A liver-specific factor essential for albumin transcription differs between differentiated and dedifferentiated rat hepatoma cells

Silvia Cereghini, Marta Blumenfeld, and Moshe Yaniv¹

Unité des virus oncogènes—UA 041149 de CNRS, Département de biologie moléculaire, Institut Pasteur, 75724 Paris Cedex 15 France

We have identified and characterized two mutually exclusive nuclear proteins that interact with a single crucial element of the albumin promoter. One, albumin proximal factor (APF), is found only in liver or differentiated hepatoma cells and is probably identical to the liver-specific factors named HNF1, α 1TFB, or HP1-binding protein. The other, variant albumin proximal factor (vAPF), is present in dedifferentiated hepatoma cells as well as in somatic cell hybrids that show extinction of the expression of liver-specific proteins, including albumin. Reversion to the hepatic phenotype of either a dedifferentiated variant or an extinguished somatic hybrid clone is accompanied by loss of vAPF and reappearance of APF. These two proteins differ in their thermostability and in their molecular weight, while displaying identical sequence specificities. Both proteins interact with a homologous motif present in promoter regions of several other liver-specific genes. In vitro transcription assays, using a rat liver nuclear extract, indicate that the binding of APF to its target sequence is required for albumin transcription. These results suggest that a modification in the primary structure of a transcription factor is correlated with the differentiated state of the hepatic cell.

[*Key Words:* Tissue-specific gene expression; transcriptional factors; hepatocyte differentiation]

Received March 24, 1988; revised version accepted June 22, 1988.

The albumin gene is subject to developmental and tissue-specific regulation. This gene is expressed mainly in liver cells, where early in fetal development it is coactivated along with the closely linked and evolutionarily related α -fetoprotein (AFP) gene. After birth, AFP expression ceases rapidly, whereas albumin synthesis increases to become the major serum protein synthesized and secreted by adult hepatocytes (Panduro et al. 1987; see references therein).

Our interest has been to elucidate the molecular mechanisms involved in the establishment of tissue-specific expression of the albumin gene. Our early studies indicated that the first 150 bp of the rat albumin 5'-flanking region contain the sequences necessary and sufficient for tissue or cell specificity in a transient expression assay (Ott et al. 1984; Heard et al. 1987). Deletion analysis followed by a more refined study using a series of clustered point mutants identified five distinct transcriptional control elements within these sequences, in addition to the TATA box (Heard et al. 1987; P. Herbolme, A. Rollier, F. Tronche, H.O. OH, M. Yaniv, and M.C. Weiss, in prep.). Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the minimal albumin promoter illustrating these elements, which are designated as the proximal el-

ement (PE), the CCAAT box, and the distal elements I, II, and III (DEI, DEII, and DEIII, respectively). Multiple base substitutions in each of these elements affect to different degrees the transcriptional activity of the albumin promoter in vivo; the proximal element and the CCAAT box are the most crucial (P. Herbolme et al., in prep.).

Each one of these elements contains a sequence motif highly conserved among the albumin genes of mice, rats, and humans (Heard et al. 1987) which functions as the recognition site for distinct factors present in liver or differentiated hepatoma cells producing albumin (Babiss et al. 1987; Cereghini et al. 1987; Lichtsteiner et al. 1987; Raymondjean et al. 1988; see Fig. 1A). The factor binding to the DEII site is closely related or identical to nuclear factor 1 or the CCAAT-binding transcription factor (NF1/CTF) purified from HeLa cells (Jones et al. 1985, 1987), whereas the factor binding to the DEI element is the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) that was purified from rat liver by Graves et al. (1986; see Fig. 1A) (Johnson et al. 1987; S. Cereghini and P. Johnson, unpubl.).

Interestingly neither of these two well-characterized CCAAT-binding proteins, NF1/CTF and C/EBP, interacts with the albumin CCAAT motif at position -80. Instead we have identified, by a combination of footprint,

¹Corresponding author.

A

B

Figure 1. The rat albumin promoter region. (A) Regulatory elements of the rat albumin promoter. The DNA sequence of both strands of the 5'-flanking region from positions -170 to +1 is shown. Numbers indicate nucleotide coordinates numbered from the start site of transcription (+1). The conserved sequences in the rat, mouse, and human albumin genes are boxed. Regulatory elements (DEI, DEII, CCAAT, PE, and TATA boxes) and the identity of the nuclear factors interacting with them are shown (Heard et al. 1987). (B) Filialion of rat hepatoma clones. Each arrow signifies a clonal isolation. All of the clones are divided in two general groups: differentiated (top row), which express numerous liver-specific proteins including albumin, and dedifferentiated variants (bottom row) that fail to express these differentiated traits. The clones used in the present study are boxed. C2Rev7 is a revertant derived from C2 that was isolated by selection for cells that had recovered the ability to grow in glucose-free medium; other liver functions as well are expressed in these cells. Further discussion and references are presented in the text. (C) Extinguished somatic cell hybrids. HF1 is a somatic hybrid clone isolated from the fusion of Fao and H5 cells, showing extinction of liver-specific functions. HF1-5 is a subclone derived from HF1 by selection for the ability to grow in glucose-free medium in which the expression of all functions characteristic of the well differentiated Fao cells of origin was restored (Deschatrette et al. 1979).

gel retardation, methylation interference, and cross-competition experiments, a distinct CCAAT-binding factor, termed ACF (albumin CCAAT factor) (Raymondjean et al. 1988). This factor may be identical to the NFY factor that binds to promoters of class II surface antigens (Dorn et al. 1987; M. Raymondjean and A. Dorn, unpubl.). None of these three factors binding to either the CCAAT box or to the DEI and DEII elements seems to be strictly cell-type specific, as judged both by the generation of identical DNase I protection patterns and retarded DNA–protein complexes with these sequence elements when comparing extracts from different cell types. In contrast, a clear difference has been observed in the footprinting patterns on the proximal promoter element with nuclear extracts derived from cells expressing or not expressing the albumin gene, suggesting that these sequences might be recognized by tissue-specific protein(s) (Cereghini et al. 1987). This prompted us to characterize the PE-binding region in detail by using the more sensitive gel mobility shift technique with synthetic oligonucleotides. We have searched for factors in a variety of cell types and focused our attention on a family of rat hepatoma clones representing various stages of hepatocyte differentiation.

This approach has led to the identification of a liver-specific protein, albumin proximal factor (APF), which interacts with a 15-bp, partially palindromic sequence in the center of the albumin proximal element. APF also interacts with a homologous motif present in promoter regions crucial for the *in vivo* expression of several other liver-specific genes, suggesting that APF acts as a positive *trans*-acting factor. *In vitro* transcription experiments using a set of mutant APF-binding sites confirmed that binding of APF is also required for albumin-specific transcription. This protein is absent in nonhepatic cells while a modified form, variant albumin proximal factor (vAPF), was found in two independent lines of dedifferentiated hepatoma cells, H5 and C2, and in an 'extinguished' somatic hybrid formed by fusion of differentiated and dedifferentiated cells. Reversion to the hepatic phenotype of either C2 cells or the somatic hybrid clone is accompanied by the reappearance of APF and disappearance of vAPF. Thus, APF is clearly associated with the hepatic phenotype and vAPF with both the dedifferentiated pattern and the extinction event.

Results

The albumin proximal region interacts with a liver-specific factor

Previous studies indicated that the proximal element is the only region of the albumin promoter that is not identically protected from DNase I digestion by proteins present in expressing and nonexpressing cells suggesting that this element may be recognized by cell-type-specific proteins (Cereghini et al. 1987).

