Amphiphysin is necessary for organization of the excitation–contraction coupling machinery of muscles, but not for synaptic vesicle endocytosis in *Drosophila*

Azam Razzaq,^{1,2} Iain M. Robinson,¹ Harvey T. McMahon,³ Jeremy N. Skepper,⁴ Ya Su,^{1,7} Andrew C. Zelhof,^{5,6} Antony P. Jackson,² Nicholas J. Gay,² and Cahir J. O’Kane^{1,8}

¹Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EH, UK; ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1QW, UK; ³Medical Research Council Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 2QH, UK; ⁴Multi-Imaging Centre, Department of Anatomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3DY, UK; ⁵Institute of Neuroscience and Institute of Molecular Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institution, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA; ⁶Department of Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institution, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

Amphiphysins 1 and 2 are enriched in the mammalian brain and are proposed to recruit dynamin to sites of endocytosis. Shorter amphiphysin 2 splice variants are also found ubiquitously, with an enrichment in skeletal muscle. At the *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junction, amphiphysin is localized postsynaptically and *amphiphysin* mutants have no major defects in neurotransmission; they are also viable, but flightless. Like mammalian amphiphysin 2 in muscles, *Drosophila* amphiphysin does not bind clathrin, but can tubulate lipids and is localized on T-tubules. *Amphiphysin* mutants have a novel phenotype, a severely disorganized T-tubule/sarcoplasmic reticulum system. We therefore propose that muscle amphiphysin is not involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis, but in the structural organization of the membrane-bound compartments of the excitation–contraction coupling machinery of muscles.

[**Key Words:** Dynamin; DLG; indirect flight muscles; T-tubules; ryanodine receptor; myopathy]

Received May 10, 2001; revised version accepted September 20, 2001.

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a process by which cells retrieve proteins from the plasma membrane into vesicles. It is also a major mechanism for retrieval of synaptic vesicle components after exocytosis. During this process, areas of membrane containing proteins to be endocytosed are folded inward to form a pit; the pit then closes up, generating a vesicle that breaks off from the membrane. Dynamin is essential for the scission of these nascent vesicles, providing the mechanical force, and considerable evidence suggests that amphiphysin is essential for the recruitment of dynamin to its site of action (Takei et al. 1999; Vallis et al. 1999).

Two amphiphysin genes (1 and 2) are found in mammals (Lichte et al. 1992; Ramjaun et al. 1997; Wigge et al. 1997a). Amphiphysin 1 is highly brain-enriched, as are the larger splice variants of amphiphysin 2. The N ter-

minus of amphiphysin is capable of oligomerization (Wigge et al. 1997a; Ramjaun et al. 1999) and of tubulating lipids, either alone or cooperatively with dynamin (Takei et al. 1999). The central region harbors AP2- and clathrin-binding motifs (Dell Angelica et al. 1998; Owen et al. 1998; ter Haar et al. 2000), although in some amphiphysin 2 splice variants, these motifs are absent. Amphiphysins also possess a C-terminal SH3 domain whose major binding partners are the endocytic proteins dynamin and synaptojanin (David et al. 1996; McPherson et al. 1996; Ramjaun et al. 1997).

An endocytic function for amphiphysin is further suggested by the results of dominant-negative overexpression experiments. For example, expression of the central region of amphiphysin 1 blocks endocytosis (Slepnev et al. 1998, 2000). In addition, overexpression in lamprey synapses of the SH3 domain leads to the accumulation of coated pits and a reduction in neurotransmitter release in response to high-frequency stimulation (Shupliakov et al. 1997). Expression of the SH3 domain in cultured mammalian cells also impairs endocytosis, and this impairment is rescued by coexpression of dynamin (Wigge et al. 1997b).

⁷Present address: Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital Site, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2XY, UK.

⁸Corresponding author.

E-MAIL c.okane@gen.cam.ac.uk; FAX 44-1223-333992.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.207801>.

obvious external defects in eye, bristle, or wing pattern that might result from the interference with endocytic mechanisms involved in *wingless*, EGF receptor, TGF- β /*decapentaplegic*, or *Notch* signaling (Vieira et al. 1996; Seugnet et al. 1997; Entchev et al. 2000; Dubois et al. 2001). Adult mutants were, however, flightless and generally sluggish when homozygous, or when transheterozygous with *Df(2R)vg-C*. *EP(2)2175* homozygotes, *amph*⁺ homozygotes, and *amph*⁺/*Df(2R)vg-C* heterozygotes all showed no mutant phenotype.

