RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

***Tsix*-mediated epigenetic switch of a CTCF-flanked region of the *Xist* promoter determines the *Xist* transcription program**

Pablo Navarro, Damian R. Page, Philip Avner, and Claire Rougeulle¹

Unité de Génétique Moléculaire Murine, Institut Pasteur 75724, Paris Cedex 15, France

Initiation of X inactivation depends on the coordinated expression of the sense/antisense pair *Xist*/*Tsix*. We show here that a precisely defined *Xist* promoter region flanked by CTCF is maintained by *Tsix* in a heterochromatic-like state in undifferentiated embryonic stem (ES) cells and shifts to a pseudoeuchromatic structure upon *Tsix* truncation. We further demonstrate that the epigenetic state of the *Xist* 5' region prior to differentiation predicts the efficiency of transcriptional machinery recruitment to the *Xist* promoter during differentiation. Our results provide mechanistic insights into the *Tsix*-mediated epigenetic regulation of *Xist* resulting in *Xist* promoter activation and initiation of X inactivation in differentiating ES cells.

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received March 30, 2006; revised version accepted August 17, 2006.

Histone modifications participate in the establishment of local chromatin structures that, in particular, render gene promoter permissive or not for the subsequent assembly and/or activation of the transcriptional machinery (Mellor 2005). Among such marks, covalent modifications of Lys 4, Lys 9, and Lys 27 of the histone H3 tail (H3K4, H3K9, and H3K27, respectively) are known to play crucial roles. H3K4 methylation and H3K9 acetylation have been extensively associated with active regions of the genome (euchromatin) while H3K9 and K27 methylation participate in the establishment and maintenance of silent domains (heterochromatin). The relationship between euchromatin and heterochromatin, dictated in part by covalent modifications of histones but also of CpG sites on DNA (Jaenisch and Bird 2003), provides an elegant support for the information required to establish heritable epigenetic states of gene expression programs during development (Turner 2002; Rasmussen 2003).

One of the most relevant paradigms for such epigenetic regulation is provided by X inactivation, in which

a single X chromosome is randomly chosen in females to be transcriptionally silenced at the onset of epiblast differentiation. Once established, this silent state is inherited through cell division and lineage commitment. Initiation of X inactivation depends on the noncoding *Xist* RNA, which coats the X chromosome in *cis* and induces gene silencing and heterochromatin formation (Heard 2005). The regulation of *Xist* expression is therefore an essential event of the X-inactivation process, thought to involve both post-transcriptional (Panning et al. 1997; Sheardown et al. 1997; Ciaudo et al. 2006) and transcriptional (Navarro et al. 2005; Sun et al. 2006) mechanisms.

Embryonic stem (ES) cells recapitulate random X inactivation at the onset of cell differentiation and have proved an excellent model for the study of this epigenetic process (Chaumeil et al. 2002). In undifferentiated female ES cells, both X chromosomes transcribe low levels of *Xist* RNA. As the cell differentiates, one *Xist* allele per diploid set of autosomes is up-regulated, inducing X inactivation in *cis*, while the second *Xist* allele of females and the single *Xist* allele of males are turned off. An intriguing characteristic of the *Xist* gene is its complete overlapping by a noncoding antisense transcription unit, *Tsix*, which represses *Xist* RNA accumulation in *cis* (Ogawa and Lee 2002; Rougeulle and Avner 2004). Studies of *Tsix* mutations in both female (Debrand et al. 1999; Lee and Lu 1999; Luikenhuis et al. 2001) and male (Morey et al. 2004; Vigneau et al. 2006) ES cells indicate that an X chromosome in which *Tsix* transcription has been disrupted systematically up-regulates *Xist* expression at the onset of ES cell differentiation, whether in male or female ES cells. This indicates that *Tsix* ensures the randomness of *Xist* up-regulation in females and programs *Xist* for silencing in males. In agreement with this, insertion of an inducible promoter to force *Tsix* expression during female ES cell differentiation abolishes the possibility of the mutated allele to up-regulate *Xist* (Stavropoulos et al. 2001). *Tsix* therefore determines the potential of *Xist* to be up-regulated at the onset of differentiation.

Recently, we and others have shown that *Tsix* has complex chromatin remodeling activities within the *Xist*/*Tsix* locus. *Tsix* triggers H3K4 dimethylation within the overall locus but represses increased accumulation across the *Xist* promoter (Navarro et al. 2005). In addition, male mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, which in contrast to females do not express *Xist*) derived from *Tsix* mutants show aberrant chromatin conformation at the *Xist* promoter, characterized in particular by high levels of H3K4 dimethylation (Sado et al. 2005).

