WUSCHEL signaling functions in interregional communication during *Arabidopsis* ovule development

Rita Groß-Hardt,¹ Michael Lenhard, and Thomas Laux²

Institute of Biologie III, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany; ¹Institute of Plant Biology, University of Zürich, 8008 Zürich, Switzerland

Coordinating the behaviors of different cell populations is essential for multicellular development. One important example for this can be found in ovule development in higher plants. Ovules give rise to the gametophyte in the distal nucellus and form protective sporophytic organs from the underlying chalaza. We show that the *WUSCHEL* (*WUS*) homeobox gene provides a mechanism to coordinate these events. *WUS* is expressed in the nucellus and our loss- and gain-of-function analyses show that *WUS* is not only necessary but also sufficient for integument formation from the chalaza. *WUS* protein is retained in the nucellus, indicating that *WUS* activity in the nucellus generates a downstream signal that non-cell-autonomously regulates integument initiation in the chalaza. This signal appears to act locally, thus determining the position of organ formation from chalazal cells adjacent to the nucellus. Analysis of *WUS* and *AINTEGUMENTA* functions indicates that integument initiation requires inputs from different ovule regions. Together with previous findings for shoot and floral meristems, where *WUS* signaling establishes a stem cell niche, our results indicate that *WUS* defines a signaling mechanism that is used repeatedly during plant development in coordinating the behavior of adjacent cell groups.

[Key Words: *WUSCHEL*; *Arabidopsis*; signaling; ovule development]

Received January 10, 2002; revised version accepted March 4, 2002.

In higher plants, egg cell formation takes place in specialized somatic structures, the ovules. During their development, two neighboring cell groups, the nucellus and the chalaza, coordinately produce structures that eventually form an intimate physical and functional unit (Fig. 1): The nucellus, which is located at the distal end of the initially finger-like protruding ovule primordium, harbors the megaspore mother cell (mmc) from which the female gametophyte will form (Schneitz et al. 1995). After meiosis of the mmc one of the four haploid daughter cells survives and in turn undergoes three rounds of mitotic divisions. The resulting eight daughters constitute the mature female gametophyte (embryo sac), with one of them becoming the egg cell (Webb and Gunning 1990). The central chalaza initiates the integuments, protective sporophytic organs that grow around the nucellus and that after fertilization form the seed coat that protects the growing embryo (Esau 1977). The third, proximal region of the ovule, called funiculus, serves as a connection to the mother tissue, which can provide nutrients to the gametophyte.

Not only does the survival of the prospective embryo require the protection offered by the seed coat, but already the early development of the female gametophyte appears to depend on proper integument formation: In several mutants (see below), defective integument formation coincides with a subsequent failure to progress through embryo sac development (e.g., discussed in Klucher et al. 1996), suggesting a tight linkage of these processes to ensure coordinated development and, ultimately, reproductive success. How this coordination is achieved is presently unknown.

Mutational analysis has revealed several genes that influence various aspects of ovule development (Chevalier et al. 2002). In *nozzle* mutants the most distal cells express chalazal markers and the nucellus is disorganized or absent, indicating that *NOZZLE* plays a central role in the formation of the nucellus (Schieffthaler et al. 1999; Balasubramanian and Schneitz 2000). Mutations in the *AINTEGUMENTA* (*ANT*) gene result in a failure of the ovule to initiate integument formation (Elliott et al. 1996; Klucher et al. 1996). The *BELL1* (*BEL1*), *INNER NO OUTER* (*INO*), and *HUELLENLOS* (*HLL*) genes are involved in various aspects of integument outgrowth (Robinson-Beers et al. 1992; Modrusan et al. 1994; Gaiser et al. 1995; Reiser et al. 1995; Baker et al. 1997; Schneitz et al. 1997, 1998; Villanueva et al. 1999). *ANT* and *HLL*

²Corresponding author.

E-MAIL laux@biologie.uni-freiburg.de; FAX 49-761-203-2745.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.225202>.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of wild-type ovule development. (A) Along the proximal–distal axis of ovule primordia three domains can be distinguished: the distal nucellus that harbors the megaspore mother cell (mmc), the central chalaza, and the proximal funiculus. (B) While the mmc divides meiotically to give rise to a tetrad of haploid cells, integuments are initiated from the chalaza that subsequently grow to enclose the nucellus. (C) The three distal cells of the tetrad die and the functional megaspore undergoes three rounds of mitotic division. (D) The mature embryo sac is composed of three antipodal cells at the chalazal end, two synergids at the micropylar end, one egg cell, and two polar nuclei, which eventually fuse to give the diploid central cell. (a) Antipodal cell; (c) chalaza; (ec) egg cell; (es) embryo sac; (f) funiculus; (i.i) inner integument; (m) micropyle; (mmc) megaspore mother cell; (ms) megaspore; (n) nucellus; (o.i) outer integument; (pn) polar nuclei; (s) synergid; (t) tetrad.

additionally play a role in the specification of funicular cells (Schneitz et al. 1998).

Here we present evidence that the homeobox gene *WUSCHEL* (*WUS*) regulates one important step in ovule development. *WUS* has been identified because of its central role in stem cell regulation in shoot and floral meristems. *wus* shoot meristems terminate prematurely after a few leaves are formed, and *wus* floral meristems terminate without forming a gynoecium (Laux et al. 1996). The *WUS* expression domain defines an organizing center in the shoot apex that specifies its overlying neighbors as pluripotent stem cells (Mayer et al. 1998). In shoot apices, *WUS* is sufficient to induce the expression of the *CLV3* gene, which encodes a putative ligand of the *CLV* signaling pathway by which the stem cells signal back and restrict the *WUS* expression domain (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000). This feedback loop between the *WUS* and *CLV3* genes appears to regulate size homeostasis of the stem cell population. In determinate flower primordia, initially the same self regulatory circuitry is established (Schoof et al. 2000). However, at the end of flower development, *WUS* additionally appears to contribute to the expression of its own repressor, the *AGAMOUS* (*AG*) gene, which in turn is required to terminate *WUS* expression and therefore floral meristem activity (Lenhard et al. 2001; Lohmann et al. 2001).

