RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Noncyclic Notch activity in the presomitic mesoderm demonstrates uncoupling of somite compartmentalization and boundary formation

Juliane Feller, Andre Schneider,¹
Karin Schuster-Gossler, and Achim Gossler²

Institute for Molecular Biology, Medizinische Hochschule
Hannover, D-30625 Hanover, Germany

To test the significance of cyclic Notch activity for somite formation in mice, we analyzed embryos expressing activated Notch (NICD) throughout the presomitic mesoderm (PSM). Embryos expressing NICD formed up to 18 somites. Expression in the PSM of *Hes7*, *Lfng*, and *Spry2* was no longer cyclic, whereas *Axin2* was expressed dynamically. NICD expression led to caudalization of somites, and loss of Notch activity to their rostralization. Thus, segmentation and anterior-posterior somite patterning can be uncoupled, differential Notch signaling is not required to form segment borders, and Notch is unlikely to be the pacemaker of the segmentation clock.

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received March 20, 2008; revised version accepted June 17, 2008.

Somitogenesis subdivides the paraxial mesoderm of vertebrate embryos into a series of homologous subunits, the somites. Somites form sequentially at the anterior end of the presomitic mesoderm (PSM). A molecular oscillator referred to as "segmentation clock" directs cyclic expression of genes in the PSM and is coupled with the progression of somitogenesis. Expression of cyclic genes is coordinated such that one wave of expression passes through the PSM during the formation of one somite (Palmeirim et al. 1997; McGrew et al. 1998; Jiang et al. 2000; Jouve et al. 2000; Aulehla et al. 2003). Microarray studies of the mouse PSM transcriptome showed in part mutually exclusive activation of the Notch, FGF, and Wnt pathways during each cycle, suggesting coordinated regulation of these three pathways (Dequeant et al. 2006). There is evidence that Wnt activity in the PSM acts upstream of Notch (Aulehla et al. 2003; Hofmann et al. 2004). However, cyclic *Lfng* expression was maintained in embryos with constitutive Wnt activity in the PSM (Aulehla et al. 2007). Similarly, the epistatic relationship of FGF and Wnt signaling is not clear, as studies

in mice suggested both that FGF signaling acts upstream of Notch and Wnt (Wahl et al. 2007) and that Wnt is upstream of Notch and FGF (Dunty et al. 2008). Thus, it is still unclear how the activities of the Notch, FGF, and Wnt pathways are coordinated, and how these pathways contribute to segment border formation and somite patterning in mouse embryos.

Thus far, the most comprehensively studied genes displaying cyclic expression encode components of the Notch pathway. In mice, these are lunatic fringe (*Lfng*) and the bHLH genes *Hes1*, *Hes7*, and *Hey2* (McGrew et al. 1998; Jouve et al. 2000; Leimeister et al. 2000; Bessho et al. 2001). Notch1 activity itself oscillates in the posterior PSM and appears to be arrested in the anterior PSM through Mesp2-induced repression by *Lfng* (Morimoto et al. 2005), a glycosyltransferase that modulates the receptiveness of Notch to various ligands (Hicks et al. 2000). *Lfng* expression is regulated by Notch activity (Morales et al. 2002), and *Lfng* was proposed to negatively regulate Notch1 activity in the PSM and to establish a negative feedback loop that drives cyclic Notch activity (Dale et al. 2003; Morimoto et al. 2005). Similarly, *Hes7* oscillations are generated by a negative feedback loop of unstable *Hes7* protein periodically repressing *Hes7* transcription (Bessho et al. 2001, 2003; Hirata et al. 2004). Mice homozygous for null alleles of *Lfng* and *Hes7*, respectively, display defects in somite compartmentalization, and somites are irregular in form and size (Zhang and Gridley 1998; Bessho et al. 2001).

Studies in different vertebrate species addressing Notch function during somitogenesis have led to various views as to the role of Notch in this process. It has been proposed that the segmentation clock regulates the periodic activation of Notch (Pourquie 1999; Serth et al. 2003), its signaling is required for the synchronization of the clock in neighboring cells (Jiang et al. 2000; Ozbudak and Lewis 2008), and the Notch pathway is part of the oscillator (Holley et al. 2002; Morales et al. 2002; Dale et al. 2003; Morimoto et al. 2005; Mara et al. 2007). In mouse embryos, extensive genetic studies led to the view that in the anterior PSM, Mesp2-induced downregulation of Notch activity leads to somite boundaries where cells with activated Notch1 abut cells with repressed Notch1 activity, that the generation of somite polarity and borders is coupled, and Notch is part of the core of the segmentation clock (Takahashi et al. 2003; Morimoto et al. 2005; Saga 2007). This contrasts with recent findings in zebrafish that indicated a role for Notch signaling merely in the posterior PSM in the synchronization of cells (Ozbudak and Lewis 2008). To further study the role of Notch activity in somite formation and patterning in mice, we analyzed embryos expressing activated Notch (NICD) throughout the PSM. Our results argue against a role for Notch as a pacemaker of the clock, show that the confrontation of domains with and without Notch activity is not a prerequisite for border formation, and demonstrate that segmentation and anterior-posterior somite patterning can be uncoupled.

