The Wilms’ tumor 1 (WT1) gene (+KTS isoform) functions with a CTE to enhance translation from an unspliced RNA with a retained intron
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The Wilms’ tumor 1 (WT1) gene plays an important role in mammalian urogenital development, and dysregulation of this gene is observed in many human cancers. Alternative splicing of WT1 RNA leads to the expression of two major protein isoforms, WT1(+KTS) and WT1(−KTS). Whereas WT1(−KTS) acts as a transcriptional regulator, no clear function has been ascribed to WT1(+KTS), despite the fact that this protein is crucial for normal development. Here we show that WT1(+KTS) functions to enhance expression from RNA possessing a retained intron and containing either a cellular or viral constitutive transport element (CTE). WT1(+KTS) expression increases the levels of unspliced RNA containing a CTE and specifically promotes the association of this RNA with polyribosomes. These studies provide further support for links between different steps in RNA metabolism and for the existence of post-transcriptional operons.
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The WT1 tumor suppressor gene was first identified and cloned based on a chromosome 11 deletion associated with WAGR syndrome (Wilms’ tumor, aniridia, genitourinary malformations, and mental retardation) (Call et al. 1990; Gessler et al. 1990). WT1 encodes gene products that have been shown to play critical roles in the normal development of several organs in vertebrates, most notably the urogenital system (for review, see Discenza et al. 2004). Mutations in the WT1 gene have been detected in several human diseases including Wilms’ tumors (nephroblastoma), Denys-Drash syndrome (typified by severe mesangial sclerosis), and Frasier syndrome (focal segmental glomerular sclerosis, pseudohermaphroditism, and gonadoblastoma). We have recently used siRNA to show that WT1 is required at specific stages of nephrogenesis in an ex vivo mouse kidney development system in which WT1 silencing is associated with a block in nephrogenesis, and abnormal levels of cell proliferation and apoptosis (Davies et al. 2004). In addition to its role in urogenital development, WT1 is also involved in hematopoiesis and is of great interest to clinicians due to its misexpression in acute myeloid leukemia (Rosenfeld et al. 2003).

The WT1 gene encodes four Krüppel-type Cys2-His2 zinc fingers, consistent with a function as a transcriptional regulator. WT1’s zinc fingers place it in the early growth response (EGR) family of transcription factors, and several studies have documented that WT1 can function in this capacity, either as an activator, a repressor, or a coactivator of a variety of growth-associated genes (for review, see Scharnhorst et al. 2001). The WT1 gene consists of 10 exons and is alternatively spliced. Exon 5 can be skipped; its inclusion adds 17 amino acids in the central part of the protein. An alternative splice donor site at exon 9 inserts only three amino acids—lysine, threonine, and serine (KTS)—between the third and fourth zinc fingers (Haber et al. 1991; Gessler et al. 1992). A new class of mRNA transcripts has recently been described (AWT1), arising from the use of an alternative exon 1 present in intron 1. The use of this exon results in the use of an alternative, internal AUG start codon (Dallosso et al. 2004). In summary, alternative splicing, combined with upstream CUG alternative translation initiation
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The CTE has been shown to substitute for Rev and the RRE in export of HIV mRNA and to promote the export of cellular RNAs with retained introns [Bray et al. 1994; Ernst et al. 1997]. In contrast to the HIV RRE, which functions in conjunction with the viral Rev protein, the CTE interacts only with host-cell factors. It has been shown that the CTE binds specifically to Tap [NXF1], believed to play a major role as a cellular mRNA export receptor [Gruter et al. 1998; Izaurralde 2002]. Tap forms a heterodimer with the cellular NXT1 protein [Fribourg et al. 2001], and both Tap and NXT1 have been shown to be important for CTE function [Katahira et al. 1999; Braun et al. 2001; Guzik et al. 2001]. Although Tap and NXT1 function in mRNA export pathways, we have recently demonstrated that these proteins also promote translation of CTE-mRNA in the cytoplasm, with Tap, but not NXT1, associating with polyribosomes [Jin et al. 2003].

The fact that host-cell proteins interact directly with the MPMV CTE to regulate post-transcriptional gene expression has led us to hypothesize the existence of cellular CTEs in the human transcriptome. These CTEs could function to enable cellular RNAs containing complete introns and other alternatively spliced RNAs to exit the nucleus and be translated in the cytoplasm. Although intron retention seems to be the least common form of alternative splicing in higher eukaryotes, several mammalian genes may be regulated in this way [Galante et al. 2004]. To identify cellular CTEs, we developed an HIV vector-based CTE trap system (to be described in detail elsewhere). This system was specifically designed to enable the cloning of elements that can substitute for Rev/RRE to export unspliced HIV RNA to the cytoplasm.

