Role of *DIVARICATA* in the control of dorsoventral asymmetry in *Antirrhinum* flowers

Lisete Galego¹ and Jorge Almeida^{1,2,3}

¹Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, 2780 Oeiras, Portugal; ²Instituto Superior de Agronomia, 1349-017, Lisboa, Portugal

Dorsoventral asymmetry of the *Antirrhinum* corolla depends on expression of the *CYC* and *DICH* genes in dorsal petals. One role of these genes is to inhibit *DIVARICATA* (*DIV*), a determinant of ventral identity. Therefore, in *cyc;dich* double mutants ventral identity spreads all around the flower. We show that *DIV* encodes a protein belonging to the MYB family of transcription factors. Early on in corolla development, *DIV* affects specifically the growth of ventral and lateral petals but is transcribed in all petals. Analysis of a closely related gene suggests that the lack of effect on dorsal petals is not due to redundancy. More likely, therefore, *DIV* is regulated posttranscriptionally through a mechanism that depends on *CYC* and *DICH*. Later on, *DIV* affects growth and cell types and is transcribed mostly in a single layer of cells of ventral and lateral petals. This late pattern may itself depend on *DIV* activity because it fails to be established in a transcribed but inactive *div* mutant and, conversely, spreads all around the flower in *cyc;dich* double mutants.

[Key Words: Flower development; dorsoventrality; *Antirrhinum*; *DIVARICATA*; MYB; transposons]

Received November 23, 2001; revised version accepted February 11, 2002.

Dorsoventral asymmetry of flowers is thought to have evolved several times from a radially symmetric condition. In *Antirrhinum* and *Linaria*, two closely related members of the *Scrophulariaceae*, this asymmetry depends on expression of the *CYCLOIDEA* (*CYC*) gene in dorsal regions of the floral meristem (Luo et al. 1996; Cubas et al. 1999a). A similar pattern of expression has been found for a counterpart of *CYC* in *Arabidopsis*, a species with symmetric flowers that is distantly related to *Antirrhinum* (Cubas et al. 2001). This has suggested that a common ancestor with symmetric flowers already had an asymmetric pattern of gene expression that has been recruited several times to generate asymmetric flowers. Changes in gene interactions involving a *CYC* gene from this ancestor would account for the morphological manifestation of dorsoventral asymmetry. Gene interactions might in addition help explain how particular dorsoventral patterns evolved. To investigate such interactions we analyzed *DIVARICATA* (*DIV*), a gene that interacts with *CYC* to determine the dorsoventral pattern in *Antirrhinum* flowers (Almeida et al. 1997).

Antirrhinum flowers have four types of organs: sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels arranged in concentric whorls. Within each whorl there are several organs with different identities depending on their positions relative

to a dorsoventral axis of the flower (Fig. 1). Dorsoventral asymmetry is particularly conspicuous in the corolla, which comprises five petals of three identities; two dorsal, two lateral, and one ventral. Each of the dorsal and lateral petals is itself dorsoventrally asymmetric, whereas the ventral petal is symmetric about the single plane of floral bilateral symmetry. Analysis of mutants in which this pattern is altered has led to the identification of four genes, *CYC*, *RADIALIS* (*RAD*), *DICHOTOMA* (*DICH*), and *DIVARICATA*, that control the overall asymmetry of the corolla or the asymmetries of individual petals in *Antirrhinum* (Stubbe 1966; Carpenter and Coen 1990; Luo et al. 1996, 1999; Almeida et al. 1997).

CYC is required for lateral and dorsal identities as its inactivation results in partially ventralized phenotypes in which the lateral petals have symmetric ventral identity and the dorsal petals have laterodorsal, still asymmetric, identity. A similar ventralized phenotype is conferred by mutations in *RAD*. In *dich* mutants, the ventral and lateral petals remain as in wild type, but the asymmetry of the dorsal petals becomes reduced. The effects of *cyc* and *dich* on individual petals relate to a partially redundant role of the two genes in determining dorsoventral asymmetry. Thus, in double *cyc;dich* mutants, dorsoventral asymmetry is completely lost in the flower as a whole, which becomes radially symmetrical, and in individual petals, which adopt ventral identity (Fig. 1). In line with their redundant role, *CYC* and *DICH* are both specifically expressed in a dorsal domain of the

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL almeida@itqb.unl.pt; FAX 351-214411277.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.221002>.

Figure 1. Floral diagrams of wild type and dorsoventral mutants in *Antirrhinum*. Petals are in various colors, with all other organs in pale gray. Ventral identity is in yellow, lateral in brown, and dorsal in blue. The dorsal petal is divided in dorsal (dark blue) and lateral (pale blue) halves. In single *dich* mutants the dorsal half adopts a pale blue identity. The lateral petal is divided in three regions (shades of brown). *div* has very little or no effect on its most dorsal region (darkest brown) and affects its most ventral region (palest brown). In *Div;div* heterozygotes the lateral petal remains as in wild type while the ventral petal adopts the palest brown identity (see Almeida et al. 1997). Note that unlike *cyc;dich*, the *div* mutation has no effect on stamens.

floral meristem and later on in dorsal organs, and encode similar proteins belonging to the TCP family of transcription factors (Cubas et al. 1999b). However, within dorsal petals, the late domain of *DICH* expression is restricted to their most dorsal half, but that of *CYC* spreads through almost the entire petal.

Ventralization in the mutants has suggested that *CYC* and *DICH* have a role in inhibiting the spread of ventral identity toward dorsal positions. A candidate target for such inhibition is *DIV*, a determinant of ventral and lateral identities (Almeida et al. 1997). Similarly to *cyc* and *dich*, *div* mutants show a reduction in dorsoventral asymmetry. However, the polarity of the effect of *div* is opposite to that of *cyc* and *dich*. Thus, in *div* mutants a domain spanning the ventral petal and adjacent parts of the lateral petals adopts a more lateral identity, whereas the dorsal petals and the most dorsal part of the lateral petals remain as in wild type (Fig. 1). Consistent with a view that *CYC* and *DICH* inhibit *DIV*, the *DIV* domain spreads all around the flower in a background mutant for both *cyc* and *dich* as shown by the analysis of mutant combinations. Although double *cyc;dich* mutants are completely ventralized, triple *cyc;dich;div* mutants have lateral identity all around the flower.