Results and Discussion

To activate Notch signaling throughout the PSM, we generated transgenic mice expressing Cre in the primitive streak under the control of regulatory elements of

[Keywords: Notch signaling; segmentation; somite patterning; somitogenesis]

¹Present address: Max-Planck-Institut für Herz- und Lungenforschung, D-61231 Bad Nauheim, Germany

²Corresponding author.

E-MAIL Gossler.Achim@mh-hannover.de; FAX 49-511-532-4283.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.480408>.

Figure 1. Segmentation in embryos with constitutive Notch activity. (A–F) In situ hybridization of embryos showing expression of the *Nicd-Gfp* fusion transcript throughout the paraxial mesoderm of T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos (A,B) and up-regulation of *Hey1* in the PSM and the somites (C,D) compared with wild-type embryos (E,F). (G,I,L) Scanning electron microscopic pictures illustrating somites in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (G,I) and wild-type (L) embryos. (H,I,M,N) Hemalaun-stained plastic sections of somites in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (H,I) and wild-type (M,N) embryos. (K) Segmented paraxial mesoderm in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos visualized by *Myf5* expression. Developmental stages are indicated on top. Bars: H,I,M,N, 500 μ m.

the brachyury gene (Stott et al. 1993), and crossed these mice to ROSA^{NICD} mice that allow for conditional expression of the constitutively active intracellular domain of Notch1 (NICD) by Cre-mediated excision of a stop cassette (Murtaugh et al. 2003). Cre activity resulted in reporter gene expression throughout mesodermal tissues (Supplemental Fig. 1), and in embryos carrying the ROSA^{NICD} allele and the Cre transgene (hereafter referred to as T-NICD embryos), NICD-Gfp fusion transcripts were detected throughout the PSM and in somites (Fig. 1A,B). The Notch target *Hey1* was strongly up-regulated throughout the paraxial mesoderm of T-NICD embryos (Fig. 1, cf. C,D and E,F) demonstrating activation of the Notch pathway. T-NICD embryos did not complete turning, became severely retarded and distorted after embryonic day 9.5 (E9.5) with impaired elongation of the embryonic axis, and died around E10.5 with swollen pericardial sacs (data not shown), most likely due to cardiovascular defects. However, despite these defects, T-NICD embryos at E9.5 ($n = 22$) had formed on average 13 (range from 9 to 18) (Supplemental Fig. 2A) irregularly shaped somites of variable size (mean 70.35 μ m, range 38–111 μ m; $n = 60$) (Supplemental Fig. 2B)

with clearly discernable segment borders (Fig. 1G–K), indicating that the presence of activated transgenic Notch (exoNICD) throughout the PSM did not prevent border formation.

To address how activation of endogenous Notch1 is affected by exoNICD, we analyzed the presence of endogenous NICD using an antibody that specifically recognizes the cleaved form of Notch1 (but not the transgene-encoded NICD that lacks the V1744 epitope). In wild-type embryos, activated Notch1 (for clarity, from hereon referred to as endoNICD) was found in the posterior PSM in variable patterns, and in one or two bands in the anterior PSM (red lines in Fig. 2A–C), reflecting cyclic Notch activity. In contrast, T-NICD embryos ($n = 21$) showed one narrow stripe of endoNICD at the anterior end of the PSM (red arrowheads in Fig. 2D,E) that resembled the anterior endoNICD stripe of wild-type embryos, although levels appeared lower. In addition, a subset of T-NICD embryos ($n = 13$) had an additional weaker, fuzzy endoNICD stripe posterior to the anterior stripe (Fig. 2D, white arrowhead). In the posterior PSM region of T-NICD embryos, endoNICD was severely down-regulated (Fig. 2D,E), indicating that activation of Notch1 was disrupted in the T-NICD embryos.

