β C1, the pathogenicity factor of TYLCCNV, interacts with AS1 to alter leaf development and suppress selective jasmonic acid responses

Jun-Yi Yang,¹ Mayumi Iwasaki,² Chiyoko Machida,² Yasunori Machida,³ Xueping Zhou,⁴ and Nam-Hai Chua^{1,5}

¹Laboratory of Plant Molecular Biology, The Rockefeller University, New York, New York 10065, USA; ²Plant Biology Research Center, Chubu University, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan; ³Division of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan; ⁴Institute of Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310029, People's Republic of China

Viruses induce pathogenic symptoms on plants but the molecular basis is poorly understood. Here, we show that transgenic *Arabidopsis* expressing the pathogenesis protein β C1 of *Tomato yellow leaf curl China virus* (TYLCCNV), a geminivirus, can phenocopy to a large extent disease symptoms of virus-infected tobacco plants in having upward curled leaves, radialized leaves with outgrowth tissues from abaxial surfaces, and sterile flowers. These morphological changes are paralleled by a reduction in miR165/166 levels and an increase in *PHB* and *PHV* transcript levels. Two factors, ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1 (AS1) and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 2 (AS2), are known to regulate leaf development as AS1/AS2 complex. Strikingly, β C1 plants phenocopy plants overexpressing AS2 at the morphological and molecular level and β C1 is able to partially complement *as2* mutation. β C1 binds directly to AS1, elicits morphological and gene expression changes dependent on AS1 but not AS2, and attenuates expression of selective jasmonic acid (JA)-responsive gene. Our results show that β C1 forms a complex with AS1 to execute its pathogenic functions and to suppress a subset of JA responses.

[**Keywords:** Geminivirus; asymmetric leaves 1; asymmetric leaves 2; jasmonic acid; silencing suppressor; whitefly]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received April 8, 2008; revised version accepted July 28, 2008.

Host–virus interactions often involve defense mechanisms implemented by the host and counterdefense strategies developed by the successful, offending pathogen. To combat against virus infection, hosts have evolved signaling pathways to sense invading viruses and trigger appropriate defense responses. However, as virulent pathogens, viruses have developed counterdefense strategies that can suppress host defense system and usurp host cellular resources leading to disease symptoms. In mammals, for example, viruses can avoid host immune surveillance by triggering the down-regulation MHC class I molecules through the ubiquitin–proteasome system (Gao and Luo 2006). In other cases, viruses use molecular mimicry to manipulate host signaling pathway—e.g., the Notch and Wnt pathways—to

up-regulate their own gene expression and weaken host cell defense responses (Hayward et al. 2006). The identification and elucidation of these host–virus interactions provide clues about the molecular basis of viral susceptibility and disease symptoms and also shed light on the regulation of host signaling pathways.

Following viral infections plants usually display disease symptoms such as developmental defects, chlorosis, and even necrosis (Hull 2001). The development of these disease symptoms require specific interactions between viral and plant components to disrupt physiological and developmental processes (Culver and Padmanabhan 2007). Although a number of virus–plant interactions have been characterized, few plant receptors for viral components have yet been identified and the molecular basis of disease symptom development remains largely unknown.

Recent studies indicate that viral RNA silencing suppressors, which were previously known to play impor-

⁵Corresponding author.

E-MAIL chua@mail.rockefeller.edu; FAX (212) 327-8327.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1682208>.

tant roles in viral infection and pathogenesis, appear to be responsible for a significant proportion of morphological and developmental alterations of host plants seen after virus infection (Li and Ding 2006). In plants, post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) is an important antiviral response that suppresses viral gene expression through siRNA-mediated viral RNA degradation. To counteract the host PTGS response, viruses encode various suppressors of RNA silencing targeting different key steps of the host defense pathway. Because of the biochemical similarities between PTGS and the endogenous miRNA pathway, which regulates plant development, viral suppressors also interfere with the latter, thereby causing alterations in plant development (Kasschau et al. 2003).

Most characterized plant viral suppressors are derived from RNA viruses, although plant DNA viruses also encode suppressors and some of them have been characterized (Bisaro 2006). An example is β C1, an RNA silencing suppressor, encoded by the only ORF located on DNA β of the *Tomato yellow leaf curl China virus* (TYLCCNV) (Cui et al. 2005). In addition to blocking PTGS, this viral suppressor can enhance DNA-A accumulation and induce disease symptoms on host plants including leaf curling, enations, shoot bending, vein thickening, and stunting (Cui et al. 2004). As the plant receptor for β C1 has not yet been identified, the mechanism by which this key pathogenesis protein elicits developmental abnormalities on host plants remains obscure.

The majority of plant viruses are vectored by insects and the tripartite relationship between virus, insect vector, and host plant is a subject of intense investigations (Stout et al. 2006). Several groups have reported increased aggregation of insect vectors on virus-infected plants (Maris et al. 2004; Belliure et al. 2005). In the case of insect vector for begomoviruses, Jiu et al. (2007) showed that type B whiteflies have developed mutualistic relationships with satellite DNA β -associated TYLCCNV and TbCSV to improve its performance on virus-infected plants. This mutualism has apparently accelerated an increase in type B whitefly population, which promoted virus spreading and the occurrence of DNA β -associated disease complex. Other studies have implicated jasmonic acid (JA) pathways in mediating plant defenses against insects (de Vos 2007; Howe and Jander 2008). However, it is not known whether attraction of insect vectors to virus-infected plants entails any attenuation in host JA responses, and if it does, which viral protein(s) are involved in causing such changes.

Here, we show that the TYLCCNV pathogenesis factor β C1 interacts with ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1 (AS1) to cause alterations in leaf development resulting in the manifestation of disease symptoms. AS1 is needed for β C1 function as changes in leaf morphology elicited by this viral factor is largely attenuated in *as1* mutant. Amazingly, β C1 is able to partially complement *as2* mutation suggesting that β C1 is a molecular mimic of ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 2 (AS2). Finally, we showed that β C1 can suppress expression of several JA-responsive genes that are implicated in plant defenses against

insects. These results advance our understanding of the molecular basis of disease symptoms elicited by viruses and provide fresh insights into the tripartite relationships between virus, insect, and host plant.

Results

Developmental defects induced by β C1

Nicotiana benthamiana plants coinfecting with TYLCCNV and DNA β displayed severe symptoms including curled leaves, bending shoots, and enations from abaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 1A–D). Previous work has shown that these phenotypes are elicited by the viral pathogenesis factor, β C1 (Cui et al. 2004). Because *Arabidopsis* cannot be infected with TYLCCNV, we transferred the entire DNA β that encodes only the β C1 protein into *Arabidopsis*. Transgenic plants expressing DNA β displayed curled leaves and outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaves similar to the disease symptoms of tobacco plants infected with TYLCCNV and DNA β (Fig. 1E; Supplemental Fig. S1A). These morphological alterations were also recapitulated in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants expressing β C1 either from its native promoter (Supplemental Fig. S1B,C) or a 35S promoter (Fig. 1H). Together, these results indicate that the morphological alterations seen in *Arabidopsis* phenocopy to a large extent disease symptoms of tobacco coinfecting with TYLCCNV and DNA β , and can be attributed to β C1 expression. Moreover, compared with the flattened cotyledons in wild-type *Arabidopsis* (Supplemental Fig. S1G), *C1p: β C1* as well as *35S: β C1* plants produced narrow and upward-curved cotyledons with outgrowth tissues from hypocotyls (Supplemental Fig. S1E,F). These results indicate that *Arabidopsis* can be used as a model system to investigate the mechanism of action of β C1.

We generated *35S:HA- β C1* plants in which β C1 was expressed as a HA fusion protein. Based on the severity of the leaf phenotype on seedlings (Fig. 1G), we divided the transgenic lines into two classes. Class I seedlings showed severe phenotypes with radial leaves and outgrowth tissues from the abaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 1F; Supplemental Fig. S1D). These seedlings were stunted and leaves were usually narrow and failed to expand. At a later growth stage, some plants did not produce inflorescence, whereas others only produced short inflorescences bearing sterile flowers. Class II seedlings showed mild phenotypes with narrow, upward-curved leaves and long petioles (Fig. 1I; Supplemental Fig. S1H). These plants produced inflorescences with bent nodes (Fig. 1L) and carrying downward-oriented flowers with shorter pedicels (Fig. 1J) and downward-oriented siliques (Fig. 1K).

