Molecular characterization of *daughterless*, a *Drosophila* sex determination gene with multiple roles in development

Claire Cronmiller, Paul Schedl, and Thomas W. Cline

Department of Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544-1003 USA

The *daughterless* (*da*) gene in *Drosophila* acts both maternally and zygotically to provide essential functions during development. Maternal *da*⁺ expression is required by embryos for the regulation of sex determination and dosage compensation. Zygotic *da*⁺ expression is required throughout development—early for the formation of the peripheral nervous system and perhaps for the proper functioning of genes in heterochromatic regions of the genome; during larval stages for growth and differentiation of the future adult epidermis; and in the somatic part of the gonad of adult females for proper egg membrane synthesis. Here we describe the cloning of *da* by the transposon tagging approach as well as some aspects of the molecular characterization of wild-type and mutant alleles. Despite the multiple developmental roles of *da*, the organization and expression of this gene appear relatively simple at this level of analysis. The gene codes for two transcripts, present in both sexes and at all stages of development. The nucleotide sequence of a nearly full-length cDNA predicts a protein product of 710 amino acids that shares sequence similarity with the His-Pro repeat of the *Drosophila* genes *bicoid* and *paired*. Two partial-loss-of-function *da* mutations (one of which is temperature sensitive) appear to be caused by DNA insertions in the 5'-untranslated region of the gene.

[Key Words: *daughterless*; sex determination; development; *Drosophila*; His-Pro repeat]

Received August 17, 1988; revised version accepted October 3, 1988.

In *Drosophila*, sexual phenotype and the overall level of X-linked gene expression are determined by the number of X chromosomes relative to the number of sets of autosomes, a parameter called the X:A ratio. The X:A ratio accomplishes this by its action on the gene, *Sex-lethal* (*Sxl*) (1-19.2) (for recent reviews, see Baker et al. 1987; Lucchesi and Manning 1987; Cline 1988a). Higher values of this parameter (XXAA, X:A = 1.0) elicit female development and suppress transcriptional hyperactivation of the X chromosome by activating the female-specific functions of *Sxl*⁺. Male development and X chromosome hyperactivation occur at lower values (XYAA, X:A = 0.5) as a consequence of *Sxl*⁺ remaining inactive.

The effectiveness of this X/A balance in activating the feminizing functions of *Sxl*⁺ depends on the maternal expression of the gene *daughterless* (*da*; 2-41.5) (Cline 1980, 1983, 1984, 1988b). In the absence of *da*⁺ activity in the germ line of the mother, all progeny, regardless of their X/A balance, fail to activate *Sxl*⁺ and therefore develop as males (Cronmiller and Cline 1987). The name *daughterless* (Bell 1954) reflects the fact that daughters (X/A = 1) from mothers homozygous for the original spontaneous mutant allele, *da*¹, die prior to the adult stage (Sandler 1972; Mange and Sandler 1973) as a con-

sequence of upsets in X chromosome dosage compensation (Gergen 1987). In contrast, sons (X/A = 0.5) are unaffected by the lack of maternal germ line *da*⁺ activity (Cronmiller and Cline 1987) because they do not require (and indeed cannot tolerate) zygotic *Sxl*⁺ activity (Cline 1979; Salz et al. 1987). The *da*⁺ maternal product, in conjunction with components of the X/A signal such as *sisterless-a* and *sisterless-b*, interacts with *Sxl*⁺ only early in development (Cline 1976, 1980, 1988b; Sanchez and Nothiger 1983; Maine et al. 1985a). The effect of this early interaction persists as a consequence of the subsequent maintenance of the *Sxl* activity state (Cline 1984, 1985). Only the maternal expression of *da*⁺ is relevant to *Sxl* expression; the zygotic genotype with respect to *da* appears to have no effect on *Sxl* (Cline 1980; Cronmiller and Cline 1986).

Phenotypic analysis of mutant *da* alleles has demonstrated that the requirement for maternal germ line *da*⁺ activity in the control of progeny sex determination reflects only one of several important functions of this gene. Embryonic expression of *da*⁺ is required in both sexes for the formation of the peripheral nervous system (PNS) (Caudy et al. 1988) and for some process that is sensitive to the dose of genes in heterochromatic regions of the chromosomes (Mange and Sandler 1973; Sandler

1975; Pimpinelli et al. 1985). Larval and/or pupal expression of *da*⁺ is required for the proper growth and differentiation of cells that form the adult cuticle (Cronmiller and Cline 1987). Finally, expression of *da*⁺ is required in the adult female in more than just her germ line: Somatic expression is required for proper egg membrane formation, and, hence, for survival of all progeny regardless of their sex (Cline 1976, 1980; Cronmiller and Cline 1987). The sex-ratio maternal effect for which the gene was named was discovered only because the first mutant allele of this gene, *da*¹, provided sufficient zygotic activity, at least at lower growth temperatures, to allow homozygous mutant mothers to survive and produce offspring.

The involvement of *da* in two processes as different as sex determination and neurogenesis is intriguing in light of recent studies on another class of developmentally important genes, the segmentation genes, whose functioning in the nervous system may be significantly different from their functioning in nonneuronal tissues. The fact that the regulatory relationships among *even-skipped*, *fushi tarazu*, and *engrailed* during neuronal development are very different from those during segmentation suggests that these genes may be interacting in different ways in the two processes (Doe et al. 1988). In the case of *da*, molecular analysis should help elucidate the relationships among this gene's multiple functions: Do they reflect a multitude of *da* gene products, or does a single *da* gene product participate in a variety of regulatory processes instead? Is the product of this regulatory gene related to the products of other regulatory genes in ways that might suggest its biochemical functions? To address these questions, we have (1) cloned *da* through transposon tagging; (2) examined the transcription pattern for wild-type and partial-loss-of-function mutant alleles; and (3) determined the sequence of the wild-type coding region and of regions altered in two mutant *da* alleles.

