TBP, Mot1, and NC2 establish a regulatory circuit that controls DPE-dependent versus TATA-dependent transcription
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The RNA polymerase II core promoter is a structurally and functionally diverse transcriptional module. RNAi depletion and overexpression experiments revealed a genetic circuit that controls the balance of transcription from two core promoter motifs, the TATA box and the downstream core promoter element (DPE). In this circuit, TBP activates TATA-dependent transcription and represses DPE-dependent transcription, whereas Mot1 and NC2 block TBP function and thus repress TATA-dependent transcription and activate DPE-dependent transcription. This regulatory circuit is likely to be one means by which biological networks can transmit transcriptional signals, such as those from DPE-specific and TATA-specific enhancers, via distinct pathways.

Results and Discussion

RNAi depletion of TBP reduces TATA-dependent but not DPE-dependent transcription

In this study, we used cultured Drosophila cells as the experimental system to investigate DPE versus TATA function. We created two sets of reporter constructs that contain either TATA or DPE motifs driving a luciferase reporter gene. The DPE-deleted and TATA-deleted constructs were compared in each set, and the DPE- and TATA-containing constructs were expressed in the presence of RNAi. The results showed that depletion of TBP significantly reduced the TATA-dependent transcription, whereas the DPE-dependent transcription was not affected. This suggests that TBP is a key factor in the balance between DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent transcription.

There are differences in the functional properties of DPE-dependent versus TATA-dependent core promoters. For instance, an enhancer-trapping analysis in Drosophila revealed the existence of DPE-specific as well as TATA-specific transcriptional enhancers. In this study, we investigated the properties of key transcription factors that promote DPE-dependent versus TATA-dependent transcription, and we found that TBP is a key factor in this balance. These findings suggest that TBP plays a crucial role in regulating the balance between DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent transcription.
Figure 1. Depletion of TBP reduces TATA-dependent but not DPE-dependent transcription. *Drosophila* S2 cells were depleted of the indicated factors by RNAi, and then transfected with TATA-dependent or DPE-dependent luciferase reporter genes. The activities of the RNAi-depleted extracts are reported as luminescent units per microgram of protein of RNAi-depleted extracts relative to the luminescent units per microgram of protein of mock RNAi-treated control extracts. The experimental scheme and reporter constructs are depicted at the bottom of the figure. "PC4-like" is Ssb-C31a, which is the *Drosophila* protein that is most closely related to mammalian PC4. The error bars represent the standard deviation.

Depletion analysis (Fig. 1). The transcription factors were selected on the basis of their fundamental importance as well as their potential role in DPE-dependent transcription. We first carried out RNAi depletion of each target factor (for Western blot data, see Supplemental Figs. 2–4), and then transfected one-half of the cells with the DPE-dependent reporter construct and the other half of the cells with the TATA-dependent reporter. The resulting transcription levels were assessed by measurement of the luciferase activities relative to those in mock RNAi controls.

Depletion of TBP sharply decreases TATA-dependent transcription, but has little effect on DPE-dependent transcription (Fig. 1). This effect was observed with a distinct and independent set of DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent reporter constructs (Supplemental Fig. 5) as well as with a different nonoverlapping dsRNA probe for TBP (Supplemental Fig. 6). Consistent with the ability of TFIIA to promote TBP binding to DNA (for example, see Buratowski et al. 1989; Maldonado et al. 1990), we observed that depletion of TFIIA reduces TATA transcription more than DPE transcription with two different sets of reporter constructs (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 5). In contrast, we did not see differential DPE versus TATA effects upon RNAi depletion of TAF4 (which is essential for the structural integrity of TFIIID) [Wright et al. 2006], TFIIB, CKIIα, a PC4-like protein, subunits of Mediator [Med17, Med24], or subunits of the SAGA/TFTC complex [Gcn5, Spt3, Ada2b] (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 5).

