RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice

Zhaohui Chu,¹ Meng Yuan,¹ Jialing Yao,¹ Xiaojia Ge,¹ Bin Yuan,¹ Caiguo Xu,¹ Xianghua Li,¹ Binying Fu,^{2,4} Zhikang Li,^{2,4} Jeffrey L. Bennetzen,³ Qifa Zhang,¹ and Shiping Wang^{1,5}

¹National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China;

²Plant Breeding, Genetics and Biotechnology Division, International Rice Research Institute, 1099 Manila, The Philippines; ³Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA

Disease resistance and sexual reproductive development are generally considered as separate biological processes, regulated by different sets of genes. Here we show that *xa13*, a recessive allele conferring disease resistance against bacterial blight, one of the most devastating rice diseases worldwide, plays a key role in both disease resistance and pollen development. The dominant allele, *Xa13*, is required for both bacterial growth and pollen development. Promoter mutations in *Xa13* cause down-regulation of expression during host-pathogen interaction, resulting in the fully recessive *xa13* that confers race-specific resistance. The recessive *xa13* allele represents a new type of plant disease resistance.

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received January 31, 2006; revised version accepted March 8, 2006.

Both disease resistance and sexual reproductive development are essential for plants to complete their life cycles. They are generally considered as separate and independent biological processes, regulated by different sets of genes (Martin et al. 2003; Ma 2005). Although >40 disease resistance (*R*) genes have been characterized (Martin et al. 2003), none of these genes was identified as being involved in reproductive development. It is generally accepted that the *R* proteins encoded by dominant *R* genes recognize specific pathogen effectors and trigger signal transduction cascades that lead to rapid disease resistance in the host plant (Dangl and Jones 2001; Martin et al. 2003; Belkadir et al. 2004). However, the function of *R* proteins inherited recessively is not generally understood. *R* genes often show a constitutive expres-

sion pattern in either uninfected or infected plants, in agreement with their common role in the pathogen recognition step. In a few cases, specific additional induction of *R* gene expression is observed upon infection (Yoshimura et al. 1998; Piffanelli et al. 2002; Levy et al. 2004; Gu et al. 2005). These results suggest that, in most cases, the basal level of *R* proteins pre-existing in cells is sufficient for effective initiation of the resistance pathway while, in a few cases, more *R* protein is produced upon infection to help amplify the resistance response. Even with the *R* gene functioning as a mutation of a negative effector in disease resistance, induced expression upon infection has been observed (Buschges et al. 1997; Piffanelli et al. 2002).

Thirty *R* genes conferring resistance against various strains of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*), the causal agent of bacterial blight, have been reported in rice, of which nine were identified as recessively inherited. So far, five of the 30 *R* genes have been characterized as encoding four types of proteins, suggesting multiple mechanisms of *R*-gene-mediated *Xoo* resistance (Song et al. 1995; Yoshimura et al. 1998; Iyer and McCouch 2004; Sun et al. 2004; Gu et al. 2005). *R* gene *xa13* is fully recessive, conferring resistance only in the homozygous status to Philippine *Xoo* race 6 (strain PXO99), which is virulent to most *R* genes (Khush and Angeles 1999). Here, by cloning and functional analysis of the *xa13*, we report that the product of the same gene plays key roles in both disease resistance and pollen development. The resistant (recessive) and susceptible (dominant) alleles of *xa13* can encode identical proteins, but have crucial sequence differences in their promoter regions. Suppressing expression of either the dominant or recessive allele of *xa13* enhanced the resistance, but caused male sterility of the plants, indicating that the product of this gene acted both as a bacterial growth-dependent modulator and as an essential constituent of pollen development.