In addition to its role in shoot and floral meristems, we have found that *WUS* is also expressed in the nucellus of ovule primordia. We show that local *WUS* dependent signaling is required and sufficient to induce integument formation from the underlying chalazal domain. These results provide evidence for a novel pathway for interregional communication during ovule development and for determining the position of organ formation and suggest that similar short-range signaling modules are employed repeatedly during plant development to tune the behavior of neighboring cell groups.

Results

WUS is expressed in the nucellus of ovules

We first analyzed *WUS* mRNA expression in ovules by in situ hybridization. *WUS* mRNA was detected specifically in the distal part of ovules, the nucellus, from early stages on (Fig. 2A–D). No expression was observed in the chalaza or the funiculus. The intensity of the *WUS* expression signal was highest in stages 2-II to 2-III (stages after Schneitz et al. 1995) when integument primordia arise (Fig. 2B). In subsequent stages the *WUS* expression signal was less intense (Fig. 2C,D) and could not be detected after stage 3, which is when the embryo sac developed. In addition to the nucellar expression, a weak hybridization signal was observed in the epithelium of mature ovules (data not shown). Because we frequently observed a high background signal in the epithelium with various probes, this signal probably does not reflect specific *WUS* expression. In addition to its expression in ovules, *WUS* mRNA was also detected in developing anthers (data not shown).

In shoot meristems, *WUS* can induce expression of the *CLV3* gene (Schoof et al. 2000). We therefore asked whether *CLV3* is also expressed in ovules. We could not detect expression at the time when *WUS* is expressed either by a *CLV3::GUS* reporter gene or by in situ hybridization experiments using a *CLV3* antisense probe (data not shown).

Generation of ovules lacking WUS activity

An analysis of a possible role of *WUS* in ovule development is hampered by the fact that *wus* floral meristems terminate before a gynoecium is formed. Therefore, we aimed to rescue the *wus* meristem defect and obtain gynoecia with *wus* mutant ovules. For this purpose we expressed *WUS* under the control of the *CLV1* promoter in

Figure 2. *WUS* mRNA expression in ovules and characterization of *CLV1::WUS* plants. (A–D) In situ hybridization to tissue sections of various stages of wild type ovules. Signal is detected as brown color. (A) *WUS* mRNA is detected in young ovules in the nucellus. (B) At stage 2-II, when the inner integuments are initiated (arrow) a strong signal is detected in the nucellus of the ovule while no mRNA expression is observed in the chalaza and the funiculus. (C,D) *WUS* mRNA expression becomes weaker during the outgrowth of integuments at stages 2-IV (C) to 2-V (D). (E,F) Phenotype of *CLV1::WUS* (E) and *CLV1::WUS; wus* (F) inflorescences. In F, the arrow points at a flower resembling those found in *wus* mutants, whereas the top flower contains a gynoecium. (G,H) Expression of the linked *CLV1::GUS* reporter gene in a floral meristem (G) and a young ovule primordium of *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants. GUS activity is detected as blue colour in a central cell population of the floral meristem (G). No signal is obtained in the ovule primordium (H). (c) Chalaza; (f) funiculus; (i.i.) inner integument; (mmc) megaspore mother cell; (n) nucellus; (o.i.) outer integument; (p) petal primordium; (s) sepal primordium. Bars, 10 μ m (A–D,H), 3 mm (E,F), and 30 μ m (G).

a *wus* mutant background. The *CLV1* promoter provides expression in the meristem but is not expressed in ovules (see below).

CLV1::WUS control plants in a wild-type background displayed an enlarged meristem, a fasciated stem and flowers that formed gynoecia with supernumerary carpels (Fig. 2E) (Schoof et al. 2000). This phenotype resembles that of *clv* mutants and is caused by the relatively large expression domain of the *CLV1::WUS* transgene in comparison to the size of the endogenous *WUS* expression domain (Schoof et al. 2000).

We crossed the *wus* mutation into the *CLV1::WUS* plants and identified F_2 plants homozygous for the *wus* mutation by PCR. The *wus* mutation did not affect the vegetative phenotype of the *CLV1::WUS* plants, indicating that the *wus* mutant defects in the shoot meristem

were completely suppressed by the transgene. Each *CLV1::WUS; wus* plant generated two types of flowers (Fig. 2F): Approximately 40% of the flowers resembled the flowers of non-transgenic *wus* mutants in that they formed no more than four stamens and no gynoecium (Laux et al. 1996). In contrast, ~60% ($n = 117$) of the flowers formed gynoecia with supernumerary carpels indistinguishable from the flowers of the *CLV1::WUS* control in a wild-type background (Table 1), indicating that the effects of the *wus* mutation in the respective floral meristems were suppressed by the transgene.

WUS is required for integument initiation

Gynoecia of both *CLV1::WUS* control and *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants formed ovules. We confirmed that the

Table 1. Floral organ numbers of *CLV1::WUS; wus*, *CLV1::WUS*, and wild-type plants

Genotype	Organ numbers			
	Sepals	Petals	Anthers	Carpels
<i>CLV1::WUS; wus</i>	3.9 (0.4)	3.5 (0.6)	5.6 (0.8)	4.1 (0.9)
<i>CLV1::WUS</i>	4.1 (0.5)	3.8 (0.5)	5.3 (0.5)	4.1 (0.8)
Wild type	4.0 (0.0)	4.0 (0.0)	5.9 (0.3)	2.0 (0.0)

For each case the organ numbers of the three youngest flowers of seven individual plants were counted. These flowers always had developed a gynoecium in *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants. The average organ numbers and the standard deviation (parenthesis) are given.