Thus, these findings indicate that TBP and, to a lesser extent, TFIIA have a key role in discriminating between DPE- versus TATA-dependent transcription. The stronger effect of TBP relative to TFIIA is consistent with an auxiliary function of TFIIA, such as its ability to increase the binding of TBP to the TATA box. Because depletion of TBP did not adversely affect DPE-dependent transcription, we considered the possibility that DPE-dependent transcription might involve a factor, such as SAGA/TFTC, that lacks TBP (Wierzchok et al. 1998; for review, see Nagy and Tora 2007). We therefore tested the effect of depletion of three SAGA/TFTC subunits (Gcn5, Spt3, and Ada2b), but did not see a substantial decrease in DPE-dependent transcription or any differential DPE versus TATA effects. Thus, it appears unlikely that SAGA/TFTC is important for DPE-dependent transcription. Lastly, upon depletion of CKII, Mediator, PC4-like, TAF4, and TFIIB, we observed a decrease in both DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent transcription. These results are consistent with a more general transcriptional function rather than a DPE-specific or TATA-specific activity for these factors.

**NC2 and Mot1 promote DPE-dependent transcription by acting via TBP**

NC2 has been previously found to be a DPE-specific transcriptional activator [Willy et al. 2000]. With a different biochemical system, however, NC2-mediated enhancement of DPE transcription was not observed (Lewis et al. 2005). We therefore sought to clarify these apparently contrasting results by RNAi analysis of NC2 with our DPE versus TATA reporter gene systems (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 1). NC2 comprises two subunits, NC2α [Drp1] and NC2β [Dr1]. Upon RNAi depletion of either NC2α or NC2β (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. 4A), we observed a more substantial decrease in DPE- relative to TATA-dependent transcription with two different sets of reporter genes (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. 5) as well as with two different dsRNAs [Supplemental Fig. 6]. These results therefore indicate that NC2 promotes DPE-dependent transcription relative to TATA-dependent transcription in cultured cells.

Next, we tested the effects of Mot1 (also known as BTAF1 and Hel89B) on DPE versus TATA transcription. Like NC2, Mot1 antagonizes TBP function. NC2 represses TATA-dependent transcription by blocking the association of TBP with other factors such as TFIIA and TFIIIB [for review, see Thomas and Chiang 2006]. Mot1 is an ATPase that removes TBP from DNA by an ATP-dependent mechanism [for example, see Auble et al. 1994; Pereira et al. 2003]. Genetic studies in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* suggest that NC2 and Mot1 have related functions [Prellich 1997; Lemaire et al. 2000]. NC2 and Mot1 bind to overlapping regions in the yeast genome and form a complex with TBP and DNA [Darst et al. 2003; van Werven et al. 2008]. In addition, although NC2 and Mot1 are often thought to be repressive, a positive function for these factors has been observed in vitro and in vivo (Willy et al. 2000; Andrau et al. 2002; Gang and Prelich 2002, Dasgupta et al. 2002, 2005; Geisberg and Struhl 2004; Albert et al. 2007, van Werven et al. 2008).

We observed that RNAi depletion of Mot1 (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. 2) has a stronger detrimental effect on DPE-dependent than TATA-dependent transcription (Fig. 2B). This effect was seen with two different sets of reporter genes as well as with two independent nonoverlapping dsRNA fragments [Supplemental Figs. 5, 6]. Thus, like NC2, Mot1 promotes DPE-relative to TATA-dependent transcription.

To investigate the relationship between TBP, NC2, and Mot1 in the regulation of core promoter activity, we codepleted different combinations of these factors and determined the resulting effects upon DPE versus TATA transcription. Codepletion of both NC2α and Mot1 preferentially decreases DPE relative to TATA transcription to an extent that is similar to that seen upon depletion of
either NC2α or Mot1 alone (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that NC2 and Mot1 promote DPE-dependent transcription via the same pathway. In contrast, when we codepleted TBP + Mot1 or TBP + NC2α, we observed nearly the same effect on DPE versus TATA transcription as that seen upon depletion of TBP alone (Fig. 2B). These findings suggest that TBP is downstream from NC2 and Mot1 in the pathway that regulates DPE versus TATA transcription. Thus, NC2 and Mot1 appear to modulate DPE versus TATA transcription by acting via TBP.