Given (1) the dramatic effect that *Tsix* abolishment has both on *Xist* chromatin modification and expression levels and (2) the involvement of chromatin conformation in the establishment and maintenance of specific gene expression programs during differentiation, we hypothesized that *Tsix* regulation may induce different epigenetic states at the *Xist* promoter on the future inactive and active X chromosomes to determine *Xist* expression programs. Our analysis exploiting wild-type and *Tsix*-truncated ES cells demonstrates that *Tsix* represses the euchromatinization of a CTCF-flanked region of the *Xist* promoter, precluding transcriptional *Xist* up-regulation during differentiation. In contrast, *Tsix* truncation gen-

[**Keywords:** X inactivation; chromatin modifications; CTCF boundaries; noncoding RNA; antisense transcription; epigenetic regulation]

¹Corresponding author.

E-MAIL rougeulle@pasteur.fr; FAX 33-1-45-68-8656.

Article is online at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.389006>.

erates a stable pseudoeuchromatic state at the *Xist* 5' region that preempts transcription apparatus assembly at the *Xist* promoter and initiation of X inactivation. These conclusions are in striking contrast to those of a recent study (Sun et al. 2006), where it was suggested that down-regulation of *Tsix* induces a heterochromatic state at *Xist*, paradoxically followed by transcriptional activation of *Xist*.

Results and Discussion

Tsix triggers H3K9 trimethylation and DNA methylation to the *Xist* 5' region

Antisense transcription across the *Xist* promoter was previously shown to repress increased levels of H3K4 dimethylation around this specific region (Navarro et al. 2005). In order to map precisely the region affected and to assess whether other epigenetic marks are similarly controlled by *Tsix*, we have undertaken a systematic analysis of the *Xist* promoter region (Fig. 1A) in wild-type and mutant male ES cells in which *Tsix* transcription is ec-

topically terminated before it overlaps the *Xist* transcription unit (Luikenhuis et al. 2001). We show that *Tsix* truncation leads to a consistent increase in H3K4 dimethylation specifically in the -1- to +1.5-kb region spanning the *Xist* promoter (Fig. 1B). Importantly, this effect is not restricted to H3K4 dimethylation but is also observed for other active histone modifications since this region, devoid of H3K4 trimethylation and H3K9 acetylation in wild-type ES cells (Fig. 1C,D; Supplementary Fig. 1B), is highly enriched for both marks upon truncation of *Tsix* (Fig. 1C,D). This clearly demonstrates that *Tsix* transcription across the *Xist* promoter represses the accumulation of active histone marks within the -1- to +1.5-kb interval in undifferentiated ES cells. The maximum of active histone marks enrichment corresponds to positions 1 kb downstream from the *Xist* P1 promoter. This could be linked to the presence of a control element at this position and may explain why the recent analysis of a single position just 5' of P1 failed to detect such an enrichment (Sun et al. 2006).

Strikingly, the gain of the three active marks tested in the mutant was accompanied by the virtually complete loss of H3K9 trimethylation around the *Xist* 5' region (Fig. 1E). Importantly, this effect is not restricted to histone methylation since DNA methylation, known to partially mark the *Xist* promoter in ES cells (Sado et al. 1996), was also lost after *Tsix* truncation (Fig. 1F).

Our results indicate that, in ES cells, *Tsix* blocks the euchromatinization of the *Xist* 5' region by triggering negative epigenetic marks, possibly through a mechanism similar to that used by *Xist* RNA to induce X-chromosome-wide heterochromatinization (Bernstein and Allis 2005), involving the recruitment of repressive enzymatic complexes to the *Xist* 5' region (such as H3K9 and DNA methyltransferases together with histone deacetylases and/or H3K4 demethylases). The recent finding of biochemical interaction between Dnmt3a, a de novo DNA methyltransferase, and *Tsix* RNA (Sun et al. 2006) supports this idea.

