FGF18 is required for normal cell proliferation and differentiation during osteogenesis and chondrogenesis

Norihiko Ohbayashi,^{1,2} Masaki Shibayama,^{1,2} Yoko Kurotaki,³ Mayumi Imanishi,¹ Toshihiko Fujimori,³ Nobuyuki Itoh,¹ and Shinji Takada^{2,4,5,6}

¹Department of Genetic Biochemistry, Kyoto University Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan; ²Center for Molecular and Developmental Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan; ³Department of Pathology and Tumor Biology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan; ⁴Kondoh Differentiation Signaling Project, Exploratory Research for Advanced Technology, Japan Science and Technology Corporation, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8305, Japan; ⁵Center for Integrative Bioscience, Okazaki National Research Institutes, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan

Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling is involved in skeletal development of the vertebrate. Gain-of-function mutations of FGF receptors (FGFR) cause craniosynostosis, premature fusion of the skull, and dwarfism syndromes. Disruption of *Fgfr3* results in prolonged growth of long bones and vertebrae. However, the role that FGFs actually play in skeletal development in the embryo remains unclear. Here we show that *Fgf18* is expressed in and required for osteogenesis and chondrogenesis in the mouse embryo. *Fgf18* is expressed in both osteogenic mesenchymal cells and differentiating osteoblasts during calvarial bone development. In addition, *Fgf18* is expressed in the perichondrium and joints of developing long bones. In calvarial bone development of *Fgf18*-deficient mice generated by gene targeting, the progress of suture closure is delayed. Furthermore, proliferation of calvarial osteogenic mesenchymal cells is decreased, and terminal differentiation to calvarial osteoblasts is specifically delayed. Delay of osteogenic differentiation is also observed in the developing long bones of this mutant. Conversely, chondrocyte proliferation and the number of differentiated chondrocytes are increased. Therefore, FGF18 appears to regulate cell proliferation and differentiation positively in osteogenesis and negatively in chondrogenesis.

[Key Words: FGF; osteogenesis; chondrogenesis; craniosynostosis; achondroplasia; gene targeting]

Received November 28, 2001; revised version accepted February 13, 2002.

The vertebrate skeleton is generated by two distinct mechanisms: intramembranous ossification and endochondral ossification. In intramembranous ossification, mesenchymal precursor cells condense and convert directly to bone-forming osteoblasts that secrete bone matrix proteins. This process is responsible for generating the flat bones of the skull in vertebrates (Opperman 2000). Endochondral ossification accounts for the formation of the vertebrae and long bones, and in this process mesenchymal cells first differentiate into cartilage, which provides the template for bone formation by osteoblasts (Olsen et al. 2000).

In both mechanisms, the entire process is coordinated by the action of members of secreting signaling molecule families, including FGF, TGF- β , IGF, Wnt, and hedgehog (Hartmann and Tabin 2000; Olsen et al. 2000; Opperman

2000). Evidence from human and mouse genetics indicates contribution of FGF signaling in these two mechanisms. Gain-of-function mutations in genes encoding FGFR1, FGFR2, and FGFR3 cause craniosynostosis, which is characterized by premature fusion of the cranial sutures (Malcolm and Reardon 1996; Webster and Donoghue 1997; Wilkie 1997; Burke et al. 1998). In addition to genetic studies, implantation of beads soaked with FGF2 or FGF4 around sutures results in promotion of osteogenic differentiation and suture closure (Iseki et al. 1997, 1999; Sarkar et al. 2001). FGF signaling is therefore considered to be involved in intramembranous ossification. However, studies on null mutations in *Fgfr1* and *Fgfr2* have shown early embryonic lethality (Deng et al. 1994; Yamaguchi et al. 1994; Arman et al. 1998; Xu et al. 1998), which has so far precluded analysis of the function of these genes in osteogenesis, whereas no calvarial defects have been observed in *Fgfr3* loss-of-function mutants (Colvin et al. 1996; Deng et al. 1996). Null mutants for *Fgf2* show abnormalities in maintaining bone mass and bone formation in the adult mouse, but not in the embryo (Zhou et al. 1998; Montero et al. 2000). Thus, it has

⁶Corresponding author.

E-MAIL stakada@nibb.ac.jp; FAX 81-75-753-4265.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.965702>.

not yet been revealed that FGF signaling is actually required for calvarial development. On the other hand, gain-of-function mutations in *Fgfr3* also result in dwarfism, of which the most common is achondroplasia (Rousseau et al. 1994; Shiang et al. 1994; Webster and Donoghue 1996; Naski et al. 1998; Chen et al. 1999; Wang et al. 1999; McLean and Olsen 2001). In addition, gene disruption studies of mouse *Fgfr3* have revealed that FGFR3-mediated signaling inhibits proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes in the formation of the vertebrae and long bones, indicating that FGF signaling is essential for chondrogenesis in the process of endochondral ossification (Colvin et al. 1996; Deng et al. 1996). However, uncertainty remains as to which members of the Fgf family are actually required for chondrogenesis.

During both osteogenesis and chondrogenesis, FGF signaling is considered to regulate cell proliferation and differentiation. In calvarial ossification, several lines of evidence show that FGF signaling inhibits proliferation and/or accelerates differentiation of osteogenic cells. For instance, Iseki et al. (1997, 1999) showed that suture closure induced by grafting FGF2-soaked beads is associated with a localized decrease in cell proliferation and increased expression of *osteopontin* (*Opn*), a marker for osteogenic differentiation. In contrast, evidence also indicates that FGF2 enhances cell proliferation in cultures of mesencephalic neural crest cells, which give rise to osteoblasts (Sarkar et al. 2001). This apparent inconsistency in the action of FGF signaling is probably owing to variations in the differentiation stage of osteogenic cells used for individual experiments. In fact, Mansukhani et al. (2000) showed that immature osteoblasts respond to FGF treatment with increased proliferation, whereas FGF in differentiating osteoblasts does not induce DNA synthesis. To clarify the real function of FGF signaling in osteogenesis, genetic analysis of members of the FGF family and components of this signaling pathway should be important. On the other hand, FGF signaling appears to negatively regulate both proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes. *Fgfr3*-deficient mice show expansion of proliferating and hypertrophic chondrocytes, resulting in progressive outgrowth of the long bones (Colvin et al. 1996; Deng et al. 1996).