CLV1::WUS transgene was not expressed in ovules using a linked *CLV1::GUS* reporter gene (see Materials and Methods): GUS staining was detected in the expected *CLV1* expression domain in shoot and floral meristems (Fig. 2G) but not in ovules of *CLV1::WUS* controls or *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants (Fig. 2H). This confirmed that we had generated *wus* mutant ovules. For simplicity we will refer to ovules from *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants as *wus* ovules.

Ovule development in *CLV1::WUS* control plants ($n = 29$) was indistinguishable from wild type, indicating that the presence of the transgenes had no effect on ovule development (Fig. 3). In *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants ($n = 22$), ovule development was indistinguishable from control plants only until stage 2-I (Fig. 3A,B). At stage 2-I, control plants initiated integuments: First, the epidermal cells enlarged at the prospective position of the integuments (Fig. 3C); subsequently the inner and the outer integument primordia arose (Fig. 3E) and grew around the nucellus (Fig. 3G,I). In contrast, *wus* ovules did not show epidermal cell enlargement or any signs of integument initiation (Fig. 3D,F). The ovules rather developed into finger-like protrusions (Fig. 3 H,J) of a length comparable

to the size of ovules in control plants (Fig. 3I,J). Eventually *wus* ovules appeared to degenerate (data not shown).

Because the *wus* mutation results in partial differentiation of cells of the shoot apex, we analyzed whether cell differentiation in ovules was altered by the *wus* mutation. In histological paraffin sections, we did not observe differences in either cell size or vacuolization between ovules of control plants and *wus* ovules (Fig. 3K,L).

In summary, these results show that in ovules the *WUS* gene is required for integument initiation.

Megagametogenesis is incomplete in wus ovules

A hallmark of ovule development is meiosis and the development of the female gametophyte in the nucellus. Indistinguishable from wild type, megagametogenesis in *CLV1::WUS* control plants started with the enlargement of the megaspore mother cell and meiosis at stage 2-IV (Fig. 4A) that results in most cases in a linear tetrad of meiotic daughter cells (Fig. 4C). At stage 3-I, three tetrad cells degenerate, whereas the cell closest to the chalaza, the functional megaspore, undergoes three rounds of mi-

Figure 3. Ovule development in *CLV1::WUS* and *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants. (A–H) DIC microscopy images of ovule development in *CLV1::WUS* plants (A,C,E,G) and *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants (B,D,F,H) plants. (A,B) Stage 1-II, ovule primordia have grown out. The megaspore mother cell is apparent in the subepidermal layer. (C,D) In *CLV1::WUS* ovules the inner integument initiates (asterisks in C). At the comparable stage of *wus* ovules no integument formation is evident (D). (E,F) In both *CLV1::WUS* (E) and *wus* ovules (F) the functional megaspore is evident. (G,H) At stage 3-V in *CLV1::WUS* ovules the two nuclear central cell, the egg cell, nuclei of the synergids, and the antipodal cells are visible (G). Corresponding ovules of *CLV1::WUS; wus* plants arrest at the two nuclear embryo sac stage (H). Arrows point at the embryo sac nuclei. (I,J) SEM image of mature *CLV1::WUS* (I) and *wus* (J) ovules: In *CLV1::WUS* the integuments enclose the nucellus and the ovule is bent. The corresponding *wus* ovule is naked because of the lack of integuments. (K,L) Histological sections of *CLV1::WUS* wild-type (K) and *wus* (L) ovules at stage 2-II. The asterisk indicates the site of inner integument formation as observed by epidermal cell enlargement (K). No such cell enlargement is evident in the corresponding *wus* ovule (L). (a) Antipodal cells; (cc) central cell; (ec) egg cell; (es) embryo sac; (f) funiculus; (i.i.) inner integument; (m) micropyle; (mmc) megaspore mother cell; (ms) functional megaspore; (o.i.) outer integument; (s) synergids. Bars, 10 μ m.

Figure 4. Meiosis in *CLV1::WUS* and *wus* ovules. (A–D) Confocal microscopy of *CLV1::WUS* and *wus* ovules. Nuclei are detected in red, cytoplasm appears green. (A,B) In *CLV1::WUS* (A) and *wus* (B) ovules two nuclei are visible in the megaspore mother cell. (C,D) Tetrad formation is evident in *CLV1::WUS* (C) and *wus* (D) ovules. (E,F) Dark-field microscopy of *CLV1::WUS* and *wus* ovules. Ovules were stained with aniline blue to detect callose accumulation, which is indicative of meiotically dividing cells. Autofluorescence of the tissue is detected in red. Callose accumulation is shown as bright color. In both *CLV1::WUS* (E) and *wus* (F) ovules, two bands of callose accumulation are detected. (mmc) Megaspore mother cell; (n) nucellus; (t) tetrad. Bars, 10 μ m.

otic divisions to give the embryo sac with the egg cell and two accompanying synergids at the micropylar end, one diploid central cell and three antipodal cells at the chalazal end (Fig. 3G).

In *wus* ovules the enlargement of the megaspore mother cell, division of the nuclei (Fig. 4B) and tetrad formation (Fig. 4D) were indistinguishable from control plants. We confirmed that the division of the megaspore mother cell in *wus* ovules was meiotic by staining with aniline blue. Aniline blue stains callose, which specifically marks the cell plate of the meiotically dividing megaspore mother cell (Rodkiewicz 1970). We found 72% ($n = 59$) of *wus* ovules staining for callose (Fig. 4F), which was comparable to the 79% ($n = 74$) of stained ovules in control plants (Fig. 4E), indicating that meiosis takes place in *wus* ovules. Subsequently, *wus* mutant ovules frequently formed a two-nuclear embryo sac (Fig. 3H), but mature embryo sacs were never observed. Instead, the ovules started to degenerate (data not shown).