In addition to a deletion of the 5' end of the *amph* gene, *amph*^{mut} also had a deletion of part of the first exon of the adjacent gene *Sin3A*, but left its coding region intact (Fig. 1A). We could detect no impaired function of *Sin3A* by *amph*^{mut}. First, loss of *Sin3A* is homozygous lethal (Pennetta and Pauli 1998), whereas *amph*^{mut} is homozygous viable. Second, crosses between *amph*^{mut} and four different *Sin3A* mutant alleles showed complementation for the flightless and sluggish phenotypes.

The distribution of amphiphysin protein is not consistent with a role in synaptic vesicle endocytosis

Vertebrate amphiphysins 1 and 2 are soluble proteins that are associated in vivo with synaptic vesicles (Lichte et al. 1992; Wigge et al. 1997a). Double staining for amphiphysin and either a synaptic vesicle marker (cysteine string protein, CSP; see Mastrogiacomo et al. 1994; Estes et al. 1996) or a marker that is essentially postsynaptic using optical microscopy (Discs-large, DLG; Lahey et al. 1994) showed that amphiphysin was detectable only postsynaptically at the larval neuromuscular junction (NMJ; Fig. 2A–D). Amphiphysin was found only around type I boutons, where its distribution overlapped with that of DLG, a membrane-associated guanylate kinase (MAGUK) that is responsible for clustering ion channels and cell adhesion molecules (Dimitratos et al. 1999). No amphiphysin was detected around type II boutons (Fig. 2A), which lack DLG protein postsynaptically (Lahey et al. 1994; Woods et al. 1997). Although we cannot rule out low levels of presynaptic amphiphysin, or its presence on the presynaptic plasma membrane of type I boutons (indistinguishable from the postsynaptic compartment by optical microscopy), its absence from type II boutons and from the vesicle-containing cytosolic region of type I boutons suggests that it is unlikely to be required for synaptic vesicle recycling. No amphiphysin staining was found postsynaptically at *amph*^{mut} larval NMJs (Fig. 2E). However, postsynaptic structure, visualized by DLG staining, was intact in *amph*^{mut} larvae, showing that amphiphysin was not required for the gross structural organization of the postsynaptic NMJ.

Amphiphysin mutants have no major defects in synaptic transmission at the NMJ

Mutations affecting endocytic proteins have pronounced effects on synaptic transmission in flies. For example, mutations in both *lap* (AP180) and *stoned* show reduced

excitatory junction potential (EJP) amplitudes, increased variance in EJP size, and increased numbers of failures (Stimson et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998; Fergestad et al. 1999). In contrast, EJP amplitude was unaffected in *amph*^{mut} larvae (Fig. 2F,G), and failures in synaptic transmission were never observed. High frequency stimulation results in a progressive rundown in EJPs in *stoned* and *shibire* mutants, owing to failure to replenish the vesicle pool by endocytosis (Fergestad et al. 1999; Li and Schwarz 1999; Delgado et al. 2000; also see Fig. 2H). In all lines tested, there is an apparent initial rapid decrease in the EJP amplitude during high frequency stimulation, caused by a depletion of the synaptic vesicle pool during the first few stimulations (Delgado et al. 2000), and by a failure of the muscle to return to its resting membrane potential during the interpulse period of 50 msec. However, *shibire*^{ts1} (at the permissive temperature), *amph*⁺, and *amph*^{mut} larvae showed only a continuing slow decrease in EJP amplitude, in contrast to the much faster and more pronounced decrease observed in *shibire*^{ts} mutants at their restrictive temperature (Fig. 2H). Compared with *amph*⁺ and *shibire*^{ts} (at the permissive temperature), *amph*^{mut} larvae had a larger initial drop in EJP amplitude, but the continued decline phase appeared similar in all these genotypes. Although we cannot rule out a mild defect in vesicle recycling, the subtle electrophysiological changes seen in *amph*^{mut} larvae could also be explained by small changes in postsynaptic excitability (e.g., receptor or channel numbers or differences in desensitization). Nevertheless, because *amph*^{mut} larvae clearly show a much milder phenotype than that of *shibire*^{ts} larvae at their restrictive temperature, amphiphysin cannot be an absolute requirement for synaptic vesicle recycling at the NMJ.

The *amph* mutants also showed a small increase in amplitude of miniature excitatory junction potentials (mEJPs; Fig. 2I,J), but no significant effect on mEJP frequency (Fig. 2K). Given the localization of amphiphysin at the postsynaptic NMJ of wild-type larvae, the increased mEJP amplitude in the mutant may reflect subtle changes in the postsynaptic physiology of the NMJ.