In the present study, we used the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (Fried and Crothers 1981; Garner and Revzin 1981) to screen sequences within the albumin proximal region able to interact specifically with pro-

teins present in nuclear extracts from different cell types. Because the proximal region protected by rat liver nuclear proteins (–35 to –72) represents slightly more than three helical turns, this site could be recognized by more than a single factor. Therefore, we first synthesized three partially overlapping double-stranded (ds) oligonucleotides (PE12, PE34, PE56; Table 1), encompassing the entire proximal region, and used them either as probes or as cold competitors in the binding assays. Alternatively, these same ds oligonucleotides were used as competitors in DNase I footprinting experiments. Only one out of the three ds oligonucleotides examined (PE56; Table 1) was able to both compete efficiently for the binding of rat liver factor(s) to the entire proximal region in a footprinting assay (not shown) and to form a specific DNA–protein complex (see below). The PE56 oligonucleotide was then used as a probe in the binding assays to examine nuclear extracts from a variety of cell lines of hepatic or nonhepatic origin. The filiation of the rat hepatoma clones relevant to the present work is shown in Figure 1B. As shown in Figure 2A, PE56 formed a unique DNA–protein complex, designated as C1, when incubated with rat liver or H4II nuclear extracts. A complex with identical electrophoretic mobility was formed using nuclear extracts from the rat hepatoma cell line Fao, the human hepatoma cell line HepG2, and the mouse hepatoma cell line BW1J, all of which are characterized by the expression of the endogenous albumin gene (Fig. 2A and data not shown). In contrast, dedifferentiated rat hepatoma cells (H5 and C2) that fail to express most of the liver-specific functions, including albumin synthesis, gave rise to a distinct and weaker complex (C2) that migrates slightly faster than C1. Interestingly, C2Rev7, a revertant cell line derived from C2 that reexpresses albumin and other liver-specific proteins, showed the disappearance of the parental C2 complex and the reappearance of the hepatic-type C1 complex. Most instructive is the analysis of the PE56 binding activity in a somatic hybrid, HF1, between Fao and H5 that causes extinction of the expression of the set of tissue-specific functions that characterizes the differentiated parent (Deschatrette et al. 1979). As shown in Figure 2A, in HF1 only the C2 faster-migrating complex is observed. In contrast, HF1-5, a revertant of HF1 hybrids reexpressing albumin and other liver-specific proteins, contained only the hepatic C1 complex.

Neither the C1 nor the C2 species were detected in nonhepatic cells, including mouse ascites, 3T6 mouse fibroblasts, 3T3 transformed rat fibroblasts, the human T leukemia cells (Jurkat and Iarc cell lines), and HeLa cells. Additional species with mobilities faster than either C1 or C2 which resulted from nonspecific interaction of proteins with the PE56 oligonucleotide were noted with some nuclear extracts from these nonhepatic cells (not shown). Analyses of more than 15 different cell lines indicated that complex C1 is exclusively formed with nuclear extracts derived from hepatic cells, whereas complex C2 appears to be characteristic of both the dedifferentiated state and the hepatic extinction phenotype.

Table 1. *Oligonucleotides*

PE12	–80 ATGAAATGAAAGGTTAGTGTGGTTAATGAT ACTTTACTTTCCAATCACACCAATTACTAGAT –48
PE34	–50 CTACAGTTATTGGTTAGAGAAGTATAT GTCAATAACCAATCTCTTCATATAA –23
PE27 mer	TCGACTGTGGTTAATGATCTACAGTTA GACACCAATTACTAGATGTCAATAGCT
PE56	–63 TGTGGTTAATGATCTACAGTTA CACCAATTACTAGATGTCAATA –41
Alb CCAAT	–93 GGGGTAGGAACCAATGAAATGAAAGGTTA CCCCATCCTTGGTTACTTTACTTTCCAAT –65
Alb DE II	–126 TTCTTTTGGCAAGGATGGTATGATT AAGAAAAACCGTTCCTACCATACTAA –101
Alb DE I	–109 GGTATGATTTTGTAAATGGGGTAGG CCATACTAAAACATTACCCCATCC –86
NF1	20 TATTTTGGATTGAAGCCAATATGATAATGA ATAAAACCTAACTTCGGTTATACTATTACT 49
SV40 enhancer core	233 TGCTGGGGACTTTCCACACCCTAA 254 ACGACCCCTGAAAGGTGTGGGATT
Alb Xenopus 68 kd	69 ATAGGTTAATAATTTTCCAGAT ATCCAATTATTAAGGTCTAG –47
rat β fibrinogen	–101 CAAACTGTCAAATATTAATACTAA TTTGACAGTTTATAATTGATTT –79
rat AFP	–67 GAAGGTTACTAGTTAACAGGCA TTCCAATGATCAATTGTCCGTA –45
human A1AT	–76 CCTTGGTTAATATTCACCAGCAG GAACCAATTATAAGTGGTTCGTCTG –54

These differences were not due to the loss or inactivation of factors that may have occurred during the isolation of nuclei since the presence of ubiquitous factors, such as ACF or NF1, were readily detected in all extracts (Fig. 2B and data not shown). Moreover, mixing experiments indicated that nonhepatic extracts do not contain an activity which inhibits the formation of the typical C1 hepatic complex. Thus, when extracts from either H4II and H5 or Fao and C2 were mixed and incubated with the PE56 probe both the C1 and C2 complexes could be readily observed (data not shown; see also Fig. 5 below). The difference between the differentiated and dedifferentiated pattern (C1 versus C2 complex) was also observed over a wide range of protein concentrations, indicating that the major C1 complex does not derive from the minor C2 complex. To exclude that the C2 faster-

migrating complex was generated by selective proteolysis of the protein(s) forming the C1 complex during the preparation of nuclear extracts from dedifferentiated variants, we performed another series of control experiments. The hepatoma H4II and its dedifferentiated derivative H5 cells were mixed at two different ratios (H4II : H5 were 1 : 2.6 and 1 : 11, respectively) before the isolation of nuclei and preparation of the respective nuclear extracts. As shown in Figure 2C, both the C1 and the C2 complexes were obtained in these mixed extracts, with no indication of selective degradation of the C1 complex. Thus, the faster-migrating C2 complex specific for dedifferentiated variants does not appear to be the result of artifactual proteolytic degradation.

The sequence specificity of the C1 and C2 complexes was then examined in competition experiments using

Figure 2. Two distinct factors interact with the albumin proximal element. Gel electrophoretic mobility shift assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods, using as DNA probes ds-oligonucleotides containing the PE56 sequence and the albumin CCAAT homology (Table 1). The origin of the nuclear extracts and probes used are indicated above and below the lanes, respectively. (A) The albumin proximal element. Detection of two distinct complexes, C1 and C2, in differentiated and dedifferentiated hepatoma cells. Binding reactions contained 3 μ g of rat liver nuclear protein; 4 and 8 μ g (lanes 2, 3; 7, 8; 11, 12; 17, 18; respectively) of H4II; Fao; Rev7; and HF1-5 nuclear proteins; 4, 8, and 16 μ g (lanes 4–6, respectively) of H5; 8 and 16 μ g (lanes 9 and 10, respectively) of C2; 4, 8, 16, and 20 μ g (lanes 13–16, respectively) of HF1 nuclear extracts. The locations of the C1 and C2 complexes are indicated by arrows. (B) The albumin CCAAT binding factor. Binding reactions contained no protein (lane 1), 4, and 8 μ g of the indicated nuclear extracts (lanes 2–15), and 3 μ g of rat liver nuclear protein (lane 16). (C) PE-binding activity in nuclear extracts from mixed cells. H4II and H5 cells were mixed at ratios 1 : 2.6 and 1 : 11 before the isolation of nuclei and preparation of the respective nuclear extracts. Binding reactions were performed with 3, 10, 45, and 7 μ g (lanes 1–4, respectively) of the indicated nuclear extracts. DNA–protein complexes and free DNA were fractionated onto a 5% polyacrylamide gel.

Figure 2. (See facing page for legend).

specific and nonspecific ds-oligonucleotides as competitors. When the homologous ds-oligonucleotide was used as competitor, the intensity of both the C1 and C2 bands was reduced dramatically. Heterologous oligonucleotides containing the SV40 enhancer core sequence, the adenovirus NF1 recognition site, the albumin CCAAT box, or the albumin DEI binding site (Fig. 3B) did not affect the formation of the C1 and C2 complexes. Therefore, the proteins comprising complexes C1 and C2 appeared to have a considerably higher affinity for the PE56 oligonucleotide than for other oligonucleotides containing different recognition sequences.

On the basis of these data as well as the results described below, we have termed the liver-specific PE binding activity as APF (albumin proximal factor) and the C2 binding activity vAPF (variant APF).