Therefore, *wus* ovules were unable to complete embryo sac development. Because a similar arrest in embryo sac development has been described for other mutants defective in integument formation (Klucher et al. 1996), this defect could be a secondary effect caused by the lack of integuments in *wus* ovules.

Integument initiation requires independent inputs from the nucellus and the chalaza

We next asked whether *WUS* function could be integrated into known genetically defined pathways of integument formation. *ant* ovules fail to initiate integuments very similar to *wus* ovules (Klucher et al. 1996). To address whether *ANT* expression requires *WUS* activity, we performed in situ hybridization with an *ANT* probe. In control ovules, we found *ANT* expression predominantly in the chalaza at the time of integument initiation (Fig. 5C). The *ANT* expression pattern in *wus* ovules was indistinguishable from that in control plants

(Fig. 5D). To address whether vice versa, *WUS* expression requires *ANT* activity, we analyzed *WUS* expression in *ant* ovules, using a *WUS::GUS* reporter gene that reflects the wild-type *WUS* mRNA expression pattern in ovules, but, in contrast to the mRNA expression, often showed a more intense staining in the basal part of the nucellus (cf. Fig. 5A to 2C). We found that the *WUS::GUS* reporter was expressed in the nucellus of *ant* ovules indistinguishably from the expression in control plants (Fig. 5B).

Therefore, *WUS* and *ANT* do not regulate the promoter activity of the other gene, suggesting that both genes represent independent pathways and that inputs from both the chalaza and the nucellus are required for integument initiation.

The normal expression pattern of *ANT* in *wus* ovules suggested that the chalaza was properly established and that the defects observed were not caused by mis-specification of chalazal identity. To further confirm that *wus* ovules were correctly partitioned into domains, we used *WUS* and *AG* expression as additional regional markers. As described above, *WUS* is expressed exclusively in the nucellus of wild-type ovules (Fig. 5E) until stage 3-I. This expression pattern was not altered in *wus* ovules (Fig. 5F). The expression of an *AG::GUS* reporter (Sieburth and Meyerowitz 1997) specifically marks the chalaza of wild-type ovules until stage 3-I (Fig. 5G). Thereafter the expression extends into the nucellus (Fig. 5I). In *wus* ovules, the reporter was expressed in the same pattern as in wild type (Fig. 5H,J). Therefore, using these three regional markers, we could not detect any defects in the specification of nucellar and chalazal identities in the absence of *WUS* activity, suggesting that the effects of the *wus* mutation on integument initiation were not due to gross misspecification of ovule region identities.

WUS is sufficient to induce integument initiation

We next asked whether *WUS* is not only required for integument initiation, but is also sufficient to induce

Figure 5. Gene expression in *wus* and *ant* ovules. (A,B) Expression of a *WUS::GUS* reporter gene. (A) In wild type, GUS activity is detected throughout the nucellus, but, in contrast to the *WUS* mRNA expression, is more pronounced in the basal portion of the nucellus. (B) In *ant* mutants, GUS activity was found in the same region as in wild type. (C,D) *ANT* mRNA expression in wild-type (C) and *wus* (D) ovules. At the time point of integument initiation (asterisk in C) *ANT* mRNA is found in the chalaza of wild-type ovules and the pattern obtained for *wus* ovules is indistinguishable from the wild-type expression. (E,F) *WUS* mRNA expression in wild type (E) and *wus* mutants (F). At the time point of integument initiation (asterisk in E) *WUS* mRNA is found exclusively in the nucellus of wild-type ovules and the same pattern is obtained in *wus* mutants. (G–J) Expression of an *AG::GUS* reporter gene in wild-type and *wus* background. (G,H) Before the embryo sac develops, GUS activity is detected in the chalaza of wild-type ovules (G). Thereafter GUS activity is additionally evident in the nucellus (J). The GUS activity pattern obtained with *wus* ovules (H,I) is indistinguishable from that in wild type. (c) Chalaza; (f) funiculus; (i.i.) inner integument; (n) nucellus; (o.i.) outer integument. Bars, 10 μ m.

integuments. For this purpose, we expressed *WUS* ectopically from an *ANT cis* regulatory region in a wild-type background. This promoter provided expression in the chalaza and the integument primordia (Fig. 6E), indistinguishable from the *ANT* mRNA expression pattern. Because *ANT::WUS* plants show severe meristem defects and do not develop beyond the seedling stage (Schoof et al. 2000), we used an inducible system based on a translational fusion between *WUS* and the ligand-binding domain of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR). In the absence of a ligand for GR, the fusion protein is retained in the cytoplasm by virtue of its interaction with the Hsp90 complex (Dalman et al. 1991) but can move into the nucleus after application of the GR-ligand dexamethasone. Without induction, *ANT::WUS-GR* plants identified by PCR developed indistinguishably from wild type. In contrast, 6 d after induction we detected ectopic outgrowths that resembled integuments in the basal region of the ovule (Fig. 6A–D). These structures expressed the *ANT* gene, which in wild type is expressed in integument primordia (Fig. 6F). It should be noted that the outgrowth of both the normal integuments and ectopic organs appeared disturbed, which is in line with our previous observation from studies in the shoot meristem showing that *WUS* expression inhibits organ differentiation (Schoof et al. 2000).

In control experiments, no ectopic organs were formed in *ANT::WUS-GR* plants sprayed with a mock solution lacking dexamethasone or in plants that did not harbor the *ANT::WUS-GR* transgenes sprayed with dexamethasone (data not shown). To confirm that *WUS* was ectop-

ically expressed, we performed in situ hybridization with a *WUS* probe. In contrast to wild-type ovules where *WUS* is expressed exclusively in the nucellus (Fig. 6G or 2C), ovules of induced *ANT::WUS-GR* plants displayed ectopic *WUS* expression in the chalaza and even in the funiculus (Fig. 6H).

