Xenopus Sprouty2 inhibits FGF-mediated gastrulation movements but does not affect mesoderm induction and patterning

Stephen L. Nutt,^{1,3} Kevin S. Dingwell,^{2,3} Christine E. Holt,² and Enrique Amaya^{1,4}

¹Wellcome/CRC Institute, Cambridge CB2 1QR, UK and Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK;

²Department of Anatomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3DY, UK

Signal transduction through the FGF receptor is essential for the specification of the vertebrate body plan. Blocking the FGF pathway in early *Xenopus* embryos inhibits mesoderm induction and results in truncation of the anterior-posterior axis. The *Drosophila* gene *sprouty* encodes an antagonist of FGF signaling, which is transcriptionally induced by the pathway, but whose molecular functions are poorly characterized. We have cloned *Xenopus sprouty2* and show that it is expressed in a similar pattern to known FGFs and is dependent on the FGF/Ras/MAPK pathway for its expression. Overexpression of Xsprouty2 in both embryos and explant assays results in the inhibition of the cell movements of convergent extension. Although blocking FGF/Ras/MAPK signaling leads to an inhibition of mesodermal gene expression, these markers are unaffected by Xsprouty2, indicating that mesoderm induction and patterning occurs normally in these embryos. Finally, using *Xenopus* oocytes we show that Xsprouty2 is an intracellular antagonist of FGF-dependent calcium signaling. These results provide evidence for at least two distinct FGF-dependent signal transduction pathways: a Sprouty-insensitive Ras/MAPK pathway required for the transcription of most mesodermal genes, and a Sprouty-sensitive pathway required for coordination of cellular morphogenesis.

[Key Words: Sprouty; FGF signaling; gastrulation; convergent extension]

Received October 9, 2000; revised version accepted February 19, 2001.

Growth factor-induced signal transduction is one of the principle mechanisms by which the vertebrate embryo coordinates cell growth, differentiation, and morphogenesis. Studies in the amphibian *Xenopus laevis* have implicated a number of signaling pathways in the inductive events leading to the formation and patterning of the mesoderm. Members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family of secreted polypeptides have the ability to induce mesoderm in naïve ectodermal tissue, a capacity shared with TGF- β -type proteins (Kimelman and Griffin 2000). Moreover, the expression of a dominant negative form of the FGF receptor (dnFGFR) at the time of mesoderm induction completely blocks this process in vivo (Amaya et al. 1991; 1993). FGF receptor signaling is also thought to be involved in the subsequent maintenance of the mesodermal tissue as the expression of dnFGFR after the initial induction again results in the loss of mesoder-

mal markers (Kroll and Amaya 1996). This maintenance function is a result of an autocrine loop, which involves the activation of *Xbrachyury* (*Xbra*) expression by FGF signaling. *Xbra* in turn induces *eFGF* transcription, which reinforces the mesodermal fate of the induced tissue (Isaacs et al. 1994; Schulte-Merker and Smith 1995).

Once mesoderm is formed, gastrulation can proceed through the orchestrated movement of the three germ layers, to produce the anterior-posterior (A-P) axis of the embryo. These cell movements involve both involution and convergent extension. Convergent extension is characterized by the polarization of the mesodermal cells and their mediolateral intercalation to produce a pronounced elongation of the A-P axis (Keller 1991; Keller et al. 1992). The mechanisms by which convergent extension and gastrulation as a whole are coordinated remain poorly understood, although recent evidence has implicated signaling through a noncanonical Wnt pathway (Djiane et al. 2000; Heisenberg et al. 2000; Tada and Smith 2000; Wallingford et al. 2000). FGFs constitute a second signal transduction pathway, which has also been implicated in the processes of gastrulation morphogenesis (for review, see Rossant et al. 1997). *Xenopus* em-

³These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁴Corresponding author.

E-MAIL ea3@mole.bio.cam.ac.uk; FAX +44 (0)1223 334-089.

Article and publication are at www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.191301.

bryos overexpressing the dnFGFR fail to undergo gastrulation; however, the absence of mesoderm in these embryos has made it impossible to determine whether FGF signaling has a direct role in morphogenesis, or if the observed gastrulation defects are secondary to the failure of mesoderm formation.

FGFs constitute a family of peptide growth factors, which with binding to their receptors (FGFR), induce receptor dimerization and autophosphorylation of a number of intracellular tyrosine residues (Mohammadi et al. 1996). These phosphorylated tyrosines then serve as docking sites for Src homology 2 (SH2) domain-containing proteins such as phospholipase C- γ (PLC- γ), which binds specifically to phosphotyrosine 766 (Y766) and stimulates the phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis and the mobilization of Ca^{2+} in the cell (Mohammadi et al. 1992; Peters et al. 1992). Another consequence of receptor autophosphorylation is to activate the GTPase Ras, setting off a cascade of kinases including Raf, MEK, and finally MAPK, which ultimately results in processes such as the induction of gene expression (Fambrough et al. 1999). FGF-mediated mesoderm induction in *Xenopus* requires an intact Ras/MAPK pathway, as dominant-negative versions of Ras (Whitman and Melton 1992), Raf (MacNicol et al. 1993), the src-like kinase laloo (Weinstein et al. 1998), the p85 subunit of the phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase (PI3K) (Carballada et al. 2001), the adapter molecules Nck and Grb2 (Gupta and Mayer 1998), or the tyrosine phosphatase Shp-2 (SH-PTP2; Tang et al. 1995) block *Xbra* expression and mesoderm formation. In contrast, the binding of PLC- γ to Y766 is not essential for this process (Muslin et al. 1994).

Receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling is regulated at a number of levels including ligand availability, phosphatase activity, and Ras inhibitory proteins such as Ras-GAP. Another inhibitor of FGF signaling, termed Sprouty (Spry), has been identified in *Drosophila* (Hacohen et al. 1998). Spry plays a role in the development of the apical branching pattern of *Drosophila* airways, a process known to require FGF. *spry* mutations lead to the growth of multiple fine branches from the stalks of the primary branches (Hacohen et al. 1998), a phenotype similar to that observed when FGF signaling is hyperactive, suggesting that Spry inhibits FGFR activity. Moreover, the FGF pathway induces the expression of *spry*. Because Spry acts noncell autonomously in this system, it was proposed that Spry is a secreted antagonist to FGF, limiting the range of FGF responsiveness in the developing tracheal system (Hacohen et al. 1998). Subsequent genetic screens have revealed a broader function of Sprouty in inhibiting a variety of RTKs such as the *Drosophila* epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFr) and Torso (Casci et al. 1999). These predominantly genetic studies also suggested that instead of acting extracellularly, Spry acts intracellularly to inhibit the Ras/MAPK pathway (Casci et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). Several proposals as to the precise position at which Spry impinges on the FGF pathway have been suggested ranging from a receptor proximal mode of regulation through interactions with Ras, to regulating Raf or molecules fur-

ther downstream (Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). A number of vertebrate Sprouty homologs have been identified; however, little molecular evidence as to their mode of action has yet been presented (de Maximy et al. 1999; Minowada et al. 1999; Tefft et al. 1999; Chambers et al. 2000).

Understanding the role of FGF signaling in vertebrate development requires the identification of its downstream targets. Because Spry is both a target of FGF activity in *Drosophila* and an inhibitor of the process, we were interested in investigating the functions of Sprouty proteins in the FGF-mediated processes of mesoderm induction and gastrulation in the *Xenopus* embryo. To this end, we have cloned a *Xenopus sprouty* homolog *Xsprouty2*, which is expressed in a similar pattern to that of known FGF signaling molecules. We present biochemical evidence that Xsprouty2 is a component of the FGF signaling cascade, inhibiting the ability of the FGFR to stimulate the release of calcium from intracellular stores. In contrast to observations in *Drosophila*, Xsprouty2 does not block the Ras/MAPK pathway, and as a result does not prevent mesoderm induction and patterning in developing *Xenopus* gastrulae. Instead, Xsprouty2 acts to prevent convergent extension movements of the embryo during gastrulation. Therefore, Xsprouty2 provides the first molecular dissection of the distinct roles for FGF signaling in *Xenopus* mesoderm induction and morphogenesis.

