links the SIN3-RPD3 complex to the regulation of chromatin condensation, histone acetylation and gene expression. *EMBO J.* **19**: 6131–6140.
- Rolig, R.L. and McKinnon, P.J. 2000. Linking DNA damage and neurodegeneration. *Trends Neurosci.* **23**: 417–424.
- Rubin, G.M. and Spradling, A.C. 1982. Genetic transformation of *Drosophila* with transposable element vectors. *Science* **218**: 348–353.
- Savitsky, K., Bar-Shira, A., Gilad, S., Rotman, G., Ziv, Y., Vana-gaite, L., Tagle, D.A., Smith, S., Uziel, T., Sfez, S., et al. 1995. A single ataxia telangiectasia gene with a product similar to PI-3 kinase. *Science* **268**: 1749–1753.
- Shi, Y., Venkataraman, S.L., Dodson, G.E., Mabb, A.M., Le-Blanc, S., and Tibbetts, R.S. 2004. Direct regulation of CREB transcriptional activity by ATM in response to genotoxic shock. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 5898–5903.
- Shiloh, Y. 2003. ATM and related protein kinases: Safeguarding genome integrity. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **3**: 155–168.
- Silva, E., Tiong, S., Pederson, M., Homola, E., Royou, A., Fasulo, B., Siriaco, G., and Campbell, S.D. 2004. ATM is required for telomere maintenance and chromosomal stability during *Drosophila* development. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1341–1347.
- Soller, M. and White, K. 2004. ELAV. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: R53. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.041.
- Song, Y.-H. 2005. *Drosophila melanogaster*: A model for the study of DNA damage checkpoint response. *Mol. Cells* **19**: 167–179.
- Song, Y.-H., Mirey, G., Betson, M., Haber, D.A., and Settleman, J. 2004. The *Drosophila* ATM ortholog, dATM, mediates the response to ionizing radiation and to spontaneous DNA damage during development. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1354–1359.
- Spring, K., Cross, S., Li, C., Watters, D., Ben-Senior, L., Waring, P., Ahangari, F., Lu, S., Chen, P., Misko, I., et al. 2001. Atm knock-in mice harbor an in-frame deletion corresponding to the human ATM 7636del9 common mutation exhibit a variant phenotype. *Cancer Res.* **61**: 4561–4568.
- Sun, Y., Jiang, X., Fernandes, N., and Price, B.D. 2005. A role for Tip60 histone acetyltransferase in the acetylation and activation of ATM. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**: 13182–13187.
- Sun, Y., Xu, Y., Roy, K., and Price, B.D. 2007. DNA damage induced acetylation of lysine 3016 of ATM activates ATM kinase activity. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**: 8502–8509.
- Tibbetts, R.S., Brumbaugh, K.M., Williams, J.M., Sarkaria, J.N., Cliby, W.A., Shieh, S.Y., Tava, Y., Prives, C., and Abraham, R.T. 1999. A role for ATR in the DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of p53. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 152–157.
- van den Bosch, M., Bree, R.T., and Lowndes, N.F. 2003. The MRN complex: Coordinating and mediating the response to broken chromosomes. *EMBO Rep.* **4**: 844–849.
- Vilenchik, M.M. and Knudson, A.G. 2003. Endogenous DNA double-strand breaks: Production, fidelity of repair, and induction of cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 12871–12876.
- Wang, L., Wang, R., and Herrup, K. 2007. E2F1 works as a cell cycle suppressor in mature neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **27**: 12555–12564.
- Watters, D.J. 2003. Oxidative stress in ataxia telangiectasia. *Redox Rep.* **8**: 23–29.
- Wei, Y., Mizzen, C.A., Cook, R.G., Gorovsky, M.A., and Allis, C.D. 1998. Phosphorylation of histone H3 at serine 10 is correlated with chromosome condensation during mitosis and meiosis in *Tetrahymena*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 7480–7484.
- White, K., Tahaoglu, E., and Steller, H. 1996. Cell killing by the *Drosophila* gene reaper. *Science* **271**: 805–807.
- Wolff, T. 2002. Histological techniques for the *Drosophila* eye. Part I: Larva and pupa. In *Drosophila protocols* (eds. W. Sullivan et al.), pp. 201–228. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Wolff, T. and Ready, D.F. 1993. Pattern formation in the *Drosophila* retina. In *The development of Drosophila melanogaster* (eds. M. Bate and A. Martinez Arias), pp. 1277–1326. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Xu, Y., Ashley, T., Brainerd, E.E., Bronson, R.T., Meyn, M.S., and Baltimore, D. 1996. Targeted destruction of ATM leads to growth retardation, chromosomal fragmentation during meiosis, immune defects, and thymic lymphoma. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 2411–2422.
- Yang, L. and Baker, N.E. 2006. Notch activity opposes Ras-induced differentiation during the Second Mitotic Wave

Rimkus et al.

- of the developing *Drosophila* eye. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **6**: 8. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-6-8.
- Yang, Y. and Herrup, K. 2005. Loss of neuronal cell cycle control in Ataxia-telangiectasia: A unified disease mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* **25**: 2522–2529.
- Yang, Y. and Herrup, K. 2007. Cell division in the CNS: Protective response or lethal event in post-mitotic neurons? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1772**: 457–466.
- Yao, K.M. and White, K. 1994. Neural specificity of *Elav* expression: Defining a *Drosophila* promoter for directing expression to the nervous system. *J. Neurochem.* **63**: 41–51.
- You, Z., Chahwan, C., Bailis, J., Hunter, T., and Russell, P. 2005. ATM activation and its recruitment to damaged DNA requires binding to the C-terminus of Nbs1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**: 5363–5374.
- Yuan, J., Lipinski, M., and Degtarev, A. 2003. Diversity in the mechanisms of neuronal cell death. *Neuron* **40**: 401–413.

Mutations in *String/CDC25* inhibit cell cycle re-entry and neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of Ataxia telangiectasia

Stacey A. Rimkus, Rebecca J. Katzenberger, Anthony T. Trinh, et al.

Genes Dev. 2008, **22**: originally published online April 11, 2008
Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1639608](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1639608)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2008/04/14/gad.1639608.DC1>

References

This article cites 99 articles, 43 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/9/1205.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).