RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Multiple regulatory changes contribute to the evolution of the *Caenorhabditis lin-48 ovo* gene

Xiaodong Wang and Helen M. Chamberlin¹

Department of Molecular Genetics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

Recent work points to the importance of changes in gene expression patterns in species-specific differences. Here, we investigate the evolution of the nematode *lin-48 ovo* gene. *lin-48* is expressed in several cells in both *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, but acts in the excretory duct cell only in *C. elegans*. We find the differences result both from alterations in the *cis*-regulatory sequences and in proteins that mediate *lin-48* expression. One factor that contributes to the species differences is the bZip protein CES-2. Our results indicate the accumulation of several regulatory changes affecting one gene can contribute to evolutionary change.

Received April 4, 2002; revised version accepted July 25, 2002.

Multicellular organisms are composed of a variety of cell types that result from the expression of different genes in subsets of cells. This differential gene expression is mediated by *cis*-regulatory DNA sequences that can be bound by proteins such as transcription factors. Changes in gene-expression patterns play an important role in the molecular and morphological differences between species (Sucena and Stern 2000; Tautz 2000; Grandien and Sommer 2001). However, whether these changes result from alterations in the gene's *cis*-regulatory sequences or in the *trans*-acting proteins that bind to them, and whether a single major sequence change or multiple cumulative changes contribute to the species differences remain important questions, as they address the molecular mechanisms underlying evolutionary change. To understand the molecular changes responsible for the evolution of the expression pattern of a gene, we have investigated the function and expression of *lin-48* in two *Caenorhabditis* nematode species. *lin-48* encodes a C2H2 zinc-finger protein similar to the product of the *Drosophila ovo* gene (Johnson et al. 2001). In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *lin-48* has been shown to play an important role in hindgut development and its expression is limited to a subset of hindgut cells, the excretory duct cell, and several neuronal support cells. In preliminary studies, we found that reporter transgenes made from *C. elegans lin-48* were expressed in a similar set of cells in *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, but the excretory duct cell expres-

sion was absent. The excretory duct cell is part of the nematode excretory system that is proposed to mediate osmotic and ionic regulation (Nelson et al. 1983; Nelson and Riddle 1984). We sought to understand the function of *lin-48* in this cell, and to explore the molecular basis for the inter-species differences.

Results and Discussion

To investigate the function of *lin-48* in development of the excretory duct cell, we visualized the cell in *lin-48* mutants using transgenes containing the upstream regulatory sequences of *C. elegans lin-48* (*Ce-lin-48*) directing the expression of the reporter gene *gfp* (green fluorescent protein; Fig. 1a,b). Although *C. elegans lin-48* mutants develop a functional excretory duct cell, we found the cell morphology is different and the duct is more anterior than in wild type (Fig. 1c,d; Table 1). We observed the excretory duct placement in *C. briggsae*

Figure 1. *lin-48* expression and function in *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*. (a) Nomarski DIC micrograph of wild-type L3 *C. elegans* animal showing the excretory system. (b) Epi-fluorescent micrograph of animal in a, showing expression of *Ce-lin-48::gfp*. The location of the excretory cell and excretory duct cell are indicated by an asterisk and an arrow. The excretory duct is indicated by an arrowhead. The excretory duct forms more posterior in wild-type *C. elegans* (c) than in *C. elegans lin-48* mutants (d) or *C. briggsae* (e). *ces-2* mutant animals exhibit the same excretory duct cell morphological phenotype as *lin-48(sa469)* mutants. Bracket shows distance between the duct and the base of the posterior pharynx. (f) *lin-48* mutant males develop abnormal ectopic spicule cells anterior to the normal spicule cells. (g) *lin-48* mutant animal rescued by *Cb-lin-48* genomic clone, resulting in development of normal spicule cells. Arrows indicate cells expressing spicule cuticle. Scale bars, 10µm. (Left) anterior; (top) dorsal.

[Keywords: Gene expression changes; Pax gene; EGL-38; CES-2]

¹Corresponding author.

E-MAIL chamberlin.27@osu.edu; FAX (614) 292-4466

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.996302>.