Results

Tagging *da* with a P-element transposon

As the first step in the transposon tagging strategy (Bingham et al. 1981), we used P-M hybrid dysgenesis to insert a P element into *da*. Because P-element insertions often are associated with only partial-loss-of-function (hypomorphic) mutations (Tsubota and Schedl 1986), we exploited the recessive zygotic lethal aspect of the complex *da* phenotype to serve as a sensitive assay for reductions in *da* function: Hypomorphic alleles that are homozygous viable (such as the original allele, *da*¹) are lethal when heterozygous with a *da*⁻ deletion (Mange and Sandler 1973). In contrast, wild-type alleles fully complement *da*⁻ deletions. Among the progeny of a dysgenic cross, 10,513 second chromosomes were tested for loss of the ability to complement a *da*⁻ deletion for viability. Chromosomes satisfying this criterion were retested for their ability to complement various *da* point mutants. This allowed us to distinguish new *da* alleles from mutations in other genes missing from the tester

deficiency, *Df(2L)J-der-27* (Sandler 1977).

One new allele, *da*^{Pa}, was recovered in this screen. Phenotypic characterization of this allele shows it to be the weakest *da* mutation yet identified. Homozygous *da*^{Pa} animals appear nearly wild type: Not only is their viability high (Table 1, Zygotic phenotype), but also the sex ratio among the progeny of these 'daughterless' females is normal (Table 1, Maternal phenotype). Nevertheless, *da*^{Pa} is defective clearly for both zygotic and maternal functions. First, *da*^{Pa} fails to complement the recessive lethality of the ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS)-induced null alleles, *da*² and *da*³. Second, *da*¹/*da*^{Pa} heterozygotes display reduced viability (43% versus 71%); moreover, these heteroallelic females exhibit a female-lethal maternal effect, although one that is much less severe than that of *da*¹/*da*¹ females (progeny sex ratio = 0.46 versus 0.00).

Two observations indicated that the insertion of a P element is responsible for the mutant phenotype of *da*^{Pa}. First, in situ hybridization to polytene chromosomes identified P-element sequences at 31E, consistent with the location of *da* at 31B-F reported by Sandler (1977). Second, the *da*^{Pa} allele was found to be unstable under conditions that mobilize P elements. When the allele was outcrossed under dysgenic conditions and selected for viability in *trans* with a strong *da* allele (*da*²), *da*^{Pa} was found to revert to wild type at a frequency of 1.5%. Under the same dysgenic conditions, derivatives that were more extreme than the parental allele were generated at a frequency of 0.3%. These more extreme alleles were identified by their failure to survive when hetero-

Table 1. Phenotypic characterization of *da*^{Pa}

Maternal phenotype: sex-ratio maternal effect			
Genotype of mothers mated to +/+ males ^a	Progeny sex ratio (female/male)	Number of male progeny recovered	
<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ^{Pa}	1.03	120	
<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ¹	0.46	292	
+/ <i>da</i> ¹	0.85	368	
<i>da</i> ¹ / <i>da</i> ¹	0.00	1698 ^b	
Zygotic phenotype: recessive lethal effect			
Cross ^c	Genotype	Viability of <i>da</i> mutant flies relative to their <i>da</i> ⁺ siblings [%]	Number of <i>da</i> ⁺ siblings recovered
A	<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ^{Pa}	71	166
B	<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ¹	43	367
C	<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ²	0	229
D	<i>da</i> ^{Pa} / <i>da</i> ³	0	296
E ^d	<i>da</i> ¹ / <i>da</i> ²	0	540

^a *da*^{Pa} = *cl da*^{Pa} *b pr cn*; *da*^{Pa}/*da*¹ and +/*da*¹ mothers were obtained from cross B below.

^b Data from Cronmiller and Cline (1986, Table 7).

^c Full genotypes of crosses (at 25°C): *cl da*^{Pa} *b pr cn*/CyO, *da*⁺ *pr cn*² females × the following males: (A) same as females; (B) *cl da*¹ *cn bw*; (C) *y/Y*; *cl da*²/CyO, *da*⁺ *pr cn*² (D) *y/Y*; *cl da*³/CyO, *da*⁺ *pr cn*².

^d Data from Cronmiller and Cline (1987, Table 1).

zygous with the hypomorphic *da*¹ allele. Thus, mutations that increased *da*^{Pa} function as well as those that decreased its function occurred several orders of magnitude more frequently than the event that gave rise to *da*^{Pa} in the first place. This is the result expected if the mutant phenotype of *da*^{Pa} is caused by a P element that can be mobilized.

Molecular cloning of DNA from the vicinity of da

To clone DNA flanking the P element at *da*, we constructed a recombinant λ phage library from *da*^{Pa} DNA and isolated phage containing P-element sequences. In situ hybridization to polytene chromosomes lacking P elements revealed that one of these phage (CC1) hybridized to 31E (Fig. 1). Two restriction fragments from recombinant CC1, one from each side of the P element, were used then to isolate wild-type genomic recombinant phage from an Oregon R DNA library. In this way we isolated ~35 kb of DNA surrounding the P-element site of insertion at 31E. A composite restriction map of this DNA is shown in Figure 2 with the site of the P-element insertion designated as coordinate 0.

DNA rearrangements associated with da mutant alleles and their derivatives

To confirm that the P-element insertion in the cloned 31E region DNA indeed was responsible for the mutant phenotype of *da*^{Pa}, we examined the DNA sequence organization of the dysgenic derivatives of *da*^{Pa} mentioned above. In all cases examined, a change in the phenotype of *da*^{Pa} was accompanied by a change in this P element. Eleven independent wild-type revertants of *da*^{Pa} all appear to represent precise excisions of the P element (Fig. 3A): In contrast to *da*^{Pa}, they have a fragment that comigrates with the wild type. More complex rearrangements are associated with the three more extreme derivatives.

