Tandem repeats upstream of the *Arabidopsis* endogene *SDC* recruit non-CG DNA methylation and initiate siRNA spreading

Ian R. Henderson¹ and Steven E. Jacobsen^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA; ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Los Angeles at Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

Plants use siRNAs to target cytosine DNA methylation to both symmetrical CG and nonsymmetrical (CHG and CHH) sequence contexts. DNA methylation and siRNA clusters most frequently overlap with transposons in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. However, a significant number of protein-coding genes also show promoter DNA methylation, and this can be used to silence their expression. Loss of the majority of non-CG DNA methylation in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* triple mutants leads to developmental phenotypes. We identified the gene responsible for these phenotypes as *SUPPRESSOR OF drm1 drm2 cmt3* (*SDC*), which encodes an F-box protein and possesses seven promoter tandem repeats. The *SDC* repeats show a unique silencing requirement for non-CG DNA methylation directed redundantly by histone methylation and siRNAs, and display spreading of siRNAs and methylation beyond the repeated region. In addition to revealing the complexity of DNA methylation control in *A. thaliana*, *SDC* has important implications for how plant genomes utilize gene silencing to repress endogenous genes.

[Keywords: Epigenetics; DNA methylation; siRNA; gene silencing]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received February 26, 2008; revised version accepted April 18, 2008.

DNA cytosine methylation is an epigenetic mark frequently associated with transcriptional repression and gene silencing (Chan et al. 2005; Goll and Bestor 2005). DNA methylation is most commonly observed in the symmetrical CG sequence context, which is maintained by enzymes orthologous to mammalian Dnmt1 and plant METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (MET1) (Chan et al. 2005; Goll and Bestor 2005). Dnmt1 recognizes hemimethylated CG sites after DNA replication to propagate this mark through cell division (Goll and Bestor 2005). Additionally, plants contain DNA methylation in the non-CG sequence contexts, CHG and CHH (asymmetric), which are redundantly maintained by DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2) and CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3) (Cao and Jacobsen 2002a; Cao et al. 2003).

Although DRM2 acts redundantly with CMT3 to maintain non-CG methylation, it functions alone during de novo DNA methylation (Cao and Jacobsen 2002b).

DRM2 activity can be targeted to DNA by homologous siRNA generated by the RNAi pathway (Chan et al. 2004; Zilberman et al. 2004). Components of the plant small RNA machinery are specialized for function in chromatin silencing and RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM), including DICER-LIKE3 (DCL3), RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE2 (RDR2), and ARGONAUTE4 (AGO4) (Zilberman et al. 2003; Chan et al. 2004; Xie et al. 2004). Additionally, two DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (NRPD) complexes function in this pathway (Herr et al. 2005; Kanno et al. 2005; Onodera et al. 2005; Pontier et al. 2005). The NRPD1A complex is required for accumulation of heterochromatic siRNA, while the NRPD1B complex shares this function but is also required downstream for DRM2 targeting (both complexes contain the NRPD2 subunit) (Herr et al. 2005; Kanno et al. 2005; Onodera et al. 2005; Pontier et al. 2005; Mosher et al. 2008).

Genome-wide mapping of cytosine methylation in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome has shown that repeats are frequently methylated and associated with siRNA (Lippman et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2006; Zilberman et al. 2007; Cokus et al. 2008). In addition, a large class of endogenous genes have been described with CG methyl-

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL jacobsen@ucla.edu; FAX (310) 206-3987.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1667808>.

ation in their ORFs, which correlates with expression level (Tran et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2006; Zilberman et al. 2007; Cokus et al. 2008). Fewer genes have been shown to possess promoter DNA methylation, although one example is *FWA* (Soppe et al. 2000). *FWA* encodes a homeodomain transcription factor that is silenced by promoter methylation at tandem repeats, which generate siRNA (Soppe et al. 2000; Chan 2006b). The *met1* mutation causes *FWA* demethylation and mis-expression, resulting in a dominant late-flowering phenotype (Soppe et al. 2000). Demethylated *fwa* alleles are extremely stable, even after backcrossing to wild type (Soppe et al. 2000). Silencing at *FWA* is mainly dependent upon CG methylation, as loss of non-CG methylation at the repeats in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* is not associated with mis-expression (Cao and Jacobsen 2002a).

The *drm1 drm2 cmt3* triple mutant shows developmental phenotypes, including curled leaves and reduced stature, indicating that genes may also be repressed by non-CG methylation (Cao and Jacobsen 2002a; Chan et al. 2006a). The *drm1 drm2 cmt3* phenotype is completely penetrant, and behaves recessively after backcrossing to wild type (Cao and Jacobsen 2002a; Chan et al. 2006a). In contrast, inbreeding of *met1* leads to the stochastic appearance of several epimutations, which can frequently be inherited independently of the *met1* mutation (Miura et al. 2001; Kankel et al. 2003; Saze et al. 2003; Saze and Kakutani 2007). We sought to understand the molecular basis of *drm1 drm2 cmt3* phenotypes and what this might reveal about these distinct modes of epigenetic inheritance.

