Cell- and gene-specific regulation of primary target genes by the androgen receptor

Eric C. Bolton,¹ Alex Y. So,^{1,5} Christina Chaivorapol,^{2,4,6} Christopher M. Haqq,³ Hao Li,^{2,4,6} and Keith R. Yamamoto^{1,5,7}

¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA;

²Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA; ³Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA; ⁴California Institute for Quantitative Biomedical Research, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA; ⁵Chemistry and Chemical Biology Graduate Program, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA; ⁶Graduate Program in Biological and Medical Informatics, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143, USA

The androgen receptor (AR) mediates the physiologic and pathophysiologic effects of androgens including sexual differentiation, prostate development, and cancer progression by binding to genomic androgen response elements (AREs), which influence transcription of AR target genes. The composition and context of AREs differ between genes, thus enabling AR to confer multiple regulatory functions within a single nucleus. We used expression profiling of an immortalized human prostate epithelial cell line to identify 205 androgen-responsive genes (ARGs), most of them novel. In addition, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation to identify 524 AR binding regions and validated in reporter assays the ARE activities of several such regions. Interestingly, 67% of our AREs resided within ~50 kb of the transcription start sites of 84% of our ARGs. Indeed, most ARGs were associated with two or more AREs, and ARGs were sometimes themselves linked in gene clusters containing up to 13 AREs and 12 ARGs. AREs appeared typically to be composite elements, containing AR binding sequences adjacent to binding motifs for other transcriptional regulators. Functionally, ARGs were commonly involved in prostate cell proliferation, communication, differentiation, and possibly cancer progression. Our results provide new insights into cell- and gene-specific mechanisms of transcriptional regulation of androgen-responsive gene networks.

[**Keywords:** Androgen receptor (AR); androgen response element (ARE); transcription; steroid receptor; chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP); prostate cancer]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received April 23, 2007; revised version accepted July 6, 2007.

Androgens are steroid hormones that control male development and reproductive function and are key regulators of prostate cancer cell growth and proliferation. They bind to the androgen receptor (AR), a member of the intracellular receptor family of transcriptional regulators (Chang et al. 1988; Lubahn et al. 1988), which in turn associates with genomic androgen response elements (AREs); in particular, AR can specifically recognize a 15-bp partially palindromic AR binding sequence (ARBS) motif (Claessens et al. 2001). However, localization of AR to specific genomic sites is clearly more complex than simple sequence recognition. Similarly, AR can regulate transcription by distinct mechanisms; depending on cell or gene context, AR can activate or re-

press transcription, can functionally interact with other transcriptional regulators (Murtha et al. 1997; Sato et al. 1997) or with other intracellular receptors (Chen et al. 1997; Lee et al. 1999; Panet-Raymond et al. 2000; Chuang et al. 2005). Hormone response element (HRE) organization is likely a major determinant of receptor specificity and coregulator recruitment in a given chromosomal context (Lefstin and Yamamoto 1998). Thus, a detailed understanding of androgen action will require identification of genes bound and regulated by AR, identification of coregulators that interact with AR and characterization of the loci where these interactions occur.

RNA expression profiling of LNCaP prostate cancer cells has described ~500 transcripts whose levels are altered by androgen treatment (DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). However, the mechanisms of regulation are nearly unexplored because the primary androgen responsive genes (ARGs), i.e., the subset regulated directly

⁷Corresponding author.

E-MAIL yamamoto@cmp.ucsf.edu; FAX (415) 476-6129.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1564207>.

by AR-occupied AREs, have not been defined. The primary ARGs may in turn produce effects on secondary target genes. Thus, identifying primary ARGs would provide insight into signal transduction pathways and transcriptional regulatory networks modulated by AR. Moreover, comparison of AR target genes in two androgen-responsive cell lines, one originating from normal prostate epithelium and the other from prostate carcinoma, might define cell-specific ARGs and suggest possible disease mechanisms.

We shall operationally define AREs as chromosomal regions that recruit AR in a native chromosomal context and modulate transcription in a reporter gene context in response to androgens. Traditionally, experimental searches for AREs have focused on short genomic segments just upstream of the transcription start sites of individual genes (Lorenz et al. 1988; Crossley et al. 1992; Murtha et al. 1993; Rennie et al. 1993; Cleutjens et al. 1996); computational approaches have used predefined ARBS motifs to query these same regions, albeit with only modest success (Nelson et al. 2002; Steketee et al. 2004; Magee et al. 2006).

Here we have used RNA expression profiling to identify ARGs, and chromatin immunoprecipitation together with microarray analysis (ChIP-chip) to define a subset of primary ARGs, thus localizing putative AREs to be validated in reporter assays. Rather than focusing primarily on promoter proximal regions (Phuc Le et al. 2005) or CpG islands (Weinmann et al. 2002) or individual chromosomes (Carroll et al. 2005), we developed tiled-oligonucleotide microarrays spanning ~104 kb centered on the predicted transcription start site of each of several hundred candidate hormone-responsive genes. We assessed the relationship between receptor occupancy and hormone responsive expression, characterized the genomic organization of AR-regulated genes and AREs, surveyed the complexity and composition of the AREs, and examined the physiologic roles of the primary ARGs.

Results

Identification of androgen-responsive genes

The HPr-1AR cell line, derived from immortalized normal human prostate epithelium, is androgen-responsive and expresses wild-type AR; androgen promotes differentiation of these cells, reminiscent of the normal actions of androgen on the prostate (Ling et al. 2001). We treated HPr-1AR cultures with synthetic androgen R1881, or vehicle as a control, for 4, 8, 15, and 24 h, and identified androgen-regulated transcripts on spotted cDNA microarrays. Of 157 putative ARGs identified by this analysis, 71 were repressed and 86 were induced (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. 1). To validate the expression microarray data, primers were designed for 53 putatively repressed and 72 putatively induced genes, and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) subsequently established that 42 were repressed (Fig. 1B) and 66 were induced (Fig. 1C). These 108 differentially ex-

pressed genes included 13 (12%) previously identified ARGs, such as *SGK* (DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002), as well as 95 (88%) ARGs not previously implicated as androgen targets.

Identification of AR binding regions

To identify genomic regions occupied by AR, HPr-1AR cells were treated with R1881 or vehicle for 4 h, a time by which AR occupancy was significantly enriched at target genes such as *SGK* and *FKBP5* (E.C. Bolton and K.R. Yamamoto, unpubl.). Following AR ChIP, immunoprecipitated DNA fragments were amplified, labeled, and hybridized to custom NimbleGen tiled-oligonucleotide microarrays. We interrogated ~104 kb genomic regions centered on the transcription start sites of 548 candidate hormone-responsive genes to identify AREs and to determine the distribution of AREs at promoter proximal, distal, and intragenic regions. These human candidate genes included our 157 putative and validated ARGs identified in HPr-1AR cells, 241 putative and validated glucocorticoid receptor- (GR) responsive genes identified in A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells and U2OS-GR osteosarcoma cells (Rogatsky et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2004; So et al. 2007), and 150 genes potentially hormone-responsive in other cell types (e.g., 35 ARGs from LNCaP cells; DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). GR, which is closely related to AR, binds in vitro with similar affinity as AR to consensus sequences in the elementary half-sites GGTACAnnnTGTTCT (Claessens et al. 2001). Across the ~57 Mb of DNA interrogated, 593 additional "bystander" genes were incidentally included, giving a total of 1141 genes examined in our tiled microarray (genes assigned by NCBI Build 35, hg17).

