A genome-scale analysis of the *cis*-regulatory circuitry underlying sonic hedgehog-mediated patterning of the mammalian limb

Steven A. Vokes,^{1,5} Hongkai Ji,^{2,3} Wing H. Wong,³ and Andrew P. McMahon^{1,4,6}

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA; ²Department of Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland 21205, USA; ³Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 94305, USA; ⁴Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signals via Gli transcription factors to direct digit number and identity in the vertebrate limb. We characterized the Gli-dependent *cis*-regulatory network through a combination of whole-genome chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-on-chip and transcriptional profiling of the developing mouse limb. These analyses identified ~5000 high-quality Gli3-binding sites, including all known Gli-dependent enhancers. Discrete binding regions exhibit a higher-order clustering, highlighting the complexity of *cis*-regulatory interactions. Further, Gli3 binds inertly to previously identified neural-specific Gli enhancers, demonstrating the accessibility of their *cis*-regulatory elements. Intersection of DNA binding data with gene expression profiles predicted 205 putative limb target genes. A subset of putative *cis*-regulatory regions were analyzed in transgenic embryos, establishing *Blimp1* as a direct Gli target and identifying Gli activator signaling in a direct, long-range regulation of the BMP antagonist *Gremlin*. In contrast, a long-range silencer cassette downstream from *Hand2* likely mediates Gli3 repression in the anterior limb. These studies provide the first comprehensive characterization of the transcriptional output of a Shh-patterning process in the mammalian embryo and a framework for elaborating regulatory networks in the developing limb.

[**Keywords:** Sonic hedgehog; limb; morphogen; gli; *cis*-regulatory network]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received May 8, 2008; revised version accepted August 5, 2008.

The vertebrate limb is one of the best studied models of how morphogen signaling elaborates a complex pattern (for review, see McGlinn and Tabin 2006). Shh secreted by a discrete posterior organizing center, the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA), is thought to act as a long-range, concentration-dependent signal that regulates both the number and identity of digits that arise from the distal mesenchyme of the developing limb bud. Both the concentration and time of Shh signaling are critical, and growth couples with morphogen activity to give the final digit pattern (Yang et al. 1997; Harfe et al. 2004; Towers et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2008). Shh actions are mediated through the Gli transcriptional effector family (Gli1-3). Of these, Gli3 appears to play a crucial role in regulating

digit number; loss of Gli3 repressor leads to polydactyly and suppresses the loss of digits (2–5) observed in *Shh* mutants (Litingtung et al. 2002; te Welscher et al. 2002b). Interactions between the limb mesenchyme and the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) are critical for digit development.

The Shh pathway is thought to maintain the limb outgrowth-promoting role of AER produced FGFs through the regulation of a BMP antagonist, *Gremlin* (Zuniga et al. 1999; Khokha et al. 2003). In turn, AER signaling is essential for maintaining *Shh* expression (Laufer et al. 1994; Niswander et al. 1994). Genetic analyses have suggested that Shh-mediated loss of Gli3 repressor activity underlies the *Shh* → *Gremlin* → AER circuit, but whether this is a direct action of Gli repressor has not been addressed (Litingtung et al. 2002; te Welscher et al. 2002b).

How digits are regulated at the transcriptional level is less clear. Genetic studies have indicated a close interaction between members of the 5'HoxD complex, which

⁵Present address: Section of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, 2500 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712, USA.

⁶Corresponding author.

E-MAIL mcmahon@mcmb.harvard.edu; FAX (617) 496-3763.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1693008>.

have been implicated in the regulation of digit identity and Shh. Initially, 5' HoxD activity is required for the onset of *Shh* expression (Tarchini et al. 2006). However, as the digit field emerges, *HoxD* members become targets of Shh regulation. How is not clear, but the identification of a global control region (GCR) outside of the *HoxD* complex suggests an interaction with this distal regulatory element. Shh also regulates expression of *Bmp2* within the distal, digit-forming limb mesenchyme, and experiments modulating BMP levels in the chick have suggested that *Bmp2* may act as a secondary relay to regulate digit identity (Dahn and Fallon 2000; Drossopoulou et al. 2000). However, genetic studies in the mouse have not supported this view (Bandyopadhyay et al. 2006); consequently, the precise roles of BMPs are contentious.

We attempted to understand the roles of Shh signaling by identifying the targets of Gli3 action in the developing mouse limb. These studies provide a framework for the primary regulatory networks downstream from Shh signaling and identify new links between Shh and other signaling pathways in driving limb outgrowth. In addition to identifying targets of Gli repression regulating critical outputs in the developmental program, our work demonstrates that the Gli activator forms play a critical role in the limb patterning circuitry.

Results

In a previous study, we developed an approach to conditionally produce a Flag-tagged form of a Gli activator and examined Hedgehog (Hh) action in patterning neural progenitors in vitro (Vokes et al. 2007). Here, we introduced a cDNA encoding a Flag-epitope-tagged Gli3 repressor (Yuen et al. 2006) into the ubiquitous Rosa26 promoter to enable Cre-dependent conditional expression in Hh target regions in vivo (see the Materials and Methods; Supplemental Fig. S1A–C). When RosaGli3T^{Flag} c/c mice were crossed to mice carrying the early limb mesenchyme-specific *Prrx1::Cre* transgene (Logan et al. 2002), they produce Gli3T^{Flag} at levels that are comparable with the endogenous protein (Supplemental Fig. S1C). Mice exhibited a variety of limb defects including a variable preaxial forelimb polydactyly, limb truncation, and reduced mineralization (Supplemental Fig. S1D). These phenotypes suggest that Gli3T^{Flag} is active and modifies both Shh action in initial limb patterning and later Indian hedgehog action in growth and differentiation of the endochondral skeleton.

To focus our analysis on the period of Shh-mediated regulation of distal digit organization, we optimized a chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) protocol to enable the direct identification of Gli3 targets in limb buds at embryonic day 11.5 (E11.5). A high level of enrichment of Gli target genes was obtained using $\sim 2.3 \times 10^6$ cells per ChIP. Three technical replicates comprising all limbs from a single litter (approximately nine embryos) provided sufficient sample for the interrogation of multiple tiling arrays required for a whole-genome hybrid-

ization. Data was processed using CisGenome software (<http://www.biostat.jhsph.edu/~hji/cisgenome>; H. Ji, H. Jiang, W. Ma, D.S. Johnson, R.M. Myers, and W.H. Wong, in prep.), identifying 20,587 potential Gli-binding regions (GBRs) with an estimated false discovery rate (FDR) of 9.8% (Supplemental Table S1). The GBRs were binned according to rank and the overall Gli enrichment level was obtained for each bin. Bins containing a Gli enrichment level of ≥ 2 were selected, reducing the list to the top 19,732 scoring regions (FDR < 5%) (Fig. 1A). A selected subset of the 19,732 regions were validated by qPCR. Within the top ranked 5274 GBRs qPCR validated Gli3-dependent enrichment was confirmed in >50%; 11 of 17 shared an enrichment >4.39-fold (>three standard deviations from controls) (Supplemental Fig. S2A). This group of 5274 regions displayed a mean ChIP-binding region of 854 base pairs (bp) (Supplemental Fig. S2B) and a low FDR (FDR < 0.1%, SD ≥ 6). All subsequent computational and experimental analyses focused on this highly biologically significant data set of GBRs with high levels of enrichment (Supplemental Data Set 1) although regions identified in the remaining data set are nonetheless statistically significant (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S1).

Genomic topography and binding site clustering

Analysis of ChIP products discovered a substantial enrichment in Gli motif sites within GBRs consistent with our expectations (Vokes et al. 2007). In all, 55% of these regions contain a high-quality Gli motif. Those GBRs exhibiting the highest levels of fold enrichment also contain the highest percentage of Gli motif enrichment (Fig. 1B). When compared with matched control genomic regions, we observed a significant enrichment of GBRs within ± 2 kb of the transcriptional start site (TSS) (Fig. 1C). However, relatively few (594; 11%) of the GBRs were present within this proximal regulatory region, suggesting long-range interactions between promoter and distal regulatory sites (see later for functional evidence). GBRs were not significantly enriched within any other genomic feature (e.g., intergenic regions, intragenic regions, exons, introns, or untranslated regions) (Supplemental Table S2).