The presence of a stripe(s) of endoNICD in the anterior

Figure 2. Endogenous Notch1 activity in wild-type and mutant embryos. (A–H) Immunohistochemical detection of activated endogenous Notch1 in the PSM. In contrast to wild-type embryos (A–C), endogenous Notch1 activity is down-regulated in the posterior but is found in one or two stripes in the anterior PSM (arrowheads in D,E) of T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos. (F) Specificity of antibody is demonstrated by the lack of staining in T(s)::Cre; *N1lox/lox* (I), T(s)::Cre; R-NICD; *Dll1lox/lox* (J), and *Pofut1*^{−/−} (K) embryos. Loss of *Dll1* (G) or *Pofut1* (H) function completely abolishes Notch1 activity. (I,N,O) Segmented paraxial mesoderm in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD; *N1lox/lox* (I), T(s)::Cre; R-NICD; *Dll1lox/lox* (N), and *Pofut1*^{−/−} (O) embryos visualized by *Myf5* expression. (J–M) Overlapping expression of *Notch1* (J,L) and *Notch2* (K,M) in the anterior PSM of wild-type (J,K) and T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (L,M) embryos. (P,Q) Sections of plastic-embedded *Pofut1*^{−/−} embryos. Sections are 50 μ m apart from the same serially sectioned embryo. Bar: Q, 500 μ m.

PSM could generate regions where cells with higher levels of activated Notch (i.e., endoNICD plus exoNICD) abut cells with lower Notch activity (i.e., exoNICD only), which could underlie the generation of borders despite expression of exoNICD throughout the PSM. To test whether differential (endogenous) Notch1 activity in the anterior PSM might be responsible for border formation in T-NICD embryos, we simultaneously removed Notch1 (Supplemental Fig. 3A,B) from and expressed exoNICD in the paraxial mesoderm by combining a floxed Notch1 (Nicolas et al. 2003) and the *ROSA^{NICD}* allele. Embryos with this allele combination also formed ~15 somites (Fig. 2I). In the anterior PSM of wild-type and T-NICD embryos, Notch2 expression overlaps with Notch1 expression in S0 and S-I (Fig. 2J–M). Loss of both Notch activities disrupts somitogenesis more severely than a mutation in either gene (Huppert et al. 2005), indicating some functional redundancy, and opening the possibility that Notch2 activity might contribute to somite border formation in T-NICD embryos. To test this possibility, we simultaneously removed Delta1 from (Supplemental Fig. 3C,D) and expressed exoNICD in the paraxial mesoderm by combining a floxed Delta1 (Hozumi et al. 2004) and the *ROSA^{NICD}* allele. Dll1 is the critical Notch ligand in the PSM (Fig. 2G). Its loss affects Notch target gene expression throughout the PSM (del Barco Barrantes et al. 1999; Jouve et al. 2000; Morales et al. 2002; Morimoto et al. 2005) and leads to somite patterning defects much more severe than loss of Notch1 (Conlon et al. 1995) and similar to the complete loss of Notch activity (Fig. 4, cf. E,F and G,H, below). Thus, removal of Dll1 activity should effectively eliminate endogenous Notch activation in the PSM. Embryos lacking Dll1 and expressing exoNICD were virtually identical to T-NICD embryos with respect to border formation (Fig. 2N), further supporting that segment borders form despite constitutive NICD expression in the paraxial mesoderm of T-NICD embryos. To address whether Notch activation in general is required for segment border formation, we analyzed embryos mutant for *Pofut1*, which encodes O-FucT-1, an enzyme essential for O-fucosylation of Notch EGF repeats and Notch activity (Fig. 2H). Loss of O-FucT-1 activity abrogates all Notch activity and causes embryonic lethality at E9.5 (Fig. 2H; Shi and Stanley 2003), but mutant E9.25 embryos ($n = 8$) had on average 11 (range from 9 to 16) clearly discernable somites (Fig. 2O–Q). The apparently more severe segmentation defects in embryos without Notch activity compared with T-NICD embryos might be explained by the overall requirement for Notch during early embryonic development and the earlier arrest and demise of mutant embryos. Collectively, these data indicate that somite borders can form in the absence of Notch activity or the expression of exoNICD throughout the PSM, and thus without the confrontation of domains with and without Notch activity.

To address how exoNICD expression affects PSM patterning, we analyzed genes differentially expressed in the PSM. In T-NICD embryos, *Tbx6*, *Msgn*, and *Fgf8* were expressed in apparently normal broad posterior domains (Fig. 3A, panels b,d,f). Likewise, *Dll1* was expressed throughout the PSM with highest levels in the anterior region (Fig. 3A, panel h) similar to wild type. *Mesp2*, a gene essential for boundary formation (Saga et al. 1997), was expressed in T-NICD embryos ($n = 18$) in the anterior PSM in a single well defined stripe (Fig. 3A, panel j),