The AR ChIP-chip hybridizations directly compared DNA enriched in androgen-treated and control samples. To reduce the rate of stochastic false positives, we intersected putative AR binding peak data for duplicate independent experiments, in which 778 and 714 peaks were detected, with 509 AR binding peaks identified in both replicates. Primers for qPCR were designed for 67 AR binding peaks, and conventional AR ChIP was performed in quadruplicate experiments to establish the remaining false-positive fraction (1 of 64 or <2%), resulting in 508 androgen-stimulated AR binding regions (ARBRs); <10 of these 508 ARBRs may be false positives. Sixteen additional peaks identified in only one of the AR ChIP-chip replicates were also included following validation in quadruplicate experiments by conventional AR ChIP, resulting in 524 ARBRs (Supplemental Table 1).

ARBR validation and detection of additional ARGs

To illustrate our validation process for ChIP-chip data, we describe our analysis of an ARBR 1.2 kb upstream of the *SGK* gene. In this case, a functional GRE was previously known to reside in this area (Itani et al. 2002). In addition, we mapped an androgen-stimulated DNase I

Figure 1. qPCR validation of the expression of ARGs identified by microarray. (A) Most of the 157 putative ARGs identified by expression microarray analysis were subsequently validated using qPCR. The colorimetric representation shows genes, indicated by HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee gene symbols, whose transcripts were repressed (B, green) and induced (C, red) by androgen. The color intensity reflects the relative fold change in transcript level for androgen- versus vehicle-treated cells. The time course spanned 4, 8, 15, and 24 h. The mean change in expression level for genes above the orange line was greater than twofold across the time course, whereas the mean change in expression for those genes below the line was greater than 1.5 fold.

hypersensitive site to this region (Supplemental Fig. 2). Finally, our ChIP–chip and conventional ChIP experiments (Fig. 2A) revealed AR occupancy in this same region (ARBR6.35) as well as in regions 1.6 kb (intron 5) and 11.9 kb (3' of *SGK* gene) downstream from the *SGK* transcription initiation site, defining these regions as ARBRs.

As with *SGK*, we observed ARBRs near ARGs (within ~50 kb upstream of or downstream from the transcription start site), including *FKBP5*, *ELL2*, *TMEM37*, *UAP1*, and *FN1*, all of which are androgen-responsive in LNCaP cells (DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). ARBRs were also observed near genes not previously implicated as androgen targets, including *TIPARP* (Fig. 2B). Conventional AR ChIP was performed on a representative subset of ARBRs (Fig. 2C), and >98% were confirmed in this more rigorous test. Taken together, these findings validated the approach used in this study to identify authentic ARBRs and AR regulated genes and confirmed these regions as bona fide in vivo AR targets.

Of the 524 ARBRs we identified, 206 ARBRs (39% of ARBRs) were within ~50 kb of the transcription start site of a validated ARG identified by our expression microarray analysis, whereas most of the remaining 318 ARBRs (61% of ARBRs) were located near genes absent from the expression microarray. We tested by qPCR 189 of these novel ARBR-proximal genes and found that 22 were androgen-repressed and 75 were androgen-induced (Fig. 3A–C). Of these 97 additional differentially expressed genes, only 10 (10%) were known ARGs (DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002).

We then sought to determine which of the 108 validated ARGs are also putative primary ARGs by analyzing the overlap between the ARBR and ARG data sets; we found that 75 of the 108 ARGs were near ARBRs. From the 524 ARBRs and all 205 differentially expressed ARGs, we identified 352 ARBRs (67% of ARBRs) near 172 primary ARGs (84% of ARGs) (Fig. 3D). Thus, AR occupancy of ARBRs correlates closely with androgen-responsive expression of linked genes; indeed, we sug-

gest that ChIP–chip is a powerful tool for target gene discovery that is distinct from and complementary to expression microarray analysis. For the remaining 33

Figure 2. ARBRs: identification by ChIP–chip and validation by conventional ChIP. The visual representation of ARBRs on chromosomes 6 (A) and 3 (B) are shown. ARBRs are shown as equilateral red bars immediately below the chromosomes. Expanded views of (A) *SGK* and (B) *TIPARP* gene loci are shown based on the May 2004 human genome freeze hg17 in the UCSC browser using GenBank RefSeq positions. For *SGK* (3′–5′ orientation) and *TIPARP* (5′–3′ orientation), the ChIP–chip signals (red bars) are shown for duplicate experiments and indicate the relative fold enrichment of AR–immunoprecipitated DNA fragments for androgen- versus vehicle-treated cells. The ChIP scanning (Wang et al. 2004) signals (red circles) demonstrate validation of the ChIP–chip data and show the relative fold enrichment of AR ChIP for androgen- versus vehicle-treated cells. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 4$. (C) Additional ARBRs (numbered) identified by ChIP–chip were validated using conventional AR ChIP. The relative fold enrichment of AR ChIP is shown for androgen- versus vehicle-treated cells; data represent the mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 4$. The ARBRs found near or within genes that are repressed (green), induced (red), or unresponsive (black) following androgen treatment are indicated. A region near the *HSPA1A* gene, which is not occupied by AR, is shown as a control. Compared to the control, all ARBRs demonstrate significant AR occupancy, $P < 0.05$.

ARGs (16% of ARGs), our failure to detect nearby ARBRs may reflect (1) peaks below the threshold set in the AR ChIP–chip analysis; (2) ARBRs outside of the ~ 104 -kb windows surveyed here; or (3) ARGs that are secondary rather than primary targets of AR regulation.

To test whether ARBRs are enriched near ARGs, and to assess AR ChIP–chip selectivity, we determined the mean frequency of ARBR occurrence (Table 1). For the 56,950 kb of DNA scanned, we identified 524 ARBRs, or one ARBR per 109 kb of genomic DNA. Of the 18,150 kb of DNA containing the 172 primary ARGs, we found 352 (67%) ARBRs, i.e., one ARBR per 52 kb of genomic DNA. For the remaining 38,800 kb of DNA scanned, even if all of the remaining 172 (33%) ARBRs were adjacent to genes whose expression is androgen-independent, a maximum of one ARBR per 226 kb was detected. We conclude that, relative to the microarray gene population, biased as it was toward hormone-responsive genes, ARBRs were enriched near ARGs (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $P < 10^{-10}$), consistent with the ChIP–chip data and the notion that AR occupancy strongly correlates with AR-regulated expression.

Primary ARG clusters

Intersection analysis of the ARG and ARBR data revealed two AR-regulated gene clusters consisting of multiple ARBRs and ARGs. An 800-kb region on chromosome 4 contained seven validated ARBRs, seven repressed ARGs and one expressed but androgen-unresponsive gene (Fig. 4A). Similarly, a 370-kb region on chromosome 1 contained 13 validated ARBRs, 12 induced ARGs, and 12 androgen-unresponsive genes (Fig. 4B). We are intrigued that AR regulation within these ARG clusters is negative or positive but not both, implying functional coordination resulting in part from genomic organization.

Interestingly, each ARG cluster contains genes of related function: The androgen-repressed ARGs on chromosome 4 include *IL8*, *CXCL1*, *CXCL2*, and *CXCL3*, which encode CXC chemokines involved in angiogenesis (Belperio et al. 2000). Also on chromosome 4, *EPGN*, *EREG*, and *AREG* encode epithelial growth factor (EGF)-like mitogens that stimulate MAP kinase signaling (Kochupurakkal et al. 2005). Within the induced cluster on chromosome 1, two ephrin genes, *EFNA4* and *EFNA3*, are AR-regulated. Ephrin receptors are receptor-protein tyrosine kinases involved in cell migration and angiogenesis (Holder and Klein 1999). Thus, the AR-regulated genes within these clusters are involved in key cellular processes, dysregulation of which may influence cancer progression.

