REVIEW

Steroid signaling in plants and insects—common themes, different pathways

Carl S. Thummel¹ and Joanne Chory^{2,3}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Human Genetics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 USA;

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Plant Biology Laboratory, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

Outside of mammals, two model systems have been the focus of intensive genetic studies aimed at defining the molecular mechanisms of steroid hormone action—the flowering plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*. Studies in *Arabidopsis* have benefited from a detailed description of the brassinosteroid (BR) biosynthetic pathway, allowing the effects of mutations to be linked to specific enzymatic steps. More recently, the signaling cascade that functions downstream from BR production has been defined, revealing for the first time how the hormone can exert its effects on gene expression through a cell surface receptor and phosphorylation cascade. In contrast, studies of steroid hormone action in *Drosophila* began in the nucleus, with a detailed description of the transcription puffs activated by the steroid hormone 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) in the giant polytene chromosomes. Subsequent genetic studies have revealed that these effects are exerted through nuclear receptors, much like mammalian hormone signaling. Most recently, genetic studies have begun to elucidate the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway which, until recently, remained largely undefined. Our current understanding of steroid hormone signaling in *Arabidopsis* and *Drosophila* provides a number of intriguing parallels as well as distinct differences. At least some of these differences, however, appear to be due to deficiencies in our understanding of these pathways. Below we discuss recent breakthroughs in defining the molecular mechanisms of BR biosynthesis and signaling in plants, and we compare and contrast this pathway with what is known about the mechanisms of ecdysteroid action in *Drosophila*. We raise some current questions in these fields, the answers to which may reveal other similarities in steroid signaling in plants and animals.

Brassinosteroid biosynthesis and homeostasis

Although plants and animals diverged more than 1 billion years ago, it is remarkable that polyhydroxylated

steroidal molecules are used as hormones in both of these kingdoms, as well as in algae and fungi. Brassinosteroids (BRs), a class of plant-specific steroid hormones, control many of the same developmental and physiological processes as their animal and fly counterparts, including regulation of gene expression, cell division and expansion, differentiation, programmed cell death, and homeostasis. The regulation of these processes by BRs, acting together with other plant hormones, leads to the promotion of stem elongation and pollen tube growth, leaf bending and epinasty, root growth inhibition, proton-pump activation, and xylem differentiation (Mandava 1988; Clouse and Sasse 1998). In addition, useful agricultural applications have been found such as increasing yield and improving stress resistance of several major crop plants (Ikebawa and Zhao 1981; Cutler et al. 1991).

Although the existence and biological activity of these plant steroids had been described in a large body of literature, they only found their way into the mainstream of plant hormone biology a few years ago, when the available biochemical and physiological data were complemented by the identification of BR-deficient mutants of *Arabidopsis* (Clouse et al. 1996; Kauschmann et al. 1996; Li et al. 1996; Szekeres et al. 1996), pea (Nomura et al. 1999), and tomato (Bishop et al. 1999; Koka et al. 2000). Mutations in 8 loci of *Arabidopsis* and several additional loci in tomato and pea result in plants with reduced levels of BR biosynthetic intermediates and lead to distinct phenotypes (Bishop et al. 1996; Li et al. 1996; Szekeres et al. 1996; Choe et al. 1998a,b, 1999a,b, 2000; Klahre et al. 1998; Nomura et al. 1999; Kang et al. 2001). In *Arabidopsis*, loss-of-function mutations in these genes have pleiotropic effects on development. In the dark, the mutants are short, have thick hypocotyls and open, expanded cotyledons, develop primary leaf buds, and inappropriately express light-regulated genes. In the light, these mutants are dark green dwarfs, have reduced apical dominance and male fertility, display altered photoperiodic responses, show delayed chloroplast and leaf senescence, have reduced xylem content, and respond improperly to fluctuations in their light environment

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL chory@salk.edu; FAX 858-558-6379

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.1042102>.

(Chory et al. 1991, 1994; Millar et al. 1995; Szekeres et al. 1996; Fig. 1). Such phenotypic differences between BR-deficient mutants and wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants indicate that these genes (and by inference, BRs) play an important role throughout *Arabidopsis* development. Exogenous application of brassinolide (BL, the most active BR, and generally thought to be the endpoint of the biosynthetic pathway) leads to the normalization of their phenotypes. A biosynthetic pathway derived solely from biochemical studies provided an excellent framework for the characterization of these mutants, and was in turn confirmed and refined by their analysis (for review, see Clouse and Sasse 1998; Noguchi et al. 2000; Friedrichsen and Chory 2001; Fig. 1).

Because of their striking mutant phenotypes, which led to the identification of most BR biosynthetic genes, considerable progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms of BR homeostasis. Multiple control mechanisms for regulating the levels of BRs in plants have been identified, including regulation of biosynthesis, inactivation, and feedback regulation from the signaling pathway. BR-deficient mutants have helped to determine that BL is not synthesized via a simple linear biosynthetic pathway. Recently, two pathways, the early C-6 oxidation and late C-6 oxidation pathways, were proposed for the biosynthesis of BL (Choi et al. 1996, 1997). In the early C-6 oxidation pathway, hydroxylation of the side chain occurs after C6 oxidation, whereas in the late C-6 oxidation pathway the hydroxylation of the side chain occurs before position 6 of the B-ring is oxidized. Feeding experiments with intermediates of both path-

ways provided strong genetic evidence that both pathways operate in *Arabidopsis* (Fujioka et al. 1997; Choe et al. 1998a). A study with *dwf4* mutants suggests that 6-deoxo-cathasterone is a starting point for a new subpathway as this compound is able to rescue *dwf4* mutations (Choe et al. 1998a). Of note, DWF4, a C-22 hydroxylase, appears to be the major rate-limiting step in the BR biosynthetic pathway based on feeding studies and overexpression of DWF4 in transgenic plants (Choe et al. 2001). Similarly, 6- α -hydroxycampestanol could also be a starting point for a different subpathway whose intermediates act as "bridging molecules" between the early and late C-6 oxidation pathways. One simple explanation for plants having multiple pathways of BL biosynthesis is that these subpathways might be differentially regulated by various environmental or developmental signals. A possible point for light-regulation of BR biosynthesis has very recently been identified and is indicated in red in Figure 1 (Kang et al. 2001). In addition, feeding experiments using *det2* and *dwf4* mutants have shown that BRs in the late C-6 oxidation pathway are more effective in rescuing light phenotypes, whereas the BRs in the early C-6 oxidation pathways show stronger activity in promoting hypocotyl elongation of dark-grown seedlings (Fujioka et al. 1997; Choe et al. 1998a).

Endogenous levels of BRs are increased in BR-signaling mutants, such as *Arabidopsis bri1* and its orthologous mutants in tomato, pea, and rice (discussed below; Noguchi et al. 1999; Yamamuro et al. 2000; Bishop and Yokota 2001). These BR-insensitive mutants show the largest increases in the early C-6 oxidation BRs. In *Ara-*

Figure 1. Proposed pathways of brassinolide biosynthesis and turnover. In the absence of the hormone, *Arabidopsis* plants are dwarfed and male-sterile (*upper left corner*). A wild-type plant is shown for comparison in the *lower left corner* (plants are photographed at the same scale). Mutants defining the various steps in the pathway are indicated. The major rate-limiting step, which is catalyzed by the C-22 hydroxylase encoded by the *DWF4* gene, is shown in blue (Choe et al. 2001). Possible points of control by the light signaling pathways are indicated in red (Neff et al. 1999; Kang et al. 2001).

bidopsis bri1 mutants, there is a large accumulation of not only castasterone and typhasterol, but also BL (Noguchi et al. 1999). Moreover, the *CPD* gene is negatively regulated by BL in a protein synthesis-dependent manner, and the expression of *DWF4* is increased in both *bri1* mutants and also in some BR biosynthetic mutants (Mathur et al. 1998; Noguchi et al. 1999). Therefore, functional BR signaling is necessary for BR homeostasis through the regulation of at least some of the BR biosynthetic genes. At least one regulatory gene involved in this feedback control, *BZR1*, has been identified, and is discussed in greater detail below (Wang et al. 2002).

Metabolic inactivation through modification is another important mechanism in the control of the steady-state level of active hormones. Sulfotransferases have been shown to modulate the activity of steroid hormones in animals and it has recently been shown that a sulfotransferase from *Brassica* catalyzes the O-sulfonation at position 22 of 24-epicathasterone in vitro and abolishes its biological activity (Strott 1996; Rouleau et al. 1999). Hydroxylation is another important modification leading to inactivation of a number of hormones. The *Arabidopsis BAS1* gene encodes a cytochrome P450 (*cyp72B1*), which when overexpressed results in a phenotype that is similar to BR-deficient mutants (Neff et al. 1999). *BAS1*-overexpressing mutants have reduced levels of the late intermediates in the BL biosynthetic pathway and accumulate 26-hydroxybrassinolide in feeding experiments. These results are consistent with the interpretation that *BAS1* encodes a steroid 26-hydroxylase that is involved in inactivating BL or one of its precursors. Thus, there are multiple mechanisms for controlling the levels of BRs within plants.

It should be noted that key steps in plant and animal steroid biosynthetic pathways are highly conserved, and it can be expected that insects will also utilize many of the same enzymes. In mammals, steroid hormones are synthesized from cholesterol via pregnenolone through a series of reactions that modify the ring structure and the side chain of the sterol. Similarly, BRs are derived from cycloartenol through campesterol, a major phytosterol via multiple oxidation steps (Fig. 1). The most striking example of functional conservation between mammalian and plant steroid biosynthetic enzymes described to date is for the steroid 5 α -reductases (Russell and Wilson 1994). Recombinant *Arabidopsis* steroid 5 α -reductase, encoded by the *DET2* gene, can be expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells, where it is capable of reducing several mammalian steroids with a 3-oxo, $\delta^{4,5}$ structure, including testosterone, androstenedione, and progesterone (Li et al. 1997). Somewhat surprisingly, the *Arabidopsis* *DET2* shows similar affinities for animal steroids as do the mammalian steroid 5 α -reductases, with apparent *K_m* values in the micromolar range. Moreover, either of the human isoforms can rescue the pleiotropic phenotypes of *det2* by substituting for *DET2* in BR biosynthesis, suggesting that the human isozymes will have similar affinities for BRs as *DET2* (Li et al. 1997). Thus, both the structural and functional conservation between *DET2* and mammalian steroid 5 α -reductases

suggest that they evolved from a common ancestor. Surprisingly, however, it should be noted that there is no good evidence for a 5 α -reductase activity in insects. 5 α -compounds have no biological activity in arthropods and have not been detected among the secretory products from molting glands (Bergamasco and Horn 1980; Blais et al. 1996). This suggests that the genes encoding steroid 5 α -reductases have been lost in the insect lineage.

Ecdysteroid biosynthesis in *Drosophila*

Whereas our understanding of BR signaling was established from a detailed description of the BR biosynthetic pathway, allowing mutants to be rapidly linked to specific enzymatic steps in this process, ecdysteroid biosynthesis has—until recently—been poorly defined. Several excellent reviews of the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway have been published (Grieneisen 1994; Rees 1995; Gilbert et al. 2002) and thus we will limit our discussion here to an overview of this pathway, with an emphasis on the current breakthroughs afforded by recent biochemical genetic studies in *Drosophila*.

Like most insects, which depend on plant steroids as a source of cholesterol, *Drosophila* obtains this key precursor of steroid biosynthesis from its diet. Plant steroids are converted into cholesterol in the gut, through side chain dealkylation steps in most, if not all plant-eating insects, and released into the circulatory system. Conversion of cholesterol into ecdysone occurs through a series of enzymatic steps within the prothoracic gland.