We analyzed the phenotypes by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The small leaf-like enations were observed in the abaxial side of both virus-infected tobacco leaves (Supplemental Fig. S1J) and *35S:HA- β C1* transgenic *Arabidopsis* leaves (Supplemental Fig. S1K). In severe *35S:HA- β C1* plants, small abaxial protrusions appeared to be more common (Supplemental Fig. S1K). To further examine the morphological abnormalities in-

Figure 1. Symptoms of *N. benthamiana* plants infected with TYLCCNV and phenotypes of β C1 and AS2 transgenic plants. (A–D) Symptoms of *N. benthamiana* plants infected with TYLCCNV plus DNA β . (A) Uninfected control *N. benthamiana* plant. (B) *N. benthamiana* plants infected with TYLCCNV plus DNA β . Arrowhead shows the bending shoot. (C) Adaxial side of upward-curved leaves. (D) Abaxial side of upward-curved leaves. Arrowhead indicates enation tissues on the abaxial leaf surface. (E–L) Phenotypes of β C1 transgenic plants expressed from various promoters. (E) Severe phenotypes of 21-d-old *pBINplus-2 β* transgenic plants with radial leaves and outgrowth tissues on the abaxial surface of leaves. Arrowhead indicates outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaf surfaces. (F) Twenty-eight-day-old class I *35S:HA- β C1* transgenic plants. Arrowhead indicates outgrowth tissues. (G) Phenotypic variability in leaves of different *35S:HA- β C1* lines. (H) Phenotypes of 28-d-old *35S: β C1* transgenic plant with radial leaves and outgrowth tissues on the abaxial surface of leaves. Arrowhead indicates outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaf surface. (I–L) Class II *35S:HA- β C1* transgenic plants. Note the altered inflorescence architecture with downward-pointed flowers (J), downward-pointed siliques (K), and bending nodes (L). (M–O) Phenotypes of AS2 transgenic plants expressed from various promoters. (M) Twenty-one-day-old *XVE:AS2-myc* transgenic plants with severe phenotypes. Plants were treated with inducer. Arrowhead indicates outgrowth tissues. (N) Twenty-eight-day-old *XVE:AS2-myc* transgenic plants with mild phenotype. Plants were treated with inducer. (O) *35S:AS2-myc* transgenic plants with mild phenotypes having downward-pointed flowers and siliques. (P) Twenty-one-day-old wild-type Col-0 plants. Bars: A,B, 15 mm; C,D,I,N,O, 10 mm; E–H,M,P, 5 mm; J–L 2.5 mm.

duced by β C1 in *Arabidopsis*, transverse sections of rosette leaves were performed. Disorganized vascular bundles associated with abnormal cell division were observed in *XVE:HA- β C1* transgenic plants (Supplemental Fig. S1M). By contrast, normal vascular bundles were found in wild type (Supplemental Fig. S1L). In wild-type leaves, xylem elements were located on the adaxial side, whereas phloem on the abaxial (Supplemental Fig. S1N). In *XVE:HA- β C1* transgenic plants, the vascular polarity was disrupted, with xylem elements forming on both sides of the leaf (Supplemental Fig. S1O,P). Abnormal cell division associated with vascular bundles was also observed in virus-infected tobacco (Saunders et al. 2004).

β C1 transgenic plants phenocopy AS2-overexpressing plants

We noted phenotypic similarities between β C1-overexpressing plants described here and those of AS2-overex-

pressing plants reported earlier (Iwakawa et al. 2002; Lin et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003). We confirmed that plants overexpressing AS2 displayed upward-curved leaves and downward-oriented flowers and siliques (Fig. 1O). For further investigations, we generated transgenic plants carrying an inducible *XVE:AS2-Myc* transgene. Upon treatment with inducer, plants displayed mild phenotypes with upward-curved leaves (Fig. 1N), and severe phenotypes with radial leaves, outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaf surfaces and sterile (Fig. 1M) as compared with wild-type plants (Fig. 1P). The perturbed vascular polarity with xylem on adaxial and abaxial side of leaves in *XVE:HA- β C1* transgenic plants (Supplemental Fig. S1O,P) was also observed in *35S:AS2* transgenic plants (Lin et al. 2003). We also generated transgenic plants carrying *35S:HA- β C1* and *35S:AS2* transgenes in *N. benthamiana*. Both *35S:HA- β C1* and *35S:AS2* transgenic plants displayed upward-curved leaves (Supplemental Fig. S1I). The phenotypic similarities between β C1- and

AS2-overexpressing plants suggest that β C1 may have some functions similar to those of AS2.

β C1 represses the accumulation of miR165/166

The presence of upward-curved leaves or even radial leaves in β C1 plants suggested that this viral pathogenesis protein may disrupt the formation of adaxial–abaxial polarity in leaves. Leaf polarity is known to be regulated by Class III *HD-ZIP* genes (McConnell et al. 2001; Emery et al. 2003). *HD-ZIP III* transcripts, in turn, are regulated at the post-transcriptional level by miRNA 165/166, which mediate cleavage of *HD-ZIP III* transcripts (Mallory et al. 2004). To investigate the effects of β C1 on the accumulation of miR165/166, we examined miRNA levels in *XVE:HA- β C1* and *XVE: β C1* plants in which β C1 expression was dependent on the β -estradiol inducer (Zuo et al. 2000). *XVE: β C1-myc* plants were used as negative control, as no phenotypic changes were observed in these plants upon inducer treatment (Fig. 2A).

This was due to the fact that appending a tag at the C terminus of β C1 inactivates its function. By contrast, treatment of *XVE:HA- β C1* or *XVE: β C1* plants with inducer resulted in the production of upward-curved leaves (Fig. 2A). Figure 2B shows that miR165/166 levels in *XVE:HA- β C1* or *XVE: β C1* plants were reduced after inducer treatment. The depressed accumulation of miR165/166 was specific, because miR167 was unaltered in the same treated plants and miR173 levels were slightly increased. We also examined *PHB* and *PHV* transcript levels. Compared with *Actin* transcripts, *PHV* and *PHB* transcript levels were increased after inducer treatment (Fig. 2C). The accumulation of *PHV* and *PHB* transcripts was correlated with the decrease of miRNA 165/166. The same phenomenon has been reported in AS2-overexpressing plants in which the decrease in miR165/166 levels (Ueno et al. 2007) is accompanied by an increase in *PHB* transcript levels (Lin et al. 2003).

We also examined the transcript levels of *ETTIN* (*ETT*)/*ARF3*, *FILAMENTOUS FLOWER* (*FIL*), and

Figure 2. β C1 reduces accumulation of miR165/166 but increases accumulation of *PHB* and *PHV* transcripts. (A) Phenotypes of 18-d-old β C1 transgenic plants treated with 25 μ M β -estradiol. Treated *XVE: β C1-Myc* transgenic plants were like wild-type plants, whereas treated *XVE:HA- β C1* and *XVE: β C1* transgenic plants showed upward-curved leaves. Bars, 5 mm. (B) Expression of miR165/166, miR167 and miR173 in β -estradiol-treated or untreated seedlings of transgenic plants. Stained rRNAs and tRNAs bands were used as loading controls. (C) *PHB*, *PHV*, and *Actin* transcript levels in β -estradiol-treated or untreated seedlings of *XVE: β C1-myc*, *XVE:HA- β C1*, and *XVE: β C1* transgenic plants. Stained rRNAs were used as a loading control. Expression levels of miRNAs and mRNAs were calculated using the program of Image Gauge version 3.12 (Fuji) and the values of β -estradiol-treated samples were normalized to untreated samples. (D) Levels of expression of indicated genes in shoot apices of *XVE: β C1* plants. Data show expression levels in *XVE: β C1* relative to wild-type levels. Levels of the *ETT*/*ARF3*, *FIL*, and *YAB5* transcripts in shoot apices of 15-d-old plants were measured by real-time RT-PCR. *XVE: β C1* and wild-type plants were grown on MS medium with 25 μ M β -estradiol. Each value was normalized by reference to the level of *ACTIN2* transcripts. Error bars are indicated.