In summary, ectopic *WUS* expression in the ovule is sufficient to induce the initiation of integument-like organs.

A functional WUS-GUS fusion protein remains in the cells of the nucellus

Having shown that *WUS* expression in one cell population, the nucellus, initiates organ formation in a neighboring cell group, the chalaza, we pondered the molecular mechanism underlying the communication between these cell populations. There are several examples, where transcription factors move from cell to cell (Lucas et al. 1995; Nakajima et al. 2001), and we therefore addressed whether *WUS* protein itself migrates from the nucellus into the chalaza. For this purpose, we analyzed the localization of *WUS* protein by using a *WUS-GUS* translational fusion expressed under the control of the *WUS* promoter. This transgene was introduced into a *wus* mutant background and the functionality of the modified *WUS* protein was confirmed by its ability to rescue the *wus* mutant phenotype (Fig. 7B,C). GUS staining in ovules of *WUS::WUS-GUS*; *wus* plants was exclusively detected in the nucellus, but not in the chalaza, where the mutant defect is observed, or the funiculus

Figure 6. Ectopic expression of *WUS*. (A–D) Ovules of dexamethasone induced *ANT::WUS-GR* plants. DIC microscopy images (A,B) and SEM images (C,D) showing the nucellus enclosed by the inner integument. The outer integument does not grow beyond initial stages. Integument-like structures (arrows) are visible below the normal inner and outer integuments. (E) Expression of an *ANT::GUS* reporter gene in wild-type plants. GUS activity is shown as blue color marking the chalaza including the inner and outer integument. (F) *ANT* mRNA expression in ovules of induced *ANT::WUS-GR* plants. *ANT* mRNA is detected in the chalaza and additionally in the newly formed ectopic structure (arrow). (G,H) *WUS* mRNA expression. (G) Control plant. *WUS* mRNA signal is restricted to the nucellus. (H) Ovule of induced *ANT::WUS-GR* plant. *WUS* mRNA expression is visible throughout the ovule primordium, including the region that forms ectopic organs (arrows). (f) Funiculus; (i.i.) inner integument; (n) nucellus; (o.i.) outer integument. Bars 20 μ m.

(Fig. 7A–C). Although based on this experiment we cannot exclude that endogenous *WUS* protein can move into neighboring cells, this result indicates that *WUS* activity in nucellus cells is sufficient for normal integument development, suggesting that its function is not direct but is mediated by at least one yet unidentified downstream signal that migrates from the nucellus to the chalaza.

Discussion

Seed formation in higher plants exemplifies the more general requirement for coordinating cell behavior in the development of multicellular organisms, because neighboring ovule domains must act together to allow for the formation of a functional reproductive unit. The distal

nucellus produces the female gametophyte and later on the embryo, while the underlying chalaza forms the integuments, protective organs that grow around the nucellus and later give rise to the seed coat. Here we address how the development of the individual ovule domains is coordinated. We show that the nucellus instructs neighboring chalazal cells to form integuments by *WUS*-dependent local signaling.

WUS signaling in the ovule

Our loss- and gain-of-function analyses indicate that *WUS* signaling plays a central role in ovule development: *WUS* activity is not only required, but also sufficient for the initiation of integuments from the chalaza.

Figure 7. *WUS* protein localization in ovules. (A–C) Expression of a *WUS-GUS* translational fusion in *wus* mutants. Ovules developed as wild type ovules indicating that the translational fusion is functional. (A) In young stages no GUS activity is detected. At the time of integument formation (B) GUS activity is observed exclusively in the nucellus. GUS activity persists until early stages of embryo sac development (C). (c) Chalaza; (f) funiculus; (i.i.) inner integument; (m) micropyle; (n) nucellus; (o.i.) outer integument. Bars 20 μ m.

However, *WUS* mRNA and *WUS* protein are restricted to the nucellus. This indicates a novel signaling mechanism by which the nucellus governs organ formation in the neighboring chalaza: expression of *WUS* in the nucellus activates a downstream signal that emanates from the nucellus and induces organ initiation in the directly neighboring chalazal cells.

Our misexpression experiment shows that the entire chalaza and at least part of the funiculus can initiate organ formation. This raises the question of how the position of integuments is determined in wild-type ovules. A conceivable explanation is that the *WUS*-dependent signal emanating from the nucellus acts only at a short range, thereby instructing specifically the chalazal cells adjacent to the nucellus to initiate integuments.

In contrast to chalazal cells, the nucellus itself does not form integuments. This suggests that nucellus cells are not competent to respond to the signal they produce, whereas their neighboring cells are. What causes this different competence? Our data show that integument initiation requires independent inputs from *WUS* and *ANT* pathways. Because *ANT* is only expressed in the chalaza but is not expressed in the nucellus, this difference could account for the inability of nucellar cells to respond to *WUS* signaling.

Therefore, the position of organ formation in ovules appears to be determined by the range of the *WUS* signal from the nucellus and the responsiveness of cells.

WUS function in shoot meristems and ovules

WUS is expressed in the apical part of shoot and floral meristems and in the distal domain of ovules and in both cases, *WUS* signaling plays a central role in establishing developmental differences between neighboring cell populations. These similarities raise the question of whether apical shoot meristems and ovules evolved from a common precursor or whether they may have recruited *WUS* function independently. Shoot meristems and ovules both are apical structures that initiate organs at some distance from the apex. Paleobotanical evidence suggests that the nucellus of the ovule is derived from an apical meristem: A widely accepted hypothesis for seed plant evolution, the telome theory, proposes that the nucellus originated from one sporangium-bearing shoot axis (fertile telome) out of several telomes in a dichotomously branching system (Kenrick and Crane 1997).