APF and vAPF make identical contacts on the proximal element

Methylation interference mapping was performed to de-

termine which purine residues are important in the formation of complexes C1 and C2. A PE oligonucleotide 27-mer, (Table 1) was partially methylated in vitro with dimethylsulfate (DMS) and used in the binding reactions. DNA fragments in complexes C1 and C2 as well as the corresponding unbound fragments were isolated from the preparative native polyacrylamide gel, subjected to a G + A-specific chemical cleavage, and the products displayed on a sequencing gel (Fig. 4). Methylated G and A residues that interfered with binding of the proteins are depleted from the DNA-protein complex and appear underrepresented in the sequence ladder relative to free DNA.

As indicated by arrows in Figure 4A and summarized in Figure 4B, methylation of G residues at positions -60 and -59 on the upper strand and at positions -50 and -47 on the lower strand interferes with the formation of both C1 and C2 complexes. Methylation of other G residues does not interfere with binding. Methylation of A -51 on the lower strand partially affected C1 and C2 complex formation. Thus, APF and vAPF appear to have indistinguishable DNA binding sites by this assay.

Figure 3. Sequence specificity of the complexes C1 and C2. [A] Rat liver nuclear extracts. Binding reactions were performed as described in Material and methods except that 50 ng of salmon sperm DNA was used. ^{32} P-labeled 5' and PE56 oligonucleotide (1 ng) was incubated with 3 μ g of rat liver nuclear extracts in the absence (lane 1) or in the presence of 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, and 20 ng (lanes 2–7, respectively) of cold PE56 oligonucleotide. [B] Homologous and heterologous competitions. Seven micrograms of H4II or 12 μ g of H5 nuclear extracts were incubated with 0.20 ng of PE56 probe in the absence (lanes 2 and 8) or in the presence of 10 ng of PE56 (lanes 3 and 9); 20 ng of DEI (lanes 4 and 10); 20 ng of albumin CCAAT (lanes 5 and 11); 20 ng of SV40 core enhancer (lanes 6 and 12); and 20 ng of NF1 (lane 7) ds oligonucleotides (see Table 1).

Figure 4. DMS methylation interference analysis. (A) The PE oligonucleotide, PE27-mer, (Table 1), which contains the PE56 sequence plus a *Xho*I restriction site at both extremities, was 5'-end-labeled, partially methylated, and used as probe in the binding reactions with the indicated nuclear extract as described in Materials and methods. Lanes *b*, *c*, *e*, *g*, *i*, *k*, *l* and *n* correspond to the unbound DNAs; lanes *a*, *d*, *f*, and *h* correspond to the DNA from C1 complex (liver or H4II); and lanes *j* and *m* correspond to the DNA from C2 complex (H5). Long and short arrows indicate strongly and weakly interfering methylated purine residues respectively. (B) Summary of methylation interference analysis. Purine residues strongly (●) and weakly (○) protected by proteins from either liver, H4II, or H5 cells and their location on the PE recognition sequence are indicated.

The proteins forming complexes C1 and C2 are distinct
To characterize APF and vAPF further, we have compared various biochemical properties including heat stability, optimal Mg^{2+} concentration, and polypeptide composition. The relative thermostability was examined by heating for 5 min at various temperatures undiluted nuclear extracts from H4II, and H5 cells and analyzing their binding activities in a standard gel retardation assay (Fig. 5). Under these conditions the C1

activity of albumin-positive H4II cells still persists at 60°C and to a lesser extent at 80°C whereas the C2 binding activity of the albumin-negative H5 cells is completely abolished by heating at these two temperatures. The same inactivation pattern was also observed when H4II and H5 or Fao and C2 extracts were mixed before heating, clearly indicating that the different heat labilities observed are not due to a particular protein composition and/or concentration of the nuclear ex-

Figure 5. Thermostability of APF and vAPF binding activities. Either 7 μ g of H4II (lanes 1–5); 10 μ g of H5 (lane 6); 17.5 μ g of H5 (lanes 12–16); or 7 μ g of H4II + 17.5 μ g of H5 (lanes 7–11) nuclear proteins were used in binding reactions. Nuclear extracts were incubated 5 min at the indicated temperature and cooled on ice, and denatured proteins were removed by centrifugation and assayed for binding activity in the presence of 0.1% NP-40 and 0.5 μ g/ml of serum albumin. DNA–protein complexes and free DNA were loaded onto a 5% polyacrylamide gel containing 0.25 $\times$ TBE + 1 mM $MgCl_2$ and electrophoresed until a suitable separation of complexes C1 and C2 in the mixed extracts was achieved.

tracts (Fig. 5 and data not shown). When mixed extracts were assayed, we performed higher-resolution gel electrophoresis analysis to clearly resolve complex C1 from complex C2. These conditions revealed the presence of an additional heat-labile component in all the albumin-positive nuclear extracts (data not shown; see also Fig. 5) that migrated slightly slower than complex C1. We did not characterize any further this band that may result from the interaction of a heat labile cellular protein with the C1 complex.

Finally, both C1 and C2 binding activities require Mg^{2+} as a cofactor: no complexes are observed in the absence of this cation and their formation is stimulated when the Mg^{2+} concentration is increased from 4 mM to 9 mM (data not shown).

The electrophoretic analysis of DNA–protein complexes provides no information as to the polypeptides involved in complex formation. To identify and compare the polypeptides present in the C1 and C2 complexes, a photochemical cross-linking protocol was used to label polypeptides selectively on the basis of their specific binding to the PE oligonucleotide. This technique recently has been applied successfully to the identification of the adenovirus major late transcription factor (MLTF) (Chodosh et al. 1986) and the serum response factor (SRF) polypeptides in HeLa nuclear extracts (Treisman 1987). A DNA probe was prepared by incorporating bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) and radioactive deoxyadenosine into the noncoding strand (lower strand) of the PE 27-mer oligonucleotide (Table 1). The substitution of thymidine by BrdU did not affect the formation of either C1 or C2 complexes as assayed by gel electrophoresis of DNA–protein complexes (data not shown). Nuclear extracts from either rat liver differentiated or dedifferen-

tiated cells were incubated with the body-labeled PE oligonucleotide under the standard conditions, and the resulting DNA–protein complexes and the unbound probe were fractionated in a preparative native polyacrylamide gel. The gel was then irradiated with an ultraviolet light lamp (312-nm wavelength), DNA–protein complexes were excised from the gel, and the cross-linked proteins were resolved by electrophoresis on SDS-polyacrylamide gels. This procedure eliminates the cross-linking of non-specific proteins present in the nuclear extracts by selecting the particular DNA–protein complex for which binding site specificity has been shown unambiguously. As illustrated in Figure 6, UV irradiation resulted in the covalent attachment of the PE oligonucleotide to a single polypeptide in all the albumin-positive extracts examined, while two labeled species of a different size were present in all the albumin-negative extracts. Because the covalent attachment of short oligonucleotides has a minor measurable effect on the mobility of these proteins in SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (see Materials and methods), these experiments indicate that a polypeptide of $\sim$ 100 kD is involved in the liver-specific C1 complex and two polypeptides, a major one of 82 kD and a minor one of 68 kD, are present in the C2 complex. The degree of photolabeling of these polypeptides (100 kD and 82–68 kD) was a function of the extract concentration and consequently of the intensity of the respective C1 and C2 complexes, and was not affected by adding nonspecific oligonucleotides in the binding assays (data not shown; see also Fig. 6). More importantly, when a partially purified fraction from rat liver enriched in C1 binding activity was used, the same 100-kD polypeptide was photolabeled [see the respective lane in Fig. 6].

Figure 6. Identification of polypeptides involved in the formation of C1 and C2 complexes by photoactivated cross-linking. Binding reactions were carried out as described in Materials and methods except that they were scaled up. From left to right, binding reactions contained 20 μ g of rat liver nuclear extract; a partially purified fraction (Ultrogel AcA44 chromatography); 60 μ g of H4II; 56 μ g of Fao; 70 μ g of Rev7; 60 μ g of HF1-5; 105 μ g of H5; 100 μ g of C2; and 128 μ g of HF1 nuclear proteins. Molecular weight markers and their positions are indicated at both sides of the autoradiograph.