The high percentage of GBRs (45%) that did not contain a Gli-binding motif may reflect an indirect mechanism (e.g., Gli3-dependent protein–protein interactions with another DNA-binding protein or a nonphysiological DNA association). When the overall rate of sequence conservation was compared, GBRs without Gli motifs were, in fact, somewhat more conserved (Fig. 1D). Thus, as a population, these are unlikely to represent spurious binding sites. When compared with the entire data set, ChIP products containing Gli motifs were more significantly enriched around a TSS (16.3% of all binding regions) than those without Gli motifs (5.1% vs. 3.9% for controls) (Supplemental Table S2). A very small number of the binding regions without mapped Gli motifs do, in fact, contain a limb-specific variant of the Gli motif (Table 1), and a further subset of these binding sites

Figure 1. Gli3-binding region summary statistics. (A) Gli motif enrichment versus matched genomic controls indicates that all Gli3-binding sites are enriched for Gli motifs throughout all ranked regions. Note highest enrichment in the top 5000 regions, which are used for all subsequent analysis. (B) The frequency of Gli motif sites in the 5274 binding regions is highest in the top ranking binding regions. (C) These regions are locally enriched around the TSS. (D) Binding regions that do not contain Gli motifs (blue) are significantly more conserved than binding regions that contain Gli motifs (red). Conservation is measured by percentage of base pairs that have a phastCons score no less than the top 10% of the genome-wide scores. (E) Gli-containing binding regions are located significantly closer to each other than a random distribution; this peak–peak clustering occurs at the level of 100 kb. (F,G) The total number of binding regions (with and without Gli motifs) also exhibit higher-order clustering at 100 kb.

could contain low-quality Gli motifs that were below the likelihood ratio cutoff of 500.

To determine whether GBRs containing Gli motifs cluster, we computed the pairwise distance between directly neighboring binding regions and compared this with randomly chosen Gli motifs from the genome (Ji et al. 2006). This analysis demonstrates that GBRs are not randomly distributed but cluster at the 100-kb scale (Fig. 1E). We next examined the clustering tendency of all ChIP-binding regions irrespective of a Gli motif and also detected a significant clustering of all peaks at the level of 100 kb (Fig. 1F,G). Clustering was even evident in 1–2-Mb windows (Supplemental Fig. S3); within a 1-Mb window, we observe significant clusters of seven or more binding regions accounting for 1917 Gli-binding sites (Supplemental Fig. S3C,D) that represent 36.35% of all Gli sites. Thus, long-range clustering of Gli3-binding sites is a significant overall property. However, we did not observe any correlation in the clustering between Gli

motif-positive and -negative GBRs (see the Supplemental Material).

Gli3 recognizes homologous and heterologous enhancers

A previous analysis of Shh action on neural progenitors identified 25 biologically significant Gli1-binding sites (Vokes et al. 2007). Among these are neural specific Shh target genes (*FoxA2*, *Nkx2-2*, *Nkx2-9*, and *Titf1/Nkx2.1*) and more general targets of the pathway (*Ptch1*, *Ptch2*, *Hhip*, *Rab34*, and *Gli1*) that are also expressed in the limb (with multiple inputs confirmed for *Ptch1*) to similar regions observed by Gli1 in neural progenitors (Table 2). In all, the set of 5274 GBRs included 16/25 neural Gli1-binding regions (four more were in the 19,732 GBR data set) with the surprising inclusion of neural-specific Gli1-binding regions. Thus, *cis*-binding regions mediat-

Table 1. *De novo motif discovery in Gli target genes*

Motif discovered from	Motif logo	Limb target Gli ⁺ GBRs; Gli ⁺ GBRs only (396)	Limb target Gli ⁺ GBRs; Gli ⁻ GBRs only (186)	Limb target Gli ⁻ GBRs; Gli ⁻ GBRs (74)	Nonlimb target all GBRs	Nonlimb targets Gli ⁺ GBRs only (2511)	Nonlimb target Gli ⁻ GBRs only (2107)
Limb target with Gli motif		<u>4.95 (471)</u>	1.04 (35)	1.06 (13)	<u>3.68 (3129)</u>	<u>5.51 (2844)</u>	0.85 (285)
Limb target with Gli motif		<u>2.13 (3131)</u>	0.64 (331)	0.87 (165)	1.21 (15807)	1.64 (13101)	0.53 (2706)
Limb target with Gli motif		<u>2.02 (1062)</u>	0.69 (127)	1.06 (72)	1.27 (5978)	1.67 (4764)	0.66 (1214)
Limb target no Gli motif		1.30 (175)	<u>2.56 (121)</u>	<u>2.07 (36)</u>	1.80 (2158)	1.55 (1130)	<u>2.18 (1028)</u>

We identified 205 Gli target genes in the limb that contained at least one Gli motif-containing binding region and performed de novo motif discovery using a GMS on all binding regions associated with these genes, dividing these into binding regions with a Gli motif and binding regions with no Gli motif. The table reports motifs with an enrichment value of ≥ 2 relative to matched genomic controls and a log ratio ≥ 500 (underlined). The table also indicates the relative enrichment in the other populations as well as in all GBRs that are not associated with limb genes. The numbers in parentheses indicate the total number of motifs discovered. Note that a few (35) Gli motifs are discovered in the limb targets with no Gli motif. This reflects differences in the Gli motif used for mapping, which we had discovered previously (Vokes et al. 2007) and the motif discovered in the limb. Gli⁺ GBRs refers to those containing Gli motifs, while Gli⁻ GBRs do not contain a Gli motif.

ing neural-specific regulation of a Gli program are accessible to Gli factors in the limb. However, the binding of Gli3 to the above characterized neural-specific Gli enhancers appears to be nonfunctional (Table 2; Fig. 2A,E; Supplemental Fig. S2A). *FoxA2*, *Nkx2.1*, and *Nkx2.2* are not expressed in limbs of wild-type or Gli3 mutant embryos by in situ hybridization or transcriptional profiling analysis, arguing against a requirement for a direct Gli3-bound transcriptional silencing (Fig. 2B–D,F–H; data not shown). The analysis of proximal regulatory regions of these genes indicated high levels of histone H3 trimethylation (H3K27me3), indicative of global silencing (Fig. 2A,E; Lee et al. 2006). Thus, Gli3 is able to recognize and bind enhancer elements previously silenced by other mechanisms.

Identification of a core set of Shh-responsive limb gli target genes

In order to define a core set of Shh-responsive direct target genes in the limb, we associated Gli3-binding sites with Shh-dependent transcriptional responses. Using exon arrays, we compared wild-type E11.5 forelimbs with forelimbs from Shh-null (constitutive Gli repressor), *MhoxCre;R26SmoM2* (maximal Gli activation) (Jeong et al. 2004), Gli3-null (loss of major repressor), and *Smo;Gli3* double mutant (loss of Gli activator and Gli repressor) backgrounds. In addition, we profiled E11.5 forelimbs microdissected into an anterior 1/3 compartment (Ant) or posterior 2/3-d compartment (Post);

Gli1 expression in the posterior sample defines the active Shh signaling domain (Fig. 3A; Lewis et al. 2001). These lists were used to identify 753 genes whose expression profiles resembled known Shh-responsive genes and genes exhibiting pairwise changes in mutant scenarios or in Ant/Post fractions (Fig. 3B; Supplemental Data Set 2).