The first step in this pathway is the stereospecific removal of the 7 β - and 8 β -hydrogens of cholesterol to form a key sterol intermediate, 7-dehydrocholesterol (Fig. 2). The 7,8-dehydrogenase that catalyzes this reaction is a microsomal P450 that is present in the prothoracic gland, although the enzyme itself has not yet been identified (Grieneisen et al. 1993; Gilbert et al. 2002). 7-dehydrocholesterol is an abundant and constitutive sterol in the prothoracic gland. It has been proposed that the translocation of 7-dehydrocholesterol from the endoplasmic reticulum to the mitochondria, where it may be oxidized to downstream steps in the pathway, is a rate-limiting step in ecdysteroid biosynthesis (Gilbert et al. 2002). Studies of the ecdysteroid-deficient mutant *ecd1* suggest that the corresponding gene product could play a critical role in this proposed translocation event (Warren et al. 1996).

Conversion of 7-dehydrocholesterol to the next step(s) in the pathway remain poorly understood and are largely hypothetical, represented by the “black box” reactions (Fig. 2). A number of studies suggest that the end product from the “black box” reactions is 2,22,25-trideoxyecdysone, also referred to as the ketodiol intermediate (Fig. 2). This compound is converted into ecdysone through a series of three well-characterized hydroxylation steps, resulting in the sequential formation of 2,22-dideoxyecdysone (ketotriol), 2-deoxyecdysone and, finally, ecdysone (Gilbert et al. 2002; Fig. 2). Although ecdysone is the primary ecdysteroid secreted by the prothoracic

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway in *Drosophila*. The chemical structures and names of the steps in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway are depicted, along with the enzymes encoded by the *dib* and *shadow* genes. See text for details. Adapted with permission from Figure 1 of Warren et al. (2002; copyright 2002, National Academy of Sciences, USA).

gland of *Drosophila*, it is modified by an enzyme in peripheral tissues into the more biologically active form of the hormone, 20E (Winter et al. 1999). Pulses of 20E are responsible for most, but not all (see below), biological responses to ecdysteroids during the insect life cycle.

Larval molting, adult leg morphogenesis, cuticle production, and some ecdysteroid-regulated gene expression require an ecdysteroid pulse—that is, a rise and subsequent fall in ecdysteroid titer—for their proper regulation (Richards 1976; Fristrom and Fristrom 1993; Riddiford 1993). Thus, like plants, where the levels of BL are reduced through metabolic inactivation, there is good evidence for controlled inactivation of 20E in *Drosophila*, with at least one cytochrome P450 in this pathway (Gilbert et al. 2002). As with ecdysteroid biosynthesis, however, no enzymes have yet been purified in this catabolic pathway and no genes have yet been identified, although some P450 genes are expressed at high levels when 20E is being inactivated (Hurban and Thummel 1993; White et al. 1999). Given that 84 cytochrome P450 genes are present in the *Drosophila* genome sequence, the stage is set to identify those members of this family that play a role in modulating the 20E titer during development (FlyBase 1999).

Thus, in sharp contrast to our detailed understanding of the BR biosynthetic pathway, the molecular mechanisms of ecdysteroid biosynthesis and degradation have remained largely undefined. A recent breakthrough in this field, however, arose from genetic studies in *Drosophila*, identifying several of the enzymes in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway and, perhaps more importantly, providing insights into the regulation and function of the corresponding genes during insect development.

Recent insights into the molecular mechanisms of ecdysteroid biosynthesis

Mutations in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway trace their origin to what, at first glance, might seem an unlikely source—the classic genetic screens by Nüsslein-Volhard, Weischaus and colleagues to characterize embryonic pattern formation in *Drosophila* (Jürgens et al. 1984; Nüsslein-Volhard et al. 1984; Wieschaus et al. 1984). The mutants in these studies were classified based on their patterns of cuticular markers, with one set distinguishing itself by a complete absence of larval cuticle. These mutants are referred to as the Halloween class based on their unusual appearance, and escaped further study until recently when one member of the Halloween class was cloned and characterized—*disembodied* or *dib* (Jürgens et al. 1984; Chavez et al. 2000). Mutations in *dib* result in severe defects in major embryonic morphogenetic movements, including head involution, dorsal closure, and gut development, as well as a block in cuticle production. Reasoning that ecdysteroids are required for cuticle deposition during later stages of the life cycle, Chavez et al. (2000) investigated the ecdysteroid titer in these mutants and discovered a dramatic reduction in the levels of both ecdysone and 20E. Consistent with this phenotype, the expression of an early 20E-inducible gene, *IMP-E1*, is significantly reduced in *dib* mutant embryos. The authors isolated the gene corresponding to *dib* and discovered that it encodes a new member of the cytochrome P450 superfamily that is expressed selectively in the prothoracic gland of *Drosophila*. These observations immediately suggested an explanation for the effects of the *dib* mutation on ecdysteroid levels, leading to the proposal that it encodes a key enzyme in the hor-

mone biosynthetic pathway (Chavez et al. 2000). This hypothesis was recently confirmed by biochemical characterization of the Dib protein, showing that it acts as the 22-hydroxylase, catalyzing the conversion of 2,22-dideoxycdysone to 2-deoxycdysone (Warren et al. 2002; Fig. 2).

This discovery had wider ramifications—suggesting that other members of the Halloween class of genes might function in the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway, potentially defining each step in the series. Indeed, *shadow* mutants display phenotypes similar to those of *dib*, and the *shadow* gene has been shown to encode a P450 family member that is selectively expressed in the prothoracic gland (Warren et al. 2002). Biochemical studies have demonstrated that Shadow acts as the 2-hydroxylase in the biosynthetic pathway, directing the synthesis of ecdysone (Fig. 2). Recent work has indicated that *spook*, *phantom*, and *shade* mutants also display defects in ecdysteroid biosynthesis and appear to encode P450 enzymes of the same class as those defined by *dib* and *shadow* (Warren et al. 2002). It is possible that some of these P450s will direct the synthesis of unexpected ecdysteroid intermediates, revealing branches in the pathway similar to those present in BR biosynthesis. In addition, the levels of *dib* and *shadow* mRNA fluctuate with the molting cycle, suggesting that their transcriptional control may provide insight into the feedback mechanisms that modulate the hormone titer (Warren et al. 2002). Further characterization of the Halloween class of genes should provide a molecular framework for understanding the ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway as well as our first insights into the genetic regulation of hormone titers during insect development.

BR signaling and the control of cell expansion

Genetic approaches for BR signaling mutants in *Arabidopsis* have been both informative and challenging. Despite extensive genetic screening for loss-of-function BR-insensitive mutants, only one locus, *bri1*, has been identified (Clouse 1996; Kauschmann et al. 1996; Li and Chory 1997; Noguchi et al. 1999; Friedrichsen et al. 2000). *bri1* mutants have identical phenotypes to brassinosteroid-deficient mutants, but these phenotypes cannot be rescued by addition of BL to the growth medium. The *BRI1* gene is predicted to encode a protein with an extracellular domain containing 25 leucine-rich-repeats (LRRs), interrupted by a 70-amino-acid island domain, a single transmembrane domain and an intracellular serine/threonine kinase domain (Li and Chory 1997). Several lines of study indicate that BRI1 is a critical component of the BR receptor complex. First, BRI1 protein is constitutively expressed in young growing cells, which is consistent with its expected mode of action (Friedrichsen et al. 2000). Second, a chimeric receptor composed of BRI1's extracellular domain and the kinase domain of Xa21, a rice LRR receptor kinase for disease resistance, confers BL-dependent pathogen responses to rice cells (He et al. 2000). In addition, both membrane fractions and immunoprecipitates containing BRI1 bind ³H-la-

beled BL specifically and such binding is greatly reduced in plants harboring mutations in the extracellular domain (Wang et al. 2001). The kinase domain of BRI1 displays serine/threonine kinase activity in vitro (Friedrichsen et al. 2000; Oh et al. 2000), and BL treatment in plants induces BRI1 autophosphorylation (Wang et al. 2001). Thus, BRI1 perceives the BR signal through its extracellular domain and initiates a signal transduction cascade through its cytoplasmic kinase activity. This is in contrast to fly and animal steroid nuclear receptors that directly activate target gene expression upon ligand binding. It should be noted that there are no reports documenting that BRI1 binds BL directly. Thus, it is formally possible that BL is presented to BRI1 on a carrier protein, or that other proteins are involved in BL perception (Li et al. 2001a; Bishop and Koncz 2002). Nonetheless, BRI1 appears to be a critical component of the major binding activity for brassinosteroids.

Other components of the BR signal transduction pathway have been identified by their gain-of-function phenotypes. Overexpression of *BAK1*, a gene encoding another leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase, partially suppresses the phenotype of a weak *bri1* allele (Li et al. 2002). BAK1 was also identified by its in vitro interaction with BRI1 and has been shown to modulate BR signaling (Li et al. 2002; Nam and Li 2002). BAK1 can be coimmunoprecipitated with BRI1 from plants, and has been proposed to act as a coreceptor for BRs, yet this remains to be shown. A semidominant BR response mutant, *bin2*, has a phenotype similar to *bri1* mutants (Li et al. 2001b). The *bin2* phenotype results from a hypermorphic mutation in a glycogen synthase kinase-3, suggesting that wild-type BIN2 is a negative regulator of BR signaling (Li and Nam 2002; Perez-Perez et al. 2002). Two mutants, *bes1* and *bzr1*, were identified as suppressing *bri1* phenotypes, as well as being resistant to brassinazole, a BR biosynthesis inhibitor (Wang et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). *BES1* and *BZR1* encode closely related proteins (89% identity) that accumulate in the nucleus following BR treatment. Identical dominant mutations identified in both genes stabilize the respective proteins and increase their accumulation in the nucleus in the absence of BRs (Wang et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). Moreover, in the absence of BRs, *BES1* and *BZR1* can be phosphorylated by the negative regulator BIN2, resulting in their turnover, which apparently is mediated via the 26S proteasome (He et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). *BZR1* and *BES1* appear to be involved in the regulation of BL-regulated genes, although they have no obvious DNA-binding domains. *bes1-D* mutants significantly overexpress BL-regulated genes in the absence of brassinosteroids, and have phenotypes that are consistent with enhanced elongation of cells in a number of tissues (Yin et al. 2002). In contrast, *bzr1-D* mutants are semidwarf and are involved in the negative feedback control of BR biosynthetic gene expression (Wang et al. 2002).

Unlike *bri1* loss-of-function mutations, mutants in components of the BR signaling pathway do not mimic the phenotypes of steroid deficient mutants. Functional redundancy resulting from extensive gene duplications

in *Arabidopsis* is one probable explanation (The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative 2000). Loss-of-function mutations in *BAK1* produce only weak phenotypes, perhaps due to the residual action of other LRR-type kinases. BIN2 is one of ten GSK3/Shaggy-like kinases in *Arabidopsis* and cosuppression studies indicate that reduced BIN2 levels have only a weak effect on plant growth. BES1 and BZR1 are part of a six-member family, and their loss-of-function phenotypes have not been reported.