Figure 1. In situ hybridization of recombinant CC1 to wild-type polytene chromosomes. CC1 hybridizes to sequences at 31E [arrow], within the 'gooseneck' of chromosome 2L.

As indicated by the diagrams shown in Figure 3B, two appear to have lost some P-element and/or flanking sequences, while in the third the P element appears to have reversed its orientation.

To obtain additional evidence that this 31E DNA segment corresponds to the *da* locus, we looked for differences in the restriction fragment pattern of genomic DNA from three EMS-induced loss-of-function mutants (*da*², *da*³, and *da*^{11B31}) and from one spontaneous partial-loss-of-function mutant, *da*¹. The three EMS-induced alleles showed no evidence of sequence rearrangement at this level of analysis. In contrast, *da*¹ was found to be associated with an insertion. Moreover, detailed restriction analysis placed the *da*¹ insertion site between coordinates 0 and +0.9 (see Fig. 2), the same region into which the P transposon of *da*^{Pa} is inserted. The genomic Southern blot in Figure 3C illustrates the *da*¹ insertion. The material inserted into *da*¹ is a member of a moderately repetitive DNA family, but it is not homologous to the P-element family (data not shown).

Identification of the da transcription unit

To identify transcription units within the cloned DNA from the *da* region, we probed Northern blots of poly(A)⁺ RNA from 0- to 18-hr embryos, adult females, and adult males with seven fragments spanning 30 kb of the cloned region. The results of this Northern walk are summarized in Figure 4. Transcription units were found to be distributed throughout the region, and all seven fragments hybridized to RNA. All of these transcripts were present, although in varying abundance, in adults of both sexes and in embryos (data not shown). There was a large variety of transcripts in the region surrounding the P element and *da*¹ insertion sites; hence, it was not immediately evident which of these RNAs might be *da* gene products.

Since the homozygous viable (hypomorphic) alleles, *da*¹ and *da*^{Pa} are associated with DNA insertions, we thought that their *da* transcripts might be affected. For this reason we examined Northern blots of poly(A)⁺ RNA prepared from homozygous mutant *da*¹ and *da*^{Pa} adult females and males. These blots were probed with fragments 3, 4, 5, and 6, as well as with the whole recombinant phage PS6 and PS10 (see Figs. 2 and 4). Of the transcripts detected by these probes, only the 3.2- and 3.4-kb RNAs were altered in these mutants. As shown in Figure 5A, the 3.2- and 3.4-kb transcripts are reduced substantially in *da*¹, and may be absent in *da*^{Pa}. Furthermore, the probes that label the 3.2- and 3.4-kb species in the wild-type strain hybridize to two, new, higher-molecular-weight RNA species in both mutants: In *da*^{Pa}, the pair of mutant transcripts are ~3.5 and 3.7 kb, while those in *da*¹ are even larger (3.8 and 4.0 kb). Because both insertion mutations disrupt the 3.2- and 3.4-kb transcripts, while no other RNAs from the cloned region appear to be affected, we conclude that the 3.2- and 3.4-kb RNAs are the transcription products of the *da* gene.

Figure 2. Restriction map of Oregon R wild-type DNA from polytene region 31E, derived from recombinant λ phage PS5, 6, and 10. The sites of the *da^{Pa}* (P element) and *da^I* insertions are indicated. Restriction sites: (B) *Bam*HI; (H) *Hind*III; (R) *Eco*RI; (S) *Sal*I.

Developmental profile of *da* transcription

Genetic studies have indicated that wild-type *da* gene function is required for a number of very different developmental processes. Maternal expression of *da* in the germ line is required by female progeny very early in development for proper sex determination. In contrast, zygotic expression of *da* is required by both sexes—early, for development of the PNS, and later, for the growth and differentiation of cuticular tissues and for the proper functioning of the somatic component of the ovary. We wondered whether this functional complexity might be reflected in changes in the relative proportions of the

two *da* transcripts during development, changes that could suggest different developmental roles for the two species.

The developmental profile of *da* gene expression is shown in Figure 5B. Both the 3.2- and 3.4-kb transcripts are present at every stage of development in approximately constant proportions. Embryos at 0–2.5 hr represent one potentially intriguing exception to this pattern. During this stage, the smaller RNA may be overrepresented relative to the pattern at all other stages, possibly suggesting an enrichment of this smaller message in maternally supplied RNA. Further analysis will be required to confirm this possibility.

Figure 3. Characterization of *da* insertion mutants and derivatives. (A) Genomic Southern blots indicating the precise excision of the P element in wild-type revertants of *da^{Pa}*. *Eco*RI digests of genomic DNA from wild-type (Oregon R), *da^{Pa}*, and 11 independent wild-type revertants of *da^{Pa}* probed with the 3.6-kb *Eco*RI fragment from recombinant PS10. (B) DNA rearrangements associated with a lethal phenotype among three more extreme mutant derivatives of *da^{Pa}*. This portion of the figure represents a summary of the data from several Southern blots, as described in Methods. P-element sequences are indicated by the thick black bars. Potentially deleted genomic sequences are indicated by the slashed bars. (C) Genomic Southern blot showing *da^{Pa}* and *da^I* insertions. Genomic DNA was restricted with *Sal*I and *Xho*I. The probe is the same as in B. DNA fragment sizes are indicated in kilobases. Restriction sites and coordinates are as designated in Fig. 2.