APF interacts with regulatory regions of other liver-specific genes

The APF binding site contains a partially palindromic sequence (–60 GGTAAATGATCTACA –46) centered on nucleotide –53 (Table 1). A related 15-bp motif is present at similar positions in the promoter sequences of several other liver-specific genes, including frog, chicken, mouse, and human albumin genes; rat, mouse, and human AFP genes; rat α -fibrinogen and β -fibrinogen (β -Fib) genes; human α -1-antitrypsin gene (A1AT); and the rat pyruvate kinase gene. A similar motif is found further upstream in the human haptoglobin gene (Table 2). For some of these promoter sequences (AFP, A1AT, β -Fib, *Xenopus* albumin) there is experimental evidence that they are involved in promoter functions in vivo (Godbout et al. 1986; Courtois et al. 1987; DeSimone et al. 1987; Schorpp et al. 1988).

To examine whether these related promoter sequences are recognized by APF we performed two series of experiments. First, we constructed a series of 22-mer oligonucleotides containing the PE56 homologous sequences present within the *Xenopus* 68-kD albumin, the rat AFP, the rat β -fibrinogen, and the human A1AT promoters (Table 1) and tested them in the gel retardation assay.

With all these oligonucleotides we readily observed a retarded complex at the same position as that reflecting the binding of APF to the PE56 oligonucleotide (C1)

(data not shown). Except for β -fibrinogen, in all other cases, the intensity of the retarded band was weaker than that observed with PE56. This suggested that APF can bind to these various related promoter sequences with the following relative affinities: PE56 = β -fibrinogen > A1AT - AFP > *Xen* 68. However, because distinct proteins can generate identical shifts in this assay (as an example, see Dorn et al. 1987), we performed a second series of experiments in which we compared the ability of the same unlabeled oligonucleotides to compete for APF binding to the labeled PE56 fragment. The formation of APF–PE56 complex was competed differently by these oligonucleotides (Fig. 7A). Comparison of the amount of oligonucleotide competitor required to achieve 50% competition reveals that the PE56 and the β -fibrinogen fragments are the best competitors (Fig. 7A). Most importantly, there is a very good correlation between DNA–protein affinities measured in competition experiments and the relative binding efficiencies revealed by direct gel retardation assays. This indicates that the *Xen*-68, AFP, A1AT, and β -fibrinogen oligonucleotides all bind to the same protein.

Fine specificity of APF and vAPF

By comparing the APF binding sites in the promoter of the different genes listed in Table 2A, it has been possible to derive a consensus sequence 5'-G_AGTTA^A_CTNNT^C_TNNC^A_C-3'. Note that, in particular, in the first

Table 2. *APF binding sites and liver-specific genes*

A : APF binding sites in liver-specific genes

ALBUMIN

rat	-61	TGGTTAATGATCTACAG	-45
mouse	-66	TGGTTAATGATCTACAG	-50
human	-66	TAGTTAATAATCTACAA	-50
chicken	-65	CAGGTAATGTTTTACAG	-49
Xenopus 68 Kd	-67	AGGTTAATAATTTTCCA	-51
Xenopus 74 Kd	-66	AGGTTAATCATTTTCCA	-50

α -FETOPROTEIN

rat -65 AGGTTACTAGTTAACAG -49
mouse -63 AGGTTACTAGTTAACAG -47
human -60 AGGTTACTAGTTAACAG -44

α 1-ANTITRYPSIN

human —74 TGGTTAATATTCCACCAG —58

β-FIBRINOGEN

—81 TAGTTAATAATTGACAG —97

α - FIBRINOGEN

rat —43 AGGTTAATCATCACCT —60

PYRUVATE KINASE

rat -91 TGGTTATACITTTAACCA -75

HAPTOGLOBIN

human -141 CTGTTAAGAGTTGAGCT -157

CONSENSUS :

$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \underline{\text{G}} & \text{G} & \text{T} & \text{T} & \underline{\text{A}} & \text{T} & \text{N} & \text{N} & \underline{\text{T}} & \underline{\text{C}} & \text{N} & \text{N} & \underline{\text{C}} & \underline{\text{A}} \\ \text{A} & & & & \text{C} & & & & \text{T} & & & & \text{C} & \end{array}$

B : PE MUTANT OLIGONUCLEOTIDES

PE 56 TGTGGTTAATGATCTACAGTTA
 PE mut 1 . . . c a . . . . . t t . . .
 PE mut 2 . . . . t t . . .
 PE mut 3 . . . . . g t . . . .
 PE mut 4 . . . . g g . . . . .
 PE DS 12 . . . c a . . . . . t t . . .
 PE DS 34 . . . . . g t . . . g g . . . . .
 PE 56 P . . . . t a . . . . .

A. The APF recognition sequence of the rat albumin promoter is aligned with the homologous sequences of the *Xenopus* albumin genes coding for the 68-kD and 74-kD proteins (Schorpp et al. 1988); the mouse and human albumin genes (Heard et al. 1987 and references therein); the rat, mouse, and human AFP genes (Godbout et al. 1986; Chevette et al. 1987; and references therein); the human A1AT gene (De Simone et al. 1987); the α - and β -fibrinogen genes (Courtois et al. 1987); the pyruvate kinase gene (Noguchi et al. 1987), and the human haptoglobin gene (Oliviero et al. 1987). **B.** The position and the replaced bases are indicated. Only the upper strands are shown.

part of this motif the sequence ${}^G_A\text{GTTA}^A_C$ is strongly conserved, whereas most of the sequence degeneracy occurs in the second half. As already mentioned, the APF-binding site is included in a region of partial dyad symmetry. The entire pseudopalindrome is involved in binding because G residues in both halves are in contact with the protein (Fig. 4). However, the contact points are

not symmetrically placed with respect to the center of dyad symmetry.

To examine whether the palindromic structure per se is a crucial part of the APF recognition sequence and to study the respective roles of the different residues, we constructed a series of oligonucleotides containing double point mutations in each half of the putative dyad

element. These altered oligonucleotides were analyzed for their ability either to compete with the wild-type probe for binding or to interact with APF and vAPF in the gel retardation assays.

These particular mutations were selected on the basis of both the APF contact sites, derived from DMS interference mapping, and the strong conservation or certain nucleotides within the recognition sequence. Strikingly,

Figure 7. Relative affinities of APF and vAPF proteins for various related and mutants APF-binding motifs. Binding reactions were performed with 5 μ g of H4II nuclear protein (A) and 10 μ g of H5 nuclear protein (B), 0.25 ng of [^{32} P]PE56 oligonucleotide, and the indicated amounts of unlabeled ds oligonucleotides. The concentration of unlabeled oligonucleotide is indicated on the abscissa; the amount of residual complex, expressed as a percentage of that found in the absence of competitor (100%), is indicated on the ordinate. Binding activity was quantitated by densitometry of the retarded C1 complex (H4II, A) and C2 complex (H5, B). The sequences of the various unlabeled oligonucleotides are given in Tables 1 and 2.

none of these double point mutants abolished the interaction with APF as revealed by bandshift assays (Fig. 7). In particular PE mut 3 and PE mut 4, in which a double transversion was introduced in positions either strongly conserved (PE mut 3) or conserved and in contact with the protein (PE mut 4), are still able to compete for APF binding.

We then analyzed the effect of introducing double point mutations on both sides of the palindrome by combining PE mut 1 + PE mut 2 (PE DS 12) and PE mut 3 + PE mut 4 (PE DS 34), respectively. As shown in Figure 7, PE DS 12 competed less efficiently than the parental mutants for APF binding, yet it was still able to interact with the protein. PE DS 34 did not compete at all and it was the only mutant among the whole series examined (Table 2B) that totally abolished APF binding. These results indicate that either the more critical nucleotides involved in APF binding are situated in the central part of the 15-bp recognition site or that there are several alternative contacts along this sequence. Moreover, it appears that a palindromic structure is not a strict requirement for APF interaction, because APF displayed a similar affinity for the PE56 oligonucleotide, which contains an imperfect palindrome (4/6), and for PE 56 P, which contains a perfect palindrome (GTTAATGATTAAC; Table 2B; data not shown).

The entire set of oligonucleotides listed in Tables 1 and 2 was also used to perform similar experiments with nuclear extracts from dedifferentiated variant H5 cells. This fine-specificity analysis again failed to reveal any significant difference in the recognition sites of APF and vAPF (Fig. 7). We are led to conclude the APF and vAPF bind indistinguishably, not only to the albumin proximal element but also to the promoter regions of *Xenopus* albumin, A1AT, β -fibrinogen, and AFP genes.