The FGF family of cell signaling molecules is composed of at least 22 members in the mouse (Ornitz and Itoh 2001). Although FGF signaling has been implicated in bone development, studies on null mutant mice have not yet revealed the role of this family in skeletal development (Ornitz and Itoh 2001). This suggests that another candidate *Fgf* gene that plays an essential role in bone development may exist. Interestingly, a member of this family, FGF18 (Hu et al. 1998; Ohbayashi et al. 1998), is known to show regulatory activity in bone development. Ectopic application of FGF18 protein in the chick limb bud inhibits bone growth in the limb (Ohuchi et al. 2000). As an attempt to identify another candidate *Fgf* gene essential for bone formation, we have therefore precisely examined the expression of mouse *Fgf18* in comparison with those of *Fgfrs* using *in situ* hybridiza-

tion and show that *Fgf18* is coexpressed with some of the *Fgfrs* during calvarial and long bone development. Furthermore, to investigate the role of FGF18 *in vivo*, we generated a null allele of the *Fgf18* locus by homologous recombination in ES cells. Homozygous mutant mice for the targeted *Fgf18* allele showed striking defects in both osteogenesis and chondrogenesis.

Results

Expression of Fgf18 during bone development in the mouse

In calvarial development, the expression of *Fgf18* was first detected in osteogenic mesenchymal cells surrounding the brain at 12.5 days postcoitum (dpc; Fig. 1A). At this stage, *Fgfr1c*, *Fgfr2c*, and *Fgfr3c* were expressed in ventral mesenchyme, where ossification is initiated (Fig. 1B–D, blue arrows). At 14.5 dpc, these three *Fgfr* genes were expressed in addition to *Fgf18* in osteogenic mesenchyme (Fig. 1E–H). After 16.5 dpc, *Fgf18* was expressed in differentiating osteoblasts and osteogenic mesenchyme between the osteogenic fronts (Fig. 1I). *Fgfr1c* was expressed in cells at the osteogenic front and in osteoblasts, whereas *Fgfr2c* and *Fgfr3c* were expressed intensely in cells at the osteogenic front (Fig. 1J–L; Kim et al. 1998; Johnson et al. 2000; Rice et al. 2000).

In addition, *Fgf18* expression was detected in developing long bones. *Fgf18* was strongly expressed in the perichondrium and developing joint at 14.5 dpc (Fig. 1M). The similar expression pattern was observed at 18.5 dpc, along with expression in the perichondrium (Fig. 1N). At this stage, *Fgfr2c* was expressed in the perichondrium, periosteum, and endosteum (Fig. 1O; Orr-Urtreger et al. 1991; Delezoide et al. 1998; Britto et al. 2001), whereas *Fgfr3c* was expressed in proliferating and prehypertrophic chondrocytes (Fig. 1P; Peters et al. 1993; Wuechner et al. 1996; Delezoide et al. 1998).

Targeted disruption of Fgf18 gene

To investigate the functions of FGF18 in mouse development, we generated a null allele by inserting an *IRES LacZ* gene into the third exon of *Fgf18* using the gene targeting method (Fig. 2A). In this construct, the *IRES LacZ* sequence was inserted at the position corresponding to the 16th amino acid downstream of the signal peptide cleavage site (Hu et al. 1998). Because all alleles of the FGF family members that have been truncated around this position are inactive, the successive targeting allele of *Fgf18* was expected to be null (Ornitz and Itoh 2001). A genomic Southern blot with 5' and 3' flanking probes and PCR analysis confirmed that the predicted target allele was generated (Fig. 2B,C). Targeted ES cells were injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts, and the resulting male chimeric offspring were bred to C57BL/6 females. Mice carrying a heterozygous mutation in *Fgf18* were viable, fertile, and morphologically normal except for slight distortions at the end of the tail (data not shown). LacZ staining in *Fgf18*^{+/-} embryos revealed that

Figure 1. Expression of *Fgf18* and *Fgf* receptors in bone development. Localization of *Fgf18* (A,E,I,M,N), *Fgfr1c* (B,F,J), *Fgfr2c* (C,G,K,O), and *Fgfr3c* (D,H,L,P) mRNA during calvarial bone development at 12.5 dpc (A–D), 14.5 dpc (E–H), and 16.5 dpc (I–L), and during tibial development at 14.5 dpc (M) and 18.5 dpc (N–P). ^{35}S -labeled RNA probes of these genes were hybridized on cranial frontal sections and tibial longitudinal sections. (Blue arrows) Ventral osteogenic mesenchyme, (green arrows) osteogenic fronts, and (black arrows) developing joint.

expression of the *LacZ* reporter was detected as predicted by in situ hybridization analysis (data not shown). Interbreeding of *Fgf18*^{+/-} mice to generate *Fgf18*^{-/-} progeny resulted only in wild-type and heterozygote offspring in a 1:2 ratio, indicating that loss of *Fgf18* results in embryonic lethality (Table 1). To determine when embryonic lethality occurred, genotyping of litters taken at 9.5–18.5 dpc was performed. Of the embryos recovered at each embryonic stage, ~25% were homozygotes. Therefore,

loss of FGF18 function leads to lethality just before or at birth.