Global euchromatic effects of *Tsix* transcription on chromatin conformation of the *Xist*/*Tsix* locus

In the overall *Xist*/*Tsix* locus, the effect of *Tsix* on H3K4 dimethylation was shown to be distinct from its effect at the *Xist* promoter (Navarro et al. 2005). It was tempting to speculate that similar regulation would apply to the other modifications controlled by *Tsix* within the *Xist* 5' region. To address this specific issue, additional positions upstream of and downstream from the inserted transcriptional stop signal were analyzed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) (Fig. 2A). The levels of H3K4 trimethylation (Fig. 2C) and H3K9 acetylation (Fig. 2D) are substantially reduced in the mutant within the first few kilobases immediately downstream from the transcriptional stop site. Within *Xist* itself (Fig. 2, primers c, d, and e), however, the levels of these two modifications are found, in both wild-type and mutant ES cells, to be as low as the levels seen within the hotspot of H3K9 and K27 methylation located 5' to *Xist* (Fig. 2, primers a and b; Heard et al. 2001; Rougeulle et al. 2004), a region known to be devoid of euchromatic marks.

In addition, the high levels of H3K9 trimethylation that are detected across the overall *Xist*/*Tsix* locus with the exception of the *Tsix* promoter (Fig. 2E; Supplemen-

Figure 1. *Tsix*-mediated chromatin remodeling of the *Xist* 5' region. (A) Schematic representation of *Xist* 5' region, with the primer pairs used. Exon 1 of *Xist* and exon 4 of *Tsix* are represented by dark and light boxes, respectively. (B–F) ChIP analysis of H3K4 dimethylation (B), H3K4 trimethylation (C), H3K9 acetylation (D), H3K9 trimethylation (E), and CpG methylation (F) in wild-type (dotted line) and *Tsix*-truncated (plain line) male ES cells. For each panel, the average percent immunoprecipitation calculated for each position is plotted against the genomic location (kilobases) with respect to the *Xist* transcriptional initiation site (vertical bold line). Each graph shows the average percent immunoprecipitation obtained using two to three independent chromatin extracts.

Figure 2. *Tsix*-mediated chromatin remodeling of the *Xist/Tsix* locus. (A) Schematic representation of the *Xist/Tsix* locus. The stop signal marks the location of the inserted transcriptional stop sequence in the *Tsix*-truncated (Ma2L) male ES cell line. The stars represent the hotspot of H3K9 and K27 methylation, which covers a 350-kb region 5' to *Xist* (Rougeulle et al. 2004). The positions of the primers used in the ChIP analysis (a–k) are indicated (none of them correspond to those described in Fig. 1). (B–F) ChIP analysis of H3K4 dimethylation (B), H3K4 trimethylation (C), H3K9 acetylation (D), H3K9 trimethylation (E), and H3K27 trimethylation (F) in wild-type (white bars) and *Tsix*-truncated (black bars) male ES cells. The dark-gray portions of the graphs correspond to positions transcribed in *Tsix* orientation only, while the light-gray parts correspond to position transcribed in both *Xist* and *Tsix* orientations. The vertical bar indicates the position of stop signal in the *Tsix*-truncated cell line.

tary Fig. 1E), which are reminiscent of what has been recently described for other actively transcribed genes (Vakoc et al. 2005), were found unaffected in the *Tsix*-truncated mutant. Similarly, H3K9 dimethylation levels remain identical in wild-type and *Tsix* mutant cells (data not shown).

We conclude that among the histone marks analyzed, H3K4 dimethylation (Fig. 2B) is the only modification triggered by *Tsix* along the overall *Tsix* transcription unit, from its 5' to 3' ends. In addition, *Tsix* blocks the enrichment for H3K27 trimethylation (Fig. 2F), similarly to what was recently reported in an independent *Tsix* mutant (Sun et al. 2006). A detailed analysis of the histone modifications in the *Tsix* 3' and 5' ends in wild-type female ES cells supports these interpretations (Supplementary Fig. 1).

CTCF as a candidate protein to constrain the repressive epigenetic effects mediated by Tsix to the Xist 5' region exclusively

We have demonstrated that *Tsix* oppositely affects the *Xist* 5' region and the overall *Tsix* transcription unit. This suggests that an insulation of the *Xist* 5' region, capable of limiting the spreading of H3K9 trimethylation and/or CpG methylation to the overall *Xist/Tsix* region, may be occurring. Importantly, the region showing variation of chromatin modification levels appears to be precisely defined and restricted to the –1- to +1.5-kb interval of the *Xist* promoter region (Fig. 3A).