ARBRs display ARE activities

To initially assess whether our ARBRs are indeed functional AREs, we cloned 22 ~ 500 -bp fragments, each containing an ARBR found within or near an androgen-induced gene, into a reporter plasmid, upstream of a minimal *E4* TATA sequence (Lin et al. 1988) driving the expression of luciferase. HPr-1AR cells transfected with

Figure 3. ARBRs identified by AR ChIP-chip lead to the discovery of novel primary ARGs. (A) Many of the 189 ARBR-proximal genes that were not detected by microarray analysis were, in fact, primary ARGs. The colorimetric representation shows genes, near identified ARBRs, whose transcripts were repressed (B, green) or induced (C, red) by androgen treatment. See Figure 1 for colorimetric and time course details. (D) Of the 524 ARBRs (blue) and 205 (108 initial and 97 additional ARGs, red) differentially expressed ARGs, we found 352 ARBRs near 172 ARGs, which represent direct, functional targets of AR. The response of 62 genes containing in total 68 intragenic ARBRs (gray) was independent of androgen (androgen-unresponsive genes, URGs).

individual ARBR reporter constructs were treated with R1881 or vehicle control for ~20 h, which is sufficient for robust hormone-dependent changes in luciferase activity for constructs containing AREs. Twenty (91%) of the 22 tested ARBRs displayed significant androgen-induced luciferase activity (Fig. 5A,B). Moreover, mutation of the putative ARBSs contained within the ARBRs abolished nearly all of the androgen-induced luciferase activity (Fig. 5B). These data demonstrate that AR occupies ARBRs by ARBS recognition, and that the vast majority of the ARBRs tested conferred AR regulation in a reporter context. We conclude that ARBRs correspond in general to functional AREs at primary ARGs, and from hereon we shall denote such ARBRs as AREs.

ARE distribution within or near ARGs

We sought to determine the location of AREs relative to the transcription start site of the closest ARGs; as 6% of

our ARGs are annotated with two or more start sites, we independently analyzed the distribution of the AREs relative to the longest (Fig. 6A) and shortest (Supplemental Fig. 3) transcripts. For the 172 ARGs near AREs, only ~33% of AREs (32% relative to the longest variants and 33% relative to the shortest variants) resided within 10 kb of the predicted transcription start site; most were located downstream from the ARG transcription start site. Similarly, for the 67% of AREs found >10 kb from the ARG transcription start site, most were situated downstream. Relative to the substructure of the primary ARGs, 43% of AREs were intragenic (exonic and intronic), 15% were downstream (Fig. 6B), and 42% were upstream; among ARGs with upstream AREs, only 28% were within 5 kb of the transcription start site. We examined 154 of the 185 genes containing intragenic AREs and found that 92 (60%) were ARGs, whereas the expression of 62 (40%) of these genes was independent of androgen in HPr-1AR. Taken together, our data indicate

Table 1. AR ChIP-chip selectivity for HPr-1AR

AR ChIP-chip regions	Region size (kb)	Total ARBRs	ARBRs/100kb ^a	~kb/ARBR
Total	56,950	524	0.9 ± 1.2	109
Near 205 validated ARGs	21,547	352	1.6 ± 1.3	61
Near 172 primary ARGs	18,150	352	1.9 ± 1.2	52
Near genes unresponsive to androgen	28,421	68	0.2 ± 0.6	418
Near remaining genes ^b	6,982	104	1.5 ± 0.9	67
Excluding regions near 205 validated ARGs	35,403	172	0.5 ± 0.8	206
Excluding regions near 172 primary ARGs	38,800	172	0.4 ± 0.8	226

^aThe mean ± SD ARBRs per 100 kb for each region.^bThe androgen responsiveness of these genes was not determined.

that most ARGs identified by expression profiling are primary ARGs, but that most ARGs lack promoter-proximal AREs.

Interestingly, ARE density was significantly lower for androgen-repressed genes (1.5 ± 1.1 AREs/ARG, mean ± SD) than for induced genes (2.7 ± 1.8 AREs/ARG, Wilcoxon rank sum test, $P < 10^{-5}$). In addition, repressed ARGs contained intragenic AREs (19 of 65 AREs, 29%) at a lower frequency than did induced genes (156 of 340 AREs, 46%), whereas a larger fraction of AREs resided downstream from repressed ARGs (20 of 65 AREs, 31%) compared to induced genes (40 of 340 AREs, 12%) (Fig. 6B). Conceivably, then, hormonal activation or repression of an ARG may relate in some way to ARE position and the number of AREs per ARG, implying that it may be important for mechanistic studies to identify multiple AREs near primary AR target genes. We speculate that androgen-mediated transcriptional regulation may commonly employ combinatorial coordination of multiple AREs located upstream of, within, and downstream from an ARG, e.g., *SGK* (Fig. 2A) and *TIPARP* (Fig. 2B).

Potential composite AREs

Although relatively few native HREs have been examined, many of these appear to be composite elements, with binding sequences for two or more factors defining platforms for regulatory complex assembly (Lefstin and Yamamoto 1998; Carroll et al. 2005). We employed unbiased searches using BioProspector (Liu et al. 2002) or MobyDick (Bussemaker et al. 2000; So et al. 2007) to identify recurring sequence motifs among our 524 AREs (500-bp sequences centered at the AR binding peak) (Fig. 7). As expected, the most prominent motifs were the partially palindromic ARBS itself, occurring in 69% of AREs (Fig. 7A), and the TGTTCT half-site of the ARBS (Fig. 7B). In addition, we identified several other recurring motifs, with Bonferroni corrected $P < 10^{-3}$, by comparing these sequence motifs with matrices in TRANSFAC (Matys et al. 2003), we concluded that potential binding sequences for AP-1, retinoic acid receptor (RAR),

zinc finger protein 42 (ZNF42), hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 receptor α (HNF-4 α), and early growth response (EGR) factor (Fig. 7B) were detected in >142 (27%) of our 524 AREs.

Detection of additional motifs within AREs suggested potential points of regulatory cross-talk and may facilitate analysis of combinatorial regulation at the molecular level. Indeed, several of the identified sequence motifs appear from other studies to be functional. For example, our computational analysis revealed an AP-1 binding motif at ARE4.13 of the interleukin-8 (*IL8*) gene, which Freund et al. (2004) showed to be important for AP-1-mediated transcriptional regulation of *IL8* promoter expression. We suggest that many AREs are likely composite elements, and that regulatory cross-talk at AREs may typically modulate transcription of ARGs.

ARGs modulate diverse biological processes

To infer biological processes regulated by androgens and AR, the 205 validated ARGs were surveyed by shared Gene Ontology (GO) Biological Processes data using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated

Figure 4. Intersection of ARBR and ARG data reveals primary ARG clusters. (A) An 800-kb region on chromosome 4 containing seven validated ARBRs (red asterisks) was found to contain genes that were repressed (green) or unresponsive (black) following treatment with androgen. (B) A 370-kb region on chromosome 1 containing 13 validated ARBRs (red asterisks) was found to contain genes that were induced (red) or unresponsive (black) following treatment with androgen. Gene loci are shown based on the May 2004 human genome freeze hg17 in the UCSC browser using GenBank RefSeq positions. The androgen responsiveness of some genes was not determined (gray). Genes above the heavy black line are transcribed from left to right whereas those below the line are transcribed from right to left. The longest transcriptional variants for each gene are represented. The ChIP-chip signals (red bars) are shown for duplicate experiments and indicate the relative fold enrichment of AR-immunoprecipitated DNA fragments for androgen- versus vehicle-treated cells.

Figure 5. ARBRs display androgen response element (ARE) activities. (A) Thirteen sequences of ~500 bp, each containing individual ARBRs (numbered), were cloned upstream of a minimal promoter sequence driving the expression of luciferase. (B) Nine additional sequences of ~500 bp, each containing individual ARBRs (numbered), were also cloned as described (see Materials and Methods). These nine ARBRs and the corresponding ARBR mutants, which contain mutated ARBSs (indicated by the letter m preceding the ARBR number), were tested in cell-based reporter assays. HPr-1AR cells transfected with each of the ARBR reporter constructs were treated with vehicle (open bars) or androgen R1881 (solid bars) and subsequently were assayed for androgen-stimulated luciferase activity. The ARBRs shown correspond to sequences upstream of, within, and downstream from ARGs. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 3$.