We describe the isolation and analysis of *DIV* and of a series of *div* mutant alleles and show that *DIV* encodes a

protein of the MYB family of transcription factors. At early stages of corolla development, *DIV* influences specifically the growth of ventral and lateral petals. However, *DIV* is transcribed in all primordia irrespective of their dorsoventral positions. It is unlikely that the lack of effect of *DIV* in dorsal petals is due to redundancy, because inactivation of a *DIV*-like gene (*DVL*) either on its own or in combination with a *div* null mutant has no effect on corolla morphology. Instead, the patterns of expression and activity suggest that *DIV* is inhibited post-transcriptionally in dorsal petals through a mechanism that depends on *CYC* and *DICH*. Late in corolla development, high levels of *DIV* mRNA accumulate in the inner epidermal layer of ventral and lateral petals, whereas low expression occurs across layers in dorsal regions. This ventral pattern of transcription appears to depend on *DIV* activity because it fails to be established in a transcribed but inactive *div* mutant. In contrast, in *cyc;dich* double mutants *DIV* is active in all petals, and therefore its ventral pattern of transcription spreads all around the flower.

Results

Isolation of the *DIV* gene

Transposon tagging has been the strategy adopted for gene isolation based on mutant phenotypes in *Antirrhinum* (e.g., Martin et al. 1985; Coen et al. 1990). This strategy requires the availability of unstable mutants carrying transposon insertions. However, in the case of the classical *div* mutant allele (*div-35*), no instability has ever been detected, suggesting that *div-35* carries either a mutation unrelated to transposons or a stable insertion. Therefore, to isolate *DIV*, we first sought to obtain novel *div* mutants caused by transposon insertions by screening populations derived from selfed, highly inbred lines carrying active transposons (Carpenter and Coen 1990; Luo et al. 1991).

The classical *div* mutant allele (*div-35*) is semidominant to wild type. Both ventral and lateral petals are affected in mutant homozygotes (strong phenotype). In heterozygotes the ventral petal is affected, giving a weak but clearly mutant phenotype (Fig. 2). This semidominance is due to haploinsufficiency of the wild-type allele rather than to modified activity of the mutant allele (Almeida et al. 1997). Therefore, we screened the transposon-mutagenized populations for insertions that either abolish or strongly reduce *DIV* activity (as in the strong phenotype) or that reduce weakly the activity of *DIV* (as in the weak phenotype of heterozygotes). With this screen, we identified plants with the weak phenotype in two independent families. Selfing these plants gave only progeny with the weak phenotype, which suggests that they were homozygous for weak *div* mutant alleles rather than heterozygous for wild type and a strong allele. Consistent with this, crossing the novel mutants to *div-35* gave a moderately strong phenotype in the F_1 generation (Fig. 2). In the F_2 generation, no wild-type phenotypes occurred. Instead, a 1:2:1 segregation of

Figure 2. Phenotypes of wild type and *div* mutants. Flowers are oriented to show the ventral side of the corolla. (A) Wild type. (B) Weak mutant phenotype of heterozygotes for wild type and *div* null alleles or of homozygotes for weak alleles. (C) Moderately strong phenotype of heterozygotes for weak and null alleles. (D) Strong phenotype of null alleles. The petals are fused at the base, forming a tube that ends in the lobes. The arrows indicate the position where the tube ends in the ventral petal. In wild type this position is hidden by the petal lobe. At the boundary between lateral and dorsal petals, tube length is similar for all phenotypes (for a detailed description of phenotypes, see Almeida et al. 1997).

strong, moderately strong, and weak phenotypes was observed, showing that the new mutants were allelic to *div-35*. Thus, as expected, crossing the new mutants to wild type gave only wild-type or nearly wild-type progeny in the F_1 generation, which in the F_2 generation segregated 3 wild-type or nearly wild-type to 1 weak. We therefore concluded that in both cases the weak phenotypes were due to mutations in the *DIV* locus that caused partial reductions in *DIV* activity. These novel *div* alleles were named *div-1* and *div-2*.

Neither of the two weak alleles gave wild-type revertant progeny, and no linkage between *div* phenotypes and various *Antirrhinum* transposons was detected by Southern analysis of segregating families. Therefore, we adopted an alternative, map-based, strategy for gene isolation. *DIV* is located 6 cM away from *PALLIDA* (*PAL*), a gene controlling flower color (Martin et al. 1985). Null *pal* mutants have ivory flowers unlike the red wild-type flowers. Several *pal* alleles conferring altered color patterns have been described (Coen et al. 1986; Almeida et al. 1989). Most of these alleles carry small promoter deletions that, relative to wild type, change the color pattern along the length of the flower (tube/lobe). However, an exceptional allele, *pal-41*, carries a large-scale inversion with a breakpoint in the *pal* promoter and the other at a locus, reciprocal (*rcp*), which is tightly linked to *div* (Fig. 3A; Robbins et al. 1989; Almeida et al. 1997). This allele confers an altered dorsoventral pattern of color, with red pigment accumulating mostly in ventral and lateral petals. One explanation might be that the inversion brought the *PAL* gene under the control of sequences normally regulating *DIV*. This would imply that *DIV* was located between *RCP* and *PAL* and only a few kilobases away from *RCP*, because the inversion has no effect on flower shape.

On the above assumption, we compared the restriction patterns of *div-1* and *div-2* with their wild-type isogenic sibs by Southern analysis using the *Bam*HI–*Eco*RI fragment containing *rcp* as probe (Fig. 3A). Both mutants had altered restriction patterns relative to wild type. For example, with *Bam*HI, wild type gave a 17-kb segment whereas *div-1* and *div-2* gave 18-kb and 13-kb segments,

respectively (Fig. 3B,C). Together with the tight linkage between *div* and *rcp* (further extended by analysis of families segregating for the novel alleles), this result indicated that the region of the 17-kb *Bam*HI segment contained the *DIV* gene. This was confirmed by molecular cloning and characterization of that region in wild-type and *div* mutant alleles, as described below (see also Materials and Methods).

DIV encodes a MYB protein

Using a genomic segment of the *DIV* locus as probe, we isolated a clone from an inflorescence cDNA library (Simon et al. 1994). The *DIV* cDNA had an open reading frame (ORF) encoding a protein of 307 amino acids. Mapping the transcription initiation site for the corresponding mRNA showed that the ORF was preceded by a long leader of 0.9 kb. As determined by aligning cDNA and genomic sequences, *DIV* had a single intron of 260 nucleotides. RFLP analysis using the cDNA as probe revealed that there was an unlinked closely related gene in the *Antirrhinum* genome. Isolation and analysis of this gene (*DIVARICATA*-like, *DVL1*) showed that it encoded a protein of 291 amino acids with 72% identity to *DIV*. *DVL1* also had a single intron at the same position and with the same size as the intron in *DIV*.