Skeletal abnormalities in *Fgf18*-deficient embryos

To assess whether the loss of *Fgf18* causes defects in bone development, embryos at 16.5 and 18.5 dpc were stained with Alcian blue and Alizarin red (Fig. 3A–H). Ossification of frontal and parietal bones is initiated at

Figure 2. Targeted disruption of the *Fgf18* gene. (A) Diagram of the *Fgf18* locus (top), the targeting vector (middle), and the mutant allele (bottom). The location of the fragments used as probes in Southern blotting are shown, in addition to the size of restriction fragments detected by the probe. Open arrowheads (P1, P2, and P3) represent primers used in PCR screening. (Ev) *EcoRV*; (S) *SacII*; (Xh) *XhoI*. (B) Southern blot analysis showing accurate 3' and 5' targeting of the *Fgf18* locus. The >30-kb and 11.5-kb fragments detected with the 3' probe correspond to the wild-type and mutant alleles, respectively. The 20-kb and 14.5-kb fragments detected with the 5' probe correspond to the wild-type and mutant alleles, respectively. (C) PCR genotyping of embryos showing fragments from the wild-type (489 bp; P1/P3) and mutant (335 bp; P2/P3) alleles.

Table 1. Embryonic and postnatal survival of progeny obtained from *Fgf18*^{+/-} intercrosses

Stage	Genotype			Total
	+/+	+/-	-/-	
E9.5	39 (21.3%)	99 (54.1%)	45 (24.6%)	183
E12.5	43 (32.6%)	56 (42.4%)	33 (25.0%)	132
E14.5	32 (26.7%)	61 (50.8%)	27 (22.5%)	120
E16.5	23 (21.9%)	49 (46.7%)	33 (31.4%)	105
E18.5	51 (24.3%)	105 (50.0%)	54 (25.7%)	210
P0	16 (38.1%)	26 (61.9%)	0 (0.0%)	42

the ventrolateral sides of plates of osteogenic mesenchyme overlying the brain, and progresses dorsomedially along each plate. In *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos, unossified areas appeared wider than in wild-type embryos in the metopic and sagittal sutures, which separate the frontal and parietal bones, respectively, at the midline (Fig. 3E–H). Ossification appeared to be delayed by 1–2 d in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos at 18.5 dpc. Unossified areas were also wider in the coronal sutures, located between the frontal and parietal bones (data not shown). A cleft palate was also observed in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos at 18.5 dpc (data not shown). The progress of calvarial ossification was therefore delayed in *Fgf18*^{-/-} mice.

In addition to the calvarial defect, skeletal abnormali-

Figure 3. Skeletal analysis of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. Skeletal preparations of whole structures (A,B), hindlimbs (C,D), and crania (E–H) at 18.5 dpc (A–D,G,H) and 16.5 dpc (E,F) stained with Alizarin red and Alcian blue in wild-type (A,C,E,G) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (B,D,F,H) embryos.

ties were observed in the vertebrae and long bones. In the vertebrae, the prominent phenotype was kyphosis in the cervical and upper thoracic spine observed at 18.5 dpc (Fig. 3A,B). Histological analysis revealed that bend of the spinal column was first observed at 13.5 dpc (data not shown). The shape of the ribs was also abnormal, resulting in reduction of the volume of the thoracic cavity (Fig. 3B). The long bones in both the fore- and hindlimbs appeared thick and short. In particular, the lengths of the ulna, radius, and tibia were decreased, and ossification of the fibula appeared incomplete (Fig. 3C,D).

Calvarial bone defects in *Fgf18* mutants

To examine delayed calvarial ossification in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos more precisely, we next performed histological analysis and in situ hybridization, focusing on expression of *Msx2* and *Fgfr2c*, which are normally expressed in the osteogenic mesenchyme and osteogenic front, respectively (Kim et al. 1998; Rice et al. 2000). The results clearly showed that the distance between osteogenic fronts in the metopic and sagittal sutures appeared wider in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos than in wild-type embryos at 18.5 and 16.5 dpc, but not in the presumptive sutures at 14.5 dpc (Fig. 4A–F; data not shown). Suture closure was therefore actually delayed in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos after 16.5 dpc. On the contrary, no obvious abnormalities were observed in tissues outside of the skeletal system, suggesting that delay of the suture closure is likely to be a direct effect of *Fgf18* on the skeletal cells.

Some evidence has suggested that proliferation of os-

Figure 4. Delay of suture closure in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. Frontal sections around the sagittal sutures of wild-type (A,C,E) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (B,D,F) embryos at 18.5 dpc stained with hematoxylin-eosin (A,B), and hybridized with *Msx2* (C,D) and *Fgfr2c* (E,F) probes. Green arrowheads indicate the positions of the osteogenic fronts.

teogenic cells may be involved in the process of suture closure. For instance, implantation of FGF4-soaked beads results in increased proliferation of osteogenic mesenchymal cells at the suture in addition to accelerating suture closure (Kim et al. 1998). The P250R mutation in *Fgfr1* produces premature suture fusion in mice, and increased proliferation of osteogenic cells at the suture is again observed (Zhou et al. 2000). Therefore, we next investigated proliferation of osteogenic cells in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos using BrdU immunohistochemistry. At 12.5 dpc, no obvious difference in the proportion of BrdU-positive cells was observed in the cranial region (Fig. 5C). At 14.5 dpc, the proportion of BrdU-positive cells was specifically reduced in osteogenic mesenchymal cells overlying the brain (Fig. 5A–C). The proportion of BrdU-positive osteogenic cells was decreased even in the wild type at 16.5 dpc, but a difference was no longer observed between wild-type and *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 5C). These results indicate that FGF18 is required for maintenance of the highly proliferative ability of the osteogenic mesenchymal cells at 14.5 dpc.