We genetically identified an F-box gene, whose mis-expression is responsible for *drm1 drm2 cmt3* developmental phenotypes; we named this gene *SUPPRESSOR OF drm1 drm2 cmt3* (*SDC*). The *SDC* promoter contains seven direct repeats that generate siRNA and recruit DNA methylation. DNA methylation at *SDC* in all sequence contexts is redundantly dependent upon *DRM2* and *CMT3*, which are recruited by siRNA and histone methylation, respectively. A further unusual characteristic of the *SDC* repeats is that DNA methylation and siRNA spread into flanking nonrepeated sequences. During establishment of silencing the *SDC* gene requires *DRM2* and the RdDM–RNAi pathway. However, unmethylated *SDC* transgenes differ from *FWA* in that they can efficiently recruit DNA methylation after backcrossing to wild type. *SDC* demonstrates that non-CG methylation can play an important role in silencing, and further shows how plants have utilized repeats to silence genes.

Results

SDC misexpression is necessary and sufficient for *drm1 drm2 cmt3* developmental phenotypes

The *drm1 drm2 cmt3* triple mutant has a global reduction in non-CG methylation, although the majority of CG methylation remains efficiently maintained by *MET1* (Cao and Jacobsen 2002a; Chan et al. 2006a; Zhang et al.

2006; Cokus et al. 2008). Genome-wide mapping of DNA methylation combined with expression profiling had previously identified a set of promoter-methylated genes that are misexpressed in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* relative to wild type (Zhang et al. 2006). These genes are strong candidates for those causing the developmental phenotypes observed in *drm1 drm2 cmt3*. At2g17690 had the highest fold expression change in *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, and was observed to possess seven promoter direct repeats (32 bp per repeat), coinciding with DNA methylation and cloned siRNAs (Zhang et al. 2006; Cokus et al. 2008) (Fig. 1). At2g17690 is predicted to encode an F-box protein of unknown function (Gagne et al. 2002).

To test genetically whether misexpression of At2g17690 could account for these developmental phenotypes, we obtained a T-DNA insertion in this gene and crossed it into *drm1 drm2 cmt3*. The resulting quadruple mutant was identical to wild type with respect to its leaf phenotype and stature, leading us to rename At2g17690 *SUPPRESSOR OF drm1 drm2 cmt3* (*SDC*) (Fig. 2A). Northern blotting and hybridization showed that the T-DNA effectively eliminated *SDC* expression in *drm1 drm2 cmt3 sdc* (Fig. 2B). We also generated plants overexpressing the *SDC* ORF from the constitutive 35S promoter, which caused phenotypes identical to those seen in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* (Fig. 2A). Expression profiling in *met1* indicated that *SDC* was also expressed in this background, although not to the level observed in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* (Fig. 1; Zhang et al. 2006). We confirmed that *SDC* was expressed in *met1* homozygous mutants, but not heterozygotes, by Northern blotting and hybridization (Fig. 2B).

Backcrossing *drm1 drm2 cmt3* to wild type leads to reversion of developmental phenotypes in the F_1 progeny (Fig. 2A; Chan et al. 2006a), suggesting that unmethylated *SDC* should be able to efficiently recruit the silencing machinery. Consistent with this genetic behavior we found that *SDC* expression was completely resiled in the F_1 after backcrossing (Fig. 2B). We also demonstrated previously that combinations of mutations between *drm1 drm2* or *nrpd2a nrpd2b* with either *cmt3* or *kryptonite* (*kyp*: a histone H3K9 methyltransferase) lead to developmental phenotypes very similar to *drm1 drm2 cmt3* (Chan et al. 2006a). Northern blotting confirmed that in all of these backgrounds (*drm1 drm2 cmt3*, *drm1 drm2 kyp*, *nrpd2a nrpd2b cmt3*, and *nrpd2a nrpd2b kyp*) *SDC* is similarly overexpressed (Fig. 2B). Together, these results demonstrate that *SDC* misexpression is necessary and sufficient for the developmental phenotypes observed in *drm1 drm2 cmt3* mutants.