Here we describe the identification of a cellular CTE that shows strong homology with an RNA that coimmunoprecipitated with epitope-tagged WT1(+KTS) protein. We demonstrate that this element can function as a CTE in HIV-based reporter assays. Furthermore, we demonstrate that expression mediated by this element is enhanced by WT1(+KTS). Our studies also show that the WT1(+KTS) protein, in contrast to the WT1(−KTS) protein, is able to strongly enhance expression from reporter constructs containing the MPMV CTE. We show that the expression of WT1(+KTS) promotes the polysome association of CTE-containing RNA, indicating a role for this protein in translational regulation of target mRNAs.

These results clearly demonstrate for the first time that WT1(+KTS) can function to promote gene expression at the post-transcriptional level.

Results

The WT1(+KTS) protein enhances expression from unspliced RNA containing either a cellular or viral CTE

In the course of selecting potential constitutive transport elements from a COS cell cDNA library using an HIV vector trap system, we isolated a 594-nucleotide [nt] element [5A1] [Y.-c. Bor, D. Rekosh, and M.L. Hammar-
A portion of this element is identical to an RNA that was previously found in a protocol aimed at identifying genes regulated by the WT1 protein at the post-transcriptional level (M. Ladomery and N. Hastie, unpubl.). This RNA, named non-protein-coding T12 mRNA (GenBank accession no. 4375837), was isolated in a coimmunoprecipitation with epitope-tagged WT1(+KTS) protein expressed in COS cells. As can be seen in Figure 1A, the 234-nt T12 mRNA shows perfect homology with the 5A1 CTE in a 208-nt stretch at the 3' end of the 5A1 sequence.

Since WT1(+KTS) has been proposed to function in post-transcriptional regulation, we decided to test whether expression of WT1(+KTS) in transfected cells had any effect on expression from reporter constructs containing either the 5A1 sequence or the well characterized CTE from MPMV. 293T cells were chosen for these experiments, since it has been well established that these cells do not express detectable amounts of WT1 RNA or proteins [Thäte et al. 1998; Lee and Pelletier 2001; Vajjhala et al. 2003; Rae et al. 2004]. Thus, these cells provide an excellent “complementation” system for analysis of WT1 effects. In addition, we have previously demonstrated that expression of Sam68 and Tap/NXT can enhance expression from CTE-containing RNAs in these cells [Coyle et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2003].

For these experiments we utilized a reporter assay based on the HIV-derived plasmid pCMVGagPol. A diagram of the important features of this plasmid is shown in Figure 1B. Expression of GagPol proteins from this plasmid requires export and translation of an unspliced RNA that retains a complete intron. This only takes place if the unspliced RNA contains a cis-acting RNA element that can overcome a cellular restriction that normally prevents its export and expression. Expression of GagPol proteins from the reporter construct leads to expression and secretion of particles that contain HIV p24, which can be measured using a specific ELISA. As a control, cells are also transfected with a CMV vector expressing secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP).
pH7.5) that is also secreted into the medium of the transfected cells. Since SEAP is expressed from a conventional spliced mRNA, normalization to SEAP values corrects for both transfection efficiency and generalized effects on “normal” mRNA metabolism. We have previously shown that insertion of the MPMV CTE into the pCMVGagPol plasmid enables efficient export and expression of unspliced RNA [Srinivasakumar et al. 1997; Coyle et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2003].

293T cells were transfected with the 5A1 or MPMV CTE-containing pCMVGagPol reporter plasmids alone or together with a construct expressing the WT1(+KTS) protein. In parallel, we transfected cells with plasmids expressing Tap and NXT1 [pHR2128 and pHR2283]. We have shown previously that moderate overexpression of Tap/NXT1 is able to enhance expression from the pCMVGagPol-CTE plasmid in 293T cells [Guzik et al. 2001; Jin et al. 2003]. Figure 1C demonstrates that both Tap/NXT1 and WT1(+KTS) were able to significantly enhance expression from the plasmid containing 5A1 as well as the plasmid containing the MPMV CTE. [In this experiment, SEAP values did not vary by more than twofold.] Although much lower expression was obtained from the plasmid containing 5A1 [note the scale change], these experiments clearly support the notion that 5A1 constitutes a cellular equivalent of the CTE.