Figure 4. Transcribed regions within the 30-kb region that includes *da*. Probes 1–7: DNA fragments used in this Northern walk. The poly(A)⁺ RNAs detected by each fragment are indicated by the filled bars directly below the fragment in decreasing order of size. All transcripts are present in both sexes of adults and in embryos. The *da* transcripts are shaded with dark crosshatching (see text). One weakly detected message is drawn as a thinner bar. Restriction sites and coordinates are indicated as in Fig. 2.

da cDNAs: characterization and sequence

We isolated seven *da* cDNA recombinants from a 0- to 4-hr embryonic *Drosophila* cDNA library (Bopp et al. 1986). Southern blot hybridization to genomic fragments suggested that all seven cDNAs represent RNAs originating from sequences between coordinates -0.1 and $+6.5$ (see Fig. 2). This region encodes the 3.2- and 3.4-kb transcripts identified as *da* products by Northern blot analysis. When three of the cDNAs (1.0, 1.2, and 3.0 kb) were used to probe Northern blots, only the 3.2- and 3.4-kb wild-type transcripts were detected. Moreover, these same cDNAs also labeled the abnormal transcripts present in *da¹/da¹* flies (data not shown).

The complete nucleotide sequence of the 3.0 kb-cDNA (MN6) was determined on both strands and is presented in Figure 6. There is an ATG at position 226 of this cDNA which begins a 2130-base-long open reading frame (ORF). (No other ORF exceeds several hundred bases.) The nucleotide sequence surrounding this ATG is a good match to the consensus sequence PuNNAUGG for translation initiation (Kozak 1984). At the 5' end of MN6, there would be a 226-base untranslated leader. This cDNA, however, may be incomplete at its 5' end. Partial end sequencing of another, smaller cDNA suggests that the actual leader sequence may extend for another 50–100 bases. The precise location of the insertions present in *da^{Pa}* and *da¹* was determined by sequencing (single-stranded) cloned genomic fragments containing the inserted elements. Both insertions are located in what would correspond to the leader sequence of MN6 (following bp 104 and 138, respectively; see arrows in Fig. 6).

The genomic sequence of the *da⁺* region has been determined on one strand from -0.5 to $+4.5$ (see Fig. 4). A comparison between the cDNA MN6 and this prelimi-

nary genomic sequence indicates that the mRNA represented by MN6 would be derived from a precursor with only a single, 1.5-kb intron that would be located between positions 213 and 214 of the cDNA (data not shown). This intron is 13 bp upstream of the putative protein coding region; thus, the entire protein coding region of this cDNA appears to be colinear with the genomic sequence. With respect to the genomic map (see Fig. 2), transcription is directed from 0 toward +5, and the transcription unit would extend over a region that could be <5 kb in length (the size of the 3.0-kb cDNA MN6 plus a 1.5-kb intron plus the small additional amount of mRNA sequence not represented in MN6).

At the 3' end of the cDNA there is a 400-base stretch that contains numerous stop codons. Potential poly(A) addition sites (AATAAA) (Proudfoot and Brownlee 1976) are located at positions 2871 and 2926; however, this cDNA lacks a poly(A) tail, suggesting that the true 3' end of the message is missing from MN6.

The long ORF of cDNA MN6 would encode a protein of 710 amino acids with a molecular weight of $\sim 74,000$ and a pI of 6.72. The hydropathy plot of the predicted amino acid sequence shows no extended hydrophobic domains (data not shown). Thus, the product would appear to be a soluble intracellular protein. There are seven potential glycosylation sites, most of which occur in the amino-terminal half of the protein (see Fig. 6).

A similarity search of this amino acid sequence against current sequence databanks (see Methods) revealed that the MN6 *da* protein contains an amino acid sequence motif that is similar to the His-Pro repeat present in the anterior-group maternal effect gene, *bicoid* (*bcd*) (Berleth et al. 1988), and in the segmentation gene, *paired* (*prd*) (Frigerio et al. 1986). This histidine- and proline-rich region begins at residue 224 of the presumptive *da* protein and exhibits 59% identity with the

Figure 5. Transcriptional analysis of the *da* locus. (A) Northern blot hybridization of poly(A)⁺ RNA from wild-type and mutant *da* adults and from wild-type 0- to 18-hr embryos (E) probed simultaneously with the 2.7-kb *SalI*-*XhoI* and 3.2-kb *XhoI* fragments from recombinant phage PS10 (probes 5 and 6 in Fig. 4). The 1.2-kb transcript covered by one of the probes used here is not affected by *da* mutations (not shown). Approximately 5 µg of RNA was loaded per lane. (B) Developmental profile of *da* gene expression. Northern blot hybridization of wild-type poly(A)⁺ RNA from adult female and male flies, embryos, larvae, and pupae, probed with a 1.2-kb *da* cDNA. Embryonic stages are 0-2.5, 2.5-5, 5-10, 10-15, and 15-24 hr postoviposition. (L1) First larval instar; (L2) second larval instar. Approximately 5-10 µg of RNA was loaded per lane. RNA sizes are indicated in kilobases.

bcd His-Pro repeat with no gaps over a length of 27 residues (Fig. 7A). If one includes conservative substitutions in the calculation (S-T, G-P), the similarity is 70%. The identity between *da* and the comparable region of the *prd* protein is 55% with three gaps introduced (Fig. 7A). When all three sequences are considered together, there is 40% identity overall. A curious aspect of the *da* and *bcd* His-Pro region comparison is that of the five histidine mismatches, four involve leucine substitutions.

The region just upstream of the His-Pro repeat in *da* is similar to another region of the *bcd* sequence. Of 27 residues, 11 (40%) are identical between the two sequences (Fig. 7B). This region is located in the middle of the *bcd*

protein, far from its His-Pro repeat. It is not part of any previously described sequence motif.

Another similarity between the *da* and *bcd* predicted protein sequences is the presence of runs of repetitive glutamines called M (McGinnis et al. 1984) or opa repeats (Wharton et al. 1985), found in many other *Drosophila* proteins. In addition, *da* has two runs of glycine (five and seven adjacent) and a run of alanines (five) (see Fig. 6).

