The *S. cerevisiae* SET3 complex includes two histone deacetylases, Hos2 and Hst1, and is a meiotic-specific repressor of the sporulation gene program

W.W.M. Pim Pijnappel,^{1,6} Daniel Schaft,^{1,6} Assen Roguev,¹ Anna Shevchenko,² Hille Tekotte,⁴ Matthias Wilm,² Guillaume Rigaut,² Bertrand Séraphin,⁵ Rein Aasland,³ and A. Francis Stewart^{1,7}

¹Gene Expression Program, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany and Genomics, Technische Universität Dresden, 01307 Dresden, Germany; ²Instrumentation Program, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany; ³Department of Molecular Biology, University of Bergen, N-5020 Bergen, Norway

Set3 is one of two proteins in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that, like *Drosophila* Trithorax, contains both SET and PHD domains. We found that Set3 forms a single complex, Set3C, with Snt1, YIL112w, Sif2, Cpr1, and two putative histone deacetylases, Hos2 and NAD-dependent Hst1. Set3C includes NAD-dependent and independent deacetylase activities when assayed in vitro. Homology searches suggest that Set3C is the yeast analog of the mammalian HDAC3/SMRT complex. Set3C represses genes in early/middle of the yeast sporulation program, including the key meiotic regulators *ime2* and *ndt80*. Whereas Hos2 is only found in Set3C, Hst1 is also present in a complex with Sum1, supporting previous characterizations of Hst1 and Sum1 as repressors of middle sporulation genes during vegetative growth. However, Hst1 is not required for meiotic repression by Set3C, thus implying that Set3C (–Hst1) and not Hst1–Sum1, is the meiotic-specific repressor of early/middle sporulation genes.

[Key Words: SET domain; repression; sporulation; Hos2; Hst1; deacetylase]

Received May 7, 2001; revised version accepted September 17, 2001.

Genetic screens in *Drosophila* have identified several classes of chromatin regulatory proteins including factors involved in position effect variegation (PEV), and members of the Polycomb and trithorax Groups (Pc-G, trx-G) (Piotto 1998; Wakimoto 1998). Clues as to the underlying mechanisms used by these genetically defined factors have arisen from the identification of several characteristic protein motifs including the SET domain. The SET domain was first identified by its occurrence in Su(var)3–9 (suppressor of position effect variegation 3–9), E(z) (Enhancer of zeste), and Trx (Trithorax) (Jones and Gelbart 1993; Tschiersch et al. 1994; Stassen et al. 1995). Functional data on SET domain proteins relate to chromatin regulation and, in certain cases, epigenetic mechanisms. Most prominently, Ctr4, the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* homolog of Su(var)3–9, is involved in epigenetic maintenance of centromeres in

this yeast (Ekwall et al. 1996). The *S. cerevisiae* Set1 protein influences silencing at telomeres, and both Set1 and Ctr4 influence silencing in mating-type switching in their respective yeasts (Nislow et al. 1997; Ivanova et al. 1998). *Drosophila* Trx and a mammalian homolog, Mll, play crucial roles in maintenance of homeotic complex gene expression patterns in development (Yu et al. 1995; Ingham 1998).

The SET domains in SU(VAR) 3–9 and Ctr4 have been identified recently as histone methyltransferases acting on lysine 9 of histone H3 (Rea et al. 2000). In the same assay, the SET domains of E(z) or Trx homologs did not show histone methyltransferase activity, suggesting that they may act as methyltransferases on other substrates. Other roles for SET domains remain possible. The SET domains of Trx and/or MLL have been shown by two-hybrid and coimmunoprecipitation analyses to participate in several protein–protein interactions including interaction with (1) the SET domain of another trx-G member, Ash1 (Rozovskaia et al. 2000); (2) SBF1, a myotubularin related protein (Cui et al. 1998); and (3) the trx-G-related Swi–Snf complex proteins, INI1 and SNR1 (Rozenblatt-Rosen et al. 1998). Consequently, current data suggests that the SET domain encompasses at least two roles, including histone methyltransferase activity and

Present addresses: ⁴Wellcome Trust Centre for Cell Biology, ICMB, King's Buildings, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, Scotland; ⁵CGM-Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Cedex 91198, France.

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁷Corresponding author.

E-MAIL stewart@mpi-cbg.de; FAX 49-351-210-1409.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.207401>.

protein–protein interactions with other factors involved in chromatin regulation.

A total of six genes containing SET domains were found in searches of the *S. cerevisiae* genome, including the previously studied *set1* gene, and now termed *set1* through *set6* (Fig. 1). Interestingly, two of these six, *set3* and *set4*, also encode a PHD finger. The PHD finger is another domain that was initially identified by its occurrence in Pc-G and trx-G members (Aasland et al. 1995) and is also found in a variety of chromatin regulators and transcriptional cofactors including CBP/p300, ATRX, DNMT3, and the TIF1/KAP-1 family (for review, see Pascual et al. 2000; Capili et al. 2001 for references and the recent determinations of the PHD finger structure). Furthermore, the coincidence of SET domains and PHD fingers is a characteristic displayed by the trx-G proteins, Trx and Ash1 (Stassen et al. 1995; Tripoulas et al. 1996) and their mammalian homologs and analogs, including the NSD/WHSC1 family (Huang et al. 1998; Stec et al. 1998; Angrand et al. 2001). Because there are only 14 genes in *S. cerevisiae* that encode PHD fingers (<http://www.uib.no/aasland/set/>), the coincidence of the SET and PHD domains in *set3* and *set4* was provocative and initiated the work described here on *set3*.

After analyzing *set3* in several conventional ways without significant insight, we applied proteomic techniques to Set3 (Rigaut et al. 1999; Shevchenko et al. 1999). The identified Set3 complex (Set3C) includes two potential histone deacetylases, Hos2 and Hst1. Hos2 is a class I histone deacetylase like Rpd3 and the mammalian HDACs 1–3 (Rundlett et al. 1996). Hst1 is a member of the recently identified Sir2 class of NAD-dependent deacetylases (Imai et al. 2000; Landry et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2000) and has been linked previously to repression of sporulation genes (Xie et al. 1999; Lindgren et al. 2000). Here we identify a role for Set3C in repression of the sporulation gene program.

Results

Phenotypic analyses of Set3 disruption in haploid cells

Disruptions in haploid cells of *set3*, *set4*, or both together, resulted in normal viability with no obvious phenotype (data not shown). The previous finding that *set1* influences silencing at telomere and mating type loci (Nislow et al. 1997) prompted similar tests of *set3* disruptions on these processes. A moderate reduction in silencing of a telomeric *ura3* (10-fold reduced growth on 5-fluoro-orotic acid plates), and no effect on silencing of a *lacZ* reporter gene integrated at HML, was found in $\Delta set3$ strains (data not shown). We also screened $\Delta set3$ strains for sensitivity to the alkylating agents MMS or EMS, UV irradiation, bleomycin, hydroxyurea, and heat, and found no viability differences with wild-type yeast (data not shown). Therefore, it is unlikely that Set3 has a direct role in silencing at telomeres and mating-type loci, DNA repair, or stress responses. To approach *set3* function from a different angle, we examined the protein interaction partners of Set3.