Figure 3. Patterning of the PSM in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos. (A) In situ hybridization of wild-type (panels a,c,e,g,i,k,m) and T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (panels b,d,f,h,j,l,n) embryos with the probes indicated on top demonstrates grossly normal subdivision of the PSM of T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos. (B) Cyclic expression of *Lfng* (panels a–c,f–h) and *Hes7* (panels k–m,p–r) in wild-type and static expression throughout the PSM in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD embryos (panels d,e,i,j,n,o,s,t). (Panels e,j) Extended color development revealed low levels of *Lfng* transcription throughout the posterior PSM. Cyclic expression of *Axin2* both in wild-type (panels u–w) and T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (panels x–z) embryos. Cyclic expression of *Spry2* in wild-type (panels za–zc) is disrupted in T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (panels zd,ze) embryos. (C) Tail halves of T(s)::Cre; R-NICD hybridized with *Mesp2* (panels a',b',c'), and *Lfng* (panel a), *Hes7* (panel b), and *Spry2* (panel c), indicating that in the anterior PSM the *Mesp2* expression domain overlaps with the high *Lfng* and *Spry2* expression and with the decreasing *Hes7* expression domain. The dotted lines depict the overlapping domains.

whereas in wild-type embryos variable patterns of one or two stripes were found (data not shown; Takahashi et al. 2000). Since *Mesp2* is synergistically activated in the anterior PSM by *Tbx6* and Notch activity (Yasuhiko et al. 2006), and potentially repressed in the posterior PSM by FGF signaling (Delfini et al. 2005), it is possible that static Notch activity in T-NICD embryos disrupts dynamic *Mesp2* activation but still allows for the stripe of *Mesp2* expression, which appears to be sufficient to induce boundaries. Similarly, transcripts of *Ripply2*, a transcriptional target of *Mesp2* (Morimoto et al. 2007), were found in one stripe in the anterior PSM (Fig. 3A, panel l), and the domain of high *FgfR1* expression in the anterior PSM was maintained (Fig. 3A, panel n). Collectively, these patterns suggested that the PSM was grossly patterned normal in the presence of constitutive Notch activity, but progression of somite maturation in the anterior PSM was affected. Importantly, oscillating expres-

sion of the Notch pathway genes *Lfng* and *Hes7*, two genes that were suggested to function as components of the segmentation clock (Bessho et al. 2003; Dale et al. 2003; Hirata et al. 2004; Morimoto et al. 2005), was not detected. In T-NICD embryos on E8.25 ($n = 6$) and 9.25 ($n = 31$), *Lfng* transcripts were found in a stripe in the anterior PSM at levels comparable to wild type (Fig. 3B, panels d,i), and throughout the posterior PSM at a significantly lower level that was detected only after prolonged color development (Fig. 3B, panels e,j). In contrast, *Hes7* transcripts were detected uniformly throughout the PSM of E8.25 ($n = 5$) and E9.25 ($n = 27$) T-NICD embryos (Fig. 3, panels n,o,s,t), suggesting noncyclic or nonsynchronized expression. Expression tapered off in the anterior PSM in the region overlapping with *Mesp2* and high levels of *Lfng* expression (Fig. 3C, panels b,b').

Noncyclic expression of *Hes7* and *Lfng* in T-NICD embryos is consistent with the transcriptional activation of both genes by Notch in the PSM (del Barco Barrantes et al. 1999; Morales et al. 2002; Bessho et al. 2003). *Hes7* protein normally acts as a negative regulator of its own promoter (Bessho et al. 2003). Constitutive *Hes7* transcription and therefore a presumably constant presence of *Hes7* protein in the PSM could indicate that activation of *Hes7* transcription by exoNICD overrides the repression by *Hes7* protein. If that were the case, in wild-type embryos cyclic Notch inactivity might contribute to oscillating *Hes7* transcription. In contrast, at the *Lfng* promoter, *Hes7* protein appears to attenuate activation by exoNICD in the posterior PSM, leading to constant transcription but at lower levels than in wild type. Higher *Lfng* expression in the anterior PSM, where it overlaps with *Hes7*, could be due to the presence of *Mesp2* (Fig. 3C, panels a,a'), which activates *Lfng* expression (Morimoto et al. 2005). *Lfng* was suggested to act as a negative regulator of Notch in the PSM (Morimoto et al. 2005). In the posterior PSM the low but constant *Lfng* activity in T-NICD embryos might be sufficient to repress endoNICD formation (Fig. 2D,E). However, high levels of *Lfng* in the anterior PSM should much more effectively repress endoNICD formation. Although the detected activation of Notch1 in the anterior PSM could reflect the significantly higher levels of *Notch1* and *Dll1* (Figs. 2L, 3A, panel h) in this region, our observations are much more compatible with *Lfng* promoting Notch activation by *Dll1* as was shown in vitro (Hicks et al. 2000).