Results

Isolation of *Xenopus sprouty2* genes

To isolate the *Xenopus laevis* homologs of the human *SPROUTY* genes, we screened a head library (Hemmati-Brivanlou et al. 1991) with the carboxy-terminal region of *hSPRY2* cDNA (Hacohen et al. 1998). Two types of clones were isolated, which were highly related to the chick (75–76%), mouse (73–75%), and human (74–76%) Sprouty2 proteins (Fig. 1). The clones designated *Xsprouty2* and *Xsprouty2Δ* (*Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ*, respectively) are 93% related at the amino acid level and probably represent paralogs identified as a result of *Xenopus laevis* tetraploidy. *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ* are 314 and 307 amino acids, respectively, which differ by 20 amino acid substitutions, mostly in the less conserved amino terminal region along with a 7-amino-acid deletion in *Xspry2Δ* as compared to all other vertebrate homologs identified (Fig. 1).

Xsprouty2 expression during development

Analysis of the temporal expression of *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ* by RT-PCR indicated that both paralogs were broadly expressed throughout development. *Xspry2* was induced at the onset of zygotic transcription at the mid-blastula transition. Thereafter, expression levels remained relatively constant until at least stage 42. *Xspry2Δ*, on the other hand, was expressed at levels approximately

Figure 1. Amino acid sequence comparison of vertebrate Sprouty proteins. The deduced amino acid sequences of *Xenopus* *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ* were aligned with the human, mouse, and chick *Spry2* proteins (Hacohen et al. 1998; Minowada et al. 1999). Dashes represent gaps inserted to maximize alignment. Amino acids conserved with *Xspry2* are indicated by bold overlay.

threefold lower than *Xspry2* throughout early development (data not shown).

To determine the spatial pattern of expression of *Xspry2*, we have analyzed the *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ* (termed *Xspry2* when examined collectively) mRNA levels by in situ hybridization. *Xspry2* mRNA was first detected before gastrulation in the dorsal marginal zone (data not shown). At the onset of gastrulation *Xspry2* expression expanded dorsolaterally and subsequently, was found throughout the marginal zone (Fig. 2A), in a pattern similar to *XFGF8* (Christen and Slack 1997), *XeFGF* (Isaacs et al. 1994), and *XFGF9* (Song and Slack 1996). From the mid-neurula stage, *Xspry2* was expressed predominantly in the head region (Fig. 2B). By stage 28, *Xspry2* mRNA was localized to the developing otic vesicle, the midbrain/hindbrain isthmus, forebrain, hatching gland, branchial arches, and tail bud, and expression pattern reminiscent of *XFGF8* (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, *Xspry2* showed a broader

staining than that of *FGF8*, consistent with a role of secreted FGF8 in regulating *Xspry2* expression (cf. Fig. 2B,C). In summary, *Xspry2* is expressed in a dynamic pattern throughout gastrulation and neural development in a manner resembling that of *XFGF8*.

FGF receptor-mediated signaling is required for Xsprouty2 expression during gastrulation

The initial characterization of *Drosophila spry* indicated that the gene itself is a target of RTK signaling (Hacohen et al. 1998). To test whether the *Xspry2* genes we have isolated require FGF signaling for their expression, we disrupted FGF signaling by injecting the dnFGFR mRNA into one blastomere of two- or four-cell embryos and assayed for *Xspry2* mRNA during gastrulation. In the absence of FGF signaling, there was a total loss of *Xspry2* expression (Fig. 3), whereas the control HAVØ mRNA (a

Figure 2. *Xsprouty2* is expressed dynamically during early development. Whole mount in situ hybridization of *Xsprouty2* and *XFGF8*. (A) Vegetal view of gastrula stage embryos (stages 10–11.5). *Xsprouty2* expression is induced in the dorsal marginal zone. Expression expands ventrally around the marginal zone during mid to late gastrula stages. (B) *Xsprouty2* expression in a stage 28 albino embryo and close-up of the head region. *Xsprouty2* can be detected primarily in anterior neural structures, such as the mid-brain/hind-brain isthmus (mhb), otic vesicle (ov), forebrain (fb), and hatching gland (hg). Lateral expression is seen in the branchial arches (br) and the tail bud (tb). (C) Close-up of head region of a stage 28 embryo stained for *XFGF8*. *XFGF8* has a similar expression profile to *Xsprouty2*, although with more sharply defined boundaries. Black arrow indicates expression in the third branchial arch, which is absent from the *Xsprouty2* expression profile.

nonfunctional FGF receptor construct) had no effect on *Xsprouty2* mRNA levels. To test whether this induction was through the Ras/MAPK pathway we expressed a dominant negative Ras protein (dnRas) in a similar manner during gastrulation. Expression of dnRas also completely abolished *Xsprouty2* expression indicating that like *Xbra*, *Xsprouty2* is a target of Ras/MAPK signaling (Fig. 3). In contrast, we have been unable to demonstrate a robust induction of *Xsprouty2* in animal caps by eFGF or an ectopic induction of *Xpry2* in embryos after eFGF or FGF8 mis-expression (data not shown), suggesting that FGF signaling may be necessary but not sufficient for *Xsprouty2* activation. Alternatively, there may be signals outside the marginal zone of the embryo that attenuate the ability of FGF to induce *Xsprouty2*.

Xsprouty2 misexpression leads to a truncated phenotype in embryos

Functional studies in *Drosophila* suggest that Spry is an inhibitor of RTK signaling through the MAPK pathway. To test whether *Xsprouty2* acts in a similar manner in vertebrates, we overexpressed *Xsprouty2* in the early *Xenopus* embryo, a developmental system known to require RTK signals emanating from the FGFR (Amaya et al. 1991, 1993). Injection of *Xsprouty2* or *Xsprouty2Δ* mRNA into the

Figure 3. *Xsprouty2* expression requires FGF signaling. Vegetal view of in situ hybridization to *Xsprouty2* (purple) at the mid-to-late gastrula stages (stages 11–11.5). Embryos were injected into one blastomere at the two- or four-cell stages with 1 ng of HAVØ, dnRas, or dnFGFR together with 500 pg of *Lacz* mRNA, and stained for β -galactosidase activity (blue) as a lineage tracer.

dorsal marginal zone of a single blastomere at the two-cell stage led to a highly reproducible shortening of the A-P axis at stage 35/36, with a low incidence of embryos exhibiting a complete failure of blastopore closure (5–10%; Fig. 4A,B). In contrast, injection of dnFGFR mRNA under the same conditions resulted in a much more severe defect characterized by failure of blastopore closure in all embryos ($n = 16$; Amaya et al. 1991, 1993). Injection of 1 ng of *Xspsy2* or *Xspsy2Δ* mRNA into the two dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage increased the incidence of incomplete blastopore closure (10–30%) at stage 35/36 (data not shown), indicating that the severity of the phenotype observed depended on the exact injection regimen used. Therefore, the shortening of the A-P axis produced after *Xspsy2* overexpression were consistent with a role for *Xspsy2* in inhibiting FGFR-dependent signaling, but distinct from the complete failure of gastrulation observed in dnFGFR overexpressing embryos.

Xsprouty2 inhibits convergent extension

To test whether the observed posterior truncations were a result of a failure of *Xspsy2* overexpressing embryos to undergo convergent extension movements, we conducted lineage tracing experiments. Embryos were injected at the four-cell stage with *Xspsy2*/GFP or the control HAVØ/GFP mRNAs into one dorsal blastomere and rhodamine dextran (RLDX) into the adjacent dorsal blastomere. At stage 40, the majority of the control HAVØ-injected embryos showed equal extension of the GFP and rhodamine fluorescence along the entire A-P axis (93%; $n = 102$), whereas only a minority of the *Xspsy2*-injected

embryos showed normal elongation of the GFP-expressing tissue (17%; $n = 68$). The remainder of the *Xspsy2*-injected embryos displayed a variety of phenotypes including the restriction of the GFP to the head region resulting in a kink of the axis toward the *Xspsy2* injected side (34%), and a general truncation of both sides of the embryo (49%). Both phenotypes are compatible with a failure of the injected cells to extend along the A-P axis (see Fig. 4C for representative embryos).