Identification of Set3 protein–protein interactions

The TAP method (Rigaut et al. 1999) was used to determine protein–protein interactions with Set3. This method relies on fusion of the TAP tag, a double-specificity tag, to the protein of interest by knock-in to the endogenous coding sequence, followed by two rounds of affinity chromatography. All purified proteins were identified by mass spectrometry (MS; Shevchenko et al. 1996a,b). Purification of C-terminally tagged Set3 (Set3–TAP) resulted in specific copurification of seven proteins, now termed Set3C, which were not observed in purifications of wild-type or unrelated TAP-tagged strains (Fig. 2A; data not shown). In addition to Set3–TAP, the other six identified proteins were Snt1 (proposed name for YCR033w on the basis of the presence of two SANT domains; Aasland et al. 1996), YIL112w, Sif2 (SIR4 interacting factor 2; Cockell et al. 1998), Hos2 (Rundlett et al. 1996), Hst1 (Brachmann et al. 1995), and Cpr1 (cyclophilin A; for review, see Dolinski and Heitman 1997) as indicated in Figure 2A. The heat-shock proteins Ssa1 and Ssb1 were also present as minor bands, however, we consistently find these highly abundant proteins in wild-type control and unrelated purifications under the conditions used in this study, indicating that they are unspecific contaminants (data not shown). On the basis of Coomassie staining (Fig. 2A), we estimate relative stoichiometries (indicated in brackets) as follows: Snt1 (2); YIL112w (1); Set3 (1); Sif2 (2); Hos2 (1); Hst1 (<1/4); and Cpr1 (1).

To verify the Set3 complex (Set3C) and to analyze further the interacting proteins of the other six Set3C components, the TAP tag was fused to the coding regions of each and the same protocols of purification and MS analysis were applied. The purifications of Snt1, YIL112w, Sif2, and Hos2 yielded very similar results as the Set3–TAP purification (Fig. 2B). Along with additional observations, the results shown in Figure 2B are summarized as follows and establish that Set3C is composed of seven proteins: (1) Snt1–TAP purification yielded MS identifications of all proteins of Set3C. Relative stoichiometries were similar as in Set3–TAP, and no new copurifying proteins could be detected, showing that endogenous Snt1 is present in Set3C and not in other complexes or as free protein. (2) YIL112w–TAP yielded all seven proteins of Set3C without any new copurified protein. Thus, YIL112w protein–protein interactions are limited to Set3C by this experimental criterion. Whereas the stoichiometry of Set3:Sif2:Hos2:Hst1:Cpr1 was again estimated as 1:2:1:<1/4:1, comigration of YIL112w–TAP with Snt1 obscured the relative stoichiometry of these two Set3C components. (3) TAP purification of Sif2 yielded the entire Set3C without any new copurifying proteins, but in this case, Sif2–TAP was present in clear excess over other members of Set3C. This indicates that endogenous Sif2 occurs as free protein as well as in the Set3C. Sif2 has been identified as a Sir4-interacting factor because of an interaction in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Cockell et al. 1998). We failed to detect the presence of Sir4 in Sif2–TAP purifications (Fig. 2B) or the

Figure 1. SET domain proteins in *S. cerevisiae*. (A) Cartoons of the six yeast SET domain proteins identified. Each sequence was retrieved from SGD (<http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Saccharomyces/>) by the systematic yeast protein names given. The length of each protein and the position in the protein of the PHD fingers and SET domains are also indicated. Set5 and Set6 have large insertions (173 and 192 amino acids, respectively) indicated as light green boxes in the SET icon. E-values obtained when using the Set1 SET domain as a probe in a PSI-BLAST search (four iterations; Altschul et al. 1997) against the yeast proteome, are indicated. (B) A multiple alignment of the six SET domains in yeast. The alignment was generated with CLUSTAL_X (Thompson et al. 1997) and subsequently manually refined. The alignment is color coded to highlight conserved features using the color scheme implemented in CLUSTAL_X. The two positions in Set5 and Set6 with large insertions are indicated. Below the alignment is shown a consensus featuring positions conserved in at least five of the six sequences. The six positions in the alignment that correspond to the motif common to SET domains and methyltransferases (Rea et al. 2000) are indicated with red dots. The alignment includes the C-terminal SET domain-associated cysteine cluster, postSET (position 203–217 in the alignment).

presence of Sif2 in Sir4-TAP purifications (data not shown), suggesting that Sif2-Sir4 interaction might be transient and/or too lowly abundant to be detected by this method. (4) Hos2-TAP yielded the entire Set3C. In addition, the complete TRiC complex (Leroux and Hartl 2000) was identified. This may indicate that Hos2 is present in two complexes, Set3C and TRiC. More likely, the chaperone function of the TRiC complex may be in-

involved in folding Hos2 or the Hos2-TAP fusion protein. (5) Importantly, TAP purification of the substoichiometric component Hst1 yielded all seven proteins of Set3C (Fig. 2C), confirming the presence of Hst1 in Set3C. However, about fourfold more Hst1-TAP was retrieved than Set3C components and two additional proteins were copurified. Sum1 was copurified in approximately equal abundance to Hst1 along with the pioneer

(Figure 2 continued on facing page)

ORF protein, YOR279. Physical interaction between Hst1 and Sum1 has been proposed on the basis of similar behavior in a genetic screen for repressors of mid sporulation genes (Xie et al. 1999), and Hst1 and Sum1 have recently been coimmunoprecipitated (Rusché and Rine

Figure 2. The Set3 protein complex. (A) Set3-TAP purification. Coomassie-stained 7%–25% polyacrylamide SDS gel showing the purified complex (Set3-TAP). Cartoons of MS-identified proteins are shown with full-length protein sizes in amino acids (aa). Protein domains are also indicated in amino acids. The tagged protein, Set3, is indicated in bold together with its C-terminal remnant of the TAP tag. Protein size markers (broad range, NEB) are indicated in kilodaltons. Ssa1 and Ssb1 are heat-shock proteins also found in mock purifications, and therefore represent unspecific contaminants. (B) Verification of the complex. As in A, showing the complexes obtained by TAP purification of Snt1, YIL112w, Sif2, or Hos2, as indicated. The tagged proteins with remaining TAP fusions are indicated in bold. TRIC complex in Hos2-TAP: 8, Cct6; 9, Cct8; 10, Cct2; 11, Cct3; 12, Cct4; 13, Cct7. (C) Defining a proteomic border of Set3C. As in A and B, showing TAP purification of Hst1 and Sum1, as indicated. The presence of many bands, marked by asterisks, was later found to be due to the batches of calmodulin beads used. All the bands marked by an asterisk were identified by MS, and are nonspecific contaminants, also visible in Figure 3. (They are, from top to bottom, in the Hst1-TAP panel: J04692; Ssa2; Ssb1; HSP60; efl- α ; enolase2; phosphoglycerate kinase; 60s ribosomal protein [rp] l4-B; fructose-biphosphate aldolase; glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; 60s rp l2; mixture of 40s rp s1-B, 60s rp l8-A, 40s rp s4; mixture of 60s rp l1, triosephosphate isomerase; mixture of 60 ns rp l7-A, 60 s rp l10; mixture of 40s rp s7-A, 60s rp l13-A; 60 s rp l20; and in the SUM1-TAP panel: mixture of sum1, efl2; hsp82; j04692; sum1; ssa2; ssb2; pyruvate kinase 1; mixture of sum1, pyruvate decarboxylase isozyme; efl α ; mixture of enolase 2, 60s rp l3; 60s rp l4-A; glyceraldehyde3-phosphate dehydrogenase; 60s rpl2; mixture of 40s rp s1-B, 40s rp s4, 60s rp l8-B; mixture of 60s rp l7-B, 60s rp l10, 60s rp l1; mixture of 60s l13-B, 40s rp s7-A; 60s rp l20; mixture of 40s rp s24, 40s rp s17-A, 60s rpl25, 40s rp s19-B).