Discovery (DAVID) 2006 (Dennis et al. 2003). The enriched GO Biological Process categories (Table 2) were dominated by ARGs whose products control cell proliferation and differentiation, cell-cell signaling, and morphogenesis, consistent with the known physiologic roles of androgens as modulators of cellular proliferation, communication, and development. We found it striking that seven ARGs whose products function in steroid metabolism were induced by androgens (Table 3), suggesting that some of the resulting lipid products may modulate cellular responses to steroids, or exert other signaling effects.

Comparing the HPr-1AR ARGs to those previously reported in LNCaP prostate carcinoma cells, we found that only 23 (11%) of the 205 HPr-1AR ARGs were also androgen-responsive in LNCaP cells (Table 4; DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). Remarkably, nine (39%) of these 23 ARGs were differentially regulated, i.e., androgen-induced in one cell line and androgen-repressed in the other. Moreover, 17 (74%) of these 23 ARGs were found to contain nearby AREs, and therefore are primary AR targets.

These results underscore the strong cell specificity of AR function and suggest that, in principle, extending these analyses of primary AR-regulated genes could reveal the androgen-responsive gene networks that modulate prostate cell proliferation, communication, differentiation, and possibly cancer progression.

Discussion

We found that multiple genes involved in prostate cell growth, proliferation, and perhaps cancer progression are regulated directly by AR. AREs were detected near 84% of ARGs, suggesting that, during our relatively short times of hormone exposure, most ARGs in HPr-1AR cells are primary targets of AR rather than secondary consequences of hormone exposure, and that AR occupancy is a major determinant of AR responsiveness. It will be interesting to extend our cell culture analysis to assess the relative roles for primary and secondary ARGs in developing and adult prostate tissue, and during prostate cancer progression.

Roles of ARGs in biological processes

Consistent with the physiologic roles of androgens as modulators of cellular proliferation, signaling, and devel-

Figure 6. Distribution analysis of AREs located near ARGs. (A) The distribution of AREs identified by ChIP-chip was plotted relative to the predicted transcription start site (+1) of the longest transcriptional variant of the nearest ARG. AREs reside great distances upstream and downstream, as well as near the promoter. Notably, fewer AREs were found upstream of than downstream from ARG transcription start sites of the longest transcriptional variants. Relative distances upstream of (negative) and downstream (positive) from the transcription start sites (vertical black line) were assigned to 10 kb bins. AREs were generally found beyond 10 kb of the transcription start site. (B) The location of AREs is shown relative to the predicted gene substructure of the longest transcriptional variant of the nearby ARGs. When the distribution of all AREs near all ARGs was assessed, most AREs were situated downstream from the transcription start site. These distributions were subdivided based on whether the ARG was repressed or induced, suggesting biases in terms of ARE location and the mean AREs/ARG ratios.

Figure 7. ARBSs and other *cis*-regulatory motifs are enriched within AREs. Unbiased motif searches of all 524 AREs revealed the presence of significantly recurring motifs. (A) BioProspector analysis identified a consensus ARBS, which is visually represented in WebLogo (<http://weblogo.berkeley.edu>). (B) Moby-Dick analysis detected a consensus AR half-site motif as well as putative motifs for AP-1, RAR, ZNF42, HNF-4 α , and EGR. These enriched sequence motifs are represented using IUPAC symbols (<http://www.iupac.org>). Motifs where the putative regulatory factors were not determined (ND) are indicated. *P*-value calculations are described in Materials and Methods.

opment, genes associated with those processes were enriched among our ARGs and primary AR target genes (Table 2). In principle, genes whose expression differs between normal and tumor cells or undergoes change during tumor progression may serve as biomarkers of different cancer stages. Of the 20 ARGs that modulate cell proliferation in HPr-1AR, 15 were not reported to be androgen-responsive in LNCaP cells, and two genes (*CYR61* and *ADAMTS1*) that were repressed in HPr-1AR are induced in LNCaP cells (Table 4). Along with AR itself, eight primary ARGs (*SNAI2*, *KRT5*, *INHBA*, *IL6*, *IL6R*, *ARL4C*, *F3*, and *HMGB3*) and one ARG (*FOXO1A*) in HPr-1AR are commonly expressed aberrantly during prostate carcinogenesis (Giri et al. 2001; LaTulippe et al. 2002; Lapointe et al. 2004; Dong et al. 2006). Moreover, *ARL4C*, *HMGB3*, and AR expression are elevated strikingly in metastatic prostate cancers compared to nonrecurrent primary prostate cancers, whereas expression of *F3* is decreased (LaTulippe et al. 2002). It will be interesting in future studies to assess whether these and other ARG products that regulate cell proliferation emerge as therapeutic targets or diagnostic markers.

An intriguing subset of primary ARGs involved in cellular lipid metabolism includes seven steroid metabolism genes, all of which are androgen-induced. These include four ARGs (Table 3; *PMVK*, *SEC14L2*, *NSDHL*, and *DHCR24*, an ARG in LNCaP cells and an unresponsive AR-occupied gene in HPr-1AR) whose products function in *de novo* cholesterol biosynthesis (Olivier et al. 1999; Shibata et al. 2001; Waterham 2006) and three whose products either process sterols (*SOAT2* and *AKR1D1*) or function as a receptor for oxidized sterols (*OSBPL11*) (Kondo et al. 1994; Chang et al. 2001; Lehto and Olkkonen 2003). Another induced ARG is long-

chain acyl CoA synthetase (*ACSL1*), which activates long-chain fatty acids for β -oxidation or acylation by cholesterol acyl transferase (*SOAT2*); *SOAT2* in turn converts cholesterol, from which steroids are derived, into cholesterol esters for storage. Together, these primary ARGs expand the AR signaling network to include specific lipid metabolism pathways; some of the resulting lipid products may modulate cellular responses to steroids or exert other signaling effects.

Table 2. Summary of Gene Ontology (GO) categories

GO biological processes	<i>P</i> -values ^a
Cell proliferation	0.00019
Regulation of cell proliferation	0.00007
Positive regulation of cell proliferation	0.00041
Negative regulation of cell proliferation	0.0023
Cell communication	0.00065
Cell-cell signaling	0.0085
Signal transduction	0.0065
Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction	0.0025
Regulation of signal transduction	0.052
Development	0.0011
Tissue development	0.024
Mesoderm development	0.044
Cell differentiation	0.0067
Morphogenesis	0.0028
Organ morphogenesis	0.065
Cellular morphogenesis	0.18
Regulation of cell size	0.067
Regulation of cell growth	0.031
Response to stimulus	0.0011
Defense response	0.011
Response to chemical stimulus	0.013
Response to stress	0.0068
Response to external stimulus	0.00036
Taxis	0.0072
Response to wounding	0.00073
Wound healing	0.0044
Cellular lipid metabolism	0.22
Lipid biosynthesis	0.21
Steroid metabolism	0.057
Steroid biosynthesis	0.081
Sterol metabolism	0.085
Sterol biosynthesis	0.078
Cholesterol metabolism	0.066
Cholesterol biosynthesis	0.055
Negative regulation of metabolism	0.011
Negative regulation of cellular metabolism	0.012
Sodium ion transport	0.040
Negative regulation of progression through cell cycle	0.045
Negative regulation of transcription	0.046

^a*P*-values were determined using as background the 11,222 predicted protein-coding genes that we interrogated.