Searching databases showed that *DIV* had significant similarity to proteins of the MYB family of transcription factors. As first found in animals, these factors contain three characteristic imperfect repeats of a domain of ~50 amino acids that is involved in DNA binding (Tanikawa et al. 1993). In plants, numerous variants of MYB proteins containing one to three of the characteristic repeats have been described (Jin and Martin 1999). *DIV* has two regions with MYB-like domains (Fig. 3D). The proteins with similarity to *DIV* could be divided in three classes according to similarities in the MYB domains.

Proteins in class I had identity to *DIV* in both MYB domains and a similar overall structure with the MYB I domain near the N terminus, separated from the MYB II domain by a variable spacer and with a variable C-terminal segment. In addition, all class I genes had a single

Figure 3. The *div* locus and mutants. (A) Chromosomal positions of *rcp*, *div*, and *pal*. The boxes indicate genes and their orientations. Restriction sites are *Eco*RI (E), *Bam*HI (B), and *Hind*III (H). (B) Maps of *DIV* and *div* alleles. Black boxes are coding segments and gray boxes transcribed nontranslated regions. Transcription is from left to right. Triangles represent transposons. The white box shows the deletion in *div-0*. Arrows represent oligonucleotides (see Materials and Methods). (C) Southern blots of genomic DNA from the alleles indicated above the lanes. *Bam*HI digests were probed with the *Bam*HI-*Eco*RI segment containing the *rcp* locus (left panel). *Hind*III digests were probed with a cDNA clone (right panel). The cDNA initiates 166 bp to the left of the *Tam3* insertion site, thus detecting a faint 6.6-kb band in *div-0*. A segment of the *DVL1* gene is detected in the right panel. (D) Sequences of wild type and *div-35*. The main sequence is that of *DIV* in line J12. The black triangle shows the position of the intron. Insertions or substitutions in *DIV-25* and *div-35* (shown in italic) result in the amino acid changes indicated below the main sequence. The underlined TCCA sequence is deleted in *div-35* with the resulting frame-shift shown below the main sequence. Underlined amino acid sequences contain the MYB domains (I and II).

intron at the same position different from other MYB genes. One of the class I proteins, LeMYBI (Q9SCB4; Fig. 4) of tomato, has been shown to bind DNA and activate transcription (Rose et al. 1999). It is unlikely that LeMYBI is a DIV ortholog because several proteins with higher identity to DIV than LeMYBI were found in *Arabidopsis*, *Hevea*, and rice, all species less closely related to *Antirrhinum* than tomato. The identity between DIV and DVL1 was higher than between any of these two proteins and a number of class I proteins from *Arabidopsis*. This suggests that *DIV* and *DVL1* arose following a duplication that occurred after the split between the lineages leading to *Antirrhinum* and *Arabidopsis*.

Class II proteins had only the MYB II domain and in-

cluded MYBST1, the first protein found in plants with a single MYB domain (Baranowskij et al. 1994). Both class I and class II had the characteristic motif SHAQKY in the MYB II domain (Rose et al. 1999). The MYB II domain of DIV had higher identity to that of MYBST1 than to MYB II domains of some class I proteins. This suggests that diversity of MYB II domains reflects functional constraints under which they have evolved, because all class I genes have a similar overall structure different from that of class II genes (Fig. 4B).

Proteins of class III had only the MYB I domain and included a number of proteins from plants for which no biochemical or other role is known and proteins from animals (e.g., MIDA1) that function in the control of

Figure 4. (A) Alignment of regions containing the MYB I (top) and II (bottom) domains of class I proteins in order of their relatedness to DIV. (Am) *Antirrhinum majus*, (At) *Arabidopsis thaliana*, (Os) *Oryza sativa*, (Hb) *Hevea brasiliensis*, (Le) *Lycopersicon esculentum*. Identities and alignments were according to Altschul et al. (1990) and Thompson et al. (1994). (B) Evolution of MYB II domains. The sequence above is that of SQY9, an *Arabidopsis* class I protein not shown in A. The sequence below is that of MYBSt1, a class II protein (one-MYB repeat). Residues in the black boxes are identical to those in DIV. Triangles represent introns. In having two MYB domains and from the position of its intron, DIV belongs to class I (above). However, DIV is more similar to MYBSt1 than to SQY9.

aspects of cell division (Shoji et al. 1995). Unlike in the case of the MYB II domain, which has been shown to bind DNA, the MYB I domain of class I proteins appears to be dispensable for DNA binding (Rose et al. 1999). This has led to the suggestion that the two domains may function in different ways, consistent with the observation that within class I proteins, the MYB I domains were more variable than the MYB II domains (Fig. 4A).

The div mutant alleles

Weak alleles carry transposon insertions upstream of the transcribed region The weak alleles *div-1* and *div-2* carried transposon insertions upstream of the *DIV* transcription unit (Fig. 3). *div-2* had an insertion of *Ram1*, a transposon previously trapped at the *INCOLORATA* locus (S. Doyle, R. Carpenter, and E. Coen, pers. comm.). *div-1* had an insertion of a novel transposon of ~1 kb (*Tam11*). Unlike most *Antirrhinum* transposons so far characterized, which have short terminal inverted repeats and cause target duplications of 3 or 8 bp, *Tam11* had long terminal inverted repeats and caused the dupli-

cation of a 9-bp target. Southern analysis indicated that the *Antirrhinum* genome carried several copies of *Tam11* (<10; data not shown). No long ORF was found within *Tam11*, suggesting that the copy at *div* may be a nonautonomous one. Although *Tam11* resembled Mu elements of maize in having long terminal inverted repeats (Barker et al. 1984), database searches revealed no homologs of *Tam11* in other species. The observation that these insertions confer weak phenotypes indicates that they partially reduce the expression of *DIV*. Presumably, the insertions could disrupt upstream *DIV* sequences that are important for normal expression. Alternatively, the transposons carry sequences that partially inhibit *DIV* expression.

div-35 encodes a truncated protein Northern and RT-PCR analysis showed that the strong *div-35* allele was transcribed (data not shown), suggesting that it might carry a mutation in the coding region. The sequence of *div-35* cDNA showed several changes in the coding region relative to our wild-type reference sequence (stock J12). All but one of these changes were in-frame insertions or silent or conservative nucleotide substitutions

(Fig. 3D). The one exceptional change that could likely explain the *div-35* strong phenotype was a 4-bp deletion that would result in a C-terminal truncated protein. This was tested by sequencing a wild-type *DIV* allele contained in stock JI25 (*DIV-25*). As shown previously, although JI25 is wild type with respect to *DIV*, its restriction pattern in the region of *rcp* is identical to that in *div-35* and different from that in JI2, suggesting that *div-35* could have arisen from *DIV-25* (Almeida et al. 1997). The sequence of *DIV-25* was fully consistent with our expectations because, except for the 4-bp deletion, *DIV-25* was identical to *div-35*.