In mammals, CTCF has been shown to be able to in-

sulate specific regions of the genome and to define distinct chromatin domains. CTCF binds to regions of transition between X-inactivated genes and genes escaping X inactivation (Filippova et al. 2005) and acts at the CTG repeats of the DM1 locus to constrain H3K9 methylation and prevent its spreading (Cho et al. 2005). Like the CTG repeats at the DM1 locus, the *Xist* 5' region can be viewed as an island of negative epigenetic marks embedded within a region of euchromatin-associated histone modifications. We therefore searched for CTCF binding on both sides of the –1- to +1.5-kb interval. Using two independent antibodies against CTCF (Supplementary Fig. 2A,B), we were able to immunoprecipitate CTCF at the predicted positions in both undifferentiated female (Fig. 3B) and male ES cells (Fig. 3C, dotted line).

The binding profile of CTCF was found to be altered in *Tsix*-truncated cells (Fig. 3C, plain line). In all chromatin preparations analyzed, the binding over site c1 was systematically noted to be higher in mutant than in wild-type cells. Although more variability was observed in CTCF binding at the c2 site, higher levels in the mutant than in the wild-type were never observed. Interestingly, the modification of CTCF-binding profile occurring upon truncation of *Tsix* leads to a profile similar to that of female MEFs, in which *Tsix* is transcriptionally si-

Figure 3. CTCF binding to the *Xist* 5' region. (A) The graph shows the ratio between *Tsix*-truncated (Ma2L) and wild-type (Ma1L) obtained for each epigenetic modification analyzed in Figure 1. Note that ratios are plotted in a Log₂ scale against the genomic position according to the *Xist* transcriptional start site. (B) Analysis of CTCF binding across the *Xist* 5' region in female ES cells. The graph shows the average percent immunoprecipitation ($n = 2$) calculated for each position. (C) Similar analysis of CTCF binding in wild-type (Ma1L, dotted lines) and *Tsix*-truncated (Ma2L, plain lines) male ES cells ($n = 3$ for both cell lines).

lenced (cf. the plain line in Fig. 3C and Supplementary Fig. 2C). Based on these results, we propose that CTCF defines the boundaries of chromatin domains differentially regulated by *Tsix*.

Tsix truncation leads to inappropriate transcriptional up-regulation of *Xist* in differentiated male ES cells

In undifferentiated ES cells, *Xist* expression is significantly down-regulated through repression of the transcription machinery assembly at the *Xist* P1 promoter (Navarro et al. 2005). This correlates with the finding that *Tsix* induces, across this specific region, the accumulation of epigenetic marks associated with inactive chromatin and represses the enrichment for active histone modifications. The truncation of *Tsix*, which completely remodels the chromatin architecture of the CTCF-flanked *Xist* 5' region (Fig. 1) has, however, been shown to have no direct influence on the efficiency of transcription preinitiation complex (PIC) recruitment to the *Xist* P1 promoter in undifferentiated cells (Navarro et al. 2005). One possible explanation could be that the simultaneous enrichment at the *Xist* promoter for both H3K27 trimethylation (Sun et al. 2006; P. Navarro C. Chureau, S. Vigneau, P. Avner, P. Clerc, and C. Rougelle, in prep.) and euchromatin-associated marks resulting from *Tsix* mutation generates a bivalent structure reminiscent to that described at other noncoding loci, which represses expression in ES cells but poises it for activation on differentiation (Bernstein et al. 2006). The recent finding of ectopic *Xist* RNA accumulation and X inactivation in differentiated *Tsix* mutant male ES cells (Vigneau et al. 2006) suggests that, upon truncation of *Tsix*, the *Xist* promoter is indeed primed to undergo transcriptional up-regulation.

To test this hypothesis, wild-type and mutant male ES cells were induced to differentiate and levels of RNA Polymerase II and TFIIB (Fig. 4A) measured at several different promoters. As expected, in both wild-type and mutant cells, PIC recruitment to the *Oct3/4* promoter was repressed after 4 d of retinoic acid treatment, while only minor variations were observed at the β -actin and *ArpoP0* promoters. The down-regulation of *Tsix* that takes place during differentiation (Lee et al. 1999) appears to be regulated at the level of PIC recruitment, as an 80% reduction in RNA Polymerase II and TFIIB binding at the *Tsix* promoter was observed in both wild-type and mutant cells after 4 d of differentiation. In wild-type cells, the levels of PIC recruitment to *Xist* P1 promoter were either unchanged (TFIIB) or slightly reduced (RNA Polymerase II).