To determine if a meaningful association would be made between GBRs and differentially expressed genes, we counted the number of GBRs associated with Shh-responsive genes and compared it with binding sites associated with randomly selected genes to define an FDR. Significantly more binding regions were associated with Shh-responsive genes (Supplemental Fig. S4A). Those GBRs located within a region 10 kb upstream of and 25 kb downstream from the TSS of differentially regulated genes showed a FDR of 50%. As an independent measure of the significance of binding regions associated with differentially expressed genes, we examined their conservation levels. GBRs associated with differentially expressed genes are significantly more conserved out to a distance of >1 Mb, albeit with a very high FDR (Supplemental Fig. S4B). Thus, our initial strategy likely underestimates the total number of associated GBRs. To further optimize this association, we noted that genes associated with development and transcription are enriched within gene deserts that are devoid of coding regions (Ovcharenko et al. 2005). Consistent with this, Gli3 peaks associated with differentially expressed genes have fewer intervening TSSs than randomly chosen

Table 2. Comparison of GBRs with neural *Gli1*-binding regions

Gene	Chr.	Start	End	Limb rank	Neural rank
<u>Ptch1</u>	13	63575428	63576802	231	1
<u>Nkx2-2</u>	2	146878930	146880274	600	2
Ptch1	13	63577408	63579384	639	3
Nkx2-9	12	57538763	57540207	13650*	4
Ptch1	13	63582452	63583345	846	5
<u>Nkx2-9</u>	12	57532279	57532775	1965	6
<u>Rab34</u>	11	78005555	78006295	273	7
Ptch2	4	116596146	116597172	1785	8
Ptch1	13	63571609	63572403	514	9
<u>Titf1</u>	12	57437994	57438997	2479	10
Gli1	10	126742682	126745015	152	11
Hhip	8	82951843	82952643	1713	12
<u>FoxA2</u>	2	147728005	147728949	12443*	13
Ptch2	4	116593211	116594180	43	14
Cart1	10	102441515	102442143	—	15
Ptch1	13	63579981	63581640	846	16
Prdx2	8	87837103	87837844	1803	17
Cart1	10	102453670	102454070	—	18
Ptch1	13	63636236	63637109	16130*	19
Hhip	8	82950172	82951020	—	20
Flrt3	2	140368851	140369475	—	21
Pax9	12	57634104	57634760	14011*	22
Ncor2	5	125468224	125468890	1183	23
Zic3	20	54381562	54382236	—	24
Hand2	8	60226045	60226746	296	25

Comparison of limb GBRs and neural GBRs. The top 25 *Gli1*-binding sites in neural EBs compared with *Gli3*-binding sites in limb buds. Coordinates indicate GBRs. The rank refers to the relative position of the peak sorted by the maximum TileMap MA statistic associated with each peak; the asterisk indicates that the rank is out of the top 5274 binding regions reported as biologically significant in our analysis. Underlined genes refer to binding regions that have been validated in transgenic embryos.

genes (Supplemental Fig. S4C). We therefore incorporated information about the gene density into the final FDR determination (Supplemental Fig. S4D). We supplemented this list by searching for clustered binding regions and intersected these with differentially expressed genes (see the Materials and Methods).

The pooled data identified 656 GBR–gene pairs, involving 656 GBRs and 261 genes. We further restricted our criteria for direct target genes to those associated with the binding regions containing *Gli* motifs (Fig. 3B). These 396 binding regions correspond to 205 unique genes (Supplemental Data Set 3), representing the core set of candidates under direct *Gli* transcriptional control in the developing limb. The *Gli* target genes include known Hh pathway components such as *Ptch1*, *Ptch2*, *Hhip*, and *Gli1*, several of which are known to undergo Shh-dependent transcriptional feedback regulation. A surprising number of putative targets are themselves transcription factors; 48 of the 205 unique genes are associated with DNA-dependent regulation of transcription. These include multiple members of the *HoxD* family, and cofactors such as *Pbx*, *Meis1*, and *Meis2* sugges-

tive of extensive regulation of Hox transcriptional complexes. Several interactions are observed with Tbx transcription factors (*Tbx2*, *Tbx3*, and *Tbx4*). Interestingly, *Tbx2* and *Tbx3* are thought to play upstream roles in the activation of Shh (Nissim et al. 2007). The data suggest a reciprocal regulatory mechanism. Putative targets are highly enriched for GO categories involved in development and morphogenesis (Supplemental Fig. S5). Many of these genes, including *Gremlin* and *Hand2* (Fig. 4C,J) and other predicted targets, exhibit limb expression patterns that are broader or only partially overlapping with the Shh-responsive region determined by *Ptch1* and *Gli1* expression. Thus, the observed gene expression patterns may reflect the integration of multiple regulatory inputs. That cross-regulatory interactions may engage other signaling pathways is evident in the significant enrichment for genes associated with the TGF- β family (*BMPs*), and Wnt pathway activity in addition to the Shh pathway components (Supplemental Table S5).

Discovery of DNA motifs enriched in GBRs

To determine whether any additional transcription factor-binding sites were enriched in *Gli*-containing binding regions and to identify sites enriched in non-*Gli*-containing regions, we performed de novo motif analysis using a Gibbs motif sampler (GMS) as described previously (Ji et al. 2006; Vokes et al. 2007). In addition to a *Gli* motif, we recovered two G/C-rich motifs (Table 1); a similar sequence has been observed in other large-scale ChIP analyses (Ji et al. 2006). We also identified a composite motif specifically enriched in *Gli*-binding sites that do not contain *Gli* motifs. This motif contained an E-box indicative of basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) homeodomain transcription factor binding fused to an ATTA motif, the core binding site for homeodomain transcription factors (FDR = 39%). However, as this motif was enriched within the larger subset of GBRs not associated with Shh modulated gene expression (Table 1), the significance to *Gli3* regulation in the limbs is not clear. We also mapped all TRANSFAC human and mouse motifs to GBRs relative to matched genomic controls. Using this criteria, we found variants of an E-box enriched in limb GBRs with or without *Gli* motifs. Further, *Mef2* and *Chx10* motifs were specifically enriched in limb peaks devoid of *Gli* motifs (Supplemental Table S3). The core TAAT sequences in the *Chx10* homeodomain factor is consistent with the composite E-box + Homeobox motif discussed above.

Functional characterization of *Gli* cis-regulatory elements

In order to explore the contributions of GBRs, we selected those associated with a number of genes that display distinct expression within the limb for functional transgenic analysis. *Gli1* is a transcriptional activator and a global target of *Gli* activator response in all known Hh target fields (Bai et al. 2004). An unusually broad, bimodal GBR (3.7 kb) is observed in *Gli1* intronic

Figure 2. Gli3 binds to heterologous neural Gli enhancers associated with silenced genes. (A) CisGenome visualization of neural Gli1 enrichment for *Nkx2-2* using Agilent arrays reported in Vokes et al. (2007) shows that the binding region overlaps with that for Gli3 binding in the limb (red arrows). The transcript is enriched for Histone H3K27me3. (B,C) *Nkx2.2* transcripts are not detectable by in situ hybridization in either wild-type or Gli3 mutant limb buds at E11.5. (D) Embryos processed in parallel show appropriate neural expression at E10.5. (E) Similar results are seen for *Nkx2.1* ChIPs and these transcripts are also not detectable by in situ hybridization in wild-type or Gli3 mutant limb buds (shown in F,G). (H) Embryos processed in parallel show appropriate neural expression at E10.5. An artifact of the transmitted light generates a shadow in D and H. No signal is observed in dissected limb samples.

sequences that span the first noncoding exon (Fig. 3C). Six Gli motifs are identified within this domain; multiple sites have been noted in previous gel shift assays (Dai et al. 1999). A 3.4-kb fragment encompassing this region-directed β -galactosidase activity to the ventral CNS, consistent with our earlier report of Gli1 binding to this region in neural progenitors (Table 2) and a subregion of the *Gli1* expression domain in the posterior limb (seven of eight embryos) (Fig. 4A,A'). The GBR contained two E-box motifs; when these were mutated, a lower percentage of transgenics exhibited posterior limb expression (one of four embryos). However, the expression domain was identical to the unmodified version (data not shown). Thus, these motifs are not essential for directing *Gli1* expression to its normal limb domains.