Deletion of the *DIV* gene (*div-0*) confers a strong phenotype The finding that *div-35* was transcribed and encoded a truncated protein retaining the MYB domains raised the question of whether it might have some activity. To address this problem, we generated a deletion mutant of *DIV* by following a two-step procedure. The first step involved selecting for an insertion of the transposon Tam3 in *DIV*, by PCR-based screening of a library of transposon-mutagenized plants (Davies et al. 1999). Tam3 has the advantages that its activity is favored in plants grown at 15°C and that it can give rise to deletions of flanking sequences (Ingram et al. 1997). Therefore, in a second step, these properties should enable us to select for a transposon-induced deletion of *DIV*.

The first step yielded a weak allele, *div-3*, carrying Tam3 in the transcribed, nontranslated leader of the *DIV* gene (Fig. 3C). *div-3* was unstable, or leaky, producing flowers with phenotypes in the weak to wild-type range. As expected, wild-type revertants carrying typical Tam3 excision footprints (Coen et al. 1989) occurred in progenies of *div-3* homozygotes (data not shown). For the second step, we carried out a PCR-based selection for deletions affecting the *DIV* coding region. This screen yielded a deletion, *div-0*, with a break-point at the 3' end of Tam3 and the other 744 bp downstream of the *DIV* transcription termination site, hence removing the entire *DIV* coding sequence. *div-0* conferred a strong phenotype identical to that of *div-35*. We therefore concluded that *div-35* is inactive and that the *DIV* gene is specifically required for determining the identities of ventral and lateral petals.

Phenotypic effects of *DIV* during flower development

The phenotypic consequences of *DIV* activity were analyzed by comparing wild-type and *div-0* mutant flowers at different developmental stages by scanning electron microscopy and histological analysis. Wild-type flower development has been divided into 15 stages, each comprising 3–5 nodes, that is, about 2 d (10 h separate each node at 25°C; Carpenter et al. 1995; Luo et al. 1996, 1999; C. Vincent, R. Carpenter, and E. Coen, pers. comm.). Wild-type and *div-0* phenotypes were similar from stages 0 to 5 (data not shown). During these stages, bracts arose in the periphery of the apical inflorescence meristem (stage 0), floral meristems were generated and grew in the axils of bracts (stages 1–3), and floral organs arose

sequentially in floral meristems (sepals at stage 4 and petals and stamens at stage 5).

For both wild-type and *div-0*, dorsoventral asymmetry of the flower, which is established before stage 5 (Luo et al. 1996), was already evident in the corolla at stage 6 (a stage defined by the emergence of two carpels in the center of the flower). At this stage the dorsal petals differed in shape from the ventral and lateral ones, and the most dorsal stamen showed retarded growth relative to the remaining stamens (Fig. 5A). However, individual organs showed little if any dorsoventral asymmetry. It is possible that at stage 6 the ventral and lateral petals had increased in length to a slightly greater extent in wild-type than in mutant flowers. This effect on growth became more obvious through stages 7 and 8, when in wild-type plants the margins of the ventral and lateral petals came closer to the carpel covering the stamens completely, but in the mutant plants the stamens and the ventral carpel remained exposed. Therefore, a clear early effect of *DIV* was an increase in the length of ventral and lateral petals. This enhanced growth was accompanied by an effect on petal shape. Up to stage 7, ventral and lateral petals had a similar round shape in both wild type and *div-0*. During stages 7 and 8, the wild-type ventral petal progressively adopted a more rectangular shape in contrast to the roundness of the lateral petals. In the mutant, however, the ventral petal retained the round shape at stage 8.

By stage 9, a furrow that corresponds to the boundary between corolla tube and lobes developed across ventral and lateral petals in both wild-type and mutant plants. From stage 9 onward this furrow became a pronounced fold in the wild type but not in the mutant, as can be seen in longitudinal sections at stage 10 (Fig. 6D,E). In the wild type, this was accompanied by a much greater increase of tube length in the ventral petal and adjacent regions of the lateral petals than in the dorsal region of the lateral petal. As a result, the wild-type lateral petal became highly asymmetric (see stage 15 in Fig. 2; Almeida et al. 1997). In the mutant, tube length of the ventral petal and adjacent parts of the lateral petals did not increase to the same extent as in wild type, hence the reduction in lateral asymmetry.

To investigate further the effect of *DIV*, we compared medial longitudinal sections of wild-type and mutant ventral petals at several developmental stages. Sections stained with DAPI to reveal nuclei showed that the ventral petals in wild type at stage 7 and mutant at stage 8 were similar with rather evenly spread cells (Fig. 5B). At stage 9, cells became unevenly spread in wild type, with the highest density of nuclei in a domain of the inner epidermis around the furrow. In contrast, no obvious asymmetry in the density of nuclei was detected in the mutant at stage 9.

In addition to affecting growth and shape, *DIV* had effects on cell types. For example, stripes of trichomes occur on the internal surface of the tube around the boundaries between ventral and lateral petals of wild type but not in the mutant (Almeida et al. 1997). These trichomes were clearly visible by stage 9 in wild type

Figure 5. Development of wild type and *div-0*. (A) Scanning electron micrographs of buds from stages 6 to 9 (dorsal is to the top). Sepals were removed to show the corolla on the outside. The arrows show the ventral furrow. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (B) Medial longitudinal sections of ventral petals stained with DAPI to show nuclei. The inner side (carpel side) of the petals is to the right. (Top left) Wild type at stage 7, (top right) *div-0* at stage 8. (Bottom) Stage 9 sections. The arrow indicates the epidermal layer around the furrow with high density of cells. Note that the base of the wild-type petal at stage 9 is not shown.

and did not arise in *div-0* buds at any stage (data not shown).

Patterns of *DIV* transcription

Mutant analysis indicated that *DIV* activity had consequences specifically in ventral and lateral petals. To determine whether this reflected a specific pattern of *DIV* transcription, we carried out RNA in situ hybridization on sections of wild-type inflorescences (Fig. 6). In longitudinal sections, *DIV* mRNA was detected in the apical inflorescence meristem, in bract primordia arising in its periphery and in floral meristems produced in the axils of bracts (stages 0–3; Fig. 6A,B). From stage 3 to stage 8, transcripts were detected in all floral organs irrespective of their dorsoventral positions (Fig. 6B). As a control, the *div-0* mutant did not give detectable signal (data not shown). Therefore, *DIV* transcription largely preceded any obvious *DIV*-dependent morphological effect and incorporated but was not restricted to the region affected in mutants.