To further investigate the function of *Xspsy2* proteins in gastrulation we have used the capacity of animal cap explants to undergo FGFR-dependent convergent extension movements. Animal caps excised from stage 8 blastulae underwent mesoderm induction and convergent extension at a high frequency after treatment with 10 ng/ml activin (Fig. 5). This characteristic morphological change was unaffected by injection of the control HAVØ construct and the lineage tracer GFP. However, overexpression of dnFGFR completely inhibited these movements and blocked the ability of the caps to induce and maintain mesoderm (Fig. 5; Cornell and Kimelman 1994). In agreement with our findings in the intact embryo, the injection of *Xspsy2* or *Xspsy2Δ* mRNA also inhibited convergent extension movements (Fig. 5A,D). Surprisingly, unlike dnFGFR-injected explants, those expressing *Xspsy2* induced mesoderm, as shown by the transcription of the mesodermal marker *Xbra* (Fig. 5C). Similar inhibition of convergent extension but not *Xbra* expression was also observed in *Xspsy2*-injected explants treated with FGF2 (data not shown). Interestingly, *Xspsy2*-expressing caps, unlike those injected with dnFGFR, showed some weak morphogenetic movements

Figure 4. Overexpression of *Xsprouty2* leads to a shortened A-P axis. (A) Embryos were injected once into the dorsal marginal zone at the two-cell stage, with 1 ng of the indicated mRNA plus 100 pg of GAP43-GFP mRNA and analyzed at stage 25. (B) Quantitation of the truncated phenotype of injected embryos. Embryos were measured and grouped into three 5-mm categories. Whereas virtually all noninjected and HAVØ-injected embryos were more than 4.3 mm, the majority of *Xspsy2* and *Xspsy2Δ* overexpressing embryos were less than 3.8 mm. (n) the number of embryos examined for each treatment. (C) Representative embryos injected with RLTX and *Xspsy2*/GAP43-GFP or HAVØ/GAP43-GFP into the dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage and allowed to development until stage 40. The majority of HAVØ/GFP embryos showed a full extension of GFP-labeled cells dorsally along the A-P axis, whereas *Xspsy2*/GFP-injected embryos showed a kinking of the body toward the injected side (top) or a truncation and generalized disorganization of the GFP-positive cells (bottom).

Figure 5. Xsprouty2 inhibits convergent extension but not mesoderm induction. (A) Embryos were injected as indicated with 500 pg of mRNA along with 100 pg of GAP43-GFP mRNA into the animal pole of both blastomeres at the two-cell stage. Animal caps were excised at stage 8 and cultured until stage 18 in the presence of 10 ng/ml activin, before being examined for GFP fluorescence and elongation. Control noninjected caps were incubated with (+act) or without (-act) activin. All images, except for the control animal caps were taken under fluorescence. (B) Injections were carried out as in A, with the exception that they were targeted to the DMZ. DMZ explants were excised at stage 10 and cultured until stage 19 before being measured for elongation. All images were taken under fluorescence. (C) RT-PCR analysis of *Xbra* transcripts from animal caps injected as in A, and cultured in the presence or absence of activin until stage 11. Whereas dnFGFR completely blocked activin-induced mesoderm formation as measured by *Xbra* expression, *Xbra* was expressed in *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ* overexpressing caps. (-RT) whole embryo controls without reverse transcriptase. *EF1α* was amplified as a loading control. (D) Quantitation of the explant elongation assays from A and B. (n) number of animal caps examined; (-) rounded explants; (+) partial elongation; (++) full extension.

(Fig. 5A). This could be indicative of a response to activin that was not coordinated into an integrated extension of the animal cap (Fig. 5A). Therefore, overexpression of *Xspry2* in ectodermal explants resulted in a block of convergent extension while leaving the mesoderm formation intact.

To assess whether the inhibition of convergent extension is a property of the cells that normally undergo the process, we isolated dorsal marginal zone (DMZ) explants expressing a GFP lineage tracer together with *Xspry2*, dnFGFR, or the control HAVØ mRNA. The explants were then cultured until stage 19 and scored for the characteristic elongation of the involuting marginal zone. As shown in Figure 5B,D, the majority of control HAVØ-injected embryos underwent extensive elongation. This process was largely inhibited by the dnFGFR and the *Xspry2* molecules. As with the animal cap explants, we observed some weak morphogenetic movements in the presence of *Xspry2* as compared to the dnFGFR indicating distinct consequences of overexpressing these two inhibitory molecules (Fig. 5B).

In summary, we have demonstrated using three inde-

pendent assays that *Xspry2* inhibits the convergent extension of mesodermal cells during gastrulation, both in the intact embryo and in explant cultures.

Xsprouty2 does not inhibit FGF-mediated mesoderm induction or maintenance

Having made the observation that overexpression of *Xspry2* resulted in the inhibition of convergent extension movements in animal cap explants, but not affecting *Xbra* expression, we carried out in situ hybridization analysis to examine the consequence of *Xspry2* expression on mesoderm induction and patterning in the embryo. *Xbra* is a member of the T-box family of transcription factors, which is expressed throughout the mesoderm during gastrulation (Smith et al. 1991). Moreover, *Xbra* is a direct target of FGF signaling during mesoderm induction, which requires the Ras/MAPK pathway, as *Xbra* expression was lost in embryos overexpressing dnRas (Fig. 6A). Surprisingly, the injection of *Xspry2* or *Xspry2Δ* mRNA did not have any effect on *Xbra* expression, suggesting that *Xspry2* proteins did not inhibit Ras/

MAPK signaling. The mesoderm is patterned into domains, such as dorsal (characterized by *Xnot* expression), dorsolateral (characterized by *XMyf5* expression), and

ventral domains (characterized by *Xpo* expression). Injection of *Xspry2* or *Xspry2Δ* did not alter the expression of any of these markers indicating that, in contrast to the dnFGFR or dnRas molecules, *Xspry2* did not inhibit mesoderm induction or dorsoventral patterning (Fig. 6B; data not shown).

FGFR signaling has also been implicated in the subsequent maintenance of mesodermal cell fate during gastrulation (Kroll and Amaya 1996). Therefore, we have tested whether *Xspry2*-injected embryos expressed *cardiac actin*, a marker characteristic of the mesodermal derivative muscle. As shown in Figure 6C, *cardiac actin* was abundantly expressed in the somitic tissue of control HAVØ-expressing embryos, coinjected with *LacZ* mRNA. The overexpression of dnFGFR, however, completely abrogated this actin expression on the injected side, leaving the untreated side intact. Importantly, although *Xspry2* or *Xspry2Δ* overexpression led to the characteristic shortening of the A-P axis, *cardiac actin* was expressed appropriately along the dorsolateral domain of the embryo (Fig. 6C). This was the case both for the more mild truncations and the severe phenotype characterized by an open blastopore (Fig. 6C). Finally, FGF receptor function has been shown to be involved in the A-P patterning of the dorsal axis by controlling the expression of members of the caudal and posterior *Hox* gene families (Pownall et al. 1996, 1998). However, the posterior marker *HoxA7* was expressed normally in *Xspry* or *Xspry2Δ*-overexpressing embryos at the early neurula stages, in contrast to the absence of gene expression observed in dnFGFR-injected embryos (data not shown). Thus, in stark contrast to the inhibition of FGF signaling mediated by dnFGFR, *Xspry2* did not exert any significant effect on development by inhibiting mesoderm induction, patterning, or maintenance.

Xspry2 functions intracellularly in the FGF signaling pathway to block calcium mobility but not MAPK phosphorylation

The mechanism by which *Spry* inhibits RTK signaling is unclear (Hacohen et al. 1998; Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). Therefore, we have attempted to dissect the biochemical pathways by which *Xspry2* functions by using a convenient assay for FGF receptor function in *Xenopus* oocytes, based on the mobilization of intracellular calcium stores within minutes of FGF addition (Musci et al. 1990). Briefly, *Xenopus* oocytes are injected with FGF receptor mRNA and allowed to translate the receptor for a couple of days. The oocytes are loaded with $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$, washed extensively, and assayed for the release of $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ into the medium before and after FGF addition. *Xenopus* oocytes expressing FGFR with a 100-fold excess of HAVØ produced with the addition of FGF2, a rapid efflux of Ca^{2+} from the cell, which was blocked by the coexpression of dnFGFR (Fig 7A; Amaya et al. 1991). This FGF2 dependent Ca^{2+} response was also inhibited by the coexpression of a 100 fold excess of either *Xspry2* or *Xspry2Δ* with the FGFR (Fig. 7A). Thus,

Figure 6. *Xspry2* overexpression does not block mesoderm induction, patterning, or maintenance. (A) Vegetal views of in situ hybridization (purple) to (A) *Xbra* and (B) *Xmyf5*, *Xpo*, and *Xnot* at mid-gastrulation (stage 11). Embryos were injected at the four-cell stage into a dorsal blastomere with 1 ng of mRNA along with 250 pg *LacZ* mRNA. Embryos were stained for β-galactosidase activity (blue) as a lineage marker. (C) In situ hybridization to *muscle actin* (purple) were performed on stage 25 embryos injected at the two-cell stage into a single blastomere with 1 ng of either HAVØ, dnFGFR, *Xspry2*, or *Xspry2Δ* together with 250 pg of β-galactosidase mRNA (blue). Approximately 10% of *Xspry2* and *Xspry2Δ*-injected embryos failed to close the blastopore yet retained muscle differentiation as assessed by coexpression of injected cells with *muscle actin* mRNA. Arrow indicates *muscle actin* expression on the non-injected side of dnFGFR embryos, which is absent of the β-galactosidase lineage tracer.