2001). Consequently, we knocked the TAP tag into *sum1*, and retrieved Hst1 and YOR279, but not any other member of Set3C, or any other specific copurifying proteins (Fig. 2C). As opposed to approximately equal quantities of Sum1 and Hst1-TAP, Sum1-TAP was retrieved in greater abundance than Hst1. Also a specific shorter, 120-kD version of Sum1-TAP was observed that was not observed in the Hst1-TAP purification. These observations indicate that Sum1 exists partly complexed with Hst1 and partly as free protein, and suggests that an N-terminally shorter version of Sum1 does not associate with Hst1. We also conclude that Hst1 is present in two distinct complexes, Set3C and the Hst1-Sum1 complex. (6) TAP purification of Cpr1 yielded very high levels of Cpr1-TAP without apparent copurifying proteins (data not shown). As opposed to other members of Set3C, Cpr1 is a highly abundant protein. Despite the absence of other Set3C members in Cpr1-TAP purifications, the consistent presence of Cpr1 in TAP purifications of SET3, Snt1, YIL112w, Sif2, Hos2, and Hst1, but not wild-type control, Sum1, or unrelated purifications (Fig. 2B,C; data not shown) strongly implicates Cpr1 as a bona fide member of Set3C. Furthermore, Cpr1 is required for function of the Hos2-related histone deacetylase, Rpd3 (Arevalo-Rodriguez et al. 2000), suggesting a functional relationship between cyclophilins and Rpd3/Hos2-type histone deacetylases.

In summary, sequential TAP purification identified (1) the Set3C consisting of the following seven proteins: Snt1, YIL112, Set3, Sif2, Hos2, Hst1, and Cpr1; with all available data indicating an approximate stoichiometric composition of 2:1:1:2:1:1:1, respectively; (2) the Hst1-Sum1 complex consisting of Hst1, Sum1, and YOR279; (3) free, uncomplexed Sif2; (4) free, uncomplexed Sum1; (5) free, uncomplexed Cpr1.

Requirements for Set3C integrity

To dissect protein-protein interactions within Set3C, we constructed strains in which one complex member carried the TAP tag, whereas a part of the complex was deleted. Sif2-TAP purification in a Δ set3 strain yielded Sif2-TAP and Snt1 without the other Set3C members (Fig. 3, left). Snt1 appeared partially degraded, indicating instability outside intact Set3C. This shows that Sif2 and Snt1 interact directly and require Set3 to interact with Hos2, YIL112w, Hst1, and Cpr1. Furthermore, TAP purification of a Set3-TAP allele in which the SET domain had been precisely deleted from the rest of the protein failed to retrieve anything. However, Western detection of the TAP tag on this Set3- Δ SET domain-TAP protein in total cell lysates yielded detectable but very low levels of expression (data not shown).

YIL112w-TAP purification in a Δ hos2 background yielded YIL112w-TAP and Hst1, but no other Set3C members (Fig. 3, middle). This shows that YIL112w and Hst1 interact directly and require Hos2 to interact with Set3, Sif2, Snt1, and Cpr1.

In contrast, deletion of *hst1* did not disturb Set3C purified from Sif2-TAP (Fig. 3, right). Thus, Hst1 is periph-

Figure 3. Set3 and Hos2 are central components of the Set3C but Hst1 is not. Coomassie-stained polyacrylamide SDS gels showing TAP purifications of Sif2-TAP in a $\Delta set3$ background (*left*), Yil112-TAP in a $\Delta hos2$ background (*middle*), and Sif2-TAP in a $\Delta hst1$ background (*right*). The tagged proteins with remaining TAP fusion are indicated in bold.

eral to Set3C. These results define Set3 and Hos2 as crucial members of Set3C required for complex integrity.

Enzyme activities in Set3C

The presence of a SET domain in Set3C suggested that it may include a histone methyltransferase activity. We failed to detect any such activity in Set3C in several assays, although TAP-Clr4 (expressed from a CEN plasmid and purified by the same methodology) and Set1, in the context of its complex, Set1C, proved to be very active (Roguev et al. 2001). Nevertheless, Set3C may be a methyltransferase when tested under different conditions.

Set3C includes two predicted histone deacetylase enzyme activities. Hos2 is one of the five *S. cerevisiae* members of the first identified histone deacetylase family that includes Rpd3 and Hda1 (Rundlett et al. 1996). Hst1 is one of the five *S. cerevisiae* members of the second, NAD-dependent, histone deacetylase family that includes Sir2 (Imai et al. 2000; Landry et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2000). To determine whether Set3C contains histone deacetylase activity, we tested deacetylation of partially purified Set3-TAP or Hst1-TAP complexes with ^3H -acetylated histone H4 peptide with and without NAD. Consistent with the relative stoichiometries in Set3C (indicated in brackets) of Hos2 (1) and Hst1 (<1/4), the predominant deacetylase activity in the Set3-TAP eluate was NAD independent, and was moderately stimulated by NAD (Fig. 4).

Application of the same assay to Hst1-TAP eluate, which contains both Set3C and the Hst1-Sum1 complex, showed, as expected, lower levels of NAD-independent and higher levels of NAD-stimulated histone deacetylase activity (Fig. 4). These results indicate that

Hos2 in Set3C and Hst1 in both Set3C and the Hst1-Sum1 complexes are active histone deacetylases.

Set3C is a repressor of meiosis

Diploid $\Delta set3$ and $\Delta hos2$ strains were tested for meiotic landmarks during sporulation. Figure 5A shows that kinetics of premeiotic DNA replication, as measured by FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorter) analysis, were unchanged in $\Delta set3$ and $\Delta hos2$ strains as compared with wild type. Therefore, Set3C is unlikely to be involved in

Figure 4. The Set3 and Hst1 complexes contain both NAD-independent and NAD-dependent histone deacetylase activities. IgG Sepharose eluates from the strains indicated were assayed for histone deacetylase activity as described in Materials and Methods. The presence or absence of 0.5 mM NAD or NADH in the reaction is also indicated. Data represent ^3H release as a percentage of input, and are means $\pm$ SEM.

the regulation of early meiotic events such as initiation of meiosis or premeiotic DNA synthesis. In contrast, both $\Delta set3$ and $\Delta hos2$ strains underwent faster progression through meiosis I, meiosis II, and ascus formation than wild type, and resulted in reduced levels of viable ascus formation, as determined by morphological and tetrad analyses (Fig. 5B; data not shown). Thus, $\Delta set3$ and $\Delta hos2$ phenotypes are very similar, consistent with the proteomic analysis of Set3C, and indicate a role for Set3C in middle sporulation.