On the other hand, *WUS* signaling appears to have different developmental consequences that reflect the differences in the workings of the shoot meristem and the ovule. The shoot meristem is an indeterminate dynamic stem-cell system, which continuously produces cells at the apex that are consumed by organ formation at the periphery. *WUS* signaling from a small cell group, the organizing center, confers stem-cell identity on its overlying neighbors. One important readout of *WUS* signaling is the expression of the *CLV3* gene in the stem cell region. *CLV3* encodes a ligand for the CLV signaling pathway by which the stem cell region signals back to the organizing center and restricts the size of the *WUS*

expression domain. The *WUS/CLV3* feedback loop appears to keep the size of the stem-cell pool constant.

In contrast, ovules are determinate structures, which form only a few organs. Here, *WUS* signaling from the nucellus initiates organ formation in the underlying chalaza. In contrast to the shoot meristem, *WUS* does not activate *CLV3* expression in ovules. There is no evidence for the presence of stem cells or an apparent supply of cells from the nucellus to the chalaza, which may explain why regulators of stem cell homeostasis, such as the CLV pathway, are not expressed there.

Therefore, the presence of *WUS* signaling in ovules and shoot meristems could reflect a conserved signaling module that has become embedded into different developmental programs and therefore supports the view that the nucellus is derived from an apical meristem.

WUS defines a repeatedly employed signaling module

Cell identity in plants is determined by position. This implies extensive intercellular communication to allow cells to assess their position and developmental task. Our data suggest that *WUS* defines a short-range signaling module, which is employed both in shoot meristems and in ovules to coordinate the development of neighboring cell groups.

What is the biological significance of this signaling mechanism? In the shoot meristem, *WUS* signaling establishes a stem cell niche and, by interacting with *CLV3*, ensures stem cell homeostasis. In ovules, it appears to coordinate two critical events for seed development, formation of the female gametophyte and generation of protective structures to ensure seed survival. Therefore, the induction of integument formation by *WUS*-dependent signals from the nucellus provides a mechanism by which the tissue that gives rise to the female gametophyte with the egg cell and eventually the embryo ensures the formation of its own protective structures, which in turn allows for the progression of gametophyte development.

Materials and methods

The *wus-1* mutant and plant growth conditions have been described previously (Laux et al. 1996). The *ant*^{72F5} mutant was kindly provided by Kai Schneitz (University of Zürich, Switzerland). This allele displays a very strong ovule phenotype similar to the putative null allele *ant-1* (Klucher et al. 1996).

Construction of transgenes and plant transformation

We used the *pOpL* two-component expression system (Moore et al. 1998) for all transgenic experiments with the exception of the analysis of *AG::GUS* activity in *wus* mutant ovules (see below). For simplicity we refer to, for example, plants of the genotype *CLV1::LhG4, pOp::WUS* as *CLV1::WUS*. Generation of the *CLV1::LhG4, pOp::WUS-pOp::NLSGUS* and *ANT::LhG4* transgenic lines has been described (Schoof et al. 2000).

For the analysis of *AG::GUS* expression in *wus* mutant ovules, we employed a direct *CLV1::WUS* construct, which has been described previously (Schoof et al. 2000), and an *AG::GUS*

reporter line kindly provided by L. Sieburth (Sieburth and Meyerowitz 1997).

To create *pOp::WUS-GR* transgenic plants, the *WUS* open reading frame was amplified using primers WUS5BAM (5'-AGTCGGATCCACACACATGG-3') and WUS3BAM+2 (5'-GAGCGGATCCAGACGTAGCTCAAGAG-3'), digested with *Bam*HI and inserted into pRS020 (kindly provided by R. Sablowski; Sablowski and Meyerowitz 1998), which harbors the C-terminal ligand-binding domain of the rat glucocorticoid receptor (GR), to generate a translational fusion of *WUS* to GR. The *WUS* fragment was sequenced to exclude amplification errors. The resulting *WUS-GR* fusion was then inserted into pBarMop, a modification of pGPTV-BAR (Becker et al. 1992), which contains the *pOp*-promoter to yield plasmid MT175.

For the *WUS::GUS* reporter construct, the *WUS* coding sequence was removed from the genomic region extending 4.4 kb upstream and 1.5 kb downstream of the *WUS* start and stop codons, respectively, and replaced by a unique *Sac*I site using a PCR-based approach (MT61). *NLSGUS* (van der Krol and Chua 1991) was inserted into this *Sac*I site and the resulting *WUS::GUS* fusion was transferred to pBarA, a derivative of pGPTV-BAR, to yield MT87.

To express a translational fusion of *WUS* and *GUS* in *wus-1* mutants, we used the *pOpL* two-component system. To generate the *WUS::LhG4* construct, the *LhG4* coding region was excised from pBin-LhG4 (provided by I. Moore, Department of Plant Science, Oxford University, UK) and subcloned into the unique *Sac*I site of MT61 (see above). The resulting *WUS::LhG4* fragment was then subcloned into pBarA to yield MT95. For the *pOp::WUS-GUS* construct, the *WUS* coding region was fused in-frame to the 5' end of the *GUS* coding region preceded by an oligonucleotide that encodes five repeats of the dipeptide Gly-Ala as a spacer (MT225). The *WUS-GUS* fragment was excised from MT225 and ligated to pBarMOP to yield MT226.