YABBY5 (*YAB5*), which were known to be negatively regulated by *AS1* and *AS2* (Garcia et al. 2006; Iwakawa et al. 2007). We found that β C1-overexpressing plants reduced levels of *ETT/ARF3*, *FIL*, and *YAB5* transcripts as was found in *AS2*-overexpressing plants (Fig. 2D).

β C1 directly interacts with AS1 but not AS2

Previous genetic analysis and protein interaction results indicate that *AS2* functions together with *AS1* to regulate the development of leaf polarity (Lin et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003). The similar morphological and molecular phenotypes between β C1- and *AS2*-overexpressing plants prompted us to examine whether β C1 can also directly interact with *AS1*. To this end, we performed in vitro pull-down assays with purified recombinant proteins. Figure 3A shows that MBP-*AS1* was pulled down by GST- β C1 as well as GST-*AS2*, indicating that *AS1* can directly interact with β C1 as well as *AS2*. By contrast, no signal was observed when the negative control protein GST or GST-Ub was used to pull down MBP-*AS1*. Moreover, we also found that GST- β C1 specifically interacted with MBP-*AS1* but not MBP-*AS2*, indicating that, like *AS2*, β C1 can directly associate with *AS1*.

To test the possibility that β C1 may compete with

AS2 for binding with *AS1* we performed competitive pull-down assays. Because full-length β C1 was insoluble in *Escherichia coli* when overexpressed, we purified a soluble β C1(Δ N8), in which the second ATG (codon number 9) in the ORF was used as a start codon. Although the β C1(Δ N8) has a deletion of eight amino acids from the N terminus of the β C1 protein, it can still elicit severe symptoms in *N. benthamiana* when coinfecting with TYLCCNV (Cui et al. 2004). This result indicates that the N-terminal deletion has very little effect on β C1 biological activities. Figure 3B shows that the amounts of MBP-*AS1* pull down by GST-*AS2* were reduced with increasing amounts of β C1(Δ N8) in the mix. By contrast, increasing amounts of SUMO, a negative control protein, did not affect the recovery of MBP-*AS1*. These results provide evidence that β C1 competes with *AS2* for direct binding to *AS1*.

We used a tobacco transient expression system to test whether β C1 and *AS1* can interact in vivo. Tobacco leaves were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium* cells carrying *XVE:HA- β C1* and *35S:Myc-AS1*, and leaves extracts were analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation. Figure 3C shows that the immunoprecipitation of Myc-*AS1* pulled down HA- β C1. Although the recovery of HA- β C1 was low, the interaction was clearly specific and dependent

Figure 3. β C1 interacts with *AS1* but not *AS2*. (A) In vitro pull-down assays. Two micrograms of GST or GST fusion proteins were used to pull down 2 μ g of MBP or MBP fusion proteins. (B) Competitive pull-down assays. Indicated protein amounts of β C1(Δ N8) or SUMO were mixed with 2 μ g of MBP-*AS1* and pulled down by 2 μ g of GST-*AS2*. After being pulled down, Western blottings were performed using anti-MBP antibody to detect the associated proteins. Membranes staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue were used to monitor input protein amounts. Asterisks indicate degradation products of MBP-*AS1*. (C) In vivo interaction of β C1 and *AS1* in *N. benthamiana*. *Agrobacterium* cultures carrying *35S:Myc-AS1* and *XVE:HA- β C1* were suspended in 50 μ M β -estradiol and coinfiltrated into tobacco leaves. Transiently expressed Myc-*AS1* and HA- β C1 were analyzed by coimmunoprecipitation. Crude extracts (Input) were used for immunoprecipitation (IP) with or without polyclonal anti-myc antibody and analyzed by Western blottings. Asterisk indicates the cross-reacting band.

on Myc-AS1, as HA- β C1 was not detected in the absence of polyclonal anti-myc antibody.

β C1 functions in *Arabidopsis* depend on endogenous AS1 activity

Based on the protein association results, we can propose two possibilities to account for β C1 actions in *Arabidopsis*. The first possibility is that β C1 may compete with AS2 for interaction with AS1 in vivo. The release of AS2 from the AS1/AS2 complex, and not the β C1/AS1 complex, is, in fact, responsible for the β C1 overexpression

phenotypes. To test this hypothesis, we introduced *35S:HA- β C1* into *as2-1* mutant (Fig. 4A) background. We found *35S:HA- β C1/as2-1* plants phenocopied *35S:HA- β C1/Columbia* (Col-0) plants. These phenotypes included upward-curved leaves or even radial leaves (Fig. 4A), outgrowths from abaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 4A), and downward-oriented flowers (data not shown). These results rule out the possibility that AS2 activity is required for β C1 function in *Arabidopsis*, and therefore the phenotypes of *35S:HA- β C1* plants were not caused by AS2 released from the AS1/AS2 complex.

Another possibility is that β C1 may mimic the func-

Figure 4. Functions of β C1 in *Arabidopsis* depend on endogenous AS1 activity. (A) Morphology of mutants and transgenic plants. *35S:HA- β C1/as2-1* transgenic plant with radial leaves and outgrowth tissues on abaxial leaf surfaces. The arrowhead indicates outgrowth tissues. *35S:HA- β C1/as1-1* transgenic plant with mild upward-curved leaves. Bars, for *as2-1* and *as1-1* mutants, 9 mm; for *35S:HA- β C1/as2-1* and *35S:HA- β C1/as1-1* transgenic plants, 7.5 mm. (B, C) Expression levels of mRNAs and miRNAs in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* transgenic plants treated with 25 μ M β -estradiol. (B) Stained bands of rRNAs were used as a loading control for RNA gel blots. (C) Stained bands of rRNAs and tRNAs were used as a loading control for small RNA gel blots. Expression levels of miRNAs and mRNAs were calculated using the program of Image Gauge version 3.12 (Fuji) and the values (except β C1 transcripts) were normalized to those of the wild-type Col-0 sample. The values of β C1 transcripts were normalized to that of the *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0*, line #30 sample. (D) Expression of β C1 transcripts and proteins in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*. Virus-infected tobacco samples were harvested from systemic leaves. β C1 transgenic plants were grown on MS medium containing 25 μ M β -estradiol before being treated with MG132 or harvested for RNA. Specific probes against *N. benthamiana* and *Arabidopsis* PHV transcripts were prepared individually. Stained rRNAs bands were used as a loading control. *Arabidopsis* seedlings were treated with or without MG132 (50 μ M) and β C1 proteins in extracts were detected with or without MG132 treatment by anti-HA antibody. Tubulin levels were used as loading control. (E) Phenotypes of 24-d-old β C1 transgenic plants treated with 25 μ M β -estradiol. Bars, 7.5 mm.

tions of AS2 in *Arabidopsis*. In this case, the active component is the β C1/AS1 complex instead of the native AS1/AS2 complex. To test this second hypothesis, we introduced 35S:HA- β C1 into *as1-1* mutant (Fig. 4A) background. We found that the phenotypes of 35S:HA- β C1 were reduced in *as1-1* mutant as compared with wild type. Only mild upward-curved leaves with short petioles were seen in 35S:HA- β C1/*as1-1* plants (Fig. 4A). Moreover, outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaf surfaces, which were common in 35:HA- β C1/Col-0 plants, were hardly observed in 35S:HA- β C1/*as1-1* plants. These results show that the functions of β C1 in *Arabidopsis* depend to a large extent, but not entirely on the endogenous AS1 activity.