Results and Discussion

We have previously mapped *xa13* to a 14.8-kb fragment using three F₂ populations from a cross between near-isogenic lines IRBB13 (resistant, carrying *xa13*) and IR24 (susceptible, carrying the dominant allele *Xa13*) (Chu et al. 2006). Using the same mapping populations and a newly developed molecular marker, ST12, we further mapped the *xa13* locus to a DNA fragment of 9.2 kb, flanked by markers RP7 and ST12 (Fig. 1A). Analysis of the 9.2-kb sequence of BAC clone 14L03 from rice line IR64, carrying the dominant allele *Xa13*, revealed only one predicted gene, therefore regarded as the candidate of dominant *Xa13* (GenBank accession no. DQ421395). The homologous fragment of the *Xa13* candidate from IR24 (GenBank accession no. DQ421396) had an identical sequence with that of IR64. Transformation of IRBB13 with the DNA fragment encompassing the *Xa13* candidate, including the promoter region isolated from IR64, produced 29 independent transformants. Sixteen of the 29 T₀ transgenic plants were susceptible upon infection by PXO99, with average lesion lengths of 16.7 ± 2.95 cm as compared with 1.4 ± 0.23 cm measured for the untransformed control IRBB13 and 20.7 ± 2.74 cm measured for IR24. PCR analysis demonstrated that all of the

[Keywords: Bacterial blight; race-specific resistance; recessive gene; sexual development]

⁴Present address: Institute of Crop Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, China.

⁵Corresponding author.

E-MAIL swang@mail.hzau.edu.cn; FAX 86-27-87287092.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1416306>.

Figure 1. Identification of *xa13*. (A) Fine mapping of the *xa13* locus on BAC clone 14L03. The figures between markers indicate the numbers of recombination events detected between the *xa13* locus and corresponding markers. (B) Association between resistance and the transgene. All of the susceptible transgenic plants have the PCR fragment of *Xa13* promoter as in IR24 carrying *Xa13*, while the resistant plants do not have this fragment. (C) Plasma membrane location of the GFP-XA13 protein revealed by transformation of calli from Zhonghua 11. Bars, 8.0 μ m. (Left) GFP-XA13 expression on plasma membrane of callus cells. (Middle) Propidium iodide staining. (Right) Overlaying of left and middle images.

susceptible transgenic plants had the band derived from the *Xa13* candidate fragment, while none of the resistant plants amplified this band (Fig. 1B). To confirm that the susceptibility of the transgenic plants was caused by the transgene, T₁ families derived from four of the susceptible T₀ plants were investigated individually for resistance and the marker genotype. The susceptibility cosegregated perfectly with the transgene in all four families, indicating that the gene in this fragment was indeed *Xa13* (Supplementary Table S1).

Xa13 consists of five exons and encodes a protein of 307 amino acids (Supplementary Fig. S1) that is located to the plasma membrane (Fig. 1C). Analysis of the *xa13* sequence from IRBB13 (GenBank accession no. DQ421394) detected three nucleotide substitutions in the coding region that caused one amino acid change, as compared with the predicted product of *Xa13*. To determine whether this amino acid difference is the cause of the resistance, the DNA fragments corresponding to the *Xa13* genomic region from 10 additional *xa13*-carrying varieties, Chinsurah Boro2 (11484), Tapa 1, Chinsurah Boro2 (11760), Aus 274, AC 19-1-1, Long Grain (35023),

Kalimekri 77-5, Chinsurah Boro2 (50930), Long Grain (64950), and BJ 1 (Khush and Angeles 1999), and five additional *Xa13* carrying varieties, Minghui 63, Zhonghua 11, Mudanjiang 8, 93-11, and Nipponbare, were isolated and sequenced. Sequence analysis revealed that although there were various substitutions, deletions, and insertions in the *Xa13* region of the latter five varieties compared with IR24 and IR64, the *Xa13* alleles of all the seven varieties encode an identical protein (Supplementary Fig. S1). The additional 10 *xa13* carrying varieties showed approximately the same level of resistance as IRBB13 upon PXO99 infection (Supplementary Table S2). The *xa13* alleles from only eight of the varieties encode proteins with one to three amino acid differences from that of the susceptible allele *Xa13*, while the predicted protein sequences of the *xa13* alleles from Aus 274 and Kalimekri 77-5 were identical to that of *Xa13* (Supplementary Fig. S1). These results suggest that amino acid change is not the determinant of *xa13*-mediated resistance.