We next examined whether osteoblast differentiation is defective in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. *Opn* and *osteocalcin* (*Oc*) are normally expressed in differentiated osteoblasts (Nakase et al. 1994; Iseki et al. 1997, 1999). However, expression of *Opn* was severely decreased in calvarial osteoblasts at 14.5 dpc (Fig. 6A,B), whereas its expression appeared at the same level as the wild type at 16.5 dpc (data not shown). Expression of *Oc* was also severely decreased at 18.5 dpc (Fig. 6E,F). In addition, Alizarin red

staining showed decreased calvarial bone mineralization (data not shown). In contrast, expression of *cbfa1* normally begins at an earlier stage of osteogenic differentiation than *Opn* and *Oc* (Komori et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997), but was clearly detected in calvarial osteoblasts of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos from 12.5 dpc to 18.5 dpc (Fig. 6C,D,G,H; data not shown). These results indicated that FGF18 is not required for an earlier differentiation to *cbfa1*-expressing preosteoblasts, but is required for the normal progression of terminal differentiation to osteoblasts, which express *Opn* and *Oc* in addition to *cbfa1*. Therefore, both defective proliferation of osteogenic mesenchyme and delay of terminal differentiation to osteoblasts are likely to contribute to delay of ossification in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos.

Long bone defects in *Fgf18* mutants

To examine defects in the long bones of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos more closely, we first performed histological analysis. In endochondral ossification, chondrocytes sequentially transit through resting, proliferating, prehypertrophic, and hypertrophic stages. Histology of the growth plate indicated increased numbers of prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos at 18.5 dpc (Fig. 7A,B). To confirm this histological observation, in situ hybridization analysis was performed using several markers expressed during chondrogenesis. *PTHrP receptor* (*PTHrP-R*) and *Indian hedgehog* (*Ihh*) are normally expressed in prehypertrophic chondro-

Figure 5. Reduced proliferation of osteogenic cells and increased proliferation of chondrocytes in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. (A,B) BrdU labeling in the mediodorsal regions of the crania of wild-type (A) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (B) embryos in the same litter at 14.5 dpc. Blue arrows indicate osteogenic mesenchymal cells labeled with BrdU. Counterstaining was performed with nuclear fast red. Artifactual separation of the layers of osteogenic mesenchyme from the dermal epithelium and neuroepithelium occurred during the process of fixation. (C,D) The proportion of BrdU-positive cells in osteogenic mesenchyme and in dermal epithelium in the mediodorsal regions of the crania at 12.5, 14.5, and 16.5 dpc (C) and in the proliferating chondrocytes at 18.5 dpc (D) of wild-type (open bars) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (solid bars) mice.

Figure 6. Delay in maturation of osteoblasts in *Fgf18*^{-/-} calvarial bone. Expression of *Opn* (A,B), *Oc* (E,F), and *Cbfa1* (C,D,G,H) in wild-type (A,C,E,G) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (B,D,F,H) embryos at E14.5 (A–D) and E18.5 (E–H). ³⁵S-labeled RNA probes of these genes are hybridized on cranial frontal sections. Note that the expression of *Opn* and *Oc* in osteoblasts is obviously reduced, whereas expression of *Cbfa1* is unchanged.

cytes and prehypertrophic and early hypertrophic chondrocytes, respectively (Lanske et al. 1996; Vortkamp et al. 1996; St-Jacques et al. 1999). The number of cells expressing *PTHrP-R* and *Ihh* was increased in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 7C–F). Furthermore, the number of cells expressing *type X collagen* (*ColX*), a marker for the hypertrophic chondrocyte (Iyama et al. 1991), was also increased (Fig. 7G,H). These results indicated that FGF18 is required for negative regulation of differentiation into prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes. In addition, histological analysis indicated that the number of proliferating chondrocytes was increased in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 7A,B). To examine proliferation of chondrogenic cells in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos, BrdU labeling analysis of the growth plate was performed. At 18.5 dpc, the proportion of BrdU-positive cells was specifically increased in proliferating chondrocytes in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos (Fig. 5D).

This result indicates that the absence of FGF18 leads to increased proliferation of chondrocytes.

To examine whether osteogenic differentiation is defective in the developing long bones of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos,

Figure 7. Enlarged proliferative and hypertrophic zone of chondrocytes and inhibited differentiation to osteoblasts in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. (A–L) Longitudinal sections of the proximal tibia of wild-type (A,C,E,G,I,K) and *Fgf18*^{-/-} (B,D,F,H,J,L) embryos at 18.5 dpc stained with hematoxylin-eosin (A,B), and hybridized with *PTHrP-R* (C,D), *Ihh* (E,F), *ColX* (G,H), *Opn* (I,J), and *Oc* (K,L) probes. (RC) Resting chondrocytes; (PC) proliferating chondrocytes; (HC) hypertrophic chondrocytes.

expression of *Opn* and *Oc* was analyzed by in situ hybridization. Expression of *Opn* and *Oc* was decreased in the tibia and metatarsal bones (Fig. 7I–L; data not shown). Therefore, FGF18 is required for the differentiation to osteoblasts in the development of the long bones as well as in that of the calvarial bones.

Discussion

Fgf18 is required for both osteogenesis and chondrogenesis in bone development

The characterization of *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos showed that this gene is required for both osteogenesis and chondrogenesis in the skeletal development of the mouse (Fig. 8). In calvarial development, *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos showed decreases in both proliferation of osteogenic mesenchymal cells (Fig. 5) and progression to the terminally differentiated osteoblasts (Fig. 6). Furthermore, in developing long bones of *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos, decreased terminal differentiation to *Opn*- and *Oc*-positive osteoblasts was also observed (Fig. 7I–L). Based on these results, we consider that FGF18 is required for the promotion of both proliferation of osteogenic mesenchymal cells and terminal differentiation to mature osteoblasts.

On the other hand, *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos showed increased numbers of proliferating chondrocytes in addition to prehypertrophic and hypertrophic chondrocytes in the developing long bone (Fig. 7A–H). Furthermore, an increased proportion of BrdU-positive proliferating chondrocytes was observed in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos, indicating that the posited negative regulation by FGF18 on chondrogenic proliferation. FGF18 therefore appears to play opposing roles during cell proliferation and differentiation in chondrogenesis to those it plays in osteogenesis.