Table 3. *Induced primary ARGs whose gene products function in steroid metabolism*

ARGs	Cellular function of gene product (see Discussion for references)
<i>PMVK</i>	Catalyzes the conversion of mevalonate 5-phosphate into mevalonate 5-diphosphate
<i>SEC14L2</i>	Stimulates squalene epoxidase (catalyzes the conversion of squalene to cholesterol)
<i>NSDHL</i>	Sterol dehydrogenase that catalyzes the conversion of squalene to cholesterol
<i>DHCR24</i>	24-dehydrocholesterol reductase that catalyzes the conversion of squalene to cholesterol
<i>SOAT2</i>	Catalyzes the formation of cholesterol esters from long-chain acyl CoAs and cholesterol
<i>OSBPL11</i>	Intracellular lipid receptor family member (binds oxidized derivatives of cholesterol)
<i>AKR1D1</i>	Catalyzes the 5- β -reduction of bile acid intermediates and $\Delta(4)$ -3-one steroids

In general, we were struck by the remarkable degree of cell and gene specificity inherent in androgen regulation, as 182 (89%) of the 205 ARGs we identified were apparently androgen-unresponsive in LNCaP cells (DePrimo et al. 2002; Nelson et al. 2002). Similarly, comparisons of glucocorticoid-responsive genes in different cell types (Rogatsky et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2004; Phuc Le et al. 2005; So et al. 2007) revealed little overlap. It will be important in future work to assemble expression and response data from multiple androgen-responsive cell types to better define and understand tissue-specific transcriptional networks radiating outward from AR.

Potential composite AREs

In 69% of AREs (Fig. 7A), we readily identified a partially palindromic ARBS motif, and mutation of the motif virtually abolished the ARE activities (Fig. 5B). The remaining AREs lacked a statistically significant consensus ARBS motif and might be “tethering elements”, at which AR associates through protein–protein interactions with DNA-bound nonreceptor regulatory factors, rather than itself binding to DNA (Murtha et al. 1997; Sato et al. 1997; Lefstin and Yamamoto 1998). In any case, our approach was broadly effective in identifying functional AREs that contain or lack consensus ARBSs, and that either promote or repress AR-regulated transcription.

Combinations of *cis*-regulatory motifs can function as composite response elements to integrate multiple signals and to elicit distinct regulatory effects in different cellular or physiologic contexts. These biological nodes present opportunities to define regulatory interactions at the molecular and functional levels. As a first step, we scanned for additional sequence motifs within the AREs

and identified several significantly recurring motifs, including putative sites for AP-1, RAR, ZNF42, HNF-4 α , and EGR. We note that AP-1 motifs are known to operate at several ARGs (Murtha et al. 1997; Sato et al. 1997; Chen et al. 2006), and that increases in AR and the AP-1 factor c-Jun correlate with prostate cancer progression (LaTulippe et al. 2002; Tiniakos et al. 2006). Assessing regulatory cross-talk between AR and AP-1 signaling at AREs will thus be informative but technically challenging as the dimeric AP-1 factors are drawn from a superfamily of genes (c-Jun, JunB, and JunD) that can homo- or heterodimerize with c-Fos, FosB, Fra-1, and Fra-2.

ARE distribution, combinatorial regulation, and ARG clusters

Studies of promoter proximal AREs have yielded an emerging view of coordinated recruitment of AR, nuclear coregulators, chromatin remodeling factors, and the transcriptional machinery (Shang et al. 2002; Metzger et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2005; Yamane et al. 2006). Whether such findings present a complete picture, however, is a question brought into focus by results showing that most AREs are quite remote from ARGs. We suggest that some remote AREs may act analogously to the β -globin locus control region (LCR), which exerts temporal control over expression of genes within the β -globin gene cluster from 60 kb away (Lawrence 2003); Takayama et al. (2007) hypothesize that distal intergenic as well as intragenic AREs function to regulate primary ARGs.

We identified 172 primary AR target genes and 524 AREs. In agreement with a recent ChIP–chip study of 10 androgen-responsive genes in LNCaP (Takayama et al. 2007), we found that most AREs were >10 kb upstream of and, or more typically, downstream from the ARG transcription start sites. As functional enhancers, AREs can operate relatively remote from promoters, and thus provide little selective pressure to assume more promoter proximal positions. Our results parallel those from ChIP–chip studies of other transcriptional regulators (Martone et al. 2003; Euskirchen et al. 2004; So et al. 2007). We also commonly observed multiple AREs per ARG (Figs. 2, 4, 5), suggesting combinatorial regulation of individual primary ARGs.

Interestingly, 31 (15%) ARGs were themselves linked in gene clusters, consisting of ARBRs and multiple ARGs. In addition to the two large ARG clusters described here (Fig. 4A,B), we also identified five small ARG clusters consisting of at least one intergenic ARE flanked by two ARGs (E.C. Bolton and K.R. Yamamoto, unpubl.). Strikingly, all of the ARGs within any given cluster were similarly regulated, i.e., either induced or repressed by androgens, but not a mixture of both. However, some genes within a given cluster nonetheless escape AR-mediated regulation in HPr-1AR cells. Conceivably, AR-mediated regulation within ARG clusters may progress through discrete stages during male development, analogous to the temporal regulation of the β -globin locus (Lawrence 2003).

Table 4. Intersection of ARGs for HPr-1AR and LNCaP cells

ARGs	Biological processes ^a	HPr-1AR	LNCaP ^b
Primary ARGs			
<i>CYR61</i>	Cell growth and proliferation, response to external stimulus	Repressed (R)	Induced (I)
<i>SNAI2</i>	Development, regulation of metabolism and transcription	R	I
<i>TMEPAI</i>	Signal transduction	R	I
<i>UAP1</i>	N-acetylglucosamine biosynthesis	R	I
<i>FN1</i>	Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction	I	R
<i>ATP1A1</i>	ATP hydrolysis coupled ion transport, sperm motility	I	I
<i>MCEE</i>	L-methylmalonyl-CoA metabolism	I	I
<i>SSR3</i>	Cotranslational protein targeting to membrane	I	I
<i>ELL2</i>	RNA elongation from RNA polymerase (pol) II promoter	I	I
<i>SLC26A2</i>	Ossification, sulfate transport	I	I
<i>FKBP5</i>	Protein folding	I	I
<i>VEGFA</i>	Cell proliferation, signal transduction, morphogenesis	I	I
<i>SGK</i>	Response to stress, sodium ion transport	I	I
<i>SLC22A3</i>	Organic cation transport	I	I
<i>NDRG1</i>	Development, response to chemical stimulus	I	I
<i>NFKBIA</i>	Intracellular signaling cascade, apoptosis	I	I
<i>PYGB</i>	Glycogen catabolism	I	I
ARGs lacking AREs			
<i>ADAMTS1</i>	Cell proliferation, cell surface receptor linked signal transduction	R	I
<i>CXCR7</i>	Cell surface receptor linked signal transduction	I	R
<i>GATA2</i>	Cell differentiation, transcription from RNA pol II promoter	I	R
<i>PRSS23</i>	Not determined	I	R
<i>MYC</i>	Cell proliferation, regulation of progression through cell cycle	R	R
<i>KLF4</i>	Cell proliferation, development, regulation of transcription	I	I

^aBiological processes determined for *Mus musculus*, *Rattus Norvegicus*, and *Homo sapiens* Gene Ontology data.

^bLNCaP expression data compiled from DePrimo et al. (2002) and Nelson et al. (2002).

The challenges associated with testing the coordination of several AREs spanning ~100 kb for even a single ARG are daunting. One possible approach for future work is to use integrated bacterial artificial chromosome-based reporter genes in mouse genetic studies (DiLeone et al. 2000; Dodou et al. 2004). In any case, it seems likely that intricate collaborations of multiple AREs may orchestrate recruitment of coregulatory complexes that dictate the spatiotemporal expression of AR target genes.