To characterize the function of *xa13*, we suppressed the expression of *Xa13* or *xa13* in different rice lines using an RNA interference (RNAi) strategy. Suppression of *Xa13* expression in cultivar Zhonghua 11 significantly increased the resistance to PXO99 in nine of the 12 transgenic plants examined. The level of increased resistance was associated with the reduced accumulation of *Xa13* transcripts (Fig. 2A). The correlation between lesion length and *Xa13* expression level was 0.78, significant at $P < 0.01$ ($n = 12$). Similar results were also observed in *Xa13*-suppressed plants in the Minghui 63 genetic background (Supplementary Table S3). More interestingly, suppressing the expression of *xa13* in IRBB13 further enhanced *xa13*-mediated resistance (Fig. 2B). The lesion length for eight of the 14 transgenic plants was significantly reduced upon PXO99 infection, and the correlation coefficient between the lesion length and the *xa13* transcript level was 0.64 ($P < 0.01$, $n = 14$). These results suggest that resistance to PXO99 depends on the expression level of the gene, such that the lower the expression level of either *xa13* or *Xa13*, the more resistant the plants become.

To examine the expression profile of this gene, we analyzed the expression patterns of *xa13* and *Xa13* in the near-isogenic lines IRBB13 and IR24 by quantitative RT-PCR. The transcript levels of *xa13* and *Xa13* were very low in leaves but quite high in panicles and anthers (Fig. 2C). The expression of *Xa13* was greatly elevated after PXO99 inoculation, but not mock inoculation (Fig. 2D). *Xa13* expression in PXO99-challenged IR24 plants increased by sixfold at 8 h post-inoculation and 47-fold at 72 h post-inoculation compared with the nontreatment control. However, PXO99 inoculation did not induce the expression of *xa13*. We further compared the *xa13*- and *Xa13*-transcript levels in other rice lines. The *Xa13*-transcripts also accumulated upon PXO99 infection in rice lines Minghui 63 and IRBB4 in a similar pattern as in IR24, while the *xa13*-transcript level was not obviously increased by PXO99 in rice lines Tapa 1, Aus 274, AC19-1-1, Long Grain (35023), and Kalimekri 77-5 as in IRBB13 (Supplementary Fig. S2). These results further confirmed that a low expression level of this gene is the basis of *xa13*-mediated resistance.

Since PXO99 infection can induce the expression of *Xa13* but not *xa13* in two rice lines with the same genetic background (Fig. 2D), it is highly likely that the

Figure 2. Expression profiles of *xa13* and *Xa13* detected by quantitative RT-PCR and the relationship between resistance and levels of *xa13*- and *Xa13*-transcripts. Asterisks indicate significant ($P < 0.05$, t -test) reductions in lesion length between transgenic plants and wild-type plants (ck) measured at 14 d after PXO99 inoculation. Error bars are standard deviations. (A) *Xa13*-RNAi transformation of Zhonghua 11. *Xa13* expression in leaves relative to the untransformed controls was detected at 3 d after inoculation. (B) *xa13*-RNAi transformation of IRBB13. The *xa13* expression in leaves relative to the untransformed controls was detected before pathogen inoculation. (C) Expression levels of *Xa13* and *xa13* in different tissues, measured relative to *xa13* expression in the flag leaves of IRBB13. (D) Expression levels of *xa13* and *Xa13* relative to the corresponding untreated (0) control in the leaves of near-isogenic lines IRBB13 and IR24, respectively, at 8, 24, and 72 h (8, 24, and 72) after PXO99 inoculation or mock (water) inoculation as the control.