Since there are conflicting data concerning the epistatic relationship of Wnt, FGF, and Notch signaling in the PSM (Aulehla et al. 2003, 2007; Hofmann et al. 2004; Wahl et al. 2007; Dunty et al. 2008), we analyzed expression of *Axin2* and *Spry2*, whose expression reflects Wnt and Fgf activity, respectively. *Axin2* showed variable expression patterns in the PSM of T-NICD embryos ($n = 19$) (Fig. 3B, panels x-z). In contrast, *Spry2*, a negative regulator of FGF signaling, was expressed in a stripe in the anterior PSM but was severely down-regulated throughout the posterior PSM of T-NICD embryos ($n = 28$) (Fig. 3B [panels zd,ze], C [panel c]; Supplemental Fig. 4) similar to *Lfng*, suggesting that exoNICD impacts on FGF signaling. Therefore, we analyzed the expression of *Snail*, another FGF target. *Snail* expression ($n = 8$) appeared up-regulated in the PSM (Supplemental Fig. 5), suggesting that down-regulation of *Spry2* in the posterior PSM of T-NICD embryos leads to overall increased FGF activity. This implies that down-regulation of *Spry2* by exoNICD occurs independently from FGF signaling.

Similarly, pharmacological blocking of FGF signaling appeared to block *Lfng* oscillations indirectly (Wahl et al. 2007). Thus, although *Spry2* was repressed in the posterior PSM, we cannot rule out that FGF activity in T-NICD embryos might still be dynamic since cyclic *Spry2* expression was observed in RBPjk mutants (Dequeant et al. 2006). These uncertainties notwithstanding, our findings suggest that Notch signaling does not constitute the pacemaker of the segmentation clock in mice, and are consistent with previous observations that oscillations of Wnt activity are independent from *Dll1* (Aulehla et al. 2003).

Notch signaling is essential for establishment of rostro-caudal somite polarity (Hrabe de Angelis et al. 1997; Takahashi et al. 2003). In T-NICD embryos, somites were completely caudalized (Fig. 4C,D) as indicated by the expression of *Uncx4.1*, a marker of the caudal compartment, throughout somites, and loss of *Tbx18*, which is normally expressed in rostral halves. Loss of *Dll1* or *Pofut1* function led to the opposite phenotype, i.e., loss of *Uncx4.1* and expansion of *Tbx18* expression throughout somites (Fig. 4E-H). The segment polarity defect in *Dll1* mutants could completely be converted by T-NICD (Fig. 4I,J), whereas loss of Notch1 alone had no major effect on rostro-caudal patterning (Fig. 4K,L). Thus, under conditions of constant Notch activity or complete loss of Notch activity, somite polarity is not established, indicating that the generation of domains with and without Notch activity in the anterior PSM is essential for somite compartmentalization.

In summary, our data show that in mouse embryos, somite borders can form in the presence of constitutive Notch activity as well as without Notch activity. Thus, the confrontation of domains with and without active Notch is unlikely to underlie somite border formation in mice, implying that Notch-independent mechanisms operate during boundary formation. A recent study in zebrafish showed that also in teleost fish Notch signaling is not essential for somite boundary formation but synchronizes the segmentation clock (Ozbudak and Lewis

Figure 4. Disrupted somite polarity in transgenic embryos. *Uncx4.1* (A,C,E,G,I,K) and *Tbx18* (B,D,F,H,J,L) expression in wild-type (A,B), T(s)::Cre; R-NICD (C,D), *Dll1*^{-/-} (E,F), *Pofut1*^{-/-} (G,H), T(s)::Cre; R-NICD; *Dll1*^{lox/lox} (I,J), and T(s)::Cre; *N1*^{lox/lox} (K,L) embryos, indicating that constitutive Notch activity leads to caudalization of somites (C,D,I,J) and loss of all Notch activity to rostralization (E-H), whereas loss of Notch1 function alone has no significant impact on segment polarity (K,L).

2008). Misalignment of borders and irregular size and shape of somites in mouse embryos with constitutive Notch activity, or without Notch activity, is consistent with similar Notch functions in mice. Thus, our results raise the possibility that in mouse embryos Notch signaling in the posterior PSM functions similar to zebrafish and might coordinate and synchronize cohorts of prospective somite cells. However, whereas Notch activity in the anterior PSM of zebrafish embryos appears to be dispensable for somite patterning (Ozbudak and Lewis 2008), our findings support that Notch activity in the anterior PSM of mice is essential for subdividing these cohorts into rostral and caudal compartments (Takahashi et al. 2000, 2003), and suggest that the generation of domains with and without active Notch underlies this process. Our results also show that segmentation does not depend on compartmentalization since borders can form in the absence of segment polarity. Furthermore, our findings and the observation that Notch signaling still cycles in the presence of constitutive Wnt activity in the PSM (Aulehla et al. 2007) suggest that both pathways can generate independent oscillations and act in parallel, whereas Notch and FGF activities might be linked.