Protein interactions of Drosophila amphiphysin and vertebrate amphiphysin 2

In *Drosophila*, amphiphysin exhibits strong postsynaptic expression, whereas in mammalian tissue, it is highly enriched in the brain (Fig. 3A) and found presynaptically (Lichte et al. 1992; Wigge et al. 1997a). However, one or more splice forms of mammalian amphiphysin 2 that lack a consensus clathrin-binding motif are also highly expressed in skeletal muscle (Fig. 3A; Butler et al. 1997; Wechsler-Reya et al. 1997; see Materials and Methods) and are similar to the amphiphysin splice form (Amph 2-6) cloned from kidney (Wigge et al. 1997a).

Using pull-down assays, we tested the binding of glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusions of the N- and C-terminal domains of *Drosophila* amphiphysin and rat amphiphysin 2-1 (Amph 2-1), as well as that of whole rat

Figure 2. Amphiphysin is localized postsynaptically at the *Drosophila* larval NMJ and is not necessary for synaptic vesicle recycling. (A) Double labeling of a homozygous *amph*⁺ NMJ on larval body wall muscle 12 for cysteine string protein (CSP, presynaptic, red) and amphiphysin (Amph, green). Only the postsynaptic compartments of the larger type I boutons (I) have detectable amphiphysin staining; the smaller type II boutons (II) do not. Labeling of homozygous *amph*⁺ type I boutons on larval body wall muscle 7 for amphiphysin (B), the mainly postsynaptic marker DLG (C), and both proteins (D). (E) Double labeling of homozygous *amph*^{mut} type I boutons on larval body wall muscle 7 for DLG (red) and amphiphysin (green). Bars, A and B–E, 5 μ m. (F) Five representative traces showing excitatory junction potentials (EJPs) evoked by 1 Hz stimulation, recorded from muscle 6 in third instar larvae from wild-type (*amph*⁺/Df(2R)vg-C; labeled *amph*⁺) and mutant (*amph*²⁶/Df(2R)vg-C; labeled *amph*^{mut}) lines. Loss of amphiphysin has no effect on either the time course (F) or the amplitude (G) of the responses observed. (H) High frequency stimulation (20 Hz) of the motoneurone led only to a slow rate of rundown in the evoked response recorded from *amph*^{mut} homozygotes and *amph*⁺ homozygotes at 32°C, and from *shibire*^{ts1} larvae at the permissive temperature (19°C). In contrast, there was a very rapid and extensive rundown in the EJP amplitude recorded from *shibire*^{ts1} larvae at the restrictive temperature (32°C). (I) Four representative traces of recordings of spontaneous neurotransmitter release (mEJPs) are shown from wild-type and mutant animals. There was a small (but significant; *P* = 0.03) increase in amplitude of the mEJPs recorded from mutant muscles (J), but the frequency of these events was not altered (K).

Figure 3. Rat tissue distribution and protein-binding partners for amphiphysin. (A) Western blots showing protein distribution of clathrin, dynamin, and amphiphysins 1 and 2 in brain, heart, and skeletal muscle tissue from rat. Splice forms of amphiphysin are indicated on the left. Amphiphysins 1 and 2 were highly enriched in brain, although amphiphysin 2 was also detected in other tissues, with one or more lower molecular weight species enriched in muscle. Antibodies used were Clathrin (Sigma monoclonal), Dynamin I/II (Transduction monoclonal), Amphiphysin 1 (Transduction monoclonal), and Amphiphysin 2 (Ra 1.2 polyclonal). (B) Clathrin binding to amphiphysin. GST constructs of amphiphysin were used in pull-down experiments from rat brain and

muscle extracts, and from whole *Drosophila* extract. The N terminus of rat amphiphysin 2 (GST r-Amph 2-1 N) interacts with clathrin in rat brain, rat skeletal muscle, and *Drosophila* extracts. Rat amphiphysin 2-6 (GST r-Amph 2-6) and the N terminus of *Drosophila* amphiphysin (GST d-Amph N), both of which lack consensus sequences for clathrin binding, were also probed. The residual band detected in rat brain and muscle tissues is nonspecific as it is also seen with the *Drosophila* amphiphysin SH3 domain (GST d-Amph SH3; negative control). Blots (top three panels) were probed with the X22 monoclonal antibody, which detects both the muscle and brain forms of clathrin (Liu et al. 2001). A Coomassie-stained duplicate gel (bottom panel) shows each GST fusion protein after binding to whole fly extract; identical aliquots of fusion proteins were used for binding to rat extracts. The major band in each lane is the GST fusion protein, and the other bands are a mixture of its degradation products and of its binding partners. Numbers indicate molecular masses in kilodaltons. (C) Dynamin binding to SH3 domains of amphiphysins. Rat brain dynamin (detected with a dynamin I monoclonal antibody, top left panel) bound to the SH3 domains from both rat amphiphysin 2 (GST r-Amph2) and *Drosophila* amphiphysin (GST d-Amph SH3). GST d-Amph N was used as a negative control for binding. In *Drosophila* extract, the interaction of the SH3 domains with dynamin (detected with an antibody against *Drosophila* dynamin, top right panel) was barely visible in comparison with the DAP160 SH3a,b,c,d domain (GST d-DAP160 SH3, positive control) which has previously been shown to interact strongly with *Drosophila* dynamin (Roos and Kelly 1998). Upon higher exposures, weak dynamin binding to both GST d-Amph and GST r-Amph 2 SH3 domains was detectable. Coomassie-stained duplicate gels (bottom panels) show each GST fusion protein after binding to extracts. The major band in each lane is the GST fusion protein, and the other bands are a mixture of its degradation products and of its binding partners. Numbers indicate molecular masses in kilodaltons.