In striking contrast, RNA Polymerase II and TFIIB binding to P1 were significantly increased after 4 d of differentiation in *Tsix*-truncated cells (Fig. 4A). At P2, however, the second *Xist* promoter located 1.5 kb downstream from P1, no change in PIC binding was observed, confirming that only P1 is developmentally regulated (Navarro et al. 2005). This elevation in PIC recruitment to the *Xist* P1 promoter leads to significant differences in *Xist* transcription levels as evaluated both by RNA Polymerase II distribution within the *Xist* first kilobases (from position 0.5 to 2.5) (Fig. 4B) and by levels of primary unspliced *Xist* transcript measured using quantitative intronic RT-PCR (Fig. 4C). These results demonstrate that *Xist* transcription is clearly up-regulated in differentiating *Tsix* mutant male ES cells, and this

Figure 4. Analysis of PIC recruitment to *Xist* promoter in differentiating *Tsix*-truncated male ES cells. (A) Analysis of RNA Polymerase II ($n = 2$) and TFIIB ($n = 2$) binding at the two *Xist* promoters (P1 and P2), the *Tsix* promoter, and three control promoters (*ArpoP0*, β -Actin, and *Oct3/4*) after 4 d of differentiation of wild-type (white bars) and mutant (black bars) male ES cells. (B) Extensive analysis across the *Xist* 5' region of RNA Polymerase II distribution after 4 d of differentiation in control (dotted lines) and *Tsix*-truncated (black lines) male ES cells. For A and B, the graph shows the fold variation in binding calculated by dividing the percent immunoprecipitation obtained at day 4 by the percent immunoprecipitation obtained at day 0. Ratios >100% indicate an increase in binding, and ratios <100% indicate a release of the analyzed factor. (C) Analysis of RNA levels in wild-type (white bars) and *Tsix*-truncated (black bars) male ES cells differentiated for 4 d. Spliced RNA for β -Actin and *Oct3/4* are shown as controls. Four independent primer pairs (*Xist* intron 1a, 1b, and 1c and *Xist* intron 3) were used to evaluate the fold variation of primary, unspliced *Xist* RNA levels in *Tsix*-truncated ES cells. Note that in wild-type ES cells, the four primers mapping within *Xist* introns also amplify *Tsix* RNA. The ratios between day 4 and day 0 of differentiation were calculated after standardizing each amplification against *Arpo P0* mRNA levels. (D) A model for the role of *Tsix* in programming *Xist* transcription. The chromatin structure of the *Xist* 5' region is controlled by *Tsix*. Continuous transcription of *Tsix* in undifferentiated ES cells maintains the region in a heterochromatic-like structure (characterized by H3K9 trimethylation and CpG methylation, black box). In the absence of *Tsix*, the region adopts a pseudoeuchromatic structure (characterized by H3K4 di/trimethylation and H3K9 acetylation, gray box). CTCF likely participates in the definition of the region submitted to such a *Tsix*-dependent transition. Depending on these chromatin states, the *Xist* promoter will be differentially regulated during differentiation. When a euchromatic conformation is acquired early enough during (or before) differentiation, the *Xist* P1 promoter will recruit the transcriptional machinery to produce high levels of *Xist* RNA and therefore induce X inactivation, whether in female or male ES cells. In contrast, when silent epigenetic marks characterize the *Xist* 5' region exclusively, the *Xist* promoter is unable to efficiently recruit the transcriptional machinery, preventing *Xist* up-regulation and X inactivation.

correlates with ectopic X inactivation (Vigneau et al. 2006).

Conclusions

We demonstrate here that *Tsix* induces a number of epigenetic marks within the *Xist*/*Tsix* region, which result

in a CTCF-flanked *Xist* 5' region enriched for H3K9 trimethylation and DNA methylation, embedded within a larger euchromatic domain enriched for H3K4 dimethylation and protected from H3K27 trimethylation. Under these conditions, male ES cells are unable to transcriptionally up-regulate the *Xist* promoter at the onset of differentiation. In contrast, *Tsix* truncation leads to elevated H3K4 di/trimethylation and H3K9 acetylation at the *Xist* 5' region prior to cell differentiation. Strikingly, under this primed state for activation, *Tsix*-truncated male ES cells efficiently up-regulate *Xist* transcription through stimulation of PIC recruitment to the *Xist* P1 promoter during differentiation, with concomitant ectopic X inactivation (Vigneau et al. 2006). It therefore appears that the chromatin modifications induced by *Tsix* over the *Xist* promoter are sufficient to determine the transcriptional fate of *Xist* at the onset of cell differentiation. We conclude that *Tsix* mediates the counting process of X inactivation, which precludes high *Xist* up-regulation in males, through the epigenetic repression of the *Xist* promoter.