APF functions as a positive transcription factor in vitro

APF interacts with crucial regulatory sequences of the albumin promoter. Linker substitutions within the proximal element lead to roughly a 10- to 30-fold reduction in albumin-specific transcription in vivo as assayed in a transient expression system (P. Herbolme et al., in prep.). These results suggest that the interaction of APF with the proximal promoter region is actually required for efficient transcription of the gene. To establish this more directly, we used a cell-specific in vitro transcription system from rat liver that faithfully transcribes the albumin promoter (Gorski et al. 1986). To allow an easy and rapid analysis of the in vitro-synthesized transcripts we employed the assay system developed by Sawadogo and Roeder (1985a), in which the promoter is linked to artificial DNA fragments that do not contain G residues on the messenger strand. In the absence of GTP the RNA polymerase can only synthesize RNA on the artificial "G-free cassette." The size of the transcripts is then defined only by the cap site and the first G residue flanking the 3' border of the cassette. Two G-free cassette templates were used. One, as internal control, contains the adenovirus 2 major-late promoter (-400 to

+10) and generates a transcript 390 nucleotides long (Sawadogo and Roeder 1985). Because all our constructs containing the rat albumin promoter (the parental -400 to +16 and its 5' deletions and linker scanning derivatives; see Heard et al. 1987) have G residues downstream of the cap site, we were unable to clone these promoter fragments directly in front of the cassette. For this reason, we used as specific albumin template the Alb400 construct (Gorski et al. 1986) which contains the mouse albumin promoter from positions -650 to +22. We should point out that the 5'-flanking sequence from the mouse and rat albumin genes shows more than 90% conservation; more specifically, the sequence included in the PE56 fragment is identical in these two promoters (Heard et al. 1987). Furthermore, the same DNA-protein interactions are displayed by rat liver nuclear extracts within the -30 to -180 albumin promoter regions of these two rodent species (Cereghini et al. 1987) and the mouse and rat promoters are indistinguishable in transient transfection assays (Heard et al. 1987).

If binding of APF to its target sequence is indeed required for in vitro transcription of the albumin promoter, it might be expected that the inclusion of an excess of PE56 oligonucleotide in the in vitro reaction would inhibit its transcriptional activity, whereas mutant oligonucleotides negative for binding should not have any effect. To avoid competition for factors present in limiting amounts and to facilitate our in vitro transcriptional competition assays, we used subsaturating concentrations of the two templates (3.5 μ g/ml for Alb400 and 1.75 μ g/ml for AdML390).

As illustrated in Figure 8, addition of increasing amounts of PE56 oligonucleotide progressively decreased the level of albumin specific transcription without affecting the AdML390 transcription. The inclusion of the PEDS 34 mutant, negative for binding, had no effect on transcription of either Alb400 and AdML390.

We have also compared the ability of the different PE mutants as well as β -fibrinogen, AFP and Xen 68 oligonucleotides, to compete for albumin-specific transcription. All of them are able to specifically compete Alb400 transcription, and more importantly, there is a good correlation between the binding affinities of these various oligonucleotides for APF and their effect on albumin specific transcription (Fig. 8 and results not shown). Taken together, these results indicate that APF functions as a positive transcriptional factor and its interaction with the albumin proximal element is required for efficient transcription.

Discussion

The results presented here describe the identification and characterization of two distinct factors, APF and vAPF, which bind specifically and in an indistinguishable fashion to crucial promoter sequences of the albumin and other liver-specific genes. The 5'-flanking region of the albumin gene is recognized by at least four additional distinct nuclear factors. These factors are:

Figure 8. APF functions as a positive transcription factor in vitro. In vitro transcription assays using a rat liver nuclear extract (Gorski et al. 1986) were performed as described in Material and methods. Each reaction contained 70 ng of Alb400 template and 35 ng of AdML390 template, each of which generates a specific transcript of 400 and of 390 nucleotides long, respectively. The AdML390 was used as internal reference. The indicated amounts of ds oligonucleotide competitor (see Table 1) were included in the in vitro transcription reactions. The Alb400 template added correspond to 0.4 ng of the PE56 sequence. The transcriptional signals of each template were quantitated by densitometry and the values were normalized to the internal reference. One hundred percent represents the Alb400 transcriptional signal obtained in the absence of competitor oligonucleotide. Addition of 2, 4, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ng of PE oligonucleotide decreased albumin-specific transcription of 51, 24, 18, 15, 8, and 3%, respectively. The values of albumin-specific transcription obtained in the presence of 100 ng of the other indicated oligonucleotides ranged from 3 to 12%, except in the case of DS 34. Note however, that above 50 ng (125 molar excess) inhibition of transcription from both templates was observed. To compare the effect on Alb400-specific transcription and the relative APF affinities for different oligonucleotides, competition analysis were also performed at lower concentrations of competitor (not shown).

ACF/NFY which recognizes the albumin CCAAT motif (Raymondjean et al. 1988), C/EBP which interacts with the DEI element (S. Cereghini and P. Johnson, unpubl.), and NF1 or a closely related protein which binds to the DEII element. Another factor not yet identified (perhaps again C/EBP) interacts with the DEIII element (Cereghini et al. 1987; Lichtsteiner et al. 1987).

The recognition sites of the first three factors encompass sequences that have been shown to be required for efficient albumin-specific transcription both in vivo (Heard et al. 1987; P. Herbomel et al. in prep.) and in vitro (Lichtsteiner et al. 1987). However, none of these factors, ACF/NFY, NF1, and C/EBP, appears to be strictly cell-type specific at least as judged both by footprint and gel retardation assays. Even though the binding activity of C/EBP varies among different cell types and is clearly more abundant in liver than in other organs (Cereghini et al. 1987; Lichtsteiner et al. 1987), similar levels were observed in cells expressing or not expressing the albumin gene (results not shown). On the contrary, the protein APF that interacts with the proximal promoter element is the only one, among the various factors binding to the albumin regulatory region,

that was found to be restricted to liver/hepatoma cells producing albumin. This differential distribution may in part account for the tissue-specific activity of the albumin promoter in vivo.

APF functions as a promoter-specific transcription factor

APF binds to a sequence motif just upstream of the TATA box that serves as a functional proximal promoter element in vivo. Specifically, a linker scanning mutant, which contains multiple base substitutions within the -55 to -40 region spanning almost the entire APF binding site, causes a 10- to 30-fold reduction in albumin-specific transcription in vivo (P. Herbomel et al., in prep.) and, as expected, selectively abolishes APF binding to the proximal element in a footprinting assay (not shown).

The in vitro transcription experiments shown here provide further evidence that APF functions as a promoter-specific transcription factor. Thus, addition of increasing amounts of an oligonucleotide containing the APF binding site progressively and specifically inhibited

albumin-specific transcription in vitro, whereas addition of a negative-binding mutant oligonucleotide had no effect. This implies that interaction of APF with its target sequence is required for transcriptional activation.

Unlike other well-characterized promoter-specific transcription factors, such as SP1, NF1/CTF, or MLTF/USF, that are present in several cell types, APF exhibits a pronounced cell-type specificity. In this respect, APF is similar to the lymphoid-specific transcription factor (OTF-2) and to the pituitary-specific GHF-1 factor which are involved in the tissue-specific expression of the immunoglobulin and hormone growth genes, respectively (Staudt et al. 1986; Bodner and Karin 1987; Scheideret et al. 1987).

The good correlation between the cell type distribution of APF-binding activity, its stimulatory activity in vitro, and the requirement of its recognition sequence for efficient albumin-specific transcription in vivo strongly suggest that APF is a primary determinant of the tissue and cell specificity of this promoter. APF and its recognition sequence however are necessary, but not sufficient, for promoter function. Deletion of the entire distal part of the promoter (−150 to −93) which includes DEI, DEII, and DEIII elements abolishes transcriptional activity (Heard et al. 1987). Thus, it seems that the entire set of factors (NF1, C/EBP, ACF/NFY, APF, and perhaps others) have to interact simultaneously to sustain the maximal activity of the albumin promoter.