Amph 2-6, to rat brain, rat muscle, and whole *Drosophila* protein extracts (Fig. 3B,C). The N terminus of the brain-enriched form, Amph 2-1, has a clathrin-binding consensus sequence and binds clathrin (Fig. 3B). Amph 2-6 and *Drosophila* amphiphysin lack this sequence, failed to bind clathrin (Fig. 3B), and are therefore unlikely to participate in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The SH3 domains of rat amphiphysin 2 and *Drosophila* amphiphysin bound to rat brain dynamin, but not to *Drosophila* dynamin (Fig. 3C). The latter is probably a consequence of the lack of conservation of the consensus amphiphysin SH3-binding motif (PxRPxR; see Owen et al. 1998) in *Drosophila* dynamin. In contrast, the SH3 domains of DAP160 (Roos and Kelly 1998), the *Drosophila* intersectin homolog, bound strongly to *Drosophila* dynamin (Fig. 3C). The failure of *Drosophila* amphiphysin to interact significantly with *Drosophila* dynamin also argues against an endocytic role in *Drosophila*.

Amphiphysin is localized on muscle T-tubules

Amphiphysin expression was not limited to the neuromuscular junction and was also found throughout larval body wall and adult thoracic muscles, localized on a re-

ticular network (Fig. 4A,C). A similar network in larval body wall muscles has previously been shown using antibodies against DLG (Thomas et al. 2000).

Amphiphysin distribution was analyzed in most detail in indirect flight muscles (IFMs; Fig. 4C), highly specialized muscles that can contract at up to 300 Hz (Crossley 1978). In IFMs, amphiphysin is found on an extensive network of transverse and longitudinal projections that ramify around and between myofibrils. These transverse projections overlie sarcomeres periodically at positions midway between the M and Z lines (see Fig. 4D for positions of the latter), at which myosin and actin filaments, respectively, are anchored. The positions of transverse projections coincide with the locations of junctions formed by close apposition of the T-system to the junctional sarcoplasmic reticulum (jSR). These T-jSR junctions play a vital role in excitation-contraction coupling, in which depolarization of the sarcolemma activates a voltage sensor between the T-tubule and the underlying ryanodine receptors (RyRs) on the jSR surface, thus leading to calcium release from the jSR and to muscle contraction.

Ultrastructural studies of IFMs of the Dipteran *Phormia regina* have established that they possess mainly dyadic T-jSR junctions (Smith 1961). Consistent with

Figure 4. Amphiphysin is found on muscle T-tubules. Confocal sections of amphiphysin (Amph, green) and actin (red) double labeling in larval body wall muscles (A,B) and adult IFMs (C,D), from *amph⁺* (A,C) and *amph^{mut}* (B,D) homozygotes. In panel D, the locations of the M and Z lines are indicated. (E,G–K) Electron micrographs of *amph⁺* IFM longitudinal sections. (E) Longitudinal section through the center of a myofibril with dyads (asterisks) associated along each side of the myofibril at a region midway between the M and Z lines. (F) Amphiphysin (green) and actin (red) double labeling of a sarcomere similar to that of panel E. (G) Higher magnification of a dyad from panel E showing the electron-lucent T-tubule and electron-dense jSR compartments, and the RyR-containing density on the face of the jSR cisterna. Longitudinal sections through the peripheral surface of a myofibril showing the T-tubular compartments of dyads extending as transverse (H) or longitudinal (I) projections away from the adjoining jSR. (J) Longitudinal section grazing along the peripheral surface of a myofibril showing both transverse and longitudinal T-tubular projections extending from a dyad (asterisk) and a triad (broad arrow). (K) Higher magnification of the triad in panel G showing the translucent T-tubule compartment flanked on either side by two jSR compartments. (L) Amphiphysin (green) and actin (red) double labeling of a section along the top of a sarcomere, similar to that of panel J. Bar in D (for panels A–D), 5 μ m; bar in G (for panels G and K), 250 nm; bar in J (for panels E, H–J), 500 nm. All IFMs shown are dorsolongitudinal IFMs.