To correlate the phenotypic alterations with molecular changes, we analyzed *PHB*, *PHV*, and miR165/166 levels. Because severe lines of 35:HA- β C1/Col-0 transgenic plants were infertile, we used β -estradiol-inducible transgenic plants for these analyses. Figure 4B shows that in wild type, increasing β C1 levels elicited a corresponding increase in *PHB* and *PHV* transcript levels, whereas no such increase was seen in the *as1-1* mutant background. For comparable β C1 expression levels, *PHB* and *PHV* transcript levels were lower in *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* plants compared with *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* plants. The expression levels of miR165/166 in *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* plants were indistinguishable from wild-type plants, but much higher than those in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* plants (Fig. 4C).

To further correlate phenotypic alterations with β C1 protein levels, *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* plants were treated with or without MG132, a 26S proteasome inhibitor. Without MG132, β C1 protein was hardly detected (Fig. 4D), but in its presence, β C1 protein accumulated to levels correlating with β C1 transcript levels in both wild-type and *as1-1* mutant plants (Fig. 4D). These results show that β C1 protein is highly unstable and degraded by 26S proteasomes in plant cells. Figure 4D shows that although β C1 transcript levels in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* #2 and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* #12 plants were comparable, β C1 protein accumulated to higher levels in *as1-1* mutant (#12) than in wild type (#2). These results suggest that the absence of AS1 may further promote β C1 destabilization. Examination of β C1 protein expression in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* plants showed that β C1 protein levels correlated with phenotypic severity (Fig. 4D). Radial leaves with abaxial outgrowth tissues were observed with high β C1 expression levels in line #30 and mild upward-curved leaves were seen with low β C1 expression levels in line #2 (Fig. 4E). By contrast, with comparable β C1 expression levels, *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* plants showed reduced phenotype with mild upward-curved leaves (Fig. 4E, #30 vs. #12). These results are consistent with the mild phenotype observed in 35S:HA- β C1/*as1-1* plants.

β C1 expression levels in inducer-treated *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants were comparable with or two times higher than β C1 levels in virus-infected tobacco (Fig. 4D). Note that the length of β C1 transcript in virus-infected tobacco (samples collected

from systemic leaves) was longer than those in *Arabidopsis* β C1 transgenic plants. This could be due to the use of a different 3' poly A addition signal in the transgenic plants. Consistent with the function of β C1 in elevating *PHV* transcript levels in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* transgenic plants, an increase in *PHV* transcripts were also observed in tobacco plants infected with TYLCCNV plus DNA β satellite, but not in plants infected with TYLCCNV alone (Fig. 4D). These results suggest that β C1 has similar functions in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*, and β C1 is solely responsible for the observed developmental symptoms during normal virus infection.

β C1 partially complements as2 mutation in leaf development

Both β C1 and AS2 overexpression can decrease miR165/166 levels and increase transcript levels of *PHB* and *PHV*, which disrupts the formation of adaxial-abaxial polarity in leaves. The morphological and molecular similarities between β C1- and AS2-overexpressing plants prompted us to examine whether β C1 can functionally replace AS2. To test this possibility, β C1 was placed under the control of a native AS2 promoter and introduced into the *as2-1* mutant background. More than 30 independent lines of *AS2p: β C1/as2-1* transgenic plants were generated. Majority of the lines (80%) showed a partial complementation phenotype with flat-ten and rounder leaves compared with downward-curved leaves found in *as2-1* (Fig. 5A). In lines showing partial complementation, plump and humped lamina at the leaf base was not observed; instead, leaf lobes were still visible (Fig. 5B). The leaf lobes might be related to the ectopic expression of *KNAT1* (Chuck et al. 1996; Ori et al. 2000). More than 50% of the partially complemented lines showed mild upward-curved cotyledons, but no curling was seen in rosette leaves (data not shown). Similarly, ~50% of the partially complemented lines displayed abnormal flowers phenotypes with partially radialized floral organs (data not shown). The flatten leaves in *AS2p: β C1/as2-1* indicated that β C1 can supplant AS2 to some extent in regulating the formation of adaxial-abaxial polarity in leaves.

A distinguishing phenotype of *as2-1* is the retardation of vein development compared with wild type (Fig. 5C,F). Cotyledons of *as2-1* displayed connection defects in primary and secondary veins; the mid-veins are less prominent, and there are fewer lateral veins in rosette leaves. We found the veins defects in *as2-1* mutant were restored to wild type in *AS2p: β C1/as2-1* transgenic plants (Fig. 5C,F). To facilitate a semiquantitative comparison, we classified the venation patterns in the cotyledons into three types (Fig. 5D). Type I has three to four loops with five to seven NBPs (number of branching points); type II has two loops with two to five NBPs; and type III has connection defects in the primary and secondary veins. We examined 80 wild-type cotyledons. Among them, 62% were type I, 36% type II, and 2% type III. The same numbers of cotyledons (80) were examined in *as2-1* mutant. Among them, 2% were type I, 14% type

Figure 5. Partial complementation of *as2* by *AS2p:βC1*. (A, B) Phenotypes of 21-d-old wild-type Col-0, *as2-1* and *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic plants. (A) Leaves in *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* were flattened and rounder compared with the downward-curved leaves with plump and humped lamina at the leaf base of *as2-1*. (B) Morphology of cotyledons and rosette leaves. (From left to right) Two cotyledons, first two rosette leaves, second two rosette leaves, third two rosette leaves, and fourth two rosette leaves. Arrowheads indicate leaf lobes. (C–F) Venation patterns of 12-d-old cotyledons and 21-d-old rosette leaves in wild-type Col-0, *as2-1* and *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic plants. (C) Dark-field images of venation patterns in cotyledons. Wild-type Col-0 showed 2–4 loops with connection between primary and secondary veins. *as2-1* showed 0–2 loops with connection defects in primary and secondary veins. *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic plants showed wild-type venation patterns. Arrowheads indicate connection defect. (D) Patterns of veins were classified into three types according to the number of loops and connection defects in primary and secondary veins. In wild-type Col-0 and *AS2p:βC1/as2-1*, the largest population contained three loops with five NBPs. In *as2-1*, the largest population contained one loop with four NBPs and had connection defects. (E) Summary of the distribution of venation patterns in D. The percentage of each venation type in wild-type Col-0, *as2-1* and *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* was shown in bars with different shades. (F) Dark-field images of venation patterns in first and third rosette leaves. Bars: A, B, 5 mm; C, 0.25 mm; F, 1 mm.

II, and 84% type III. In *AS2p:βC1/as2-1*, four lines were chosen and 50 cotyledons from each line were examined. The distributions of venation pattern were 55% type I, 36% type II, and 9% type III (Fig. 5D,E). The rescue of vein defects in *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic lines provide evidence that β C1 has similar functions as AS2 in regulating the formation of veins in leaves.

AS2 has two distinct and unrelated functions: the repression of *KNOX* gene and the regulation of adaxial–abaxial polarity (Lin et al. 2003). The appearance of leaf lobes in *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic plants suggested that β C1 cannot complement the functions of AS2 with respect to *KNOX* gene repression. To examine the effects of β C1 on the expression pattern of *KNAT1*, *XVE:HA-βC1* was introduced into *KNAT1:GUS* transgenic plants.

Upon inducer treatment, *XVE:HA-βC1/KNAT1:GUS* transgenic plants still maintained strong GUS staining intensity in shoot meristems, and weak GUS staining intensity was detected in cotyledonary veins (Supplemental Fig. S2). These observations support the partial complementation phenotypes in *AS2p:βC1/as2-1* transgenic plants, and suggest that β C1 and AS2 functions may only partially overlap in the establishment of adaxial–abaxial polarity of leaves and in vein development.

JA responses of β C1 plants

Recent molecular and genetic analysis of Nurmberg et al. (2007) provided evidence that AS1 is a negative regulator of plant defense response as *as1* displays elevated

expression of a group of JA-responsive genes, *PDF1.2*, *PR3*, and *PR4*, and is more resistant to fungal pathogens. Our finding that AS1 is a target protein for β C1 prompted us to investigate the consequence of β C1/AS1 interaction on JA-responsive gene expression. Neither β C1 expression (*XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* transgenic plants) nor AS1 deficiency (*as1-1* mutant) had noticeable effect on expression of JA biosynthetic genes (*LOX2* and *AOS*) and genes (*Arginase*, *JR1*, *JR3*, and *JAS1*) whose expression is sensitive to endogenous JA levels (Fig. 6). These results suggest that β C1 and AS1 are unlikely to modulate endogenous JA levels.