Discussion

We generated a P-element-induced mutation in the *da* locus of *Drosophila melanogaster* to clone this gene. Several lines of evidence make us confident that we have, in fact, isolated *da*. First, we have shown that the cloned P-element insertion is indeed responsible for the mutant phenotype of the new dysgenic allele, *da*^{Pa}. This P-element insertion invariably is altered in derivatives of *da*^{Pa} that have increased or decreased activity. Because essentially null derivatives of the *da*^{Pa} allele can be generated by rather modest changes in the inserted transposon and/or its flanking DNA, the P element seems to be near the *da* gene (the weak phenotype of *da*^{Pa} itself might have implied otherwise). Second, the spontaneous partial-loss-of-function allele *da*¹ also is associated with an insertion of a middle repetitive element in this region. In fact, the *da*¹ insertion site is only 34 nucleotides away from that of the P element in *da*^{Pa}. Finally, both *da*^{Pa} and *da*¹ cause a similar, very specific disruption of the transcript pattern across the cloned region. Both insertions reduce or eliminate two overlapping mRNA species of 3.2 and 3.4 kb. In addition, both generate two new higher-molecular-weight RNA species that appear to correspond to the two wild-type transcripts.

Characterization of cDNAs from this region and analysis of the corresponding genomic region in both the wild-type and mutant strains place the *da*^{Pa} and *da*¹ insertions in the untranslated leader sequence of the gene. This finding could account for the high level of residual *da* function in these two mutant alleles: The aberrant transcripts that these alleles generate may serve as templates for the synthesis of wild-type protein product, albeit with reduced efficiency. The RNAs generated by the two mutants could result from initiation at the normal *da* promoter. Because the junctions of the *da*¹ and *da*^{Pa} insertions do not conform to the eukaryotic splice site consensus sequence, precise excision of the insertions during RNA processing would be unlikely. Alternatively, RNA synthesis might initiate at a site within the insertions. It should be noted that, in either case, the apparently wild-type transcripts found in *da*¹ would probably have an abnormal structure.

The molecular characterization of these two alleles generally is consistent with their mutant phenotypes: Both are weak mutants with reduced but nonzero levels of the maternal as well as the zygotic *da* functions. On the other hand, the discovery that the *da*¹ phenotype is apparently due to an insertion of DNA upstream of the

Figure 7. Comparison of similar amino acid domains among *da*, *bcd*, and *prd*. (A) Alignment of the His-Pro repeat found in *da*, *bcd*, and *prd*. (B) Comparison of a moderately conserved (40%) amino acid sequence between *da* and *bcd*. (Shaded boxes) Amino acid identities shared by *da* and either *bcd* or *prd*, or both.

protein-coding region would not lead one to expect that this mutant allele would be temperature sensitive (ts), yet all aspects of the phenotype of *da*¹ have been shown to be ts; indeed, some aspects of the phenotype suggest that this mutant allele might generate a thermally unstable product (Cline 1976, 1980). Perhaps this result is not so surprising in view of the fact that there are so few cases in higher eukaryotes where the ts phenotype of a mutant allele can be ascribed unequivocally to the production of a thermolabile mutant protein product. It will be of interest to learn whether the ts phenotype of *da*¹ might be related to the effects of temperature on the synthesis or functioning of the aberrant transcripts.

Both of the *da*⁺ transcripts are present in both sexes of adults and at all stages of development. It is not yet clear from the data available whether these two transcripts have the same functions. The presence of a *da* transcript at all stages of development was anticipated from the previous genetic characterization of the locus which revealed essential zygotic functions during embryonic, larval, and adult stages. However, because only maternal germ line expression of *da* appears to be relevant to progeny sex determination, one might have expected a transcript that is involved exclusively in this function to be present only in adult females and young embryos. In this connection, it may be relevant that the proportion of the smaller (3.2 kb) RNA relative to the larger is highest in 0- to 2.5-hr embryos. Since little zygotic transcription is likely to have occurred in these very early embryos (Anderson and Lengyel 1979; Edgar and Schubiger 1986), this would imply that the smaller transcript is enriched among maternally-supplied messages. Whether this enrichment is significant with regard to the role of *da* in the sex determination process will have to be determined.

We do not know the precise structural relationship between the 3.2- and 3.4-kb *da*⁺ transcripts, or which mRNA species the 3.0-kb cDNA, MN6, represents. A comparison of the MN6 sequence with our data on the genomic sequence indicates that the structure of this *da* transcript may be relatively simple: There is a single (1.5-kb) intron upstream of the protein-coding region. Analysis of additional cDNAs as well as RNA protection

experiments will be required to determine whether the pattern of RNA processing that generates the other transcript is equally simple.

The relative simplicity of the *da* locus at the molecular level might seem surprising in view of the many developmental functions of this gene. It is worth noting, however, that so far the analysis of the five mutant alleles available has suggested little if any genetic complexity: These mutations appear to fit into a rather straightforward allelic series of increasing severity. Alleles isolated only on the basis of their maternal effect on progeny sex ratio have proven to be defective in their zygotic functions as well, and vice versa. Thus, while the *da* gene clearly is required for different developmental events in different tissues and at different stages, the results of our preliminary molecular analysis suggest that these various functions may be mediated by the same gene products.