Table 1. *lin-48* function in duct placement

	Distance (μm) ^a	No. of animals
<i>C. elegans</i> (N2)	13.07 $\pm$ 1.55 ^{b,c}	48
<i>C. elegans</i> (CB4856)	13.94 $\pm$ 1.70 ^c	48
<i>C. briggsae</i> (AF16)	17.73 $\pm$ 1.75 ^d	48
<i>C. briggsae</i> (HK104)	19.70 $\pm$ 2.05 ^d	48
<i>Ce-lin-48(sa469)</i>	20.12 $\pm$ 1.90 ^d	54
<i>Ce-ces-2(n732)</i>	17.16 $\pm$ 2.16 ^d	48
<i>Ce-ces-2(RNAi)</i>	16.92 $\pm$ 1.29 ^d	48
<i>Ce-lin-48(sa469);guEx(Ce-lin-48)</i>	13.93 $\pm$ 1.24 ^c	51
<i>Ce-lin-48(sa469);guEx(Cb-lin-48)</i>	20.03 $\pm$ 1.71 ^d	34

Excretory ducts of *Caenorhabditis elegans lin-48* mutants, *C. elegans ces-2* mutants, and *Caenorhabditis briggsae* locate more anterior compared with *C. elegans* wild-type. *guEx(Ce-lin-48)* and *guEx(Cb-lin-48)* are transgenes containing genomic clones of *Ce-lin-48* and *Cb-lin-48*, respectively. These transgenes rescue the other functions of *lin-48*.

^aDistance is from excretory opening to the base of the terminal pharynx bulb. Values are means $\pm$ SD. There is no significant difference in the distance of the base of the terminal pharynx bulb to the P1.p nucleus between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* (12.74 $\pm$ 4.02 μm vs. 12.04 $\pm$ 3.09 μm , $p = 0.262$ by *t*-test).

^bAll other strains (d) are significantly different from *C. elegans* wild type and *lin-48* rescued animals (c) according to Bonferroni test ($p < 0.05$).

animals and found it is also more anterior than in wild-type *C. elegans* animals (Fig. 1e). Transgenes containing a *Ce-lin-48* genomic clone rescue all *lin-48* mutant phenotypes, whereas a comparable *C. briggsae lin-48* (*Cb-lin-48*) genomic clone rescues *lin-48* defects in hindgut and male tail development, but not duct morphogenesis (Fig. 1f,g; Table 1). Although we cannot rule out the possibility that additional regulatory elements in the *C. briggsae* gene lie outside of the tested genomic region, this experiment indicates that there are differences between the regulatory features of *Ce-lin-48* and *Cb-lin-48*. Taken together, these results support the idea that *Ce-lin-48* functions to alter duct cell morphogenesis and positioning, but this function is not found in *C. briggsae*.

The upstream sequences of *C. elegans lin-48* drive expression of GFP in the same pattern as *gfp*-tagged genomic clones capable of complementing *lin-48* mutations

(Johnson et al. 2001; data not shown). To identify the source of the differences in *lin-48*, we constructed *gfp* reporter transgenes for the *C. briggsae lin-48* gene using upstream sequences. We tested the expression of *Ce-lin-48::gfp* in *C. briggsae*, and vice versa, and found only the *C. elegans* gene in *C. elegans* animals is expressed in the excretory duct cell (Fig. 2a–d). All other aspects of expression were conserved in intra- and inter-species experiments. Because *Ce-lin-48::gfp* is not expressed in the *C. briggsae* excretory duct cell (Fig. 2c), it suggests that there are differences in the potential regulatory proteins between the species. In addition, these experiments show that there are differences in the *lin-48* upstream region as the *Cb-lin-48::gfp* is not expressed in *C. elegans* (Fig. 2d).

A mutation analysis of the *Ce-lin-48* upstream regulatory region identified an element essential for excretory duct cell expression that is conserved between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* (Fig. 3a). This site (termed *Ire2*) binds the Pax protein EGL-38 and is required for expression in both the excretory duct cell and hindgut cells (Johnson et al. 2001). However, this site cannot be sufficient for duct cell expression, because it is present in both *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* genes. To identify additional sequences important for duct cell expression and responsible for the differences in the *lin-48 cis*-regulatory region, we made chimeric clones by swapping regions between *Ce-lin-48* and *Cb-lin-48*, and tested these clones for expression in *C. elegans* animals. The results indicated that the more proximal 1.5 kb of *Ce-lin-48* upstream sequences also contains *cis*-regulatory sequences necessary for excretory duct cell expression that are absent from the *C. briggsae* gene (Fig. 3b,c). Further analysis of this region showed that it includes at least four independent sites (one distal and three proximal) that are each sufficient to increase expression levels. This conclusion results from the following observations. First, either a more distal or more proximal portion of this region is sufficient for the expression (Fig. 3d,e). Second, deletions in the clone containing the most proximal 525-bp *C. elegans* sequence and the remaining sequence from *C. briggsae* (Fig. 3f–j) revealed at least three elements that confer partial duct cell expression activity. Each tested subdivision of this region retained some activity (Fig. 3f–i). Although several distinct sites are present in the proximal region of *lin-48*, the sequence does not contain obvious EGL-38 recognition sites. This result sug-