APF may not only function as a rate-limiting factor in the initiation of albumin transcription, but may also define an active chromatin structure around the promoter, either directly or by preventing accessibility to nonspecific repressors. This in turn may allow other ubiquitous factors (NF1, TFIID, etc.) and the RNA polymerase II to bind to the promoter and constitute the final active transcriptional complex. In cells not expressing albumin, the absence of APF and/or the presence of modified forms of APF (see below), would result in the assembly of inactive chromatin on the promoter region and the gene would be silent. The unproductive interaction of ubiquitous factors with the promoter regions of quiescent genes is then avoided; this hypothesis is compatible with the recent observation of cell-type specific interaction of ubiquitous factors with the tyrosine aminotransferase (TAT) gene in vivo (Becker et al. 1987). It is clear, however, that during development additional mechanisms are involved in establishing the strict cell-type specificity of the albumin gene. In this regard, Pinkert et al. (1987) have shown that an enhancer, located 10 kb upstream of the mouse albumin gene, is required for liver-specific expression in transgenic mice. These remote sequences may be involved in the generation of an active chromatin configuration during development.

APF binds to regulatory regions of other liver-specific genes

The APF-binding site is characterized by a 15-bp imperfect palindromic sequence (−60 GGTAAATGATC-

TACA −46). Palindromic sequences are often targets for specific DNA-binding proteins in prokaryotes and most prokaryotic regulatory proteins recognize these sequences as dimers (Pabo and Sauer 1984).

In eukaryotes however, while some DNA-binding proteins recognize symmetrical sites [NF1, SRF, HSTF (heat shock transcription factor), MLTF/USF], others clearly bind to asymmetrical sequences (Sp1, OTF-1, and OTF-2) (Borgmeyer et al. 1984; Dynan and Tjian 1985; Sawadogo and Roeder 1985b; Chodosh et al. 1986; Prywes and Roeder 1986; Shuey and Parker 1986; Treisman 1986; Fletcher et al. 1987; Scheideret et al. 1987; see references therein). DMS interference experiments show that APF contacts purine residues included in the entire pseudopalindrome, yet these residues are not symmetrically placed with respect to the putative dyad center. The fact that double point mutants that almost completely destroy the imperfect two-fold symmetry of the APF recognition site still bind APF, albeit with less affinity, suggests that the palindromic structure per se is not a crucial determinant for binding.

A sequence homologous to the APF recognition site is present at similar positions in the promoter regions of several other genes whose expression is restricted to liver cells. We show that APF not only interacts with the PE element of the rat and mouse albumin genes, but also with the homologous motif present in the *Xenopus* 68-kD albumin, AFP, β -fibrinogen, and A1AT promoters. Evidence for this comes not only from the observation of identically migrating bands in the bandshift assay, but also from a series of cross-competition experiments. Thus, the relative affinities for the *Xenopus* 68-kD, β -fibrinogen, A1AT, and AFP oligonucleotides revealed by the competition assay correlate well with the binding efficiency estimated from the intensity of the DNA-protein complexes in the gel retardation assay. Moreover, the same correlation between binding affinities of these various oligonucleotides and their effect on albumin-specific transcription was observed in an in vitro transcription competition assay.

Most important, the APF-binding site present in these diverse groups of genes encompasses sequences that have been shown to be crucial for the tissue-specific expression of the respective genes (Godbout et al. 1986; Courtois et al. 1987; De Simone et al. 1987; Schorpp et al. 1988). Thus, despite the lack of obvious structural homology among liver-specific genes, it is probable that they use common elements and factors to achieve their cell-type specificity.

While this work was in progress, several groups reported the identification of liver-specific factors that bind to the promoter regions of the A1AT (the α 1TFB protein; De Simone et al. 1987), the α - and β -fibrinogen (HNF-1; Courtois et al. 1987), the *Xenopus* albumin (HP1-binding protein; Schorpp et al. 1988), and the mouse albumin genes (B-protein; Lichsteiner et al. 1987). Because these proteins interact with the homologous APF motif present in these promoters, it is highly probable that these differently designated proteins are all the same factor or a family of related factors. However,

because formal evidence is still lacking, we prefer to refer to the factor characterized here as APF.

A different protein interacts with the albumin proximal element in dedifferentiated hepatoma cells

Dedifferentiated hepatoma cells, such as H5 and C2, that fail to express most or all of the liver-specific functions characteristic of their line of origin, have been isolated and characterized by the group of M. Weiss (Deschatrette and Weiss 1974; see also Fig. 1B). In these variant cells no transcription of the albumin and other liver-specific genes can be detected (Casio et al. 1981; Clayton et al. 1985). H5 is a direct derivative of H4II and, at least morphologically, appears to diverge more strongly from the differentiated cells than C2 cells do. Moreover, C2 can give rise to revertants to the differentiated phenotype at a low frequency (10^{-7} – 10^{-8}) whereas H5 cannot (Deschatrette 1980). In both of these dedifferentiated variants as well as in a somatic hybrid (HF1) formed by fusing the differentiated Fao cells with H5, the APF-binding activity is not detectable. Instead the proximal element is recognized by a distinctly less abundant factor, vAPF.

The fact that vAPF is present in two independent dedifferentiated clones and in an extinguished somatic hybrid strongly suggests that this variant protein does not arise from a simple mutational event. This notion is further supported by the following observation. In C2Rev7, a revertant derived from C2 that expresses most of the liver-specific functions, including the synthesis and secretion of albumin (Deschatrette et al. 1980), we found only APF- and not vAPF-binding activity (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, APF and not vAPF is found in an albumin-positive segregant of HF1 somatic cell hybrids. Thus, APF is clearly associated with the hepatic phenotype and vAPF with the dedifferentiated pattern. In no case do vAPF and APF appear to coexist within the same cell.

APF and vAPF differ in their polypeptide composition and display different thermostability. Both factors, however, show similar binding specificities and therefore probably are related at least in their DNA-binding domains. The observation of two distinct factors binding indiscriminately to the same regulatory sequence raises questions regarding their functional and structural relationship. An obvious question we addressed early in our studies was whether vAPF is acting as a repressor-like molecule by direct interaction with proximal promoter sequences of the albumin and other liver-specific genes. In other words is vAPF itself an extinguishing protein? Extinction of albumin expression as well as other liver functions has been observed upon fusion of differentiated hepatoma cells with dedifferentiated variants or fibroblasts (Mevel-Ninio and Weiss 1981; Ott et al. 1984a). Moreover, the selective extinction of albumin is directly related to the presence of a single chromosome and appears to act on or via the 5'-flanking albumin promoter sequences (Petit et al. 1986). This phenomenon is consistent with the view that negative *trans*-acting factors present in nonhepatic cells prevent the action of

positive factors by interfering with either their synthesis or their functional binding. Three lines of evidence argue against the hypothesis that vAPF functions directly as an extinguisher molecule. First, extinguishers are apparently specific for only one function (Petit et al. 1986) and vAPF interacts with several liver-specific genes as does APF. In particular, the hybrid clones that show selective extinction of albumin production are not blocked in the synthesis of β -fibrinogen, as would be expected if the albumin extinguisher were vAPF. Second, when C2 and H5 are fused with differentiated hepatoma cells, although both cause extinction of expression of the hepatic-specific functions of the differentiated parent, in the fusion involving C2, the extinction is only transitory, while that imposed by H5 is stable and heritable. Finally, extinction is also observed when differentiated cells are fused with fibroblasts which apparently lack vAPF.

vAPF is clearly associated with the lack of expression of liver-specific traits in dedifferentiated cells and we suggest that the appearance of this modified protein is the consequence of extinction, without being its direct cause.

Previous experiments involving footprint analysis of mixed extracts showed that the distinct pattern of DNA-protein interactions on the proximal element of dedifferentiated H5 extracts is dominant over that of the differentiated H4II extracts (Cereghini et al. 1987). However, no dominance was observed in the bandshift assays when extracts from H4II and H5 cells were mixed (Fig. 5). The reason for the discrepancy between the results obtained with these two techniques is presently unknown, but it may be due to differences in the assay conditions (in particular, a higher ratio of protein : carrier DNA in footprintings relative to gel retardation assays) which may modify the interaction of protein with DNA. Moreover, since APF and vAPF appear not to coexist in the same cell type, the *in vivo* relevance of the dominance we observed in the DNase I footprints remains unclear.

How are vAPF and APF related? One possibility is that these two proteins have the same primary structure but they are postrationally modified in their non-DNA-binding domain, so that their functional specificity is altered. Alternatively, they may differ in their primary structure being encoded either by a family of genes sharing a common DNA-binding exon or from a single gene by alternative splicing.