the observations of Shafiq (1964), we found that *Drosophila* IFMs also have dyadic junctions; these were generally localized alongside the sarcomere, midway between the M and Z lines (Fig. 4E). As in *Phormia*, the jSR component of the dyad is electron-dense, and the T-tubule electron-lucent (Fig. 4G; Block et al. 1988). In longitudinal sections along the peripheral surface of a myofibril, the lumina of the T-system were frequently seen to extend from dyads as transverse and longitudinal tubular processes that coursed between individual myofibrils and mitochondria (Fig. 4H,I). Classic triadic T–SR junctions consisting of two jSR cisternae flanking a single T-tubule were also seen occasionally (Fig. 4J,K). Again in agreement with previous ultrastructural observations in *Phormia* (Smith 1961; Smith and Sacktor

1970), the only compartments seen to extend into longitudinal and transverse projections belonged to the T-system (Fig. 4J). In contrast, sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) was only found as jSR at dyadic junctions. A network of free SR, which should be visible as an extensive, fenestrated tubular network extending longitudinally along myofibrils, was either very sparse or absent from these muscles, a finding again consistent with previous observations (Smith 1961; Shafiq 1964; Smith and Sacktor 1970; Crossley 1978). We therefore conclude that the reticular amphiphysin staining in IFMs seen using immunofluorescence is the extensive T-system observed at the ultrastructural level. This localization is consistent with the reported presence of vertebrate amphiphysin 2 on the surface of skeletal muscle T-tubules (Butler et al. 1997).

Excitation–contraction coupling machinery of amph mutants is severely disorganized

The flightless phenotype of *amph* mutants, and localization of amphiphysin at T-tubules, suggested a defect in muscle structure or function. Muscles of *amph^{mut}* homozygotes had no detectable amphiphysin (Fig. 4B,D). Despite this, mutant IFM structure was similar to that of wild type, although larval body wall muscles did display slightly looser packing of myofibrils.

In light of the similarity of the DLG staining of larval body wall muscles (Thomas et al. 2000) to that of amphiphysin (Fig. 4A), we compared the localization of both proteins in these muscles and in IFMs. There was substantial, but incomplete, overlap of the two proteins within the T-system (Fig. 5A–C,E–G), suggesting that DLG is also localized on T-tubules. Double labeling with antibodies to RyR and DLG (Fig. 5K) showed that the DLG-containing T-tubular network ran between, but did not colocalize with, RyR-containing jSR compartments that appeared as regular puncta alongside myofibrils, approximately midway between the M and Z lines (Fig. 5I,K).

In *amph^{mut}* muscles, DLG staining showed that loss of amphiphysin resulted in severe disorganization and reduction of the T-system (Fig. 5D,H,L). In *amph^{mut}* IFMs, many transverse elements of the T-system were lost, and the remainder were predominantly longitudinal, and sometimes broader (Fig. 5H). Dyad junctions (visualized with antibody against RyR) were still apparent, but distributed irregularly, and occupied only 42% of the cell volume fraction they occupied in wild-type IFMs. They were often larger than in wild type, sometimes extending over half the length of a sarcomere (Fig. 5J,L). Only a sparse T-system was seen between expanded and mislocalized jSR compartments (Fig. 5L).

Electron microscopy of *amph^{mut}* IFMs broadly supported the conclusions drawn from confocal analysis. Thin sections of IFMs confirmed that the gross structure of mutant muscles was equivalent to wild type. Although dyads were still observed, they were no longer regularly distributed along the length of the sarcomere (Fig. 5M). The increased size of dyads seen using RyR staining (Fig. 5J,L) was corroborated by the elongated T–jSR dyads often seen in thin sections (Fig. 5N). In agreement with the confocal observations, mutant T-tubules often had larger diameters (cf. Fig. 4H,I,J with Fig. 5O,P). The reduction and mislocalization of the T/SR components suggests that *amph^{mut}* flightlessness may be a consequence of a defect in excitation–contraction coupling.