Materials and methods

Mice and genotyping of transgenic mice

Regulatory elements of the brachyury gene directing gene expression in the primitive streak (Stott et al. 1993) were fused to a Cre cDNA, and T(s)::Cre transgenic mice were generated by standard procedures. The other mouse lines have been described previously: ROSA-NICD (Murtaugh et al. 2003), floxed Notch1 (Nicolas et al. 2003), floxed Delta1 (Hozumi et al. 2004), and Pofut mutants (Shi and Stanley 2003). Genomic DNA isolated either from tails or yolk sacs of embryos was genotyped using the following primer combinations: Notch1^{LoxP}, N1-5'Lox2 (GTAGACTGGAAGCTACTG) and N1-3'Lox2 (GCATGAAGTGGTC CAGGCTG); RosaNICD, NICDfor (AAAGTCGCTCTGAGTTGTTAT) and SA-rev (GAAAGACCGCGAAGAGTTTG) for the transgene and Wt-rev (TAAGCCTGCCAGAGACTC) for the wild-type locus; Dll1^{LoxP}, Dll1-5'lox (GCATTTCTCACACCTC) and Dll1-3'lox (CAGAGTACTTGATGGAGCAAG); Pofut, Pofut-for (AATGCCGTGC TGAGAGTAAAGG) and Pofut-rev (ACCCACAGGCTGTGCAGT CTTTG); T(s)::Cre, T-for (AATCTTTGGGCTCCGCAGAG) and Cre-rev (ACGTTACCCGGCATCAACG); Dll1^{LacZ}, Melta38 (ATCCCTGGG TCTTTGAAGAAG) and LacZ-rev (CAATTCAGACGGCAAAC) for the mutant and Dll1-Wt-for (CTGCTGCGCGGTGGAGGGAGG) and Dll1-Wt-rev (GGAGTCGACACCCAGCACTGGCG) for the wild-type allele.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

Whole-mount in situ hybridizations were performed following standard procedures with digoxigenin-labeled antisense riboprobes. All probes were cDNA probes except for *Lfng*, *Hes7*, and *Axin2*, for which probes derived from the respective first intron were used.

Histology and scanning electron microscopy

Embedding, sectioning of embryos, and scanning electron microscopy were performed by standard procedures.

Antibody staining

Embryos were dissected in PBS and fixed for 1 min with 50% Methanol/50% DMSO (Fluka), and treated with 15% H₂O₂ in PBS containing 50 mM NH₄Cl for 15 min at 4°C. Primary (anti-cleaved Notch1, Cell Signaling), secondary (anti-rabbit biotinylated BA1000, Vector), and tertiary (Streptavidin-HRP, Perkin Elmer) antibodies were each diluted 1:100 in 1% Triton X-100, 10% FCS-PBS (TFP) and sequentially incubated o/n at 4°C with intermediate washes in TFP. Visualization was done using 4-chloro-1-naphthol as substrate.

Somite size measurement

Ten clearly discernable somites per wild-type and T-NICD embryo (*n* = 6, respectively) were measured in dorsal photomicrographs using the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Analysis was performed with Prism software (GraphPad).

Acknowledgments

We thank Julian Lewis and Katsuto Hozumi, Freddy Radtke, Doug Melton, and Pamela Stanley for providing *Dll1^{LoxP}*, *N1^{LoxP}*, ROSA-NICD, and *Pofut1* mutant mice, respectively; Bernhard Herrmann for the T-promoter streak element; David Ish-Horowicz, Ryoichiro Kageyama, Yumiko Saga, Andreas Kispert, and Gerry Weinmaster for probes; and Anatoli Heiser for help with mouse husbandry and genotyping. This work was supported by a DFG grant to A.G.

