Let-7 microRNA-mediated mRNA deadenylation and translational repression in a mammalian cell-free system
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MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are incorporated into miRNPs complexes and regulate protein expression post-transcriptionally through binding to 3′-untranslated regions of target mRNAs. Here we describe a recapitulation of translational repression in a cell-free system, which was established with extracts prepared from HEK293F cells overexpressing miRNA pathway components. In this system, both the cap and poly(A) tail are required for the translational repression, and let-7 directs the deadenylation of target mRNAs. Our work suggests that let-7 miRNPs containing Argonaute and GW182 impair the synergistic enhancement of translation by the 5′-cap and 3′-poly(A) tail, resulting in translational repression.
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MicroRNAs [miRNAs] are ~22-nucleotide [nt] small RNAs that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level [Ambros 2004; Bartel 2004]. There is growing evidence for the involvement of miRNAs in the regulation of genes that control cell growth and differentiation, as well as viral pathogenesis [Jopling et al. 2005; Sarnow et al. 2006]. In animal cells, ~70-nt precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) are processed into ~22-nt miRNAs by the Dicer–TRBP complex [Chendrimada et al. 2005; Gregory et al. 2005], and the miRNAs are incorporated into RNA–protein complexes, referred to as miRNPs. The miRNPs bind to an mRNA with partial sequence homology with the miRNA and leads to its translational repression. Argonautes are key components of the miRNPs and interact with several proteins, including Dicer. Mammalian cells contain four Argonautes, Ago1 through Ago4, and all of these Ago proteins appear to associate with miRNAs and to function in translational repression [Meister et al. 2004; Pillai et al. 2004]. Several mechanisms of miRNA-mediated translational repression have been proposed, based on experiments using different systems [Jackson and Standart 2007; Pillai et al. 2007]. They include repression at the initiation stage [Humphreys et al. 2005; Pillai et al. 2005], repression at post-initiation steps [Maroney et al. 2006; Nottrott et al. 2006; Petersen et al. 2006], and rapid degradation of target mRNAs [Rehwinkel et al. 2005; Behm-Ansmant et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2006]. A crucial role of discrete cytoplasmic foci, the so-called P bodies (also known as GW bodies), in miRNA-mediated translational repression has been suggested [Eulalio et al. 2007; Jackson and Standart 2007; Parker and Sheth 2007; Pillai et al. 2007]. P bodies contain factors involved in translational repression and mRNA decay. GW182 is an important P-body component, and is required for the deadenylation and accelerated decay of miRNA targets in Drosophila [Rehwinkel et al. 2005; Behm-Ansmant et al. 2006]. In mammals, the silencing of GW182 expression impairs miRNA-mediated translational repression [Jakymiw et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2005].

To clarify how miRNAs repress translation, we established a mammalian cell-free system by employing let-7 miRNA as a model. Our data suggest that let-7 miRNPs direct the deadenylation of target mRNAs and impair the synergistic enhancement of translation by the 5′-cap and 3′-poly(A) tail, which results in translational repression.

Results and Discussion

We transfected HEK293F cells [Freestyle293F cells, Invitrogen] with expression vectors encoding Flag-tagged Dicer, TRBP2, Argonaute2 [Ago2], and GW182, and prepared extracts from each of the cell lines [Fig. 1A]. We then mixed these cell extracts at various ratios and performed cell-free experiments. Since the endogenous expression of let-7 in 293F cells is very low, we could monitor the effect of the chemically synthesized let-7 miRNA introduced in the cell-free system. While we were developing the cell-free system that recapitulates miRNA-dependent translational repression, we noticed that external pre-miRNAs are poorly processed into their mature forms in 293F cell extracts [Fig. 1B]. The overexpression of Dicer and TRBP2, which are the core components of the miRNA processor, did not stimulate the conversion of the preform to the mature form, as shown in Figure 1B. However, the addition of Ago2 [or Ago1] [data not shown] remarkably improved the processing efficiency. Moreover, the overexpression of Ago2 alone was sufficient to enhance the pre-miRNA processing. GW182, which interacts with the Argonaute proteins, did not further stimulate the processing activity [Fig. 1B].