The HIV vector trap system that led to the identification of the 5A1 sequence also identified several other CTEs from additional cellular genes. Included among these are actinin4 [ACTN4] and SIRT7 [see Supplementary Table S1 for a description of these elements]. ACTN4 is particularly relevant to WT1 function, since experiments using a three-hybrid system have shown that mRNA from a related family member ACTN1 is a potential WT1-binding target [Morrison et al. 2006]. ACTN1 and ACTN4 (non-muscle-specific α-actinins) are closely related and coordinately expressed. Furthermore, ACTN4 is known to be important in kidney function, and mutations in this gene cause focal segmental glomerular sclerosis (FSGS) [Kaplan et al. 2000]. FSGS, a disease which is part of the triad seen in Frasier syndrome patients, is caused by altered WT1(+KTS)/WT1(−KTS) ratios [Barbaux et al. 1997; Hammes et al. 2001]. The function of SIRT7 is less clear, but recently the gene has been identified to express an intron [Galante et al. 2004].

To test the effects of WT1(+KTS) expression on the CTEs from ACTN4 and SIRT7, we created pCMVGagPol reporter plasmids containing these elements and measured p24 expression as described above. The data [Fig. 1D] clearly demonstrate that WT1(+KTS) enhanced expression about sixfold from these plasmids. Thus, this protein is able to enhance the function of at least three different cellular CTEs.

The WT1(−KTS) protein inhibits WT1(+KTS) function in a dose-dependent manner

Since the viral CTE gave much higher p24 levels and is a well-characterized post-transcriptional element, we continued by analyzing the function of the WT1 protein with the GagPol-MPMV CTE reporter construct. First, we wanted to determine whether the enhancement that we had observed was specific to WT1(+KTS). To this end, we performed experiments in which increasing amounts of plasmids expressing either WT1(+KTS) or WT1(−KTS) proteins were cotransfected with pCMVGagPol-CTE [pHR1361] [Fig. 2A–C]. We also performed similar experiments using a plasmid expressing the EGR1 protein [Fig. 2D]. Like WT1, the EGR1 protein contains Cys2-His2 zinc fingers at the C terminus. Zinc fingers 2, 3, and 4 of EGR1 exhibit 60% amino acid homology with three zinc fingers of EGR1 [Rauscher 1993]. However, in contrast to the WT1 proteins, this protein does not show significant binding to RNA.

As can be seen in Figure 2, A and B, the WT1(+KTS) protein enhanced CTE function in a dose-dependent manner, confirming and extending the previous results. At the highest concentration of WT1(+KTS), there was an ~45-fold increase in normalized p24 values [Fig. 2B]. In contrast, WT1(−KTS) gave only a minimal increase in p24 expression (~2.5-fold). However, the small increase that was observed can be clearly seen to be dose-responsive [see data plotted on expanded scale in Fig. 2C] and is likely to be significant, since it was observed in repeated experiments [data not shown]. In contrast, expression of EGR1 did not give any significant increase in p24 expression [Fig. 2D]. Western blot analysis of the expressed
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WT1(+KTS), WT1(−KTS), and EGR1 proteins showed that they were all expressed at similar levels (see Supplementary Fig. S1).

Since the WT1(+KTS) and WT1(−KTS) isoforms have been shown to form heterodimers, we next examined the effects of coexpression of these proteins on expression from the CTE. To do this, we performed two experiments in which a fixed amount of either the plasmid expressing the +KTS isoform or the plasmid expressing the −KTS isoform was cotransfected with increasing amounts of the other plasmid. The results of these experiments, shown in Figure 3, A and B, demonstrated that expression of WT1(−KTS) inhibited the effects of WT1(+KTS) in a dose-dependent manner. This indicates that expression of the WT1(−KTS) protein shows a trans dominant negative effect over the enhancement observed with the +KTS isoform. This observation may relate to the documented ability of WT1 to dimerize via the N terminus (Englert et al. 1995; Moffett et al. 1995; Reddy et al. 1995; Bruening et al. 1996).

Exon 5 sequences and the amino acid sequence of the three-amino-acid insertion in WT1(+KTS) are not essential for promotion of CTE function

Alternative splicing gives rise to several different isoforms of WT1. One of the alternative splicing events is the skipping of exon 5. The original experiments that we had performed (shown in Figs. 1C, 2A, 3A,B) all used WT1 proteins that included this exon. To investigate whether exon 5 was essential for enhancement of CTE function, we analyzed the effects of a WT1(+KTS) protein lacking this exon. The results of these experiments, shown in Figure 3C, clearly indicated that exon 5 is not essential for the observed effects.