Blimp1 (*Prdm1*) encodes a DNA-binding protein that recruits chromatin modifiers and plays a central role in the regulation of several stem/progenitor cell populations (Ohinata et al. 2005; Horsley et al. 2006). *Blimp1* is expressed in the ZPA where its activity is required to maintain the ZPA and, consequently, normal Shh production (Robertson et al. 2007). A single GBR containing two Gli motifs was identified ~27 kb downstream from the *Blimp1* transcriptional unit (Fig. 3G). A 996-bp region spanning the binding region directed *LacZ* expression to the posterior limb in transgenic embryos, overlapping the normal *Blimp1* domain (eight of 17 transgenics) (Fig. 4B,B'). Interestingly, the transgenic expression domain extends anterior to the domain of endogenous *Blimp1* expression, mirroring the demonstrated anterior movement of ZPA cells (Harfe et al. 2004). Thus, the enhancer may lack sequences that normally repress *Blimp1* outside of the ZPA. Alternatively, the perdurance of β -galactosidase activity may continue to label cells moving from the ZPA.

Gremlin encodes a BMP antagonist that is postulated to act downstream from Shh to maintain the AER. Consequently, *Gremlin* mutants lose Shh expression as the AER to ZPA feedback loop is broken and fail to develop distal limb structures (Zuniga et al. 1999; Khokha et al. 2003). In contrast to *Blimp1*, *Gremlin* expression is excluded from the ZPA but extends throughout most of the distal limb mesenchyme (Fig. 4C) (Zuniga et al. 1999; Scherz et al. 2004). We identified four GBRs (Fig. 3D) in a ~70-kb region ~40–110 kb downstream from *Gremlin* that was previously shown to drive reporter gene expression to the *Gremlin* limb domain (Zuniga et al. 2004). A 438-bp fragment representing the major binding site replicated the posterior *Gremlin* domain observed with a 70-kb fragment in the earlier study (eight of 12 transgenic embryos) (Figs. 4C,C'). As with the 70-kb element, expression is excluded from the anterior limb mesenchyme where *Gremlin* is normally present. Thus, distinct modes of regulation appear to govern *Gremlin* expression in different regions of the limb mesenchyme (see the Discussion).

Comparison of *Shh*^{-/-} and *Shh*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} compound mutants has suggested that Shh regulates *Gremlin* through the loss of Gli3 repressor rather than by a Gli activator function (Fig. 4D–F; Litingtung et al. 2002; te Welscher et al. 2002b). To determine if transgenic expression was Gli-dependent, we generated two independent mutations in this site (*Grem*^{M1} and *Grem*^{M2}) and in one of these (*Grem*^{M1}) also nine additional mutations altering the sequence of even low-probability Gli sites (*Grem*^{M3}). Surprisingly, no appropriate reporter expression was observed in any of these regions (total of zero of 36 transgenics; Fig. 4G; data not shown). This data suggests that a direct Gli activator input governs *Gremlin*'s Shh-dependent limb expression and that the restoration of *Gremlin* expression in *Shh*^{-/-}; *Gli3*^{-/-} mutants reflects

Shh cis-regulatory limb circuitry

Figure 3. (A) A range of Shh pathway genotypes were assayed for gene expression at E11.5, as well as limb buds dissected into anterior (Ant) and posterior (Post) compartments to define Hh-nonresponsive and Hh-responsive compartments, respectively. Gene expression indices derived from exon arrays were used in several pairwise combinations as well as multivariable combinations. The criteria shown in the heat map are Ant < Post and Shh < wild type < SmoM2 and Gli3 > SmoGli3 with an FDR < 10% and posterior probability cutoff of <25%. (B) By associating 753 genes differentially expressed in these conditions with GBRs (FDR < 50%), we are able to assign binding regions putative target genes. We obtain 656 binding regions, including 396 that contain Gli motifs; these are associated with 205 genes. (C–J) Examples of binding regions (~500 kb) and the associated differentially expressed genes. The coordinates (mm8) are shown above each graph, while RefSeq transcripts are shown below. Genes and transcripts in red indicate differentially expressed genes.

an indirect role of the removal of Gli3 repressor activity (see the Discussion).

Hand2 encodes a transcriptional regulator that acts

genetically upstream of *Shh* to positively regulate *Shh* expression. In turn, *Hand2* is restricted posteriorly by Gli3-mediated repression (Fig. 4J,K; Charité et al. 2000;

Figure 4. (A–C) In situ hybridization of E11.5 limb buds indicating gene expression patterns for *Gli1*, *Blimp1*, and *Gremlin*. (A'–C') G0 transgenic analysis of putative Gli enhancer domains predicted on the basis of gene expression and binding, and stained for β -galactosidase activity. (D–F) In situ hybridization of *Gremlin* in *Gli3* mutants, *Smo*;*Gli3* double mutants and *Shh* mutants, respectively (cf. wild-type expression in C). (G) Mutation of the single Gli site in the construct in C' results in a loss of all β -galactosidase activity. (H,I) The requirement for Gli-activity in enhancer expression contrasts with the persistence of *Gremlin* gene activity in *Smo*^{−/−};*Gli3*^{−/−} mutants in E. This suggests that wild-type *Gremlin* gene expression is a composite of a Gli activator-responsive enhancer (red) and a second enhancer (blue) that does not require Gli activation but is nonetheless repressed by Gli3. (J,K) *Hand2* expression is expanded anteriorly in *Gli3* mutants (cf. J and K), but *Gli3*-binding sites in *Hand2* do not exhibit enhancer activity (O). (M–O) To test these elements for silencing activity, we generated a control transgenic driving LacZ expression equally in the anterior and posterior domains (P) and compared this expression with transgenics in which both GBRs were placed upstream. Embryos containing *Hand2* GBRs exhibit a loss of reporter activity in the anterior limb (cf. N and O).

te Welscher et al. 2002a). Thus, Shh-mediated derepression of Gli3 activity appears to govern the limb mesenchyme domain of *Hand2*. Two major GBRs were identified in phylogenetically conserved regions ~10 kb and ~85 kb downstream from the *Hand2* transcript unit (Hand2-10K and Hand2-85K, respectively; Figure 3E). Neither a 433-bp region encompassing Hand2-10K or a composite of the 10K (433 bp) and 85K (850 bp) GBRs (Hand2-10K + 85K) displayed limb expression (zero of five embryos [data not shown] and zero of four embryos [Fig. 4L], respectively). The assayed regions therefore lack enhancer activity and either act as silencers or have no discernible function.

To address the former possibility, the 10K + 85K binding regions were attached to a *Prrx1* regulatory cassette; this contains a strong limb enhancer that drives broad mesenchymal expression of transgenes in the limb (see schematic Fig. 4M; Martin and Olson 2000). While *Prrx1*::lacZ expression is somewhat variable (nine of 14 transgenics exhibit limb expression), all embryos with limb expression showed approximately similar levels of β -galactosidase activity in both the anterior and posterior limb mesenchyme (Fig. 4N). In contrast, when the 10K + 85K binding regions were placed upstream of the *Prrx1* LacZ cassette, transgene activity was markedly reduced specifically in the anterior mesenchyme of the limb (six of nine transgenics with limb expression) (Fig. 4O). This suggests that these GBRs may act as silencer elements in Gli3-mediated repression of *Hand2* that result in appropriate restriction to the posterior half of the limb.

cis-regulatory mechanisms of Shh-dependent AP patterning in the limb bud

The 656 GBRs associated with 205 genes showing Shh/Gli-regulated expression changes provide a foundation for dissecting the Gli *cis*-regulatory circuitry in limb development. The *Gli1*, *Blimp1*, *Gremlin*, and *Hand2* analyses functionally validate the data set. However, a full validation through transgenic experiments is not feasible. To shed further light on novel regulatory interactions, we examined a subset of genes that exhibit the most pronounced asymmetry in their anterior versus posterior expression through computational analysis of the gene expression data (see Supplemental Table S6). Whereas only 14% of randomly chosen genes are associated with GBRs, the posterior gene set contains 35 genes, 23 of which are closely associated with GBRs (Supplemental Table S6). The core Shh pathway components *Gli1*, *Ptch1* and *Ptch2* are all present. As these require Gli activator input, these data likely represent Gli activator targets where Shh signaling levels are highest and provide further evidence that Gli repressor and activator forms recognize common targets. In addition to *Hand2* discussed above, the posterior set includes a large number of transcription factors with known roles in limb development: *Hand2* (discussed above), *Tbx2* (early role in initiating *Shh* expression) (Nissim et al. 2007), *Hoxd13* (skeletal patterning) (Zakany and Duboule

1996), *Sal11* (limb abnormality mirroring that seen in Townes-Brocks syndrome (Kiefer et al. 2003)), and *Tbx4* (hindlimb outgrowth (Naiche and Papaioannou 2007)). Further, the identification of BMP (*Bmp2*) and Notch (*Dlk1*) pathway components may point to cross-regulatory interactions in signaling pathways.