Genetic control of DNA methylation and silencing at *SDC*

The *SDC* tandem repeats are located 730 bp upstream of the ATG and using 5'-RACE we mapped transcription as beginning 68 bp downstream from the repeats (in a *drm1 drm2 cmt3* background) (Fig. 1). DNA methylation profiling using methyl-cytosine immunoprecipitation followed by hybridization to tiling arrays revealed a broad

Figure 1. Epigenomic profile of *SDC*. Graphical representation of DNA methylation and siRNAs detected in the region of *SDC* (At2g17690). The *SDC* ORF is indicated as a light-green bar marked with chevrons. The *SDC* 5'-UTR mapped by RACE is highlighted by dark green bars. The promoter tandem repeats are marked by the light green block running down the figure. DNA methylation detected by methyl-cytosine immunoprecipitation (mCIP) and hybridization to genomic tiling arrays is highlighted beneath as colored bars in wild type (green), *drm1 drm2 cmt3* (orange), and *met1* (red) (Zhang et al. 2006). Methylation state of individual cytosines as measured by Solexa sequencing of bisulfite treated DNA is represented as small rectangles. Methylation is shown by shading (dark is methylated) and color-coded according to sequence context (CG, green; CHG, blue; CHH, red) (Cokus et al. 2008). Small RNAs detected by sequencing are represented by blue bars with white chevrons indicating their strandedness (Rajagopalan et al. 2006).

peak of promoter methylation coinciding with the *SDC* repeats and also spreading into the adjacent nonrepeated sequences (Fig. 1; Zhang et al. 2006). Whole-genome bi-

sulfite sequencing data generated using Solexa sequencing technology allowed us to more precisely define this methylation as spreading 1218 bp upstream of and 361

Figure 2. *SDC* is necessary and sufficient for *drm1 drm2 cmt3* developmental phenotypes. (A) Leaf phenotypes of plants showing either wild-type (Col) flat leaves or mutant leaves that are curled downward, as in *drm1 drm2 cmt3*. (B) *SDC* expression detected by Northern blotting and hybridization. Loading was checked by hybridization with a *UBIQUITIN10* (*UBQ*) probe.

bp downstream from the tandem repeats (Fig. 1; Cokus et al. 2008). To analyze the genetic requirements for *SDC* promoter methylation we used bisulfite sequencing of the entire tandem repeat region and also 112 bp of upstream nonrepeated sequence (Fig. 3A). Detailed analysis of bisulfite sequencing data is provided in Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 2. In wild type, both regions are densely DNA methylated in all sequence contexts (although the region sequenced upstream contained no CHG sites) (Fig. 3B). In *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, we observed an elimination of all CG and non-CG methylation at *SDC*, although it was immediately restored to wild-type levels in the F₁ after backcrossing to wild type (Columbia) (Fig. 3B). Mutation of *drm1 drm2* or *cmt3* alone had less dramatic effects on *SDC* methylation and expression (Figs. 2B, 3B). The *drm1 drm2* and *nrd2a nrd2b* mutants show a similar phenotype of a reduction of CHH methylation within the repeats (Fig. 3B). In contrast, the *cmt3* and *kyp* mutants showed a reduction in

CHG methylation within the repeats, consistent with the function of these genes in maintaining this type of DNA methylation (Fig. 3B; Bartee et al. 2001; Lindroth et al. 2001; Jackson et al. 2002; Malagnac et al. 2002). This indicates that significant loss of methylation and *SDC* expression only occurs when both the siRNA/DRM2 and histone H3K9 methylation/CMT3 pathways are simultaneously compromised. The *met1* mutant showed a strong decrease in CG methylation within the repeats, although non-CG methylation remained largely intact (Figs. 1, 3B). This indicates that DRM2 and CMT3 are still efficiently recruited to the *SDC* repeats in the absence of MET1 and CG methylation. Hence, *SDC* shows an unexpected dependence of CG methylation upon non-CG methylation.

A further interesting phenomenon was that *met1* showed a dramatic and novel effect on spreading of methylation, which was dramatically reduced in the nonrepeated regions both upstream of and downstream

Figure 3. Genetic analysis of DNA methylation and siRNA accumulation at *SDC*. (A) Graphical representation of *SDC* with the tandem repeats marked as arrows within the white box. The ORF is marked by the black box and the 5'-UTR by gray boxes. Black lines show the positions of the two bisulfite sequencing products and LNA siRNA probes. (B) DNA methylation analyzed by sodium bisulfite sequencing shown as percentage CG (black), CHG (gray), and CHH (white). The Bisulfite-I region data has been separated into the tandemly repeated (Repeats) region and upstream, unique sequences (Upstream). The upstream sequence contains no CHG sites. The Bisulfite-II region data is labeled as "Downstream." (C) Detection of *SDC* siRNAs by Northern blotting and hybridization. *SDC* LNA probes were used either for the tandem repeat siRNAs (*SDC* tandem) or for spreading siRNAs (*SDC* spread). Loading was analyzed by hybridization with a probe to microRNA159 (*miR159*).

from the repeats (Fig. 3B). This may mean either that MET1 itself is mediating spreading of DNA methylation, or CG methylation within the repeats is required for other cytosine methyltransferases to perform spreading. Consistent with the former model, there is accumulating evidence that MET1 can methylate non-CG sequence contexts (Aufsatz et al. 2004; Henderson et al. 2006; Cokus et al. 2008).