We also constructed a plasmid expressing a variant of WT1, in which the sequence encoding the KTS motif was mutated to encode three alanines [AAA]. Western blot analysis of the protein produced from this plasmid showed that it was expressed at levels similar to the other WT1 proteins (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Transfection experiments using this construct showed that this protein was able to enhance CTE function as well as the +KTS protein (Fig. 3D). This demonstrates that the amino acid sequence of the KTS insertion is not essential for CTE enhancement. This supports the notion that the KTS insertion merely serves to structurally disrupt the zinc finger region of the WT1 protein and that the specific amino acid sequence is not important (Davies et al. 2000; Laity et al. 2000).

Both WT1(+KTS) and WT1(−KTS) increase total as well as cytoplasmic levels of CTE-containing RNA

To analyze the potential mechanism for the observed effects of WT1 on CTE-mediated expression, we performed a Northern blot analysis of cytoplasmic as well as total RNA in cells transfected with WT1(+KTS)- or WT1(−KTS)-expressing plasmids. To control for fractionation, we also performed an analysis of cells transfected with the plasmid pCMVGGagPol-RRE [pHR0354], in the absence or presence of Rev [pHR30]. We and others have previously demonstrated that GagPol-RRE RNA is retained in the nucleus in the absence of Rev, but efficiently exported to the cytoplasm in its presence (Pollard and Malim 1998; Guzik et al. 2001; Coyle et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2003).

The results of this experiment are shown in Figure 4. The control experiment demonstrated that the levels of GagPol-RRE reporter RNA in the cytoplasm increased 24-fold in the presence of Rev, consistent with our previously published results (Guzik et al. 2001; Coyle et al. 2003; Jin et al. 2003). Total RNA levels were also increased, but only about fivefold. This has been observed previously, and is likely due to an increased stability of the RNA when it is exported to the cytoplasm and engaged by the translation machinery, compared with when it is retained in the nucleus.

In the transfections using the CTE construct, total RNA levels were increased about twofold in conjunction with WT1(−KTS), while the expression of WT1(+KTS) gave a fourfold increase. These results again most likely reflect a stabilization of the RNA, rather than a transcriptional effect, since the SEAP mRNA is expressed from the same promoter as the CTE RNA and the data are normalized using the SEAP mRNA. When cytoplasmic CTE-containing RNA was analyzed, WT1(−KTS)
was hybridized with 32P-labeled GagPol and SEAP probes. The post-transfection, total and cytoplasmic poly(A)+ mRNA was infected with 15 µg of pCMVGagPol-RRE and 5 µg of pCMVSEAP or WT1(−pCMVSEAP in the absence or presence of 15 µg of WT1(+KTS) were transfected with 15 µg of pCMVGagPol-CTE and 5 µg of pCMVGagPol-CTE(myr−pro−) and the control GST-p24 protein are indicated. The relative locations of the immunoprecipitated Pr55Gag band (and derivative CTE) panels (the right side of each figure) represent the fold difference in the levels of the GagPol RNA bands between transfections without and with Rev. The values under the CTE panels (the right side of the figure) represent the fold difference in the levels of the GagPol-CTE RNA in the presence of the indicated proteins compared with a transfection with pCMVGagPol-CTE alone. All values were normalized using the SEAP band. (C) Pulse-chase analysis of Pr55Gag protein expressed from pCMVGagPol-CTE(myr−pro−) in transfected 293T cells. Cells (3 × 10⁶) were transfected with 5 µg of pCMVGagPol-CTE(myr−pro−) with or without 5 µg of WT1(−KTS), or 5 µg of WT1(−KTS). Thirty-six hours post-transfection, cells were pulsed with ⁸⁵S-labeled GST-p24 (methionine and cysteine) for 20 min and chased for 10 h. Lysates were made, ⁸⁵S-labeled GST-p24 was added as a recovery control, and immunoprecipitation was performed using an anti-p24 monoclonal antibody (183-H12-5C). The precipitates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE using a PhosphorImager. The lanes marked P contained the samples from the pulse and the lanes marked C contained the samples that were pulse-chased. The locations of the immunoprecipitated Pr55Gag band (and derivative) and the control GST-p24 protein are indicated. The relative normalized pixel intensity of the total Pr55Gag protein bands is shown under each lane. The data have been normalized using the intensity of the recovery control protein GST-p24 in each sample.