In contrast, only eight of the anterior gene set (31 genes) are associated with GBRs (Supplemental Table S6). The lower number is consistent with a largely repressive role of Gli3 in the anterior limb bud. Remarkably, of the 11 transcription factors in this set, six of them associated with GBRs: *Pax1*, *Alx4*, *Pax9*, *Zic3*, *Irx3*, and *Dlx5*. *Alx4* mutants exhibit polydactyly (Qu et al. 1997), and *Dlx5* is a candidate gene for split-hand/split-foot malformation (Robledo et al. 2002). Further, *Alx4* and *Pax9* expression is Gli3-dependent—both are down-regulated on loss of Gli3 activity (te Welscher et al. 2002a; McGlinn et al. 2005). This suggests that in certain cellular contexts, Gli3 binding to a regulatory region may indirectly promote anterior expression, perhaps by blocking the action of some other repressive function. However, analysis of one of these genes, *Alx4*, has identified a regulatory region that recapitulate Gli3-dependent expression and this region shows no GBRs in our data set (Kuijper et al. 2005).

Discussion

In this study we attempted to define the complete set of *in vivo* binding sites for a mammalian transcription factor in the context of a specific developmental process, Shh-mediated patterning of the limb. The methodology is sensitive requiring relatively low numbers of cells ($\sim 2.3 \times 10^6$ cells per CHIP) and the general strategy of a genetically inducible epitope-tagged transcription factor is broadly applicable to other Hh-mediated regulatory events and other transcriptional networks. We detect $\sim 20,000$ GBRs, focusing our analysis on a subset of ~ 5000 GBRs that are greater than six standard deviations from the mean. The substantial enrichment of Gli motifs within this population gives credence to the view that these are most likely to include biologically significant regulatory regions. While it is likely that some sites are missed, for example, where Gli3 binding occurs within a subpopulation of limb mesenchyme below the threshold of detection, the numbers of binding sites are consistent with genome scale studies in mammalian cell culture (Carroll et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2006; Johnson et al. 2007).

Currently this represents the only large-scale data set for GBRs. The data incorporate a more limited set of 25 Gli1-binding regions identified in Shh patterning of neural tissue (Table 2), indicating that Gli activator and repressor forms have similar binding specificities *in vivo* as they exhibit *in vitro* (Kinzler and Vogelstein 1990; Hallikas et al. 2006; Vokes et al. 2007). These include neural specific target genes that are transcriptionally silent in the limb. Thus, their GBRs are accessible to Gli factors but Gli3 activity is not required for tissue-specific silencing. In light of this result, it is likely that a significant fraction of the GBRs may reflect genes regu-

lated by Hh signals in other tissue contexts. Indeed, only 696 of the 5274 GBRs (12.4%) associate with Shh pathway-regulated genes in the limb. Whereas some of these may reflect genes regulated at earlier or later stages of limb development, a large number are probably “inert” binding sites as observed in whole-genome studies in *Drosophila* (Li et al. 2008).

Transgenic analyses of regulatory networks within mammalian systems have demonstrated that considerable distances often separate *cis*-regulatory regions from the TSSs they modulate and regulatory regions may lie 5' or 3' of a gene's promoter. Existing promoter arrays contain *cis*-regulatory sequences that are relative close to the TSS (e.g., -7.5 kb upstream to $+2.5$ kb from the TSS). Because of this proximity, most studies have assumed that binding of a regulatory factor within this proximal domain associates with the regulation of that gene; they do not attempt to measure an explicit FDR. In whole-genome studies such as ours, however, the indeterminate distance between genes and their cognate regulatory regions makes assigning long-range binding sites a non-trivial problem. We estimated the FDR associated with varying distances and find that by including information about both the gene density of the region and clustering tendencies of binding regions, we are able to make and then validate predictions for direct Gli input into long-range *cis*-elements that are likely to regulate *Gremlin* (>100 kb from the 3' end of the transcriptional unit), *Blimp1* (>25 kb from the 3' end of the transcriptional unit) and *Hand2* (10 kb and 85 kb downstream from the transcriptional unit). Of the 656 GBRs associated with differentially expressed limb genes, 252 are ≥ 20 kb from a TSS with an FDR $<50\%$ (Supplemental Data Set 3). Thus, longer-range *cis*-regulatory interactions are relatively common in this Gli3 regulatory network and likely more generally in mammalian systems.

Gli regulatory circuitry and modes of Gli action

Our analysis of several Gli3-binding regions in mediating *cis*-regulatory control of endogenous target genes validate the approach and data set, providing interesting new insights into cross-regulatory mechanisms that contribute to limb patterning. In the mouse, *Blimp1* expression precedes *Shh* (Vincent et al. 2005) and analysis of *Blimp1* mutants indicates that *Blimp1* regulates *Shh* levels (Robertson et al. 2007). Our results suggest that a Shh–Gli regulatory input plays a reciprocal role in maintaining *Blimp1* expression, a feedback mechanism essential for forming the most posterior digits (Robertson et al. 2007). In the pectoral fin of the zebrafish, Shh is also required for maintenance, but not induction, of *Blimp1*. Further, Shh lies genetically upstream of *Blimp1*-mediated regulation of slow twitch muscle fibers (Baxendale et al. 2004; Lee and Roy 2006). Given the critical role of *Blimp1* in several stem/progenitor cell compartments and Shh's roles in maintenance of stem/progenitor cells, we speculate that this regulatory module may play a broader role in other tissues.

Two major signaling pathways are specifically en-

riched among target genes of Gli regulation; as expected, the Hh pathway itself where feedback systems play an important role in modulating activity and output, and the BMP pathway (Supplemental Table S5). BMP signaling plays a crucial role in regulating limb outgrowth through the AER (see above) and is postulated to play a role in patterning digit identity when signaling is modulated in the chick limb (Dahn and Fallon 2000; Drosopoulos et al. 2000). However, removal of BMP activity in the mouse mesenchyme gives a normal range of digits but supernumerary preaxial and post-axial digits (Selever et al. 2004; Bandyopadhyay et al. 2006). We identify both *Bmp2* and *Gremlin* as direct targets and provide functional evidence for the Shh–Gremlin interaction. A GBR >100 kb downstream from the gene encoding this critical BMP antagonist mediates a direct Gli activator activity in the posterior half of the normal *Gremlin* expression domain. Interestingly, although our data clearly demonstrate a Gli activator role, derepression of Gli3 in *Shh*^{−/−}; *Gli3*^{−/−} (Litington et al. 2002; te Welscher et al. 2002b) or *Smo*^{−/−}; *Gli3*^{−/−} (Fig. 4E) compound mutants appears to be sufficient for distal expression of Gremlin in both anterior and posterior domains. *Bmp2* is expressed in these mutants and *Bmp2* can activate *Gremlin* in a *Shh*-independent fashion (Capdevila et al. 1999; Litington et al. 2002; Nissim et al. 2006). Moreover, BMP signaling is essential for all Gremlin expression (Capdevila et al. 1999). Together these data suggest a model where BMP-input, through a regulatory module that remains to be determined, provides a tonic level of *Gremlin* activity throughout the distal limb mesenchyme. In the posterior compartment of the limb, close to the Shh source, a dis-

tinct Gli activator-dependent enhancer independently regulates Gremlin. This accounts for the higher level of Gremlin expression evident in both the chick and mouse on this posterior limb domain and its persistence in *Smo*^{−/−}; *Gli3*^{−/−} mutants (Figs. 4H,I,5).