Genetic control of siRNA accumulation and spreading at *SDC*

Spreading of heterochromatic silencing is well-documented in several systems, such as fission yeast (Hall et al. 2002).

However, spreading of silencing in *A. thaliana* has only been observed previously at the *BONSAI* gene in *ibm1* and *ddm1* mutant backgrounds (Saze and Kakutani 2007; Saze et al. 2008), or at transgenic loci (Vaistij et al. 2002). In contrast, the *SDC* endogene shows extensive spreading in wild type. We were interested to address whether the spreading of DNA methylation observed at the *SDC* promoter was also accompanied by spreading of siRNAs. To test this we queried a large database of cloned siRNAs (Rajagopalan et al. 2006). A pronounced peak of siRNAs was observed over the tandem repeats, although we also observed a broader distribution of siRNAs coincident with the observed spreading of DNA methylation (Fig. 1;

Supplemental Table 4). To test the genetic requirements for siRNAs within and adjacent to the tandem repeats we designed locked nucleic acid (LNA) probes to both regions (Fig. 3A). The siRNAs homologous to the tandem repeats were 24 nt in length and undetectable in *nprp1a*, *rdr2*, and *dcl3* mutants, consistent with the proposed function of these genes upstream of siRNA accumulation (Fig. 3C). In contrast, siRNAs were detected in the *ago4*, *nprp1b*, and *drm1 drm2* mutants, which have been proposed to act largely downstream from siRNA biosynthesis (Fig. 3C). The tandem repeat siRNA were also detectable in several methyltransferase mutant backgrounds (*drm1 drm2*, *cmt3*, *drm1 drm2 cmt3*, and *met1*) (Fig. 3C). To detect the lower abundance spreading siRNAs we simultaneously hybridized three LNA probes homologous to an ~150-bp nonrepeated region immediately downstream from the tandem repeats. In wild type we again detected 24-nt siRNAs that had the same genetic requirements for their accumulation as the tandem repeat siRNAs (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, spreading of DNA methylation was not required for siRNA spreading, as they accumulated normally in both *drm1 drm2 cmt3* and *met1* mutants (Fig. 3C). Equally, spreading of DNA methylation is efficiently maintained in *nprp2a nprp2b* mutants (Fig. 3B), which should lack all *SDC* siRNAs. Hence, the *SDC* repeats are unique with respect to their genetic requirements for maintenance of DNA methylation and also spreading of methylation and siRNAs.

Establishment of RNA-directed DNA methylation at *SDC* during transformation

Transformation of direct or inverted repeat sequences into *A. thaliana* using *Agrobacterium* has been shown to act as an efficient trigger of RNA-directed DNA methylation (Soppe et al. 2000; Aufsatz et al. 2002; Cao and Jacobsen 2002b; Zilberman et al. 2004). To test how *SDC*

would behave in the context of establishment of gene silencing we generated a genomic clone of the *SDC* region (hereafter termed *gSDC*) and engineered a sequence polymorphism into the repeats by mutagenesis, such that bisulfite sequencing could distinguish between endogenous and transgenic *SDC*. Transformation of *gSDC* into wild type leads to efficient silencing within the T₁ transformant population and dense DNA methylation of the transgene (Fig. 4A,B). In contrast, repetition of this experiment in *drm1 drm2* mutants led to high *SDC* expression and unmethylated transgenes (Fig. 4A,B). Although we cannot distinguish between endogenous and transgenic *SDC* expression by probe hybridization, we assume that the signal observed represents transgenic *gSDC* expression, as endogenous *SDC* is silent in *drm1 drm2* (Fig. 2B). DRM2-dependent silencing of *gSDC* is likely to be siRNA-mediated, as several RNAi mutants (*nprp1a*, *rdr2*, *nprp1b*, *ago4*) also failed to silence *gSDC* expression after transformation (Fig. 4C). The genetic requirements for establishment of *SDC* silencing are identical to those observed for the tandem-repeat gene *FWA* (Cao and Jacobsen 2002b; Chan et al. 2004, 2006b). Previously, it was shown that unmethylated *FWA* transgenes in *drm1 drm2* mutants do not become res silenced after backcrossing to wild type, suggestive of a “surveillance window” during which incoming sequences are competent to be silenced (Cao and Jacobsen 2002b; Chan et al. 2004). To test whether *SDC* would behave in the same way we backcrossed unmethylated *gSDC* transgenes from a *drm1 drm2* background to wild type and analyzed expression in the F₁ progeny. In contrast to *FWA*, we found that *SDC* transgenes are efficiently res silenced after backcrossing (Fig. 4D). Hence, the *SDC* tandem repeats act as stronger signals to recruit the de novo DNA methylation machinery and argue against the existence of a strict “surveillance window” for RNA-directed DNA methylation.