WT1(+KTS) increases the rate of synthesis of protein translated from GagPol-CTE RNA but WT1(−KTS) does not

To determine if the difference in p24 accumulation levels between cells transfected with pCMVGagPol-CTE and WT1(+KTS) versus WT1(−KTS) was a direct result of an increased rate of protein synthesis, we decided to directly measure the relative rates. The pCMVGagPol-CTE construct normally gives rise to polyproteins that are cleaved by the HIV protease and bud from transfected cells as virus-like particles. Because of this, it is difficult to directly visualize the primary translation product in transfected cells. This precludes a straightforward measurement of the rate of protein synthesis by examining the accumulating protein directly. To overcome this problem, we constructed a new plasmid, pCMVGagPol-[myr−pro−]-CTE (pHR2900). This plasmid has point mutations in the HIV protease active site that makes the protease inactive [pro−] and in the N terminus of Gag that destroys the myristoylation signal [myr−]. Thus only nonmyristoylated Pr55Gag and Pr160GagPol precursors, and a minor derivative [p41], likely derived by the use of an internal initiation codon, are expected to be produced from these vectors [Smith et al. 1993]. Since myristoylation is required for virus particle budding, these proteins would be expected to remain inside the transfected cell in an uncleaved form. This was verified by showing that no p24 was detected in the medium supernatant [data not shown] and that only uncleaved Pr55Gag proteins accumulated in the cytoplasm of transfected cells.

To directly analyze the rate of protein synthesis and/or stability of the synthesized proteins, we performed a pulse-chase experiment on cells transfected with the modified [myr−, pro−] CTE construct. At 36 h post-transfection, cells were pulsed with ³⁵S-translabel [methionine and cysteine] for 20 min. The cells were then washed twice with PBS and harvested (pulse), or refed with growth medium containing excess cold amino acids.
and harvested 10 h later (chase). Cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation using a mouse anti-Gag[p24] antibody and analyzed for Pr55Gag and p41 by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. To compensate for potential differences in immunoprecipitation efficiency between samples, in vitro purified GST-p24 protein was added to the cell lysates before the immunoprecipitation. A second immunoprecipitation was also performed on the supernatants after the initial immunoprecipitation to judge the efficiency of the first precipitation. More than 95% of each protein was pulled down in the first precipitation (data not shown).

The results of this pulse-chase experiment are shown in Figure 4C. Only a very small amount of Pr55Gag was observed in the cells transfected with the GagPol-CTE plasmid alone. The amount of labeled protein did not decrease significantly after a 10-h chase, indicating that the proteins produced were stable during this period. A significant increase in the intensity of the Pr55Gag band was observed in the presence of WT1(+KTS), but there was only a slight increase [if any] in the presence of WT1(−KTS). Again, the protein produced was stable during a 10-h chase period. When the data are normalized for cytoplasmic RNA levels, using the values shown in Figure 4B, the rate of Gag protein synthesis after transfection of WT1(+KTS) was twofold higher than the rate observed with no added WT1 protein. However, the rate differences between the transfections with WT1(+KTS) and WT1(−KTS) was about fivefold. This is the more important comparison, since the rate of synthesis might have been measured at a time when the effect of the WT1 proteins was not optimal. Thus, this experiment clearly indicated that WT1(+KTS) was able to specifically enhance translation from the GagPol-CTE RNA, as we previously observed for Tap/NXT1 [Jin et al. 2003].

WT1(+KTS) increases association of CTE-containing RNA with polyribosomes

To test whether expression of WT1(+KTS) resulted in an increased polyribosome association of the GagPol-CTE RNA, we made cytoplasmic extracts from cells that were transfected with either the reporter plasmids alone or the reporter plasmids and WT1(+KTS). The extracts were then subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation and fractionated, and each fraction was analyzed on a Northern blot with the same probes that were used in the experiment shown in Figure 4. The results of this experiment are shown in Figure 5. For the cells transfected with the SEAP and GagPol-CTE plasmids alone, it is striking that the heavier polyribosomal fractions were largely devoid of GagPol-CTE RNA [Fig. 5A, left panels], although the SEAP mRNA was found throughout the gradient. Specifically, it was observed that a large percentage of the CTE-RNA localized to the fractions corresponding to monosomes and very small polyribosomes. This is likely to indicate poor initiation rates for the CTE-RNA and is consistent with our previous results demonstrating that GagPol-CTE RNA is poorly translated in 293T cells [Jin et al. 2003]. Significantly, the right panels of Figure 5A show that the addition of WT1(+KTS) caused the redistribution of a significant amount of the GagPol-CTE RNA to heavier polyribosomes. In contrast, the distribution of the SEAP mRNA remained largely unchanged. Figure 5B directly compares the distribution of CTE or SEAP RNA with or without WT1(+KTS) expression. Together, these results demonstrate that expression of WT1(+KTS) promotes polyribosomal association and translation of the GagPol-RNA containing the CTE, in addition to its slight effect on mRNA levels. In contrast, WT1(−KTS) had little ef-