To assay the effects of let-7, we constructed firefly luciferase [Fluc] reporter miRNAs containing six let-7 target sequences [6xT], derived from the Drosophila lin-41 ortholog [Pasquinelli et al. 2000], in the 3′-untranslated region [UTR] [Fig. 2A]. As a control, we also made a 6xTmut6 construct, in which the seed region of the let-7 target sequence, UACCUC, was mutated to AUGGAG [Fig. 2A, underlined]. The translation of capped and polyadenylated
Figure 1. Overexpression of Ago2 stimulates the processing of let-7 pre-miRNA. (A) Expression of Dicer, TRBP2, Ago2, and GW182 in HEK293F cells. The expressed proteins were detected by Western blotting using an anti-Flag M2 antibody (Sigma). The sizes (in kilodaltons) of the molecular markers [see lane M] are indicated on the left. (B) Processing assay of let-7 pre-miRNA. Chemically synthesized let-7 pre-miRNA was incubated with extracts prepared from 293F cells, or the mixed extracts composed of the extracts prepared from 293F cells overexpressing Dicer, TRBP2, Ago2, or GW182, at the ratios indicated above the figure. The RNA was then extracted, and let-7 was detected by Northern blotting. Markers are a 60-nt (60nt) let-7 pre-miRNA and a 21-nt (21nt) let-7 siRNA. Five-hundred nanograms of RNA were loaded in each lane, except for the markers.

FLuc-6xT mRNA was examined in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7. Simultaneously, capped and nonadenylated Renilla luciferase (RLuc) mRNA was translated to normalize the FLuc activities in different experiments. In the 293F cell extracts, the let-7-mediated translational repression was ~30%, while it was ~50% with the extracts overexpressing Ago2 [Fig. 2B]. The repression was significantly enhanced, to >70%, by the addition of an extract from cells overexpressing GW182 [Fig. 2B]. These data indicate that GW182 is involved in miRNA-dependent translational repression in mammals and are consistent with previous reports (Jakymiw et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2005). The let-7-dependent translational repression was relieved by the addition of anti-let-7 RNA [Fig. 2B], which further supports the idea that the translational repression is sequence specific.

Next, we asked whether the let-7-mediated repression targets the function of the cap structure. We prepared FLuc-6xT mRNAs with a nonphysiological A(5’[pG]pG) cap [A(5’[pG]pG)G-capped EMCV IRES (ApppG-EMCV)]. The A(5’[pG]pG) cap does not function in canonical translational initiation. The ApppG-FLuc-6xT mRNAs were

let-7 recruits the miRNP containing Ago2 and GW182 to the target mRNA in this cell-free system.

By using the Ago2 + GW182 extracts, we examined the translation of FLuc-6xT and FLuc-6xTmut6 mRNAs. Let-7 repressed the translation of capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNA to <30%, but did not affect the translation of capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xTmut6, which indicates that the repression is sequence-specific [Fig. 3A, Cap/poly[A]]. The capped and nonadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNA, as well as FLuc-6xTmut6, was not repressed by let-7, which strongly suggests that the translational repression is mediated through the poly[A] tail [Fig. 3A, Cap]. The importance of the poly[A] tail in both in vivo and in vitro miRNA-mediated translational repression has been reported (Humphreys et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006). The let-7-dependent translational repression was relieved by the addition of anti-let-7 RNA [Fig. 3B], which further supports the idea that the translational repression is sequence specific.