Mechanism of calvarial development regulated by FGF18

The role of FGF18 in the proliferation of osteogenic mesenchyme may explain the considerable delay of suture closure in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos. Gain-of-function mutations in *Fgfr1*, *Fgfr2*, and *Fgfr3* are known to result in defects opposite in nature to those observed in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos, namely, premature closure of the suture (Malcolm and Reardon 1996; Webster and Donoghue 1997; Wilkie 1997; Burke et al. 1998). Therefore, FGF signaling positively regulates the progression of suture closure. Interestingly, we found that the above three *Fgfrs* were transiently coexpressed with *Fgf18* in the osteogenic mesenchyme at 12.5 dpc and 14.5 dpc and in the osteogenic front at 16.5 dpc (Fig. 1A–L). Therefore, delay of suture closure, a calvarial phenotype observed after 16.5 dpc in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos, might be a consequence of earlier cellular events occurring in osteogenic mesenchymal cells and/or in preosteoblasts along the osteogenic front. In fact, decrease of cell proliferation in the osteogenic mesenchymal cells began at 14.5 dpc in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos, whereas it began at 16.5 dpc in the wild type (Fig. 5A–C). Therefore, maintenance of proliferation of these cells may affect the progress of suture formation. If this hypothesis is true, the delay of suture closure in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos would be attributable to decreased proliferation of osteogenic mesenchymal cells, whereas the premature suture closure observed in gain-of-function mutants of *Fgfr1*, *Fgfr2*, and *Fgfr3* would be caused by increased proliferation of these cells. In addition, since another member of the FGF family, *Fgf9*, is still expressed in the osteogenic mesenchymal cells in *Fgf18*^{−/−} embryos (data not shown), persistence of incomplete proliferative activity of the osteogenic mesenchymal cells caused by remain-

Figure 8. Schematic representation of the expression and the roles of FGF18 in osteogenesis (A) and chondrogenesis (B). The differentiation stages and domains at which *Fgf18* and *Fgfrs* are expressed are indicated by the rectangles. (A) In calvarial osteogenesis, osteogenic mesenchymal cells, which are characterized by expression of *Msx2*, differentiate to osteocytes through preosteoblasts, which express *Cbfa1*, but not *Opn* and *Oc*, and osteoblasts, which express *Cbfa1*, *Opn*, and *Oc*. *Fgf18* is expressed in osteogenic mesenchyme, preosteoblasts, and osteoblasts. FGF18 positively regulates the proliferation of osteogenic mesenchyme and the differentiation to osteoblasts. FGFR2c, which can bind with high affinity to FGF18 and is transiently coexpressed with FGF18, may act as a receptor for FGF18 in this process. FGFR3c may function redundantly with FGFR2c (see Discussion). (B) In chondrogenesis of the long bone, the maturation of chondrocytes takes place through resting, proliferating, prehypertrophic, and hypertrophic stages. The hypertrophic chondrocyte is finally replaced by osteoblasts, which give rise to trabecular bone. FGF18 signaling negatively regulates the proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes. In this case, FGF18 is expressed in the perichondrium and is likely to act on chondrocytes through FGFR3c.

ing FGF activity might result in delay, but not complete loss, of ossification in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos.

FGF18 is also required for a process of the osteogenic differentiation specifically from *cbfa1*⁺, *Opn*⁻, and *Oc*⁻ preosteoblasts to *cbfa1*⁺, *Opn*⁺, and *Oc*⁺ osteoblasts (Figs. 6 and 8). This event occurs in preosteoblasts that were left ventrolaterally to the osteogenic fronts in the dorsomedial progress of suture closure. Therefore, a defect in this event may not be a cause for delay of the progress of the osteogenic fronts in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. Rather, FGF18 appears to play a role in maturation of the osteoblasts, another process in the ossification. In vitro experiments show that FGF2 up-regulates *Oc* mRNA accumulation and *Oc* promoter activity in phenotypically immature MC3T3-E1 calvarial osteoblastic cells in the presence of cyclic AMP. FGF signaling may therefore directly activate the transcription of *Opn* and *Oc* (Boudreaux and Towler 1996; Newberry et al. 1997) in osteogenic cells in vivo.

Receptor for FGF18

As we have already mentioned, *Fgfr1c*, *Fgfr2c*, and *Fgfr3c* are transiently coexpressed with *Fgf18* during calvarial development, suggesting that one or more of these proteins may act as a receptor for FGF18 during calvarial development (Fig. 1A–L).

Mitogenic activity of FGF18 for FGFRs-expressing cells showed that FGF18 can interact strongly with FGFR3c and modestly with FGFR2c, but not with FGFR1c or any b-type receptors (Xu et al. 2000). In addition, in vitro binding studies of FGF18 with a number of different FGF receptors using BIAcore have indicated that FGF18 can bind with high affinity to FGFR2c and FGFR3c, but not to FGFR1c (N. Itoh, unpubl.). FGFR2c and FGFR3c are, therefore, strong candidates to be bona fide receptors for FGF18, at least during calvarial development. However, gene disruption studies show that loss of FGFR2 results in early embryonic lethality (Arman et al. 1998; Xu et al. 1998), precluding an analysis of the role of FGFR2 in skeletal development. Loss of FGFR3 results in defective chondrogenesis, but no obvious defects in calvarial development (Colvin et al. 1996; Deng et al. 1996). FGFR2c may act as a receptor for FGF18 at a later stage than the development of lethality in null mutants, or these two receptors may be functionally redundant in calvarial development (Fig. 8A).