differential expression of *Xa13* and *xa13* results from difference(s) in the promoter regions of the *Xa13* and *xa13* alleles of the gene. To examine this conjecture, we analyzed the promoter regions (~1.4 kb) of *Xa13* from seven rice lines and *xa13* from 11 rice lines. The sequences of the *Xa13* promoter region are identical between IR24 and IR64, but various nucleotide substitutions, deletions, and insertions were observed in the promoter regions of other rice lines, compared with IR24 and IR64 (Fig. 3). While the majority of the mutation sites are not allele specific, all of the 11 *xa13*-carrying lines had insertion, deletion, or substitution of 1–252 base pairs (bp) within a

region of 18 bp corresponding to the –69 to –86 region of the *Xa13* promoter in the seven rice lines (Fig. 3). Thus, mutations in this region abolished the induction activity of *xa13* expression by pathogen infection, suggesting that this region is required for the *Xa13* induction by the pathogen. This induction could be an indirect outcome of pathogen infection, or might be from direct interaction with a pathogen factor that up-regulates *Xa13*, as in the case of avirulence (avr) protein AvrXa27 from *Xoo*, which acts as a nuclear-localized regulator of rice *R* gene *Xa27* expression (Gu et al. 2005). Related pathogen factors with features similar to transcription activators have also been identified both as elicitors and as virulence factors in host–pathogen interaction (White et al. 2000).

The *xa13* protein has no sequence similarity with any known *R* proteins, but it shows 50% sequence identity and 68% sequence similarity (E value 10^{-52}) to the product of a nodulin MtN3 gene (NCBI protein database accession no. CAA69976) that is induced by *Rhizobium* in legume during nodule development (Gamas et al. 1996). The differential expression of *xa13* and *Xa13* upon bac-

Figure 3. Sequence comparison of the promoter regions of *xa13* and *Xa13* from different rice lines. Nucleotide substitution, deletion, or insertion are identified in different rice lines with reference to the sequence of IR24. The triangles with a figure indicate the numbers of nucleotides inserted or deleted and the triangles without a figure represent single-nucleotide insertions/deletions. The green-line-boxed regions represent the fragments relative to the –69 to –86 region of IR24.

Figure 4. Bacterial growth analysis and the expression pattern of *Xa13* in leaves. (A) Growth of *Xoo* strain PXO99 in leaves of wild-type IRBB13 and *T₀* transgenic line D09O3-7 carrying *Xa13*. Bacterial population was determined from three leaves at each time point by counting colony-forming units (cfu) (Sun et al. 2004). (B) *Xa13* promoter- β -glucuronidase (GUS) expression in transgenic rice plant. The blue color indicates that GUS was preferentially expressed in the parenchyma cells surrounding the vascular element (V). Bar, 30 μ m.

terial infection and the improved disease resistance achieved by suppressing *xa13* or *Xa13* suggest that this gene encodes a modulator required for bacterial invasion. Adequate expression of this modulator is critical for successful bacterial invasion. This inference is also supported by the result of bacterial growth analysis on wild-type IRBB13 carrying *xa13* and transgenic line D09O3-7 carrying both *xa13* and *Xa13* (Fig. 4A). There were insignificant differences in growth rate of the bacterial strain PXO99 between the two lines in the first 4 d after inoculation, whereas the bacterial growth rate in D09O3-7 became 276-fold higher than in IRBB13 at 6 d after inoculation, and a 1847-fold difference was observed between the two lines at 14 d after inoculation (Fig. 4A). This is consistent with the accumulation rate of the *Xa13* transcript as discussed above (Fig. 2D). Thus, loss of the ability to respond to PXO99 infection due to promoter mutations resulted in *xa13*, a gene that mediates a recessively inherited resistance. A recent study revealed that the dominant allele of barley recessive *R* gene *mlo* encodes a membrane protein functioning as an entry portal for successful pathogenesis of fungal pathogens (Bhat et al. 2005). But *XA13* has no sequence similarity with *MLO*, thus it is unlikely to function in the same way. It is known that *Xoo* bacteria invade rice plants through hydathodes or wounds and live in the vascular systems (Huang and De Cleene 1989). Analysis of the promoter-GUS fusion revealed that *Xa13* was preferentially expressed in the parenchyma cells surrounding the vascular vessels of leaves (Fig. 4B), suggesting that *XA13* may be a bacterial growth-dependent modulator.