Figure 7. Xsprouty2 proteins function as intracellular inhibitors of the FGF signaling pathway. (A) Xsprouty2 proteins block FGF signaling. FGF-stimulated calcium efflux from oocytes was measured after injection of 250 pg of *Xenopus FGFR1* (FGFR) and 25 ng of the indicated mRNA (100-fold excess). Oocytes were loaded with $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and the media collected at 10-min intervals, before and after the addition of FGF2 (100 ng/ml) and subjected to scintillation counting. All points represent the mean of at least nine wells (10 oocytes per well), derived from three independent experiments. For standardization, the counts obtained in the first 10 min for each condition was given a value of 1 and all other points are shown relative to the counts measured for each condition in the first 10 min. Error bars indicate $\pm$ S.E.M. (B) Xsprouty2 functions downstream of the FGFR. Oocytes were injected as in A with 250 pg of the constitutively active RTK, CIXR, and the indicated mRNA at 25 ng. CIXD is a dominant negative form of CIXR; CIXR constitutively dimerizes and signals in the absence of ligand, as seen by the FGF-independent calcium efflux. For standardization, all values are shown relative to the counts obtained from uninjected oocytes in the first 10 min. (C) DSpry does not inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling in oocytes, but the carboxyl terminus of Xsprouty2 does inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling. Oocytes were injected and assayed as in B, except that only the relative $^{45}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ release for the first 10 min is shown. Error bars indicate $\pm$ S.D. * $P < 0.001$ and ** $P < 0.01$ compared to uninjected. $\Delta P < 0.001$ and $\Delta P < 0.05$ compared to HAVØ:CIXR. (D) Xsprouty2 does not inhibit FGF-dependent MAPK activation in ovo. Oocytes were injected and cultured as in A, before being incubated with or without FGF2 (100 ng/ml) for 15 min. Protein extracts were then analyzed for activated (dp-erk) and total (pan-erk) MAPK levels. (E) MAPK in animal cap explants is not sensitive to Xsprouty2 overexpression. Animal caps injected with the indicated mRNA were incubated for 15 min with or without FGF2 before being subjected to immunoblotting as in D. (F) DSpry does not inhibit MAPK activation in FGF-treated animal caps. Animal caps were injected with the indicated mRNAs and treated as in E.

Xsprouty2 functioned directly to block a physiological response of the activated FGFR. To test whether Xsprouty2 acted intracellularly, we expressed a constitutively active FGFR, termed CIXR (Amaya, 1992). CIXR consists of the dimerization domain of the bacteriophage λ repressor fused to the FGFR transmembrane and intracellular domains. This construct is expected to be constitutively active as receptor dimerization is the essential step in activating the endogenous receptor; however, as the protein lacks the ligand-binding domain of the FGFR, it would not be responsive to specific extracellular signals. As expected, CIXR produced a constitutive Ca^{2+} response when injected into oocytes, which could be blocked by CIXD, a dominant negative version of CIXR, truncated in the intracellular domain in a manner analogous to that of dnFGFR (Fig. 7B,C). Coexpression of either Xsprouty2 or Xsprouty2 Δ with CIXR resulted in the inhi-

bition of the Ca^{2+} mobilization. Thus, both proteins act independently of the FGFR extracellular domain (Fig. 7B,C).

Finally, the calcium efflux assay provided us with an opportunity to dissect the functional domains of Xsprouty2. To commence this process we have separated the evolutionarily less conserved amino terminus (Xsprouty2-N; amino acids 1–174) from the highly conserved carboxyl terminus (Xsprouty2-C; amino acids 176–314). Neither protein had the ability to inhibit convergent extension movements in animal cap explants or in whole embryo assays, indicating that the functional protein requires motifs from both regions of the gene (see Fig. 5D; data not shown). However, the Xsprouty2-C motif alone was able to efficiently inhibit the calcium efflux in the oocyte assay (Fig. 7C). The ability of Xsprouty2-C protein to function in the oocyte assay but not in animal cap explants

may indicate that blocking the calcium pathway is not sufficient to inhibit gastrulation movements. Alternatively, it is also possible that a partially active protein can, when highly expressed in the oocyte, retain some function but not be able to do so in the more complex environment of the embryo.

To determine whether Xsry2 could directly inhibit Ras/MAPK signaling, we assayed for the activation of MAPK by immunoblotting using an antibody specific for the diphosphorylated active form of MAPK (dp-erk). As expected FGFR-injected oocytes displayed a rapid FGF2-dependent activation of MAPK, a response that was completely blocked by dnFGFR (Fig. 7D). Importantly, this FGF-dependent phosphorylation of MAPK was also detected in the oocytes overexpressing Xsry2 (Fig. 7D). Hence, Xsry2 blocked FGF-dependent calcium mobilization in oocytes but had no obvious effect on MAPK activation. However, we found that the MAPK pathway in *Xenopus* oocytes is not completely analogous to that in the embryo, as the dnRas construct does not block MAPK phosphorylation in ovo (data not shown). Therefore, to compare Xsry2 function to that of the dnRas, we assayed for MAPK activation in animal caps treated with FGF2. We found that animal caps expressing the control HAVØ construct showed a rapid FGF-dependent activation of MAPK. As expected, the dnFGFR and dnRas molecules completely inhibited the FGF-dependent activation of MAPK, whereas the injection of Xsry2 had no overt effect on MAPK activation (Fig. 7E). In summary, these experiments showed that Xsry2 acts downstream of the activated FGFR to inhibit calcium mobilization, but does not block Ras/MAPK activity.

The inability of Xsry2 to impair MAPK activation in oocytes or animal cap explants is in contrast to observations in *Drosophila* where Spry (DSpry) blocks steady-state dp-erk levels (Reich et al. 1999). To assess whether this is an intrinsic difference between the *Drosophila* and *Xenopus* Spry proteins or a difference in FGFR signaling between the two organisms, we have expressed DSpry in oocytes and animal cap explants and assayed for MAPK activation, activin-induced convergent extension movements, and calcium mobilization. In the animal cap assay DSpry had an identical effect to Xsry2 in blocking convergent extension movements (see Fig. 5D), while leaving MAPK activation largely intact (Fig. 7F). However, in the oocyte calcium release assay, DSpry, in contrast to Xsry2, was unable to inhibit the calcium efflux mediated by the constitutively active CIXR construct (Fig. 7C). Thus, DSpry provides us with a reagent that discriminates between the functions of Spry proteins in inhibiting calcium mobilization and convergent extension, suggesting that these processes may not be causally related.

Discussion

The regulation of RTK signaling pathways has long been considered essential for normal development, but the mechanisms by which the multitude of ligands, receptors and potential pathways are controlled has remained

an intractable problem (Simon 2000). FGFs are known to signal through their cognate receptors and the Ras/MAPK pathway to initiate mesoderm induction both in embryos and animal cap explants (for review, see Gotoh and Nishida 1996). However, the physiological roles of the other potential FGF-initiated signaling pathways as well as the role of FGF after mesoderm induction have proved difficult to examine. We have identified Xsry2 as an intracellular antagonist of the FGF pathway during *Xenopus* gastrulation. Xsry2 expression is induced in the early gastrula in an FGF-dependent manner and directly inhibits some aspects of FGF-dependent intracellular signaling, such as calcium mobilization, while not affecting the MAPK pathway. As a consequence, Xsry2 overexpression leads to normal mesoderm induction and patterning but severely inhibits the establishment of the embryonic A-P axis through convergent extension. Thus, Xsry2 is the first protein identified that inhibits aspects of the FGF response such as gastrulation morphogenesis, while leaving the inductive and patterning processes intact.