From stage 9 onward *DIV* mRNA became barely detectable in bracts, sepals, and stamens. In the corolla, however, *DIV* expression was maintained and enhanced in some regions. Within ventral and lateral petals at stage 9, *DIV* adopted an asymmetric pattern of expression with high levels of transcripts in the inner epidermis of the furrow and very reduced levels in the remaining cell layers (Fig. 6C). Thus, *DIV* was expressed at stage 9 mostly in the domain of high density of cells revealed in DAPI-stained sections, a pattern that was maintained through later stages (Fig. 6D). In the dorsal petals, from stage 9 onward, *DIV* transcripts were still detected but with a more even distribution across cell layers than in the ventral petal. To determine the extent to which the inner–outer asymmetry of *DIV* transcription spread along the dorsoventral axis, we analyzed transverse sections through wild-type buds at stage 10. The domain expressing high levels of *DIV* mRNA in the inner epidermis ended or started fading within the lateral petals (Fig. 6E). Thus, the dorsoventral domain of high *DIV* expression coincided with the region affected in mutants.

Figure 6. RNA in situ hybridization of wild-type and mutant sections probed with *DIV*. (A) Longitudinal section through a wild-type inflorescence showing the apical inflorescence meristem (im), bracts (b), floral meristem (fm), and a stage 6 bud with ventral (v) and dorsal (d) petals. (B,C) Medial longitudinal sections through wild-type buds at stages 7 (B) and 9 (C). The arrow in C points to the inner layer of the furrow. (D,E) Medial longitudinal sections of ventral petals of wild type (D) and *div-35* (E) at stage 10 showing the fold in wild type (arrow). (F) Transverse section of wild type at stage 10. Dashes show the positions of petal boundaries (which are in the medial planes of stamens). Lateral petals are marked (l). (G,H) Medial longitudinal (G) and transverse (H) sections of *cyc;dich* double-mutant buds at stage 10. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

To investigate whether the late ventral pattern of *DIV* transcription itself depended on *DIV* activity, we analyzed the expression pattern of *div-35*, an allele that, as described above, is transcribed but inactive. From stage 9 onward, *div-35* mRNA was detected across cell layers in the ventral region without any obvious inner–outer asymmetry (Fig. 6F). Therefore, establishment of the late ventral pattern of *DIV* transcription depends, directly or indirectly, on *DIV* activity. This predicted that in *cyc;dich* double mutants the late ventral pattern of *DIV* transcription should spread all around the flower because in such mutant background *DIV* is active in all petals. Analysis of the pattern of *DIV* transcription in *cyc;dich* mutant flowers confirmed this prediction. In these flow-

ers, *DIV* mRNA accumulated mostly in the inner epidermis of the corolla furrow, as seen in longitudinal sections (Fig. 6G), and all around the flower, as seen in transverse sections (Fig. 6H).

Analysis of redundancy in *DIV* function

Loss of *DIV* function had no effect in dorsal petals, although these petals expressed *DIV* mRNA. This lack of effect might be caused by redundancy, a possibility raised by the finding that *DIV* had a closely related gene, *DVL1*. Analysis of the pattern of *DVL1* expression showed that it was transcribed in meristems and in all floral organs at early stages, similarly to *DIV* (Fig. 7). At

Figure 7. RNA in situ hybridization of wild-type sections probed with *DVL1*. (A) Inflorescence (im) and floral (fm) meristems. (B) Stage 6 bud. (C) Stage 9 bud showing low expression in the ventral furrow (arrow) and high expression in ovules (o). Scale bar, 100 μ m.

later stages, however, *DVL1* was transcribed mostly in ovules and showed very little expression in the region of the corolla furrow (Fig. 7C). Such a pattern would be consistent with *DVL1* and *DIV* having an overlapping early role, but divergent functions at later stages.

To investigate this further, we carried out a PCR-based screen for transposon insertions in *DVL1*. The screen yielded an allele, *dv11-3*, carrying Tam3 in the intron (Fig. 8). There was no obvious phenotype, possibly because the insertion had not inactivated the gene. We therefore carried out a second PCR-based selection for deletions adjacent to Tam3 in progenies of plants carrying *dv11-3*. This screen gave an allele, *dv11-0*, carrying a deletion with a breakpoint in Tam3 and the other in the second exon (Fig. 8). Thus, the 3'-splice acceptor site together with a coding segment of the conserved MYB II domain were removed. *dv11-0* plants had the same morphology as wild type. To test whether this was because *DIV* could completely substitute for a function of *DVL1*, we constructed *div-0;dv11-0* double mutants. These mutants had the *div-0* phenotype without any additional obvious morphological change. Observations of ovules, which expressed high levels of *DVL1* mRNA, showed no

difference between *dv11* mutants and wild type, and the mutants were fully fertile and produced normal seedlings.

Discussion

We have isolated the *DIV* gene using a map-based strategy combined with transposon mutagenesis. *DIV* encodes a protein belonging to the MYB family of transcription factors. In plants, this is a family that comprises numerous members with diverse functions. For example, in *Antirrhinum*, the *MIXTA* gene controls cell shape, and *PHANTASTICA* is involved in leaf development (Noda et al. 1994; Waites et al. 1998). MYB genes can be divided in various classes according to structural similarities. Members of the particular class to which *DIV* belongs have two MYB domains separated by a variable spacer and a variable C-terminal segment. An additional diagnostic property is that genes in the *DIV* class have a single intron at the same position. One of these genes encodes the I-box binding factor of tomato, which has been shown to bind DNA and activate transcription,

Figure 8. Structure of *dv11* alleles. Black boxes are coding segments, and the white box is the intron. Transcription is from left to right. Arrows are oligonucleotides (see Materials and Methods). (E) *Eco*RI. The boxed sequence is from the intron and Tam3. Numbers above the box are positions in Tam3 (Hehl et al. 1991). The sequence in bold is the target duplication produced by the insertion of Tam3. The line at the bottom shows the deletion in *dv11-0*.

although its function is unknown by mutational analysis (Rose et al. 1999). In the case of *DIV*, comparison of flower development in wild type and null mutants revealed specific effects on the identities of ventral and lateral petals that can be divided into early effects on growth and late effects on growth and cell types.