The present study advances our understanding of the organization and function of AREs and nearby ARGs, and also illuminates new unknowns, such as the mechanisms that restrict AR occupancy to a subset of potential AREs in a given cell context, or the contributions of individual AREs to ARG regulation, especially in the context of ARG clusters. Addressing these and other challenges raised by our study will continue to provide new insights into the mechanisms of transcriptional regulation of genes and gene networks by AR and other transcriptional regulators.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, plasmids, transfections, and reporter assays

HPr-1AR cells, which express wild-type AR, were grown as described (Ling et al. 2001) in keratinocyte serum free medium (Cat. # 17005-042, Invitrogen) and a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Plasmid pGL4.10-E4TATA (provided by Yuriy Shostak, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) and pBEC22 (*Renilla* luciferase vector) were created by insertion of a 50-bp minimal *E4* TATA promoter sequence (Lin et al. 1988), driving luciferase expression, into the *Bgl* II to *Hind* III sites of vectors pGL4.10 and pGL4.70 (Promega), respectively. Individual ~500-bp ARBR fragments were amplified from human genomic DNA by PCR (primer sequences in Supplemental Table 2) and inserted into the *Kpn* I to *Xho* I sites upstream of the *E4* TATA sequence. The QuikChange kit (Stratagene) was used to mutate two to four of the underlined positions (GGAACAnnnTGTNCN) of putative ARBSs contained within the ARBRs (primer sequences in Supplemental Table 2). Mutation of either of two consensus ARBSs within ARBR7.08 reduced androgen-induced luciferase activity (E.C. Bolton and K.R. Yamamoto, unpubl.), and mutation of both ARBSs (mARBR7.08) abolished it. For ARBR6.35 and mARBR6.35, DNA linkers containing

only the 15-bp ARBS and mutated ARBS, respectively, were inserted into the *Kpn* I to *Xho* I sites of pGL4.10-E4TATA. HPr-1AR cells were grown in 48-well plates, cotransfected with 150 ng of reporter constructs and 150 ng of pBEC22 (*Renilla* luciferase vector) using Gene Juice (Novagen). After overnight transfection, cells were treated with 0.1% ethanol vehicle or 1 nM R1881 (New England Nuclear) for ~20 h, and luciferase activities were measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay (Promega) and an Ultra Evolution plate reader (Tecan).

RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from HPr-1AR cells using QIAshredder and RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Random-primed cDNA was prepared from total RNA using the ProtoScript First Strand cDNA kit (New England Biolabs). cDNA was used in 50- μ L qPCR reactions containing 1 unit of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 500 nM of each primer (primer sequences in Supplemental Table 3), 150 μ M dNTP mix, 0.2 $\times$ SYBR green I dye (Invitrogen), and 1 $\times$ ROX reference dye (Invitrogen) in 1 $\times$ PCR Buffer II (Applied Biosystems). Primers were designed using Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi), and those that efficiently amplified (Ginzinger 2002) single products of the expected sizes were used for qPCR. We performed qPCR with a 7300 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Applied Biosystems) and normalized to the expression of the *RPL19* gene, which is not regulated by androgen or AR.

ChIP and ChIP-chip design and analysis

ChIP and ChIP scanning assays were performed as described (Wang et al. 2004; So et al. 2007) with the following modifications. HPr-1AR cells in medium were treated with 0.1% ethanol vehicle or 1 nM R1881 for 4 h at 37°C, 1% formaldehyde for 3 min as the dishes cooled from 37°C to 22°C, and 125 mM glycine for 10 min as the dishes cooled from 22°C to 4°C. Cells were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline at 4°C and lysed in ice-cold IP lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100, supplemented with protease inhibitors), and harvested by scraping; cell lysis continued as cells were mixed at 4°C for 10 min. Nuclei were collected by centrifugation (500g for 5 min at 4°C) and resuspended in 2 mL of ice-cold RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, supplemented with protease inhibitors). Nuclei were sonicated until an average DNA fragment size of 100–500 bp was achieved (assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis). For ~10⁷ HPr-1AR nuclei, 6 μ g of anti-AR antibody (PG-21, Upstate) was used for immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitated material was washed 5 $\times$ at 4°C with RIPA buffer containing 300 mM NaCl and 100 μ g/mL yeast tRNA, and resuspended in 80 μ L of proteinase K solution (TE, pH 8.0, 0.7% SDS, 200 μ g/mL proteinase K). After reversing cross-links, DNA fragments were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen).

Ligation-mediated PCR (LMPCR) amplification was performed as described (So et al. 2007) to amplify AR ChIP samples. Using qPCR of numerous control amplicons, we validated that the LMPCR-amplified products yielded levels of AR occupancy similar to unamplified AR ChIP samples. For conventional AR ChIP, qPCR data were normalized to a region 140 bp upstream of the *HSPA1A* gene, which is not occupied by AR (primer sequences in Supplemental Table 4). Primers for ChIP qPCR were designed as described above.

For the ChIP-chip microarray, ~104 kb human genomic regions centered on the transcription start sites of 548 candidate hormone-responsive genes were tiled with isothermal 50-mer oligos that were spaced ~54 bp apart on repeat-masked DNA. Where ~104-kb regions overlapped, the surrounding genomic regions were tiled further, bidirectionally. Human DNA sequences from NCBI Build 35 (hg17) were retrieved using the UCSC Genome Browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>). NimbleGen Systems (<http://www.nimblegen.com>) labeled the LMPCR-amplified AR ChIP samples from vehicle and R1881-treated HPr-1AR cells with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively, hybridized labeled samples to the custom microarrays, and measured relative signal intensities. Androgen-enriched peaks were detected by Mpeak (<http://www.stat.ucla.edu/~zmdl/mpeak>; Kim et al. 2005) using default parameters with the following modifications: threshold prefilter = 2(mean) + 4(SD); local maximum = 350 bp.

Computational analysis

For analysis of enriched motifs, human repeat-masked DNA sequences from NCBI Build 35 (hg17) were retrieved using the UCSC Genome Browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>). BioProspector analysis (Liu et al. 2002) was initially performed using nucleotide widths (w) 12, 14, 16, and 18 on ARBRs to identify ARBSs, and the top motifs were masked to identify other motifs. For MobyDick analysis (Bussemaker et al. 2000), human and human/mouse aligned sequences (UCSC Genome Browser) were used as inputs to identify enriched motifs (So et al. 2007). Similar motifs were clustered and Bonferroni corrected *P*-values of enrichment were determined as described (So et al. 2007) (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). The top BioProspector w14 position weight matrix (PWM) was used to score AREs for putative ARBSs with a false-positive rate of <10%. This upper bound was calculated from randomly sampling unbound regions (Supplemental Fig. 4).

For other motifs with *P* < 10^{−3}, PWMs were constructed and compared to known binding sites in TRANSFAC professional v.9.3 (Matys et al. 2003). To associate motifs with putative regulatory factor binding sites, we measured the distance between the PWMs and those representing binding sites for known regulatory factors using relative entropy (Kullback-Liebler divergence) with a cutoff <6.0.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Yamamoto lab for discussions and reagents, and Hee Jung Chung, Mark Diamond, Miles Pufall, Nirao Shah, Sebastiaan Meijnsing, and Tony Gerber for insightful comments on the manuscript. Cell line HPr-1AR and plasmid pGL4.10-E4TATA were generous gifts from Patrick Ming-Tat Ling (University of Hong Kong) and Yuriy Shostak, respectively. This work was supported by NRSA postdoctoral fellowship F32DK65402 to E.C.B.; predoctoral fellowship 10GB-0104 of the University of California Breast Cancer Research Program to A.Y.S.; NSF predoctoral fellowship to C.C.; Packard Fellowship in Science and Engineering to H.L.; and research grants from NIH (CA101042 to C.M.H., GM070808 to H.L., CA020535 to K.R.Y.).

References

- Belperio, J.A., Keane, M.P., Arenberg, D.A., Addison, C.L., Ehler, J.E., Burdick, M.D., and Strieter, R.M. 2000. CX chemokines in angiogenesis. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 68: 1–8.