During chondrogenesis of the long bone, the phenotype of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos resembles that of *Fgfr3*^{-/-} embryos, indicating that FGFR3c acts as a receptor to FGF18 in this process. Because *Fgfr3c* is expressed in chondrocytes but not in the perichondrium (Fig. 1P; Peters et al. 1993; Wuechner et al. 1996; Delezoide et al. 1998), whereas *Fgf18* is expressed in the perichondrium (Fig. 1M,N), FGF18 is therefore likely to act on chondrocytes through FGFR3c (Fig. 8B). However, the length of the long bone of *Fgfr3*^{-/-} mice is considerably greater than the wild type, whereas that of *Fgf18*^{-/-} mice appeared to be reduced. As mentioned above, FGF18 negatively regulates chondrogenesis, whereas it positively

regulates osteogenesis. Therefore, reduced long bone length in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos would represent dominance of the osteogenic defects to the chondrogenic ones in outgrowth of long bones (Fig. 3C,D). In contrast, greater long bone length in *Fgfr3*^{-/-} mice would indicate that osteogenesis in these mice proceeds differently from that in *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos. Therefore, some other FGFR member is probably involved in osteogenesis during long bone development. Because *Fgfr2c* is expressed in the developing perichondrium, periosteum, and endosteum (Fig. 1O; Orr-Urtreger et al. 1991; Delezoide et al. 1998; Britto et al. 2001), it might represent a candidate for an FGF18 receptor during osteogenesis of developing long bones. However, we cannot exclude another possibility, that action of FGF18 on chondrocytes via another FGF receptor may also affect osteogenesis indirectly. To identify receptors actually receiving the FGF18 signal in vivo, examination of the genetic interactions between these FGFR candidates and FGF18 would be effective.

Materials and methods

Targeting vector

Recombinant phages containing the mouse *Fgf18* locus were isolated from a 129/Sv genomic library (Stratagene) using *Fgf18* cDNA as a probe. A 12.7-kb fragment including exons 3 and 4 was subcloned into pCRII. The *neomycin phosphotransferase* gene, followed by the *lacZ* gene placed between an independent ribosomal entry sequence (IRES) and an SV40 polyadenylation signal, was introduced at the *XhoI* site in the genomic DNA. A diphtheria toxin A (DTA) expression cassette was inserted at the 3' end of the genomic DNA.

Targeted disruption and generation of *Fgf18*^{-/-} embryos

CJ7 ES cells were electroporated with a *NotI*-linearized targeting vector and selected in G418 as described (Takada et al. 1994). Targeted clones were confirmed by Southern blot analysis of *EcoRV*-digested genomic DNA probed with the 3' probe, and by Southern blot analysis of *EcoRV/SacII*-digested genomic DNA probed with the 5' probe. Heterozygous ES cells were injected into blastocysts of C57BL/6 strain mice to generate germ-line chimeras. Chimeric males were mated with C57BL/6 females, and subsequent experimental procedures were performed on a mixed background. Genotypes were determined by Southern blotting as described above, or by PCR using the following three primers: P1, 5'-CCCAGATGTCATTGGGATAG-3'; P2, 5'-CCCGTGATATTGCTGAAGAG-3'; P3, 5'-TGAATGGGAGGTCTCTAAGG-3'.

In situ hybridization

In situ hybridization was carried out on 4% paraformaldehyde fixed frozen sections until 12.5 dpc, and on unfixed frozen sections thereafter using ³⁵S-labeled RNA probes as described (Yamashiki et al. 1996).

Skeletal preparation and histology

For skeletal preparations, embryos were eviscerated and fixed in 100% ethanol overnight and processed as described (Ikeya and Takada 2001). For histological analyses, unfixed frozen sections from crania and paraffin sections from hindlimbs of 18.5-dpc

embryos were prepared and stained with hematoxylin-eosin according to standard procedures.