This study has further consequences for our understanding of X-inactivation regulation in female ES cells, where *Tsix* is repressed first on the future inactive X (Lee et al. 1999). In this context, the initial monoallelic *Tsix* repression in a specific time window of differentiation will induce the establishment of a euchromatic architecture at a single *Xist* promoter region, allowing monoallelic PIC recruitment and participating to monoallelic *Xist* RNA up-regulation and X inactivation. We propose that asymmetric *Tsix* silencing, which might be regulated through the activity of *Tsix* control regions (Stavropoulos et al. 2005), achieves choice through the epigenetic activation of a single *Xist* promoter. On the second *Xist* promoter in female and on the single X in male cells, the repressive chromatin conformation, initially maintained by continuous transcription of *Tsix*, is subsequently propagated by *Tsix*-independent mechanisms. This is supported by the fact that in male MEFs, the inactive *Xist* promoter is devoid of active histone marks although *Tsix* is silenced (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Our findings demonstrate a crucial role for *Tsix* in programming the *Xist* expression pattern through modifications of chromatin structure of a precise CTCF-flanked *Xist* 5' region. These results illustrate the extraordinary epigenetic potential of noncoding antisense transcription units, whose number in the genome is surprisingly higher than previously thought (Kiyosawa et al. 2003; Numata et al. 2003). Interestingly, recruitment of repressive histone marks by an antisense RNA has also been suggested to occur in the imprinted cluster on mouse chromosome 7 (Lewis et al. 2004; Umlauf et al. 2004). Whether other antisense transcription units epigenetically control the expression of their sense counterpart through histone and DNA modifications will be key to our understanding of the epigenome regulation.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Cells were cultured and differentiated as previously described (Navarro et al. 2005; Vigneau et al. 2006). Chromatin and RNA of undifferentiated and differentiated Ma1L and Ma2L cell lines were prepared and analyzed in parallel.

ChIP

ChIP assays were performed as described (Navarro et al. 2005) with the exception of sonication, which was performed using a Bioruptor (Di-

agenode) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ten micrograms to 20 μ g of chromatin were used for each immunoprecipitation. The following antibodies were used at the indicated dilutions: TFIIB (1/50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), CTCF (1/50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RNAPolIII (1/500; Euromedex), H3 di-meK4 (1/100; Upstate Biotechnology), tri-meK9 (1/100; Upstate Biotechnology), tri-meK27 (1/500; Upstate Biotechnology), and tri-meK4 (1/250; Abcam).

Methyl-CpG DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP)

MeDIP assay was performed as described (Weber et al. 2005). Briefly, genomic DNA from unfixed cells was fragmented by sonication, and 4 μ g of denatured DNA were incubated with 10 μ L of monoclonal antibody against 5-methylcytosine (Eurogentec) in MeDIP buffer (10 mM Na-phosphate at pH 7, 0.14 M NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100) for 2 h with overhead shaking at 4°C. Immunocomplexes were recovered using protein G-Sepharose beads (Sigma) and washed three times with 1 mL of MeDIP buffer. The immunoprecipitated DNA was eluted in 250 μ L elution buffer (50 mM TrisHCl at pH 8, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) for 15 min at 65°C. After proteinase K (Eurobio) treatment, the immunoprecipitated DNA was phenol/chloroform-extracted and ethanol-precipitated. DNA pellets were resuspended in 60 μ L of H₂O and 5 μ L were used for real-time PCR quantification.

Real-time PCR analysis of ChIP and MeDIP assays

The immunoprecipitated DNA and a 1/100 dilution of the input DNA were analyzed by real-time PCR using SYBR Green Universal Mix and an ABI Prism 7700 (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems) as previously described (Navarro et al. 2005).