The high expression level of *xa13* and *Xa13* in panicles and anthers (Fig. 2C) suggests that this gene may play a role in the reproductive process. To assess the possible role of this gene in reproduction, we examined the fertility of *xa13*- and *Xa13*-suppressed transgenic plants. It was found that transgenic plants with significantly reduced expression of *xa13* or *Xa13* also had reduced spikelet fertility with an overall correlation of 0.59 ($P < 0.01$, $n = 28$) in the three crosses examined (Supplementary Table S3). The reduced fertility could be ascribed to male sterility as the *xa13*- and *Xa13*-suppressed plants had smaller anthers than the respective wild types and produced mostly abortive pollen (Supplementary Fig. S3). Histological analysis showed that while no abnormality

was observed with the anther wall in *Xa13*-suppressed plants, the development of the microspores stopped at the unicellular pollen grain stage and gradually degenerated afterward (Fig. 5A). To assess whether the reduced fertility of these plants was associated with the reduced accumulation of *Xa13* transcripts, we examined the expression of *Xa13* in anthers by in situ hybridization. No *Xa13* expression was detectable at early stages of anther development (e.g., microspore mother cell and dyad stage) (Fig. 5B). In wild-type plants, *Xa13* transcripts accumulated to high levels in pollen grains, tapetal cells, and middle-layer cells of the anther wall. Moreover, it

Figure 5. Association of *Xa13* expression and pollen development. Bars, 30 μ m. (Bp) Bicellular pollen grain; (D) dyad; (Dp) degenerated pollen grain; (E) epidermis; (En) endothecium; (ML) middle layer; (Up) unicellular pollen grain; (T) tapetum; (Tp) tricellular pollen grain; (V) vascular element; (W) anther wall. (A) Pollen development at different stages in wild-type Minghui 63 and *Xa13*-suppressed *T₀* plant D75RMH2, showing that there is no apparent difference between the wild-type and transgenic plants at the unicellular pollen grain stage, whereas various abnormalities are observed at bi- and tricellular pollen grain stages. (B) Expression of *Xa13* in anthers of wild-type Minghui 63 and *T₀* plants D75RMH2 and D75RMH5 examined by in situ hybridization. The dark blue color in the pollen grains, the cells of anther wall, and the connective vascular element indicates hybridization of *Xa13* transcripts.

was also found at high levels in connective tissue cells and the connective vascular element at the unicellular-to-bicellular pollen grain stages and occurred only in the cells of connective vascular elements at the tricellular pollen grain stage (Fig. 5B). The hybridization signal in these tissues was very low or undetectable in the *Xa13*-suppressed transgenic plants (Fig. 5B). The coincidence in time between the accumulation of *Xa13* transcripts in wild-type plants and the appearance of pollen abortion in *Xa13*-suppressed plants during pollen development suggests an indispensable role of *Xa13* in pollen development.

Thus, *xa13*, in addition to being a fully recessive *R* gene functioning by expressional loss-of-function from rice, is also an essential gene for pollen development. The dual function of this gene provides a unique opportunity for exploring the cross-talk between disease resistance and male gamete development pathways. Although there have been a few reports on the functional overlap between pathogen-induced defense signaling and plant development (Holt et al. 2002; Montoya et al. 2002; Godiard et al. 2003; Nakashita et al. 2003), none of these genes have major effects on race-specific disease resistance. The complete recessivity of the resistance conferred by *xa13* indicates that *Xoo* resistance may only be a fortuitous by-product of this gene. Thus, mutation of the regulatory element(s) of an essential gene for pollen development results in a novel recessive gene for disease resistance in rice. Although it is not clear how these processes might be linked, the similarities in the mechanisms of pollen/pathogen recognition and development should be investigated in future studies.