DNA microarray analysis has led to the clustering of genes induced during sporulation based on the timing of their expression (Chu et al. 1998; Primig et al. 2000). To determine whether disruption of Set3C affected sporulation-specific gene expression, we performed Northern blot analysis of representative members of these clusters and of known key regulators of sporulation during a sporulation time course (Fig. 5C). Genes in clusters 2 (*acs1*) and 3 (*dal7*), which have amino acid and other metabolic functions, had unchanged expression levels in $\Delta set3$ cells. Expression of the cluster 4 gene *rfa1*, which functions in DNA synthesis, repair and recombination, was only slightly increased in $\Delta set3$ cells. In contrast, expression of *spo11*, which also belongs to cluster 4 but functions in chromosome synapsis and segregation, was precociously induced in $\Delta set3$, reaching higher levels than in wild-type cells. The cluster 5 genes *spr3*, *sps2*, and *sps18*, which function in cytokinesis and differentiation, were all expressed earlier and at higher levels in $\Delta set3$.

Analysis of key regulators of sporulation revealed that *ime1*, which is required for early meiotic gene expression including *ime2* and *spo11* (Mitchell et al. 1990), was expressed at slightly higher levels in $\Delta set3$ cells. In contrast, *ime2*, which is involved in both early and middle/late gene expression including *spo11* and *sps2* (Mitchell et al. 1990), was expressed earlier and at higher levels in $\Delta set3$, as was *ndt80*, a regulator of middle/late genes including itself, *spr3*, *sps2*, and *sps18* (Chu and Herskowitz 1998). Notably, none of the genes repressed by Set3C in sporulation were up-regulated at the zero time point, which is representative of gene expression during vegetative growth. Hence, we identify Set3C as a meiotic specific repressor of cluster 4 and 5 genes of the sporulation program.

Deletion of *hst1* did not relieve repression of *ime2* or *ndt80*, whereas deletion of *hos2* in the absence or presence of *hst1* did (Fig. 5D; data not shown). These results parallel the effects on Set3C of *set3*, *hos2*, and *hst1* deletions. Both Set3 and Hos2 are required for Set3C integrity, whereas Hst1 is not (Fig. 3). Thus, in early sporulation, Set3C represses two key regulators of the sporulation gene program, *ime2* and *ndt80*, and Hst1 is not required for Set3C formation or function.

Discussion

Protein interactions of Set3

A proteomic approach based on sequential TAP tagging and purification was used to define the protein interac-

tions of Set3 and all associated factors to define the proteomic environment of Set3C (Figs. 1–3; schematized in Fig. 6). Set3 occurs in a single complex, Set3C, consisting of Snt1 (2), YIL112w (1), Set3 (1), Sif2 (2), Hos2 (1), Hst1 (<1/4), and Cpr1 (1) (estimated stoichiometries in brackets). The relatively low amount of Hst1 in this complex suggests that it is transiently interacting with Set3C. Furthermore, Hst1 is also present in a complex with Sum1 and YOR279 (Hst1–Sum1 complex). TAP purification of Sum1 did not copurify Set3C. Vice versa, Sum1 was not detected in TAP purifications of core Set3C members. Thus, Set3C and the Hst1–Sum1 complex are distinct entities. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that these complexes interact, and that a Sum1–Set3C interaction is too weak to be detected by the approach used. We also conclude that Snt1, YIL112w, Set3, and Hos2 are predominantly included in Set3C and are not present as free proteins or in other stable protein complexes, whereas Sif2, Cpr1, and Sum1 do exist as free proteins in addition to their involvement in the observed complexes.

In addition to the deacetylase domains and the SET domain and PHD finger of Set3, Set3C includes several other identifiable protein motifs. The SANT domain was identified by its occurrence in chromatin proteins including Swi3, Ada2, NcoR, and TFIIB'' (Aasland et al. 1996). It is distantly related to the DNA-binding domain of c-myc and may act in nonsequence-specific DNA binding by TFIIB'' (Kumar et al. 1997). Thus, Snt1 may be a DNA-binding component of Set3C. Sif2 is a seven WD40-repeat propeller protein. Such proteins participate in many cellular functions, however, TBL1 [transducin (β)-like I], Groucho, and TUP1 have been shown to bind histones (Edmonson et al. 1996; Palaparti et al. 1997; Guenther et al. 2000). Hence, Sif2 may contribute nucleosomal binding activity to Set3C. It is interesting to note that Snt1 and Sif2 interact (Fig. 3) and are the two members of Set3C that are present twice each. Hence, they may present a tetrameric chromatin interaction module for Set3C (indicated as a box in Fig. 6). YIL112w contains four ankyrin repeats, which have been shown previously to participate in a variety of protein–protein interactions (Sedgwick and Smerdon 1999). The ankyrin repeats of YIL112w and/or its coiled-coil region may be involved in the direct interaction with Hst1 and/or the implied interaction with Hos2.

Biochemical activities of Set3C and probable conservation in eukaryotes

Although certain SET domain proteins including Su(var)3–9 have histone methyltransferase activity (Rea et al. 2000), we were unable to detect this activity in Set3C. However, Set3 is required for Set3C (Fig. 3), indicating a structural role in complex integrity.

Set3C combines both NAD-dependent and independent histone deacetylase activities (Fig. 4). In concordance with other studies on histone deacetylases (Rundlett et al. 1996; Hassig et al. 1998), we find that the activities associated with Set3C and Hst1–Sum1 com-

(Figure 5 continued)

Figure 5. Set3C is a repressor of meiosis. Wild type, $\Delta set3$, or $\Delta hos2$ diploid strains were sporulated and assayed for meiotic landmarks at the times indicated (in hours). (A) Premeiotic DNA synthesis as measured by FACS analysis. X-axis, fluorescence intensity; Y-axis, number of cells. (Left peaks) 2n DNA; (right peaks) 4n DNA (as confirmed by microscopic analysis of sorted cells). (Blue graphs) wild type; (orange graphs) $\Delta set3$; (green graphs) $\Delta hos2$. (B) Cells completing meiosis I, meiosis II, and ascus formation (Asci) were counted using a fluorescence microscope. At least 300 cells per time point per strain were counted. (C) Northern blot analysis of meiotic genes during sporulation of wild-type (wt) or $\Delta set3$ cells. Data were obtained from a separate experiment as in A and B, and the absolute time of sporulation onset is therefore slightly different. Relative differences between wild type and $\Delta set3$ are similar to those in B. Genes examined are indicated next to the expression data, as are corresponding gene clusters (Primig et al. 2000) and gene functions. The mRNA coding for the ribosomal protein Tcm1 was used as control for RNA. Decreased expression of this gene very early during sporulation, followed by stable expression levels, is as reported (Chu and Herskowitz 1998). Expression of *asc1* and *dal7* confirmed the quality of the RNA at these early time points. (D) As in C, except RNA was harvested from wild-type, $\Delta hst1$, and $\Delta hst1 \Delta hos2$ strains.

plex deacetylate several histone substrates in vitro with no apparent substrate specificity (data not shown). Furthermore, Hst1 is dispensible for Set3C integrity and function in meiotic repression of early/middle sporulation genes (Figs. 3C, 5D). Consequently, the functional significance of combining the two types of histone

deacetylase activities in the same complex remains to be determined.