What might those activities be? The best understood of all *da* functions is its participation in sex determination through the positive activation of *Sxl* in conjunction with the X/A signal very early in development. It is known that the transcript pattern of *Sxl* during the first few hours after fertilization is qualitatively different from that just a few hours later [the later pattern persists throughout the lifetime of the fly (Maine et al. 1985a; H. Salz, E. Maine, L. Keyes, M. Samuels, T. Cline, and P. Schedl, in prep.)]. Moreover, the early expression of *Sxl* appears to be controlled by a promoter different from that used at later stages of development (Salz et al. 1987). It is also known that maternal mutations in *da* alter this early *Sxl* transcript pattern, although the precise nature of that alteration is not yet clear (Maine et al. 1985a and unpubl.). Thus, *da* could act as a transcription factor at *Sxl* early in development. Schupbach (1985) has suggested that the primary function of *da* might be to allow *Sxl* to be expressed at an unusually early stage in development when promoters are not active generally. Alternatively, the *da* product might not have a direct role in the transcription of *Sxl* per se, but might, instead, be involved in some other aspect of the process in which the X : A ratio is assessed.

The predicted amino acid sequence for the protein encoded by the *da* cDNA MN6 is not very informative with respect to the specific biochemical activity that a *da* protein might have. It is intriguing, however, that the only meaningful similarity we have discovered thus far for this protein sequence is to the predicted product of the *bcd* gene. *bcd* appears to encode an anteriorizing determinant whose graded distribution helps establish the spatial polarity of the *Drosophila* embryo. There are three regions of similarity between *da* and *bcd*, including the His-Pro repeat (also found in the segmentation gene *prd*) and the M repeat (polyglutamine), found in many *Drosophila* proteins. The functional significance of these regions is unknown. Unlike *bcd*, however, *da* has no hint of a homeo box, nor any clear indication of other structural motifs that might suggest a role in transcription or DNA binding. It is tempting to consider that the sequence similarity between *da* and

bcd could be related to the fact that both genes are involved in processes by which the organism transduces quantitative differences in a developmental signal into qualitatively different cellular responses.

Methods

Fly stocks

Flies were raised at 25°C on a standard *Drosophila* medium described in Cline (1978). *da^{11B31}* was obtained from L. Jan [see Caudy et al. 1988]. *da²* and *da³* are described in Cronmiller and Cline (1987). Other mutations and chromosomes not listed in Lindsley and Grell (1968) or in Lindsley and Zimm (1987) are referenced in the text.

Isolation of *da^{Pa}*

The mutagenesis scheme that was carried out to generate *da^{Pa}* was as follows: M-strain females [*cl b pr cn/cl b pr cn*] were mated to P-strain males [*+1/Cy(2L+2R)*] derived from the Harwich P strain] at 25°C. The dysgenic hybrid F₁ females [*cl bl pr cn/Cy(2L+2R)*] were then mated to *Hw^{bap} cl b/CyO* (M strain) males (Hw^{bap}; Cline 1984). Individual female progeny [*cl b pr cn/CyO*] from this mating were crossed to *Hw^{bap} Df(2L)J-der-27 b/CyO* tester males. These matings were scored for the absence of *Cy⁺* progeny, indicating the presence on the mutagenized chromosome of a lethal mutation within the *Df(2L)J-der-27* region.

Isolation of wild-type derivatives of *da^{Pa}*

Wild-type revertants of *da^{Pa}* were isolated by outcrossing this strain under dysgenic conditions. Since the *da^{Pa}* strain displayed an M cytotype, this was accomplished by mating *cl da^{Pa} b pr cn/CyO* females to P-strain males of the genotype *+1/Cy(2L+2R)* [see previous paragraph] at 25°C. Dysgenic hybrid F₁ females [*cl da^{Pa} b pr cn/Cy(2L+2R)*] then were mated to *cl da²/CyO* males. Individual clonal, noncurly survivors [*cl da^{Pa-rev} b pr cn/cl da²*] were crossed to *Hw^{bap} Df(2L)J-der-27 b/CyO* mates to retest the revertants and to establish stocks.

Recombinant DNA library construction and screening

Whole genomic DNA was isolated from adult flies as described by Ish-Horowitz et al. (1979). Recombinant libraries were constructed using genomic DNA isolated from *da^{Pa}*, *da⁺* (Oregon R), or *da¹* flies and λ phage EMBL3 or EMBL4 vector DNA (Murray 1983). Genomic DNA was digested with either *Mbo*I (partial: *da^{Pa}*, *da⁺*) or *Bam*HI (complete: *da¹*) to produce 15- to 20-kb fragments, then ligated to *Bam*HI-cut EMBL3 or EMBL4 vector DNA. Ligated DNA was in vitro-packaged into λ phage particles as described in Maniatis et al. (1982). The *da^{Pa}* recombinant library was screened with ³²P-labeled (ICN) nick-translated plasmid DNA containing an intact P element (p. 25.1: Bingham et al. 1981).

In situ hybridization and Southern blotting

In situ hybridizations to polytene chromosomes were done as described by Bingham et al. (1981). Double-stranded DNA probes were labeled by nick-translation using [³H]TTP from ICN. Specific conditions for genomic Southern blots are described by Maine et al. (1985b).

Molecular analysis of more extreme derivatives of *da^{Pa}*

The DNA rearrangements associated with the more extreme derivatives of *da^{Pa}* were analyzed by genomic Southern blotting. Blots containing *Eco*RI-digested genomic DNA from wild-type (*cl b pr cn*), *da^{Pa}*, and the three more extreme derivatives of *da^{Pa}* were probed with recombinant phage PS10 or with the 2.7-kb *Sal*I–*Xho*I fragment from PS10 (probe 5 in Fig. 4) to ascertain the sizes of the insertions retained in the more extreme alleles. In addition, Southern blots containing *Eco*RI- and *Hind*III-restricted genomic DNA were probed with the 2.7-kb *Sal*I–*Xho*I fragment mentioned above. Loss of the P element and/or flanking host sequences in a more extreme *da^{Pa}* derivative was signaled by a decreased size of the fragment containing the insertion, together with retention of the *Hind*III site of the P element.

