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The preponderance of pancreatic malignancies is constituted by pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, abbreviated as either PDA or PDAC in the literature and used interchangeably with the generic term pancreatic cancer. Other types of pancreatic malignancies, albeit uncommon and not meant to be exhaustive, include neuroendocrine tumors, acinar cell carcinomas, lymphomas, sarcomas, serous cystadenocarcinoma, and pancreatoblastoma, among other rare entities [Hruban 2006]. PDAC is a disease that has a number of clinical challenges. There are ~33,000 new cases that occur annually in the United States, with nearly the same number of deaths predominately related to metastatic complications [Jemal et al. 2004]. Overall, 5-yr survival is <5%, and this is attributable to several factors, including presentation at advanced stages of disease, thereby precluding surgical intervention, relative resistance to chemotherapy and radiation therapy, and current hurdles with other forms of therapy such as specific molecular targets, immunotherapy, and gene therapy. Meaningful therapy in the form of surgical resection is only feasible with early-stage detection, but this only applies to <20% of patients and still results in a 5-yr survival of <20% [Warshaw and Fernandez-del Castillo 1992].

Through meticulous attention to morphology and nomenclature, it is now well established that PDAC has precursor lesions, termed PanIN for pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia [Hruban et al. 2001]. PanIN lesions, delineated as PanIN-1a, PanIN-1b, PanIN-2, and PanIN-3, are associated with progressive alterations in the nuclei, epithelial polarity, and architecture, and culminate in a carcinoma in situ state [PanIN-3]. Nevertheless, early PanIN lesions may be found in tissues from patients with chronic pancreatitis without PDAC or from patients at autopsy with ostensibly normal pancreata. While it is widely accepted that PanIN are the preferred precursor lesions for PDAC, this does not preclude the possibility, yet to be genetically established, that other pathways may evolve into PDAC. In the context of progression to PDAC, however, each PanIN stage is associated with increasingly frequent modifications in canonical oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, such as Ki-RAS (90%–100%), p16INK4a (90%–95%), p53 (50%–85%), DPC4/SMAD4 (50%), and BRCA2 (10%) [Hruban et al. 2000; Hezel et al. 2006]. This should not be construed as diminishing the importance of other genes and/or pathways. Certainly, the widespread use of genomic platforms has led to the consideration of other genetic factors apart from understanding genetic–environmental interactions. In addition, there has been increasing attention to intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) and mucinous cystic neoplasms (MCN), which represent other histological types of preinvasive ductal lesions that can progress to invasive carcinomas [Adsay 2005; Tanaka et al. 2006]. IPMN-associated invasive carcinomas are either colloid carcinomas or PDAC (also referred to by some as invasive “tubular” carcinomas). MCN-associated invasive carcinomas are also designated as invasive tubular carcinomas or invasive adenocarcinomas (or mucinous cystoadenocarcinomas in the past). The latter tumors have focal collections of a characteristic and defining ovarian-like stroma, in which the stromal cells have “wavy” appearing nuclei and often demonstrate nuclear expression of progesterone (50%–75%) and estrogen (25%) receptors. In contrast to PanIN-associated PDAC, those invasive cancers in association with IPMN or MCN carry a much better clinical prognosis in patients. Understanding the biological differences between the PDAC from PanIN lesions and the invasive carcinomas that arise from IPMN and MCN lesions may not only unravel important molecular insights with therapeutic implications for all three classes of invasive disease. This perspective will focus on past and current mouse models, the latter published in this particular issue of Genes & Development, as a basis to elucidate the molecular pathogenesis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and precursor lesions. While space constraints preclude appropriate attention to translational medicine emerging from the mouse models, nevertheless implicit in this perspective is that past and current mouse models may be used as a platform for molecular diagnostics [biomarker development, imaging], prognosis [risk stratification], and targeted therapeutics.