Finally, it is likely that in cells of different histotypic origin such as fibroblasts, the albumin genes and other liver-specific genes are set aside in an inactive compact chromatin structure, so that they are not accessible to positive and negative *trans*-acting factors. The variant or modified factor described here may be important in the first steps of the differentiation pathway. The fact that dedifferentiation and reversion affect the entire group of hepatic functions suggests that a common mechanism regulates the expression of each of the liver-specific proteins. A tempting hypothesis is that the maintenance, loss, and acquisition of the hepatocyte differentiated state is mediated by APF and vAPF activities.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

The rat hepatoma cells used (H4II, Fao, H5, C2Rev7, C2) are clonal descendants of line H4IIC3 derived from the Reuber H35 hepatoma of rat (Deschatrette and Weiss 1974; see Fig. 1B). BW1J is a subclone of the mouse hepatoma BW (Cassio and Weiss 1979).

All hepatoma cells, including the human hepatoma HepG2 cells, were cultured in modified Ham's F12 medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) as described previously (Ott et al. 1984). Somatic hybrids HF-1 and HF1-5 were cultured as described by Deschatrette et al. (1979).

Isolation of nuclei and preparation of nuclear extracts

Nuclei from rat liver were prepared as described by Gorski et al. (1986), except that all buffers contained the protease inhibitors aprotinin (1 μ g/ml), benzamidine (2 mM), and PMSF (0.5 mM). Nuclei from all cell lines were isolated and the respective nuclear extracts as well as the liver extracts were prepared essentially as previously described by Cereghini et al. (1987), except that all solutions contained 5 μ g/ml of the following protease inhibitors: aprotinin, leupeptin, pepstatin, chymostatin, and antipain, in addition to 2 mM benzamidine and 0.5 mM PMSF. Nuclear extracts were divided into small aliquots and were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen. Protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford (1976).

Gel retardation and DMS interference assays

Binding reactions for bandshift assays used a 14- μ l volume of reaction mix consisting of 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 30 mM KCl, 10% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.5 mM DTT, 5 μ g/ml of the protease inhibitors mentioned above, 1.5 μ g of poly dI-dC; 1 μ g of sonicated salmon sperm DNA; 0.25–1 ng of ^{32} P-labeled 5'-end ds-oligonucleotide; and, unless otherwise indicated, 9 mM MgCl₂ and 9 mM spermidine. Protein (1–12 μ g) was added to the reaction mix and incubation was carried out for 10 min on ice. DNA-protein complexes were loaded onto a low-ionic-strength, 6% acrylamide (30 : 1 cross-linking ratio) containing 0.25 $\times$ TBE (1 $\times$ TBE : 89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 1 mM EDTA) and electrophoresed at 12 V/cm until a suitable separation was achieved. The gel was then fixed, dried, and subjected to autoradiography. For DMS interference assays, the DNA probe was partially methylated with DMS as described (Maxam and Gilbert 1980) before using in a preparative scale binding reaction. After electrophoresis that wet gel was autoradiographed at 4°C for 4 hr, complexed, and free DNA excised from the gel, eluted, precipitated, and subjected to alkali treatment as described (Raymondjean et al. 1988). The G + A reaction products were analyzed on a gel of 25% polyacrylamide–7 M urea.

For heat treatment, nuclear extracts were aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes (25 μ l/tube), heated at the indicated temperature, and then cooled to 0°C. Denatured proteins were removed by centrifugation (5 min in an Eppendorf microfuge) and proteins from the supernatant were used in a standard gel retardation assay.

Photoactivated DNA-protein crosslinking

The DNA probe was generated by primed synthesis after annealing of a 10-mer primer 5'-TAACTGTAGA-3' to the upper strand of PE 27-mer oligonucleotide (5'-TCGACTGTGGT-TAATGATCTACAGTTA-3') using [^{32} P]dATP (40 μ Ci, 3000

Ci/mole) and 0.1 mM dCTP, dGTP, and 5-bromodeoxyuridine triphosphate in a 30 μ l reaction. The probe was purified by phenol and chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, and used in a preparative scale binding reaction. After electrophoresis, the wet gel was irradiated with an ultraviolet light lamp (312-nm wavelength) for 10 min and autoradiographed for 30–60 min at 4°C; DNA-protein complexes were excised from the gel and directly loaded on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. The contribution of a 27-mer oligonucleotide in the protein mobility is equivalent to roughly 15 kD. A detailed description of this procedure will be reported elsewhere (S. Hirai et al., in prep.).

In vitro transcription assays

In vitro transcription assays using rat liver nuclear extracts were performed essentially as described by Gorski et al. (1986), except that each reaction contained 3.5 μ g/ml of pAlb400 and 1.75 μ g/ml of pML390 template DNAs and 0.9 mg/ml of protein (see Fig. 8 legend).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to T. Chouard for participation in the DMS methylation interference experiments with rat liver extracts, S. Hirai for helpful advice in the ultraviolet DNA-protein cross-linking technique; to C. Deschatrette, M.-O. Ott, and M. Weiss for advice in culturing hepatoma cells; to M. Weiss for making available to us many cell lines from her collection; to B. Arcangioli for help in the synthesis of oligonucleotides and protein gels; to M. Sawadogo and U. Schibler for providing us with plasmids pML390 and pAlb400, respectively; to G. Ryffel for communicating preprints; to A. Doyen for culturing cells; to J. Ars for preparation of the manuscript; and to M. Weiss, P. Herbolomel, and R. Sousa for critical reading of the manuscript. Marta Blumenfeld is a fellow from the CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina.) This work was supported by grants from CNPS INSERM, the Foundation pour la Recherche Médicale Française, and EEC-B Biotechnology Action Programme.

Note added in proof

Kugler et al. (*Nucleic Acids Res.* **16**: 3165, 1988) show that the APF homologous motifs present in the *Xenopus* albumin (HP1), β -fibrinogen, α 1-antitrypsin and albumin genes bind an identical factor and are able to confer increased transcription in nuclear extracts from rat liver.

References

- Babiss, L.E., R.S. Herbst, A.L. Benett, and J.E. Darnell. 1987. Factors that interact with the rat albumin promoter are present both in hepatocytes and other cell types. *Genes Dev.* **1**: 256–267.
- Becker, P.B., S. Ruppert, and G. Schütz. 1987. Genomic footprinting reveals cell type-specific DNA binding of ubiquitous factors. *Cell* **51**: 435–448.
- Borgmeyer, U., J. Nowock, and A.E. Sippel. 1984. The TGGCA-binding protein: a eukaryotic nuclear protein recognizing a symmetrical sequence on double-stranded linear DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**: 4295–4311.
- Bodner, M. and M. Karin. 1987. A pituitary-specific *trans*-acting factor can stimulate transcription from the growth hormone promoter in extracts of nonexpressing cells. *Cell* **50**: 267–270.

- Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72: 248–254.
- Briggs, M.R., J.T. Kadonaga, S.P. Bell, and R. Tjian. 1986. Purification and biochemical characterization of the promoter-specific transcription factor Sp1. *Science* 243: 47–52.
- Cassio, D. and M.C. Weiss. 1979. Expression of fetal and neonatal hepatic functions by mouse hepatoma rat hepatoma hybrids. *Somatic Cell Genetics* 5: 719–738.
- Cassio, D., M.C. Weiss, M.-O. Ott, J.M. Salat-Trepas, and T. Erdos. 1981. Expression of the albumin gene in rat hepatoma cells and their dedifferentiated variants. *Cell* 27: 351–358.
- Cereghini, S., M. Raymondjean, A. Garcia-Carrançá, P. Herbomel, and M. Yaniv. 1987. Factors involved in control of tissue-specific expression of albumin gene. *Cell* 50: 627–638.
- Clayton, D., M.C. Weiss, and J.E. Darnell. 1985. Liver specific RNA metabolism in hepatoma cells: variations in transcription rates and in RNA cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 5: 2633–2641.
- Courtois, G., J.G. Morgan, L.A. Campbell, G. Fourel, and G.R. Crabtree. 1987. Interaction of a liver-specific nuclear factor with the fibrinogen and alpha-1-antitrypsin promoters. *Science* 238: 688–692.
- Chevrette, M., M. Guertin, B. Turcotte, and L. Bélanger. 1987. The rat alpha-fetoprotein gene: characterization of the 5'-flanking region and tandem organization with the albumin gene. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 15: 1338–1339.
- Chodosh, L.A., R.W. Carthew, and P. Sharp. 1986. A single polypeptide possesses the binding and transcription activities of the adenovirus major late transcription factor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 6: 4723–4733.
- Deschatrette, M. and M.C. Weiss. 1974. Characterization of differentiated and dedifferentiated clones from a rat hepatoma. *Biochimie* 56: 1603–1611.
- Deschatrette, J., E.M. Moore, M. Dubois, D. Cassio, and M.C. Weiss. 1979. Dedifferentiated variants of a rat hepatoma: analysis by cell hybridization. *Somatic Cell Genet.* 5: 697–718.
- Deschatrette, J., E.M. Moore, M. Dubois, and M.C. Weiss. 1980. Dedifferentiated variants of a rat hepatoma: reversion analysis. *Cell* 19: 1043–1051.
- Deschatrette, J. 1980. Dedifferentiated variants of a rat hepatoma: partial reversion induced by cell aggregation. *Cell* 19: 501–511.
- De Simone, V., G. Ciliberto, E. Hardon, G. Paonessa, F. Palla, L. Lundberg, and R. Cortese. 1987. *Cis*- and *trans*-acting elements responsible for cell-specific expression of the human alpha-1-antitrypsin gene. *EMBO J.* 6: 2759–2766.
- Dorn, A., J. Bollekens, A. Staub, C. Benoist, and D. Mathis. 1987. A multiplicity of CCAAT box-binding proteins. *Cell* 50: 863–872.
- Dynan, W.S., and R. Tjian. 1985. Control of eukaryotic messenger RNA synthesis by sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins. *Nature* 310: 774–778.
- Engelke, D.R., S.Y. Ng, B.S. Shastry, and R. G. Roeder. 1980. Specific interaction of a purified transcription factor with an internal control region of 5S RNA genes. *Cell* 19: 717.
- Fletcher, C., N. Heintz, and R. Roeder. 1987. Purification and characterization of OTF-1, a transcription factor regulating cell cycle expression of a human histone H2b gene. *Cell* 51: 773–781.
- Fried, M. and D.M. Crothers. 1981. Equilibria and kinetics of *lac* repressor-operator interactions by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9: 6506–6525.
- Garner, M.M. and A. Revzin. 1981. A gel electrophoresis method for quantifying the binding of proteins to specific DNA regions: applications to components of the *Escherichia coli* lactose operon regulatory system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9: 3047–3060.
- Godbout, R., R. Ingram, and S.M. Tilghman. 1986. Multiple regulatory elements in the intergenic region between the alpha-fetoprotein and albumin genes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 6: 477–487.
- Gorski, K., M. Carneiro, and U. Schibler. 1986. Tissue specific *in vitro* transcription from the mouse albumin promoter. *Cell* 47: 767–776.
- Graves, B.J., P.F. Johnson, and S.L. McKnight. 1986. Homologous recognition of a promoter domain common to the MSV LTR and the HSV tk gene. *Cell* 44: 565–576.
- Heard, J.M., P. Herbomel, M.O. Ott, A. Mottura-Rollier, M. Weiss, and M. Yaniv. 1987. Determinants of rat albumin promoter tissue specificity analyzed by an improved transient expression system. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 7: 2425–2434.
- Johnson, P., W.H. Landschulz, B. Groves, and S. McKnight. 1987. Identification of a rat liver nuclear protein that binds to the enhancer core of three animal viruses. *Genes Dev.* 1: 133–146.
- Jones, K.A., K.R. Yamamoto, and R. Tjian. 1985. Two distinct transcription factors bind to the HSV thymidine kinase promoter *in vitro*. *Cell* 42: 559–572.
- Jones, K.A., J.T. Kadonaga, P.J. Rosenfeld, T.J. Kelly, and R. Tjian. 1987. A cellular DNA-binding protein that activates eukaryotic transcription and DNA replication. *Cell* 48: 79–89.
- Lichtsteiner, S., J. Wuarin, and U. Schibler. 1987. The interplay of DNA-binding proteins on the promoter of the mouse albumin gene. *Cell* 51: 963–973.
- Maxam, A.M. and W. Gilbert. 1980. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base specific chemical cleavages. *Meth. Enzymol.* 65: 499–540.
- Mevel-Ninio, M. and M. Weiss. 1981. Immunofluorescence analysis of the time-course of extinction, reexpression, and activation of albumin production in rat hepatoma-mouse fibroblast heterokaryons and hybrids. *J. Cell. Biol.* 90: 339–350.
- Noguchi, T., K. Yamada, H. Inoue, T. Matsuda, and T. Tanka. 1987. The L and R type isozymes of rat pyruvate kinase are produced from a single gene by use of different promoters. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262: 14366–14371.
- Oliviero, S., G. Morrone, and R. Cortese. 1987. The human haptoglobin gene: transcriptional regulation during development and acute phase induction. *EMBO J.* 6: 1905–1912.
- Ott, M.O., L. Sperling, P. Herbomel, M. Yaniv, and M. Weiss. 1984a. Tissue specific expression is conferred by a sequence from the 5' end of the rat albumin gene. *EMBO J.* 3: 2505–2510.
- Ott, M.O., L. Sperling, and M. Weiss. 1984b. Albumin extinction without methylation of its gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 81: 1738–1741.
- Pabo, C.O. and R.T. Sauer. 1984. Protein-DNA recognition. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 53: 293–321.
- Panduro, A., F. Shalaby, and D.A. Shafritz. 1987. Changing patterns of transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of liver-specific gene expression during rat development. *Genes Dev.* 1: 1172–1182.
- Petit, C., J. Leveilliers, M.-O. Ott, and M.C. Weiss. 1986. Tissue specific expression of the rat albumin gene: genetic control of its extinction in microcell hybrids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 83: 2561–2565.
- Pinkert, C.A., D.M. Ornitz, R.L. Brinster, and R.D. Palmiter. 1987. An albumin enhancer located 10 kb upstream func-

- tions along with its promoter to direct efficient, liver-specific expression in transgenic mice. *Genes Dev.* **1**: 268–276.
- Prywes, R. and R.G. Roeder. 1986. Inducible binding of a factor to the *c-fos* enhancer. *Cell* **47**: 777–784.
- Raymondjean, M., S. Cereghini, and M. Yaniv. 1988. Several CCAAT binding proteins coexist in eukaryotic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **85**: 757–784.
- Sawadogo, M. and R. Roeder. 1985a. Factors involved in specific transcription by human RNA polymerase II: analysis by a rapid and quantitative in vitro assay. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **82**: 4394–4398.
- . 1985b. Interaction of gene-specific transcription factor with the adenovirus major late promoter upstream of the TATA box region. *Cell* **43**: 165–175.
- Scheidereit, C., A. Heguy, and R. Roeder. 1987. Identification and purification of a human lymphoid-specific octamer-binding protein (OTF-2) that activates transcription of an immunoglobulin promoter in vitro. *Cell* **51**: 783–795.
- Schorpp, M., W. Kugler, U. Wagner, and G.U. Ryffel. 1988. The hepatocyte-specific promoter element HP1 of the *Xenopus* albumin gene interacts with transcriptional factors of mammalian hepatocytes. *J. Mol. Biol.* (in press).
- Shuey, D.J. and C.S. Parker. 1986. Binding of the *Drosophila* Heat-shock gene transcription factor to the hsp 70 promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **261**: 7934–7940.
- Staudt, L.M., H. Singh, R. Sen, T. Wirth, P.A. Sharp, and D. Baltimore. 1986. A lymphoid specific protein binding to the octamer motif of immunoglobulin genes. *Nature* **323**: 640–643.
- Treisman, T. 1986. Identification of a protein-binding site that mediates transcriptional response of the *c-fos* gene to serum factors. *Cell* **46**: 567–574.
- . 1987. Identification and purification of a polypeptide that binds to the *c-fos* serum response element. *EMBO J.* **6**: 2711–2717.

A liver-specific factor essential for albumin transcription differs between differentiated and dedifferentiated rat hepatoma cells.

S Cereghini, M Blumenfeld and M Yaniv

Genes Dev. 1988, **2**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.2.8.957](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.2.8.957)

References

This article cites 51 articles, 16 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/2/8/957.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.