Compared with wild-type plants, expression of several JA-responsive genes were suppressed in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* transgenic plants (Fig. 6). Transcript levels of *PDF1.2*, *PR4*, and *COR13* were reduced by β C1 in wild-type plants but largely restored in *as1-1* mutant plants expressing β C1 (Fig. 6). In the case of *VSP1* and *CYP79B2*, the transcript levels were only weakly repressed by β C1 in wild-type plants but slightly recovered or no change was seen in *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* mutant plants (Fig. 6). These results show that β C1 can attenuate the plant defense system by inhibiting expression of several JA-responsive genes, and this repression is dependent to a large extent on the endogenous AS1.

Discussion

Arabidopsis as a model system to investigate β C1 function

The β C1 protein of geminivirus is a pathogenicity factor in host plants like tobacco, tomato, and petunia (Cui et al. 2005). Because of the importance of this viral protein in eliciting disease symptoms, identification of its host-interacting proteins/receptors will advance our knowledge of viral pathogenesis and help formulate strategies to combat the rapid spread of this devastating disease in the Old World. Using a transgenic approach, we found that β C1 can also induce similar disease symptoms in the model plant *Arabidopsis*, which is a nonhost. Several lines of evidence support our claim that the phenotypes found in *Arabidopsis* transgenic plants phenocopy to a large extent those observed in virus-infected tobacco. (1) Phenotypes including upward-curling leaves, bending shoot, and enations from abaxial side of leaves elicited by β C1 in *Arabidopsis* transgenic plants have been observed as disease symptoms of virus-infected tobacco (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). (2) The abnormal cell division associated with vascular bundles was observed in both *Arabidopsis* β C1 transgenic plants (Supplemental Fig. S1M) and virus-infected tobacco (Saunders et al. 2004). (3) The capacity of β C1 to elevate *PHV* transcript levels in *Arabidopsis* transgenic plants were also observed in tobacco plants infected with TYLCCNV plus DNA β satellite (Fig. 4D). Altered expression of *PHV* transcripts is known to cause development changes in leaf polarity (McConnell et al. 2001). These observation justifies the use of *Arabidopsis* to dissect the molecular

Figure 6. β C1 suppresses JA responses. RNA samples from Col-0, *as1-1*, *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0*, and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* treated with 100 μ M MeJA were analyzed by Northern blots. *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* lines were pre-treated with 50 μ M β -estradiol to induce high-level expression of β C1. Each lane contained 15 μ g of total RNA. Stained rRNAs were used as loading controls. *COI1* transcripts showed no change with 100 μ M MeJA. JA-responsive genes were grouped into A, B, and C depending on the effects of β C1. In A, JA-responsive transcript levels were reduced in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* lines but largely restored in the *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* line. In B, JA-responsive transcript levels were only weakly repressed in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* lines and slightly recovered or no change were detected in the *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* line. In C, no or little change was seen with JA-responsive transcripts in *XVE:HA- β C1/Col-0* and *XVE:HA- β C1/as1-1* lines.

mechanisms of disease symptom induction by β C1 and also suggests that homologous components likely exist in both host and nonhost plants, which can interact with β C1. Since nuclear targeting of β C1 is required for disease symptom induction (Cui et al. 2005), some of the β C1 interacting proteins/receptor(s) are likely to be nuclear localized.

AS1 is a nuclear receptor for β C1

We provide several lines of evidence to support the notion that AS1 is a receptor for β C1: (1) AS1 contains nuclear localization signals and is localized in discrete subnuclear bodies (Theodoris et al. 2003; Ueno et al. 2007). (2) β C1 can specifically interact with AS1 in vitro and in vivo (Fig. 3). (3) The capacity of β C1 to induce phenotypic changes and suppress selective JA responses in *Arabidopsis* depends to a large extent on endogenous AS1 levels. Phenotypic alterations associated with β C1 overexpression in wild-type plants—e.g., upward-curved leaves and outgrowth tissues from abaxial leaf surfaces—were suppressed by *as1* but not *as2* mutation. Moreover, reduction of miR165/166 levels and accumulation of *PHB* and *PHV* transcripts in β C1 overexpression plants were also reversed by *as1* mutation. However, *as1* plants overexpressing β C1 still displayed mildly curled leaves, indicating the existence of other plant factors that can interact with β C1 in the absence of AS1.

Many unrelated viral proteins have been identified as RNA silencing suppressors, and several of them have been introduced into *Arabidopsis* for molecular analyses. Transgenic plants overexpressing individual suppressor showed moderate to severe defects in leaf development depending on the suppressor. Interesting, many of these plants (e.g., P19, P1/HC-Pro, 2b, P15, P21, and CP transgenic plants) produced serrated and curled leaves (Chapman et al. 2004; Dunoyer et al. 2004). By contrast, leaves of β C1-overexpressing plants were not serrated. This interesting phenomenon may indicate that the function of β C1 is different from those of other silencing suppressors. Viral suppressors such as P19, P1/HC-Pro, 2b, P15, P21, and CP may cause defects in miRNA-mediated silencing pathway or miRNA biogenesis pathway by sequestering miRNA duplexes (Lakatos et al. 2006), blocking slicing activity of AGO1 (Zhang et al. 2006) or interfering with the dicing activity of DCL1 (Mlotshwa et al. 2005). β C1, on the other hand, only selectively regulates the accumulation of specific miRNAs. The precise mechanism by which β C1 regulates miRNA levels remains to be investigated.

β C1 phenocopies AS2

We showed that β C1 manipulates leaf polarity by mimicking certain functions of Asymmetric Leaves2 (AS2). Overexpression of β C1 in wild-type plants phenocopies AS2-overexpressing plants (Iwakawa et al. 2002; Lin et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003), and strikingly, in both cases, the phenotypes were suppressed by *as1* mutation (Lin et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2003). The perturbed vascular polarity with xylem on adaxial and abaxial sides of leaves in *XVE:HA- β C1* transgenic plants (Supplemental Fig. S1O,P) was also observed in *35S:AS2* transgenic plants (Lin et al. 2003). Moreover, like AS2 overexpression, overexpression of β C1 also resulted in a reduction of miR165/166 and an accumulation of *PHB* transcripts (Lin et al. 2003; Ueno et al. 2007). Because no amino acid sequence similarity was detected between β C1 and AS2,

the mimicry probably occurs through a similarity in the three-dimensional structures of the two proteins. Indeed, our in vitro results support this view. We showed that β C1, like AS2, can form a complex with AS1 in vitro, indicating a direct interaction. More important, the two proteins, β C1 and AS2, can compete for AS1 binding in complex formation, consistent with the hypothesis that they may bind to similar surfaces of AS1.

That β C1 can, at least in part, mimic AS2 functions in vivo is further supported by analysis of gene expression in β C1 plants and by complementation experiments. AS2 is known to negatively regulate, in the adaxial domain, transcript levels of *ETTIN/ARF3* and *FILAMENTOUS FLOWER*, which are required for abaxial fate (Garcia et al. 2006; Iwakawa et al. 2007). We found that expression of β C1 in *Arabidopsis* reduced levels of these transcripts as well (Fig. 2D). Using a native AS2 promoter to express β C1 in the *as2* mutant background, we found that this viral protein can at least partially complement AS2 functions. The downward curled leaves in *as2* became flatten in *AS2p: β C1/*as2-1** transgenic lines. Furthermore, vein defects seen in *as2* were rescued in these complemented lines. On the other hand, these transgenic lines still produced leaf lobes, which may be related to *KNAT1* mis-expression. These observations suggest that the molecular mimicry of AS2 by β C1 is not complete, and β C1 and AS2 may share only partially overlapping functions in the establishment of adaxial-abaxial polarity of leaves and in vein development. Notwithstanding the partial overlapping functions of these two proteins, there is no amino acid sequence similarity between them. Nevertheless, they share some similar characteristics: Both β C1 (Mr 14,800) and AS2 (Mr 21,800) are small nuclear proteins (Cui et al. 2005; Ueno et al. 2007), and both are able to bind to DNA (Cui et al. 2005; Husbands et al. 2007).