The signaling pathway downstream of BRI1 may be branched. The *Arabidopsis det3* mutant is a dwarf mutant with a deetiolated phenotype in the dark (Cabrera y Poch et al. 1993); it is also insensitive to BL applications in hypocotyls (Schumacher et al. 1999). *DET3* encodes the large C subunit (an assembly subunit) of the vacuolar proton-ATPase, which is found on a number of endomembranes as well as the plasma membrane (Ho et al. 1993; Finbow and Harrison 1997; Schumacher et al. 1999). In the dark, the hypocotyl elongation defect of *det3*, a very weak allele, is somewhat specific to BRs because the mutant hypocotyls can elongate in response to gravity when grown upside down (Schumacher et al. 1999). Previous studies have indicated that BR-induced hypocotyl elongation of cucumbers was dependent on membrane-bound ATPase activity (Mandava 1988). Thus, it seems likely that BRs may regulate cell elongation via regulated assembly of the V-ATPase, which in turn might promote the uptake of water into the vacuole. However, the V-ATPase appears to act in several signaling pathways, only one of which is the cell elongation response induced by BRs.

The basic design of a BR signaling pathway, linking events at the plasma membrane to changes in gene expression in the nucleus, is beginning to be elucidated, yet several gaps in our knowledge remain. Several mechanistic questions are outstanding, most importantly, what is the functional BL receptor? What are the substrates for BRI1's BL-induced kinase activity? What are the major signaling components that act between BRI1 and BIN2? What proteins do BZR1/BES1 interact with to regulate gene expression in the nucleus? And finally, where does the specificity of BL action come from? Given the rapid pace of gene discovery in this pathway over the past year, continued molecular genetic studies should soon answer some of these questions.

BRs regulate gene expression

Early studies on the molecular mechanisms of BR signaling demonstrated that BR-induced responses require de novo protein synthesis (Mandava 1988) and BL-treatment induces synthesis of both mRNAs and proteins (Clouse 1996). A number of genes whose expression is regulated by BL applications have been identified and several have predicted functions in cell expansion, cell division, and assimilate partitioning (for review, see Clouse and Sasse 1998; Bishop and Yokota 2001; Friedrichsen and Chory 2001). Perhaps the best studied are a number of xyloglucan endotransglycosylases (XETs), in-

cluding the *BRU1* gene from elongating soybean epicotyls (Zurek and Clouse 1994; Clouse 1996; Oh et al. 1998). The expression level of *BRU1* correlates with the extent of BL-promoted stem elongation and the accumulation of the *BRU1* transcript parallels the BL-mediated increases in plastic extensibility of the cell wall (Zurek et al. 1994). Moreover, a linear relationship has been observed between BL concentrations and extractable XET activities in BL-treated soybean epicotyls, strongly suggesting an involvement of BRU1 in BL-stimulated stem elongation (Oh et al. 1998). A BL-regulated XET has also been identified in *Arabidopsis*. The *TCH4* gene encodes an XET whose expression is increased within 30 min of BL treatment, with a maximum at 2 h. In contrast to soybean *BRU1*, whose RNA levels are regulated post-transcriptionally, BL-regulated *TCH4* expression occurs at the transcriptional level (Xu et al. 1995).

Very recently, several studies documented the extent of BL-regulated gene expression in *Arabidopsis*, as well as identified the first BL early response genes (Friedrichsen et al. 2002; Mussig et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). Surprisingly, the number of BL-regulated genes is relatively small (~50 genes differentially expressed of 8000 sampled on the oligoarray), and the magnitude of their induction is also small, on the order of two to fivefold changes. However, the changes in expression of these genes appear to be meaningful, as their mRNAs are altered by BL-treatment and the changes in gene expression require a functional BR receptor (Friedrichsen et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). Moreover, their degree of change by BL is enhanced in a constitutively active BR response pathway mutant (see below; Yin et al. 2002). Among the 30 BL-induced genes are a few that encode transcription factors and *BAS1*; seven genes encode putative cell wall-associated proteins, including XETs, endo-1,4- β -glucanases, polygalacturonase, pectin methylesterase, and expansin, all of which have been implicated in cell expansion (Yin et al. 2002). Several identified BL-induced genes are known to be induced by another plant hormone, auxin (Mussig et al. 2002; Yin et al. 2002). A second study corroborated these general conclusions, although the experiments were done with BR-deficient mutants (Mussig et al. 2002). This study also documented a number of genes whose expression is reduced by BL-treatments. Among the BL-repressed genes were genes encoding several transcription factors, as well as genes encoding BR biosynthetic enzymes, supporting the negative feedback pathway for BR biosynthesis.

The most direct evidence for the physiological significance of these small changes in gene expression comes from a recent study that identified three BL early response genes (Friedrichsen et al. 2002). These three genes encode closely related basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors, *BEE1*, *BEE2*, and *BEE3*, whose expression is induced within 30 min of BL treatment in the absence of new protein synthesis and requires a functional BL receptor. Reverse genetic studies suggest that these three genes are required for full BR signaling response, as triple knockout mutant plants have weak BR signaling and developmental phenotypes, while overexpression of *BEE1*

results in BR hypersensitivity. Although there is evidence that *BEE1*, *BEE2*, and *BEE3* play roles in multiple hormone signaling pathways, a known BR-regulated gene involved in cell expansion is up-regulated in the *BEE1*-overexpressing lines, suggesting that these transcription factors play an important role in activating downstream genes controlling BL-induced responses (Friedrichsen et al. 2002). Thus, in analogy to ecdysteroid signaling, brassinosteroids may lead to changes in physiology through a hierarchy of gene expression changes. In sharp contrast to the well-characterized numerous changes in gene expression following ecdysteroid pulses, however, the magnitude of BR-mediated gene expression changes are small and appear to largely affect cell expansion processes. The identification of BR-responsive promoter elements would significantly enhance the molecular dissection of BR-regulated gene expression.

A model for BR signaling in cell expansion processes

A model for BR signaling, connecting cell surface events with changes in nuclear gene expression, can be proposed (Fig. 3). The model proposes that BRI1 is the BL receptor or a critical component of a "receptor complex", which may also contain BAK1. Upon perception of BL, BRI1 signals through a phosphorylation cascade that involves both changes in gene expression and rapid growth induction responses that involve the V-ATPase. These pathways act separately to affect cell expansion pro-

cesses, as BL-regulated gene expression still occurs in the *det3* mutant background. In the absence of BL, the negative regulator BIN2 phosphorylates BES1 and BZR1, and this phosphorylation leads to rapid turnover of these proteins. In the presence of BL, signaling through BRI1 inactivates BIN2 by an unknown mechanism and results in increased levels of dephosphorylated BES1 and BZR1 and their nuclear accumulation. The mutations in *bes1* and *bzr1* appear to stabilize the proteins and this results in BL-independent nuclear accumulation and constitutive BR responses. Because *bes1* mutants show enhanced BL-regulated gene expression, it appears that BES1 is involved in regulating gene expression changes in the nucleus. Likewise, *bzr1* mutants have reduced stature and accumulation of BR biosynthetic intermediates, as well as decreased expression of a BR biosynthetic gene, suggesting a role for BZR1 in negative feedback regulation of BR biosynthetic genes. Thus, this pathway looks mechanistically very similar to the Wnt signaling pathway in animals, in which β -catenin is phosphorylated and turned over by a GSK-3 kinase in the absence of Wnt, and in which β -catenin is dephosphorylated, stabilized and shuttled to the nucleus in the presence of Wnt (Cadigan and Nusse 1997; Huelsken and Birchmeier 2001; Sharpe et al. 2001; Woodgett 2001). It will be of interest to discover the mechanism by which BZR1 and BES1 differentially regulate gene expression. Presumably, this mechanism will involve specific interactions with transcription factors yet to be identified.

Figure 3. A model for BR signaling in *Arabidopsis*. In the model, BRI1 is the BL receptor or a critical component of a receptor complex that may also involve BAK1. Upon perception of BL, BRI1 signals through a phosphorylation cascade that includes both changes in gene expression and rapid growth induction responses that involve the V-ATPase. These pathways act separately to affect cell expansion processes, as BL-regulated gene expression still occurs in the *det3* mutant background. In the absence of BL, the negative regulator BIN2 phosphorylates BES1 and BZR1 and this phosphorylation leads to rapid turnover of these proteins. In the presence of BL, signaling through BRI1 inactivates BIN2 and results in increased levels of dephosphorylated BES1 and BZR and their nuclear accumulation. Presumably, BES1 and BZR1 then interact with other proteins to regulate the expression of downstream target genes. See the text for additional details.

Similar steroid-regulated biological pathways in plants and insects?

Ecdysteroids exert widespread effects on insect growth and development. These include roles in morphogenesis, proliferation, programmed cell death, cuticle synthesis, oogenesis, and developmental timing (Robertson 1936; Riddiford 1993). It is intriguing that some aspects of these pathways share features in common with the wide range of developmental and physiological responses to BRs in plants, which also include promotion of cell division, expansion, and programmed cell death, and modulation of reproductive development. For example, both BL and ecdysteroids are required for cell shape changes associated with maturation—although they exert this effect in different ways. As described above, BL induces the expression of a range of cell wall-associated proteins that are implicated in cell expansion, providing a molecular basis for understanding the role of BRs in directing cell elongation and plant growth. Similarly, ecdysteroids trigger the morphogenesis of adult structures during metamorphosis through coordinated changes in cell shape manifested at the level of the actin cytoskeleton (von Kalm et al. 1995). It is interesting to speculate that these two responses reflect the basic architectural differences that define plant and animal cells. Thus, the presence of a rigid cell wall in plants demands changes at the level of cell wall-associated proteins to control changes in overall cell shape. Similarly, the integrity of an insect cell is defined by an internal cytoskeleton, which is the target for ecdysteroid-triggered changes in cell shape.

Another similarity in steroid responses between plants and insects is programmed cell death. Ecdysteroids trigger the massive death of larval tissues during the early stages of metamorphosis, ridding the animal of these obsolete tissues to make way for their adult counterparts (Robertson 1936). This response has been extensively studied in *Drosophila* and shown to occur by autophagy with hallmark features of apoptosis, including DNA fragmentation and caspase activation (Jiang et al. 1997; Jochova et al. 1997; Lee and Baehrecke 2001). 20E exerts this effect in the larval salivary glands through a regulatory cascade that results in stage- and tissue-specific induction of key death genes that include the *E93* early gene, *reaper*, *hid*, *ark* (APAF-1/CED-4 homolog), *dronc* (apical caspase), and *croquemort* (related to CD36; Baehrecke 2002; Bender 2003).

There is evidence that BRs induce programmed cell death during xylogenesis. The specialized xylem vessels that conduct water through plants are made up of individual dead cells called tracheary elements (Roberts and McCann 2000). The BR-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutants *cpd* and *dwf7* have abnormal xylem, implicating the hormone in xylogenesis, although these phenotypes have not been examined in detail (Szekeres et al. 1996; Choe et al. 1999b). In addition, Clouse and Zurek observed that exogenously supplied BL promotes both tracheary element differentiation and cell division in cultured tuber explants of Jerusalem artichoke (Clouse and Zurek

1991). Using a zinnia system (*Zinnia elegans* L. cv Canary Bird) in which single mesophyll cells can differentiate directly into tracheary elements, it was observed that exogenously supplied uniconazole (an inhibitor of both gibberellin and BR biosynthesis) prevents uncommitted cells from transdifferentiating into tracheary elements, and that BL but not gibberellin overcomes this inhibition (Iwasaki and Shibaoka 1991). Moreover, BRs appear to act specifically during the final stage of xylogenesis, which involves secondary wall formation and cell death. During this time, the levels of BRs rise dramatically (Yamamoto et al. 2001). These data suggest that endogenous BRs initiate the final step of cytodifferentiation, a programmed cell death response. The molecular mechanisms by which BRs exert this effect, however, remain to be determined. Key death genes have not been found in plant genomes, and little is known of the mechanism of programmed cell death in plant systems.