**Figure 5.** Polyribosome profile analysis of GagPol-CTE mRNA in transfected 293T cells by sucrose gradient centrifugation. (A) 293T Cells [8 × 10⁶] were transfected with 15 µg of pCMVGagPol-CTE in the absence or presence of 15 µg of WT1(+KTS) plasmid. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were harvested and cytoplasmic extracts were subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation as described in Materials and Methods. The gradients were fractionated and the OD 254 was measured using a continuous flow cell. An in vitro transcribed gag RNA [IVTgag] was then added into each fraction as a control for recovery of RNA before RNA was isolated from each fraction. The isolated RNA was then analyzed for GagPol-CTE, SEAP mRNA, and IVTgag RNA using Northern blots. PhosphorImager analysis of the blot was used to quantitate the intensity of the bands. The measured intensity of each GagPol-CTE and SEAP band was then corrected for recovery, using the IVTgag RNA band in each fraction. The values shown for each fraction are the percentage of total GagPol-CTE or SEAP mRNA that was detected in that fraction. (B) The graphs show the distribution of GagPol-CTE [left] and SEAP mRNA [right] in the CTE [white bars] and CTE + WT1(+KTS) [gradients] in 293T Cells (8 × 10⁶).
WT1(+KTS) associates with polyribosomes in 293T cells

A recent paper showed that both the WT1(+KTS) and WT1(−KTS) proteins shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm in several different cell types. It was also reported that both WT1 isoforms associate with translating polyribosomes in transfected COS-7 cells [Niksic et al. 2004].

To investigate whether the WT1(+KTS) protein associated with polyribosomes in 293T cells, we transfected cells with pCMVgagPol-CTE and plasmids expressing T7-tagged WT1(+KTS) and made cytoplasmic extracts. The extracts were subjected to sucrose gradient centrifugation, and each fraction was analyzed on a Western blot using an anti-T7 antibody. We also performed a similar analysis on fractions from gradients run with extracts treated with 15 mM EDTA to specifically disrupt the polyribosomes prior to centrifugation.

The Western blot analysis shown in Figure 6A demonstrated that WT1(+KTS) can be found throughout the gradient, including the polyribosomal fractions. This is consistent with the previous results reported in the COS-7 cells. The EDTA treatment [Fig. 6B] collapsed the polyribosomes (cf. the profiles of untreated and treated extracts) and shifted all of the WT1 protein to the fractions containing the ribosomal subunits. This strongly suggests that the WT1(+KTS) protein is associated with true polyribosomal complexes and that it may specifically associate with the ribosomal subunits.

Discussion

The role of the WT1(−KTS) protein as an important transcription factor is well established. However, although a transcriptional role for a chimeric Ewing Sarcoma gene (EWS)-WT1(+KTS) protein has been described [Reynolds et al. 2003], no clear function has been attributed to the native WT1(+KTS) protein, in spite of the fact that proper +KTS/−KTS ratios are crucial in the development of several organs (Hammes et al. 2001; Davies et al. 2004; Wagner et al. 2005). In this study, we have shown for the first time that WT1(+KTS) functions at the post-transcriptional level to regulate RNA expression and, specifically, that the +KTS protein can function in conjunction with a cis-acting CTE to promote translation of an unspliced RNA with a retained intron.

WT1(+KTS) has previously been proposed to be involved in post-transcriptional regulation because of the apparent preferential association of +KTS isoforms with nuclear speckles and splicing factors [Larsson et al. 1995; Ladomery et al. 1999, 2003]. This suggests that WT1(+KTS) might initially interact with the CTE-containing RNA in conjunction with the splicing machinery. Although the MPMV CTE functions specifically at the post-transcriptional level to enable the export and translation of unspliced or incompletely spliced RNA, the CTE does not divert the RNA from the splicing machinery, but enables the RNA to circumvent a cellular restriction that appears to require intron removal before export [Legrain et al. 1988; Chang and Sharp 1989; Hammarstedt 1997]. In fact, it has been well documented that RNAs that serve as substrates for CTE-mediated export initially interact with the splicing machinery even when they remain completely unspliced [Lu et al. 1990; Hammarstedt 1997]. It has also been shown that U2AF65 can stimulate the nuclear export and expression of an unspliced reporter RNA retaining an intron and that exogenously expressed U2AF65 can recruit Tap to mRNP complexes [Zolotukhin et al. 2002]. These results thus suggest a role for splicing factors in the formation of an export-competent CTE-containing mRNP complex. Since WT1(+KTS) has been demonstrated to bind directly to U2AF65 [Davies et al. 1998], it will be of clear interest to determine whether this interaction plays a role in the ability of WT1(+KTS) to enhance CTE function.