Molecular mimicry has emerged as a common theme in virus-host interaction for several animal viruses (Grossman et al. 1994; Hsieh and Hayward 1995). Our results here with β C1 show that molecular mimicry is used by plant virus as well. Previous studies have shown that β C1 is not only responsible for symptom induction but also enhances the accumulation of begomovirus DNA-A (Cui et al. 2004). As a MYB-domain protein, AS1 may also function as a transcription regulator, and it is possible that the β C1/AS1 complex may regulate expression of begomovirus genes and modulate virus replication. Future work will be directed toward exploring this possibility.

Selective repression of JA-responsive genes by β C1

Infections by TYLCCNV and TbCSV carrying satellite DNA β are not only associated with disease symptoms but also known to promote population increase of its vector, the type B invasive whitefly (Jiu et al. 2007). Although the mutualism between the two gemini viruses and whitefly has been shown to be indirect via the host plants, the precise mechanism remains to be elucidated. One attractive hypothesis is that TYLCCNV/TbCSV

may somehow attenuate plant defenses against their vectors, leading to increased type B whitefly population, which in turn aids the spread of these viruses.

In plants, the jasmonate signaling pathway plays a central role in regulating defense responses to herbivores, and specific host defense responses are implemented for herbivores using different feeding strategies (Stout et al. 2006; de Vos 2007; Howe and Jander 2008). Usually provoking extensive tissue damage, chewing insects trigger production of plant defensive proteins, such as proteinase inhibitors (PIs), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), lipoxygenase (LOX), threonine deaminase (TD), and arginase, which bring about nutrient deprivation and disrupt vector digestive physiology. Unlike chewing insects, phloem-feeding insects, such as whiteflies and aphids, use specialized stylets to establish a feeding site in the phloem and cause minimal damage to plants. Kempema et al. (2007) showed that silverleaf whitefly (SLWF; *Bemisia tabaci* type B), a phloem-feeding insect, can suppress JA responses but activate SA responses. After SLWF feeding, JA-regulated genes *PDF1.2*, *VSP1*, and *FAD3* were repressed more than twofold, with no detectable changes in *THI2.1* and *COI1* expression. In contrast to aphids, which are also phloem feeders but mobile, SLWF nymphs feed continuously from the same location on *Arabidopsis* leaves for more than 28 d during development. Hence, it is reasonable to assume that the down-regulation of these JA-responsive defense genes are necessary for and conducive to whitefly nymphal development on infected leaves. The same group further demonstrated that SLWF development on *Arabidopsis* correlates with the level of JA defenses but not with SA defenses (Zarate et al. 2007), and that SLWF development is delayed on *Arabidopsis* mutants with activated JA defenses and even on *npr* mutant plants with compromised SA defenses but treated with MeJA (Zarate et al. 2007).

The results of Jiu et al. (2007), Kempema et al. (2007), and Zarate et al. (2007) suggest that the increased aggregation of whitefly on TYLCCNV-infected plants may be due to possible suppression by the virus of specific JA-responsive plant genes that have defense function against the vector. Our results on the ability of β C1 to suppress expression of selective JA-responsive genes are consistent with this hypothesis. Among the five JA-responsive genes (*PDF1.2*, *PR4*, *COR13*, *VSP1*, and *CYP79B2*) suppressed by β C1, *PDF1.2* and *VSP1* were down-regulated by SLWF feeding (Kempema et al. 2007). We suggest that β C1, along with other as yet unidentified viral proteins, are responsible for suppression of a subset of JA-responsive genes whose encoded proteins are needed for plant defense against whitefly, and these viral genes may accelerate the population increase of type B whitefly on TYLCCNV-infected plants. Although the origin of DNA β of gemini viruses remains obscure, following its first report in 2000, many different DNA β s have been cloned and proven to be widespread throughout the Old World (Mansoor et al. 2006). This biological invasion may result from the indirect mutualism between viruses and the type B whiteflies. Our identification of AS1 as the main target for β C1 to attenuate plant

Figure 7. A working model to explain the roles of AS1 and β C1 in virus–insect vector–plant interaction. AS1 is a conserved regulator for leaf polarity development and plant immune response. AS1 interacts with AS2 to down-regulate miR165/166 and up-regulate HD-ZIPIII genes, but AS1 alone acts to suppress JA responses. β C1 is encoded by the satellite DNA β , which is associated with the helper virus, TYLCCNV. β C1 targets AS1 to manipulate leaf polarity by modulating miR165/166 and HD-ZIPIII transcripts resulting in disease symptoms. This viral protein also attenuates plant immune response by suppressing expression of several JA-responsive genes. This AS1-dependent suppression may account, in part, for the aggregation of whitefly population on TYLCCNV-infected plants.

defense response may help formulate strategies to slow down the biological invasion of B biotype whiteflies and curtail the spreading of begomoviruses among agricultural important crops.

Concluding remarks

Figure 7 summarizes the proposed function of β C1 in altering leaf development and our working hypothesis on the tripartite relationship between virus–vector–host. AS1 binds to AS2 and regulates leaf development by suppressing the accumulation of miR165/166 and increasing HD-ZIPIII transcript levels. AS1 is also a conserved regulator of plant defense response, and it acts as negative regulator to suppress a subset of JA-induced genes such as *PDF1.2*, *PR3*, *PR4*, *VSP1*, and *THI* (Nurmeberg et al. 2007). As a pathogenicity determinant, β C1 mimics AS2 function and competes with AS2 to form a complex with AS1. The β C1/AS1 complex, in turn, regulates leaf polarity by repressing miR165/166 and increasing HD-ZIPIII transcript levels. On the other hand, β C1 enhances the functions of AS1 to block selective JA responses by down-regulating the expression of *PDF1.2*, *PR4*, *COR13*, and *VSP1*. The suppression of this subset of JA responses by the β C1/AS1 complex may attenuate plant defense response against whitefly. Consequently, satellite DNA β -associated TYLCCNV elicits disease symptoms on infected plants and also benefits its vector by aiding an increase in vector population density via the host plants.

Materials and methods

Plant materials, growth conditions, and transformation

Arabidopsis thaliana *as1-1* and *as2-1* mutants were used (Semiarti et al. 2001). The *as1-1* was isolated from the Col-0 ecotype.

The *as2-1* was isolated from the Landsberg (Lan, with the wild-type allele for ERECTA gene) ecotype and outcrossed with Col-0 three times. After 2 d at 4°C in darkness, seeds were germinated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium at 22°C with 16 h light. Plasmids were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain ABI or EHA105 by electrotransformation. *Arabidopsis* transformations were performed using the floral-dip method (Clough and Bent 1998).

Plant agroinfiltration

Agroinfiltration was performed with an overnight culture of *A. tumefaciens* strain EHA105 carrying a tandem repeat construct of TYLCCNV isolate Y10 and DNA β in pBINplus (Cui et al. 2004). After agroinfiltration, *N. benthamiana* plants were grown in a greenhouse with 16 h light/8 h dark. For immunoprecipitation, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain ABI carrying 35S:Myc-AS1 and XVE:HA- β C1 were suspended in 50 μ M β -estradiol and coinfiltrated into tobacco leaves.

Constructs

Full-length cDNAs were amplified by PCR using AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and subcloned into binary vectors pBA002-3HA and pBA002-6Myc to generate HA-tagged and Myc-tagged constructs under the control of a 35S promoter. For β -estradiol-inducible expression driven by the XVE promoter (Zuo et al. 2000), 3HA- or 6Myc-tagged cDNAs from pBA002 constructs were subcloned into pER8 vectors. A 955-bp fragment upstream of the β C1 ORF was used as the β C1 native promoter (Guan and Zhou 2006). This fragment was amplified from TYLCCNV DNA β and subcloned into binary vector pBA002a to generate the *C1p:βC1* construct. A 3262-bp fragment upstream of the AS2 open reading frame was amplified from *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA and used as the AS2 promoter.