References

- Aulehla, A., Wehrle, C., Brand-Saberi, B., Kemler, R., Gossler, A., Kan-zler, B., and Herrmann, B.G. 2003. Wnt3a plays a major role in the segmentation clock controlling somitogenesis. *Dev. Cell* **4**: 395–406.
- Aulehla, A., Wiegand, W., Baubet, V., Wahl, M.B., Deng, C., Taketo, M., Lewandoski, M., and Pourquie, O. 2007. A β -catenin gradient links the clock and wavefront systems in mouse embryo segmentation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**: 186–193.
- Bessho, Y., Sakata, R., Komatsu, S., Shiota, K., Yamada, S., and Kageyama, R. 2001. Dynamic expression and essential functions of Hes7 in somite segmentation. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 2642–2647.
- Bessho, Y., Hirata, H., Masamizu, Y., and Kageyama, R. 2003. Periodic repression by the bHLH factor Hes7 is an essential mechanism for the somite segmentation clock. *Genes & Dev.* **17**: 1451–1456.
- Conlon, R.A., Reaume, A.G., and Rossant, J. 1995. Notch1 is required for the coordinate segmentation of somites. *Development* **121**: 1533–1545.
- Dale, J.K., Maroto, M., Dequeant, M.L., Malapert, P., McGrew, M., and Pourquie, O. 2003. Periodic Notch inhibition by Lunatic Fringe underlies the chick segmentation clock. *Nature* **421**: 275–278.
- del Barco Barrantes, I., Elia, A.J., Wunsch, K., Hrabe De Angelis, M., Mak, T.W., Rossant, R., Conlon, R.A., Gossler, A., and de la Pompa, J.-L. 1999. Interaction between L-fringe and Notch signalling in the regulation of boundary formation and posterior identity in the presomitic mesoderm of the mouse. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 470–480.
- Delfini, M.C., Dubrulle, J., Malapert, P., Chal, J., and Pourquie, O. 2005. Control of the segmentation process by graded MAPK/ERK activation in the chick embryo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**: 11343–11348.
- Dequeant, M.L., Glynn, E., Gaudenz, K., Wahl, M., Chen, J., Mushegian, A., and Pourquie, O. 2006. A complex oscillating network of signaling genes underlies the mouse segmentation clock. *Science* **314**: 1595–1598.
- Dunty Jr., W.C., Biris, K.K., Chalamalasetty, R.B., Taketo, M.M., Lewandoski, M., and Yamaguchi, T.P. 2008. Wnt3a/ β -catenin signaling controls posterior body development by coordinating mesoderm formation and segmentation. *Development* **135**: 85–94.
- Hicks, C., Johnston, S.H., diSibio, G., Collazo, A., Vogt, T.F., and Weinmaster, G. 2000. Fringe differentially modulates Jagged1 and Delta1 signalling through Notch1 and Notch2. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2**: 515–520.
- Hirata, H., Bessho, Y., Kokubu, H., Masamizu, Y., Yamada, S., Lewis, J., and Kageyama, R. 2004. Instability of Hes7 protein is crucial for the somite segmentation clock. *Nat. Genet.* **36**: 750–754.
- Hofmann, M., Schuster-Gossler, K., Watabe-Rudolph, M., Aulehla, A., Herrmann, B.G., and Gossler, A. 2004. WNT signaling, in synergy with T/TBX6, controls Notch signaling by regulating Dll1 expression in the presomitic mesoderm of mouse embryos. *Genes & Dev.* **18**: 2712–2717.
- Holley, S.A., Julich, D., Rauch, G.J., Geisler, R., and Nusslein-Volhard, C. 2002. *her1* and the notch pathway function within the oscillator mechanism that regulates zebrafish somitogenesis. *Development* **129**: 1175–1183.
- Hozumi, K., Negishi, N., Suzuki, D., Abe, N., Sotomaru, Y., Tamaoki, N., Mailhos, C., Ish-Horowicz, D., Habu, S., and Owen, M.J. 2004. Delta-like 1 is necessary for the generation of marginal zone B cells but not T cells in vivo. *Nat. Immunol.* **5**: 638–644.
- Hrabe de Angelis, M., McIntyre II, J., and Gossler, A. 1997. Maintenance