Figure 2. GW182 enhances let-7 miRNA-mediated translational repression. [A] Schematic representations of the firefly luciferase mRNAs FLuc-6xT and FLuc-6xTmut6. [B] Translation of capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNA in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7. Cell extracts prepared from control 293F cells and 293F cells overexpressing Flag-Ago2 and Flag-GW182 [see Fig. 1A] were used in the combinations indicated above the figure. The FLuc and RLuc activities were measured, and the FLuc-to-RLuc activity ratio in the reaction without let-7 was set at 100. The data shown constitute an average of at least three independent experiments, with standard deviations. (C) Biotinylated FLuc-6xT mRNAs (capped and polyadenylated) were incubated with the mixed extract [Ago2 + GW182; see lane 1] in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7. The mRNAs were captured with SA-PMPs. (Left panel) The proteins bound to the mRNAs were detected using an anti-Flag M2 antibody [lane 1, Ago2 + GW182 extract; lanes 2–4, pull-down]. The sizes [in kilodaltons] of the molecular markers [M] are indicated on the left. (Right panel) The mRNAs captured with SA-PMPs were detected by dot hybridization [see the text].
not translationally repressed, irrespective of polyadenylation [Fig. 3C]. On the other hand, the translation of the ApppG-EMCV IRES-FLuc-6xT mRNAs was slightly repressed by let-7, especially when they were polyadenylated [Fig. 3C]. These data indicate that not only the poly[A] tail but also the cap structure is important for the let-7-mediated translational repression. This observation is consistent with the finding that miRNAs repress translation at the initiation step [Humphreys et al. 2005; Pillai et al. 2005].

A comparison of the translational efficiencies of the different FLuc-6xT constructs in the absence of let-7 is shown in Figure 3D. In this system, the 7-methyl-G cap (Cap) and poly[A] tail act synergistically to enhance translation. On the other hand, EMCV-IRES increased translation up to the same level as the Cap/poly[A] construct. In the translation experiments, we adjusted the concentration of mRNAs by weight. Since there is a difference in length by >10% between the polyadenylated and nonadenylated mRNAs, the molar concentration of the polyadenylated mRNAs in the translation reaction mixture should be less than that of the nonadenylated mRNAs. Therefore, we consider the polyadenylated and nonadenylated ApppG-EMCV mRNAs to have been comparably translated. The reason for the slight difference in the susceptibility to let-7 between the polyadenylated and nonadenylated ApppG-EMCV mRNAs is not immediately clear.

Analyses of the mRNAs by Northern blotting revealed that the capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNAs, but not the capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xTmut6 mRNAs, are shorter, nearly the same length as the non-adenylated mRNAs, after a 60-min incubation in the presence of let-7 [Fig. 4A, 6xT and mut6, cf. lanes 3 and 4]. MiRNA-mediated mRNA deadenylation reportedly occurs in mammalian cells and zebrafish [Giraldez et al. 2006; Mishima et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2006]. Therefore, we analyzed the poly[A] tail of the FLuc-6xT mRNAs by an RNaseH cleavage assay with oligo(dT). After the RNaseH treatment, the bands of the FLuc-6xT mRNAs shifted to almost the same position as the nonadenylated mRNAs under all conditions tested. The results indicated that the FLuc-6xT mRNAs are deadenylated in a let-7-dependent manner. The addition of anti-let-7 RNA prevented deadenylation [Fig. 4A, 6xT and mut6, cf. lanes 4 and 6]. To address whether the deadenylation is the cause or the result of translational repression, we analyzed the poly[A] lengths of the ApppG-FLuc-6xT and ApppG-EMCV IRES-FLuc-6xT mRNAs. They were also deadenylated in the presence of let-7, as revealed by Northern blotting and PAT assays [Fig. 4B,C]. Furthermore, let-7-dependent deadenylation still occurred in the presence of cycloheximide [chx], an inhibitor of translation, and thus it is independent of translation [Fig. 4D].