Materials and methods

Characterization of resistant and susceptible alleles of *xa13*

A cleaved amplification polymorphism sequence marker, ST12, detected by PCR amplification using the primers ST12F (5'-AGTAGCAGTAGC CGCACACA-3') and ST12R (5'-TGATCGAAGGAGGAGAATG-3') and digestion by *Sau*3AI, was used to screen the five recombinant *F*₂ individuals that defined the location of *xa13* locus (Chu et al. 2006) for fine mapping of this gene. A DNA fragment containing the dominant allele *Xa13* and its upstream (5021 bp) and downstream (6134 bp) regions from rice line IR64 was inserted into pCambia1301 vector and introduced to rice line IRBB13 with *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation (Ge et al. 2006). For detecting the transgene in the transgenic plants, a pair of PCR primers, X13-seq2F and X13-seq2R (Supplementary Table S4), was designed to display the 289-bp difference between IR24 (or IR64) and IRBB13 in the promoter region of *Xa13* and *xa13*. The coding sequence of *Xa13* was determined by comparing the cDNA sequences from rice lines Minghui 63 and Nipponbare (GenBank accession nos. AK070510 and AK106127). For comparative sequencing, genomic DNA fragments containing the *xa13* or *Xa13* locus were isolated from different rice lines by PCR amplification using primers X13-FulF and X13-FulR (Supplementary Table S4). The subcellular localization of the *Xa13* protein was determined by inserting the *GFP* (green fluorescent protein)-*Xa13* fusion gene driven by a maize ubiquitin promoter into pCambia1300 vector to transform rice cultivar Zhonghua 11 by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. To verify the plasma membrane location of the protein, onion epidermal cells were transformed with the same *GFP-Xa13* fusion by particle bombardment. The bombarded cells were incubated in the dark at 22°C–24°C for 12–24 h to allow transient expression of the protein, and then treated with 0.5 M NaCl for 3–10 min to separate cell walls and protoplasts. The GFP location was viewed with a confocal microscope.

Pathogen inoculation

Three to five uppermost fully expanded leaves of each plant were inoculated with Philippine *Xoo* race 6 (PXO99) using the leaf-clipping method

(Kauffman et al. 1973) at tillering to booting stages. Lesion length (centimeters) was measured at 14–21 d after inoculation, based on which the mean and standard deviation were calculated.

Analyses of *xa13* function

To construct an RNAi vector for *Xa13*, a 650-bp cDNA fragment of *Xa13* was amplified using primers ds1-2F and ds1-2R (Supplementary Table S4) from cDNA clone EI74B06 of rice line Minghui 63 and was inserted into the pDS1301 vector, which was constructed by inserting the cassette-producing double-strand RNA of the pMCG161 vector (McGinnis et al. 2005) into the pCambia1301 vector. The expression levels of *Xa13* and *xa13* were determined by quantitative RT-PCR. RT-PCR was carried out in a two-step reaction (Zhou et al. 2002) using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S4). Quantitative PCR was performed using ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The expression level of each gene in each sample was calculated relative to the expression level of the actin gene and was the average of three repetitions.

Xa13 promoter-*GUS* analysis

The promoter region of *Xa13* from IR24 (~1.4 kb) (Fig. 3) was fused with the *GUS* gene and cloned into pCambia1381 vector. The vector was introduced to rice line IRBB13 with *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *GUS* histochemical staining of the leaves from *T*₀ transgenic plants was assayed as described previously (Wu et al. 2003). The stained leaves were then sectioned using a razor blade.