Figure 2. *lin-48::gfp* transgenes from *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* tested in each species indicate only the *C. elegans* gene in *C. elegans* animals is expressed in the excretory duct cell. All other expression patterns are conserved. The 2.4 kb of *Ce-lin-48* upstream regulatory sequences is indicated with a solid line and white blocks. The 3.0 kb of *Cb-lin-48* upstream regulatory sequences is indicated by a broken line and black blocks. Blocks correspond to conserved DNA sequences in the area of the previously defined regulatory element *Ire2* and two additional regions more proximal to the transcriptional start site.

Figure 3. (1) Experiments to identify *cis*-regulatory sequences important for excretory duct cell expression in *C. elegans*. (a) A mutation in the *Ce-lin-48* promoter (indicated by X) shows the conserved lre2 sequence is important for expression in both excretory duct and hindgut cells (data from Johnson et al. 2001). (b–j) Chimeric promoter sequences resulting from DNA swap between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* and deletion analysis of the chimeric promoter identify at least four additional regulatory regions that contribute to *Ce-lin-48* expression in the duct cell. (k) Mutations in putative CES-2-binding sites in the *Ce-lin-48* promoter reduced the excretory duct cell expression. (2) Experiments to show that *ces-2* affects *lin-48* excretory duct cell expression. (l–s) *ces-2(RNAi)* reduced *lin-48* expression in transgenic animals. Reduction of *lin-48* expression by transgenes marked with asterisk was also confirmed in *ces-2(n732)* mutant background. The percentages of cells expressing GFP (black bar), expressing very low, but detectable levels of GFP (gray bar) or not expressing GFP (white bar), are indicated for each construct. (n) Number of animals scored for expression. Source of DNA in transgene indicated as in Figure 2. For chimeric clones, arrowhead indicates the point of the DNA swap. GFP expression in hindgut was used as an internal control for expression level and presence of the transgene in all experiments.

gests that a different factor or factors is also essential for excretory duct cell expression.

To identify additional factors important for *Ce-lin-48* expression in excretory duct cell, we used MatInspector

software (Quandt et al. 1995) to search for potential transcription factor-binding sites in the ~60-bp *C. elegans* most proximal sequences contained in the constructs of Figure 3i. This identified two sites with the potential to

bind the *C. elegans* bZip protein CES-2 (Fig. 4). *ces-2* was originally characterized for its role in mediating a developmental decision between survival and apoptosis (Metzstein et al. 1996). To test whether *ces-2* plays a role in *Ce-lin-48* excretory duct cell expression, we observed the excretory duct morphology in *ces-2(n732)* and *ces-2(RNAi)* animals and found it is similar to *lin-48* mutants (Table 1). The *ces-1* gene has been shown to act downstream of *ces-2* in regulating the cell death decision (Ellis and Horvitz 1991; Metzstein and Horvitz 1999), but we found no effect on excretory duct morphogenesis in *ces-1* mutants (data not shown). Thus, the role of *ces-2* in duct morphogenesis is distinct from its role in apoptosis.

To test the function of *ces-2* in mediating *lin-48* duct cell expression, we tested *lin-48::gfp* expression in *ces-2(n732)* and *ces-2(RNAi)* mutant animals, and found *lin-48::gfp* expression is notably reduced in these animals (Fig. 3l–s). Finally, mutations disrupting the potential CES-2-binding sites in transgenes containing the 60-bp *C. elegans* proximal sequences eliminated the excretory duct cell expression (Fig. 3k). Taken together, these results indicate CES-2 mediates expression of *lin-48* in the *C. elegans* excretory duct cell, and alteration of CES-2 regulatory sequences contribute to the differences between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*. Because mutations in *ces-2* do not completely eliminate excretory duct expression (Fig. 3l–q), it suggests that other factors also contribute to the differences in *lin-48* expression. This observation is consistent with the identification of multiple regulatory sites in the chimeric *lin-48::gfp* reporter transgenes.