β -Estradiol treatment and RNA gel blot analysis

Transgenic seeds were germinated on MS medium with or without 25 μ M β -estradiol (Sigma). Total RNA was extracted from 12-d-old seedlings using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For RNA expression analysis, 15 μ g of total RNA were fractionated on a 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel and then transferred to a Hybond-XL membrane (GE Biosciences). DNA probes were labeled with [α -³²P]dCTP using the random prime labeling system (GE Biosciences). Hybridization was performed overnight at 65°C in hybridization buffer (0.3 M sodium phosphate at pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 5% SDS, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.15 mg/mL salmon sperm DNA), and signals were detected by autoradiography. For small RNA analysis, 15 μ g of total RNA were fractionated on a 15% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea and then transferred to a Hybond-N⁺ membrane (GE Biosciences). DNA oligonucleotides were end-labeled with [γ -³²P]ATP using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). Hybridization was performed overnight at 42°C using the ULTRAHyb-Oligo hybridization buffer (Ambion) and signals were detected by autoradiography.

Real-time RT-PCR

XVE: β C1 line #1 and wild-type plants were grown on MS medium with 25 μ M β -estradiol. Shoot apices of 15-d-old plants were harvested and Poly(A)⁺ RNA was purified from 10 μ g of total RNA. Reverse transcription was performed using First-Strand cDNA synthesis kit (GE Healthcare). Real-time RT-PCR was performed as described by Iwakawa et al. (2007).

In vitro pull-down and competition assay

cDNAs encoding full-length β C1, AS1, and AS2 were amplified by PCR using AccuPrime Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and subcloned into pGEX4T-1 or pMAL-c2 to generate GST fusion and MBP fusion constructs. All constructs were transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells and cultured at 37°C. After the OD₆₀₀ had reached ~0.6, isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mM and the culture incubated overnight at 24°C. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation and suspended in a lysis buffer containing proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Roche). After French press treatment, lysates were incubated with amylose resin (New England Biolabs) or glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Biosciences), and recombinant proteins were purified according to the manufacturer's instructions. The eluted proteins were dialyzed against buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol) and concentrated by Ultracel YM-30 (Millipore). In vitro pull-down assays were performed with 2 μ g of GST fusion proteins and 2 μ g of MBP fusion proteins. Proteins were incubated in a binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.25% Triton X-100, 35 mM β -mercaptoethanol) for 2 h at 25°C, and 25 μ L of glutathione sepharose 4B (GE Biosciences) were added and the mix incubated for an additional 1 h. After washing with binding buffer for six times, pulled-down proteins were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and detected by Western blotting using anti-MBP antibody. For competitive pull-down assay, sequence encoding a β C1(Δ N8) without the first eight amino acids was amplified by PCR and subcloned into pET29a to generate His fusion construct. β C1(Δ N8) was purified using Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Indicated amounts of β C1(Δ N8) were mixed with 2 μ g of MBP-AS1 for 1 h before being incubated with 2 μ g of GST-AS2 for pull-down assays.

Immunoprecipitation

Two days after infiltration, tobacco leaves were harvested and ground in liquid nitrogen. Proteins were extracted in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 20% glycerol, 0.5% nonident P-40) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma). Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 14,000g for 30 min. The supernatant was incubated with 10 μ L of anti-Myc polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) for 2 h at 4°C, then 20 μ L of protein A agarose beads (GE Healthcare) were added. After 2 h of incubation at 4°C, the beads were centrifuged and washed six times with washing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 0.5% nonident P-40). Proteins were eluted by 30 μ L of 2.5 $\times$ sample buffer and analyzed by Western blotting using monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies) and monoclonal anti-Myc antibody.

MG132 treatment

Transgenic seeds were germinated on MS medium with 25 μ M β -estradiol (Sigma). Eighteen-day-old seedlings were transferred to liquid MS medium containing 25 μ M β -estradiol and 50 μ M MG132 (Calbiochem) for 12 h before harvested. Proteins were extracted and analyzed by Western blotting using monoclonal anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies).

Vasculature analysis

Twelve-day-old cotyledons and 21-d-old rosette leaves were fixed with 15% glacial acid and 85% ethanol for overnight.

Samples were washed twice with 70% ethanol and cleared by chloral hydrate solution (trichloroacetaldehyde monohydrate:glycerol:H₂O = 8:1:2). Venation patterns were observed using a microscope under dark-field condition. The classification of venation patterns in cotyledons was according to Semiarti et al. (2001) with modification.

MeJA treatment

Plants were grown on soil under long-day conditions at 22°C and watered with 50 µM β-estradiol. Four-week-old plants were treated with 100 µM MeJA (Sigma) using foliar sprays. After spraying, plants were covered with a plastic cover and samples were harvested at indicated times.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Sarah Hake for providing *KNAT1:GUS* seeds, Ms Li-Fang Huang for excellent technical assistance, Eleana Sphicas and Qi-Wen Niu for technical support on SEM and transverse sections, and Dr. Takashi Soyano for helpful suggestions. J.-Y.Y. was supported by a Taiwan Merit Scholarship from the Ministry of Education, the Council for Economic Planning and Development, and the National Science Council of Taiwan. This work was supported in part by NIH grant GM44640.