Several lines of evidence indicate that the T-tubule defect is caused by loss of amphiphysin. First, the phenotype of transheterozygotes of *amph^{mut}* over the deficiency *Df(2R)vg-C*, assessed by actin and RyR staining of IFMs, was identical to that of *amph^{mut}* homozygotes (data not shown). Second, RyR distribution in *amph^{mut}/Sin3A⁻* IFMs was indistinguishable from wild type for all four *Sin3A* alleles tested (data not shown), showing that the phenotypes observed in muscles were not caused by

impairment of Sin3A function. Third, we achieved substantial rescue of the *amph^{mut}* T-tubule phenotype by driving expression of the *amphiphysin* cDNA, LD19810, under control of a heat-shock-inducible *GAL4* gene. Here we refer to *amph^{mut}* individuals that can express this cDNA as *amph^{rescue}* individuals (for details of genotypes and heat shock induction, see Materials and Methods). In contrast to *amph^{mut}* flies, which were flightless and possessed a disrupted T–SR system (Fig. 6D,F), almost all *amph^{rescue}* individuals could fly, and they exhibited varying degrees of recovery of the T-system (Fig. 6A–C,E). All showed amphiphysin staining in a reticular pattern around individual myofibrils, which colocalized mostly with that of DLG. Although some flies had almost complete rescue of the T–SR defects (Fig. 6), many individuals had only partial rescue, in which the degree of amphiphysin branching and its ordered spacing midway between the M and Z lines was highly variable (data not shown). Interestingly, even when rescue of T-system morphology was only partial, most individuals could still fly, suggesting that the precisely ordered spacing of the T-system is not absolutely essential for flight.

Tubulation abilities of Drosophila amphiphysin and rat amphiphysin 2

As the N terminus of rat amphiphysin 1 has the ability to tubulate lipids in vitro (Takei et al. 1999), we tested whether rat Amph 2-6 and *Drosophila* amphiphysin also had this ability. Both full-length Amph 2-6 and the *Drosophila* amphiphysin N-terminal domain readily promoted the formation of tubular projections from the surface of liposomes within the time necessary for their preparation for electron microscopy (Fig. 7). The amphiphysin protein forms the scaffold for these lipid projections. The diameters of the T-tubules in IFM electron micrographs (Fig. 4H–J) are, on average, wider than the projections generated in vitro from Folch lipids (Fig. 7B,C). This difference may be a consequence of the diameter in vivo being governed by different lipid contents, as well as by additional proteins present in T-tubule projections, such as DLG. In conclusion, both rat Amph 2-6 and *Drosophila* amphiphysin have the potential to initiate tubule extensions of lipid membranes similar to those seen within the reticular T-tubule network of muscles.

Discussion*Drosophila amphiphysin has no essential role in synaptic vesicle endocytosis*

Taken together, our results suggest that amphiphysin has no essential role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis in *Drosophila*. First, *Drosophila* amphiphysin lacks motifs for binding to clathrin, fails to bind either vertebrate or *Drosophila* clathrin, and does not interact strongly with *Drosophila* dynamin. Second, at the larval NMJ, the protein is not detected on or around synaptic vesicles, and *amph* mutants have little if any discernible defect in synaptic transmission even during prolonged stimula-

Figure 5. Organization of the excitation-contraction coupling machinery of muscles is disrupted in amphiphysin mutants. Confocal sections showing amphiphysin (Amph, green) and Discs-large (DLG, red) labeling in larval body wall muscles (A–D) and adult IFMs (E–H) from *amph*⁺ (A–C, E–G) and *amph*^{mut} (D, H) homozygotes. Arrow in panel H indicates a longitudinal mutant T-tubule with increased diameter. Double staining of homozygous *amph*⁺ (I) and *amph*^{mut} (J) IFMs for Ryanodine receptor (RyR, green) and actin (red). Here, closed arrowheads indicate dyads located in longitudinal sections through the center of a myofibril, and open arrowheads indicate dyads situated in longitudinal sections through the peripheral surface of myofibrils. Double staining of homozygous *amph*⁺ (K) and *amph*^{mut} (L) IFMs for RyR (green) and DLG (red). Bar in L (panels A–L), 5 μ m. Insets in panels A, E, and K are magnified further by a factor of 1.8. (M–P) Electron micrographs of longitudinal sections through homozygous *amph*^{mut} IFMs. Longitudinal sections through the center of a myofibril showing (M) a dyad mislocalized to the Z band, and (N) an elongated dyad extending half the length of the sarcomere, identified by the RyR-containing density on the surface of the jSR. Longitudinal sections through the peripheral surface of a myofibril showing elongated dyads extending into (O) a transverse or (P) transverse and longitudinal T-tubule projections that have greater than normal diameters. The myofibril (myo) is highlighted in panel P. Bar in O (for panels M–P), 500 nm. All IFMs shown are dorsolongitudinal IFMs.