20E exerts its effects directly on gene expression through a nuclear receptor heterodimer

Steroid hormones exert their effects in both plants and insects through changes in gene expression. The means by which the hormonal signal is transduced to direct these changes in gene activity, however, appears to be dramatically different. While *Arabidopsis* has been shown to utilize a cell surface LRR kinase as a BL receptor, the *Drosophila* ecdysteroid receptor is a heterodimer of two members of the nuclear receptor superfamily, the EcR ecdysteroid receptor and the RXR ortholog, USP (Yao et al. 1992, 1993; Thomas et al. 1993). The EcR/USP heterodimer functions very much like RXR heterodimers act in vertebrates, providing a valuable model system for understanding the molecular mechanisms of hormone action in animals. EcR/USP binds ecdysteroids with high affinity and directly induces target gene transcription through canonical hormone response elements (Koelle et al. 1991; Yao et al. 1992, 1993; Thomas et al. 1993). A detailed review has been recently published that outlines our current understanding of EcR/USP regulation and function (Riddiford et al. 2000).

The genetic response to 20E is significantly larger than that induced by BL in plants

Our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of ecdysteroid action trace back to the now classic studies of the puffing patterns of the giant larval salivary gland polytene chromosomes. This work provided our first insights into eukaryotic gene regulation at a time when molecular approaches toward this goal were almost nonexistent. Pioneering studies by Clever and Karlson (1960) and Becker (1959) were later refined by Ashburner (1974), who used cultured larval salivary glands treated with 20E to carefully characterize the puffing response to the hormone. These studies provided the first indication that the genetic response to ecdysteroids is highly complex, comprising well over 100 different 20E-inducible

puffs. Moreover, these studies allowed Ashburner and colleagues to postulate the existence of a steroid-triggered regulatory cascade—the first such regulatory pathway to be described in eukaryotes (Ashburner et al. 1974). The Ashburner model proposed that 20E rapidly and directly induces a small set of early regulatory genes, represented by about a half dozen early puffs in the polytene chromosomes. The protein products of these early puff genes were proposed to exert two opposing regulatory functions—to repress their own expression, self-attenuating the regulatory response to the hormone, and inducing a large set of late secondary-response puff genes. The late puff genes, in turn, were thought to function as effectors that control the eventual biological responses to ecdysteroids.

Extensive molecular studies over the past 15 years have provided strong support for the Ashburner model of ecdysteroid action. This work has been extensively reviewed and is beyond the scope of this discussion (Russell and Ashburner 1996; Thummel 1996; Richards 1997; Segraves 1998; Bender 2003). Rather, we wish to focus here on the similarities and differences between the genetic response to ecdysteroids in insects and that induced by BL in *Arabidopsis*. As described above, microarray studies have provided our first glimpse of the complexity of BL-regulated gene expression, which is smaller than might have been anticipated from the response in flies—with ~50 genes out of 8000 assayed showing a significant change in expression level. This contrasts with the complexity of the puffing response in the salivary glands, but even more so with the results of microarray analysis. In an initial study, 31% of 465 ESTs tested were induced threefold or greater in parallel with the late larval pulse of ecdysteroids (White et al. 1999). Assuming that there are ~14,000 genes in the *Drosophila* genome, this could extrapolate to as many as 4000 ecdysteroid-inducible genes, with the caveat that this is only based on a temporal correlation with the late larval ecdysteroid pulse.

The number of steroid-inducible genes that encode transcription factors in *Drosophila* also exceeds that predicted by microarray analysis in *Arabidopsis*. A dozen transcription factor-encoding genes have been shown to be induced directly by 20E, some of which correspond to the early puffs characterized by Ashburner (*BR-C*, *E74*, and *E75*; Table 1). Other genes that encode transcription factors have been implicated in ecdysteroid response pathways by virtue of their mutant phenotypes, including *forkhead* and *cryptocephal* (Hewes et al. 2000; Renault et al. 2001). In addition, microarray studies have detected a number of transcription factor encoding-genes that show increased expression in correlation with ecdysteroid pulses, including *DMef2*, *bagpipe*, *tinman*, and *short-sighted* (White et al. 1999). It thus seems likely that the number of steroid-inducible transcriptional regulators is significantly greater in *Drosophila* than the number discovered to date in *Arabidopsis*.

Another hallmark of BR signaling is the relatively small changes in gene activity, with only an approximately two- to fourfold induction by hormone. This is shared by the BEE1, BEE2, and BEE3 transcriptional regulators that are induced by BL. Interestingly, a similar fold induction is seen for about half of the early 20E-inducible transcription factors that have been examined (Table 1). The remaining early genes show a more dramatic induction (several orders of magnitude) from an undetectable basal level. This class of highly-inducible transcriptional regulators has not yet been identified in BL signaling pathways.

The current data thus indicate that the genetic response to ecdysteroids in *Drosophila* is at least an order of magnitude greater than that induced by BL in *Arabidopsis*. This is, perhaps, not surprising when one considers the biological differences in these steroid response pathways. Although BL is required for overall growth and development in plants, there is no parallel with the rapid and massive change of body plan that is orchestrated by ecdysteroids during the onset of insect meta-

Table 1. 20E-inducible genes that encode transcription factors in *Drosophila*

Gene	Puff	Fold-induction	Protein class	References
<i>BR-C</i>	2B5	~10-fold	zinc fingers	(DiBello et al. 1991; Bayer et al. 1996)
<i>crol</i>		~2-fold	zinc fingers	(D'Avino and Thummel 1998)
<i>DHR3</i>		>100-fold	nuclear receptor	(Koelle et al. 1992; Horner et al. 1995)
<i>DHR39</i>		~10-fold	nuclear receptor	(Ayer et al. 1993; Ohno and Petkovich 1993; Horner et al. 1995)
<i>DHR78</i>		~2-fold	nuclear receptor	(Fisk and Thummel 1995)
<i>DHR96</i>		~2-fold	nuclear receptor	(Fisk and Thummel 1995)
<i>EcR</i>	42A	~2-fold	nuclear receptor	(Koelle et al. 1991; Karim and Thummel 1992)
<i>E74</i>	74EF	>100-fold	ETS domain	(Burtis et al. 1990)
<i>E75</i>	75B	>100-fold	nuclear receptor	(Segraves and Hogness 1990; Karim and Thummel 1992)
<i>E78</i>	78C	>100-fold	nuclear receptor	(Stone and Thummel 1993; Russell et al. 1996)
<i>E93</i>	93F	10- to 100-fold	PSQ domain	(Baehrecke and Thummel 1995; Siegmund and Lehmann 2002)
<i>Kr-h</i>		~5-fold	zinc fingers	(Pecasse et al. 2000)

The corresponding early puff is listed, when known. Direct induction is inferred from cycloheximide studies and/or speed of induction by 20E in cultured third instar larval organs. The fold-induction is approximate, and is derived from steady-state mRNA levels in organs cultured from late third instar larvae. References are cited for both the fold-induction in cultured larval organs and the class of encoded protein.

morphosis. It is easy to imagine that this complex transformation requires greater complexity at the level of hormone-induced gene activity, accounting for the widespread effects of 20E seen at the levels of polytene chromosome puffs and microarray analysis.

Evidence for redundant genetic pathways in ecdysteroid signaling

As described above, genetic studies of BR signaling in *Arabidopsis* have been greatly complicated by the high degree of genetic redundancy in this system. In contrast to plants, the use of forward genetic screens for defining ecdysteroid response pathways has only been recently exploited and only on a limited basis (Gotwals and Fristrom 1991; Gates and Thummel 2000; Pecasse et al. 2000). It is thus too early to draw firm conclusions regarding the degree of redundancy in ecdysteroid signaling pathways. The available results from reverse genetic studies in *Drosophila*, however, indicate that redundancy may be more prevalent than is currently appreciated. This has been most evident from genetic characterization of *Drosophila* nuclear receptor family members. Almost half of these genes appear to be regulated by 20E and expressed during the onset of metamorphosis, implicating them as regulators in the ecdysteroid-triggered genetic cascades (Thummel 1995). A number of genetic studies have supported this model. However, null mutations in several of these genes have no effect on viability or fertility: *DHR39*, *E78*, and *E75B* (Russell et al. 1996; Horner and Thummel 1997; Bialecki et al. 2002). Similarly, the *DHR3* nuclear receptor is sufficient to repress early gene transcription, and thus has been considered as a candidate for the ecdysteroid-inducible repressor of the early genes predicted by the Ashburner model (White et al. 1997). Strong loss-of-function *DHR3* mutants, however, show no effects on the timing of early gene repression (Lam et al. 1999). A similar model was proposed for *E75B* inhibition of β FTZ-*F1* induction by *DHR3* based on a gain-of-function study, but this model was not supported by the loss-of-function mutant (White et al. 1997; Bialecki et al. 2002). In this case, there is a good candidate for a redundant activity with *E75B*—the *E78B* orphan nuclear receptor. These proteins are coexpressed, belong to the same subfamily of nuclear receptors (Rev-erb), and lack a complete DNA binding domain. Construction of *E75B*;*E78B* double mutants would provide a test of this proposed genetic redundancy. Genetic studies of *EcR*, *BR-C*, and *E75* also uncovered internal functional redundancy between the different isoforms encoded by these complex loci (Bayer et al. 1997; Bender et al. 1997; Bialecki et al. 2002). It thus appears that some aspects of ecdysteroid response pathways are buffered by genetic redundancy, although it is not as pervasive as has been encountered in *Arabidopsis*. One reason for this difference could be the greater number of genes in the *Arabidopsis* genome, which appears to have expanded through enlargement of gene families (The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative 2000).

Regulation of ecdysteroid signaling outside the nucleus

As described above, studies of ecdysteroid action have focused almost entirely on the nucleus. This is due to the observation that the *EcR*/*USP* heterodimer resides at specific binding sites on chromosomes, even in the absence of ligand, and exerts its effects almost exclusively through changes in gene activity (Riddiford et al. 2000). In spite of this focus, there is growing evidence that ecdysteroid signaling can be modulated in the cytoplasm, although none of these effects can be linked to a pathway that resembles the phosphorylation cascade triggered by BL in *Arabidopsis*. *EcR*/*USP* DNA binding activity requires interactions with a chaperone complex, proteins that normally reside in the cytoplasm, although it is not clear where this interaction occurs within the cell (Arbeitman and Hogness 2000). *USP* has also been shown to be phosphorylated; however, no effect has been demonstrated on its activity in vivo (Song and Gilbert 1998).

An additional level for modulating ecdysteroid action outside of the nucleus is through metabolic inactivation of the hormone—a level of regulation that is known to influence BL signaling (see above). It is likely that similar pathways are active in *Drosophila*, mediated by specific P450 enzymes that inactivate 20E, although these enzymes remain to be identified (Gilbert et al. 2002). Interestingly, one of the early 20E-inducible puffs described by Ashburner may also contribute to modulating ecdysteroid levels within the cell, thereby indirectly affecting receptor function. This gene, *E23*, encodes a member of the ABC family of transporters, enzymes that are involved in the active transport of small compounds (Hock et al. 2000). Gain-of-function studies indicate that *E23* can act as a general negative regulator of ecdysteroid signaling, down-regulating the levels of early gene induction by 20E. The authors propose that it may exert this effect by transporting 20E outside of the cell, reducing the effective concentration of the hormone (Fig. 4). Future studies should provide a test of this interesting model.