References

- Belliure, B., Janssen, A., Maris, P.C., Peters, D., and Sabelis, M.W. 2005. Herbivore arthropods benefit from vectoring plant viruses. *Ecol. Lett.* **8**: 70–79.
- Bisaro, D.M. 2006. Silencing suppression by geminivirus proteins. *Virology* **344**: 158–168.
- Chapman, E.J., Prokhnovsky, A.I., Gopinath, K., Dolja, V.V., and Carrington, J.C. 2004. Viral RNA silencing suppressors inhibit the microRNA pathway at an intermediate step. *Genes & Dev.* **18**: 1179–1186.
- Chuck, G., Lincoln, C., and Hake, S. 1996. *KNAT1* induces lobed leaves with ectopic meristems when overexpressed in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **8**: 1277–1289.
- Clough, S.J. and Bent, A.F. 1998. Floral dip: A simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **16**: 735–743.
- Cui, X., Tao, X., Xie, Y., Fauquet, C.M., and Zhou, X. 2004. A DNAβ associated with tomato yellow leaf curl China virus is required for symptom induction. *J. Virol.* **78**: 13966–13974.
- Cui, X., Li, G., Wang, D., Hu, D., and Zhou, X. 2005. A begomovirus DNAβ-encoded protein binds DNA, functions as a suppressor of RNA silencing, and targets the cell nucleus. *J. Virol.* **79**: 10764–10775.
- Culver, J.N. and Padmanabhan, M.S. 2007. Virus-induced disease: Altering host physiology one interaction at a time. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **45**: 221–243.
- de Vos, M., Kim, J.H., and Jander, G. 2007. Biochemistry and molecular biology of *Arabidopsis*–aphid interactions. *Bioessays* **29**: 871–883.
- Dunoyer, P., Lecellier, C.-H., Parizotto, E.A., Himber, C., and Voinnet, O. 2004. Probing the MicroRNA and small interfering RNA pathways with virus-encoded suppressors of RNA silencing. *Plant Cell* **16**: 1235–1250.
- Emery, J.F., Floyd, S.K., Alvarez, J., Eshed, Y., Hawker, N.P., Izhaki, A., Baum, S.F., and Bowman, J.L. 2003. Radial patterning of *Arabidopsis* shoots by class III HD-ZIP and KANADI genes. *Curr. Biol.* **13**: 1768–1774.
- Gao, G. and Luo, H. 2006. The ubiquitin–proteasome pathway in viral infections. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **84**: 5–14.
- Garcia, D., Collier, S.A., Byrne, M.E., and Martienssen, R.A. 2006. Specification of leaf polarity in *Arabidopsis* via the *trans*-acting siRNA pathway. *Curr. Biol.* **16**: 933–938.
- Grossman, S., Johannsen, E., Tong, X., Yalamanchili, R., and Kieff, E. 1994. The Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 2 transactivator is directed to response elements by the Jκ recombination signal binding protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 7568–7572.
- Guan, C. and Zhou, X. 2006. Phloem specific promoter from a satellite associated with a DNA virus. *Virus Res.* **115**: 150–157.
- Hayward, S.D., Liu, J., and Fujimuro, M. 2006. Notch and Wnt signaling: Mimicry and manipulation by γ herpesviruses. *Sci. STKE* **2006**: re4. doi: 10.1126/stke.3352006re4.
- Howe, G.A. and Jander, G. 2008. Plant immunity to insect herbivores. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**: 41–66.
- Hsieh, J. and Hayward, S. 1995. Masking of the CBF1/RBPJ κ transcriptional repression domain by Epstein-Barr virus EBNA2. *Science* **268**: 560–563.
- Hull, R. 2001. *Matthews' plant virology*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Husband, A., Bell, E.M., Shuai, B., Smith, H.M.S., and Springer, P.S. 2007. LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES defines a new family of DNA-binding transcription factors and can interact with specific bHLH proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: 6663–6671.
- Iwakawa, H., Ueno, Y., Semiarti, E., Onouchi, H., Kojima, S., Tsukaya, H., Hasebe, M., Soma, T., Ikezaki, M., Machida, C., et al. 2002. The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, required for formation of a symmetric flat leaf lamina, encodes a member of a novel family of proteins characterized by cysteine repeats and a leucine zipper. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **43**: 467–478.
- Iwakawa, H., Iwasaki, M., Kojima, S., Ueno, Y., Soma, T., Tanaka, H., Semiarti, E., Machida, Y., and Machida, C. 2007. Expression of the ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene in the adaxial domain of *Arabidopsis* leaves represses cell proliferation in this domain and is critical for the development of properly expanded leaves. *Plant J.* **51**: 173–184.
- Jiu, M., Zhou, X.-P., Tong, L., Xu, J., Yang, X., Wan, F.-H., and Liu, S.-S. 2007. Vector–virus mutualism accelerates population increase of an invasive whitefly. *PLoS ONE* **2**: e182. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000182.
- Kasschau, K.D., Xie, Z., Allen, E., Llave, C., Chapman, E.J., Krizan, K.A., and Carrington, J.C. 2003. P1/HC-Pro, a viral suppressor of RNA silencing, interferes with *Arabidopsis* development and miRNA function. *Dev. Cell* **4**: 205–217.
- Kempema, L.A., Cui, X., Holzer, F.M., and Walling, L.L. 2007. *Arabidopsis* transcriptome changes in response to phloem-feeding silverleaf whitefly nymphs. Similarities and distinctions in responses to aphids. *Plant Physiol.* **143**: 849–865.
- Lakatos, L., Csorba, T., Pantaleo, V., Chapman, E.J., Carrington, J.C., Liu, Y.P., Dolja, V.V., Calvino, L.F., Lopez-Moya, J.J., and Burgyn, J. 2006. Small RNA binding is a common strategy to suppress RNA silencing by several viral suppressors. *EMBO J.* **25**: 2768–2780.
- Li, F. and Ding, S.-W. 2006. Virus counterdefense: Diverse strategies for evading the RNA-silencing immunity. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **60**: 503–531.
- Lin, W.-c., Shuai, B., and Springer, P.S. 2003. The *Arabidopsis* LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES-domain gene ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 functions in the repression of KNOX gene expression and in adaxial–abaxial patterning. *Plant Cell* **15**: 2241–2252.
- Mallory, A.C., Reinhart, B.J., Jones-Rhoades, M.W., Tang, G., Zamore, P.D., Barton, M.K., and Bartel, D.P. 2004. Mi-

- croRNA control of PHABULOSA in leaf development: Importance of pairing to the microRNA 5' region. *EMBO J.* **23**: 3356–3364.
- Mansoor, S., Zafar, Y., and Briddon, R.W. 2006. Geminivirus disease complexes: The threat is spreading. *Trends Plant Sci.* **11**: 209–212.
- Maris, P.C., Joosten, N.N., Goldbach, R.W., and Peters, D. 2004. Tomato spotted wilt virus infection improves host suitability for its vector *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Phytopathology* **94**: 706–711.
- McConnell, J.R., Emery, J., Eshed, Y., Bao, N., Bowman, J., and Barton, M.K. 2001. Role of PHABULOSA and PHAVOLUTA in determining radial patterning in shoots. *Nature* **411**: 709–713.
- Mlotshwa, S., Schauer, S.E., Smith, T.H., Mallory, A.C., Herr Jr., J.M., Roth, B., Merchant, D.S., Ray, A., Bowman, L.H., and Vance, V.B. 2005. Ectopic DICER-LIKE1 expression in P1/HC-Pro *Arabidopsis* rescues phenotypic anomalies but not defects in microRNA and silencing pathways. *Plant Cell* **17**: 2873–2885.
- Nurmberg, P.L., Knox, K.A., Yun, B.-W., Morris, P.C., Shafiei, R., Hudson, A., and Loake, G.J. 2007. The developmental selector AS1 is an evolutionarily conserved regulator of the plant immune response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**: 18795–18800.
- Ori, N., Eshed, Y., Chuck, G., Bowman, J., and Hake, S. 2000. Mechanisms that control knox gene expression in the *Arabidopsis* shoot. *Development* **127**: 5523–5532.
- Saunders, K., Norman, A., Gucciardo, S., and Stanley, J. 2004. The DNA β satellite component associated with ageratum yellow vein disease encodes an essential pathogenicity protein (β C1). *Virology* **324**: 37–47.
- Semiarti, E., Ueno, Y., Tsukaya, H., Iwakawa, H., Machida, C., and Machida, Y. 2001. The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene of *Arabidopsis thaliana* regulates formation of a symmetric lamina, establishment of venation and repression of meristem-related homeobox genes in leaves. *Development* **128**: 1771–1783.
- Stout, M.J., Thaler, J.S., and Thomma, B.P.H.J. 2006. Plant-mediated interactions between pathogenic microorganisms and herbivorous arthropods. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **51**: 663–689.
- Theodoris, G., Inada, N., and Freeling, M. 2003. Conservation and molecular dissection of ROUGH SHEATH2 and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 function in leaf development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 6837–6842.
- Ueno, Y., Ishikawa, T., Watanabe, K., Terakura, S., Iwakawa, H., Okada, K., Machida, C., and Machida, Y. 2007. Histone deacetylases and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 are involved in the establishment of polarity in leaves of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **19**: 445–457.
- Xu, L., Xu, Y., Dong, A., Sun, Y., Pi, L., Xu, Y., and Huang, H. 2003. Novel as1 and as2 defects in leaf adaxial–abaxial polarity reveal the requirement for ASYMMETRIC LEAVES1 and 2 and ERECTA functions in specifying leaf adaxial identity. *Development* **130**: 4097–4107.
- Zarate, S.I., Kempema, L.A., and Walling, L.L. 2007. Silverleaf whitefly induces salicylic acid defenses and suppresses effective jasmonic acid defenses. *Plant Physiol.* **143**: 866–875.
- Zhang, X., Yuan, Y.-R., Pei, Y., Lin, S.-S., Tuschl, T., Patel, D.J., and Chua, N.-H. 2006. Cucumber mosaic virus-encoded 2b suppressor inhibits *Arabidopsis* Argonaute1 cleavage activity to counter plant defense. *Genes & Dev.* **20**: 3255–3268.
- Zuo, J., Niu, Q.-W., and Chua, N.-H. 2000. An estrogen receptor-based transactivator XVE mediates highly inducible gene expression in transgenic plants. *Plant J.* **24**: 265–273.

β C1, the pathogenicity factor of TYLCCNV, interacts with AS1 to alter leaf development and suppress selective jasmonic acid responses

Jun-Yi Yang, Mayumi Iwasaki, Chiyoko Machida, et al.

Genes Dev. 2008, **22**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1682208](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1682208)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2008/09/16/22.18.2564.DC1>

References

This article cites 43 articles, 20 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/18/2564.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.

USC
SCIENTIFIC
SIDE BY SCIENCE