**Opposing effects of overexpression of TBP versus Mot1 or NC2**

To complement the RNAi depletion studies, we investigated the effects of overexpression of TBP, Mot1, or NC2 in S2 cells [Fig. 2C]. In these experiments, we cotransfected TBP, Mot1, or NC2 expression vectors along with the DPE-dependent or TATA-dependent reporter constructs. Overexpression of TBP increases TATA-dependent transcription and decreases DPE-dependent transcription. Conversely, overexpression of Mot1 increases DPE-dependent transcription and decreases TATA-dependent transcription. Overexpression of both subunits of NC2 decreases TATA-dependent transcription, but has little effect on DPE-dependent transcription. Consistent with the two NC2 subunits functioning together in a complex, overexpression of NC2α alone or NC2β alone has no effect on DPE-dependent or TATA-dependent transcription. In addition, we carried out a parallel set of overexpression experiments with TBP, Mot1, and NC2 with a different set of DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent reporter genes, and obtained nearly identical results [Supplemental Fig. 7]. These findings further demonstrate that TBP favors TATA relative to DPE transcription, whereas Mot1 and NC2 favor DPE relative to TATA transcription.

**Mot1 and NC2 have opposite effects as TBP upon transcription of endogenous genes**

To examine the functions of TBP, Mot1, and NC2 in a more natural context, we investigated the effects of RNAi depletion of TBP, Mot1, or NC2 upon transcription of endogenous DPE- or TATA-containing genes in Drosophila Kc cells. In these experiments, we employed secondary/late ecdysone-responsive genes that are activated upon ecdysone induction. In this manner, we were able to characterize the requirements for TBP, Mot1, and NC2 for transcriptional activation. Many genes in Drosophila are activated by the steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone [20HE] [for review, see King-Jones and Thummel 2005]. We obtained a list of genes that are induced by 20HE in Drosophila Kc cells [generous gift of Dr. Lucy Cherbas and Dr. Peter Cherbas, Indiana University] [L. Cherbas and P. Cherbas, unpubl.]. From this list, we identified secondary/late ecdysone-responsive genes that are activated upon ecdysone induction. In this manner, we were able to characterize the requirements for TBP, Mot1, and NC2 for transcriptional activation.

**Figure 2.** Mot1 and NC2 act in opposition to TBP to promote DPE transcription relative to TATA transcription. (A) Mot1, NC2, and TBP are efficiently depleted by RNAi in S2 cells. (B) The ability of Mot1 and NC2 to affect DPE-dependent versus TATA-dependent transcription requires TBP. RNAi depletion analysis of the indicated factors was carried out as in Figure 1. (C) Overexpression of TBP has the opposite effect as overexpression of Mot1 or NC2 upon DPE-dependent versus TATA-dependent transcription. The indicated expression vectors were co-transfected with DPE-dependent or TATA-dependent luciferase reporter genes. In each series of transfections, the total amount of expression vector was maintained at a constant level by the inclusion, where necessary, of a compensatory amount of empty vector [pAc5.1]. The reporter gene activities with the expression vectors are given relative to those obtained with the empty vector alone. The error bars represent the standard deviation.
analysis of mRNA isolated from Kc cells (Supplemental Fig. 9).