A number of historic and landmark mouse models attempted to recapitulate human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. These involved transgenic mouse models in which the acinar-specific elastase mouse promoter was fused to activated Ha-Ras, c-myc, or SV40 T antigen, resulting in either acinar cell carcinomas or mixed acinar and ductal carcinomas. A separate series of models linked expression of oncogenic stimuli to the rat insulin promoter initiated by Hanahan and colleagues [Hanahan 1985; for review, see Grippo and Sandgren 2005]. The
march to modeling PDAC then evolved to the fusion of the metalllothionein promoter with transforming growth factor α (TGF-α) in transgenic mice [Wagner et al. 1998], which resulted in acinar–ductal metaplasia and, in a small subset of mice, evidence of mixed histology tumors (specifically called mixed cystic–papillary phenotype). Interestingly, while mutations in the Ras oncogene were not detected, nuclear p53 immunoreactivity was observed, which is typically only seen with stabilizing point mutations of the protein. This provided a rationale for cross-breeding these mice with constitutively p53-null mice, resulting in a dramatic tumor development recapitulating several features of the human disease counterpart [Wagner et al. 2001]. The tumors harbor biallelic deletion of Ink4a/Arf or loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the Smad4 locus, thereby implicating that loci in addition to p53 are involved in tumor progression. The alterations in the Ink4a/Arf or Smad4 [discussed further below] proved to be prophetic and spawned different mouse experiments. Interestingly, initial crossing of the TGF-α mice onto the Ink4a/Arf mutant background resulted in benign serous cystadenomas, but not PDAC [Bardeesy et al. 2002].

Innovative mouse models of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma have gained much notoriety since 2003. The major breakthrough emerged from an approach involving the targeted endogenous expression of activated KrasG12D in presumed progenitor cells, using either p48-Cre or Pdx1-Cre [Hingorani et al. 2003]. These double-mutant mice recapitulated with fidelity the complete panoply of PanIN lesions. As the mice age, these PanINs also evolve spontaneously into primary, invasive PDAC with concomitant metastatic lesions. Mice harboring endogenous KrasG12D expression in the pancreas have now become the workhorse of mouse modeling, opening up entirely new areas of investigation, as illustrated by the three papers in this issue of Genes & Development by Bardeesy et al. [2006], Iiuchi et al. [2006], and Pasca di Magliano et al. [2006]. Interestingly, the pancreatic lesions in the KrasG12D mice were confined to the ductal cell lineage, but not of the endocrine or acinar cell lineages, underscoring that the cell of origin for PanINs may reside in ductal epithelia or alternatively, undifferentiated precursor cells that default into the ductal cell lineage by virtue of the consequences of Kras mutation. More recently, it has been suggested that centroacinar cells may serve the role of progenitor cells. In the face of pancreatic specific Pten deficiency, acinar cells are replaced by proliferative ductal structures that express Pdx1 and Hes1, and some of the mice develop ductal malignancy [Stanger et al. 2005].

Can KrasG12D cooperate with other canonical genes, namely inactivated tumor suppressor genes, to produce PDAC?

KrasG12D expression in combination with Ink4a/Arf deficiency in the pancreas results in earlier onset of PanIN lesions with a rapid progression to both invasive and metastatic PDAC and sarcomatoid lesions, highlighted by a significantly shorter survival period than KrasG12D expression alone [Aguirre et al. 2003]. This provided the first proof of the constraints imposed on pancreatic carcinogenesis by Ink4a/Arf. A natural question was to assess subsequently the functional role of p53 mutation in the context of KrasG12D expression, specifically in the pancreas. Human PDAC typically show differing point mutations in one p53 allele and then LOH of the locus. Endogenous expression of Tp53R172H and KrasG12D in the mouse pancreas demonstrated invasive PDAC with metastases to distant organs, including the liver and lungs [Hingorani et al. 2005]. Furthermore, genomic instability was a salient feature of such tumors. Interestingly, no somatic mutations were noted in other key tumor suppressor genes. Thus, as with Ink4a/Arf, p53 serves to constrain the natural tendency of some PanIN lesions to progress to PDAC.