T-tubule defects in *amphiphysin* mutants

Figure 6. Expression of an *amphiphysin* cDNA rescues disruption of the T-SR system in *amphiphysin* mutants. Confocal sections of *amphiphysin* (Amph, green) and Discs-large (DLG, red) double-labeling (A–D), and of Ryanodine-receptor (RyR, green) and Discs-large (DLG, red) double-labeling (E,F), in IFMs of *amph^mut* flies that express cDNA LD19810 under control of an hs-GAL4 construct (*amph^rescue*, A–C,E) and *amph^mut* control flies (D,F) that do not express this cDNA (for details of genotypes, see Materials and Methods). Bar, 5 μ m. All individuals were raised at 25°C and subjected to a daily heat shock of 37°C for 30 min throughout development.

tion, suggesting that synaptic vesicle recycling is largely if not entirely intact. Third, *amph* mutants were viable and fertile, suggesting no gross impairment of endocytosis in nonneuronal cells. The lack of endocytic mutant phenotypes is unlikely to be owing to gene redundancy as only one *amphiphysin* gene is known in the *Drosophila* genome.

In contrast to *Drosophila*, vertebrate *amphiphysin* is localized on or around synaptic vesicles and binds to multiple endocytic proteins, and dominant-negative forms produce severe endocytic phenotypes. These results provide evidence that vertebrate *amphiphysin* plays an important role in endocytosis, cooperating with dynamin in the vesicle scission step. Our results do not contradict an endocytic function for vertebrate *amphiphysin*, but rather suggest that this function is less highly conserved than that of dynamin. In *Drosophila* nerve terminals, alternative proteins like Dap160, which binds *Drosophila* dynamin and other endocytic proteins, could fulfill functions such as dynamin recruitment that are proposed to be mediated by *amphiphysin* in vertebrates.

Mutations affecting the yeast *amphiphysin* homologs Rvs161p and Rvs167p cause defects in both endocytosis and the actin cytoskeleton (Munn et al. 1995). However, interpretation of the role of these gene products in endocytosis is complicated first by their effects on the actin cytoskeleton, as actin mutations also have endocytic defects (Munn et al. 1995), and second by the fact that clathrin and dynamin have not been reported as binding partners of Rvs161p or Rvs167p (Bon et al. 2000). Alternatively, lipid tubulation properties of the N-terminal domain of *amphiphysin* might represent a more ancient function of *amphiphysin* than its interactions with clathrin and dynamin, as this domain is conserved in yeast, *Caenorhabditis*, *Drosophila*, and mammalian *amphiphysins*. This function may have been adapted to both endocytic and nonendocytic roles. Indeed, *amphiphysin* may also have nonendocytic roles in vertebrates; the properties of *Drosophila* *amphiphysin* are similar to those of a muscle-specific splice variant of vertebrate *amphiphysin* 2, which also lacks a clathrin-binding site and is probably localized on T-tubules (Butler et al. 1997).

Figure 7. Tubulation of liposomes by rat-Amph 2-6 and a *Drosophila* *amphiphysin* N-terminal domain. Folch liposomes show tubule protrusions in the presence of *amphiphysin* proteins. Undecorated Folch liposomes (A) were partially tubulated by addition of either (B) full-length vertebrate Amph 2-6 or (C) an N-terminal *Drosophila* *amphiphysin* domain (d-Amph N). Tubule protrusions formed by full-length vertebrate Amph 2-6 had wider apparent diameters than those of d-Amph N owing to the use of full-length Amph 2-6 protein, in contrast to just the N-terminal domain of *Drosophila* *amphiphysin*. Bar, 100 nm.

Role of amphiphysin in T-tubule organization

Comparison of the reticular localization of amphiphysin seen using confocal microscopy, with electron microscopy of the T-SR system, suggests that *Drosophila* amphiphysin is localized on muscle T-tubules. As vertebrate amphiphysin 2 is also found on muscle T-tubules, this suggests a conserved function for amphiphysin on these invaginations of the plasma membrane. Indeed, in flies that lack amphiphysin, T-SR junctions and T-tubule projections were greatly reduced in number and generally mislocalized, and remaining T-SR junctions were often larger than normal. Therefore, amphiphysin is essential for organization and normal morphology of the T-system.