Set3C shows interesting similarities to the partially characterized mammalian HDAC3 complexes (Guenther et al. 2000; Li et al. 2000). In addition to the fact that Hos2 is the closest yeast homolog of HDAC3, complexes from HeLa cells include the corepressors SMRT and NCoR, which like Snt1 are SANT domain proteins. The HeLa complexes also include the seven WD40-repeat protein, TBL1. Among the many seven WD40-repeat proteins in mammals and yeast, Sif2 is clearly the yeast homolog of TBL1. We speculate that further characterizations of HDAC3 complexes may present more similarities, including, possibly, the inclusion in HDAC3 complexes of an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase and the uncharacterized SET and PHD domain protein predicted by EST 095038, which is the closest human homolog of Set3.

Function of the Set3C and the Hst1–Sum1 complex

Examination of the kinetics of sporulation landmarks revealed that $\Delta hos2$ or $\Delta set3$ strains underwent normal premeiotic DNA synthesis but showed a faster progression through meiosis. Deletion of *hos2* has been reported to reduce ascus formation dramatically (Bisland et al. 1998). We found only a slight reduction in $\Delta hos2$ strains, both in the strain of Bisland et al. (1998) and the strain used throughout our studies under various conditions (Fig. 5; data not shown). Presumably, loss of *hos2* has a more profound impact on ascus production under other conditions. In concordance with the fast meiotic phenotypes shown by $\Delta hos2$ or $\Delta set3$, loss of either protein disrupts Set3C, leaving subcomponents (Fig. 3) that did not retain Set3C function. Hence, repression by Set3C may require both the essential components and the integrity of the complex.

Northern analysis showed that Set3C function is directed toward repression of clusters 4 and 5 of the sporulation gene program, including the key regulators *ime2* and *ndt80*. Set3C repression of *ndt80* could be indirect, as *ime2* is required for expression of *ndt80*, but not vice versa (Hepworth et al. 1998). Hence, the fast meiotic phenotypes shown by $\Delta hos2$ or $\Delta set3$, and the precocious induction of *ndt80* and other later genes, could be solely due to earlier Set3C repression of *ime2* and other cluster 4 genes.

Consequently, an attractive explanation of repression by Set3C action involves Hos2 deacetylation of nucleosomes near the *ime2* gene. This presumes that a change early after induction of sporulation, which could include altered acetylation of these nucleosomes, potentially activates *ime2* gene expression. However, action by Set3C delays the onset of expression so that the regulatory cascade controlled by Ime2 is delayed. This delay may serve to improve sporulation efficiency, and/or to integrate a further regulatory checkpoint. This model requires a mechanism to abrogate Set3C repression in middle sporulation. Studies to test aspects of this model are underway, however, biochemical analysis of Set3C during

Figure 6. The proteomic environment of Set3. The proteomic environment of Set3, associated factors, and their regulation of part of the sporulation program is presented. The proteins involved are shown as colored objects below the regulatory interactions. Question marks indicate uncertainties in the regulation circuitry. Note that Set3C repression applies in meiosis and Hst1–Sum1 repression in vegetative growth. (MSE) Middle sporulation element. The Set3C includes two molecules of each Snt1 and Sif2 in a tetramer. Protein interactions in Set3C are shown as black boxes, probable interactions as gray boxes. The +/- beside Hst1 and YOR279 indicates the likelihood that these proteins are not always present in their respective complexes. Those proteins that are also found free are indicated, as is the relationship between Hst1 and NAD.

sporulation showed no apparent changes in Set3C composition between vegetative and sporulation conditions, indicating that regulation of Set3C activity does not involve loss or gain of proteins bound in Set3C (data not shown).

Although Hst1 is a part of Set3C, it is not required for the function of Set3C described here. Rather, Hst1 represses middle sporulation genes in vegetative growth, probably through the Hst1–Sum1 complex (Xie et al. 1999; Fig. 2C). Hst1/Sum1 repression operates through Sum1 binding the MSE (middle sporulation element) DNA sequence element, which is present in the cluster 5 genes *spr3*, *sps2*, *sps18*, and the key regulator *ndt80* (Chu and Herskowitz 1998). Why Hst1 is also present in Set3C is not clear. Because both Set3C and the Hst1–Sum1 complex act as repressors, appear to include histone deacetylase activities (Fig. 4), and repress overlapping sets of sporulation-specific genes, it is very likely that the presence of Hst1 in Set3C has functional significance. As with the questions regarding targets and mechanism of Set3C repression discussed above, further work is required to define the events involved in regulation of Set3C and Hst1/Sum1 repression.

Methodological approaches in proteomics

Ongoing discussions about proteomics have focused on the methodological options available (Rigaut et al. 1999; Shevchenko et al. 1999; Vidal 2001), with global yeast two-hybrid approaches assuming prominence. We scru-

tinized all available two-hybrid data, including global analyses (Uetz et al. 2000; Ito et al. 2001) for interactions between any of the factors encompassed here. Only an interaction between Sif2 and YIL112w was reported (in both studies; Uetz et al. 2000; Ito et al. 2001). This interaction was not detected by us with either TAP-tagged Sif2 or YIL112 in the absence of Set3 or Hos2, respectively (Fig. 3), suggesting that the published two-hybrid results relied on the presence of endogenous Set3 and Hos2. Ito et al. (2001) also reported interaction between Sif2–YJR141w, which could not be confirmed by our biochemical analysis. Furthermore, we failed to detect any evidence for the yeast two-hybrid interaction between Sif2 and Sir4 (Cockell et al. 1998). The lack of concurrence between our results and yeast two-hybrid results provokes questions about the fidelity and utility of two-hybrid analyses (Seol et al. 2001). We think that coherent documentation and understanding of proteomes must be based on core data sets composed of strong, biochemically documented, interactions. Mapping of two-hybrid data onto these core data sets may sort out true from false positives and point to higher-order regulatory circuits.

Materials and methods

Sequence analysis

The yeast genome was searched for SET domains and PHD fingers by BLASTP searches (Altschul et al. 1997) and Profilesearch

(as implemented on the Biocelerator facility at EMBL; <http://eta.embl-heidelberg.de:8000/>) using profiles based on alignments of previously identified SET domains and PHD fingers (<http://www.uib.no/aasland/set/>).

Strains

Strains are listed in Table 1. Yeast transformations were performed as described (Soni et al. 1993). All haploid strains were derived from MGD353-13D (Puig et al. 1998). The TAP tag was introduced at the endogenous locus of the gene of interest as an in-frame C-terminal fusion with the coding sequence as described (Puig et al. 1998). Gene disruptions were performed as described (Puig et al. 1998). The diploid strains $\Delta set3$ and $\Delta hos2$ were obtained by crossing to BSY 323 (*Mata* genotype) followed by tetrad analysis. Resulting haploids carrying the desired disruption were mated. Correct modifications were confirmed by PCR and Western blot analysis. The double mutant $\Delta hst1 \Delta hos2$ (DS140) was obtained by integrating a *K.I. ura3* disruption cassette flanked by loxP sites. Transient expression of Cre-recombinase resulted in cassette excision. The *hos2* ORF was then disrupted by inserting a cassette, again using *K.I. ura3* as selectable marker.