Figure 4. DRM2 and siRNA-dependent silencing of *SDC* transgenes. (A) Analysis of DNA methylation using sodium bisulfite sequencing of *gSDC* transgenes transformed by *Agrobacterium* into wild-type (Columbia) or *drm1 drm2* backgrounds. Percentage methylation is shown for CG (black), CHG (gray), and CHH (white) sites. (B) Analysis of *SDC* expression by Northern blotting and hybridization in wild-type and mutant backgrounds transformed with *gSDC* transgenes. Loading was analyzed by hybridization with a *UBIQUITIN10* (*UBQ*) probe. (C) Northern blot analysis of *SDC* expression in wild-type and mutant plants transformed with *gSDC* transgenes. (D) Northern blot analysis of *SDC* expression after *drm1 drm2* mutants with unsilenced *gSDC* were backcrossed to wild type.

Establishment of SDC silencing during backcrossing

Backcrossing *drm1 drm2 cmt3* to wild type provides us with an additional means to analyze establishment of silencing at *SDC*. We first crossed *drm1 drm2 cmt3* to either *drm1 drm2* or *cmt3* mutants alone and analyzed rosette leaf phenotype and *SDC* expression in the F_1 progeny. We found that crossing to *cmt3* did not block resiliencing, while crossing to *drm1 drm2* did, consistent with the known function of DRM2 in establishment of DNA methylation (Fig. 5A,B; Cao and Jacobsen 2002b). As DRM2 can be recruited to target sequences by siRNAs we also wanted to test whether *SDC* promoter siRNAs would be required for establishment of silencing during backcrossing (Chan et al. 2004). We repeated the experiment by crossing *drm1 drm2 cmt3 nrpd2a nrpd2b* to *nrpd2a nrpd2b*, where both parents lack tandem repeat siRNAs (Zhang et al. 2007). As a control, we crossed *drm1 drm2 cmt3* to *nrpd2a nrpd2b*. The absence of siRNAs was found to block establishment of *SDC* silencing, whereas it occurred normally in the control cross, consistent with siRNAs playing a key role in recruiting

DRM2 to unmethylated *SDC* loci (Fig. 5A,B). As histone H3K9 methylation has been shown to be a silencing mark upstream of CMT3 activity, we predicted that loss of the histone methyltransferase KYP would not block *SDC* silencing (Jackson et al. 2002; Malagnac et al. 2002). Indeed, we found that backcrossing *drm1 drm2 cmt3 kyp* to *kyp*, where both parents have greatly reduced H3K9 methylation, did not block the establishment of *SDC* silencing (Fig. 5A,B). Hence, although the DRM2 and CMT3 pathways act redundantly to maintain silencing at *SDC*, during establishment DRM2 and siRNA alone are required.

Discussion

SDC demonstrates that non-CG methylation can play an important role in silencing endogenous genes in plants. The factors that determine whether a locus will be silenced by CG (e.g., *FWA*) or non-CG (e.g., *SDC*) DNA methylation are currently unclear. Comparison of cytosine context (CG, CHG, and CHH) frequencies between the *SDC* and

Figure 5. Genetic requirements for establishment of *SDC* silencing during backcrossing. (A) Leaf phenotypes and (B) *SDC* expression analyzed by Northern blotting and hybridization in wild-type and mutant plants used in the indicated backcrossing experiments. Loading was checked by hybridization with a *UBIQUITIN10* (*UBQ*) probe. (*dd*) *drm1 drm2*; (*c*) *cmt3*; (*nn*) *nrpd2a nrpd2b*; (*k*) *kyp*; (*ddc*) *drm1 drm2 cmt3*; (*ddcnn*) *drm1 drm2 cmt3 nrpd2a nrpd2b*; (*ddk*) *drm1 drm2 kyp*.

FWA tandem repeats does not reveal any striking differences (data not shown). It will be important to understand the dependence on CG versus non-CG methylation as they appear to display different recruitment behavior; the non-CG DNA methylation at *SDC* can flexibly return once it is lost, which is in contrast to the stability of unmethylated *fwa-1* epialleles (Soppe et al. 2000; Chan 2006b). The flexibility of non-CG methylation recruitment also raises the possibility that it could be used as a means of gene regulation. However, in the case of *SDC*, we were unable to find a developmental stage or environmental condition where *SDC* is expressed (data not shown), and so regulated control of *SDC* methylation and expression remains an open question.

The *SDC* tandem repeats differ from those at *FWA* in showing spreading of siRNAs and DNA methylation into adjacent nonrepeated sequences. Understanding the control of siRNA spreading will be important, as failure to correctly limit such phenomena can cause inappropriate silencing of endogenous genes (Saze and Kakutani 2007; Saze et al. 2008). Sequences have been defined that can act as insulators or barriers to the spread of heterochromatic silencing in a variety of systems (Gaszner and Felsenfeld 2006). As spreading of DNA methylation and siRNAs beyond repeats are not typically observed in the *A. thaliana* genome, this may indicate the widespread existence of similar barriers (Lippman et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2007; Zilberman et al. 2007). Further study of the boundaries of loci such as *SDC*, which displays spreading in wild type, and *BONSAI*, which displays spreading in mutant backgrounds, may be informative in this respect (Saze and Kakutani 2007). Spreading of DNA methylation at *SDC* also reveals a novel and surprising role for MET1. We cannot currently determine whether MET1 is directly participating in spreading of methylation, as it is also possible that MET1 activity at the *SDC* repeats is required to promote spreading activity of other methyltransferases. These alternative possibilities may be resolved by analyzing the genomic localization of the methyltransferase enzymes.