Our analysis suggests a model where Gli3 acts through a *cis*-acting silencer region to directly repress *Hand2*; Shh signaling attenuates Gli3 repressor production (Wang et al. 2000) enabling the maintenance of *Hand2* expression in the posterior limb mesenchyme. The predicted silencer likely interacts with positive acting elements located outside of the GBR assayed. Chromosome capture conformation studies will likely shed important light on this and many other long-range interactions mediating Gli regulatory function. Further, new methodologies will likely improve silencer analysis, almost certainly an artificially underestimated component of regulatory networks.

Future studies

The present analysis provides a regulatory scaffold for the construction of Gli-dependent subcircuits in limb development (Fig. 5). In addition to an ongoing analysis of predicted targets and their roles in the Shh-dependent limb patterning process, a number of questions raised herein warrant further study. First, many putative Shh target genes have expression patterns that reflect multiple regulatory inputs. We know that at some regulatory level, the transcriptional processes must integrate additional signaling pathways including Wnt, FGF, BMP, and Notch. By analogy with other model systems, integra-

Figure 5. Model depicting the *cis*-regulatory network underlying Gli-mediated limb patterning. Links with orange diamonds below signify regions tested in for expression in transgenic mice. Interactions between Gli3 and several anteriorly localized genes are depicted at the top of the diagram, with dashed lines and blue diamonds to indicate their speculative nature. Gremlin gene expression is regulated by both anterior and posterior inputs; this continuum of gene expression is represented as discrete enhancer circuits in the anterior and posterior compartments.

tion of these inputs at the level of *cis*-regulatory modules is an attractive proposition. However, we do not detect an enrichment for Smad (BMP pathway) or Lef/Tcf (Wing pathway)-binding motifs in GBRs. Second, nearly half of the binding regions do not contain a predicted Gli motif even though this subset is more conserved at the phylogenetic level than those containing direct Gli-binding sites. This may indicate Gli3 association without direct DNA binding. Gli proteins have been shown to associate with other transcriptional regulators such as Smads, β -catenin, and 5'HoxD proteins in various contexts (Liu et al. 1998; Chen et al. 2004; Ulloa et al. 2007). The enrichment of a composite bHLH/homeodomain bipartite motif may provide a clue to these interactions. Additional DNA/chromatin interaction and *in vivo* expression studies will be required to understand this interesting population of Gli targets.

Materials and methods

Generation of mouse strains and ChIP-on-chip

We generated a cDNA encoding a truncated form of mouse Gli3 containing the first 740 amino acids of mouse Gli3 (a gift from Dr. Ulrich Rüther) to make a Gli3 repressor (Gli3T) with a C-terminal 3XFlag epitope (Sigma). Gli3T was targeted to the *Rosa26* locus (Supplemental Fig. S1A; Soriano 1999) in YFP3.1 embryonic stem (ES) cells (Supplemental Fig. S1B–C) (Mao et al. 2005) to generate a Cre-inducible Gli3 repressor line. *Rosa* Gli3T^{Flag/c} females mice were crossed with *Prrx1*Cre homozygous males and litters were assayed at E11.5. ChIP and LMPCR was performed as described previously (Vokes et al. 2007) with minor modifications (see the Supplemental Material) and DNA products were hybridized to the Mouse Tiling 2.0R 7 array set or Mouse Promoter 1.0R array (single samples). The Histone H3K27Me3 antibody (Abcam ab6002) was incubated with anti-mouse IgG beads (Dyna1 #112.01) and the Pan Histone H3 antibody (Abcam ab1791) with anti-rabbit IgG beads (Dyna1 #112.03). ChIPs were processed as above using a single non-pooled ChIP from one litter of wild-type (Swiss-Webster) anterior or posterior limb fractions (two biological replicates). These were assayed on Affymetrix Mouse Promoter 1.0R arrays.

Probes in Affymetrix tiling arrays were remapped to the mm8 build 36 version of the mouse genome—all coordinates in this study are reported in mm8. Raw data were quantile-normalized and binding regions were determined using the new version of TileMap incorporated into CisGenome using a moving average (MA) (see the Supplemental Material; Ji and Wong 2005). All expression and tiling array data associated with this study have been deposited to the GEO database (GSE11062 and GSE11063).

Exon gene expression arrays

All gene expression experiments used E11.5 forelimbs. Samples were hybridized to Mouse Exon 1.0ST arrays (Affymetrix). The data were normalized and gene level expression indices were computed using GeneBASE software (Xing et al. 2006; Kapur et al. 2007). We then generated the following pairwise comparisons using PowerExpress, which implements the gene selection methods described in Paik et al. (2007): Shh < wild type; SmoM2 > wild type; Gli3 < wild type; Gli3 > wild type; Ant < Post; Ant > Post. Genes with an FDR $\leq 10\%$ and a fold change ≥ 2 were selected. We did not generate pairwise comparisons for a certain combinations with SmoGli3 and Gli3 mu-

tants because data from these arrays contained significant variability. To identify additional genes that were Shh-responsive, we performed the following multiple sample comparisons using an FDR $\leq 10\%$ and a posterior probability cutoff of $\leq 25\%$: (1) Ant < Post and Shh < wild type < SmoM2, (2) Ant < Post and Shh < wild type < SmoM2 and Gli3 > SmoGli3, (3) Ant < Post and Shh < wild type < SmoM2 and wild type > SmoGli3. To define polarized gene sets representing both anterior and posterior compartments, we used the gene expression data on dissected anterior and posterior limb buds, Shh mutant limb buds, and SmoM2 limb buds (see Supplemental Table S6).

Assignment of Gli target genes

To calculate an initial intersection of binding regions with expression data, we identified 753 genes differentially expressed in at least one of the pairwise or multivariable comparisons in the exon array data. We counted the number of GBRs located within a given distance of their TSS, and we compared the observed number with random expectations (see the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S4A). To incorporate information about intervening transcripts (gene density), each of the 5274 GBRs was associated with a gene encoding the closest differentially expressed transcript. We counted the number of GBR-gene pairs separated by ≤ 500 bp and ≤ 1 K intervening promoters and compared the observed number with random expectations (see the Supplemental Material; Supplemental Fig. S4D). In order to explore binding regions located further away from genes, we collected the 689 GBRs in the 123 binding clusters reported in Figure 1F and repeated the same peak-gene association procedure for these 689 GBRs (Supplemental Table S4). To determine motif enrichment, GMS was run on various data sets (see the Supplemental Material). As a complementary motif analysis, we further mapped all TRANSFAC human and mouse motifs to GBRs and computed the relative enrichment r_1 compared with matched genomic controls (see the Supplemental Material).

Transgenic experiments

To test for enhancer activity, we first visually scanned the annotated GBRs and adjusted fragment size in an attempt to recover an entire *cis*-regulatory domain based on visual inspection of conserved sequence using MultiZ alignments. These extended GBRs were PCR amplified inserted into pHSP68lacZ2XINS (Vokes et al. 2007) (coordinates are described in the Supplemental Material). The Hand2 constructs were tested for repressive activity in pSilencer, a modified version of pBSMhox—a precursor construct for *Prx1*Cre (Logan et al. 2002)—where a LacZ expression cassette was inserted downstream from the *Prrx1* regulatory sequences that drive limb expression (Fig. 4P).

Acknowledgments

We thank Zhenjuan Wang and Manfred Baetscher (Harvard Genome Modification Facility) for pronuclear injections; Benjamin Allen, Jennifer Couget (FAS Center for Systems Biology), Joshua Mugford, Joo-Seop Park, and Courtney Yuen for advice; Yi Xing and Karen Kapur for providing exon array analysis software and expertise; Baolin Wang for providing the Gli3 antibody; and Renate Hellmiss for help with figures. Alex Schier and Cliff Tabin provided helpful advice either during the project or on the manuscript. We acknowledge support from the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation and Charles A. King Trust, Bank of

America, Co-Trustee (Boston, MA) (to S.A.V.); the JHSPH Richard Gelb Faculty Innovation Award (to H.J.); and funding from the NIH, HG003903 (to A.P.M. and W.H.W.) and NS033642 (to A.P.M.).