We thus carried out the RNAi analysis of the endogenous secondary/late-response genes as follows (Fig. 3): TBP, TAF4, NC2α, and Mot1 were each individually depleted by RNAi in Kc cells for 4 d, and then the ecdysone-responsive genes were induced with 20HE for 24 h. The total RNA was isolated, and the transcript levels of the selected genes were determined by real-time RT-PCR. We observed that depletion of TBP decreases transcription of the TATA-containing promoters and increases transcription of the DPE-containing promoters. Thus, these results suggest not only that TBP activates TATA-dependent promoters, but also that it represses DPE-dependent promoters. Conversely, we found that depletion of Mot1 or NC2α decreases transcription of DPE-containing promoters and increases transcription of TATA-containing promoters. These findings suggest a positive function of Mot1 and NC2 at DPE-dependent promoters and a negative function at TATA-containing promoters. RNAi depletion of TAF4 causes a substantial decrease in transcription from both DPE-containing and TATA-containing promoters. These results further support the conclusion that TAF4 is required for both DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent transcription.

The RNAi depletion analysis with the endogenous genes [Fig. 3] leads to nearly the same conclusions as the experiments with the transfected luciferase reporter genes [Figs. 1, 2B]. Both sets of experiments indicate that TBP favors TATA-dependent relative to DPE-dependent transcription, and that Mot1 and NC2 favor DPE-dependent relative to TATA-dependent transcription. However, it is useful to note the two distinctions. First, TBP depletion results in an increase in transcription from endogenous DPE-containing genes, but does not alter transcription from transfected DPE-dependent reporter genes. Second, depletion of Mot1 or NC2α causes an increase in transcription from endogenous TATA-containing genes, but results in a slight decrease in transcription from transfected TATA-dependent reporter genes. The analysis of the endogenous genes is likely to provide a more accurate representation of TBP, Mot1, and NC2 activity than the studies with the transfected genes, because the endogenous genes are in their natural context at the normal copy number and the experiments with the endogenous genes do not involve the extra transfection procedure. Thus, the findings from the analysis of the endogenous genes suggest a repressive function of TBP at DPE-dependent promoters as well as a repressive function of Mot1 and NC2 at TATA-dependent promoters.

**TBP ChIP increases upon induction of TATA-containing but not DPE-containing promoters**

We further characterized the secondary/late ecdysone-responsive genes by ChIP analysis [Fig. 4] with TBP and RNA polymerase II (Rpb3 subunit), for which ChIP-quality antibodies were available. With the TATA-containing *CG4500* promoter, there is increased ChIP signal for both TBP and Rpb3 in the promoter region upon 20HE induction. In the control/reference TATA-containing *hsp70* promoter, we also observed an increase in ChIP of TBP and Rpb3 in the promoter region [Lebedeva et al. 2005]. By comparison, with the DPE-containing *Glut1* and *CG16876* promoters, there is increased ChIP of Rpb3 in the promoter region upon 20HE induction; however, the ChIP signal for TBP does not increase under the same conditions. The absence of an increased ChIP signal for TBP with the DPE-containing promoters does not necessarily indicate that TBP is not present at the promoter; for instance, it is possible that TBP may be in an altered configuration that masks the accessibility of the antibodies. Yet, whether or not TBP is in close proximity to the DPE-containing promoters, these results show that there are differences in the nature of the interaction of TBP with TATA-containing versus DPE-containing promoters.
It is also relevant to note that we chose to use secondary/late-response genes in these studies, because secondary/late genes are more likely than primary/early-response genes to be in a naïve state prior to ecdysone induction. To test this notion, we carried out RNAi depletion analyses with two primary/early-response genes, E74A and E75B, both of which contain DPE motifs. With these genes, we did not observe any change in transcription upon RNAi depletion of TBP, TAF4, Mot1, or NC2α (data not shown). Moreover, ChIP analysis further revealed that both TBP and RNA polymerase II (Rpb3 subunit) are present at the promoters prior to ecdysone induction. Therefore, it appears likely that these primary/early-response genes exist in a preactivated state that does not require the subsequent action of factors such as TFIID, Mot1, or NC2.