Xsry2 is both a component and a downstream target of the FGF signaling pathway

Previous studies in *Drosophila* and in vertebrates have noted the coincidence of sprouty expression and active sites of FGF signaling (Hacohen et al. 1998; Minowada et al. 1999; Tefft et al. 1999; Chambers and Mason 2000). Moreover, the implantation of beads coated with either FGF4 or FGF8 into chick embryos (Minowada et al. 1999; Chambers et al. 2000) or the overexpression of *branchless* (*Bnl*), the *Drosophila* FGFR1 homolog induces *spry* expression (Hacohen et al. 1998). This transcriptional response is not limited to FGF-dependent signaling as the *Drosophila* EGFR also induces *spry* (Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). These results have given rise to the hypothesis that *sproutys* are immediate targets of RTK signaling. In *Xenopus* studies using the dp-erk antibody, which recognizes activated MAPK, indicate that the principle sites of active RTK signaling through the Ras pathway during early development requires FGFR signaling (Christen and Slack 1999). In agreement with this observation, the expression of Xsry2 from the late blastula to tail bud stages closely mimics that of MAPK activity (Fig. 2A; Christen and Slack 1999). Moreover, Xsry2 is expressed in a manner similar to *XFGF8* in the developing neural structures, sensory organs, and tail bud (Fig. 2B,C). This matching pattern of *XFGF8* and *spry2* expression also occurs in the mouse and chick (Minowada et al. 1999; Chambers et al. 2000). By using the dnFGFR and dnRas constructs to specifically block the FGF and Ras/MAPK pathway, we show that Xsry2 depends on an intact FGFR/Ras/MAPK signaling cascade for its expression.

Genetic studies in *Drosophila* indicate that Spry is an inhibitor of the FGF pathway, but little biochemical evidence has been provided to indicate whether Spry acts directly to regulate RTK signal transduction. Indeed, the genetic data so far presented provides a conflicting pic-

ture, as Spry was initially proposed to function as an extracellular antagonist, inhibiting FGF signaling in neighboring cells. However, when Spry was also identified as a negative regulator of EGFR signaling during *Drosophila* development, its mode of activity was reassessed and Spry was proposed to function intracellularly to inhibit the Ras pathway (Casci et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). There is further debate in the literature as to whether Spry inhibits the pathway by blocking Ras activation (Casci et al. 1999), or alternatively functions downstream by inhibiting Raf (Reich et al. 1999). In addition to the genetic data, results from cell transfection experiments suggested that Spry associates with the inner surface of the plasma membrane, and may bind two components of the Ras/MAPK pathway, Gap1 and Drk (*Drosophila* Ras-GAP and Grb2; Casci et al. 1999). Our data, using the oocyte calcium efflux assay, which relies on the rapid (within 10 min) ligand-dependent mobilization of intracellular Ca^{2+} stores, provides the first direct evidence that sprouty proteins can block an aspect of RTK signaling. These experiments also strongly support the hypothesis that Xspry2 acts independently of the FGFR extracellular domain as it inhibits signaling elicited by a constitutively active FGFR that contains no FGFR extracellular sequences.

Multiple signals arising from the FGF receptor during development

Although a wealth of studies have been carried out in cell culture systems on the signals that emanate from the FGFR and their downstream consequences, most of the experiments examining FGFR-mediated events in developmental or genetic model systems has concentrated on the Ras/MAPK pathway. The activation of the MAPK pathway in naive *Xenopus* animal cap explants leads to the induction of mesoderm tissue (Umbhauer et al. 1995). Conversely, the elimination of Ras/MAPK activity, by a variety of dominant negative molecules, prevents mesoderm formation (for review, see Gotoh and Nishida 1996). Thus, Ras/MAPK signals are essential for the establishment of mesodermal tissue. However, a number of proteins are known to associate with activated RTKs such as the FGFR (for review, see Pawson and Saxton 1999). These include the adapter molecules Nck and Grb2 (Gupta and Mayer 1998), PLC- γ 1, PI3K, the tyrosine phosphatase shp2, and the Ras-GTPase-activating protein (GAP; Ryan et al. 1998). These molecules are capable of activating a number of signaling pathways besides those involving Ras/MAPK. Phosphorylated tyrosine 766 (Y766) of the FGFR has high affinity for PLC- γ 1 and is essential for phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis, which results in the activation of protein kinase C (PKC) and the mobilization of intracellular calcium stores, which in turn can influence Ca^{2+} -sensitive transcription factors. Indeed, in *Xenopus* and zebrafish Ca^{2+} transients are associated with gastrulation (Slusarski et al. 1997). The significance of this signal for gastrulation has not been established as the overexpression of a PDGFR/FGFR chimeric molecule containing the Y766F

mutation (which cannot be phosphorylated) in *Xenopus* animal caps revealed that mesoderm induction could occur in the absence of this signal (Muslin et al. 1994). In addition, phosphopeptide mapping has revealed six further phosphorylated tyrosines on the activated FGFR1, of which two are required for the intrinsic kinase activity of the dimerized receptor and the remaining four are dispensable for FGF-mediated mitogenesis, MAPK activation, and differentiation in PC12 cells (Mohammadi et al. 1996). Thus, the function of the factors that associate with the FGFR1 and the signaling pathways arising from them remains unclear. We have shown here that Xspry2 blocks calcium mobilization induced by FGF in oocytes. Moreover, unlike dnRas, Xspry2 does not block mesodermal gene expression and MAPK activation. Therefore, we propose that Xspry2 impinges on an FGF-dependent signaling pathway that is independent of Ras. In the future it will be important to dissect further the pathway by which Xspry2 exerts its effects by using specific mutations in the FGFR1 intracellular domain.

The biochemical data presented here on the role of Xspry2 in Ca^{2+} mobilization differs from those that indicate an involvement of Spry in Ras signaling in the fly (Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). Although the exact placement of *Drosophila* Spry in the pathway is unclear, the general conclusion is that Spry inhibits Ras signaling. Our demonstration that Xspry2 does not have a major impact on MAPK activation would suggest that the vertebrate homologs have evolved a distinct function in FGFR-dependent signaling. In fact, Xspry2 and DSpry show virtually no sequence conservation at the amino acid level, outside the carbox-terminal cysteine-rich domain (49% identity, overall 27%). In addition, the mammalian genomes contain at least four *spry* homologs that could have evolved more diverse functions than the single *Drosophila* gene. This is supported by the differing abilities of the Xspry2 and DSpry to block Ca^{2+} mobilization in the oocyte assay (Fig. 7C). However, both molecules were equally capable of blocking convergent extension movements in animal cap explants while leaving MAPK activation intact, indicating that at least this property of the proteins has been conserved through evolution. It is worth noting that in contrast to the data presented here, only steady-state levels of *Drosophila* MAPK activation were measured, and no alternative RTK-induced pathways were tested. Moreover, RTK signaling networks have been shown in a number of systems to maintain extensive pathway cross-talk. Thus, a linear approach to understanding the role of Spry proteins in RTK signaling may be misleading.

Xsprouty2 inhibits gastrulation movements without affecting mesoderm induction and maintenance

A long-standing problem in understanding the potential role for FGF signaling in gastrulation movements has been the requirement of the pathway in mesoderm induction. Inhibiting the FGF/Ras/MAPK signal transduction pathway results in the failure of mesoderm induction and as such the subsequent interpretation of gastru-

lation defects in the absence of FGF activity is not possible (Amaya et al. 1991). However, diverse evidence from a number of other systems does implicate FGF in the morphogenesis of gastrulation. *fgfr1*^{-/-} and *fgf8*^{-/-} mice have severe gastrulation defects, including abnormalities in the migration of cells within the primitive streak (Deng et al. 1994; Yamaguchi et al. 1994; Ciruna et al. 1997; Sun et al. 1999). Furthermore, mosaic analyses with *fgfr1*^{-/-} embryonic stem cells indicate that the primary defect of *fgfr1*-deficient cells may be in their inability to traverse the primitive streak (Ciruna et al. 1997). These data are supported by mutations in the *Drosophila* FGFR2 homolog *Heartless* (*Htl*), in which the invaginated mesoderm fails to migrate in a dorsolateral direction from the ventral midline, resulting in a reduction in cardiac, visceral, and dorsal muscle cell fates (Beiman et al. 1996; Gisselbrecht et al. 1996). In *Xenopus* experiments have revealed that FGF2 can induce cell motility, lamellipodia formation, and cellular polarization in gastrula stage cells (Wacker et al. 1998). Together, these studies indicate a conserved role for FGFR signaling in the mesoderm morphogenesis.