Figure 4. Let-7 miRNAs directly deadenylate the target mRNAs. [A] Analyses of capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xT (6xT) and FLuc-6xTmut6 (mut6) mRNAs by Northern blotting. As molecular markers, nonadenylated and polyadenylated transcripts (capped) were loaded in lanes 1 and 2, respectively. After 60 min of translation in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7 and anti-let-7, the RNAs were extracted from the reaction mixture, and similar amounts were loaded in each lane, from lanes 3-6. In the middle panel, the extracted RNAs, as in the top panel (6xT), were annealed with oligo(dT) and subjected to RNaseH digestion. [B] Polyadenylated ApppG-FLuc-6xT and ApppG-capped EMCV IRES-FLuc-6xT mRNAs were translated in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7. In lane M, polyadenylated (longer) and nonadenylated (shorter) transcripts were loaded as markers. (C) PAT assay of polyadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNA extracted after 60 min of translation in the absence [−] or presence [+] of let-7. The structures of the 5′ termini are indicated above the figures. [D] Capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6xT mRNAs were incubated in the translation reaction mixture for 60 min in the presence or absence of chx. Then, RNAs were extracted and FLuc-6xT mRNAs were detected by Northern blotting. The minus [−] and plus [+] signs above the lanes indicate the absence and presence of let-7. In lane M, polyadenylated (longer) and nonadenylated (shorter) transcripts were loaded as markers.
deadenylation and translational repression, we performed parallel time-course analyses of the luciferase expression and mRNA deadenylation of the 7-methyl-G-capped and polyadenylated FLuc-6×T mRNA [Fig. 5]. In the presence of let-7, the synthesis of firefly luciferase [FLuc] completely stopped at the 30-min time point, while it continued to the 40-min time point in the absence of let-7 [Fig. 5A, left panel]. The synthesis of Renilla luciferase [RLuc] did not stop until the 40-min time point in either the presence or absence of let-7 [Fig. 5A, right panel]. On the other hand, the mRNA deadenylation had proceeded in the presence of let-7, but was not completed, by the 30-min time point [Fig. 5B]. These data indicate the strong correlation between mRNA deadenylation and translational repression. However, since the mRNA still retained a short poly[A] tail when the translation stopped, it is possible that there is some direct effect of the let-7 miRNP on translation.

The role of the poly[A] tail in translation has been revealed. The physiological 7-methyl-G cap and poly[A] tail function synergistically to regulate translational efficiency [Gallie 1991]. The poly[A]-binding protein [PABP] interacts with the translation initiation factor elf4G, a component of the cap-binding protein complex elf4F [Gingras et al. 1999]. PABP functions as a translational initiation factor and enhances the initiation complex formation [Kahvejian et al. 2005]. Interference with the interaction of PABP and elf4G results in the inhibition of poly[A]-dependent translation and Xenopus oocyte maturation [Wakiyama et al. 2000]. Changes in the length of the poly[A] tail are critical for translational regulation in various organisms, especially at early stages of development. Cytoplasmic polyadenylation increases translation and mRNA stability, while deadenylation can cause translational repression and mRNA decay. These processes are controlled by proteins that bind to the 3′-UTR of target mRNAs [Wickens et al. 2002]. Recently, Mishima et al. [2006] showed that miR-430 directs the deadenylation of nanos mRNA and represses translation during zebrafish embryogenesis. By using nonnatural ApppG-capped mRNA, they demonstrated that the deadenylation was a cause, but not a result, of translational repression. This is consistent with our work. In our cell-free system, let-7-dependent deadenylation does not require active translation and is independent of the structure of the mRNA 5′ terminus. These results strongly suggest that the deadenylation is a cause of translational repression.

In conclusion, we established a mammalian cell-free system that recapitulates pre-miRNA processing and miRNA-mediated translational repression. In this system, the cap and the poly[A] tail are both required for the translational repression. The results shown in this work suggest that let-7 miRNAs recruit miRNP complexes containing Argonaute and GW182 to let-7 target mRNAs, resulting in deadenylation, which in turn abolishes the cap–poly[A] synergy and represses translation. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the let-7 miRNP has some direct effect on translation per se, in addition to the indirect effect of mRNA deadenylation. It could be possible that poly[A] is involved in recruiting miRNPs containing a factor that inhibits the function of the cap-binding protein, elf4E. This mechanism could also explain the reason for the requirement of both the cap and poly[A] for translational repression. The system and the strategy presented in this paper will be powerful tools in studying the mechanisms of miRNA-mediated translational repression.

Materials and methods

RNA experiments

Chemically synthesized let-7 pre-miRNA was obtained from B-bridge International, and the let-7 small interfering RNA (siRNA) was obtained from TaKaRa Bio. Anti-let-7 was obtained from Ambion (AM10048). The sequences of the let-7 pre-miRNA and the let-7 siRNA used in this work are as follows (the 5′ termini of both RNAs were phosphorylated): Let-7 pre-miRNA, 5′-UCAGGUAGUAGUUAUGAUAGCUGUAUAUACCAUCAUACUAACCAAGUGCUAGCUUCUCU-3′. Let-7 siRNA, 5′-UAAGGGAGUAGUAGUUGAUC-3′.