A study by Champlin and Truman (2000) provides another possible link with BL signaling, demonstrating rapid and direct effects of 20E that occur independently of nuclear gene expression. These authors show that 20E promotes neuroblast proliferation during metamorphosis in part by suppressing nitric oxide production. This effect is rapid (<15 min) and occurs in the absence of protein synthesis or transcription, hallmarks of a nongenomic effect. Aside from providing one of the best examples of nongenomic signaling by steroid hormones, this paper also raises the possibility of a novel 20E receptor that exists either on the cell surface or in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4). Given the precedent of the BL receptor in *Arabidopsis*, it is intriguing to speculate that this receptor could be a LRR receptor kinase. Identifying how 20E exerts this effect on nitric oxide production could provide new insights into the mechanisms of steroid action in animals as well as a parallel with the BL signal transduction pathway in plants.

Figure 4. Mechanisms of ecdysteroid action in *Drosophila*. Ecdysteroids primarily exert their effects in the nucleus, through the EcR/USP heterodimer (E, U). The hormone-receptor complex directly induces early gene transcription. A subset of the early genes encode transcription factors that induce late gene expression. Two possible parallels with BL action in plants are depicted. A cell surface ecdysteroid receptor may mediate the nongenomic effects reported by Champlin and Truman (2000). A postulated role for the E23 transporter protein in reducing the intracellular concentration of ecdysteroids is also depicted (Hock et al. 2000).

Insect hormone receptors that remain to be identified—possible future ties to plants

As mentioned above, BRs can act synergistically or antagonistically in combination with other plant hormones, including auxin, ethylene, cytokinin, and ABA (Mandava 1988; Friedrichsen and Chory 2001; Friedrichsen et al. 2002). These effects can be seen at the level of gene regulation, as described above for BEE1, BEE2, and BEE3 expression, or at the level of specific biological responses. Similarly, the sesquiterpenoid juvenile hormone (JH) has been shown to modify the effects of ecdysteroids in insects, determining the nature of an ecdysteroid-triggered molt (Riddiford 1996). Unfortunately, this response is not seen in the larvae of higher Diptera such as *Drosophila*, and thus little is known about the molecular mechanisms of JH action during the molts. JH can, however, interact with ecdysteroids in *Drosophila* pupae, functioning through the 20E-inducible early gene *BR-C* (Restifo and Wilson 1998; Zhou and Riddiford 2002). It has been proposed that USP may function as a JH receptor (Jones et al. 2001), but this is not consistent with the structure of the USP ligand binding domain (Billas et al. 2001; Clayton et al. 2001), leaving it unclear how the JH signal is transduced in insects.

Evidence is also accumulating for other systemic hormone signaling pathways that act either in parallel with 20E, or in conjunction, to dictate specific biological re-

sponses and effects on gene expression. Champlin and Truman (1998) have shown that a high titer pulse of ecdysone can drive the extensive proliferation of neuroblasts that takes place during early pupal development in the hornworm *Manduca sexta*. This is the first evidence that ecdysone, and not 20E, is responsible for a specific response in insects. Previous studies have shown that ecdysone is several orders of magnitude less active than 20E, leading to the conclusion that it is an inactive precursor to the active hormone, 20E (Ashburner 1971; Cherbas et al. 1980; Gilbert et al. 2002). This data by Champlin and Truman raise the interesting possibility that ecdysone can act as a hormone in its own right. It is unlikely, however, that this signal is transduced through the EcR/USP heterodimer, which shows only very low transcriptional activity in response to this ligand (Baker et al. 2000). The discovery of a distinct receptor for ecdysone provides the next key step in understanding how this hormone might exert its effects on insect development.

Studies of ecdysteroid-regulated gene expression in *Drosophila* have also provided evidence for hormone signaling pathways that may act independently of 20E. Several studies have identified a large-scale coordinate switch in gene expression midway through the third larval instar—an event that has been referred to as the mid-third instar transition (Andres and Cherbas 1992). It is not clear whether this response is triggered by one or

more low titer pulses of ecdysteroid that may occur at this stage, in response to another hormonal signal, or in a hormone-independent manner (Richards 1981; Andres et al. 1993). Similarly, the *let-7* and *miR-125* micro RNAs are induced at the onset of metamorphosis in *Drosophila* in tight temporal correlation with the *E74A* early mRNA, but not in apparent response to 20E (A. Bashirullah, A. Pasquinelli, A. Kiger, N. Perrimon, G. Ruvkun, and C.S. Thummel, in prep.). The discovery of the signals and receptor(s) that mediate these responses should provide significant new directions in our understanding of insect physiology as well as provide new opportunities to link the mechanisms of hormone action in *Drosophila* with BR signaling pathways in plants.

Coevolution of plants and insects: Phytoecdysteroids may act as natural pesticides

Any discussion of the unity of life on earth should include how the predator/prey relationship of animals and plants have influenced their evolution. In this regard, it is remarkable that plants have developed a biosynthetic pathway to produce ecdysteroids with potent biological activity in insects. These phytoecdysteroids are present in 5%–6% of plant species tested (Imai et al. 1969; Dinan 1998) although most, if not all, species of plants appear to have the capacity to produce at least low levels of these compounds, including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Dinan et al. 2001). The levels of phytoecdysteroids vary significantly between different plant species, between individuals within a species, as well as between different parts of a plant, season, habitat, and developmental stage, complicating our understanding of their possible biological functions (Dinan 2001). 20E is the most abundant phytoecdysteroid identified in the plant kingdom, although many other ecdysteroids, including ponasterone, ecdysone, cyasterone, and makisterone A, have also been detected. Ponasterone, makisterone A, 20E, and cyasterone are highly efficacious in insect systems, including *Drosophila* (Ashburner 1971; Cherbas et al. 1980; Baker et al. 2000). Indeed, much of our current understanding of insect endocrinology has been established by using ecdysteroids purified from plant sources where they are highly abundant. Although the enzymatic pathway for phytoecdysteroid synthesis remains largely unknown, many modifications in these compounds are similar to those found in other plant triterpenoids, including BRs, suggesting that some parts of these synthetic pathways may be shared (Dinan 2001).

Phytoecdysteroids can act as antifeedants for at least some insect species, deterring them from preying on a plant apparently through taste receptors that respond to ecdysteroids (Jones and Firn 1978; Tanaka et al. 1994; Descoins and Marion-Poll 1999). Better evidence, however, is accumulating that phytoecdysteroids act as natural pesticides, disrupting the development of their larval hosts (Lafont et al. 1991; Dinan 1998). Addition of ecdysone, 20E, or ponasterone A to the diets of different insect species can interfere with a range of ecdysteroid-regulated developmental responses, causing defects such

as growth inhibition, supernumerary larval instars, and premature pupariation (Dinan 2001). Intriguingly, laboratory studies have demonstrated that insects which usually feed on plants with high levels of phytoecdysteroids tend to be more resistant to the effects of ecdysteroids in their diet, implying the existence of a metabolic pathway that can detoxify these compounds (for review, see Dinan 1998). Moreover, ecdysteroid levels in spinach are inducible by mechanical or insect damage to the roots, suggesting that this may be a defense response to injury (Schmelz et al. 1998, 1999). In spite of the questions that remain in our understanding of phytoecdysteroid synthesis and function, it seems that these compounds have no detrimental effects on mammals, being present in crop plants that humans have consumed for centuries. This observation provides a strong impetus to increase our understanding of the possible effects of these compounds on plant–insect interactions. Increasing phytoecdysteroid levels in crop plants may provide a means of exploiting these naturally occurring compounds as pesticides with obvious benefits for crop production.

Plants and insects: Using different pathways to accomplish similar goals

In both plants and animals, steroid hormones are synthesized via a cascade of cytochrome P450 enzymes, resulting in one or more compounds that have high biological activity. Interestingly, from a common biosynthetic pathway that was presumably shared by their common unicellular ancestor, the mechanisms of steroid signal transduction appear to have evolved independently in plants and insects, similar to other signaling pathways that have been characterized in these systems (Meyerowitz 2002). It is remarkable, however, that in spite of divergent signal transduction mechanisms, both plants and insects have evolved convergent uses for this ancient family of polyhydroxylated steroids in coordinating their overall development. In a further twist, plants also appear to have exploited this evolutionary conservation with insects, diverting part of their steroid biosynthetic pathway toward the production of potent ecdysteroids that could be used to fight off insect predators. Thus, it is possible that the steroid biosynthetic and signal transduction pathways may be coming back together and are now coevolving in some lineages. The final effect of these steroid hormones is to alter patterns of gene expression within target cells, controlling specific biological responses. Moreover, at least part of these effects are mediated through steroid-triggered regulatory cascades in both plants and insects.

It is possible that the apparent differences between the mechanisms of steroid signaling in plants and insects could result from the gaps in our understanding of steroid action in these systems and that further studies will reveal new parallels between these pathways. Most significantly, the identification of BRI1 as a steroid receptor in *Arabidopsis* provides a new paradigm for hormone signal transduction, indicating that small lipophilic hor-

mones can act through a cell surface LRR kinase, independently of the large and well-studied superfamily of nuclear receptors. The outlines of the BL and ecdysteroid signaling pathways are clearly in place and it remains for future studies to determine whether more dichotomies will be identified in these systems, or whether new regulatory links will emerge that span the plant and animal kingdoms.