The *Hind*III site of the P element of *da^{Pa}* was used as a marker (relative to the *Hind*III and *Eco*RI sites at –0.6 and +0.8, respectively) to determine the orientation of the P-element inserts. In this way, one more extreme *da^{Pa}* derivative was found to be an inversion of the P-element insertion with no associated loss of insert or host sequences. In the third more extreme *da^{Pa}* derivative, loss of the P-element *Hind*III site indicated deletion of some P-element sequences.

RNA isolation and Northern blotting

Poly(A)⁺ RNA was prepared by the method of O'Hare et al. (1983). In general, 5–10 μg of poly(A)⁺ RNA was loaded per lane. RNA was size-fractionated on denaturing 0.7% formaldehyde–agarose gels, using a MOPS buffer (Maniatis et al. 1982). RNA was transferred to nitrocellulose in 10× SSPE. Filters were prehybridized and hybridized using the same conditions employed for Southern blotting. ³²P-Labeled probes were made either by nick-translation or by random primer extension (Feinberg and Vogelstein 1983). The *da* RNAs were sized by re-probing Northern blot filters with probes specific for *armadillo* (3.2 kb; R. Riggelman, E. Wieschaus, and P. Schedl, in prep.).

DNA sequencing and computer analysis

Genomic fragments and cDNAs were subcloned into Bluescript (Stratagene Cloning Systems, San Diego, California) vectors for sequencing. Nested deletions of these subclones were prepared by exonuclease III digestion (Henikoff 1984). Both strands of cDNA MN6 were sequenced by the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method (Sanger et al. 1977), using Sequenase and the Sequenase Sequencing Kit (U.S. Biochemicals). In some cases, specific synthetic oligonucleotide primers were used.

Sequence assembly and analysis was accomplished by the computer programs DBUTIL, ANALYSEQ, and DIAGON (Staden 1980, 1982a,b, 1984). The NBRF Protein Identification Resource database (accessed through Bionet) and the translated GenBank library (provided by D. Welsh, Princeton University) were searched for sequence similarities using the algorithm of Lipman and Pearson (1985).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Markus Noll for the gift of the cDNA library and to Drs. Leslie Bell and John Yochem for help with the sequencing techniques and computer analysis. We also thank Dr. Iva Greenwald for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and the March of Dimes to T.W.C. and P.S. and by an National Institutes of Health postdoctoral fellowship to C.C.

Note

Sequence data described in this paper have been submitted to the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession number Y00221.