References

- Bai, C.B., Stephen, D., and Joyner, A.L. 2004. All mouse ventral spinal cord patterning by hedgehog is Gli dependent and involves an activator function of Gli3. *Dev. Cell* **6**: 103–115.
- Bandyopadhyay, A., Tsuji, K., Cox, K., Harfe, B.D., Rosen, V., and Tabin, C.J. 2006. Genetic analysis of the roles of BMP2, BMP4, and BMP7 in limb patterning and skeletogenesis. *PLoS Genet.* **2**: e216. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020216.
- Baxendale, S., Davison, C., Muxworthy, C., Wolff, C., Ingham, P.W., and Roy, S. 2004. The B-cell maturation factor Blimp-1 specifies vertebrate slow-twitch muscle fiber identity in response to Hedgehog signaling. *Nat. Genet.* **36**: 88–93.
- Capdevila, J., Tsukui, T., Rodriguez Esteban, C., Zappavigna, V., and Izpisua Belmonte, J.C. 1999. Control of vertebrate limb outgrowth by the proximal factor Meis2 and distal antagonism of BMPs by Gremlin. *Mol. Cell* **4**: 839–849.
- Carroll, J.S., Meyer, C.A., Song, J., Li, W., Geistlinger, T.R., Eeckhoutte, J., Brodsky, A.S., Keeton, E.K., Fertuck, K.C., Hall, G.F., et al. 2006. Genome-wide analysis of estrogen receptor binding sites. *Nat. Genet.* **38**: 1289–1297.
- Charité, J., McFadden, D.G., and Olson, E.N. 2000. The bHLH transcription factor dHAND controls Sonic hedgehog expression and establishment of the zone of polarizing activity during limb development. *Development* **127**: 2461–2470.
- Chen, Y., Knezevic, V., Ervin, V., Hutson, R., Ward, Y., and Mackem, S. 2004. Direct interaction with Hoxd proteins reverses Gli3-repressor function to promote digit formation downstream of Shh. *Development* **131**: 2339–2347.
- Dahn, R.D. and Fallon, J.F. 2000. Interdigital regulation of digit identity and homeotic transformation by modulated BMP signaling. *Science* **289**: 438–441.
- Dai, P., Akimaru, H., Tanaka, Y., Maekawa, T., Nakafuku, M., and Ishii, S. 1999. Sonic Hedgehog-induced activation of the Gli1 promoter is mediated by Gli3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**: 8143–8152.
- Drossopoulou, G., Lewis, K.E., Sanz-Ezquerro, J.J., Nikbakht, N., McMahon, A.P., Hofmann, C., and Tickle, C. 2000. A model for anteroposterior patterning of the vertebrate limb based on sequential long- and short-range Shh signalling and Bmp signalling. *Development* **127**: 1337–1348.
- Hallikas, O., Palin, K., Sinjushina, N., Rautiainen, R., Partanen, J., Ukkonen, E., and Taipale, J. 2006. Genome-wide prediction of mammalian enhancers based on analysis of transcription-factor binding affinity. *Cell* **124**: 47–59.
- Harfe, B.D., Scherz, P.J., Nissim, S., Tian, H., McMahon, A.P., and Tabin, C.J. 2004. Evidence for an expansion-based temporal Shh gradient in specifying vertebrate digit identities. *Cell* **118**: 517–528.
- Horsley, V., O'Carroll, D., Tooze, R., Ohinata, Y., Saitou, M., Obukhanych, T., Nussenzweig, M., Tarakhovsky, A., and Fuchs, E. 2006. Blimp1 defines a progenitor population that governs cellular input to the sebaceous gland. *Cell* **126**: 597–609.
- Jeong, J., Mao, J., Tenzen, T., Kottmann, A.H., and McMahon, A.P. 2004. Hedgehog signaling in the neural crest cells regulates the patterning and growth of facial primordia. *Genes & Dev.* **18**: 937–951.
- Ji, H. and Wong, W.H. 2005. TileMap: Create chromosomal map of tiling array hybridizations. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3629–3636.
- Ji, H., Vokes, S.A., and Wong, W.H. 2006. A comparative analysis of genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation data for mammalian transcription factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**: e146. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl803.
- Johnson, D.S., Mortazavi, A., Myers, R.M., and Wold, B. 2007. Genome-wide mapping of in vivo protein–DNA interactions. *Science* **316**: 1497–1502.
- Kapur, K., Xing, Y., Ouyang, Z., and Wong, W.H. 2007. Exon array assessment of gene expression. *Genome Biol.* **8**: R82. doi: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-5-r82.
- Khokha, M.K., Hsu, D., Brunet, L.J., Dionne, M.S., and Harland, R.M. 2003. Gremlin is the BMP antagonist required for maintenance of Shh and Fgf signals during limb patterning. *Nat. Genet.* **34**: 303–307.
- Kiefer, S.M., Ohlemiller, K.K., Yang, J., McDill, B.W., Kohlhaase, J., and Rauchman, M. 2003. Expression of a truncated Sal1 transcriptional repressor is responsible for Townes-Brocks syndrome birth defects. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **12**: 2221–2227.
- Kinzler, K.W. and Vogelstein, B. 1990. The GLI gene encodes a nuclear protein which binds specific sequences in the human genome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **10**: 634–642.
- Kuijper, S., Feitsma, H., Sheth, R., Korving, J., Reijnen, M., and Meijlink, F. 2005. Function and regulation of Alx4 in limb development: Complex genetic interactions with Gli3 and Shh. *Dev. Biol.* **285**: 533–544.
- Laufer, E., Nelson, C.E., Johnson, R.L., Morgan, B.A., and Tabin, C. 1994. Sonic hedgehog and Fgf-4 act through a signaling cascade and feedback loop to integrate growth and patterning of the developing limb bud. *Cell* **79**: 993–1003.
- Lee, B.C. and Roy, S. 2006. Blimp-1 is an essential component of the genetic program controlling development of the pectoral limb bud. *Dev. Biol.* **300**: 623–634.
- Lee, T.I., Jenner, R.G., Boyer, L.A., Guenther, M.G., Levine, S.S., Kumar, R.M., Chevalier, B., Johnstone, S.E., Cole, M.F., Isono, K., et al. 2006. Control of developmental regulators by Polycomb in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **125**: 301–313.
- Lewis, P.M., Dunn, M.P., McMahon, J.A., Logan, M., Martin, J.F., St-Jacques, B., and McMahon, A.P. 2001. Cholesterol modification of sonic hedgehog is required for long-range signaling activity and effective modulation of signaling by Ptc1. *Cell* **105**: 599–612.
- Li, X.Y., Macarthur, S., Bourgon, R., Nix, D., Pollard, D.A., Iyer, V.N., Hechmer, A., Simirenko, L., Stapleton, M., Hendriks, C.L., et al. 2008. Transcription factors bind thousands of active and inactive regions in the *Drosophila* blastoderm. *PLoS Biol.* **6**: e27. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060027.
- Litingtung, Y., Dahn, R.D., Li, Y., Fallon, J.F., and Chiang, C. 2002. Shh and Gli3 are dispensable for limb skeleton formation but regulate digit number and identity. *Nature* **418**: 979–983.
- Liu, F., Massague, J., and Ruiz i Altaba, A. 1998. Carboxy-terminally truncated Gli3 proteins associate with Smads. *Nat. Genet.* **20**: 325–326.
- Logan, M., Martin, J.F., Nagy, A., Lobe, C., Olson, E.N., and Tabin, C.J. 2002. Expression of Cre Recombinase in the developing mouse limb bud driven by a Prxl enhancer. *Genesis* **33**: 77–80.
- Mao, J., Barrow, J., McMahon, J., Vaughan, J., and McMahon, A.P. 2005. An ES cell system for rapid, spatial and temporal analysis of gene function in vitro and in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**: e155. doi: 10.1093/nar/gni146.
- Martin, J.F. and Olson, E.N. 2000. Identification of a prxl limb enhancer. *Genesis* **26**: 225–229.
- McGlinn, E. and Tabin, C.J. 2006. Mechanistic insight into how Shh patterns the vertebrate limb. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*