Using inter-specific gene expression assays, we have found that changes in *cis*-regulatory sequences and in *trans*-acting factors contribute to differences in *Caenorhabditis lin-48* expression and function in the excretory duct cell. Two types of *lin-48 cis*-regulatory sequences are important for excretory duct cell expression: several in the proximal region that differ between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*, and the more distal *lre2* that is conserved. Although both are necessary, the *lre2* sequence from either *C. elegans* or *C. briggsae* can function with the *C. elegans* proximal sequences to mediate expression. Our results provide experimental evidence

that the modular architecture of *cis*-regulatory sequences allow for gene evolution (Arnone and Davidson 1997). Specifically, evolutionary changes have affected the proximal sequences important only for duct cell expression, whereas *lre2* (important for expression in two cell types) is unchanged. Our results suggest that the bZip transcription factor CES-2 is one factor that acts through the proximal sequences that differ between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*. Further work is necessary to determine whether *ces-2* function also differs between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*, or whether it is change of other *cis*-regulatory factors that prevents the expression of *Ce-lin-48::gfp* in the *C. briggsae* excretory duct.

Analysis of chimeric enhancers from *Drosophila* species suggests that *cis*-regulatory sequences are subject to complex stabilizing selection (Ludwig et al. 2000). These experiments showed that conservation of a gene expression pattern between species results from multiple compensatory nucleotide changes. Our experiments address the question of what molecular changes occur in the evolution of a gene expression pattern. A regulatory change could result from a sequence change in a single *cis*-regulatory element, or several sequence changes affecting multiple *cis*-regulatory elements. Evolution of gene expression by a single change might be associated with a dramatic phenotypic alteration fixed by strong selection, whereas the accumulation of multiple smaller changes might underlie a less stringent selection process or genetic drift. These small changes would act to stabilize an initial altered expression pattern. Our results with *Caenorhabditis lin-48* genes are consistent with the latter mechanism. We speculate that the selective forces that influence *cis*-regulatory sequences and promote stabilization of gene expression can also act to stabilize gene expression differences between species.

Materials and methods

Morphology of the excretory duct cell

We measured the distance from the excretory pore to the base of the posterior pharynx bulb in L3 animals to quantify the morphological change of the excretory duct. Control measurements between the posterior pharynx bulb and the P1.p nucleus indicate that the bulb distance from other epidermal landmarks is the same in the two species (Table 1).

Construction of DNA clones

A *C. briggsae* probe for the *lin-48* gene was generated using PCR with *C. briggsae* genomic DNA and degenerate primers corresponding to the *C. elegans* gene, and used to screen a *C. briggsae* genomic fosmid library (Incye Genomics, Inc). A 6.0-kb *SalI* fragment (pXW4) that hybridized to the probe was subcloned from fosmid 33J1. The clone was confirmed to be *Cb-lin-48* by rescuing male tail defects of *C. elegans lin-48* (*sa469*);*him-5(e1490)* mutant animals and by sequencing. pXW6 is a plasmid containing *Cb-lin-48*, 3.0-kb upstream sequence cloned into vector pPD95.73 provided by Andy Fire (Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Baltimore, MD). pAJ49 is 2.4-kb upstream sequence of *Ce-lin-48* cloned into vector pPD95.67 and shown previously to express in all *lin-48*-expressing cells (Johnson et al. 2001). Swapping clones were derived from these two plasmids by incorporating unique restriction enzyme sites at the exchange points by use of the Quick-change mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene). Each clone with the introduced restriction enzyme site was shown to retain activity prior to the swap (data not

Figure 4. Potential CES-2-binding sites in *Ce-lin-48* promoter. (1) DNA sequence of -60 bp *Ce-lin-48* proximal region. CES-2-binding sites are shown by arrows under the sequences. (2) Alignment between the CES-2-binding sites in the *Ce-lin-48* proximal region, *ces-1* upstream element (Metzstein and Horvitz 1999), and the CES-2/PAR family consensus (Metzstein et al. 1996). Y = T or C; R = A or G.

shown). Deletions (Fig. 3f–j) were generated by use of the ExSite mutagenesis method (Stratagene). pXW66 and pXW67 are genomic clones that include the same extent of upstream sequences as pXW6 and pAJ49. These clones were used for rescue analysis in Table 1.