Developmental biology meets cancer biology

Mouse pancreatic development comprises two critical compartments: endocrine (islet) and exocrine (acinar and ductal). Pancreatic endocrine development requires the sustained expression of PDX1 [pancreatic and duodenal homeobox-1 transcription factor] [Offield et al. 1996], whereas the acinar cell lineage requires the expression of the PTF1 or p48 transcription factor [Krapp et al. 1998; Kawaguchi et al. 2002]. Particularly for the well-characterized endocrine lineage, there is a hierarchy of transcription factors beyond PDX-1 expression and activation that modulate the maturation of specific cell types in the islets Pax 6, Nkx2.2, and Hnf6 for α cells [glucagon producing]; Pdx1, Pax4, Nkx2.2, and β2/NeuroD for β cells [insulin producing]; Pax4 and Hnf6 for δ cells [somatostatin producing]; and Hnf6 for pancreatic polypeptide cells. Pancreatic development or organogenesis is influenced by signals from the endoderm, mesoderm, and notochord [Kim and MacDonald 2002]. In particular, Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and Indian hedgehog (Ihh) exert a negative influence on pancreatic organogenesis that is opposed by the Pdx and H1xb9 transcription factors, apart from direct inhibition of Shh and Ihh by FGF and activin B from the notochord. The pancreatic progenitor stem cells require not only expression of Pdx-1 but also activated Notch and Wnt signaling.

Among the signaling pathways activated in the endogenous KrasG12D expression in the mouse pancreas were Hedgehog and Notch, suggesting a re-emergence of critical pancreatic developmental networks during carcinogenesis [Hingorani et al. 2003]. However, ectopic expression of Shh in the pancreas with the Pdx-1 promoter resulted in abnormal tubular structures, but not PDAC [Thayer et al. 2003]. That being said, the lesions still demonstrated evidence of Kras mutations, along with HER-2/neu overexpression. Human PDAC reveal evidence of activated hedgehog signaling and pharmacological inhibition of Shh in tumors of xenotransplantation models are compelling proof of the role of Shh in pancreatic carcinogenesis [Berman et al. 2003; Thayer et al. 2003]. A logical outcome of these findings was to cross
Cooperativity between Shh and Ras pathways. Potential areas of interaction include the notion that Shh expression is downstream from Ras in the presence of p53 mutation in the pancreas, a reciprocal activation between AKT and Gli2 in fibroblasts, and activated Kras in turn activates Shh with Gli2, activating AKT in the pancreas.

The pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer

The TGFβ signaling pathway acts as molecular switch in the pancreatic cancer circuitry

TGFβ has protean effects on cellular behavior and homeostasis, including proliferation and differentiation (Derynck et al. 2001; Siegel and Massague 2003). Whereas it is often viewed as a suppressor of normal cellular proliferation, TGFβ signaling may enable tumor cell growth advantage through effects mediated upon extracellular matrix deposition, promoting EMT, fostering alterations on the immune system, and angiogenesis. TGFβ itself is known to be overexpressed in human PDAC. Individual components of the TGFβ signaling pathway are deranged in human PDAC, including TGFβRII (Venkatasubbarao et al. 2000) and Smad4 (Hahn et al. 1996; Hansel et al. 2003). TGFβRII expression is decreased and Smad4 alteration may occur by intragenic mutation or deletion. Ijichi et al. (2006) used the Ptf1a-cre mice to cross with the Tgfb2<sup>flx/flx</sup> mice alone or in combination with the Kras<sup>G12D</sup> mice. Interestingly, the pancreas selective deletion of Tgfb2 did not result in any discernible pancreatic lesions. Once again, endogenous expression of Kras<sup>G12D</sup> was required to initiate preinvasive disease. The combination of pancreas selective Kras<sup>G12D</sup> expression with Tgfb2 knockout resulted in the full spectrum of PanIN lesions and well-differentiated PDAC with full penetrance. Median survival was nearly 2 mo, approximately the same as the combination of Kras<sup>G12D</sup> and combined Ink4a/Arf deletion (Aguirre et al. 2003) shorter than what was noted in the combination of Trp53<sup>R172H</sup> and Kras<sup>G12D</sup> (Higori et al. 2005). The latter mice, as noted previously, also have a greater spectrum of metastatic lesions, perhaps reflecting longer survival compared with the mice investigated.
by Moses and colleagues [Ijichi et al. 2006]. Moses and colleagues also demonstrate convincingly that haploinsufficiency of TGFβ signaling also yields PDAC. Not only is there faithful recapitulation of human PDAC, reminiscent of the model by Hingorani et al. (2005), but also new opportunities may now be available for the blockade of TGFβ and signaling components in an attempt to retard PDAC progression.