- Bussemaker, H.J., Li, H., and Siggia, E.D. 2000. Building a dictionary for genomes: Identification of presumptive regulatory sites by statistical analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 10096–10100.
- Carroll, J.S., Liu, X.S., Brodsky, A.S., Li, W., Meyer, C.A., Szary, A.J., Eeckhoute, J., Shao, W., Hestermann, E.V., Geistlinger, T.R., et al. 2005. Chromosome-wide mapping of estrogen receptor binding reveals long-range regulation requiring the forkhead protein FoxA1. *Cell* **122**: 33–43.
- Chang, C.S., Kokontis, J., and Liao, S.T. 1988. Molecular cloning of human and rat complementary DNA encoding androgen receptors. *Science* **240**: 324–326.
- Chang, T.Y., Chang, C.C., Lin, S., Yu, C., Li, B.L., and Miyazaki, A. 2001. Roles of acyl-coenzyme A:cholesterol acyltransferase-1 and -2. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **12**: 289–296.
- Chen, S., Wang, J., Yu, G., Liu, W., and Pearce, D. 1997. Androgen and glucocorticoid receptor heterodimer formation. A possible mechanism for mutual inhibition of transcriptional activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 14087–14092.
- Chen, S.Y., Cai, C., Fisher, C.J., Zheng, Z., Omwancha, J., Hsieh, C.L., and Shemshedini, L. 2006. c-Jun enhancement of androgen receptor transactivation is associated with prostate cancer cell proliferation. *Oncogene* **25**: 7212–7223.
- Chuang, K.H., Lee, Y.F., Lin, W.J., Chu, C.Y., Altuwaijri, S., Wan, Y.J., and Chang, C. 2005. 9-*cis*-retinoic acid inhibits androgen receptor activity through activation of retinoid X receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **19**: 1200–1212.
- Claessens, F., Verrijdt, G., Schoenmakers, E., Haelens, A., Peeters, B., Verhoeven, G., and Rombauts, W. 2001. Selective DNA binding by the androgen receptor as a mechanism for hormone-specific gene regulation. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **76**: 23–30.
- Cleutjens, K.B., van Eekelen, C.C., van der Korput, H.A., Brinkmann, A.O., and Trapman, J. 1996. Two androgen response regions cooperate in steroid hormone regulated activity of the prostate-specific antigen promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**: 6379–6388.
- Crossley, M., Ludwig, M., Stowell, K.M., De Vos, P., Olek, K., and Brownlee, G.G. 1992. Recovery from hemophilia B Leyden: An androgen-responsive element in the factor IX promoter. *Science* **257**: 377–379.
- Dennis Jr., G., Sherman, B.T., Hosack, D.A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H.C., and Lempicki, R.A. 2003. DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. *Genome Biol.* **4**: 3. doi: 10.1186/gb-2003-4-9-r60.
- DePrimo, S.E., Diehn, M., Nelson, J.B., Reiter, R.E., Matese, J., Fero, M., Tibshirani, R., Brown, P.O., and Brooks, J.D. 2002. Transcriptional programs activated by exposure of human prostate cancer cells to androgen. *Genome Biol.* **3**: RESEARCH0032. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0032.
- DiLeone, R.J., Marcus, G.A., Johnson, M.D., and Kingsley, D.M. 2000. Efficient studies of long-distance Bmp5 gene regulation using bacterial artificial chromosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 1612–1617.
- Dodou, E., Verzi, M.P., Anderson, J.P., Xu, S.M., and Black, B.L. 2004. Mef2c is a direct transcriptional target of ISL1 and GATA factors in the anterior heart field during mouse embryonic development. *Development* **131**: 3931–3942.
- Dong, X.Y., Chen, C., Sun, X., Guo, P., Vessella, R.L., Wang, R.X., Chung, L.W., Zhou, W., and Dong, J.T. 2006. FOXO1A is a candidate for the 13q14 tumor suppressor gene inhibiting androgen receptor signaling in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* **66**: 6998–7006.
- Euskirchen, G., Royce, T.E., Bertone, P., Martone, R., Rinn, J.L., Nelson, F.K., Sayward, F., Luscombe, N.M., Miller, P., Gerstein, M., et al. 2004. CREB binds to multiple loci on human chromosome 22. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 3804–3814.
- Freund, A., Jolivel, V., Durand, S., Kersual, N., Chalbos, D., Chavey, C., Vignon, F., and Lazennec, G. 2004. Mechanisms underlying differential expression of interleukin-8 in breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* **23**: 6105–6114.
- Ginzinger, D.G. 2002. Gene quantification using real-time quantitative PCR: An emerging technology hits the mainstream. *Exp. Hematol.* **30**: 503–512.
- Giri, D., Ozen, M., and Ittmann, M. 2001. Interleukin-6 is an autocrine growth factor in human prostate cancer. *Am. J. Pathol.* **159**: 2159–2165.
- Holder, N. and Klein, R. 1999. Eph receptors and ephrins: Effectors of morphogenesis. *Development* **126**: 2033–2044.
- Itani, O.A., Liu, K.Z., Cornish, K.L., Campbell, J.R., and Thomas, C.P. 2002. Glucocorticoids stimulate human sgk1 gene expression by activation of a GRE in its 5'-flanking region. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **283**: E971–E979. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00021.2002.
- Kim, T.H., Barrera, L.O., Zheng, M., Qu, C., Singer, M.A., Richmond, T.A., Wu, Y., Green, R.D., and Ren, B. 2005. A high-resolution map of active promoters in the human genome. *Nature* **436**: 876–880.
- Kochupurakkal, B.S., Harari, D., Di-Segni, A., Maik-Rachline, G., Lyass, L., Gur, G., Kerber, G., Citri, A., Lavi, S., Eilam, R., et al. 2005. Epigen, the last ligand of ErbB receptors, reveals intricate relationships between affinity and mitogenicity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**: 8503–8512.
- Kondo, K.H., Kai, M.H., Setoguchi, Y., Eggertsen, G., Sjoblom, P., Setoguchi, T., Okuda, K.I., and Bjorkhem, I. 1994. Cloning and expression of cDNA of human Δ 4-3-oxosteroid 5 β -reductase and substrate specificity of the expressed enzyme. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **219**: 357–363.
- Lapointe, J., Li, C., Higgins, J.P., van de Rijn, M., Bair, E., Montgomery, K., Ferrari, M., Egevad, L., Rayford, W., Bergerheim, U., et al. 2004. Gene expression profiling identifies clinically relevant subtypes of prostate cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 811–816.
- LaTulippe, E., Satagopan, J., Smith, A., Scher, H., Scardino, P., Reuter, V., and Gerald, W.L. 2002. Comprehensive gene expression analysis of prostate cancer reveals distinct transcriptional programs associated with metastatic disease. *Cancer Res.* **62**: 4499–4506.
- Lawrence, J.G. 2003. Gene organization: Selection, selfishness, and serendipity. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **57**: 419–440.
- Lee, Y.F., Shyr, C.R., Thin, T.H., Lin, W.J., and Chang, C. 1999. Convergence of two repressors through heterodimer formation of androgen receptor and testicular orphan receptor-4: A unique signaling pathway in the steroid receptor superfamily. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 14724–14729.
- Lefstin, J.A. and Yamamoto, K.R. 1998. Allosteric effects of DNA on transcriptional regulators. *Nature* **392**: 885–888.
- Lehto, M. and Olkkonen, V.M. 2003. The OSBP-related proteins: A novel protein family involved in vesicle transport, cellular lipid metabolism, and cell signalling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1631**: 1–11.
- Lin, Y.S., Carey, M.F., Ptashne, M., and Green, M.R. 1988. GAL4 derivatives function alone and synergistically with mammalian activators in vitro. *Cell* **54**: 659–664.
- Ling, M.T., Chan, K.W., and Choo, C.K. 2001. Androgen induces differentiation of a human papillomavirus 16 E6/E7 immortalized prostate epithelial cell line. *J. Endocrinol.* **170**: 287–296.
- Liu, X.S., Brutlag, D.L., and Liu, J.S. 2002. An algorithm for finding protein-DNA binding sites with applications to chromatin-immunoprecipitation microarray experiments. *Nat.*