Preparation of in vitro transcripts

The mRNAs encoding FLuc and RLuc were transcribed from the DNA templates described in the Supplemental Material. The 7-methyl-G(5′)ppp(5′)G-capped transcripts were prepared by using a mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit (Ambion). The A(5′)ppp(5′)G-capped transcripts were prepared by using a MEGAscript T7 kit (Ambion) with the A(5′)ppp(5′)G cap analog [New England Biolabs]. Poly[A] polymerase from yeast (USB Corporation) was used to add the poly[A] tail (>150 nt) to the transcripts. Biotinylated mRNA was prepared by using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 kit with Bio-16-UTP (Ambion) at a final concentration of 1 mM. All of the transcripts were purified with a MEGAgel kit (Ambion) and were detected by denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis.

RNaseH-poly[A] assay

The RNaseH-poly[A] assay was performed as described [Mishima et al. 2006] with a few modifications. Total RNAs were extracted from the translation reaction mixtures with a mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Ambion), and 1 µg of total RNA was mixed with 50 pmol of oligo(dT)18. Samples were denatured for 5 min at 65°C, annealed for 10 min at 37°C, and then cooled in ice. Samples were mixed with 2.5 U of RNaseH [New England Biolabs] in 1x RNaseH buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.3, 75 mM KCl, 17 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT], and were incubated for 60 min at 37°C.

Northern blotting

RNAs were purified from reaction mixtures with the mirVana microRNA isolation kit [Ambion]. Northern blotting was performed as described previously [Wakiyama et al. 2005, 2006]. To detect the let-7 miRNA, a 21-nt anti-let-7 oligo DNA, 5′-ACTATACCAACCTTACCTGCT3′, was used.

PAT assay

The PAT assay was performed as described [Salles and Strickland 1999]. Total RNA [100 ng] was reverse-transcribed using AMV
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reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with an oligo(dT)-anchor primer, 5'-GCCAGCTCCGGCGCCCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT-3'. By using aliquots of 1/10 to 1/100 dilutions as templates, PCR was performed with the primer 3'-UTR97seqF, 5'-ACATGACATTTAGAGGCTGCCC-3', which anneals to the 3'-UTR of the Fluc-6xT and Fluc-6xTmut6 mRNAs, and the primer PAT-anchor-R, 5'-AGCTGTTCGGCGACCCTCGCCGGCC-3'.

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293F cells [Freestyle293F cells, Invitrogen] were grown in suspension using Freestyle 293 Expression Medium (Invitrogen), as described by the manufacturer. The plasmids pCMV-SPORT/Flag-hDicer, pCMVSPORT/Flag-TRBP2, pCMV-SPORT/Flag-Ago2, and pCMV-SPORT/Flag-GW182 were each transfected into Freestyle293F cells at a ratio of 1 µg of DNA per 1 × 10⁶ per milliliter of cells, by the use of 293fectin (Invitrogen).

Cell-free experiments

Preparation of cell extracts

Cell extracts from 293F cells and 293F cells overexpressing Flag-tagged Dicer, TRBP2, Ago2, and GW182 were prepared based on the previously described method [Wakiyama et al. 2006]. The cells were harvested 48 h after transfection by centrifugation at 1000g for 15 min at 4°C and were washed three times with ice-cold PBS (Invitrogen). The cells were then resuspended in lysis solution [40 mM Hepes-KOH at pH 7.4, 100 mM potassium acetate, 1 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM dithiothreitol] at a cell density of ~2 × 10⁶ per milliliter, and were placed in a Cell Disruption Bomb (Parr Instrument Company). The cell suspension was subjected to 100 psi of nitrogen for 60 min with occasional agitation on ice. The homogenate produced upon pressure release was centrifuged at 10000g for 10 min at 4°C. Creatine kinase [Roche Applied Science] was added at a concentration of 0.4 mg/ml, and the extract was divided into aliquots, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C.