The KTS insertion in WT1 protein is extremely well conserved. However, our results demonstrate that a protein with a substitution of AAA for the KTS sequence functions as well as the original WT1(+KTS) protein, supporting the notion that the sole function of the insertion is to disrupt the linker between the third and fourth zinc finger and thus the structure of the protein. It also supports the previously proposed idea that the conservation of the specific KTS sequence might be due to a conservation necessary at the RNA level for proper spic-
ing and maintenance of the ratios between the two iso-
forms [Davies et al. 2000].

In contrast to the functional importance of the KTS
insertion, previous studies examining the function of
WT1 have not demonstrated a clear role for the mammal-
ian-specific alternative exon 5, which includes or ex-
cludes 17 amino acids in the protein. Also, adult mice
lacking this exon are viable and fertile [Natoli et al.
2002]. This exon is evidently dispensable for at least
some of the post-transcriptional effects of WT1(+KTS),
since a protein lacking this exon was fully functional in
our system.

Although WT1(+KTS) clearly enhanced polyribosome
association of CTE-containing RNA, this protein also
slightly increased the overall levels of this RNA relative
to the SEAP mRNA that was transcribed from the same
promoter. This suggests that WT1(+KTS) might also di-
rectly affect a some aspect of RNA metabolism. Effects of
WT1(+KTS) at multiple levels would not be surprising,
since many recent studies have highlighted links be-
tween different steps in RNA processing [for a recent
review, see Huang and Steitz 2005]. In addition, Sam68,
which also functions to promote translation of CTE-con-
taining RNA [Coyle et al. 2003], has been reported to also
effect alternative splicing, RNA stability, and polyad-
enylation [Matter et al. 2002; McLaren et al. 2004].

Our experiments demonstrate that WT1(+KTS) can
function to promote expression from an RNA with a
retained intron. Although expression from unspliced and
incompletely spliced RNA is commonly observed in vi-
ruses, and intron retention seems to be a common form
of alternative splicing in plants [Ner-Gaon et al. 2004],
this form of alternative splicing seems to be relatively
rare in higher organisms. In fact, there are only a few
verified reports of protein expression from mammalian
mRNAs with retained introns. One clearly documented
example involves some of the members of the Id family
of helix–loop–helix [HLH] transcription factors. Specifi-
cally, retention of the single small intron in the Id3 gene
after vascular injury results in expression of an alterna-
tive protein isoform, which appears to function as a
modulator of the protein expressed from the spliced
RNA [Matsumura et al. 2001; Forrest et al. 2004]. This
may be particularly relevant, since a recent study dem-
onstrated that deletion of the WT1(+KTS) isoform af-
fected the expression of MASH1, an HLH transcription
factor required for early neurogenesis [Wagner et al.
2005]. However, no effects on MASH1 transcription
were observed, leading Wagner et al. [2005] to hypothe-
size a post-transcriptional effect. Since the MASH1
gene, like the Id genes, contains a single small intron, it
will be of interest to determine whether the effects of the
+KTS protein on MASH1 expression are related to intron
retention.

WT1(−KTS), the “transcription factor” isoform of
WT1, was shown to slightly increase RNA levels and
protein expression from the CTE-containing RNA in the
absence of WT1(+KTS). In contrast, expression of
WT1(−KTS) clearly inhibited WT1(+KTS) enhancement
of CTE function. This might be due to the previously
demonstrated ability of the WT1 proteins to form het-
erodimers through their N-terminal domains [Englert et
al. 1995; Molett et al. 1995; Reddy et al. 1995; Bruening
et al. 1996]. In support of this hypothesis, preliminary
experiments suggest that while N-terminal deletion mu-
tants of WT1(+KTS) can still enhance CTE function, ex-
pression is no longer inhibited by the WT1(−KTS) pro-
tein [Y.-c. Bor, D. Rekosh, and M.L. Hammarskjöld, un-
publ.]. Consistent with this finding, coexpression of
WT1(−KTS) in excess over WT1(+KTS) in Xenopus oo-
cytes abrogated the localization of WT1(+KTS) in B-snur-
posomes, but only if the N terminus was present
[Ladomery et al. 2003].