The earliest detectable consequence of *DIV* activity is an increase in the length of ventral and lateral petals at stage 6, corresponding to ~2 d after petal primordia arise in the floral meristem (stage 5). This effect becomes more obvious in subsequent stages, so that by stage 8 the ventral and lateral petals are considerably longer in wild type than in *div* mutants. *DIV* could promote growth through an effect on cell proliferation, as suggested by the observation that the wild-type ventral petal at stage 7 resembles that of the *div* mutant at stage 8 in size and density of cells. The effect on growth is restricted to the ventral petal and its adjacent regions of the lateral petals. Thus, *DIV* activity results in the establishment of distinctions between ventral and lateral petals together with an increase in the asymmetry of lateral petals.

Although the *DIV*-dependent increase in length is restricted to ventral and lateral petals, *DIV* is transcribed in all petals. Therefore, early on in corolla development, the regional specificity of *DIV* activity is not attributable to transcriptional regulation. Instead, the lack of effect of *DIV* in dorsal petals could be caused by posttranscriptional regulation or redundancy. To test for redundancy, we have analyzed a gene, *DVL1*, encoding a protein with 72% identity to *DIV* and a similar early pattern of expression. A *dvl1* null mutant, on its own or in combination with a *div* null mutant, had no further consequences on corolla morphology, suggesting that the lack of effect of *DIV* in dorsal regions is not due to redundancy. It is therefore possible that *DIV* is inhibited posttranscriptionally in dorsal petals. Such inhibition depends on the action of the dorsal genes *CYC* and *DICH*. However, these genes encode transcription factors and are expressed dorsally through the same early stages as *DIV* (Luo et al. 1996, 1999). Therefore, the negative effect of *CYC* and *DICH* on *DIV* is likely to be indirect.

By later stages of corolla development (stage 9), effects of *DIV* on cell types become manifest. The most obvious effect is the formation of stripes of trichomes on the inner surface of the corolla to either side of the boundary between ventral and lateral petals. In addition, *DIV* has further late effects on growth. At stage 9, a furrow that corresponds to the boundary between corolla tube and lobes develops across the ventral and lateral petals in wild type and mutant. However, a domain of closely spaced cells is formed in the inner epidermis around this furrow in wild type but not in the *div* mutant. These cells express high levels of *DIV* transcripts, whereas cells in other layers of the furrow or in dorsal petals express low levels. From stage 9 onward, this pattern is maintained while the furrow grows to form a pronounced fold in wild type but not in the mutant. This growth might again reflect an effect of *DIV* on cell proliferation in line with the finding that cells in the inner layer around the furrow also show preferential expression of cell cycle

related genes such as *CYCLIN D3b* and *HISTONE H4* (Gaudin et al. 2000).

The late ventral pattern of *DIV* transcription becomes established at stage 9, whereas effects of *DIV* on growth are already manifest at stage 6. Furthermore, this pattern fails to be established in *div-35*, a transcribed but inactive mutant. This suggests that *DIV* activity is required for generating the ventral pattern of *DIV* transcription. One consequence is that this pattern spreads all around the flower in *cyc;dich* double mutants because *DIV* activity is no longer inhibited in dorsal regions. A requirement for *DIV* in determining its own expression pattern could reflect its involvement in an autoregulatory loop. An example of one such loop involving a *MYB* gene required for cell proliferation is that of B-*MYB* in animals. The activity of B-*MYB* is enhanced through phosphorylation by *CYCLIN A1/CDK2* complexes, whereas transcription of the *CYCLIN A1* and B-*MYB* genes themselves is regulated by B-*MYB* (Muller-Tidow et al. 2001). No such cases have been documented in plants, although some cell cycle related genes are thought to be regulated by *MYB* factors (e.g., Ito et al. 2001).

The results above might help explain how the dorsoventral pattern of *Antirrhinum* flowers evolved. It is unlikely that the generation of dorsoventral asymmetry in the *Antirrhinum* lineage resulted directly from coupling the activities of *DIV* and *CYC* ancestral genes, because *div* mutant flowers are still asymmetrical. More likely, once dorsoventral asymmetry became established, *DIV* was recruited for further elaboration of the dorsoventral pattern. This could have occurred by *cis*-regulatory changes in *DIV* that enhanced and prolonged its expression. Such changes would have consequences restricted to ventral and lateral petals because *DIV* is inhibited posttranscriptionally in dorsal petals through a mechanism that depends on *CYC*. Therefore, the establishment of dorsoventral asymmetry would have been a prerequisite for the role of *DIV* to evolve. An outcome of the enhanced *DIV*-dependent growth was that the corolla became closed. Closed corollas also occur in asymmetric flowers of some members of diverse families (e.g., *Utricularia* in the *Lentibulariaceae*), suggesting that this configuration may have evolved several times independently, perhaps as an adaptation to the protection of reproductive organs (Olmstead et al. 1992; Endress 1996). Analysis of *DIV* counterparts in these families might therefore provide further insight into how this trait may have evolved.

Materials and methods

Plants

Alleles *div-1* and *div-2* were selected for in M2 families of a transposon mutagenesis experiment described elsewhere (Carpenter and Coen 1990; Luo et al. 1991) and kindly provided by R. Carpenter and E. Coen. The families in which these novel mutants arose were derived from J12. The *div-3* and *div-0* alleles were also derived from selfed J12 plants as described below. The classical *div-35* allele, originally contained in line J135 (Almeida et al. 1989), and the *cyc;dich* double mutant have been described

elsewhere (Luo et al. 1996; Stubbe 1966; Almeida et al. 1997). The *dvl1* allele carrying Tam3 was derived from selfed plants of line J198. For double-mutant construction, single *div-0* was crossed to single *dvl1-0*. In the resulting F₂ families all possible genotypic combinations were then identified by Southern analysis.

Isolation and analysis of DIV and DVL1

Wild-type genomic DNA was digested with *Bam*HI and fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis. A fraction of ~17 kb was purified and used to prepare a partial library in λ DASH, which was probed with a 5-kb *Bam*HI–*Eco*RI segment of the *rcp* locus (Fig. 3). Various segments of a wild-type λ clone obtained in this way were subcloned into pBluescript (Stratagene) and used as probes against Northern blots of inflorescence mRNA. A 2-kb-long mRNA was detected with a 4-kb *Pst*I–*Xba*I segment located to the right side of the insertion in *div-2* (Fig. 3). No transcripts were detected using probes to the left of the insertion in *div-2*. The *Pst*I–*Xba*I fragment was therefore used to isolate a clone from an inflorescence cDNA library. The sequence of the cDNA was matched against that of a 6720-bp genomic region from 958 bp to the left side of Tam11 to the *Hind*III site on the right side of *DIV* (Fig. 3). The *DIV* transcription start site was determined by 5'-RACE (GIBCO BRL). A complete cDNA was then obtained by RT-PCR.