DNA transformation and RNA interference

Transgenic *C. elegans* strains were generated as described (Johnson et al. 2001). For the rescue experiment, pXW4, pXW66, or pXW67 was coinjected with 15 ng/μL pDP#MM016 [*unc-119(+)*] plasmid into the mitotic germ line of hermaphrodites of *C. elegans* *lin-48(sa469);unc-119(e2498);him-5(e1490)* animals. For all experiments that test expression of *lin-48::gfp* reporter constructs in *C. elegans*, 70–90 ng/μL reporter clone was coinjected with *unc-119(+)* plasmid into *unc-119(e2498);him-5(e1490)* animals. For *C. briggsae* *lin-48::gfp*, reporter clones were coinjected with pRF4 [*C. elegans* *rol-6(d)*] at a concentration of 120 ng/μL into *C. briggsae* (AF16). At least two independent lines were tested for each construct.

RNA interference was performed as described (Fire et al. 1998). dsRNA of *ces-2* was obtained from the cDNA clone, yk91e8, kindly provided by Yuji Kohara (Gene Network Library, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan).

Acknowledgments

We thank W. Deng for assistance with statistical analysis, M.A. Brown and A.D. Johnson for assistance in the early stages of this project, and A. Simcox and Chamberlin laboratory members for comments on the manuscript. We also thank Yuji Kohara for *ces-2* cDNA clone and Therasa Sternagle from *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center for worm strains; the CGC is supported by the NIH National Center for Research Resources. This work is supported by grants from NSF (IBN-0092603) and NIH (GM42336).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Arnone, M.I. and Davidson, E.H. 1997. The hardwiring of development: Organization and function of genomic regulatory systems. *Development* **124**: 1851–1864.
- Ellis, R.E. and Horvitz, H.R. 1991. Two *C. elegans* genes control the programmed deaths of specific cells in the pharynx. *Development* **112**: 591–603.
- Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M.K., Kostas, S.A., Driver, S.E., and Mello, C.C. 1998. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **391**: 806–811.
- Grandien, K. and Sommer, R.J. 2001. Functional comparison of the nematode Hox gene *lin-39* in *C. elegans* and *P. pacificus* reveals evolutionary conservation of protein function despite divergence of primary sequences. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 2161–2172.
- Johnson, A.D., Fitzsimmons, D., Hagman, J., and Chamberlin, H.M. 2001. EGL-38 Pax regulates the *ovo*-related gene *lin-48* during *Caenorhabditis elegans* organ development. *Development* **128**: 2857–2865.
- Ludwig, M.Z., Bergman, C., Patel, N.H., and Kreitman, M. 2000. Evidence for stabilizing selection in a eukaryotic enhancer element. *Nature* **403**: 564–567.
- Metzstein, M.M. and Horvitz, H.R. 1999. The *C. elegans* cell death specification gene *ces-1* encodes a snail family zinc finger protein. *Mol. Cell* **4**: 309–319.
- Metzstein, M.M., Hengartner, M.O., Tsung, N., Ellis, R.E., and Horvitz, H.R. 1996. Transcriptional regulator of programmed cell death encoded by *Caenorhabditis elegans* gene *ces-2*. *Nature* **382**: 545–547.
- Nelson, F.K. and Riddle, D.L. 1984. Functional study of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* secretory-excretory system. *J. Exp. Zool.* **231**: 45–56.
- Nelson, F.K., Albert, P.S., and Riddle, D.L. 1983. Fine structure of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* secretory-excretory system. *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* **82**: 156–171.
- Quandt, K., Frech, K., Karas, H., Wingender, E., and Werner, T. 1995. MatInd and MatInspector - New fast and versatile tools for detection

of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **23**: 4878–4884.

- Sucena, E. and Stern, D. 2000. Divergence of larval morphology between *Drosophila sechellia* and its sibling species caused by cis-regulatory evolution of *ovo/shaven-baby*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 4530–4534.
- Tautz, D. 2000. Evolution of transcriptional regulation. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **10**: 575–579.

Multiple regulatory changes contribute to the evolution of the *Caenorhabditis lin-48* ovo gene

Xiaodong Wang and Helen M. Chamberlin

Genes Dev. 2002, **16**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.996302](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.996302)

References

This article cites 13 articles, 5 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/16/18/2345.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Focused on your science.