TAP purification and mass spectrometry

The extraction of yeast cells was performed as described for the yeast Swi/Snf complex (Logie and Peterson 1999). The TAP tag consists of a calmodulin binding peptide (CBP), a TEV protease cleavage site, and two IgG-binding units of protein A, respectively, as described (Rigaut et al. 1999). TAP purification was performed according to Rigaut et al. (1999), with the following modifications: 10 mL of supernatant of the 43,000 rpm centrifugation (Logie and Peterson 1999) was allowed to bind to 200 μ L

of IgG Sepharose (Pharmacia), equilibrated in buffer E (Logie and Peterson 1999) for 2 h at 4°C by use of a Bio-Rad disposable column. Two to three columns (the equivalent of 4–6 L yeast culture at OD₆₀₀ 2–3) were used per purification shown. The IgG Sepharose column was washed with 35 mL of buffer E lacking proteinase inhibitors, followed by 10 mL of TEV cleavage buffer (Rigaut et al. 1999). TEV cleavage was performed using 10 μ L of rTEV (GIBCO) in 1 mL of TEV cleavage buffer for 2 h at 16°C. Calmodulin Sepharose (Stratagene) purification was performed as described (Rigaut et al. 1999). Purified proteins were concentrated as described by Wessel and Flügge (1984). After separation on 7%–25% SDS-polyacrylamide gels, proteins were stained with Coomassie, in-gel digested with trypsin, and identified by MS as described (Shevchenko et al. 1996a,b).

Histone deacetylase assay

Yeast Histone H4 peptide (amino acids 2–20, Upstate) was chemically acetylated by use of sodium [³H]acetate (NEN, 2.8 Ci/mmol) as described (Taunton et al. 1996), and purified by gel filtration over G15 Sepharose. IgG Sepharose eluates were prepared as above, and were added to 30,000 dpm ³H H4 peptide in a final volume of 30 μ L 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 μ g/ μ L BSA, and 0.5 mM NAD or NADH (Sigma) as indicated. After incubation at 30°C for 90 min, the reaction was spotted on P81 phosphocellulose paper (Whatmann). Filters were washed four times for 15 min in 50 mM NaHCO₃ (pH 9), dried completely, and counted in 2 mL of scintillation cocktail.

Sporulation assays

Diploid strains were grown in YPD to OD₆₀₀ of 2.0. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and resuspended in sporulation medium (1.5% KAc at pH 7.5, dilution 1:3) supplemented with

Table 1. *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study

Name	Genotype G	Strains	Reference
MGD-353-13D	<i>Mata</i>	<i>ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3, 112; trp1-289l ura3-52</i>	Puig et al. 1998
BSY320	<i>Mata/α</i>	<i>ade2/ade2; arg4 (RV⁻)/arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112/leu2-3, 112; trp1-289; ura3-52/ura3-52</i>	Puig et al. 1998
BSY323	<i>Mat α</i>	<i>ade2; leu2-3,112; lys2;trp1-289; ura3-52</i>	This study
BSY792	<i>Mata</i>	<i>set3 C-term. TAP tagged introducing K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS4	<i>Mata</i>	<i>yil1 12w C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS6	<i>Mata</i>	<i>snt1 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS8	<i>Mata</i>	<i>sif2 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS9	<i>Mata</i>	<i>hos2 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS22	<i>Mata</i>	<i>cpr1 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
AR5	<i>Mata</i>	<i>hst1 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS101	<i>Mata</i>	<i>sum1 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; ura3-52</i>	This study
DS16	<i>Mata</i>	<i>set3:: K.I. URA3 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; trp1-289</i>	This study
DS18	<i>Mata</i>	<i>hos2:: K.I. URA3 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112; trp1-289</i>	This study
DS19	<i>Mata</i>	<i>yil112w C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1; hos2::K.I.URA3 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112</i>	This study
DS21	<i>Mata</i>	<i>sif2 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1; set3::K.I.URA3 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112</i>	This study
DS169	<i>Mata</i>	<i>sif2 C-term. TAP K.I. TRP1; hst1::K.I.URA3 ade2; arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112</i>	This study
DS52-1	<i>Mata/α</i>	<i>set3:: K.I. URA3/set3::K.I.URA3 ade2/ade2; ARG4/arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112/leu2-3,112; lys2/LYS2; trp1-289/trp1-289</i>	This study
DS53-3	<i>Mata/α</i>	<i>hos2:: K.I. URA3/hos2::K.I.URA3 ade2/ade2; ARG4/arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112/leu2-3,112; lys2/LYS2; trp1-289/trp1-289</i>	This study
DS129	<i>Mata/α</i>	<i>Hst1::floxed K.I. URA3/hst1::floxedK.I.URA3 ade2/ade2; ARG4/arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112/leu2-3,112; lys2/LYS2; trp1-289/trp1-289</i>	This study
DS140	<i>Mata/α</i>	<i>hst1::loxP/hst1::loxP hos2::K.I. URA3/hos2::K.I.URA3 ade2/ade2; ARG4/arg4 (RV⁻); leu2-3,112/leu2-3,112; lys2/LYS2; trp1-289/trp1-289</i>	This study

required amino acids. Cultures were incubated at 23°C, and samples were taken at the times indicated. DNA was stained with propidium iodide as described (Haase and Lew 1997). Cells were sonicated three times for 2 sec with 5-sec intervals at 20% power to resolve cell clumps. DNA synthesis was measured by FACS analysis. Microscopic analysis showed that this treatment did not disrupt individual cells. Progression into meiosis was determined by counting at least 300 cells per point using a fluorescent microscope. Northern blot analysis was performed by use of standard procedures. Probes were prepared by random prime labeling of PCR fragments of the genes indicated.