References

- Anderson, K.V. and J.A. Lengyel. 1979. Rates of synthesis of major classes of RNA in *Drosophila* embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **70**: 217–231.
- Baker, B.S., R.N. Nagoshi, and K.C. Burtis. 1987. Molecular genetic aspects of sex determination in *Drosophila*. *Bioessays* **6**: 66–70.
- Bell, A.E. 1954. A gene in *Drosophila melanogaster* that produces all male progeny. *Genetics* **39**: 958–959.
- Berleth, T., M. Burri, G. Thoma, D. Bopp, S. Richstein, G. Frigerio, M. Noll, and C. Nüsslein-Volhard. 1988. The role of localization of *bicoid* RNA in organizing the anterior pattern of the *Drosophila* embryo. *EMBO J.* **7**: 1749–1756.
- Bingham, P.M., R. Levis, and G.M. Rubin. 1981. Cloning of DNA sequences from the *white* locus of *D. melanogaster* by a novel and general method. *Cell* **25**: 693–704.
- Bopp, D., M. Burri, S. Baumgartner, G. Frigerio, and M. Noll. 1986. Conservation of a large protein domain in the segmentation gene *paired* and in functionally related genes of *Drosophila*. *Cell* **47**: 1033–1040.
- Caudy, M., E.H. Grell, C. Dambly-Chaudiere, A. Ghysen, L.Y. Jan, and Y.N. Jan. 1988. The maternal sex determination gene *daughterless* has zygotic activity necessary for the formation of peripheral neurons in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.* **2**: 843–852.
- Cline, T.W. 1976. A sex-specific, temperature-sensitive maternal effect of the *daughterless* mutation of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **84**: 723–742.
- . 1978. Two closely linked mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster* that are lethal to opposite sexes and interact with *daughterless*. *Genetics* **90**: 683–698.
- . 1979. A male-specific lethal mutation in *Drosophila melanogaster* that transforms sex. *Dev. Biol.* **72**: 266–275.
- . 1980. Maternal and zygotic sex-specific gene interactions in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **96**: 903–926.
- . 1983. The interaction between *daughterless* and *Sex-lethal* in triploids: a lethal sex-transforming maternal effect linking sex determination and dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Dev. Biol.* **95**: 260–274.
- . 1984. Autoregulatory functioning of a *Drosophila* gene product that establishes and maintains the sexually determined state. *Genetics* **107**: 231–277.
- . 1985. Primary events in the determination of sex in *Drosophila melanogaster*. In *Origin and evolution of sex* (ed. H.O. Halvorson and A. Monroy) pp. 301–327. Alan R. Liss, New York.
- . 1988a. Exploring the role of the gene, *Sex-lethal*, in the genetic programming of *Drosophila* sexual dimorphism. In *Evolutionary mechanisms in sex determination*, CRC University series (ed. S.S. Wachtel). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. (in press.)
- . 1988b. Evidence that *sisterless-a* and *sisterless-b* are two of several discrete 'numerator elements' of the X/A sex determination signal in *Drosophila* that switch *Sxl* between two alternative stable expression states. *Genetics* **119**: 829–862.
- Cronmiller, C. and T.W. Cline. 1986. The relationship of relative gene dose to the complex phenotype of the *daughterless* locus in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Genet.* **7**: 205–221.
- . 1987. The *Drosophila* sex determination gene *daughterless* has different functions in the germline vs. the soma. *Cell* **48**: 479–487.
- Doe, C.Q., D. Smouse, and C.S. Goodman. 1988. Control of neuronal fate by the *Drosophila* segmentation gene, *even-skipped*. *Nature* **333**: 376–378.
- Edgar, B.A. and G. Schubiger. 1986. Parameters controlling transcriptional activation during early *Drosophila* development. *Cell* **44**: 871–877.
- Feinberg, A.P. and B. Vogelstein. 1983. A technique for radiolabelling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. *Biochemistry* **132**: 6–13.
- Frigerio, G., M. Burri, D. Bopp, S. Baumgartner, and M. Noll. 1986. Structure of the segmentation gene *paired* and the *Drosophila* PRD gene set as part of a gene network. *Cell* **47**: 735–746.
- Gergen, J.P. 1987. Dosage compensation in *Drosophila*: evidence that *daughterless* and *Sex-lethal* control X chromosome activity at the blastoderm stage of embryogenesis. *Genetics* **117**: 477–485.
- Henikoff, S. 1984. Unidirectional digestion with exonuclease III creates targeted breakpoints for DNA sequencing. *Gene* **28**: 351–359.
- Ish-Horowitz, D., S.M. Pinchin, P. Schedl, S. Artavanis-Tsakonas, and M.-E. Mirault. 1979. Genetic and molecular analysis of the 87A7 and 87C1 heat-inducible loci of *D. melanogaster*. *Cell* **18**: 1351–1358.
- Kozak, M. 1984. Compilation and analysis of sequences upstream from the translational start site in eukaryotic mRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**: 857–871.
- Lindsley, D.L. and E.H. Grell. 1968. Genetic variations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Carnegie Inst. Wash. Publ.* 627.
- Lindsley, D.L. and G. Zimm. 1987. The genome of *Drosophila melanogaster*, Part 3: rearrangements. *Drosophila Inform. Serv.* 65.
- Lipman, D.J. and W.R. Pearson. 1985. Rapid and sensitive protein similarity searches. *Science* **227**: 1435–1441.
- Lucchesi, J.C. and J.E. Manning. 1987. Gene dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Adv. Genet.* **24**: 371–429.
- Maine, E.M., H.K. Salz, P. Schedl, and T.W. Cline. 1985a. *Sex-lethal*, a link between sex determination and sexual differentiation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **50**: 595–604.
- Maine, E.M., H.K. Salz, T.W. Cline, and P. Schedl. 1985b. The *Sex-lethal* gene of *Drosophila*: DNA alterations associated with sex-specific lethal mutations. *Cell* **43**: 521–529.
- Mange, A.P. and L. Sandler. 1973. A note on the maternal effect mutants *daughterless* and *abnormal* oocyte in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **73**: 73–86.
- Maniatis, T., E.R. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- McGinnis, W., M.S. Levine, E. Hafen, A. Kuroiwa, and W.J. Gehring. 1984. A conserved DNA sequence in homeotic genes of the *Drosophila*, *Antennapedia*, and *bithorax* complexes. *Nature* **308**: 428–433.
- Murray, N.E. 1983. Phage lambda and molecular cloning. In *Lambda II* (ed. R.W. Hendrix, J.W. Roberts, R.W. Stahl, and R.A. Weisberg), pp. 395–432. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- O'Hare, K., R. Levis, and G. Rubin. 1983. Transcription of the *white* locus in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **80**: 6917–6921.
- Pimpinelli, S., W. Sullivan, M. Prout, and L. Sandler. 1985. On biological functions mapping to the heterochromatin of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **109**: 701–724.
- Proudfoot, N. and G. Brownlee. 1976. 3' non-coding region se-

- quences in eukaryotic messenger DNA. *Nature* **263**: 211–213.
- Salz, H.K., T.W. Cline, and P. Schedl. 1987. Functional changes associated with structural alterations induced by mobilization of a P element inserted in the *Sex-lethal* gene of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **117**: 221–231.
- Sanchez, L. and R. Nothiger. 1983. Sex determination and dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*: production of male clones in XX females. *EMBO J.* **2**: 485–491.
- Sandler, L. 1972. On the genetic control of genes located in the sex-chromosome heterochromatin of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **70**: 261–274.
- . 1975. Studies on the genetic control of heterochromatin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Israel J. Med. Sci.* **11**: 1124–1134.
- . 1977. Evidence for a set of closely linked autosomal genes that interact with sex-chromosome heterochromatin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **86**: 567–582.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A.R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **74**: 5463–5467.
- Schupbach, T. 1985. Normal female germ cell differentiation requires the female X chromosome to autosome ratio and expression of *Sex-lethal* in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **109**: 529–548.
- Staden, R. 1980. A new computer method for the storage and manipulation of DNA gel reading data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **8**: 3673–3694.
- . 1982a. An interactive graphics program for comparing and aligning nucleic acid and amino acid sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **10**: 2951–2961.
- . 1982b. Automation of the computer handling of gel reading data produced by the shotgun method of DNA sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **10**: 4731–4751.
- . 1984. Graphic methods to determine the function of nucleic acid sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**: 521–538.
- Tsubota, S. and P. Schedl. 1986. Hybrid dysgenesis-induced revertants of insertions at the 5' end of the *rudimentary* gene in *Drosophila melanogaster*: transposon-induced control mutations. *Genetics* **114**: 165–182.
- Wharton, K.A., B. Yedvobnick, V.G. Finnerty, and S. Artavanis-Tsakonas. 1985. *opa*: a novel family of transcribed repeats shared by the *Notch* locus and other developmentally regulated loci in *D. melanogaster*. *Cell* **40**: 55–62.

Molecular characterization of daughterless, a *Drosophila* sex determination gene with multiple roles in development.

C Cronmiller, P Schedl and T W Cline

Genes Dev. 1988, **2**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.2.12a.1666](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.2.12a.1666)

References

This article cites 46 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/2/12a/1666.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).