Quantitative RT-PCR

Random-primed RT was performed at 42°C with SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using 4 μ g of DNase-treated (Roche) RNA isolated from cell cultures with RNable (Eurobio). Control reactions lacking enzyme were verified negative. We used Arpo P0 transcript levels to normalize between samples. All the primer sequences are provided as Supplementary Figure 4.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ken Zaret and Marc Lalande for critical reading of the manuscript, Dirk Schübeler for the MeDIP protocol, and Dmitry Loukinov and Victor Lobanenko for the kind gift of anti-CTCF 9-Mabs mix. This work was supported by the Epigenome Network of Excellence, the French Ministry of Research under the Action Concertée Incitative (contract no. 032526), and the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR, contract no. 05-JCJC-0166-01). C.R. and P.A. are supported by the CNRS. D.R.P. was supported by successive fellowships from the European Molecular Biology Organization (ALTF 550-2004) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (PBZHA-108411).

References

- Bernstein, E. and Allis, C.D. 2005. RNA meets chromatin. *Genes & Dev.* **19**: 1635–1655.
- Bernstein, B.E., Mikkelsen, T.S., Xie, X., Kamal, M., Huebert, D.J., Cuff, J., Fry, B., Meissner, A., Wernig, M., Plath, K., et al. 2006. A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **125**: 315–326.
- Chaumeil, J., Okamoto, I., Guggiari, M., and Heard, E. 2002. Integrated kinetics of X chromosome inactivation in differentiating embryonic stem cells. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* **99**: 75–84.
- Cho, D.H., Thienes, C.P., Mahoney, S.E., Analau, E., Filippova, G.N., and Tapscott, S.J. 2005. Antisense transcription and heterochromatin at the DM1 CTG repeats are constrained by CTCF. *Mol. Cell* **20**: 483–489.
- Ciaudo, C., Bourdet, A., Cohen-Tannoudji, M., Dietz, H.C., Rougeulle, C., and Avner, P. 2006. Nuclear mRNA degradation pathway(s) are implicated in *Xist* regulation and X chromosome inactivation. *PLoS Genet.* **2**: e94.
- Debrand, E., Chureau, C., Arnaud, D., Avner, P., and Heard, E. 1999. Functional analysis of the *DXPAs34* locus, a 3' regulator of *Xist* expression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**: 8513–8525.