To generate a *CLV3::NLSGUS* construct, the 5' genomic region preceding the *CLV3* ORF was amplified from *Ler* genomic DNA using primers CLV3ECORV5LEFT (5'-CTTTGATATCGCGGTTTGTGTAAATGG-3') and CLV3BAM5RIGHT (5'-ATG GATCCTTAGAGAGAAAGTGACTGAGTG-3'), digested with *Eco*RV and *Bam*HI and subcloned into pVIP35, which had been digested with *Not*I, blunt-ended with T4-DNA polymerase, and digested with *Bam*HI to yield MT189. pVIP35 is a derivative of pBluescript harboring the *NLSGUS* coding region and a *nos* transcription terminator (van der Krol and Chua 1991) between the *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI sites. The 3' genomic region downstream of the *CLV3* ORF was amplified from *Ler* genomic DNA using primers CLV3SAC3LEFT (5'-TTGAGCTCCCTTGACCTAATCTCTTGTTGC-3') and CLV3SAC3RIGHT (5'-CCGAGCTCTAGTGTTCACCAAAGTCC-3'), digested with *Sac*I and subcloned into MT189, which had been partially digested with *Sac*I to generate MT190. The resulting *CLV3::NLSGUS* fragment was excised from MT190 by partial digestion with *Sac*I and ligated to pBarM, a derivative of pGPTV-BAR (Becker et al. 1992), which had been digested with *Sac*I, to yield plasmid MT194. Details are available on request.

Constructs were introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101(pMP90) (Koncz and Schell 1986) by electroporation. Plant transformation was done using the "floral dip" method (Clough and Bent 1998).

Microscopy

Fixation, clearing, and preparation of the ovules for light microscopy was performed as described (Siddiqi et al. 2000). For confocal laser scanning microscopy inflorescences were fixed and afterwards stained with arginine and propidium iodide as

described (Clark et al. 1993). Optical sections were generated using a Leica TCS-NT confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany)

PCR-based genotyping

Plants were genotyped at the *WUS* locus by dCAPS (Neff et al. 1998) using primers *wus1A* (5'-TTGAATTAATGAATTATAGTTTGATACG-3') and *wus1S* (5'-TTGAAGTTATGGATCTTGATTGG-3') at an annealing temperature of 55°C. The PCR product was digested with *Rsa*I, which gives fragments of 246, 65, and 28 bp for the wild-type allele and 246 and 93 bp for the *wus-1* allele.

Expression analysis

GUS staining of whole-mount ovules was performed largely as described (Schoof et al. 2000). However, ovules were not cleared in ethanol, but were immediately mounted in 60% glycerol. For sections, FAA-fixed and dehydrated material was embedded in Paraplast Plus (Oxford Labware, St. Louis). The sections were dewaxed by immersion in Histoclear, stained with 0.01% ruthenium red (3 min), and mounted in 60% glycerol. In situ hybridization using *WUS* as a probe was performed as described previously (Mayer et al. 1998). To generate the *ANT* probe, plasmid p5delta4 (Elliott et al. 1996) was digested with *Bam*HI and transcribed with T7 RNA Polymerase.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jiri Friml, Nico Geldner, Gerd Jürgens, Swen Schellmann, Kathrin Schrick, and the members of our laboratory for comments on the manuscript; Eike Rademacher, Jürgen Berger, and Oliver Grandjean for technical help; and James Doyle, Veronique Pautot, and Jan Traas for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Robert Sablowski, Leslie Sieburth, and Kay Schneitz for providing seeds and other materials. This work was supported by a Landesgraduiertenförderung stipend to R.G.-H., a stipend of the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds to M.L., and by grants of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to T.L.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Baker, S., Robinson-Beers, K., Villanueva, J., Gaiser, J., and Gasser, C. 1997. Interactions among genes regulating ovule development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* **145**: 1109–1124.
- Balasubramanian, S. and Schneitz, K. 2000. *NOZZLE* regulates proximal-distal pattern formation, cell proliferation, and early sporogenesis during ovule development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* **127**: 4227–4238.
- Becker, D., Kemper, E., Schell, J., and Masterson, R. 1992. New plant binary vectors with selectable markers located proximal to the left T-DNA border. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **20**: 1195–1197.
- Brand, U., Fletcher, J.C., Hobe, M., Meyerowitz, E.M., and Simon, R. 2000. Dependence of stem cell fate in *Arabidopsis* on a feedback loop regulated by *CLV3* activity. *Science* **289**: 617–619.
- Chevalier, D., Sieber, P., and Schneitz, K. 2002. The genetic and molecular control of ovule development. In *Annual plant*