A genetic circuit in which Mot1 and NC2 oppose TBP to control DPE versus TATA transcription

The RNAi depletion and overexpression data reveal a regulatory circuit with the following properties: TBP activates TATA-dependent transcription and represses DPE-transcription; then, Mot1 and NC2 act to block both the activating and repressive functions of TBP [Fig. 5]. In this model, there are opposing forces that alter the balance between DPE versus TATA transcription. A decrease in TBP or an increase in Mot1/NC2 favors DPE transcription, whereas an increase in TBP or a decrease in Mot1/NC2 favors TATA transcription. Importantly, the functions of Mot1 and NC2 are dependent on TBP, as seen in Figure 2B. In addition, the proposed circuit is consistent with the known antagonistic relationship between TBP and NC2 as well as between TBP and Mot1.

How might TBP repress DPE-dependent transcription? Two possible explanations are as follows. First, in the absence of a TATA box, TBP might interfere with the proper assembly of the transcription initiation complex. Second, there may be an essential DPE-directed transcription factor that is inhibited by TBP. It is possible that DPE-mediated transcription does not directly involve TBP, as there is substantial evidence of RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription occurring in the absence of TBP (for example, see Veenstra et al. 2000; Müller et al. 2001; Martianov et al. 2002; Paulson et al. 2002; Deato and Tjian 2007; Ferg et al. 2007).

We also considered whether either of the TBP-related factors, TRF1 and TRF2, are used instead of TBP at DPE-containing promoters. To this end, we examined the effect of depleting TRF1 or TRF2 upon the expression of DPE-containing versus TATA-containing endogenous genes [Supplemental Fig. 10]. TRF1, which is largely involved in RNA polymerase III transcription in Droso phila [Takada et al. 2000; Isogai et al. 2007b], has little or no effect on transcription of DPE-containing or TATA-containing genes. TRF2 is important for both DPE-mediated and TATA-mediated transcription. The effect of TRF2 is similar to that of TAF4, which appears to contribute to both DPE-dependent and TATA-dependent transcription. Neither TRF1 nor TRF2 exhibit an opposite effect on DPE-mediated versus TATA-mediated transcription as do TBP, Mot1, and NC2 [Fig. 3]. In addition, a genome-wide ChIP analysis of TRF2 did not reveal an association of TRF2 with DPE-containing genes [Isogai et al. 2007a]. Thus, at the present time, there is no evidence suggesting a specific link between either TRF1 or TRF2 and DPE-mediated or TATA-mediated transcription.

In conclusion, the analysis of TBP, Mot1, and NC2 in the context of DPE-containing versus TATA-containing promoters has revealed a regulatory circuit that controls the balance between DPE-mediated versus TATA-mediated transcription. This circuit may be a key means by which DPE or TATA specificity of transcriptional enhancers is achieved. In the future, it will be interesting and important to build upon this core circuit to identify the connections and mechanisms by which biological networks use DPE and TATA specificity to increase the number of pathways by which signals can be transmitted.

Materials and methods

RNAi and overexpression analyses

For RNAi-coupled reporter assays, cells were treated with dsRNA for 3 d and then transfection with the firefly luciferase reporter (0.2 pmol) and the pol III–Renilla control plasmid (50 ng) with Effectene (Qiagen). For overexpression experiments, the indicated amounts of expression vector were combined, as necessary, with empty expression vector (pAc5.1) to give a total of 1 µg of expression vector, and then cotransfected with the firefly luciferase reporter (0.2 pmol) and the pol III–Renilla control plasmid (50 ng) with Transfectect [Klopfenstein Technology]. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were washed with PBS and then lysed with 1× RLB (Promega). The firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were measured by using reagents from the Dual-luciferase reporter assay systems (Promega). The protein concentration of cell lysates was measured with the BCA reagent (Pierce). For ecdysone treatment during RNAi, Kc167 cells were treated with dsRNA for 3 or 4 d and then incubated with 1 µM of 20-hydroxy-ecdysone (20HE) for 24 h. Additional Materials and Methods are available in the Supplemental Material.
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