In situ hybridization and histological staining

Anthers were fixed in a solution containing 5% glacial acetic acid, 1.85% formaldehyde, 63% ethanol, and 30.15% water at room temperature for 24 h. After dehydration and infiltration, the samples were embedded in paraffin and cut into 10-μm-thick sections. The expression of *Xa13* in anthers was assayed using in situ hybridization analysis (Drews 1998). For synthesizing the hybridization probe, a 552-bp DNA fragment was amplified from Minghui 63 cDNA clone 74B06 using *Xa13*-specific primers X13-QtF, and X13-Rt3R (Supplementary Table S4) and ligated into vector pGEM-T. A digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probe was synthesized using SP6 RNA polymerase and digoxigenin-labeled sense RNA (control) probe was synthesized using T7 RNA polymerase. Hybridization was as described previously (Drews 1998). The tissue samples were stained with Ehrlich' haematoxylin solution before dehydration for structural analysis and with 1% eosin in 95% ethanol during dehydration for in situ hybridization.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Center for the Application of Molecular Biology to International Agriculture for transformation vectors. This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

References

- Belkhadir, Y., Subramaniam, R., and Dangl, J.L. 2004. Plant disease resistance protein signaling: NBS-LRR proteins and their partners. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **7**: 391–399.
- Bhat, R.A., Miklis, M., Schmelzer, E., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Panstruga, R. 2005. Recruitment and interaction dynamics of plant penetration resistance components in a plasma membrane microdomain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**: 3135–3140.
- Buschges, R., Hollricher, K., Panstruga, R., Simons, G., Wolter, M., Fritters, A., van Daelen, R., van der Lee, T., Diergaarde, P., Groenendijk, J., et al. 1997. The barley *Mlo* gene: A novel control element of plant pathogen resistance. *Cell* **88**: 695–705.
- Chu, Z., Fu, B., Yang, H., Xu, C., Li, Z., Sanchez, A., Park, Y.J., Bennetzen, J.L., Zhang, Q., and Wang, S. 2006. Targeting *xa13*, a recessive gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **112**: 455–461.
- Dangl, J.L. and Jones, J.D. 2001. Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. *Nature* **411**: 826–833.
- Drews, G.N. 1998. In situ hybridization. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **82**: 353–371.
- Gamas, P., Niebel, F.C., Lescure, N., and Cullimore, J.V. 1996. Use of a