Analysis of more complex, repeat-rich plant genomes is likely to uncover further examples of genes silenced by repeats and DNA methylation. For example, paramutation at the maize *B'* locus has been shown to involve both an array of tandem repeats and the siRNA machinery (Stam et al. 2002; Alleman et al. 2006). The density of repeats and heterochromatin in these species means that correctly limiting silencing is likely to be of significant importance. The study of additional genomes may provide us with more examples of loci controlled by non-CG methylation, instances of heterochromatic spreading and a better understanding of the relationship between them.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The *drm1 drm2 cmt3* triple mutant generated in the Columbia background and has been previously described (Zhang et al.

2006). The *SDC* insertion is Salk T-DNA_017593, and was genotyped using primers JP3549, JP3550, and JP2410. All oligonucleotide sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1. The 35S::SDC transgene was generated by PCR amplifying the *SDC* ORF with primers JP3632 and JP3633, digestion with XhoI and BamHI and cloning into the pBIN-JIT binary vector, which had been digested with SalI and XhoI. The *npr1a* (Zhang et al. 2007), *npr1b* (Zhang et al. 2007), *rd2* (Chan et al. 2004), *dcl3* (Chan et al. 2004), *ago4* (Chan et al. 2004), *npr2a* (Zhang et al. 2007), *npr2b* (Zhang et al. 2007), *met1* (Saze et al. 2003), and *kyp* (Chan et al. 2006a) mutations used are identical to those previously described. The *SDC* genomic clone was generated by PCR amplification from Columbia genomic DNA using primers JP3630 and JP3631 and cloning into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen). This clone was mutated using Quickchange (Stratagene) to change an NlaIII restriction site to BamHI using primers JP3687 and JP3688. The genomic *SDC* region was then cloned into a pCAMBIA1300 binary vector as a KpnI-XbaI fragment, which was introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain ASE and used to transform plants by floral dipping and T₁ transformants selected for hygromycin resistance.

DNA methylation analysis

Genomic DNA was bisulfite converted using MethylEasy (Human Genetic Signatures). The *SDC* upstream and tandemly repeated region (Bisulfite-I) was bisulfite sequenced using primers JP3552 for the bottom strand and JP3551 for the top strand. The region downstream from the tandem repeats (Bisulfite-II) was sequenced using primers JP5777 for the bottom strand and JP5776 for the top strand. Clone information and further bisulfite data are provided in Supplemental Tables 2 and 3 and Supplemental Figure 1.

RNA Northern blotting and hybridization

Total RNA was extracted from mature leaves using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and analyzed by Northern blotting as described previously (Henderson et al. 2006). *SDC* and *UBIQUITIN10* (*UBQ*, At4g05320) probes were amplified from Columbia cDNA using primers J3630/JP3631 and JP3483/JP3484, respectively. Small RNAs were extracted from flowers and analyzed by Northern blotting as described previously (Henderson et al. 2006). Sequences of LNA oligonucleotide probes can be found in Supplemental Table 1.

Acknowledgments

I.R.H. was supported by the Leukemia-Lymphoma Society as a Special Fellow. Thank you to X. Zhang and S. Chan for kindly providing multimutant backgrounds, W. Wong for help with growing plants, and members of the Jacobsen Laboratory for discussion and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH grant GM60398. S.E.J. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. I.R.H. and S.E.J. conceived and designed experiments. I.R.H. performed experiments. I.R.H. and S.E.J. wrote the manuscript.

References

- Alleman, M., Sidorenko, L., McGinnis, K., Seshadri, V., Dorweiler, J.E., White, J., Sikkink, K., and Chandler, V.L. 2006. An RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is required for paramutation in maize. *Nature* **442**: 295–298.
- Aufsatz, W., Mette, M.F., van der Winden, J., Matzke, A.J., and Matzke, M. 2002. RNA-directed DNA methylation in *Ara-*

- bidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99** (Suppl. 4): 16499–16506.
- Aufsatz, W., Mette, M.F., Matzke, A.J., and Matzke, M. 2004. The role of MET1 in RNA-directed de novo and maintenance methylation of CG dinucleotides. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **54**: 793–804.
- Bartee, L., Malagnac, F., and Bender, J. 2001. *Arabidopsis* cmt3 chromomethylase mutations block non-CG methylation and silencing of an endogenous gene. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 1753–1758.
- Cao, X. and Jacobsen, S.E. 2002a. Locus-specific control of asymmetric and CpNpG methylation by the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferase genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (Suppl. 4) **99**: 16491–16498.
- Cao, X. and Jacobsen, S.E. 2002b. Role of the *Arabidopsis* DRM methyltransferases in de novo DNA methylation and gene silencing. *Curr. Biol.* **12**: 1138–1144.
- Cao, X., Aufsatz, W., Zilberman, D., Mette, M.F., Huang, M.S., Matzke, M., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2003. Role of the DRM and CMT3 methyltransferases in RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Curr. Biol.* **13**: 2212–2217.
- Chan, S.W., Zilberman, D., Xie, Z., Johansen, L.K., Carrington, J.C., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2004. RNA silencing genes control de novo DNA methylation. *Science* **303**: 1336.
- Chan, S.W., Henderson, I.R., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2005. Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **6**: 351–360.
- Chan, S.W., Henderson, I.R., Zhang, X., Shah, G., Chien, J.S., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2006a. RNAi, DRD1, and histone methylation actively target developmentally important non-CG DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genet.* **2**: e83. doi: 10.1371/journal.p.gen.0020083.
- Chan, S.W.-L., Zhang, X., Bernatavichute, Y.V., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2006b. Two-step recruitment of RNA-directed DNA methylation to tandem repeats. *PLoS Biol.* **4**: e363. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040363.
- Cokus, S.J., Feng, S., Zhang, X., Chen, Z., Merriman, B., Haudenschild, C.D., Pradhan, S., Nelson, S.F., Pellegrini, S.F., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2008. Shotgun bisulphite sequencing of the *Arabidopsis* genome reveals DNA methylation patterning. *Nature* **452**: 215–219.
- Gagne, J.M., Downes, B.P., Shiu, S.H., Durski, A.M., and Vierstra, R.D. 2002. The F-box subunit of the SCF E3 complex is encoded by a diverse superfamily of genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**: 11519–11524.
- Gaszner, M. and Felsenfeld, G. 2006. Insulators: Exploiting transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **7**: 703–713.
- Goll, M.G. and Bestor, T.H. 2005. Eukaryotic cytosine methyltransferases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **74**: 481–514.
- Hall, I.M., Shankaranarayana, G.D., Noma, K., Ayoub, N., Cohen, A., and Grewal, S.I. 2002. Establishment and maintenance of a heterochromatin domain. *Science* **297**: 2232–2237.
- Henderson, I.R., Zhang, X., Lu, C., Johnson, L., Meyers, B.C., Green, P.J., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2006. Dissecting *Arabidopsis thaliana* DICER function in small RNA processing, gene silencing and DNA methylation patterning. *Nat. Genet.* **38**: 721–725.
- Herr, A.J., Jensen, M.B., Dalmay, T., and Baulcombe, D.C. 2005. RNA polymerase IV directs silencing of endogenous DNA. *Science* **308**: 118–120.
- Jackson, J.P., Lindroth, A.M., Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2002. Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. *Nature* **416**: 556–560.
- Kankel, M.W., Ramsey, D.E., Stokes, T.L., Flowers, S.K., Haag, J.R., Jeddelloh, J.A., Riddle, N.C., Verbsky, M.L., and Richards, E.J. 2003. *Arabidopsis* MET1 cytosine methyltransferase mutants. *Genetics* **163**: 1109–1122.
- Kanno, T., Huettel, B., Mette, M.F., Aufsatz, W., Jaligot, E., Daxinger, L., Kreil, D.P., Matzke, M., and Matzke, A.J. 2005. Atypical RNA polymerase subunits required for RNA-directed DNA methylation. *Nat. Genet.* **37**: 761–765.
- Lindroth, A.M., Cao, X., Jackson, J.P., Zilberman, D., McCallum, C.M., Henikoff, S., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2001. Requirement of CHROMOMETHYLASE3 for maintenance of CpXpG methylation. *Science* **292**: 2077–2080.
- Lippman, Z., Gendrel, A.V., Black, M., Vaughn, M.W., Dedhia, N., McCombie, W.R., Lavine, K., Mittal, V., May, B., Kasschau, K.D., et al. 2004. Role of transposable elements in heterochromatin and epigenetic control. *Nature* **430**: 471–476.
- Malagnac, F., Bartee, L., and Bender, J. 2002. An *Arabidopsis* SET domain protein required for maintenance but not establishment of DNA methylation. *EMBO J.* **21**: 6842–6852.
- Miura, A., Yonebayashi, S., Watanabe, K., Toyama, T., Shimada, H., and Kakutani, T. 2001. Mobilization of transposons by a mutation abolishing full DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* **411**: 212–214.
- Mosher, R.A., Schwach, F., Studholme, D., and Baulcombe, D.C. 2008. PolIVb influences RNA-directed DNA methylation independently of its role in siRNA biogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**: 3145–3150.
- Onodera, Y., Haag, J.R., Ream, T., Nunes, P.C., Pontes, O., and Pikaard, C.S. 2005. Plant nuclear RNA polymerase IV mediates siRNA and DNA methylation-dependent heterochromatin formation. *Cell* **120**: 613–622.
- Pontier, D., Yahubyan, G., Vega, D., Bulski, A., Saez-Vasquez, J., Hakimi, M.A., Lerbs-Mache, S., Colot, V., and Lagrange, T. 2005. Reinforcement of silencing at transposons and highly repeated sequences requires the concerted action of two distinct RNA polymerases IV in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes & Dev.* **19**: 2030–2040.
- Rajagopalan, R., Vaucheret, H., Trejo, J., and Bartel, D.P. 2006. A diverse and evolutionarily fluid set of microRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes & Dev.* **20**: 3407–3425.
- Saze, H. and Kakutani, T. 2007. Heritable epigenetic mutation of a transposon-flanked *Arabidopsis* gene due to lack of the chromatin-remodeling factor DDM1. *EMBO J.* **26**: 3641–3652.
- Saze, H., Mittelsten Scheid, O., and Paszkowski, J. 2003. Maintenance of CpG methylation is essential for epigenetic inheritance during plant gametogenesis. *Nat. Genet.* **34**: 65–69.
- Saze, H., Shiraishi, A., Miura, A., and Kakutani, T. 2008. Control of genic DNA methylation by a jmjC domain-containing protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* **319**: 462–465.
- Soppe, W.J., Jacobsen, S.E., Alonso-Blanco, C., Jackson, J.P., Kakutani, T., Koornneef, M., and Peeters, A.J. 2000. The late flowering phenotype of *fwa* mutants is caused by gain-of-function epigenetic alleles of a homeodomain gene. *Mol. Cell* **6**: 791–802.
- Stam, M., Belele, C., Ramakrishna, W., Dorweiler, J.E., Bennetzen, J.L., and Chandler, V.L. 2002. The regulatory regions required for B' paramutation and expression are located far upstream of the maize b1 transcribed sequences. *Genetics* **162**: 917–930.
- Tran, R.K., Henikoff, J.G., Zilberman, D., Ditt, R.F., Jacobsen, S.E., and Henikoff, S. 2005. DNA methylation profiling identifies CG methylation clusters in *Arabidopsis* genes. *Curr. Biol.* **15**: 154–159.
- Vaistij, F.E., Jones, L., and Baulcombe, D.C. 2002. Spreading of RNA targeting and DNA methylation in RNA silencing requires transcription of the target gene and a putative RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *Plant Cell* **14**: 857–867.