Let-7 pre-miRNA processing assay

Let-7 pre-miRNA was first heated for 3 min at 70°C, cooled to 25°C, incubated for 10 min at 25°C, and then cooled to 4°C. The let-7 pre-miRNA [3 pmol] was incubated with 30 µL of the cell extract, composed of the extracts prepared from 293F cells and 293F cells overexpressing Dicer, TRBP2, Ago2, and GW182 at the ratios indicated in Figure 1B, for 60 min at 37°C. The RNAs were then extracted and subjected to Northern blotting.

Translation experiments

The reactions were typically performed as follows: The cell extracts were preincubated with or without let-7 pre-miRNAs [0.1 pmol/µL] for 60 min at 37°C, as described in the section Let-7 pre-miRNA Processing Assay, and then were cooled in ice. Translation reactions were then carried out in a final volume of 20–50 µL containing a 60% volume of the preincubated cell extracts, 30 mM Hepes-KOH buffer (pH 7.4), 8 mM creatine phosphate, 0.5 mM spermidine, 1 mM ATP, 0.2 mM GTP, 20 µM amino acids, 1.5 mM magnesium acetate, 80 mM potassium acetate, 1 ng/µL of Fluc mRNA, and 1 [or 5] ng/µL RLuc mRNA. In the experiment shown in Figures 3B and 4A, 0.3 pmol/µL anti-let-7 was added when indicated in the figures. In the experiment shown in Figure 4D, 0.1 mg/mL chx was added when indicated in the figure. The luciferase activities were analyzed in a MiniLumat (Berthold) with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System [Promega].

Biotin-RNA pull-down assay

The biotin-RNA pull-down assay was performed as described in the literature [Gerber et al. 2006], with some modifications. The cell extract [204 µL of Ago2 + GW182, see text] was preincubated with or without let-7 pre-miRNAs [0.1 pmol/µL] for 60 min at 37°C and was cooled in ice. The biotinylated Fluc-6xT mRNAs [1 ng/µL, capped and polyadenylated] were incubated in 340 µL of translation reaction mixtures for 20 min at 37°C. SA-PMPs [Promega], pre-equilibrated in wash buffer [20 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 5% glycerol, 1.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.2 mg/ml heparin, 0.2 mg/ml RNA [Novagen], 0.25% BSA, protase inhibitor cocktail [Roche Diagnostics]], were added to the above reaction mixtures, and the mixtures were incubated for 30 min at 25°C. The SA-PMPs were then captured with a magnet, washed four times with 300 µL of the wash buffer, and boyled in 40 µL of SDS sample buffer. The supernatant was resolved on a 6% polyacrylamide/SDS gel and subjected to an immuno-blot analysis using an anti-Flag M2 antibody [Sigma]. The SA-PMPs were suspended in 10 µL of water, and 3 µL of each the suspension were spotted onto a nylon membrane. The Fluc-6xT mRNAs were detected by dot hybridization with the same probe as that used in the Northern hybridization.


Erratum


Let-7 microRNA-mediated mRNA deadenylation and translational repression in a mammalian cell-free system
Motoaki Wakiyama, Koji Takimoto, Osamu Ohara, and Shigeyuki Yokoyama

In the above-mentioned paper, the authors have identified a typographical error that they would like to correct. In the Materials and Methods section on page 1861, in the left column, in the second paragraph under the heading Cell Culture and Transfection, “pCMV-SPORT/Flag-hDicer, pCMV-SPORT/Flag-TRBP2” should read “phCMV1/Flag-hDicer, phCMV1/Flag-TRBP2.” This correction alters none of the data or conclusions.
Let-7 microRNA-mediated mRNA deadenylation and translational repression in a mammalian cell-free system

Motoaki Wakiyama, Koji Takimoto, Osamu Ohara, et al.

*Genes Dev.* 2007, 21: 1857
Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gad.1566707

**Supplemental Material**
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2007/09/07/21.15.1857.DC1

**Related Content**
Erratum
*Genes Dev.* October , 2007 21: 2509 MicroRNAs repress translation of m7Gppp-capped target mRNAs in vitro by inhibiting initiation and promoting deadenylation
Nancy Standart and Richard J. Jackson

**References**
This article cites 35 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free at:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/21/15/1857.full.html#ref-list-1

Articles cited in:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/21/15/1857.full.html#related-urls

**License**

**Email Alerting Service**
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).