Probing a family derived by selfing a *DIV;div-35* heterozygote with a subclone of the *DIV* cDNA that comprised both MYB domains revealed an additional locus giving 3.5- or 12-kb *Eco*RI bands that segregated independently of *div*. The 3.5-kb segment was isolated from a partial library in λ ZAP (Stratagene) and shown to contain the 5' part of the *DVL1* gene. The 3' end was obtained by 3'-RACE using standard primers for the 3' end and primer H3 (CCTCCCAAATCTCTGCATTCTTCAC, 84 bp upstream of ATG toward 3'; Fig. 8).

Analysis of mutant alleles and PCR-based selection for mutants

A clone of *div-2* was isolated from a partial library of the 13-kb *Bam*HI genomic fraction, constructed in λ DASH as for wild type. The structure of the *div-2* allele was determined by sequencing a subclone containing the left end of the insertion (Ram 1) and the flanking sequence of *DIV*. Ram 1 was inserted 288 bp upstream of the *DIV* transcription initiation site. The insertion in *div-1* was mapped by Southern analysis and then characterized by sequencing a PCR product obtained with primers D16 (GAGAGTTGAGAACCGTG) and D17 (CTATAGTG CACTGGTGC) for *DIV* flanking sequences (Fig. 3). Tam 11 was inserted 2599 bp upstream of the *DIV* transcription initiation site, duplicating the target sequence GTTTGGCGG. *div-35* was characterized by sequencing both a cDNA spanning the entire coding region obtained by RT-PCR and a genomic segment amplified by PCR. The sequence of *DIV-25* was likewise obtained from PCR-amplified genomic DNA.

The libraries used in the selection for transposon insertions were described elsewhere (Davies et al. 1999) and kindly provided by E. Keck, R. Carpenter, and E. Coen (John Innes Institute, Norwich, UK). The libraries were screened with various primers for *DIV* or *DVL1* in pairs with primers for either the 3' or 5' end of Tam3. *div-3* was detected using primer D4 (CAT GCGTTCCGAAAGTGAAG, 3' end of coding region toward 5'; Fig. 3) and a primer for the 3' end of Tam3 (Ingram et al. 1997) in a library derived from line J12. The insertion in *DVL1* was detected using primer H7 (CTGAACCCAAATTGACAGGC ATC; Fig. 8) and the 3' end of Tam3 in a library from line J198.

To select for Tam3-induced deletions, plants carrying the *div-3* or *dvl1-3* alleles were grown at 15°C. Pooled cotyledons from progeny seedlings of those plants were then used to prepare DNA, which was screened for deletions by PCR, following essentially the strategy described by Ingram et al. (1997). However, no permanent libraries were in this case established because, for economy of space, only the selected plants were potted. The *div-0* allele was detected using primer D25 (CACTC GTCCCTGCCAATTTCTGTC; Fig. 3) and the 3' end of Tam3. The *dvl1-0* allele was detected using primer H7 and an internal primer for Tam3, primer B (GAAACGGTCTTGCAATGGA TGGA; Fig. 8). The structures of all alleles were determined by sequencing the PCR products and confirmed by Southern analysis of genomic DNA in segregating families.

Microscopy and in situ hybridization

Preparation of samples for scanning electron microscopy was as described by Carpenter et al. (1995). For cell nuclei detection, tissue was prepared and stained as described by Fobert et al. (1994). In situ hybridization was essentially as described by Bradley et al. (1993). cDNA segments from *DIV* or *DVL1* were subcloned in pBluescript and used for producing digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes. Segments corresponding to the entire *DIV* cDNA or to its 5' or 3' nontranslated regions all gave the same result. The specificity of the *DIV* in situ signal was further confirmed by Northern and RT-PCR analysis of RNA from dissected corollas of wild-type or *div-35* buds at stages 9–11. Northern blots showed that *DIV* or *div-35* mRNA accumulated in all petals of wild-type or *div-35* buds, respectively, whereas, as a control, *CYC* mRNA was detected only in dorsal petals. RT-PCR using primers between positions 247 and 456 (Fig. 3D), which detect a *div-35* segment of 219 bp and a wild type segment of 210 bp, showed that wild-type buds only produced a wild-type transcript in all petals, and *div-35* buds only produced a *div-35* transcript in all petals.

Acknowledgments

We thank E. Coen, R. Carpenter, E. Keck, L. Copsey, and C. Vincent for providing mutants and mutant libraries and for advice on SEM; and E. Coen for discussions and constructive criticism of the manuscript. We thank T. Nunes and T.G. Antunes for the use of the SEM facility at the FCUL. This work was funded by the Portuguese Government (FCT-Sapiens 36221) and by the EU.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Almeida, J., Carpenter, R., Robbins, T.P., Martin, C., and Coen, E.S. 1989. Genetic interactions underlying flower color patterns in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Genes & Dev.* 3: 1758–1767.
- Almeida, J., Rocheta, M., and Galego, L. 1997. Genetic control of flower shape in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Development* 124: 1387–1392.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215: 403–410.
- Baranowskij, N., Frohberg, C., Prat, S., and Willmitzer, L. 1994. A novel DNA binding protein with homology to myb containing only one repeat can function as a transcription acti-