Acknowledgments

We thank Colin Logie, Henk Stunnenberg, Alexander Brehm, Peter Becker, Jeanette Rientjes, Elisabeth Bragado-Nilsson, Wolfgang Zachariae, Anne Atzberger, Susan Gasser, and Moira Cockell for reagents, advice, and discussions; Joep Muylers and Julia Schaft for auxiliary experiments; and Michelle Meredyth for critical reading of the manuscript. W.P. was supported by an EU Biotechnology fellowship.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Aasland, R., Gibson, T.J., and Stewart, A.F. 1995. The PHD finger: Implications for chromatin-mediated transcriptional regulation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **20**: 56–59.
- Aasland, R., Stewart, A.F., and Gibson, T. 1996. The SANT domain: A putative DNA-binding domain in the SWI-SNF and ADA complexes, the transcriptional co-repressor N-CoR and TFIIB. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **21**: 87–88.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 3389–3402.
- Angrand, P.-O., Apiou, F., Stewart, A.F., Dutrillaux, B., Losson, R., and Chambon, P. 2001. NSD3, a new SET domain-containing gene, maps to 8p12 and is amplified in human breast cancer cell lines. *Genomics* **74**: 79–88.
- Arevalo-Rodriguez, M., Cardenas, M.E., Wu, X., Hanes, S.D., and Heitman, J. 2000. Cyclophilin A and Ess1 interact with and regulate silencing by the Sin3-Rpd3 histone deacetylase. *EMBO J.* **19**: 3739–3749.
- Bisland, E., Dahlén, M., and Sunnerhagen, P. 1998. Genomic disruption of six budding yeast genes gives one drastic example of phenotype strain-dependence. *Yeast* **14**: 655–664.
- Brachmann, C.B., Sherman, J.M., Devine, S.E., Cameron, E.E., Pillus, L., and Boeke, J.D. 1995. The SIR2 gene family, conserved from bacteria to humans, functions in silencing, cell cycle progression, and chromosome stability. *Genes & Dev.* **9**: 2888–2902.
- Capili, A.D., Schultz, D.C., Rauscher, I.F., and Borden, K.L. 2001. Solution structure of the PHD domain from the KAP-1 corepressor: structural determinants for PHD, RING and LIM zinc-binding domains. *EMBO J.* **20**: 165–177.
- Chu, S. and Herskowitz, I. 1998. Gametogenesis in yeast is regulated by a transcriptional cascade dependent on Ndt80. *Mol. Cell* **1**: 685–696.
- Chu, S., DeRisi, J., Eisen, M., Mulholland, J., Botstein, D., Brown, P.O., and Herskowitz, I. 1998. The transcriptional program of sporulation in budding yeast. *Science* **282**: 699–705.
- Cockell, M., Renauld, H., Watt, P., and Gasser, S.M. 1998. Sif2p interacts with Sir4p amino-terminal domain and antagonizes telomeric silencing in yeast. *Curr. Biol.* **8**: 787–790.
- Cui, X., De Vivo, I., Slany, R., Miyamoto, A., Firestein, R., and Cleary, M.L. 1998. Association of SET domain and myotubularin-related proteins modulates growth control. *Nat. Genet.* **18**: 331–337.
- Dolinski, K. and Heitman, J. 1997. Peptidyl-prolyl isomerases (PPIases). In *Guidebook to molecular chaperones and protein folding catalysts* (ed. S. Toozé), pp. 359–369. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Edmondson, D.G., Smith, M.M., and Roth, S.Y. 1996. Repression domain of the yeast global repressor Tup1 interacts directly with histones H3 and H4. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 1247–1259.
- Ekwall, K., Nimmo, E.R., Javerzat, J.P., Borgstrom, B., Egel, R., Cranston, G., and Allshire, R. 1996. Mutations in the fission yeast silencing factors *clr4+* and *rik1+* disrupt the localisation of the chromo domain protein Swi6p and impair centromere function. *J. Cell. Sci.* **109**: 2637–2648.
- Guenther, M.G., Lane, W.S., Fischle, W., Verdin, E., Lazar, M.A., and Shiekhattar, R. 2000. A core SMRT corepressor complex containing HDAC3 and TBL1, a WD40-repeat protein linked to deafness. *Genes & Dev.* **14**: 1048–1057.
- Haase, S.B. and Lew, D.J. 1997. Flow cytometric analysis of DNA content in budding yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* **283**: 322–332.
- Hassig, C.A., Tong, J.K., Fleischer, T.C., Owa, T., Grable, P.G., Ayer, D.E., and Schreiber, S.L. 1998. A role for histone deacetylase activity in HDAC1-mediated transcriptional repression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 3519–3524.
- Hepworth, S.R., Friesen, H., and Segall, J. 1998. NDT80 and the meiotic recombination checkpoint regulate expression of middle sporulation-specific genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**: 5750–5761.
- Huang, N., vom Baur, E., Garnier, J.M., Lerouge, T., Vonesch, J.L., Lutz, Y., Chambon, P., and Losson, R. 1998. Two distinct nuclear receptor interaction domains in NSD1, a novel SET protein that exhibits characteristics of both corepressors and coactivators. *EMBO J.* **17**: 3398–3412.
- Imai, S., Armstrong, C.M., Kaerberlein, M., and Guarente, L. 2000. Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2 is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase. *Nature* **403**: 795–800.
- Ingham, P.W. 1998. Trithorax and the regulation of homeotic gene expression in *Drosophila*: A historical perspective. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **42**: 423–429.
- Ito, T., Chiba, T., Ozawa, R., Yoshida, M., Hattori, M., and Sakaki, Y. 2001. A comprehensive two-hybrid analysis to explore the yeast protein interactome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**: 4569–4574.
- Ivanova, A.V., Bonaduce, M.J., Ivanov, S.V., and Klar, A.J. 1998. The chromo and SET domains of the Clr4 protein are essential for silencing in fission yeast. *Nat. Genet.* **19**: 192–195.
- Jones, R.S. and Gelbart, W.M. 1993. The *Drosophila* Polycomb-group gene Enhancer of zeste contains a region with sequence similarity to trithorax. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**: 6357–6366.
- Kumar, A., Kassavetis, G.A., Geiduschek, E.P., Hambalko, M., and Brent, C.J. 1997. Functional dissection of the B' component of RNA polymerase III transcription factor IIIB: A scaffolding protein with multiple roles in assembly and initiation of transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**: 1868–1880.