Acknowledgments

We thank L. Dinan, L. Gilbert, and J. Warren for helpful discussions and critical comments on the manuscript, and A. Bashirullah, T. Kozlova, J. Umen, J. Nemhauser, and Y. Yin for comments on the manuscript. J. Chory and C.S. Thummel are Investigators with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Andres, A.J. and Cherbas, P. 1992. Tissue-specific ecdysone responses: Regulation of the *Drosophila* genes *Eip28/29* and *Eip40* during larval development. *Development* **116**: 865–876.
- Andres, A.J., Fletcher, J.C., Karim, F.D., and Thummel, C.S. 1993. Molecular analysis of the initiation of insect metamorphosis: A comparative study of *Drosophila* ecdysteroid-regulated transcription. *Dev. Biol.* **160**: 388–404.
- Arbeitman, M.N. and Hogness, D.S. 2000. Molecular chaperones activate the *Drosophila* ecdysone receptor, an RXR heterodimer. *Cell* **101**: 67–77.
- Ashburner, M. 1971. Induction of puffs in polytene chromosomes of in vitro cultured salivary glands of *Drosophila melanogaster* by ecdysone and ecdysone analogues. *Nat. New Biol.* **230**: 222–224.
- Ashburner, M., Chihara, C., Meltzer, P., and Richards, G. 1974. Temporal control of puffing activity in polytene chromosomes. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **38**: 655–662.
- Ayer, S., Walker, N., Mosammaparast, M., Nelson, J.P., Shilo, B.Z., and Benyajati, C. 1993. Activation and repression of *Drosophila* alcohol dehydrogenase distal transcription by two steroid hormone receptor superfamily members binding to a common response element. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**: 1619–1627.
- Baehrecke, E.H. 2002. How death shapes life during development. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **3**: 779–787.
- Baehrecke, E.H. and Thummel, C.S. 1995. The *Drosophila* *E93* gene from the 93F early puff displays stage- and tissue-specific regulation by 20-hydroxyecdysone. *Dev. Biol.* **171**: 85–97.
- Baker, K.D., Warren, J.T., Thummel, C.S., Gilbert, L.I., and Mangelsdorf, D.J. 2000. Transcriptional activation of the *Drosophila* ecdysone receptor by insect and plant ecdysteroids. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **30**: 1037–1043.
- Bayer, C.A., Holley, B., and Fristrom, J.W. 1996. A switch in *Broad-complex* zinc-finger isoform expression is regulated posttranscriptionally during the metamorphosis of *Drosophila* imaginal discs. *Dev. Biol.* **177**: 1–14.
- Bayer, C.A., von Kalm, L., and Fristrom, J.W. 1997. Relationships between protein isoforms and genetic functions demonstrate functional redundancy at the *Broad-Complex* during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. *Dev. Biol.* **187**: 267–282.
- Becker, H.J. 1959. Die puffs der speicheldrüsenchromosomen von *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Beobachtungen zur verhalten des puffmusters in normalstamm und bei zwei mutanten, giant und lethal-giant-larvae. *Chromosoma* **10**: 654–678.
- Bender, M. 2003. Molecular mechanism of ecdysone action in insect development. In *Encyclopedia of hormones* (eds. H. Henry and A. Norman), Academic Press, New York (In press).
- Bender, M., Imam, F.B., Talbot, W.S., Ganetzky, B., and Hogness, D.S. 1997. *Drosophila* ecdysone receptor mutations reveal functional differences among receptor isoforms. *Cell* **91**: 777–788.
- Bergamasco, R. and Horn, D. 1980. The biological activities of ecdysteroids and ecdysteroid analogues. In *Progress in ecdysone research* (ed. J. Hoffman), pp. 299–324. Elsevier, New York.
- Bialecki, M., Shilton, A., Fichtenberg, C., Segraves, W., and Thummel, C. 2002. Loss of the ecdysteroid-inducible *E75A* orphan nuclear receptor uncouples molting from metamorphosis in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* **3**: 209–220.
- Billas, I.M., Moulinier, L., Rochel, N., and Moras, D. 2001. Crystal structure of the ligand-binding domain of the *Ultraspiracle* protein USP, the ortholog of retinoid X receptors in insects. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**: 7465–7474.
- Bishop, G.J. and Koncz, C. 2002. Brassinosteroids and plant steroid hormone signaling. *Plant Cell* **14**: 97–110.
- Bishop, G.J. and Yokota, T. 2001. Plants steroid hormones, brassinosteroids: Current highlights of molecular aspects on their synthesis/metabolism, transport, perception and response. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **42**: 114–120.
- Bishop, G.J., Harrison, K., and Jones, J.D. 1996. The tomato *Dwarf* gene isolated by heterologous transposon tagging encodes the first member of a new cytochrome P450 family. *Plant Cell* **8**: 959–969.
- Bishop, G.J., Nomura, T., Yokota, T., Harrison, K., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Jones, J.D., and Kamiya, Y. 1999. The tomato DWARF enzyme catalyses C-6 oxidation in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **96**: 1761–1766.
- Blais, C., Dauphin-Villemant, C., Kovganko, N., Girault, J.P., Descoins Jr., C., and Lafont, R. 1996. Evidence for the involvement of 3-oxo- δ 4 intermediates in ecdysteroid biosynthesis. *Biochem. J.* **320**: 413–419.
- Burtis, K.C., Thummel, C.S., Jones, C.W., Karim, F.D., and Hogness, D.S. 1990. The *Drosophila* 74EF early puff contains *E74*, a complex ecdysone-inducible gene that encodes two ets-related proteins. *Cell* **61**: 85–99.
- Cabrera y Poch, H.L., Peto, C.A., and Chory, J. 1993. A mutation in the *Arabidopsis* *DET3* gene uncouples photoregulated leaf development from gene expression and chloroplast biogenesis. *Plant J.* **4**: 671–682.
- Cadigan, K.M. and Nusse, R. 1997. Wnt signaling: A common theme in animal development. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 3286–3305.
- Champlin, D.T. and Truman, J.W. 1998. Ecdysteroid control of cell proliferation during optic lobe neurogenesis in the moth *Manduca sexta*. *Development* **125**: 269–277.
- . 2000. Ecdysteroid coordinates optic lobe neurogenesis via a nitric oxide signaling pathway. *Development* **127**: 3543–3551.
- Chavez, V.M., Marques, G., Delbecq, J.P., Kobayashi, K., Hollingsworth, M., Burr, J., Natze, J.E., and O'Connor, M.B. 2000. The *Drosophila* *disembodied* gene controls late embryonic morphogenesis and codes for a cytochrome P450 enzyme that regulates embryonic ecdysone levels. *Development* **127**: 4115–4126.
- Cherbas, L., Yonge, C., Cherbas, P., and Williams, C. 1980. The

Thummel and Chory

- morphological response of Kc-H cells to ecdysteroids: Hormonal specificity. *Willhelm Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **189**: 1–15.
- Choe, S., Dilkes, B.P., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Sakurai, A., and Feldmann, K.A. 1998a. The *DWF4* gene of *Arabidopsis* encodes a cytochrome P450 that mediates multiple 22 α -hydroxylation steps in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **10**: 231–243.
- Choe, S., Fujioka, S., Tanaka, A., Tissier, C., Rossa, A., Noguchi, T., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., Taxa, F., and Feldmann, K. 1998b. Molecular cloning of *Arabidopsis* brassinosteroid biosynthetic genes *DWF4*, *DWF5* and *DWF7*. In *Abstracts of 9th International Conference on Arabidopsis Research* pp. 555, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI.
- Choe, S., Dilkes, B.P., Gregory, B.D., Ross, A.S., Yuan, H., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Tanaka, A., Yoshida, S., et al. 1999a. The *Arabidopsis dwarf1* mutant is defective in the conversion of 24-methylenecholesterol to campesterol in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **119**: 897–907.
- Choe, S., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Tissier, C.P., Gregory, B.D., Ross, A.S., Tanaka, A., Yoshida, S., Tax, F.E., et al. 1999b. The *Arabidopsis dwf7/ste1* mutant is defective in the $\delta 7$ sterol C-5 desaturation step leading to brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **11**: 207–221.
- Choe, S., Tanaka, A., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Ross, A.S., Tax, F.E., Yoshida, S., and Feldmann, K.A. 2000. Lesions in the sterol δ reductase gene of *Arabidopsis* cause dwarfism due to a block in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant J.* **21**: 431–443.
- Choe, S., Fujioka, S., Noguchi, T., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., and Feldmann, K.A. 2001. Overexpression of *DWARF4* in the brassinosteroid biosynthetic pathway results in increased vegetative growth and seed yield in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **26**: 573–582.
- Choi, Y.-H., Fujioka, S., Harada, A., Yokota, T., Takatsuto, S., and Sakurai, A. 1996. A brassinolide biosynthetic pathway via 6-deoxocastasterone. *Phytochemistry* **43**: 593–596.
- Choi, Y.-H., Fujioka, S., Nomura, T., Harada, A., Yokota, T., Takatsuto, S., and Sakurai, A. 1997. An alternative brassinolide biosynthetic pathway via late C6-oxidation. *Phytochemistry* **44**: 609–613.
- Chory, J., Nagpal, P., and Peto, C.A. 1991. Phenotypic and genetic analysis of *det2*, a new mutant that affects light-regulated seedling development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **3**: 445–459.
- Chory, J., Reinecke, D., Sim, S., Washburn, T., and Brenner, M. 1994. A role for cytokinins in de-etiolation in *Arabidopsis*: *det* mutants may have an altered response to cytokinins. *Plant Physiol.* **104**: 339–347.
- Clayton, G.M., Peak-Chew, S.Y., Evans, R.M., and Schwabe, J.W. 2001. The structure of the ultraspiracle ligand-binding domain reveals a nuclear receptor locked in an inactive conformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**: 1549–1554.
- Clever, U. and Karlson, P. 1960. Induktion von puff-veränderungen in den speicheldrüsenchromosomen von Chironomus tentans durch ecdyson. *Exp. Cell. Res.* **20**: 623–626.
- Clouse, S.D. 1996. Molecular genetic studies confirm the role of brassinosteroids in plant growth and development. *Plant J.* **10**: 1–8.
- Clouse, S. and Sasse, J. 1998. Brassinosteroids: Essential regulators of plant growth and development. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **49**: 427–451.
- Clouse, S. and Zurek, D. 1991. Molecular analysis of brassinolide action in plant growth and development. In *Brassinosteroids: Chemistry, bioactivity and applications* (eds. H. Cutler, T. Yokota, and G. Adams), pp. 122–140. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Clouse, S.D., Langford, M., and McMorris, T.C. 1996. A brassinosteroid-insensitive mutant in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits multiple defects in growth and development. *Plant Physiol.* **111**: 671–678.
- Cutler, H.G., Yokota, T., and Adam, G., eds. 1991. Brassinosteroids. *ACS Symposium Series* pp. 474–496. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- D'Avino, P.P. and Thummel, C.S. 1998. *crooked legs* encodes a family of zinc finger proteins required for leg morphogenesis and ecdysone-regulated gene expression during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. *Development* **125**: 1733–1745.
- Descoins Jr., C. and Marion-Poll, F. 1999. Electrophysiological responses of gustatory sensilla of *Mamestra brassicae* larvae to three ecdysteroids: Ecdysone, 20-hydroxyecdysone and ponasterone A. *J. Insect Phys.* **45**: 871–876.
- DiBello, P.R., Withers, D.A., Bayer, C.A., Fristrom, J.W., and Guild, G.M. 1991. The *Drosophila Broad-Complex* encodes a family of related proteins containing zinc fingers. *Genetics* **129**: 385–397.
- Dinan, L. 1998. A strategy towards the elucidation of the contribution made by phytoecdysteroids to the deterrence of invertebrate predators on plants. *Russ. J. Plant Phys.* **45**: 296–305.
- . 2001. Phytoecdysteroids: Biological aspects. *Phytochemistry* **57**: 325–339.
- Dinan, L., Savchenko, T., and Whiting, P. 2001. On the distribution of phytoecdysteroids in plants. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **58**: 1121–1132.
- Finbow, M.E. and Harrison, M.A. 1997. The vacuolar H⁺-ATPase: A universal proton pump of eukaryotes. *Biochem. J.* **324**: 697–712.
- Fisk, G.J. and Thummel, C.S. 1995. Isolation, regulation, and DNA-binding properties of three *Drosophila* nuclear hormone receptor superfamily members. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 10604–10608.
- FlyBase. 1999. The FlyBase database of the *Drosophila* genome projects and community literature. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**: 85–88 <http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu>.
- Friedrichsen, D. and Chory, J. 2001. Steroid signaling in plants: From the cell surface to the nucleus. *Bioessays* **23**: 1028–1036.
- Friedrichsen, D.M., Joazeiro, C.A., Li, J., Hunter, T., and Chory, J. 2000. Brassinosteroid-insensitive-1 is a ubiquitously expressed leucine-rich repeat receptor serine/threonine kinase. *Plant Physiol.* **123**: 1247–1256.
- Friedrichsen, D., Nemhauser, J., Muramitsu, T., Maloof, J., Alonso, J., Ecker, J., Furuya, M., and Chory, J. 2002. Three redundant brassinosteroid early response genes encode putative bHLH transcription factors required for normal growth. *Genetics* (in press).
- Fristrom, D. and Fristrom, J.W. 1993. The metamorphic development of the adult epidermis. In *The Development of Drosophila melanogaster* (eds. M. Bate and A. Martinez Arias), pp. 843–897. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Fujioka, S., Li, J., Choi, Y.H., Seto, H., Takatsuto, S., Noguchi, T., Watanabe, T., Kuriyama, H., Yokota, T., Chory, J., et al. 1997. The *Arabidopsis deetiolated2* mutant is blocked early in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Plant Cell* **9**: 1951–1962.
- Gates, J. and Thummel, C.S. 2000. An enhancer trap screen for ecdysone-inducible genes required for *Drosophila* adult leg morphogenesis. *Genetics* **156**: 1765–1776.
- Gilbert, L.I., Rybczynski, R., and Warren, J.T. 2002. Control and biochemical nature of the ecdysteroidogenic pathway.

- Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **47**: 883–916.
- Gotwals, P.J. and Fristrom, J.W. 1991. Three neighboring genes interact with the *Broad-Complex* and the *Stubble-stubblod* locus to affect imaginal disc morphogenesis in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **127**: 747–759.
- Grieneisen, M. 1994. Recent advances in our knowledge of ecdysteroid biosynthesis in insects and crustaceans. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **24**: 115–132.
- Grieneisen, M.L., Warren, J.T., and Gilbert, L.I. 1993. Early steps in ecdysteroid biosynthesis: Evidence for the involvement of cytochrome P-450 enzymes. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **23**: 13–23.
- He, Z., Wang, Z.Y., Li, J., Zhu, Q., Lamb, C., Ronald, P., and Chory, J. 2000. Perception of brassinosteroids by the extracellular domain of the receptor kinase BRI1. *Science* **288**: 2360–2363.
- He, J.X., Gendron, J.M., Yang, Y., Li, J., and Wang, Z.Y. 2002. The GSK3-like kinase BIN2 phosphorylates and destabilizes BZR1, a positive regulator of the brassinosteroid signaling pathway in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**: 10185–10190.
- Hewes, R.S., Schaefer, A.M., and Taghert, P.H. 2000. The *cryptoccephal* gene (*ATF4*) encodes multiple basic-leucine zipper proteins controlling molting and metamorphosis in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **155**: 1711–1723.
- Ho, M.N., Hill, K.J., Lindorfer, M.A., and Stevens, T.H. 1993. Isolation of vacuolar membrane H(+)-ATPase-deficient yeast mutants, the *VMA5* and *VMA 4* genes are essential for assembly and activity of the vacuolar H(+)-ATPase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**: 221–227.
- Hock, T., Cottrill, T., Keegan, J., and Garza, D. 2000. The *E23* early gene of *Drosophila* encodes an ecdysone-inducible ATP-binding cassette transporter capable of repressing ecdysone-mediated gene activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 9519–9524.
- Horner, M.A. and Thummel, C.S. 1997. Mutations in the *DHR39* orphan receptor gene have no effect on viability. *Drosoph. Inf. Serv.* **80**: 35–37.
- Horner, M., Chen, T., and Thummel, C.S. 1995. Ecdysone regulation and DNA binding properties of *Drosophila* nuclear hormone receptor superfamily members. *Dev. Biol.* **168**: 490–502.
- Huelsken, J. and Birchmeier, W. 2001. New aspects of Wnt signaling pathways in higher vertebrates. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **11**: 547–553.
- Hurban, P. and Thummel, C.S. 1993. Isolation and characterization of fifteen ecdysone-inducible *Drosophila* genes reveal unexpected complexities in ecdysone regulation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **13**: 7101–7111.
- Ikebawa, N. and Zhao, Y.-J. 1981. Application of 24-epibrassinolide in agriculture. In *Brassinosteroids; chemistry, bioactivity and applications* (eds. H. Cutler, T. Yokota, and G. Adams), pp. 280–291. ACS Symposium Series, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Imai, S., Toyosato, T., Sakai, M., Sato, Y., Fujioka, S., Murata, E., and Goto, M. 1969. Screening results of plants for phytoecdysones. *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)* **17**: 335–339.
- Iwasaki, T. and Shibaoka, H. 1991. Brassinosteroids act as regulators of tracheary-element differentiation in isolated *Zinnia* mesophyll cells. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **32**: 1007–1014.
- Jiang, C., Baehrecke, E.H., and Thummel, C.S. 1997. Steroid regulated programmed cell death during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. *Development* **124**: 4673–4683.
- Jochova, J., Zakeri, Z., and Lockshin, R.A. 1997. Rearrangement of the tubulin and actin cytoskeleton during programmed cell death in *Drosophila* salivary glands. *Cell Death Differ.* **4**: 140–149.
- Jones, C. and Firn, R. 1978. A role of phytoecdysteroids in bracken fern, *Pteridium aquilinum* (L.) Kuhn, as a defence against phytophagous insect attack. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **4**: 117–138.
- Jones, G., Wozniak, M., Chu, Y., Dhar, S., and Jones, D. 2001. Juvenile hormone III-dependent conformational changes of the nuclear receptor ultraspiracle. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **32**: 33–49.
- Jürgens, G., Wieschaus, E., Nüsslein-Volhard, C., and Kluding, H. 1984. Mutations affecting the pattern of the larval cuticle in *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. Zygotic loci on the third chromosome. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **193**: 283–295.
- Kang, J.G., Yun, J., Kim, D.H., Chung, K.S., Fujioka, S., Kim, J.I., Dae, H.W., Yoshida, S., Takatsuto, S., Song, P.S., et al. 2001. Light and brassinosteroid signals are integrated via a dark-induced small G protein in etiolated seedling growth. *Cell* **105**: 625–636.
- Karim, F.D. and Thummel, C.S. 1992. Temporal coordination of regulatory gene expression by the steroid hormone ecdysone. *EMBO J.* **11**: 4083–4093.
- Kauschmann, A., Jessop, A., Koncz, C., Szekeres, M., Willmitzer, L., and Altmann, T. 1996. Genetic evidence for an essential role of brassinosteroids in plant development. *Plant J.* **9**: 701–713.
- Klahre, U., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Yokota, T., Nomura, T., Yoshida, S., and Chua, N.H. 1998. The *Arabidopsis* *DIMINUTO/DWARF1* gene encodes a protein involved in steroid synthesis. *Plant Cell* **10**: 1677–1690.
- Koelle, M.R., Talbot, W.S., Segraves, W.A., Bender, M.T., Cherbas, P., and Hogness, D.S. 1991. The *Drosophila* *EcR* gene encodes an ecdysone receptor, a new member of the steroid receptor superfamily. *Cell* **67**: 59–77.
- Koelle, M.R., Segraves, W.A., and Hogness, D.S. 1992. *DHR3*: A *Drosophila* steroid receptor homolog. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89**: 6167–6171.
- Koka, C.V., Cerny, R.E., Gardner, R.G., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., and Clouse, S.D. 2000. A putative role for the tomato genes *DUMPY* and *CURL-3* in brassinosteroid biosynthesis and response. *Plant Physiol.* **122**: 85–98.
- Lafont, R., Bouthier, A., and Wilson, I. 1991. Phytoecdysteroids: Structures, occurrence, biosynthesis and possible ecological significance. In *Insect chemical ecology* (ed. I. Hardy), pp. 197–214. Academia Prague, Prague, Czechoslovakia.
- Lam, G., Hall, B.L., Bender, M., and Thummel, C.S. 1999. *DHR3* is required for the prepupal-pupal transition and differentiation of adult structures during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. *Dev. Biol.* **212**: 204–216.
- Lee, C.Y. and Baehrecke, E.H. 2001. Steroid regulation of autophagic programmed cell death during development. *Development* **128**: 1443–1455.
- Li, J. and Chory, J. 1997. A putative leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase involved in brassinosteroid signal transduction. *Cell* **90**: 929–938.
- Li, J. and Nam, K.H. 2002. Regulation of brassinosteroid signaling by a GSK3/SHAGGY-like kinase. *Science* **295**: 1299–1301.
- Li, J., Nagpal, P., Vitart, V., McMorris, T.C., and Chory, J. 1996. A role for brassinosteroids in light-dependent development of *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **272**: 398–401.
- Li, J., Biswas, M.G., Chao, A., Russell, D.W., and Chory, J. 1997. Conservation of function between mammalian and plant steroid 5 α -reductases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 3554–3559.
- Li, J., Lease, K.A., Tax, F.E., and Walker, J.C. 2001a. BRS1, a serine carboxypeptidase, regulates BRI1 signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**: 5916–5921.