In a similar vein, Bardeesy et al. (2006) report that Smad4 is not needed for normal pancreatic development. The combination of Smad4 deletion in the pancreatic epithelium with Kras<sup>G12D</sup> expression resulted in perhaps an unexpected, but interesting, finding of IPMN with progression to invasive PDAC at low penetrance. Furthermore, Bardeesy et al. (2006) demonstrated that the combination of Smad4 deficiency, Kras<sup>G12D</sup>, and Ink4a/Arf heterozygosity accelerates the development of PDAC. Taken together, these two studies underscore a critical role for TGFβ signaling through Smad4-dependent and Smad4-independent modes of action, and in either context, there may be concomitant cooperation with activated Ras (Fig. 2). There are clear therapeutic implications in identifying which subset of PDAC have intact or altered Smad4 or normal or down-regulated TGFβ/RIR as a basis for using specific inhibitors for the receptor or its downstream effectors.

Hingorani and colleagues (pers comm., K. Izeradjene, C. Combs, M. Best, A. Gopinathan, A. Wagner, W.M. Grady, C.-X. Deng, R.H. Hruban, N.V. Adsay, D. Tuveson, and S.R. Hingorani, in prep.) have also examined the effects of disrupted TGFβ signaling in the context of pancreatic Kras<sup>G12D</sup> expression. Although they too find that cystic neoplasms develop, the resultant lesions appear to most closely resemble MCNs. The reasons for the differences in these two genetically similar models are unclear, although they may have to do with strain differences and/or the specifics of the genetically engineered Dpc4 mutant alleles. When these lesions progress to invasive carcinomas, they do so by mutation of p53 or p16. These mice also live longer than each of the compound mutant animals described above.

**To be PanIn or not to be PanIN**

The current studies underscore that activated Ras is needed for the initiation and development of PanIN. This phenomenon cannot be supplanted by activated Shh signaling, as manifest at least by Gli2 overexpression, or disruption of Tgfbr2. However, Smad4 deficiency triggers a “conversion” to cystic neoplasms even in the face of Kras<sup>G12D</sup> expression. What about frank tumor development in combination with Kras<sup>G12D</sup> expression? Tgfbr2 deletion results in faithful recapitulation of human PDAC, in concert with what has been also observed with p53 deletion in the pancreas. Shh signaling activation yields undifferentiated, anaplastic tumors. Smad4 deficiency does not promote an accelerated course to tumor development, except in concert with Ink4a/Arf heterozygosity. Collectively, these reports support the notion that Shh signaling and TGFβ signaling cooperate with Ras. In the former case, this may be needed to help maintain the pool of pancreatic progenitor cells, which is easily susceptible to malignant transformation with activation of both pathways. TGFβ signaling, at least in part through Smad4, but also independent of Smad4, can block progression of PanIN to PDAC. However, specific genetic deletion of Smad4 triggers the development of cystic neoplasms, which carries a much more favorable prognosis in patients than PanIN-related PDAC. This may have important implications in deciphering the different molecular signatures between intact Smad4 and disrupted Smad4 signaling and their accompanying different precursor lesions and should encourage the investigation of strategies inhibiting specific components of the TGFβ signaling pathway in both preclinical models and human clinical trials.
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