The Xspsy2 overexpression studies presented here provide the first instance whereby the role of FGF signaling in *Xenopus* mesoderm induction and maintenance has been separated from its role in morphogenesis. Xspsy2 overexpressing embryos have normal mesoderm induction and patterning, but fail to undergo the gastrulation movements essential for the establishment of the body plan. This raises the question of why an inhibitor of gastrulation is expressed in the very cells that normally undergo such movements? The answer probably lies in the fact that the cell motility that drives convergent extension movements must be exquisitely coordinated. It is initiated laterally and then progresses medially (Shih and Keller 1992). As a result convergent extension progresses as a wave from the lateral edges of the dorsal mesoderm toward the midline. This coordination is likely to involve molecules that can regulate both the timing and progression of these movements. We propose that Xspsy2 is one such molecule. Therefore, when Xspsy2 is overexpressed, this coordination is lost and the process of convergent extension is disrupted.

Although our data suggest that Xspsy2 inhibits convergent extension, the coordination of this process must also involve signals that promote cell movements. Recent evidence suggests that the noncanonical Wnt pathway may provide this other signal. The zebrafish *silberblick* (*slb*) locus encodes the Wnt11 protein, which when mutated results in a gastrulation defect, as the *slb*^{-/-} cells fail to undergo convergent extension of the axial mesoderm. Moreover, the *slb* mutant phenotype is rescued by a truncated form of disheveled that does not signal through the canonical Wnt pathway (Heisenberg et al. 2000). In support of this data, the overexpression of an inhibitory form of disheveled (Xdd1), the wild-type frizzled 7 receptor, or a dominant negative Xwnt11 in *Xenopus* embryos results in a similar defect in gastrulation (Djiane et al. 2000; Tada and Smith 2000; Wallingford et al. 2000). In all these cases the defect in the con-

vergent extension of the dorsal mesoderm is independent of its induction and specification (Sokol 1996). Thus, the consequences of Xspsy2 overexpression or blocking aspects of Wnt11 signaling are similar. It may be noteworthy that, although we have shown that Xspsy2 inhibits Ca²⁺ signaling, the noncanonical Wnt pathway promotes the same process (Slusarski et al. 1997). However, the lack of correlation between the ability of DSpry and Xspsy2-C to inhibit convergent extension and Ca²⁺ mobilization would argue against a role for Ca²⁺ signaling per se in coordinating the cell movements of gastrulation. Finally, it is known that *Xwnt11* is regulated by Xbra (itself a target of the FGF pathway) and therefore, is a downstream consequence of FGFR activation (Tada and Smith 2000). Therefore, it has been proposed that the role of FGF in gastrulation is an indirect result of the induction of *Xwnt11* by Xbra (Sokol 1999). The data presented here enables us to propose the following extension to this model where FGF has both indirect (through Xbra and Xwnt11) and direct (through Xspsy2) roles in gastrulation (Fig. 8).

During the late blastula stage signaling through the FGFR by the Ras/MAPK pathway induces expression of mesodermal target genes including *Xbra*. Xbra is a transcriptional activator whose targets include *Xwnt11* (Tada and Smith 2000) and *XeFGF* (Isaacs et al. 1994). XeFGF then functions with Xbra in an autocatalytic loop to reinforce mesoderm fate (Isaacs et al. 1994; Schulte-Merker and Smith 1995). Another target of Ras/MAPK signaling is *Xspsy2*, which functions to inhibit some aspects of FGFR signaling that are independent of the Ras/MAPK pathway and ultimately control the convergent extension movements of gastrulation. Therefore, Xspsy2 provides a mechanism by which the FGFR can provide distinct signals to coordinate the processes of mesoderm induction and gastrulation morphogenesis, potentially through the interaction with the noncanonical Wnt pathway. Understanding the multiple levels of interactions between FGF signaling pathways and other signaling pathways, such as the noncanonical Wnts, will be essential for understanding how the coordination of mesoderm induction, maintenance, and convergent extension is achieved during gastrulation.

Materials and methods

Isolation of Xsprouty2 cDNAs and plasmid constructs

The *Xenopus laevis* homologs of human *SPROUTY2* were isolated by screening a head library (Hemmati-Brivanlou et al. 1991) with the carboxy-terminal region of the hSPRY2 ORF (nucleotides 423–940) (cDNA received from Genome Systems; GenBank accession no. R55258). The full-length *Xsprouty2* genes (GenBank accession nos. AF331824 and AF331825) were amplified using the primer combination 5'-GGAATTCCATG GAGACGAGAGTA and 5'-GCTCTAGACTATGTTGGTTT TTC and cloned into the *EcoRI* and *XbaI* sites of pCS2 +. The plasmids Xspsy2-N and Xspsy2-C consist of amino acids 1–174 and 176–314 respectively. Full length *Drosophila* *spry* (Hacohen et al. 1998) was cloned into the *EcoRI/XbaI* sites of pCS2 + to generate the expression construct pDSpry. The constitutively

Figure 8. Model for the function of Xsprouty2 in *Xenopus* gastrulation. At least two signaling pathways emanate from the FGFR during gastrulation: a Xsprouty2-insensitive signal through the Ras/MAPK pathway results in mesoderm induction/maintenance as well as *Xsprouty2*, *Xbra*, and indirectly *eFGF* and *Xwnt11* expression. The second Xsprouty2-sensitive pathway results in Ca^{2+} mobilization and convergent extension, two processes known to require *Xwnt11* signaling. Thus, Xsprouty2 functions to coordinate the distinct roles of the FGFR in mesoderm induction and morphogenesis. See discussion for further details.

active FGFR1 construct pCIXR and its dominant negative version pCIXD have been described previously (Amaya 1992). pCIXR consists of the first 51 amino acids of the chicken FGFR1 (Lee et al. 1989), including the sequences encoding the signal peptide, fused to amino acids 97–237, encoding the dimerization domain of the bacterial λ repressor and amino acids 334–1211 from the *Xenopus* FGFR1 (Musci et al. 1990), including the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains. pCIXD, lacks the cytoplasmic domain of the CIXR in a position identical to that of dnFGFR (XFD/Xss; Amaya et al., 1991).

mRNA synthesis for microinjection

Capped mRNA was synthesized using the Ambion Message Machine kit and SP6 polymerase. The expression constructs pXspry2, pXspry2 Δ , and pDSpry were linearized with *NotI*, pXspry2-N, and pXspry2-C with *Asp718* and pCIXR and pCIXD were digested with *SacI*. The plasmids pHAV $\emptyset$ (nonfunctional FGFR), XFD/Xss (dnFGFR), p21 (Asn17)^{Ha-ras} (dnRas), pnuc β gal (nuclear localized β -galactosidase), pXFR Δ 3' (*Xenopus* FGFR1), and pGAP43-GFP (membrane tethered eGFP) have been previously described (Amaya et al. 1991, 1993; Smith and Harland 1991; Whitman and Melton 1992; Amaya et al. 1993; Kim et al. 1998).

RNA isolation and RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was prepared from embryos using the Trizol reagent (GIBCO-BRL). Random primed cDNAs were generated from 3 μ g of each RNA preparation using the SUPERScript-II reverse

transcriptase (GIBCO-BRL). The PCR was performed using 0.15 ng of each primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 5 nl of [32 P]dATP, 1.5 mM MgCl_2 , and 2 units of *Taq* polymerase (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). PCR amplification was performed for 20–23 cycles and determined to be in the linear range for each primer pair. The *EF1 α* (Hemmati-Brivanlou and Melton 1994) and *Xbra* (Henry et al. 1996) primers have been previously described.

Embryo injections and manipulations

Embryos were obtained and injected as previously described (Amaya et al. 1993). Lineage tracing experiments were carried out by injecting a single dorsal blastomere at the four-cell stage with 25 ng of RLTX and the adjacent dorsal blastomere with 1 ng of *Xspry2*/GAP43-GFP or HAV $\emptyset$ /GAP43-GFP mRNA (10:1 ratio). Animal caps were excised from stage 8 embryos and incubated in 0.4 $\times$ MMR, or 1 $\times$ MMR for immunoblotting. Recombinant human activin A (10 ng/ml; R&D Systems) was added as appropriate and caps were incubated until stage 11 for molecular analysis or stage 18 and scored for elongation. DMZ explants were excised at stage 10 and cultured in 0.4 $\times$ MMR until stage 19.