- reviews: *Plant reproduction* (ed. S. O'Neill and J. Roberts), pp. 61–65. Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, UK.
- Clark, S.E., Running, M.P., and Meyerowitz, E.M. 1993. *CLAVATA1*, a regulator of meristem and flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **119**: 397–418.
- Clough, S.J. and Bent, A.F. 1998. Floral dip: A simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* **16**: 735–743.
- Dalman, F., Scherrer, L., Taylor, L., Akil, H., and Pratt, W. 1991. Localisation of the 90 kDa heat shock protein-binding site within the hormone-binding domain of the glucocorticoid receptor by peptide competition. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**: 3482–3490.
- Elliott, R.C., Betzner, A.S., Huttner, E., Oakes, M.P., Tucker, W.Q.J., Gerentes, D., Perez, P., and Smyth, D.R. 1996. *AINTEGUMENTA*, an *APETALA2*-like gene of *Arabidopsis* with pleiotropic roles in ovule development and floral organ growth. *Plant Cell* **8**: 155–168.
- Esau, K. 1977. *Anatomy of seed plants*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- Gaiser, J.C., Robinson-Beers, K., and Gasser, C.S. 1995. The *Arabidopsis* *SUPERMAN* gene mediates asymmetric growth of the outer integuments of ovules. *Plant Cell* **7**: 333–345.
- Kenrick, P. and Crane, P. 1997. *The origin and early diversification of land plants: A cladistic study*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.
- Klucher, K.M., Chow, H., Reiser, L., and Fischer, R.L. 1996. The *AINTEGUMENTA* gene of *Arabidopsis* required for ovule and female gametophyte development is related to the floral homeotic gene *APETALA2*. *Plant Cell* **8**: 137–153.
- Koncz, C. and Schell, J. 1986. The promoter of *T_L*-DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimaeric genes carried by a novel *Agrobacterium* binary vector. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **204**: 383–396.
- Laux, T., Mayer, K.F.X., Berger, J., and Jürgens, G. 1996. The *WUSCHEL* gene is required for shoot and floral meristem integrity in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **122**: 87–96.
- Lenhard, M., Bohnert, A., Jürgens, G., and Laux, T. 2001. Termination of stem cell maintenance in *Arabidopsis* floral meristems by interactions between *WUSCHEL* and *AGAMOUS*. *Cell* **105**: 805–814.
- Lohmann, J., Huang, R., Hobe, M., Busch, M., Parcy, F., Simon, R., and Weigel, D. 2001. A molecular link between stem cell regulation and floral patterning in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **105**: 793–803.
- Lucas, W.J., Bouché-Pillon, S., Jackson, D.P., Nguyen, L., Baker, L., Ding, B., and Hake, S. 1995. Selective trafficking of *KNOTTED1* homeodomain protein and its mRNA through plasmodesmata. *Science* **270**: 1980–1983.
- Mayer, K.F.X., Schoof, H., Haecker, A., Lenhard, M., Jürgens, G., and Laux, T. 1998. Role of *WUSCHEL* in regulating stem cell fate in the *Arabidopsis* shoot meristem. *Cell* **95**: 805–815.
- Modrusan, Z., Reiser, L., Feldmann, K.A., Fischer, R.L., and Haughn, G.W. 1994. Homeotic transformation of ovules into carpel-like structures in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **6**: 333–349.
- Moore, I., Galweiler, L., Grosskopf, D., Schell, J., and Palme, K. 1998. A transcription activation system for regulated gene expression in transgenic plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 376–381.
- Nakajima, K., Sena, G., Nawy, T., and Benfey, P. 2001. Inter-cellular movement of the putative transcription factor *SHR* in root patterning. *Nature* **413**: 307–311.
- Neff, M., Neff, J., Chory, J., and Pepper, A. 1998. dCAPS, a simple technique for the genetic analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms: experimental applications in *Arabidopsis thaliana* genetics. *Plant J.* **14**: 387–392.
- Reiser, L., Modrusan, Z., Margossian, L., Samach, A., Ohad, N., Haughn, G.W., and Fischer, R.L. 1995. The *BELL1* gene encodes a homeodomain protein involved in pattern formation in the *Arabidopsis* ovule primordium. *Cell* **83**: 735–742.
- Robinson-Beers, K., Pruitt, R.E., and Gasser, C.S. 1992. Ovule development in wild-type *Arabidopsis* and two female sterile mutants. *Plant Cell* **4**: 1237–1249.
- Rodkiewicz, B. 1970. Callose in cell walls during megasporogenesis in angiosperms. *Planta* **93**: 39–47.
- Sablowski, R.W. and Meyerowitz, E.M. 1998. A homolog of *NO APICAL MERISTEM* is an immediate target of the floral homeotic genes *APETALA3/PISTILLATA*. *Cell* **92**: 93–103.
- Schieffthaler, U., Balasubramanian, S., Sieber, P., Chevalier, D., Wisman, E., and Schneitz, K. 1999. Molecular analysis of *NOZZLE*, a gene involved in pattern formation and early sporogenesis during sex organ development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 11664–11669.
- Schneitz, K., Hülskamp, M., and Pruitt, R.E. 1995. Wild-type ovule development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: A light microscopy study of cleared whole-mount tissue. *Plant J.* **7**: 731–749.
- Schneitz, K., Hülskamp, M., Kopeczak, S.D., and Pruitt, R.E. 1997. Dissection of sexual organ ontogenesis: A genetic analysis of ovule development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* **124**: 1367–1376.
- Schneitz, K., Baker, S., Gasser, C., and Redweik, A. 1998. Pattern formation and growth during floral organogenesis: *HUELLENLOS* and *AINTEGUMENTA* are required for the formation of the proximal region of the ovule primordium in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* **125**: 2555–2563.
- Schoof, H., Lenhard, M., Haecker, A., Mayer, K.F.X., Jürgens, G., and Laux, T. 2000. The stem cell population of *Arabidopsis* shoot meristems is maintained by a regulatory loop between the *CLAVATA* and *WUSCHEL* genes. *Cell* **100**: 635–644.
- Siddiqi, I., Ganesh, G., Grossniklaus, U., and Subbiah, V. 2000. The *DYAD* gene is required for progression through female meiosis in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **127**: 197–200.
- Sieburth, L. and Meyerowitz, E.M. 1997. Molecular dissection of the *AGAMOUS* control region shows that *cis* elements for spatial regulation are located intragenetically. *Plant Cell* **9**: 355–365.
- van der Krol, A.R. and Chua, N.-H. 1991. The basic domain of plant B-ZIP proteins facilitates import of a reporter protein into plant nuclei. *Plant Cell* **3**: 667–675.
- Villanueva, J., Broadhvest, J., Hauser, B., Meister, R., Schneitz, K., and Gasser, C. 1999. *INNER NO OUTER* regulates abaxial-adaxial patterning in *Arabidopsis* ovules. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 3160–3169.
- Webb, M.C. and Gunning, B.E.S. 1990. Embryo sac development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. I. Megasporogenesis, including the microtubular cytoskeleton. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* **3**: 244–256.

***WUSCHEL* signaling functions in interregional communication during *Arabidopsis* ovule development**

Rita Groß-Hardt, Michael Lenhard and Thomas Laux

Genes Dev. 2002, **16**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.225202](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.225202)

References

This article cites 33 articles, 20 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/16/9/1129.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).