Analysis of BrdU incorporation

Pregnant mice were intraperitoneally injected with BrdU (100 mg/kg body weight) 2 h before being killed. Crania and hindlimbs of embryos were fixed overnight in Bouin's fixative at 4°C, dehydrated through an ethanol series, cleared in xylene, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 µm. BrdU was detected immunohistochemically with a Cell proliferation kit (Amersham) according to the manufacturer's directions, with the following modifications: sections were treated with 2% H₂O₂ in PBS at room temperature for 1 h and with 2 N HCl at 37°C for 1 h. Sections were then incubated with anti-BrdU antibody (Amersham) followed by incubation with a 1:200 dilution of biotinylated anti-mouse IgG antibody (Vector) in blocking buffer, and a 1:200 dilution of Vectastain ABC (Vector) in PBS. After labeling with anti-BrdU antibody, sections were stained with nuclear fast red. At least two litters were examined at each embryonic day.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Komori for his donation of the mouse *cbfa1* probe. We are grateful to Drs. Masatoshi Takeichi and Yo-ichi Nabeshima for helpful support. This work was supported by a grant-in-aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports of Japan; and grants from the Japan Science and Technology Corporation, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Kato Memorial Science Foundation, and Takeda Science Foundation to S.T.; and a grant-in-aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports of Japan and the Human Frontier Science Program, France to N.I.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Arman, E., Haffner-Krausz, R., Chen, Y., Heath, J.K., and Lonai, P. 1998. Targeted disruption of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptor 2 suggests a role for FGF signaling in pregastrulation mammalian development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 5082–5087.
- Boudreaux, J.M. and Towler, D.A. 1996. Synergistic induction of osteocalcin gene expression: Identification of a bipartite element conferring fibroblast growth factor 2 and cyclic AMP responsiveness in the rat osteocalcin promoter. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**: 7508–7515.
- Britto, J.A., Chan, J.C., Evans, R.D., Hayward, R.D., and Jones, B.M. 2001. Differential expression of fibroblast growth factor receptors in human digital development suggests common pathogenesis in complex acrosyndactyly and craniosynostosis. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **107**: 1331–1345.
- Burke, D., Wilkes, D., Blundell, T.L., and Malcolm, S. 1998. Fibroblast growth factor receptors: Lessons from the genes. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **23**: 59–62.
- Chen, L., Adar, R., Yang, X., Monsonego, E.O., Li, C., Hauschka, P.V., Yayon, A., and Deng, C.X. 1999. Gly369Cys mutation in mouse FGFR3 causes achondroplasia by affecting both chondrogenesis and osteogenesis. *J. Clin. Invest.* **104**: 1517–1525.
- Colvin, J.S., Bohn, B.A., Harding, G.W., McEwen, D.G., and Ornitz, D.M. 1996. Skeletal overgrowth and deafness in mice lacking fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Nat. Genet.* **12**: 390–397.
- Delezoide, A.L., Benoist-Lasselin, C., Legeai-Mallet, L., Le Merrier, M., Munnich, A., Vekemans, M., and Bonaventure, J. 1998. Spatio-temporal expression of FGFR 1, 2 and 3 genes during human embryo–fetal ossification. *Mech. Dev.* **77**: 19–30.
- Deng, C.X., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Shen, M.M., Daugherty, C., Ornitz, D.M., and Leder, P. 1994. Murine FGFR-1 is required for early postimplantation growth and axial organization. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 3045–3057.
- Deng, C., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Zhou, F., Kuo, A., and Leder, P. 1996. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 is a negative regulator of bone growth. *Cell* **84**: 911–921.
- Hartmann, C. and Tabin, C.J. 2000. Dual roles of Wnt signaling during chondrogenesis in the chicken limb. *Development* **127**: 3141–3159.
- Hu, M.C., Qiu, W.R., Wang, Y.P., Hill, D., Ring, B.D., Scully, S., Bolon, B., DeRose, M., Luethy, R., Simonet, W.S., et al. 1998. FGF-18, a novel member of the fibroblast growth factor family, stimulates hepatic and intestinal proliferation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**: 6063–6074.
- Ikeya, M. and Takada, S. 2001. Wnt-3a is required for somite specification along the anteroposterior axis of the mouse embryo and for regulation of *cdx-1* expression. *Mech. Dev.* **103**: 27–33.
- Iseki, S., Wilkie, A.O., Heath, J.K., Ishimaru, T., Eto, K., and Morriss-Kay, G.M. 1997. *Fgfr2* and osteopontin domains in the developing skull vault are mutually exclusive and can be altered by locally applied FGF2. *Development* **124**: 3375–3384.
- Iseki, S., Wilkie, A.O., and Morriss-Kay, G.M. 1999. *Fgfr1* and *Fgfr2* have distinct differentiation- and proliferation-related roles in the developing mouse skull vault. *Development* **126**: 5611–5620.
- Iyama, K., Ninomiya, Y., Olsen, B.R., Linsenmayer, T.F., Trelstad, R.L., and Hayashi, M. 1991. Spatiotemporal pattern of type X collagen gene expression and collagen deposition in embryonic chick vertebrae undergoing endochondral ossification. *Anat. Rec.* **229**: 462–472.
- Johnson, D., Iseki, S., Wilkie, A.O., and Morriss-Kay, G.M. 2000. Expression patterns of *Twist* and *Fgfr1*, -2 and -3 in the developing mouse coronal suture suggest a key role for twist in suture initiation and biogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* **91**: 341–345.
- Kim, H.J., Rice, D.P., Kettunen, P.J., and Thesleff, I. 1998. FGF-, BMP- and Shh-mediated signalling pathways in the regulation of cranial suture morphogenesis and calvarial bone development. *Development* **125**: 1241–1251.
- Komori, T., Yagi, H., Nomura, S., Yamaguchi, A., Sasaki, K., Deguchi, K., Shimizu, Y., Bronson, R.T., Gao, Y.H., Inada, M., et al. 1997. Targeted disruption of *Cbfa1* results in a complete lack of bone formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell* **89**: 755–764.
- Lanske, B., Karaplis, A.C., Lee, K., Luz, A., Vortkamp, A., Pirro, A., Karperien, M., Defize, L.H., Ho, C., Mulligan, R.C., et al. 1996. PTH/PTHrP receptor in early development and Indian hedgehog-regulated bone growth. *Science* **273**: 663–666.
- Malcolm, S. and Reardon, W. 1996. Fibroblast growth factor receptor-2 mutations in craniosynostosis. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **785**: 164–170.
- Mansukhani, A., Bellosta, P., Sahni, M., and Basilico, C. 2000. Signaling by fibroblast growth factors (FGF) and fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2)-activating mutations blocks mineralization and induces apoptosis in osteoblasts.