- Filippova, G.N., Cheng, M.K., Moore, J.M., Truong, J.P., Hu, Y.J., Nguyen, D.K., Tsuchiya, K.D., and Distech, C.M. 2005. Boundaries between chromosomal domains of X inactivation and escape bind CTCF and lack CpG methylation during early development. *Dev. Cell* **8**: 31–42.
- Heard, E. 2005. Delving into the diversity of facultative heterochromatin: The epigenetics of the inactive X chromosome. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **15**: 482–489.
- Heard, E., Rougeulle, C., Arnaud, D., Avner, P., Allis, C.D., and Spector, D.L. 2001. Methylation of histone H3 at Lys-9 is an early mark on the X chromosome during X inactivation. *Cell* **107**: 727–738.
- Jaenisch, R. and Bird, A. 2003. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: How the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat. Genet.* [Suppl.] **33**: 245–254.
- Kiyosawa, H., Yamanaka, I., Osato, N., Kondo, S., and Hayashizaki, Y. 2003. Antisense transcripts with FANTOM2 clone set and their implications for gene regulation. *Genome Res.* **13**: 1324–1334.
- Lee, J.T. and Lu, N. 1999. Targeted mutagenesis of *Tsix* leads to nonrandom X inactivation. *Cell* **99**: 47–57.
- Lee, J.T., Davidow, L.S., and Warshawsky, D. 1999. *Tsix*, a gene antisense to *Xist* at the X-inactivation centre. *Nat. Genet.* **21**: 400–404.
- Lewis, A., Mitsuya, K., Umlauf, D., Smith, P., Dean, W., Walter, J., Higgins, M., Feil, R., and Reik, W. 2004. Imprinting on distal chromosome 7 in the placenta involves repressive histone methylation independent of DNA methylation. *Nat. Genet.* **36**: 1291–1295.
- Luikenhuis, S., Wutz, A., and Jaenisch, R. 2001. Antisense transcription through the *Xist* locus mediates *Tsix* function in embryonic stem cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **21**: 8512–8520.
- Mellor, J. 2005. The dynamics of chromatin remodeling at promoters. *Mol. Cell* **19**: 147–157.
- Morey, C., Navarro, P., Debrand, E., Avner, P., Rougeulle, C., and Clerc, P. 2004. The region 3' to *Xist* mediates X chromosome counting and H3 Lys-4 dimethylation within the *Xist* gene. *EMBO J.* **23**: 594–604.
- Navarro, P., Pichard, S., Ciaudo, C., Avner, P., and Rougeulle, C. 2005. *Tsix* transcription across the *Xist* gene alters chromatin conformation without affecting *Xist* transcription: Implications for X-chromosome inactivation. *Genes & Dev.* **19**: 1474–1484.
- Numata, K., Kanai, A., Saito, R., Kondo, S., Adachi, J., Wilming, L.G., Hume, D.A., RIKEN GER Group, GSL Members, Hayashizaki, Y., et al. 2003. Identification of putative noncoding RNAs among the RIKEN mouse full-length cDNA collection. *Genome Res.* **13**: 1301–1306.
- Ogawa, Y. and Lee, J.T. 2002. Antisense regulation in X inactivation and autosomal imprinting. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **99**: 59–65.
- Panning, B., Dausman, J., and Jaenisch, R. 1997. X chromosome inactivation is mediated by *Xist* RNA stabilization. *Cell* **90**: 907–916.
- Rasmussen, T.P. 2003. Embryonic stem cell differentiation: A chromatin perspective. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* **1**: 100.
- Rougeulle, C. and Avner, P. 2004. The role of antisense transcription in the regulation of X-inactivation. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **63**: 61–89.
- Rougeulle, C., Chaumeil, J., Sarma, K., Allis, C.D., Reinberg, D., Avner, P., and Heard, E. 2004. Differential histone H3 Lys-9 and Lys-27 methylation profiles on the X chromosome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 5475–5484.
- Sado, T., Tada, T., and Takagi, N. 1996. Mosaic methylation of *Xist* gene before chromosome inactivation in undifferentiated female mouse embryonic stem and embryonic germ cells. *Dev. Dyn.* **205**: 421–434.
- Sado, T., Hoki, Y., and Sasaki, H. 2005. *Tsix* silences *Xist* through modification of chromatin structure. *Dev. Cell* **9**: 159–165.
- Sheardown, S.A., Duthie, S.M., Johnston, C.M., Newall, A.E., Formstone, E.J., Arkell, R.M., Nesterova, T.B., Alghisi, G.C., Rastan, S., and Brockdorff, N. 1997. Stabilization of *Xist* RNA mediates initiation of X chromosome inactivation. *Cell* **91**: 99–107.
- Stavropoulos, N., Lu, N., and Lee, J.T. 2001. A functional role for *Tsix* transcription in blocking *Xist* RNA accumulation but not in X-chromosome choice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**: 10232–10237.
- Stavropoulos, N., Rowntree, R.K., and Lee, J.T. 2005. Identification of developmentally specific enhancers for *Tsix* in the regulation of X chromosome inactivation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**: 2757–2769.
- Sun, B.K., Deaton, A.M., and Lee, J.T. 2006. A transient heterochromatic state in *Xist* preempts X inactivation choice without RNA stabilization. *Mol. Cell* **21**: 617–628.
- Turner, B.M. 2002. Cellular memory and the histone code. *Cell* **111**: 285–291.
- Umlauf, D., Goto, Y., Cao, R., Cerqueira, F., Wagschal, A., Zhang, Y., and Feil, R. 2004. Imprinting along the *Kcnq1* domain on mouse chromosome 7 involves repressive histone methylation and recruitment of Polycomb group complexes. *Nat. Genet.* **36**: 1296–1300.
- Vakoc, C.R., Mandat, S.A., Olenchok, B.A., and Blobel, G.A. 2005. Histone H3 lysine 9 methylation and HP1 γ are associated with transcription elongation through mammalian chromatin. *Mol. Cell* **19**: 381–391.
- Vigneau, S., Augui, S., Navarro, P., Avner, P., and Clerc, P. 2006. An essential role for the *DXPas34* tandem repeat and *Tsix* transcription in the counting process of X-chromosome inactivation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**: 7390–7395.
- Weber, M., Davies, J.J., Wittig, D., Oakeley, E.J., Haase, M., Lam, W.L., and Schubeler, D. 2005. Chromosome-wide and promoter-specific analyses identify sites of differential DNA methylation in normal and transformed human cells. *Nat. Genet.* **37**: 853–862.

***Tsix*-mediated epigenetic switch of a CTCF-flanked region of the *Xist* promoter determines the *Xist* transcription program**

Pablo Navarro, Damian R. Page, Philip Avner, et al.

Genes Dev. 2006, **20**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.389006](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.389006)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2006/10/04/20.20.2787.DC1>

References

This article cites 35 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/20/20/2787.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).