- of somite borders in mice requires the Delta homologue Dll1. *Nature* **386**: 717–721.
- Huppert, S.S., Ilagan, M.X., De Strooper, B., and Kopan, R. 2005. Analysis of Notch function in presomitic mesoderm suggests a γ -secretase-independent role for presenilins in somite differentiation. *Dev. Cell* **8**: 677–688.
- Jiang, Y.J., Aerne, B.L., Smithers, L., Haddon, C., Ish-Horowicz, D., and Lewis, J. 2000. Notch signalling and the synchronization of the somite segmentation clock. *Nature* **408**: 475–479.
- Jouve, C., Palmeirim, I., Henrique, D., Beckers, J., Gossler, A., Ish-horowicz, D., and Pourquie, O. 2000. Notch signaling is required for cyclic expression of the hairy-like gene HES1 in the presomitic mesoderm. *Development* **127**: 1421–1429.
- Leimeister, C., Dale, K., Fischer, A., Klamt, B., Hrabe de Angelis, M., Radtke, F., McGrew, M.J., Pourquie, O., and Gessler, M. 2000. Oscillating expression of c-Hey2 in the presomitic mesoderm suggests that the segmentation clock may use combinatorial signaling through multiple interacting bHLH factors. *Dev. Biol.* **227**: 91–103.
- Mara, A., Schroeder, J., Chalouni, C., and Holley, S.A. 2007. Priming, initiation and synchronization of the segmentation clock by deltaD and deltaC. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **9**: 523–530.
- McGrew, M.J., Dale, J.K., Fraboulet, S., and Pourquie, O. 1998. The lunatic Fringe gene is a target of the molecular clock linked to somite segmentation in avian embryos. *Curr. Biol.* **8**: 979–982.
- Morales, A.V., Yasuda, Y., and Ish-Horowicz, D. 2002. Periodic lunatic fringe expression during segmentation is controlled by a cyclic transcriptional enhancer responsive to Notch signalling. *Dev. Cell* **3**: 63–74.
- Morimoto, M., Takahashi, Y., Endo, M., and Saga, Y. 2005. The Mesp2 transcription factor establishes segmental borders by suppressing Notch activity. *Nature* **435**: 354–359.
- Morimoto, M., Sasaki, N., Oginuma, M., Kiso, M., Igarashi, K., Aizaki, K., Kanno, J., and Saga, Y. 2007. The negative regulation of Mesp2 by mouse Ripply2 is required to establish the rostro-caudal patterning within a somite. *Development* **134**: 1561–1569.
- Murtaugh, L.C., Stanger, B.Z., Kwan, K.M., and Melton, D.A. 2003. Notch signaling controls multiple steps of pancreatic differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 14920–14925.
- Nicolas, M., Wolfer, A., Raj, K., Kummer, J.A., Mill, P., van Noort, M., Hui, C.C., Clevers, H., Dotto, G.P., and Radtke, F. 2003. Notch1 functions as a tumor suppressor in mouse skin. *Nat. Genet.* **33**: 416–421.
- Ozbudak, E.M. and Lewis, J. 2008. Notch signalling synchronizes the zebrafish segmentation clock but is not needed to create somite boundaries. *PLoS Genet.* **4**: e15. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0040015.
- Palmeirim, I., Henrique, D., Ish-Horowicz, D., and Pourquie, O. 1997. Avian hairy gene expression identifies a molecular clock linked to vertebrate segmentation and somitogenesis. *Cell* **91**: 639–648.
- Pourquie, O. 1999. Notch around the clock. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **9**: 559–565.
- Saga, Y. 2007. Segmental border is defined by the key transcription factor Mesp2, by means of the suppression of Notch activity. *Dev. Dyn.* **236**: 1450–1455.
- Saga, Y., Hata, N., Koseki, H., and Taketo, M.M. 1997. Mesp2: A novel mouse gene expressed in the presegmented mesoderm and essential for segmentation initiation. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 1827–1839.
- Serth, K., Schuster-Gossler, K., Cordes, R., and Gossler, A. 2003. Transcriptional oscillation of lunatic fringe is essential for somitogenesis. *Genes & Dev.* **17**: 912–925.
- Shi, S. and Stanley, P. 2003. Protein O-fucosyltransferase 1 is an essential component of Notch signaling pathways. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 5234–5239.
- Stott, D., Kispert, A., and Herrmann, B.G. 1993. Rescue of the tail defect of Brachyury mice. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 197–203.
- Takahashi, Y., Koizumi, K., Takagi, A., Kitajima, S., Inoue, T., Koseki, H., and Saga, Y. 2000. Mesp2 initiates somite segmentation through the Notch signalling pathway. *Nat. Genet.* **25**: 390–396.
- Takahashi, Y., Inoue, T., Gossler, A., and Saga, Y. 2003. Feedback loops comprising Dll1, Dll3 and Mesp2, and differential involvement of Psen1 are essential for rostrocaudal patterning of somites. *Development* **130**: 4259–4268.
- Wahl, M.B., Deng, C., Lewandoski, M., and Pourquie, O. 2007. FGF signaling acts upstream of the NOTCH and WNT signaling pathways to control segmentation clock oscillations in mouse somitogenesis. *Development* **134**: 4033–4041.
- Yasuhiko, Y., Haraguchi, S., Kitajima, S., Takahashi, Y., Kanno, J., and Saga, Y. 2006. Tbx6-mediated Notch signaling controls somite-specific Mesp2 expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**: 3651–3656.
- Zhang, N. and Gridley, T. 1998. Defects in somite formation in lunatic fringe-deficient mice. *Nature* **394**: 374–377.

Noncyclic Notch activity in the presomitic mesoderm demonstrates uncoupling of somite compartmentalization and boundary formation

Juliane Feller, Andre Schneider, Karin Schuster-Gossler, et al.

Genes Dev. 2008, **22**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.480408](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.480408)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2008/08/20/22.16.2166.DC1>

References

This article cites 40 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/16/2166.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).