- vator. *EMBO J.* **13**: 5383–5392.
- Barker, R.F., Thompson, D.V., Talbot, D.R., Swanson, J., and Bennetzen, J. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of the maize transposable element Mu1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **12**: 5955–5967.
- Bradley, D., Carpenter, R., Sommer, H., Hartley, N., and Coen, E. 1993. Complementary floral homeotic phenotypes results from opposite orientations of a transposon at the *plena* locus of *Antirrhinum*. *Cell* **72**: 85–95.
- Carpenter, R. and Coen, E.S. 1990. Floral homeotic mutations produced by transposon-mutagenesis in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Genes & Dev.* **4**: 1483–1493.
- Carpenter, R., Copsey, L., Vincent, C., Doyle, S., Magrath, R., and Coen, E. 1995. Control of flower development and phyllotaxy by meristem identity genes in *Antirrhinum*. *Plant Cell* **7**: 2001–2011.
- Coen, E., Carpenter, R., and Martin, C. 1986. Transposable elements generate novel spatial patterns of gene expression in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Cell* **47**: 285–296.
- Coen, E.S., Robbins, T.P., Almeida, J., Hudson, A., and Carpenter, R. 1989. Consequences and mechanisms of transposition in *Antirrhinum majus*. In *Mobile DNA* (eds. D. Berg and M. Howe), pp. 411–434. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Coen, E., Romero, J.M., Doyle, S., Elliot, R., Murphy, G., and Carpenter, R. 1990. *Floricaula*: A homeotic gene required for flower development in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Cell* **63**: 1311–1322.
- Cubas, P., Vincent, C., and Coen, E. 1999a. An epigenetic mutation responsible for natural variation in floral symmetry. *Nature* **401**: 157–161.
- Cubas, P., Lauter, N., Doebley, J., and Coen, E. 1999b. The TCP domain: Motif found in proteins regulating plant growth and development. *Plant J.* **18**: 215–222.
- Cubas, P., Coen, E., and Zapater, J.M. 2001. Ancient asymmetries in the evolution of flowers. *Curr. Biol.* **10**: 1050–1052.
- Davies, B., Motte, P., Keck, E., Saedler, H., Sommer, H., and Schwarz-Sommer, Z. 1999. *PLENA* and *FARINELLI*: Redundancy and regulatory interactions between two *Antirrhinum* MADS-box factors controlling flower development. *EMBO J.* **18**: 4023–4034.
- Endress, P.K. 1996. *Diversity and evolutionary biology of tropical flowers*. Cambridge Tropical Biology Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Fobert, P.R., Coen, E., Murphy, G.J.P., and Doonan, J. 1994. Patterns of cell division revealed by transcriptional regulation of genes during the cell cycle in plants. *EMBO J.* **13**: 616–624.
- Gaudin, V., Lunness, P.A., Fobert, P.R., Towers, M., Riou-Khamilichi, C., Murray, J.A.H., Coen, E., and Doonan, J. 2000. The expression of *D-Cyclin* genes defines distinct developmental zones in Snapdragon apical meristems and is locally regulated by the *Cycloidea* gene. *Plant Physiol.* **122**: 1137–1148.
- Hehl, R., Nacken, W.K., Krause, A., Saedler, H., and Sommer, H. 1991. Structural analysis of Tam3, a transposon from *Antirrhinum majus*, reveals homologies to the Ac element of maize. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **16**: 369–371.
- Ingram, G.C., Doyle, S., Carpenter, R., Schultz, E.A., Simon, R., and Coen, E. 1997. Dual role for *fimbriata* in regulating floral homeotic genes and cell division in *Antirrhinum*. *EMBO J.* **16**: 6521–6534.
- Ito, M., Araki, S., Matsunaga, S., Itoh, T., Nishihama, R., Machida, Y., Doonan, J., and Watanabe, A. 2001. G2/M-phase-specific transcription during the plant cell cycle is mediated by c-Myb-like transcription factors. *Plant Cell* **13**: 1891–1905.
- Jin, H. and Martin, C. 1999. Multifunctionality and diversity within the plant MYB gene family. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **41**: 577–585.
- Luo, D., Carpenter, R., Doyle, S., and Coen, E. 1991. Pigmentation mutants produced by transposon mutagenesis in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Plant J.* **1**: 59–69.
- Luo, D., Carpenter, R., Vincent, C., Copsey, L., and Coen, E.S. 1996. Origin of floral asymmetry in *Antirrhinum*. *Nature* **383**: 794–799.
- Luo, D., Carpenter, R., Copsey, L., Vincent, C., Clark, J., and Coen, E.S. 1999. Control of organ asymmetry in flowers of *Antirrhinum*. *Cell* **99**: 367–376.
- Martin, C., Carpenter, R., Sommer, H., Saedler, H., and Coen, E. 1985. Molecular analysis of instability in flower pigmentation of *Antirrhinum majus*, following isolation of the pallida locus by transposon tagging. *EMBO J.* **4**: 1625–1630.
- Muller-Tidow, C., Wang, W., Idos, G.E., Diederichs, S., Yang, R., Readhead, C., Berdel, W.E., Serve, H., Saville, M., Watson, R., et al. 2001. Cyclin A1 directly interacts with B-myb and cyclinA1/cdk2 phosphorylate B-myb at functionally important serine and threonine residues: Tissue-specific regulation of B-myb function. *Blood* **97**: 2091–2097.
- Noda, K., Glover, B.J., Linstead, P., and Martin, C. 1994. Flower colour intensity depends on specialized cell shape controlled by a Myb-related transcription factor. *Nature* **369**: 661–664.
- Olmstead, R.G., Michaels, H.J., Scott, K.M., and Palmer, J.D. 1992. Monophyly of the *Asteridae* and identification of their major lineages inferred from DNA sequences of *rbcL*. *Ann. Missouri Botanical Garden* **79**: 249–265.
- Robbins, T.P., Carpenter, R., and Coen, E. 1989. A chromosome rearrangement suggests that donor and recipient sites are associated during Tam 3 transposition in *Antirrhinum majus*. *EMBO J.* **8**: 5–13.
- Rose, A., Meier, I., and Wienand, U. 1999. The tomato I-box binding factor LeMYB1 is a member of a novel class of Myb-like proteins. *Plant J.* **20**: 641–652.
- Shoji, W., Inoue, T., Yamamoto, T., and Obinata, M. 1995. MIDA1, a protein associated with Id, regulates cell growth. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 24818–24825.
- Simon, R., Carpenter, R., Doyle, S., and Coen, E. 1994. *Fimbriata* controls flower development by mediating between meristem and organ identity genes. *Cell* **78**: 99–107.
- Stubbe, H. 1966. *Genetik und Zytologie von Antirrhinum L. sect. Antirrhinum*. VEB Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena.
- Tanikawa, J., Yasukawa, T., Enarmi, M., Ogata, K., Nishimura, Y., Ishii, S., and Sarai, A. 1993. Recognition of specific DNA sequences by the c-myb protooncogene product: Role of three repeat units in the DNA binding domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **90**: 9320–9324.
- Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., and Gibson, T.J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**: 4673–4680.
- Waites, R., Selvadurai, H.R.N., Oliver, I.R., and Hudson, A. 1998. The *PHANTASTICA* gene encodes a MYB transcription factor involved in growth and dorsoventrality of lateral organs in *Antirrhinum*. *Cell* **93**: 779–789.

Role of *DIVARICATA* in the control of dorsoventral asymmetry in *Antirrhinum* flowers

Lisete Galego and Jorge Almeida

Genes Dev. 2002, **16**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.221002](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.221002)

References

This article cites 32 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/16/7/880.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).