- subtractive approach to identify new *Medicago truncatula* genes induced during root nodule development. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **9**: 233–242.
- Ge, X., Chu, Z., Lin, Y., and Wang, S. 2006. A tissue culture system for different germplasms of indica rice. *Plant Cell Rep.* (in press).
- Godiard, L., Sauviac, L., Torii, K.U., Grenon, O., Mangin, B., Grimsley, N.H., and Marco, Y. 2003. ERECTA, an LRR receptor-like kinase protein controlling development pleiotropically affects resistance to bacterial wilt. *Plant J.* **36**: 353–365.
- Gu, K., Yang, B., Tian, D., Wu, L., Wang, D., Sreekala, C., Yang, F., Chu, Z., Wang, G.-L., White, F.F., et al. 2005. R gene expression induced by a type-III effector triggers disease resistance in rice. *Nature* **435**: 1122–1125.
- Holt, B.F., Boyes, D.C., Ellerstrom, M., Siefers, N., Wiig, A., Kauffman, S., Grant, M.R., and Dangl, J.L. 2002. An evolutionarily conserved mediator of plant disease resistance gene function is required for normal *Arabidopsis* development. *Dev. Cell* **2**: 807–817.
- Huang, J.S. and De Cleene, M. 1989. How rice plants are infected by *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *oryzae*? In *Bacterial blight of rice*, pp. 31–42. International Rice Research Institute, Manila.
- Iyer, A.S. and McCouch, S.R. 2004. The rice bacterial blight resistance gene *xa5* encodes a novel form of disease resistance. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **17**: 1348–1354.
- Kauffman, H.E., Reddy, A.P.K., Hsieh, S.P.Y., and Merca, S.D. 1973. An improved technique for evaluating resistance to rice varieties of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. *Plant Dis. Rep.* **57**: 537–541.
- Khush, G.S. and Angeles, E.R. 1999. A new gene for resistance to race 6 of bacterial blight in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. *Rice Genet. Newslet.* **16**: 92–93.
- Levy, M., Edelbaum, O., and Sela, H. 2004. Tobacco mosaic virus regulates the expression of its own resistance gene N. *Plant Physiol.* **135**: 2392–2397.
- Ma, H. 2005. Molecular genetic analyses of microsporogenesis and megasporogenesis in flowering plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **56**: 393–434.
- Martin, G.B., Bogdanove, A.J., and Sessa, G. 2003. Understanding the functions of plant disease resistance proteins. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **54**: 23–61.
- McGinnis, K., Chandler, V., Cone, K., Kaeppler, H., Kaeppler, S., Kerschen, A., Pikaard, C., Richards, E., Sidorenko, L., Smith, T., et al. 2005. Transgene-induced RNA interference as a tool for plant functional genomics. *Methods Enzymol.* **392**: 1–24.
- Montoya, T., Nomura, T., Farrar, K., Kaneta, T., Yokota, T., and Bishop, G.J. 2002. Cloning the tomato *curl3* gene highlights the putative dual role of the leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase tBR11/SR160 in plant steroid hormone and peptide hormone signaling. *Plant Cell* **123**: 3163–3176.
- Nakashita, H., Yasuda, M., Nitta, T., Asami, T., Fujioka, S., Arai, Y., Sekimata, K., Takatsuto, S., Yamaguchi, I., and Yoshida, S. 2003. Brassinosteroid functions in a broad range of disease resistance in tobacco and rice. *Plant J.* **33**: 887–898.
- Piffanelli, P., Zhou, F., Casais, C., Orme, J., Jarosch, B., Schaffrath, U., Collins, N.C., Panstruga, R., and Schulze-Lefert, P. 2002. The barley MLO modulator of defense and cell death is responsive to biotic and abiotic stress stimuli. *Plant Physiol.* **129**: 1076–1085.
- Song, W.-Y., Wang, G.-L., Chen, L.-L., Kim, H.-S., Pi, L.-Y., Holsten, T., Gardner, J., Wang, B., Zhai, W.-X., Zhu, L.-H., et al. 1995. A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, *Xa21*. *Science* **270**: 1804–1806.
- Sun, X., Cao, Y., Yang, Z., Xu, C., Li, X., Wang, S., and Zhang, Q. 2004. *Xa26*, a gene conferring resistance to *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* in rice, encodes an LRR receptor kinase-like protein. *Plant J.* **37**: 517–527.
- White, F.F., Yang, B., and Johnson, L.B. 2000. Prospects for understanding avirulence gene function. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **3**: 291–298.
- Wu, C., Li, X., Yuan, W., Chen, G., Kilian, A., Li, J., Xu, C., Li, X., Zhou, D.-X., Wang, S., et al. 2003. Development of enhancer trap lines for functional analysis of the rice genome. *Plant J.* **35**: 418–427.
- Yoshimura, S., Yamanouchi, U., Katayose, Y., Toki, S., Wang, Z.X., Kono, I., Kuruta, N., Yano, M., Iwata, N., and Sasaki, T. 1998. Expression of *Xa1*, a bacterial blight-resistance gene in rice, is induced by bacterial inoculation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 1663–1668.
- Zhou, B., Peng, K., Chu, Z., Wang, S., and Zhang, Q. 2002. The defense-responsive genes showing enhanced and repressed expression after pathogen infection in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Sci. China C Life Sci.* **45**: 449–467.

Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice

Zhaohui Chu, Meng Yuan, Jialing Yao, et al.

Genes Dev. 2006, **20**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1416306](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1416306)

Supplemental Material

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2006/04/27/gad.1416306.DC1>

Related Content

Fertility versus disease resistance, a hard choice

Rebecca Bart, Pamela Ronald and Sarah Hake

Genes Dev. May, 2006 20: 1215-1217

References

This article cites 26 articles, 5 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/20/10/1250.full.html#ref-list-1>

Articles cited in:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/20/10/1250.full.html#related-urls>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).