- Biotechnol.* **20**: 835–839.
- Loreni, F., Stavenhagen, J., Kalf, M., and Robins, D.M. 1988. A complex androgen-responsive enhancer resides 2 kilobases upstream of the mouse *Slp* gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **8**: 2350–2360.
- Lubahn, D.B., Joseph, D.R., Sullivan, P.M., Willard, H.F., French, F.S., and Wilson, E.M. 1988. Cloning of human androgen receptor complementary DNA and localization to the X chromosome. *Science* **240**: 327–330.
- Magee, J.A., Chang, L.W., Stormo, G.D., and Milbrandt, J. 2006. Direct, androgen receptor-mediated regulation of the FKBP5 gene via a distal enhancer element. *Endocrinology* **147**: 590–598.
- Martone, R., Euskirchen, G., Bertone, P., Hartman, S., Royce, T.E., Luscombe, N.M., Rinn, J.L., Nelson, F.K., Miller, P., Gerstein, M., et al. 2003. Distribution of NF- κ B-binding sites across human chromosome 22. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 12247–12252.
- Matys, V., Fricke, E., Geffers, R., Gossling, E., Haubrock, M., Hehl, R., Hornischer, K., Karas, D., Kel, A.E., Kel-Margoulis, O.V., et al. 2003. TRANSFAC: Transcriptional regulation, from patterns to profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**: 374–378. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg108.
- Metzger, E., Wissmann, M., Yin, N., Muller, J.M., Schneider, R., Peters, A.H., Gunther, T., Buettner, R., and Schule, R. 2005. LSD1 demethylates repressive histone marks to promote androgen-receptor-dependent transcription. *Nature* **437**: 436–439.
- Murtha, P., Tindall, D.J., and Young, C.Y. 1993. Androgen induction of a human prostate-specific kallikrein, hKLK2: Characterization of an androgen response element in the 5' promoter region of the gene. *Biochemistry* **32**: 6459–6464.
- Murtha, P.E., Zhu, W., Zhang, J., Zhang, S., and Young, C.Y. 1997. Effects of Ca^{2+} mobilization on expression of androgen-regulated genes: Interference with androgen receptor-mediated transactivation by AP-1 proteins. *Prostate* **33**: 264–270.
- Nelson, P.S., Clegg, N., Arnold, H., Ferguson, C., Bonham, M., White, J., Hood, L., and Lin, B. 2002. The program of androgen-responsive genes in neoplastic prostate epithelium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**: 11890–11895.
- Olivier, L.M., Chambliss, K.L., Gibson, K.M., and Krisans, S.K. 1999. Characterization of phosphomevalonate kinase: Chromosomal localization, regulation, and subcellular targeting. *J. Lipid Res.* **40**: 672–679.
- Panet-Raymond, V., Gottlieb, B., Beitel, L.K., Pinsky, L., and Trifiro, M.A. 2000. Interactions between androgen and estrogen receptors and the effects on their transactivational properties. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **167**: 139–150.
- Phuc Le, P., Friedman, J.R., Schug, J., Brestelli, J.E., Parker, J.B., Bochkis, I.M., and Kaestner, K.H. 2005. Glucocorticoid receptor-dependent gene regulatory networks. *PLoS Genet.* **1**: e16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0010016.
- Rennie, P.S., Bruchovsky, N., Leco, K.J., Sheppard, P.C., McQueen, S.A., Cheng, H., Snoek, R., Hamel, A., Bock, M.E., MacDonald, B.S., et al. 1993. Characterization of two cis-acting DNA elements involved in the androgen regulation of the probasin gene. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **7**: 23–36.
- Rogatsky, I., Wang, J.C., Derynck, M.K., Nonaka, D.F., Khodabakhsh, D.B., Haqq, C.M., Darimont, B.D., Garabedian, M.J., and Yamamoto, K.R. 2003. Target-specific utilization of transcriptional regulatory surfaces by the glucocorticoid receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 13845–13850.
- Sato, N., Sadar, M.D., Bruchovsky, N., Saatcioglu, F., Rennie, P.S., Sato, S., Lange, P.H., and Gleave, M.E. 1997. Androgenic induction of prostate-specific antigen gene is repressed by protein-protein interaction between the androgen receptor and AP-1/c-Jun in the human prostate cancer cell line LNCaP. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 17485–17494.
- Shang, Y., Myers, M., and Brown, M. 2002. Formation of the androgen receptor transcription complex. *Mol. Cell* **9**: 601–610.
- Shibata, N., Arita, M., Misaki, Y., Dohmae, N., Takio, K., Ono, T., Inoue, K., and Arai, H. 2001. Supernatant protein factor, which stimulates the conversion of squalene to lanosterol, is a cytosolic squalene transfer protein and enhances cholesterol biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**: 2244–2249.
- So, A.Y., Chaivorapol, C., Bolton, E.C., Li, H., and Yamamoto, K.R. 2007. Determinants of cell- and gene-specific transcriptional regulation by the glucocorticoid receptor. *PLoS Genet.* **3**: e94. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030094.
- Stekete, K., Ziel-van der Made, A.C., van der Korput, H.A., Houtsmuller, A.B., and Trapman, J. 2004. A bioinformatics-based functional analysis shows that the specifically androgen-regulated gene SARG contains an active direct repeat androgen response element in the first intron. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **33**: 477–491.
- Takayama, K., Kaneshiro, K., Tsutsumi, S., Horie-Inoue, K., Ikeda, K., Urano, T., Ijichi, N., Ouchi, Y., Shirahige, K., Aburatani, H., et al. 2007. Identification of novel androgen response genes in prostate cancer cells by coupling chromatin immunoprecipitation and genomic microarray analysis. *Oncogene* **26**: 4453–4463.
- Tiniakos, D.G., Mitropoulos, D., Kyroudi-Voulgari, A., Soura, K., and Kittas, C. 2006. Expression of c-jun oncogene in hyperplastic and carcinomatous human prostate. *Urology* **67**: 204–208.
- Wang, J.C., Derynck, M.K., Nonaka, D.F., Khodabakhsh, D.B., Haqq, C., and Yamamoto, K.R. 2004. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) scanning identifies primary glucocorticoid receptor target genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 15603–15608.
- Wang, Q., Carroll, J.S., and Brown, M. 2005. Spatial and temporal recruitment of androgen receptor and its coactivators involves chromosomal looping and polymerase tracking. *Mol. Cell* **19**: 631–642.
- Waterham, H.R. 2006. Defects of cholesterol biosynthesis. *FEBS Lett.* **580**: 5442–5449.
- Weinmann, A.S., Yan, P.S., Oberley, M.J., Huang, T.H., and Farnham, P.J. 2002. Isolating human transcription factor targets by coupling chromatin immunoprecipitation and CpG island microarray analysis. *Genes & Dev.* **16**: 235–244.
- Yamane, K., Toumazou, C., Tsukada, Y., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., Wong, J., and Zhang, Y. 2006. JHDM2A, a JmJc-containing H3K9 demethylase, facilitates transcription activation by androgen receptor. *Cell* **125**: 483–495.

Cell- and gene-specific regulation of primary target genes by the androgen receptor

Eric C. Bolton, Alex Y. So, Christina Chaivorapol, et al.

Genes Dev. 2007, **21**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1564207](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1564207)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2007/08/06/21.16.2005.DC1>

References

This article cites 59 articles, 26 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/21/16/2005.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.

USC
SCIENTIFIC
SIDE BY SCIENCE