In situ hybridization and lineage tracing

In situ hybridization was carried out according to the method of Harland (1991). An RNA in situ probe against *Xspry2* was generated by linearizing with *Bam*HI and transcribing with T7. The *Xbra*, *cardiac actin* (AC100), *Xpo* (Amaya et al. 1991, 1993), *Xmyf5*, *XNot* (von Dassow et al. 1993), and *FGF8* (Christen and Slack 1997) probes are as previously reported.

⁴⁵Ca²⁺ efflux assay and oocyte injections

Oocytes were obtained and microinjected as described (Amaya et al. 1991). After 48 h of incubation in modified Barth's saline (MBSH) at 16°C, healthy oocytes were assayed for FGF-induced ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ efflux essentially as reported (Musci et al. 1990). Oocytes were washed in Ca²⁺-free MBSH and transferred 10 per well in triplicate into 24-well plates. ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ was added at a final concentration of 50 µCi/ml and loaded for 3 h at 16°C. Oocytes were then washed extensively in MBSH before culturing in a final volume of 1 ml. Aliquots were then removed at 10-min intervals and replaced with an equal volume of MBSH. FGF2 was added after 30 min at a final concentration of 100 ng/ml. Medium collected after seven time points was then subjected to scintillation counting in Ecolume (ICN). Variation between the two groups was tested using the Student's *t* test. *P* values < 0.05 are indicated.

Immunoblotting

Western blotting was carried out as described (Amaya et al. 1993), with the exception that 50 mM NaF and 10 mM sodium orthovanadate were added to the lysis buffer. Blots were incubated with 1/10,000 mouse anti-dperk (clone MAPK-YT, Sigma) or 1/2000 mouse anti-pan erk (clone 16, Transduction laboratories).

Acknowledgments

We thank N. Papalopulu for help with the explants and S. Bramley for cloning the *Xspry2* mutants. We are grateful to H. Isaacs, M. Freeman, M. Whitman, J. Gurdon, R. Harland, and D. Kimelman for the gifts of reagents and N. Papalopulu, M. Gomperts, O. Bronchain, A. Philpott, W. Harris, and members of the Harris/Holt laboratories for helpful discussions. This work was supported by European Molecular Biology Organization and Human Frontier Science Program long-term fellowships (S.L.N.), a Burroughs Wellcome Hitchings-Elion Fellowship (K.S.D.), a program grant from the Medical Research Council (C.E.H.), and a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship (E.A.).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

Amaya, E., Musci, T.J., and Kirschner, M.W. 1991. Expression of a dominant negative mutant of the FGF receptor disrupts mesoderm formation in *Xenopus* embryos. *Cell* **66**: 257–270.

Amaya, E. 1992. FGF signalling in early *Xenopus* development. Phd Thesis. University of California, San Francisco.

Amaya, E., Stein, P.A., Musci, T.J., and Kirschner, M.W. 1993. FGF signalling in the early specification of mesoderm in *Xenopus*. *Development* **118**: 477–487.

Beiman, M., Shilo, B.Z., and Volk, T. 1996. Heartless, a *Drosophila* FGF receptor homolog, is essential for cell migration and establishment of several mesodermal lineages. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 2993–3002.

Carballada, R., Yasuo, H., and Lemaire, P. 2001. Phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase acts in parallel to the ERK MAP kinase in the FGF pathway during *Xenopus* mesoderm induction. *Development* **128**: 35–44.

Casci, T., Vinos, J., and Freeman, M. 1999. Sprouty, an intracellular inhibitor of Ras signaling. *Cell* **96**: 655–665.

Chambers, D. and Mason, I. 2000. Expression of sprouty2 during early development of the chick embryo is coincident with known sites of FGF signalling. *Mech. Dev.* **91**: 361–364.

Chambers, D., Medhurst, A.D., Walsh, F.S., Price, J., and Mason, I. 2000. Differential display of genes expressed at the midbrain–hindbrain junction identifies sprouty2: An FGF8-inducible member of a family of intracellular FGF antagonists. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* **15**: 22–35.

Christen, B. and Slack, J.M. 1997. FGF-8 is associated with anteroposterior patterning and limb regeneration in *Xenopus*. *Dev. Biol.* **192**: 455–466.

———. 1999. Spatial response to fibroblast growth factor signalling in *Xenopus* embryos. *Development* **126**: 119–125.

Ciruna, B.G., Schwartz, L., Harpal, K., Yamaguchi, T.P., and Rossant, J. 1997. Chimeric analysis of fibroblast growth factor receptor-1 (Fgfr1) function: A role for FGFR1 in morphogenetic movement through the primitive streak. *Development* **124**: 2829–2841.

Cornell, R.A. and Kimelman, D. 1994. Activin-mediated mesoderm induction requires FGF. *Development* **120**: 453–462.

de Maximy, A.A., Nakatake, Y., Moncada, S., Itoh, N., Thiery, J.P., and Bellusci, S. 1999. Cloning and expression pattern of a mouse homologue of *Drosophila* sprouty in the mouse embryo. *Mech. Dev.* **81**: 213–216.

Deng, C.X., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Shen, M.M., Daugherty, C., Ornitz, D.M., and Leder, P. 1994. Murine FGFR-1 is required for early postimplantation growth and axial organization. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 3045–3057.

Djiane, A., Riou, J., Umbhauer, M., Boucaut, J., and Shi, D. 2000. Role of frizzled 7 in the regulation of convergent extension movements during gastrulation in *Xenopus laevis*. *Development* **127**: 3091–3100.

Fambrough, D., McClure, K., Kazlauskas, A., and Lander, E.S. 1999. Diverse signaling pathways activated by growth factor receptors induce broadly overlapping, rather than independent, sets of genes. *Cell* **97**: 727–741.

Gisselbrecht, S., Skeath, J.B., Doe, C.Q., and Michelson, A.M. 1996. heartless encodes a fibroblast growth factor receptor (DFR1/DFGF-R2) involved in the directional migration of early mesodermal cells in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 3003–3017.

Gotoh, Y. and Nishida, E. 1996. Signals for mesoderm induction. Roles of fibroblast growth factor (FGF)/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1288**: F1–F7.

Gupta, R.W. and Mayer, B.J. 1998. Dominant-negative mutants of the SH2/SH3 adapters Nck and Grb2 inhibit MAP kinase activation and mesoderm-specific gene induction by eFGF in *Xenopus*. *Oncogene* **17**: 2155–2165.

Hacohen, N., Kramer, S., Sutherland, D., Hiromi, Y., and Krasnow, M.A. 1998. sprouty encodes a novel antagonist of FGF signaling that patterns apical branching of the *Drosophila* airways. *Cell* **92**: 253–263.

Harland, R.M. 1991. In situ hybridization: an improved whole mount method for *Xenopus* embryos. In *Methods in Cell Biology*. (eds B.K. Kay and H.B. Peng), pp. 685–695. San Diego, Academic Press.

Heisenberg, C.P., Tada, M., Rauch, G.J., Saude, L., Concha, M.L., Geisler, R., Stemple, D.L., Smith, J.C., and Wilson, S.W. 2000. Silberblick/Wnt11 mediates convergent extension movements during zebrafish gastrulation. *Nature* **405**: 76–81.

Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. and Melton, D.A. 1994. Inhibition of activin receptor signaling promotes neuralization in *Xeno-*

- pus. Cell* **77**: 273–281.
- Hemmati-Brivanlou, A., de la Torre, J.R., Holt, C., and Harland, R.M. 1991. Cephalic expression and molecular characterization of *Xenopus* En-2. *Development* **111**: 715–724.
- Henry, G.L., Brivanlou, I.H., Kessler, D.S., Hemmati-Brivanlou, A., and Melton, D.A. 1996. TGF-beta signals and a pattern in *Xenopus laevis* endodermal development. *Development* **122**: 1007–1015.
- Isaacs, H.V., Pownall, M.E., and Slack, J.M. 1994. eFGF regulates Xbra expression during *Xenopus* gastrulation. *EMBO J.* **13**: 4469–4481.
- Keller, R. 1991. Early embryonic development of *Xenopus laevis*. *Methods Cell Biol.* **36**: 61–113.
- Keller, R., Shih, J., and Domingo, C. 1992. The patterning and functioning of protrusive activity during convergence and extension of the *Xenopus* organiser. *Development* (1992 Supplement): 81–91.
- Kim, S.H., Yamamoto, A., Bouwmeester, T., Agius, E., and Robertis, E.M. 1998. The role of paraxial protocadherin in selective adhesion and cell movements of the mesoderm during *Xenopus* gastrulation. *Development* **125**: 4681–4690.
- Kimelman, D. and Griffin, K.J. 2000. Vertebrate mesendoderm induction and patterning. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **10**: 350–356.
- Kramer, S., Okabe, M., Hacohen, N., Krasnow, M.A., and Hiromi, Y. 1999. Sprouty: A common antagonist of FGF and EGF signaling pathways in *Drosophila*. *Development* **126**: 2515–2525.
- Kroll, K.L. and Amaya, E. 1996. Transgenic *Xenopus* embryos from sperm nuclear transplantations reveal FGF signaling requirements during gastrulation. *Development* **122**: 3173–3183.
- Lee, P.L., Johnson, D.E., Cousens, L.S., Fried, V.A., and Williams, L.T. 1989. Purification and complementary DNA cloning of a receptor for basic fibroblast growth factor. *Science* **245**: 57–60.
- MacNicol, A.M., Muslin, A.J., and Williams, L.T. 1993. Raf-1 kinase is essential for early *Xenopus* development and mediates the induction of mesoderm by FGF. *Cell* **73**: 571–583.
- Minowada, G., Jarvis, L.A., Chi, C.L., Neubuser, A., Sun, X., Hacohen, N., Krasnow, M.A., and Martin, G.R. 1999. Vertebrate Sprouty genes are induced by FGF signaling and can cause chondrodysplasia when overexpressed. *Development* **126**: 4465–4475.
- Mohammadi, M., Dionne, C.A., Li, W., Li, N., Spivak, T., Honegger, A.M., Jaye, M., and Schlessinger, J. 1992. Point mutation in FGF receptor eliminates phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis without affecting mitogenesis. *Nature* **358**: 681–684.
- Mohammadi, M., Dikic, I., Sorokin, A., Burgess, W.H., Jaye, M., and Schlessinger, J. 1996. Identification of six novel autophosphorylation sites on fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 and elucidation of their importance in receptor activation and signal transduction. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**: 977–989.
- Musci, T.J., Amaya, E., and Kirschner, M.W. 1990. Regulation of the fibroblast growth factor receptor in early *Xenopus* embryos. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **87**: 8365–8369.
- Muslin, A.J., Peters, K.G., and Williams, L.T. 1994. Direct activation of phospholipase C-gamma by fibroblast growth factor receptor is not required for mesoderm induction in *Xenopus* animal caps. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**: 3006–3012.
- Pawson, T. and Saxton, T.M. 1999. Signaling networks—Do all roads lead to the same genes? *Cell* **97**: 675–678.
- Peters, K.G., Marie, J., Wilson, E., Ives, H.E., Escobedo, J., Del Rosario, M., Mirda, D., and Williams, L.T. 1992. Point mutation of an FGF receptor abolishes phosphatidylinositol turnover and Ca²⁺ flux but not mitogenesis. *Nature* **358**: 678–681.
- Pownall, M.E., Tucker, A.S., Slack, J.M., and Isaacs, H.V. 1996. eFGF, Xcad3 and Hox genes form a molecular pathway that establishes the anteroposterior axis in *Xenopus*. *Development* **122**: 3881–3892.
- Pownall, M.E., Isaacs, H.V., and Slack, J.M. 1998. Two phases of Hox gene regulation during early *Xenopus* development. *Curr. Biol.* **8**: 673–676.
- Reich, A., Sapir, A., and Shilo, B. 1999. Sprouty is a general inhibitor of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. *Development* **126**: 4139–4147.
- Rossant, J., Ciruna, B., and Partanen, J. 1997. FGF signaling in mouse gastrulation and anteroposterior patterning. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* **62**: 127–133.
- Ryan, P.J., Paterno, G.D., and Gillespie, L.L. 1998. Identification of phosphorylated proteins associated with the fibroblast growth factor receptor type I during early *Xenopus* development. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **244**: 763–767.
- Schulte-Merker, S. and Smith, J.C. 1995. Mesoderm formation in response to Brachyury requires FGF signalling. *Curr. Biol.* **5**: 62–67.
- Shih, J. and Keller, R. 1992. Patterns of cell motility in the organizer and dorsal mesoderm of *Xenopus laevis*. *Development* **116**: 915–930.
- Simon, M.A. 2000. Receptor tyrosine kinases: Specific outcomes from general signals. *Cell* **103**: 13–15.
- Slusarski, D.C., Yang-Snyder, J., Busa, W.B., and Moon, R.T. 1997. Modulation of embryonic intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling by Wnt-5A. *Dev. Biol.* **182**: 114–120.
- Smith, J.C., Price, B.M., Green, J.B., Weigel, D., and Herrmann, B.G. 1991. Expression of a *Xenopus* homolog of Brachyury (T) is an immediate-early response to mesoderm induction. *Cell* **67**: 79–87.
- Smith, W.C. and Harland, R.M. 1991. Injected Xwnt-8 RNA acts early in *Xenopus* embryos to promote formation of a vegetal dorsalizing center. *Cell* **67**: 753–765.
- Sokol, S.Y. 1996. Analysis of Dishevelled signalling pathways during *Xenopus* development. *Curr. Biol.* **6**: 1456–1467.
- . 1999. Wnt signaling and dorso-ventral axis specification in vertebrates. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **9**: 405–410.
- Song, J. and Slack, J.M. 1996. XFGF-9: A new fibroblast growth factor from *Xenopus* embryos. *Dev. Dyn.* **206**: 427–436.
- Sun, X., Meyers, E.N., Lewandoski, M., and Martin, G.R. 1999. Targeted disruption of Fgf8 causes failure of cell migration in the gastrulating mouse embryo. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 1834–1846.
- Tada, M. and Smith, J.C. 2000. Xwnt11 is a target of *Xenopus* Brachyury: Regulation of gastrulation movements via Dishevelled, but not through the canonical Wnt pathway. *Development* **127**: 2227–2238.
- Tang, T.L., Freeman, Jr., R.M., O'Reilly, A.M., Neel, B.G., and Sokol, S.Y. 1995. The SH2-containing protein-tyrosine phosphatase SH-PTP2 is required upstream of MAP kinase for early *Xenopus* development. *Cell* **80**: 473–483.
- Tefft, J.D., Lee, M., Smith, S., Leinwand, M., Zhao, J., Bringas, Jr., P., Crowe, D.L., and Warburton, D. 1999. Conserved function of mSpry-2, a murine homolog of *Drosophila* sprouty, which negatively modulates respiratory organogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 219–222.
- Umbhauer, M., Marshall, C.J., Mason, C.S., Old, R.W., and Smith, J.C. 1995. Mesoderm induction in *Xenopus* caused by activation of MAP kinase. *Nature* **376**: 58–62.
- von Dassow, G., Schmidt, J.E., and Kimelman, D. 1993. Induction of the *Xenopus* organizer: Expression and regulation of Xnot, a novel FGF and activin-regulated homeo box gene.

Nutt et al.

- Genes & Dev.* **7**: 355–366.
- Wacker, S., Brodbeck, A., Lemaire, P., Niehrs, C., and Winklbauer, R. 1998. Patterns and control of cell motility in the *Xenopus* gastrula. *Development* **125**: 1931–1942.
- Wallingford, J.B., Rowning, B.A., Vogeli, K.M., Rothbacher, U., Fraser, S.E., and Harland, R.M. 2000. Dishevelled controls cell polarity during *Xenopus* gastrulation. *Nature* **405**: 81–85.
- Weinstein, D.C., Marden, J., Carnevali, F., and Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. 1998. FGF-mediated mesoderm induction involves the Src-family kinase Laloo. *Nature* **394**: 904–908.
- Whitman, M. and Melton, D.A. 1992. Involvement of p21ras in *Xenopus* mesoderm induction. *Nature* **357**: 252–254.
- Yamaguchi, T.P., Harpal, K., Henkemeyer, M., and Rossant, J. 1994. fgfr-1 is required for embryonic growth and mesodermal patterning during mouse gastrulation. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 3032–3044.

***Xenopus* Sprouty2 inhibits FGF-mediated gastrulation movements but does not affect mesoderm induction and patterning**

Stephen L. Nutt, Kevin S. Dingwell, Christine E. Holt, et al.

Genes Dev. 2001, **15**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.191301](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.191301)

References

This article cites 63 articles, 28 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/15/9/1152.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).