Thummel and Chory

- Li, J., Nam, K.H., Vafeados, D., and Chory, J. 2001b. *Bin2*, a new brassinosteroid-insensitive locus in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **127**: 14–22.
- Li, J., Wen, J., Lease, K.A., Doke, J.T., Tax, F.E., and Walker, J.C. 2002. BAK1, an *Arabidopsis* LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and modulates brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell* **110**: 213–222.
- Mandava, N.B. 1988. Plant growth-promoting brassinosteroids. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* **39**: 23–52.
- Mathur, J., Molnar, G., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Sakurai, A., Yokota, T., Adam, G., Voigt, B., Nagy, F., Maas, C., et al. 1998. Transcription of the *Arabidopsis* CPD gene, encoding a steroidogenic cytochrome P450, is negatively controlled by brassinosteroids. *Plant J.* **14**: 593–602.
- Meyerowitz, E.M. 2002. Plants compared to animals: The broadest comparative study of development. *Science* **295**: 1482–1485.
- Millar, A., Straume, M., Chory, J., Chua, N.-H., and Kay, S. 1995. The regulation of circadian period by phototransduction pathways in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **267**: 1163–1166.
- Mussig, C., Fischer, S., and Altmann, T. 2002. Brassinosteroid-regulated gene expression. *Plant Physiol.* **129**: 1241–1251.
- Nam, K.H. and Li, J. 2002. BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell* **110**: 203–212.
- Neff, M.M., Nguyen, S.M., Malancharuvil, E.J., Fujioka, S., Noguchi, T., Seto, H., Tsubuki, M., Honda, T., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., et al. 1999. *BAS1*: A gene regulating brassinosteroid levels and light responsiveness in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 15316–15323.
- Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Choe, S., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., Yuan, H., Feldmann, K.A., and Tax, F.E. 1999. Brassinosteroid-insensitive dwarf mutants of *Arabidopsis* accumulate brassinosteroids. *Plant Physiol.* **121**: 743–752.
- Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Choe, S., Takatsuto, S., Tax, F.E., Yoshida, S., and Feldmann, K.A. 2000. Biosynthetic pathways of brassinolide in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **124**: 201–209.
- Nomura, T., Kitasaka, Y., Takatsuto, S., Reid, J.B., Fukami, M., and Yokota, T. 1999. Brassinosteroid/Sterol synthesis and plant growth as affected by *lka* and *lkb* mutations of pea. *Plant Physiol.* **119**: 1517–1526.
- Nüsslein-Volhard, C., Wieschaus, E., and Kluding, H. 1984. Mutations affecting the pattern of the larval cuticle in *Drosophila melanogaster*. I. Zygotic loci on the second chromosome. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **183**: 267–282.
- Oh, M.-H., Romanow, W., Smith, R., Zamski, E., Sasse, J., and Clouse, S. 1998. Soybean *BRU1* encodes a functional xyloglucan endotransglycosylase that is highly expressed in inner epicotyl tissues during brassinosteroid-promoted elongation. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **39**.
- Oh, M.H., Ray, W.K., Huber, S.C., Asara, J.M., Gage, D.A., and Clouse, S.D. 2000. Recombinant brassinosteroid insensitive 1 receptor-like kinase autophosphorylates on serine and threonine residues and phosphorylates a conserved peptide motif in vitro. *Plant Physiol.* **124**: 751–766.
- Ohno, C.K. and Petkovich, M. 1993. *FTZ-F1* β , a novel member of the *Drosophila* nuclear receptor family. *Mech. Dev.* **40**: 13–24.
- Pecasse, F., Beck, Y., Ruiz, C., and Richards, G. 2000. *Kruppel-homolog*, a stage-specific modulator of the prepupal ecdysone response, is essential for *Drosophila* metamorphosis. *Dev. Biol.* **221**: 53–67.
- Perez-Perez, J.M., Ponce, M.R., and Micol, J.L. 2002. The UCU1 *Arabidopsis* gene encodes a SHAGGY/GSK3-like kinase required for cell expansion along the proximodistal axis. *Dev. Biol.* **242**: 161–173.
- Rees, H. 1995. Ecdysteroid biosynthesis and inactivation in relation to function. *Eur. J. Entomol.* **92**: 9–39.
- Renault, N., King-Jones, K., and Lehmann, M. 2001. Downregulation of the tissue-specific transcription factor *Fork head* by *Broad-Complex* mediates a stage-specific hormone response. *Development* **128**: 3729–3737.
- Restifo, L.L. and Wilson, T.G. 1998. A juvenile hormone agonist reveals distinct developmental pathways mediated by ecdysone-inducible *Broad Complex* transcription factors. *Dev. Genet.* **22**: 141–159.
- Richards, G. 1976. Sequential gene activation by ecdysone in polytene chromosomes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. IV. The mid-prepupal period. *Dev. Biol.* **54**: 256–263.
- . 1981. The radioimmune assay of ecdysteroid titres in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **21**: 181–197.
- . 1997. The ecdysone regulatory cascades in *Drosophila*. *Adv. in Dev. Biol.* **5**: 81–135.
- Riddiford, L.M. 1993. Hormones and *Drosophila* development. In *The Development of Drosophila melanogaster* (eds. M. Bate and A. Martinez-Arias), pp. 899–939. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Riddiford, L. 1996. Molecular aspects of juvenile hormone action in insect metamorphosis. In *Metamorphosis: Postembryonic reprogramming of gene expression in amphibian and insect cells* (eds. L. Gilbert, J. Tata, and B. Atkinson), pp. 223–251. Academic Press, New York.
- Riddiford, L.M., Cherbas, P., and Truman, J.W. 2000. Ecdysone receptors and their biological actions. *Vitam. Horm.* **60**: 1–73.
- Roberts, K. and McCann, M.C. 2000. Xylogenesis: The birth of a corpse. *Curr. Opin. Plant. Biol.* **3**: 517–522.
- Robertson, C.W. 1936. The metamorphosis of *Drosophila melanogaster*, including an accurately timed account of the principal morphological changes. *J. Morphol.* **59**: 351–399.
- Rouleau, M., Marsolais, F., Richard, M., Nicolle, L., Voigt, B., Adam, G., and Varin, L. 1999. Inactivation of brassinosteroid biological activity by a salicylate-inducible steroid sulfotransferase from *Brassica napus*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**: 20925–20930.
- Russell, S. and Ashburner, M. 1996. Ecdysone-regulated chromosome puffing in *Drosophila melanogaster*. In *Metamorphosis. Postembryonic reprogramming of gene expression in amphibian and insect cells* (eds. B.G. Atkinson, L.I. Gilbert, and J.R. Tata), pp. 109–144. Academic Press, New York.
- Russell, D.W. and Wilson, J.D. 1994. Steroid 5 α -reductase: Two genes/two enzymes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **63**: 25–61.
- Russell, S.R., Heimbeck, G., Goddard, C.M., Carpenter, A.T., and Ashburner, M. 1996. The *Drosophila* *Eip78C* gene is not vital but has a role in regulating chromosome puffs. *Genetics* **144**: 159–170.
- Sakurai, A. and Fujioka, S. 1997. Studies on biosynthesis of brassinosteroids. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **61**: 757–762.
- Schmelz, E., Grebenok, R., Galbraith, D., and Bowers, W. 1998. Damage-induced accumulation of phytoecdysteroids in spinach: A rapid root response involving the octadecanoic acid pathway. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **24**: 339–360.
- Schmelz, E., Grebenok, R., Galbraith, D., and Bowers, W. 1999. Insect-induced synthesis of phytoecdysteroids in spinach, *Spinacia oleracea*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **25**: 1739–1757.
- Schumacher, K., Vafeados, D., McCarthy, M., Sze, H., Wilkins, T., and Chory, J. 1999. The *Arabidopsis* *det3* mutant reveals a central role for the vacuolar H(+)-ATPase in plant growth and development. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 3259–3270.
- Segraves, W. 1998. Ecdysone response in *Drosophila*. In *Hormones and growth factors in development and neoplasia*

- (eds. R. Dickson and D. Salomon), pp. 45–78. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Segraves, W.A. and Hogness, D.S. 1990. The *E75* ecdysone-inducible gene responsible for the 75B early puff in *Drosophila* encodes two new members of the steroid receptor superfamily. *Genes & Dev.* **4**: 204–219.
- Sharpe, C., Lawrence, N., and Martinez Arias, A. 2001. Wnt signalling: A theme with nuclear variations. *Bioessays* **23**: 311–318.
- Siegmund, T. and Lehmann, M. 2002. The *Drosophila Pipsqueak* protein defines a new family of helix-turn-helix DNA-binding proteins. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **212**: 152–157.
- Song, Q. and Gilbert, L.I. 1998. Alterations in ultraspiracle (USP) content and phosphorylation state accompany feedback regulation of ecdysone synthesis in the insect prothoracic gland. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **28**: 849–860.
- Stone, B.L. and Thummel, C.S. 1993. The *Drosophila* 78C early late puff contains *E78*, an ecdysone-inducible gene that encodes a novel member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. *Cell* **75**: 307–320.
- Strott, C.A. 1996. Steroid sulfotransferases. *Endocr. Rev.* **17**: 670–697.
- Szekeres, M., Nemeth, K., Koncz-Kalman, Z., Mathur, J., Kauschmann, A., Altmann, T., Redei, G.P., Nagy, F., Schell, J., and Koncz, C. 1996. Brassinosteroids rescue the deficiency of CYP90, a cytochrome P450, controlling cell elongation and deetiolation in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* **85**: 171–182.
- Tanaka, Y., Asaoka, K., and Takeda, S. 1994. Different feeding and gustatory responses to ecdysone and 20-hydroxyecdysone by larvae of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **20**: 125–133.
- The *Arabidopsis* Genome Initiative. 2000. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **408**: 796–815.
- Thomas, H.E., Stunnenberg, H.G., and Stewart, A.F. 1993. Heterodimerization of the *Drosophila* ecdysone receptor with retinoid X receptor and ultraspiracle. *Nature* **362**: 471–475.
- Thummel, C.S. 1995. From embryogenesis to metamorphosis: The regulation and function of *Drosophila* nuclear receptor superfamily members. *Cell* **83**: 871–877.
- . 1996. Flies on steroids—*Drosophila* metamorphosis and the mechanisms of steroid hormone action. *Trends Genet.* **12**: 306–310.
- von Kalm, L., Fristrom, D., and Fristrom, J. 1995. The making of a fly leg: A model for epithelial morphogenesis. *Bioessays* **17**: 693–702.
- Wang, Z.Y., Seto, H., Fujioka, S., Yoshida, S., and Chory, J. 2001. BRI1 is a critical component of a plasma-membrane receptor for plant steroids. *Nature* **410**: 380–383.
- Wang, Z.Y., Nakano, T., Gendron, J., He, J., Chen, M., Vafeados, D., Yang, Y., Fujioka, S., Yoshida, S., Asami, T., et al. 2002. Nuclear-localized BZR1 mediates brassinosteroid-induced growth and feedback suppression of brassinosteroid biosynthesis. *Dev. Cell* **2**: 505–513.
- Warren, J.T., Bachmann, J.S., Dai, J.D., and Gilbert, L.I. 1996. Differential incorporation of cholesterol and cholesterol derivatives into ecdysteroids by the larval ring glands and adult ovaries of *Drosophila melanogaster*: A putative explanation for the *l(3)ecd1* mutation. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **26**: 931–943.
- Warren, J.T., Petryk, A., Marques, G., Jarcho, M., Parvy, J.P., Dauphin-Villemant, C., O'Connor, M.B., and Gilbert, L.I. 2002. Molecular and biochemical characterization of two P450 enzymes in the ecdysteroidogenic pathway of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**: 11043–11048.
- White, K.P., Hurban, P., Watanabe, T., and Hogness, D.S. 1997. Coordination of *Drosophila* metamorphosis by two ecdysone-induced nuclear receptors. *Science* **276**: 114–117.
- White, K.P., Rifkin, S.A., Hurban, P., and Hogness, D.S. 1999. Microarray analysis of *Drosophila* development during metamorphosis. *Science* **286**: 2179–2184.
- Wieschaus, E., Nüsslein-Volhard, C., and Jürgens, G. 1984. Mutations affecting the pattern of the larval cuticle in *Drosophila melanogaster*. III. Zygotic loci on the X-chromosome and fourth chromosome. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **193**: 296–307.
- Winter, J., Bilbe, G., Richener, H., Sehringer, B., and Kayser, H. 1999. Cloning of a cDNA encoding a novel cytochrome P450 from the insect *Locusta migratoria*: CYP6H1, a putative ecdysone 20-hydroxylase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **259**: 305–310.
- Woodgett, J.R. 2001. Judging a protein by more than its name: gsk-3. *Sci. STKE* 2001: RE12.
- Xu, W., Purugganan, M.M., Polisensky, D.H., Antosiewicz, D.M., Fry, S.C., and Braam, J. 1995. *Arabidopsis* TCH4, regulated by hormones and the environment, encodes a xyloglucan endotransglycosylase. *Plant Cell* **7**: 1555–1567.
- Yamamoto, R., Fujioka, S., Demura, T., Takatsuto, S., Yoshida, S., and Fukuda, H. 2001. Brassinosteroid levels increase drastically prior to morphogenesis of tracheary elements. *Plant Physiol.* **125**: 556–563.
- Yamamoto, C., Ihara, Y., Wu, X., Noguchi, T., Fujioka, S., Takatsuto, S., Ashikari, M., Kitano, H., and Matsuoka, M. 2000. Loss of function of a rice *brassinosteroid insensitive1* homolog prevents internode elongation and bending of the lamina joint. *Plant Cell* **12**: 1591–1606.
- Yao, T., Segraves, W.A., Oro, A.E., McKeown, M., and Evans, R.M. 1992. *Drosophila* ultraspiracle modulates ecdysone receptor function via heterodimer formation. *Cell* **71**: 63–72.
- Yao, T., Forman, B.M., Jiang, Z., Cherbas, L., Chen, J.D., McKeown, M., Cherbas, P., and Evans, R.M. 1993. Functional ecdysone receptor is the product of *EcR* and *ultraspiracle* genes. *Nature* **366**: 476–479.
- Yin, Y., Wang, Z.Y., Mora-Garcia, S., Li, J., Yoshida, S., Asami, T., and Chory, J. 2002. BES1 accumulates in the nucleus in response to brassinosteroids to regulate gene expression and promote stem elongation. *Cell* **109**: 181–191.
- Zhou, X. and Riddiford, L.M. 2002. Broad specifies pupal development and mediates the “status quo” action of juvenile hormone on the pupal-adult transformation in *Drosophila* and *Manduca*. *Development* **129**: 2259–2269.
- Zurek, D.M. and Clouse, S.D. 1994. Molecular cloning and characterization of a brassinosteroid-regulated gene from elongating soybean (*Glycine max* L.) epicotyls. *Plant Physiol.* **104**: 161–170.
- Zurek, D.M., Rayle, D.L., McMorris, T.C., and Clouse, S.D. 1994. Investigation of gene expression, growth kinetics, and wall extensibility during brassinosteroid-regulated stem elongation. *Plant Physiol.* **104**: 505–513.

Steroid signaling in plants and insects—common themes, different pathways

Carl S. Thummel and Joanne Chory

Genes Dev. 2002, **16**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.1042102](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1042102)

References

This article cites 141 articles, 61 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/16/24/3113.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.