WT1(+KTS) associates with polyribosomes in trans-
fected 293T cells, consistent with its ability to enhance
mRNA translation. An association of this isoform with
polyribosomes was also recently reported in monkey
COS cells [Niksic et al. 2004]. However, Niksic et al.
[2004] also found that the WT1(−KTS) isoform associated
with polyribosomes. This raises a conundrum, since our
data clearly demonstrate that only the WT1(+KTS) iso-
form enhances GagPol expression from a CTE-contain-
ing mRNA. Further experiments are necessary to address
the potential role of the WT1(−KTS) protein in transla-
tional regulation. It may be that WT1(−KTS) has a differ-
ent set of targets than WT1(+KTS) or that it functions as
a translational repressor. In our experiments, the +KTS
protein was found in fractions containing the ribosomal
subunits after treatment with 15 mM EDTA, suggesting
that WT1 might associate directly with ribosomal pro-
teins during translation. Alternatively, the protein could
simply be associated with mRNP complexes that sedi-
ment in these fractions when the polyribosomes are dis-
rupted.

The fact that WT1(−KTS), a protein that has been
shown to act as a transcriptional regulator, functions as
an inhibitor of post-transcriptional effects of WT1(+KTS)
suggests the intriguing possibility that alternative splic-
ing of WT1 might serve to coordinate regulation of tran-
scriptional and post-transcriptional events. One possibil-
ity is that genes that are regulated by WT1(−KTS) at the
transcriptional level are also regulated post-transcrip-
tionally by WT1(+KTS). Another possibility is that the
two proteins serve to coordinate regulation of expression of
different genes at the transcriptional and post-transcrip-
tional level. Elucidation of this will require the defini-
tive identification of gene targets for WT1(+KTS). While
the 5A1 sequence is clearly functioning as a cellular CTE
and was identified in coimmunoprecipitations with
WT1(+KTS), the physiological relevance of this remains
unclear, since a coding mRNA containing this sequence
has not been reported. More interesting is the fact that
WT1(+KTS) also enhanced expression mediated by the
recently discovered CTE in ACTN4. As mentioned
above, the closely related ACTN1 has been identified
[Morrison et al. 2006] as a potential WT1 target using a
three-hybrid system. These results, coupled with the
established role of ACTN4 in kidney function, suggest that
WT1(+KTS) in conjunction with CTEs may regulate non-
muscle α-actinins in kidney cells.
Polyribosome analysis

The polyribosome analysis was performed essentially as previously described [Jin et al. 2003], except that for the RNA experiments, transfected cells were split 1/3 at 24 h post-transfection. At 48 h post-transfection, the cells were exposed to 50 µg/mL cycloheximide for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with cold PBS containing 50 µg/mL cycloheximide and harvested by scraping. After a brief centrifugation, cell pellets were resuspended in 0.25 mL of cold RSB buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂) containing 250 U of RNAsin (Promega), and an additional 0.25 mL of RSB containing 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxycholate, and 2% Tween 20 was then added to lyse the cells. After sucrose gradient centrifugation, 10 ng of a 267-nt-long fragment of in vitro transcribed gag RNA was added to each fraction as a control for recovery. The fractions were then incubated with 0.2 mg/mL of Proteinase K in the presence of 0.5% SDS for 30 min at 42°C and extracted twice with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and once with chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (49:1). RNA from each sample was precipitated by the addition of 30 µL of 3M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 825 µL of 100% ethanol, and was collected by centrifugation. The RNA pellets were washed with 75% ethanol and resuspended in 4 µL of water with 15.5 µL of RS buffer (2 µL of 10× MOPS, 3.5 µL of 37% formaldehyde, 10 µL of formamide). Samples were incubated for 10 min at 55°C, cooled on ice, and loaded onto a 1% agarose gel containing formaldehyde.

Western blot analysis and antibodies

The Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [Hammarskjöld et al. 1986]. In brief, lysates from transfected cell were separated in a 15% SDS-PAGE and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore). For detection of T7-tagged WT1 proteins, blots were probed with a 1:5000 dilution of mouse anti-T7 monoclonal antibody (Novagen). Blots were tagged WT1 proteins, blots were probed with a 1:5000 dilution of mouse anti-T7 monoclonal antibody (Novagen). Blots were
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