- J. Cell Biol.* **149**: 1297–1308.
- McLean, W. and Olsen, B.R. 2001. Mouse models of abnormal skeletal development and homeostasis. *Trends Genet.* **17**: S38–S43.
- Montero, A., Okada, Y., Tomita, M., Ito, M., Tsurukami, H., Nakamura, T., Doetschman, T., Coffin, J.D., and Hurley, M.M. 2000. Disruption of the fibroblast growth factor-2 gene results in decreased bone mass and bone formation. *J. Clin. Invest.* **105**: 1085–1093.
- Nakase, T., Takaoka, K., Hirakawa, K., Hirota, S., Takemura, T., Onoue, H., Takebayashi, K., Kitamura, Y., and Nomura, S. 1994. Alterations in the expression of osteonectin, osteopontin and osteocalcin mRNAs during the development of skeletal tissues in vivo. *Bone Miner.* **26**: 109–122.
- Naski, M.C., Colvin, J.S., Coffin, J.D., and Ornitz, D.M. 1998. Repression of hedgehog signaling and BMP4 expression in growth plate cartilage by fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Development* **125**: 4977–4988.
- Newberry, E.P., Boudreaux, J.M., and Towler, D.A. 1997. Stimulus-selective inhibition of rat osteocalcin promoter induction and protein–DNA interactions by the homeodomain repressor *Msx2*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 29607–29613.
- Ohbayashi, N., Hoshikawa, M., Kimura, S., Yamasaki, M., Fukui, S., and Itoh, N. 1998. Structure and expression of the mRNA encoding a novel fibroblast growth factor, FGF-18. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**: 18161–18164.
- Ohuchi, H., Kimura, S., Watamoto, M., and Itoh, N. 2000. Involvement of fibroblast growth factor (FGF)18-FGF8 signaling in specification of left–right asymmetry and brain and limb development of the chick embryo. *Mech. Dev.* **95**: 55–66.
- Olsen, B.R., Reginato, A.M., and Wang, W. 2000. Bone development. *Ann. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **16**: 191–220.
- Opperman, L.A. 2000. Cranial sutures as intramembranous bone growth sites. *Dev. Dyn.* **219**: 472–485.
- Ornitz, D.M. and Itoh, N. 2001. Fibroblast growth factors. *Genome Biol.* **2**: 3005.1–3005.12.
- Orr-Urtreger, A., Givol, D., Yayon, A., Yarden, Y., and Lonai, P. 1991. Developmental expression of two murine fibroblast growth factor receptors, *flg* and *bek*. *Development* **113**: 1419–1434.
- Otto, F., Thornell, A.P., Crompton, T., Denzel, A., Gilmour, K.C., Rosewell, I.R., Stamp, G.W., Beddington, R.S., Mundlos, S., Olsen, B.R., et al. 1997. *Cbfa1*, a candidate gene for cleidocranial dysplasia syndrome, is essential for osteoblast differentiation and bone development. *Cell* **89**: 765–771.
- Peters, K., Ornitz, D., Werner, S., and Williams, L. 1993. Unique expression pattern of the FGF receptor 3 gene during mouse organogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **155**: 423–430.
- Rice, D.P., Aberg, T., Chan, Y., Tang, Z., Kettunen, P.J., Pakarinen, L., Maxson, R.E., and Thesleff, I. 2000. Integration of FGF and TWIST in calvarial bone and suture development. *Development* **127**: 1845–1855.
- Rousseau, F., Bonaventure, J., Legeai-Mallet, L., Pelet, A., Rozet, J.M., Maroteaux, P., Le Merrer, M., and Munnich, A. 1994. Mutations in the gene encoding fibroblast growth factor receptor-3 in achondroplasia. *Nature* **371**: 252–254.
- Sarkar, S., Petiot, A., Copp, A., Ferretti, P., and Thorogood, P. 2001. FGF2 promotes skeletogenic differentiation of cranial neural crest cells. *Development* **128**: 2143–2152.
- Shiang, R., Thompson, L.M., Zhu, Y.Z., Church, D.M., Fielder, T.J., Bocian, M., Winokur, S.T., and Wasmuth, J.J. 1994. Mutations in the transmembrane domain of FGFR3 cause the most common genetic form of dwarfism, achondroplasia. *Cell* **78**: 335–342.
- St-Jacques, B., Hammerschmidt, M., and McMahon, A.P. 1999. Indian hedgehog signaling regulates proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes and is essential for bone formation. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 2072–2086.
- Takada, S., Stark, K.L., Shea, M.J., Vassileva, G., McMahon, J.A., and McMahon, A.P. 1994. Wnt-3a regulates somite and tailbud formation in the mouse embryo. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 174–189.
- Vortkamp, A., Lee, K., Lanske, B., Segre, G.V., Kronenberg, H.M., and Tabin, C.J. 1996. Regulation of rate of cartilage differentiation by Indian hedgehog and PTH-related protein. *Science* **273**: 613–622.
- Wang, Y., Spatz, M.K., Kannan, K., Hayk, H., Avivi, A., Gorivodsky, M., Pines, M., Yayon, A., Lonai, P., and Givol, D. 1999. A mouse model for achondroplasia produced by targeting fibroblast growth factor receptor 3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 4455–4460.
- Webster, M.K. and Donoghue, D.J. 1996. Constitutive activation of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 by the transmembrane domain point mutation found in achondroplasia. *EMBO J.* **15**: 520–527.
- . 1997. FGFR activation in skeletal disorders: Too much of a good thing. *Trends Genet.* **13**: 178–182.
- Wilkie, A.O. 1997. Craniosynostosis: Genes and mechanisms. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **6**: 1647–1656.
- Wuechener, C., Nordqvist, A.C., Winterpacht, A., Zabel, B., and Schalling, M. 1996. Developmental expression of splicing variants of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) in mouse. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **40**: 1185–1188.
- Xu, J., Liu, Z., and Ornitz, D.M. 2000. Temporal and spatial gradients of Fgf8 and Fgf17 regulate proliferation and differentiation of midline cerebellar structures. *Development* **127**: 1833–1843.
- Xu, X., Weinstein, M., Li, C., Naski, M., Cohen, R.I., Ornitz, D.M., Leder, P., and Deng, C. 1998. Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2)-mediated reciprocal regulation loop between FGF8 and FGF10 is essential for limb induction. *Development* **125**: 753–765.
- Yamaguchi, T.P., Harpal, K., Henkemeyer, M., and Rossant, J. 1994. *fgfr-1* is required for embryonic growth and mesodermal patterning during mouse gastrulation. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 3032–3044.
- Yamasaki, M., Miyake, A., Tagashira, S., and Itoh, N. 1996. Structure and expression of the rat mRNA encoding a novel member of the fibroblast growth factor family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**: 15918–15921.
- Zhou, M., Sutcliffe, R.L., Paul, R.J., Lorenz, J.N., Hoying, J.B., Haudenschield, C.C., Yin, M., Coffin, J.D., Kong, L., Kranias, E.G., et al. 1998. Fibroblast growth factor 2 control of vascular tone. *Nat. Med.* **4**: 201–207.
- Zhou, Y.X., Xu, X., Chen, L., Li, C., Brodie, S.G., and Deng, C.X. 2000. A Pro250Arg substitution in mouse *Fgfr1* causes increased expression of *Cbfa1* and premature fusion of calvarial sutures. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9**: 2001–2008.

FGF18 is required for normal cell proliferation and differentiation during osteogenesis and chondrogenesis

Norihiko Ohbayashi, Masaki Shibayama, Yoko Kurotaki, et al.

Genes Dev. 2002, **16**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.965702](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.965702)

References

This article cites 52 articles, 24 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/16/7/870.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.