- 16: 426–432.
- McGlinn, E., van Bueren, K.L., Fiorenza, S., Mo, R., Poh, A.M., Forrest, A., Soares, M.B., Bonaldo, M.d.e.F., Grimmond, S., Hui, C.C., et al. 2005. Pax9 and Jagged1 act downstream of Gli3 in vertebrate limb development. *Mech. Dev.* **122**: 1218–1233.
- Naiche, L.A. and Papaioannou, V.E. 2007. Tbx4 is not required for hindlimb identity or post-bud hindlimb outgrowth. *Development* **134**: 93–103.
- Nissim, S., Hasso, S.M., Fallon, J.F., and Tabin, C.J. 2006. Regulation of Gremlin expression in the posterior limb bud. *Dev. Biol.* **299**: 12–21.
- Nissim, S., Allard, P., Bandyopadhyay, A., Harfe, B.D., and Tabin, C.J. 2007. Characterization of a novel ectodermal signaling center regulating Tbx2 and Shh in the vertebrate limb. *Dev. Biol.* **304**: 9–21.
- Niswander, L., Jeffrey, S., Martin, G.R., and Tickle, C. 1994. A positive feedback loop coordinates growth and patterning in the vertebrate limb. *Nature* **371**: 609–612.
- Ohinata, Y., Payer, B., O'Carroll, D., Ancelin, K., Ono, Y., Sano, M., Barton, S.C., Obukhanych, T., Nussenzweig, M., Tarakhovskiy, A., et al. 2005. Blimp1 is a critical determinant of the germ cell lineage in mice. *Nature* **436**: 207–213.
- Ovcharenko, I., Loots, G.G., Nobrega, M.A., Hardison, R.C., Miller, W., and Stubbs, L. 2005. Evolution and functional classification of vertebrate gene deserts. *Genome Res.* **15**: 137–145.
- Paik, J.H., Kollipara, R., Chu, G., Ji, H., Xiao, Y., Ding, Z., Miao, L., Tothova, Z., Horner, J.W., Carrasco, D.R., et al. 2007. FoxOs are lineage-restricted redundant tumor suppressors and regulate endothelial cell homeostasis. *Cell* **128**: 309–323.
- Qu, S., Niswander, K.D., Ji, Q., van der Meer, R., Keeney, D., Magnuson, M.A., and Wisdom, R. 1997. Polydactyly and ectopic ZPA formation in Alx-4 mutant mice. *Development* **124**: 3999–4008.
- Robertson, E.J., Charatsi, I., Joyner, C.J., Koonce, C.H., Morgan, M., Islam, A., Paterson, C., Lejsek, E., Arnold, S.J., Kallies, A., et al. 2007. Blimp1 regulates development of the posterior forelimb, caudal pharyngeal arches, heart and sensory vibrissae in mice. *Development* **134**: 4335–4345.
- Robledo, R.F., Rajan, L., Li, X., and Lufkin, T. 2002. The Dlx5 and Dlx6 homeobox genes are essential for craniofacial, axial, and appendicular skeletal development. *Genes & Dev.* **16**: 1089–1101.
- Scherz, P.J., Harfe, B.D., McMahon, A.P., and Tabin, C.J. 2004. The limb bud Shh–Fgf feedback loop is terminated by expansion of former ZPA cells. *Science* **305**: 396–399.
- Selever, J., Liu, W., Lu, M.F., Behringer, R.R., and Martin, J.F. 2004. Bmp4 in limb bud mesoderm regulates digit pattern by controlling AER development. *Dev. Biol.* **276**: 268–279.
- Soriano, P. 1999. Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 Cre reporter strain. *Nat. Genet.* **21**: 70–71.
- Tarchini, B., Duboule, D., and Kmita, M. 2006. Regulatory constraints in the evolution of the tetrapod limb anterior–posterior polarity. *Nature* **443**: 985–988.
- te Welscher, P., Fernandez-Teran, M., Ros, M.A., and Zeller, R. 2002a. Mutual genetic antagonism involving GLI3 and dHAND prepatterns the vertebrate limb bud mesenchyme prior to SHH signaling. *Genes & Dev.* **16**: 421–426.
- te Welscher, P., Zuniga, A., Kuijper, S., Drenth, T., Goedemans, H.J., Meijlink, F., and Zeller, R. 2002b. Progression of vertebrate limb development through SHH-mediated counteraction of GLI3. *Science* **298**: 827–830.
- Towers, M., Mahood, R., Yin, Y., and Tickle, C. 2008. Integration of growth and specification in chick wing digit patterning. *Nature* **452**: 882–886.
- Ulloa, F., Itasaki, N., and Briscoe, J. 2007. Inhibitory Gli3 activity negatively regulates Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. *Curr. Biol.* **17**: 545–550.
- Vincent, S.D., Dunn, N.R., Sciammas, R., Shapiro-Shalef, M., Davis, M.M., Calame, K., Bikoff, E.K., and Robertson, E.J. 2005. The zinc finger transcriptional repressor Blimp1/Prdm1 is dispensable for early axis formation but is required for specification of primordial germ cells in the mouse. *Development* **32**: 1315–1325.
- Vokes, S.A., Ji, H., McCuine, S., Tenzen, T., Giles, S., Zhong, S., Longabaugh, W.J., Davidson, E.H., Wong, W.H., and McMahon, A.P. 2007. Genomic characterization of Gli-activator targets in sonic hedgehog-mediated neural patterning. *Development* **134**: 1977–1989.
- Wang, B., Fallon, J.F., and Beachy, P.A. 2000. Hedgehog-regulated processing of Gli3 produces an anterior/posterior repressor gradient in the developing vertebrate limb. *Cell* **100**: 423–434.
- Xing, Y., Kapur, K., and Wong, W.H. 2006. Probe selection and expression index computation of affymetrix exon arrays. *PLoS ONE* **1**: e88. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000088.
- Yang, Y., Drossopoulou, G., Chuang, P.T., Duprez, D., Marti, E., Bumcrot, D., Vargesson, N., Clarke, J., Niswander, L., McMahon, A., et al. 1997. Relationship between dose, distance and time in Sonic Hedgehog-mediated regulation of antero-posterior polarity in the chick limb. *Development* **124**: 4393–4404.
- Yang, A., Zhu, Z., Kapranov, P., McKeon, F., Church, G.M., Gingeras, T.R., and Struhl, K. 2006. Relationships between p63 binding, DNA sequence, transcription activity, and biological function in human cells. *Mol. Cell* **24**: 593–602.
- Yuen, C.M., Rodda, S.J., Vokes, S.A., McMahon, A.P., and Liu, D.R. 2006. Control of transcription factor activity and osteoblast differentiation in mammalian cells using an evolved small-molecule-dependent intein. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**: 8939–8946.
- Zakany, J. and Duboule, D. 1996. Synpolydactyly in mice with a targeted deficiency in the HoxD complex. *Nature* **384**: 69–71.
- Zhu, J., Nakamura, E., Nguyen, M.T., Bao, X., Akiyama, H., and Mackem, S. 2008. Uncoupling Sonic hedgehog control of pattern and expansion of the developing limb bud. *Dev. Cell* **14**: 624–632.
- Zuniga, A., Haramis, A.P., McMahon, A.P., and Zeller, R. 1999. Signal relay by BMP antagonism controls the SHH/FGF4 feedback loop in vertebrate limb buds. *Nature* **401**: 598–602.
- Zuniga, A., Michos, O., Spitz, F., Haramis, A.P., Panman, L., Galli, A., Vintersten, K., Klasen, C., Mansfield, W., Kuc, S., et al. 2004. Mouse limb deformity mutations disrupt a global control region within the large regulatory landscape required for Gremlin expression. *Genes & Dev.* **18**: 1553–1564.

A genome-scale analysis of the *cis*-regulatory circuitry underlying sonic hedgehog-mediated patterning of the mammalian limb

Steven A. Vokes, Hongkai Ji, Wing H. Wong, et al.

Genes Dev. 2008, **22**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1693008](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1693008)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2008/09/22/22.19.2651.DC1>

References

This article cites 63 articles, 19 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/19/2651.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).