Henderson and Jacobsen

- Xie, Z., Johansen, L.K., Gustafson, A.M., Kasschau, K.D., Lellis, A.D., Zilberman, D., Jacobsen, S.E., and Carrington, J.C. 2004. Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. *PLoS Biol.* **2**: E104. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104.
- Zhang, X., Yazaki, J., Sundaresan, A., Cokus, S., Chan, S.W., Chen, H., Henderson, I.R., Shinn, P., Pellegrini, M., Jacobsen, S.E., et al. 2006. Genome-wide high-resolution mapping and functional analysis of DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **126**: 1189–1201.
- Zhang, X., Henderson, I.R., Lu, C., Green, P.J., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2007. Role of RNA polymerase IV in plant small RNA metabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**: 4536–4541.
- Zilberman, D., Cao, X., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2003. ARGONAUTE4 control of locus-specific siRNA accumulation and DNA and histone methylation. *Science* **299**: 716–719.
- Zilberman, D., Cao, X., Johansen, L.K., Xie, Z., Carrington, J.C., and Jacobsen, S.E. 2004. Role of *Arabidopsis* ARGONAUTE4 in RNA-directed DNA methylation triggered by inverted repeats. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1214–1220.
- Zilberman, D., Gehring, M., Tran, R.K., Ballinger, T., and Henikoff, S. 2007. Genome-wide analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* DNA methylation uncovers an interdependence between methylation and transcription. *Nat. Genet.* **39**: 61–69.

Tandem repeats upstream of the *Arabidopsis* endogene *SDC* recruit non-CG DNA methylation and initiate siRNA spreading

Ian R. Henderson and Steven E. Jacobsen

Genes Dev. 2008, **22**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1667808](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1667808)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2008/06/11/22.12.1597.DC1>

Related Content

Signaling silence breaking ground and spreading out

Hye Ryun Woo and Eric J. Richards

Genes Dev. July , 2008 22: 1719-1723

References

This article cites 42 articles, 17 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/12/1597.full.html#ref-list-1>

Articles cited in:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/22/12/1597.full.html#related-urls>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).