- Landry, J., Sutton, A., Tafrov, S.T., Heller, R.C., Stebbins, J., Pillus, L., and Sternglanz, R. 2000. The silencing protein SIR2 and its homologs are NAD-dependent protein deacetylases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 5807–5811.
- Leroux, M.R. and Hartl, F.U. 2000. Protein folding: Versatility of the cytosolic chaperonin TRiC/CCT. *Curr. Biol.* **10**: R260–R264.
- Li, J., Wang, J., Nawaz, Z., Liu, J.M., Qin, J., and Wong, J. 2000. Both corepressor proteins SMRT and N-CoR exist in large protein complexes containing HDAC3. *EMBO J.* **19**: 4342–4250.
- Lindgren, A., Bungard, D., Pierce, M., Xie, J., Vershon, A., and Winter, E. 2000. The pachytene checkpoint in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires the Sum1 transcriptional repressor. *EMBO J.* **19**: 6489–6497.
- Logie, C. and Peterson, C.L. 1999. Purification and biochemical properties of yeast SWI/SNF complex. *Methods Enzymol.* **304**: 726–741.
- Mitchell, A.P., Driscoll, S.E., and Smith, H.E. 1990. Positive control of sporulation genes by the Ime1 and Ime2 products in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **10**: 2104–2110.
- Nislow, C., Ray, E., and Pillus, L. 1997. SET1, a yeast member of the trithorax family, functions in transcriptional silencing and diverse cellular processes. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **8**: 2421–2436.
- Palaparti, A., Baratz, A., and Stifani, S. 1997. The Groucho/transducin-like enhancer of split transcriptional repressors interact with the genetically defined amino-terminal silencing domain of histone H3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 26604–26610.
- Pascual, J., Martinez-Yamout, M., Dyson, H.J., and Wright, P.E. 2000. Structure of the PHD zinc finger from human Williams-Beuren syndrome transcription factor. *J. Mol. Biol.* **304**: 723–729.
- Pirrotta, V. 1998. Polycomb the genome: PcG, trxG, and chromatin silencing. *Cell* **93**: 333–336.
- Primig, M., Williams, R.M., Winzeler, E.A., Tevzadze, G.G., Conway, A.R., Hwang, S.Y., Davis, R.W., and Esposito, R.E. 2000. The core meiotic transcriptome in budding yeasts. *Nat. Genet.* **26**: 415–423.
- Puig, O., Rutz, B., Luukkainen, B.G., Kandels-Lewis, S., Bragado-Nilsson, E., and Seraphin, B. 1998. New constructs and strategies for efficient PCR-based gene manipulations in yeast. *Yeast* **14**: 1139–1146.
- Rea, S., Eisenhaber, F., O'Carroll, D., Strahl, B.D., Sun, Z.W., Schmid, M., Opravil, S., Mechtler, K., Ponting, C.P., Allis, C.D., et al. 2000. Regulation of chromatin structure by site-specific histone H3 methyltransferases. *Nature* **406**: 593–599.
- Rigaut, G., Shevchenko, A., Rutz, B., Wilm, M., Mann, M., and Seraphin, B. 1999. A generic protein purification method for protein complex characterization and proteome exploration. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **17**: 1030–1032.
- Roguev, A., Schaft, D., Shevchenko, A., Pijnappel, W.W.M., Wilm, M., Aasland, R. and Stewart, A.F. 2001. The *S. cerevisiae* Set1 complex includes an Ash2 homolog and methylates histone 3 lysine 4. *EMBO J.* (in press).
- Rozenblatt-Rosen, O., Rozovskaia, T., Burakov, D., Sedkov, Y., Tillib, S., Blechman, J., Nakamura, T., Croce, C.M., Mazo, A., and Canaani, E. 1998. The C-terminal SET domains of ALL-1 and TRITHORAX interact with the INI1 and SNR1 proteins, components of the SWI/SNF complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 4152–4157.
- Rozovskaia, T., Rozenblatt-Rosen, O., Sedkov, Y., Burakov, D., Yano, T., Nakamura, T., Petruck, S., Ben-Simchon, L., Croce, C.M., Mazo, A., et al. 2000. Self-association of the SET domains of human ALL-1 and of *Drosophila* TRITHORAX and ASH1 proteins. *Oncogene* **19**: 351–357.
- Rundlett, S.E., Carmen, A.A., Kobayashi, R., Bavykin, S., Turner, B.M., and Grunstein, M. 1996. HDA1 and RPD3 are members of distinct yeast histone deacetylase complexes that regulate silencing and transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 14503–14508.
- Rusché, L.N. and Rine, J. 2001. Conversion of a gene-specific repressor to a regional silencer. *Genes & Dev.* **15**: 955–967.
- Sedgwick, S.G. and Smerdon, S.J. 1999. The ankyrin repeat: A diversity of interactions on a common structural framework. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **24**: 311–316.
- Seol, J.H., Shevchenko, A., Shevchenko, A., and Deshaies, R.J. 2001. Skp1 forms multiple protein complexes, including RAVE, a regulator of V-ATPase assembly. *Nat. Cell. Biol.* **3**: 384–391.
- Shevchenko, A., Zachariae, W., and Shevchenko, A. 1999. A strategy for the characterization of protein interaction networks by mass spectrometry. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **27**: 549–554.
- Shevchenko, A., Wilm, M., Vorm, O., and Mann, M. 1996a. Mass spectrometric sequencing of proteins silver-stained polyacrylamide gels. *Anal. Chem.* **68**: 850–858.
- Shevchenko, A., Jensen, O.N., Podtelejnikov, V., Sagliocco, F., Wilm, M., Vorm, O., Mortensen, P., Shevchenko, A., Boucherie, H., and Mann, M. 1996b. Linking genome and proteome by mass spectrometry: Large-scale identification of yeast proteins from two dimensional gels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 14440–14445.
- Smith, J.S., Brachmann, C.B., Celic, I., Kenna, M.A., Muhammad, S., Starai, V.J., Avalos, J.L., Escalante-Semerena, J.C., Grubmeyer, C., Wolberger, C., et al. 2000. A phylogenetically conserved NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase activity in the Sir2 protein family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 6658–6663.
- Soni, R., Carmichael, J.P., and Murray, J.A. 1993. Parameters affecting lithium acetate-mediated transformation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and development of a rapid and simplified procedure. *Curr. Genet.* **24**: 455–459.
- Stassen, M.J., Bailey, D., Nelson, S., Chinwalla, V., and Harte, P.J. 1995. The *Drosophila* trithorax proteins contain a novel variant of the nuclear receptor type DNA binding domain and an ancient conserved motif found in other chromosomal proteins. *Mech. Dev.* **52**: 209–223.
- Stec, I., Wright, T.J., van Ommen, G.J., de Boer, P.A., van Haeringen, A., Moorman, A.F., Altherr, M.R., and den Dunnen, J.T. 1998. WHSC1, a 90 kb SET domain-containing gene maps in the Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome critical region and is fused to IgH in t(4;14) multiple myeloma. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **7**: 1071–1082.
- Taunton, J., Hassig, C.A., and Schreiber, S.L. 1996. A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. *Science* **272**: 408–411.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D.G. 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 4876–4882.
- Tripoulas, N., LaJeunesse, D., Gildea, J., and Shearn, A. 1996. The *Drosophila* ash1 gene product, which is localized at specific sites on polytene chromosomes, contains a SET domain and a PHD finger. *Genetics* **143**: 913–928.
- Tschiersch, B., Hofmann, A., Krauss, V., Dorn, R., Korge, G., and Reuter, G. 1994. The protein encoded by the *Drosophila* position-effect variegation suppressor gene Su(var)3-9 combines domains of antagonistic regulators of homeotic gene

Pijnappel et al.

- complexes. *EMBO J.* **13**: 3822–3831.
- Uetz, P., Giot, L., Cagney, G., Mansfield, T.A., Judson, R.S., Knight, J.R., Lockshon, D., Narayan, V., Srinivasan, M., Pochar, P., et al. 2000. A comprehensive analysis of protein-protein interactions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* **403**: 623–627.
- Vidal, M. 2001. A biological atlas of functional maps. *Cell* **104**: 333–339.
- Wakimoto, B.T. 1998. Beyond the nucleosome: Epigenetic aspects of position-effect variegation in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **93**: 321–324.
- Wessel, D. and Flugge, U.I. 1984. A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.* **138**: 141–143.
- Xie, J., Pierce, M., Gailus-Durner, V., Wagner, M., Winter, E., and Vershon, A.K. 1999. Sum1 and Hst1 repress middle sporulation-specific gene expression during mitosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **18**: 6448–6454.
- Yu, B.D., Hess, J.L., Horning, S.E., Brown, G.A., and Korsmeyer, S.J. 1995. Altered Hox expression and segmental identity in *Mill*-mutant mice. *Nature* **378**: 505–508.

The *S. cerevisiae* SET3 complex includes two histone deacetylases, Hos2 and Hst1, and is a meiotic-specific repressor of the sporulation gene program

W.W.M. Pim Pijnappel, Daniel Schaft, Assen Roguev, et al.

Genes Dev. 2001, **15**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.207401](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.207401)

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).