We can envisage a number of ways in which amphiphysin might achieve this. First, the N-terminal portion of amphiphysin can tubulate lipids, and such an activity might contribute to T-tubule formation or stabilization. Although some T-tubules can still form even in the absence of amphiphysin, the reduction in transverse elements of the T-system means that amphiphysin might play a role in tubule branching, either alone or in cooperation with other proteins. Second, amphiphysin might have a cytoskeletal role in anchoring transverse T-tubules close to the myofibril, approximately midway between the Z-line and the M-line. The yeast amphiphysin homologs interact with a number of components of the actin cytoskeleton (Bon et al. 2000), and similar interactions of amphiphysin could account for both the regular positioning of the transverse elements of the T-system in wild-type flies and the slightly looser arrangement of myofibrils in larval body wall muscles in the mutant. Third, the altered organization of T-tubules and T-SR junctions suggests that amphiphysin plays a role in organizing the protein components of T-tubules. Vertebrate T-tubules contain several cell adhesion and associated cytoskeletal proteins (Tuvia et al. 1999) and have subdomains that are defined by the presence of different membrane proteins (Scriven et al. 2000). Surprisingly, we also detected DLG protein on T-tubules (Fig. 5) in domains that partially overlap with those of amphiphysin expression. DLG and its vertebrate homologs, such as PSD-95, play an important role in localizing channels and cell adhesion proteins at synapses (Dimitratos et al. 1999), and it could play an analogous role in T-tubules. These models of amphiphysin function are not mutually exclusive. Testing them will involve addressing questions such as the interactions that localize T-tubules to the middle of the M-Z interval, the binding partners of the SH3 domain of *Drosophila* amphiphysin if they do not include dynamin, and the contribution of other proteins to morphology of the T-system. One protein of potential relevance might have been caveolin, as the initial stages of vertebrate T-tubule formation may be analogous to caveola formation, and caveolin 3 is found on vertebrate T-tubules (Carozzi et al. 2000). However, we can detect no caveolin homolog in the *Drosophila* genome.

Implications for muscle function and pathology

A predicted consequence of disrupted T-system would be

altered spatial and temporal dynamics of calcium flux in the cytoplasm. Elevations in calcium concentration following muscle depolarization are first seen at discrete regions before the calcium gradients dissipate (Monck et al. 1994), and alterations in calcium dynamics might reduce the extent, speed, and synchronization of muscle contraction. Although this would not entirely block muscle contraction, it would probably interfere with the rapid coordinated cycles of contraction and relaxation of IFMs.

Given the localization of vertebrate amphiphysin 2 on T-tubules (Butler et al. 1997), mutations in human amphiphysin 2 might be implicated in myopathies that are associated with defects in excitation-contraction coupling. Experimentally induced congestive heart failure can lead to a disorganization and reduction in number of T-tubules in cardiac myocytes (He et al. 2001). Furthermore, the human conditions of malignant hyperthermia and central core disease have been linked to mutations affecting other components of excitation-contraction coupling, RyR or the L-type calcium channel (MacLennan 2000). It is possible that myopathies that map to other loci might involve amphiphysin. The phenotypes of mice deficient in amphiphysin 2 should help clarify this question.

In conclusion, our results shed new light on the role of amphiphysin within a broader physiological and phylogenetic context. The use of *Drosophila* as a model organism offers not only the advantage of powerful genetics and functional analysis, but allows novel nonendocytic roles of amphiphysin to be studied in a situation where they are not masked by its endocytic functions.

Materials and methods

Genetics

Excisions of *EP(2)2175* were generated (O'Kane 1998) by crossing to a stock carrying the transposase insertion *P[ry⁺, Δ2-3]99B* (Robertson et al. 1988). Progeny of individuals that had lost the *w⁺* marker were used to make stocks that were screened for excisions by PCR and sequencing. The reverted excision site of *amph⁺* homozygotes was verified using primers 5'-GCGCGC TGCGTCTGG-3' (-1232 to -1218) and 5'-CCAAACAATATC GGCCCCACAC-3' (-182 to -203). The *amph²⁶* excision in homozygous flies was characterized using primers 5'-CACCAAA GGGTACATTGAGCTGCC-3' (-2510 to -2486) and 5'-GCAT CCGCAAGATGCTGTGTG-3' (1470 to 1450). All primers are numbered relative to the first nucleotide of the *amph* coding sequence. For complementation tests, *amph²⁶* homozygotes were crossed to *Sin3A⁻/CyO* mutant stocks, and non-curly progeny were assessed for *amph²⁶* mutant phenotypes. The four *Sin3A* mutant alleles used were *Sin3A^{ec4}*, *Sin3A^{xe374}* (Neufeld et al. 1998), *Sin3A^{k05415}* (Pennetta and Pauli 1998), and *Sin3A^{k08269}* (Liao et al. 2000), the latter two each harboring a *P*-insertion in the first intron of *Sin3A*. Transheterozygous *amph⁺* and *amph^{mut}* larvae were generated by crossing *amph⁺* and *amph²⁶* homozygotes, respectively, to *Df(2R)vg-C/CyO*, *Ubi-mycGFP* flies (flies carrying plasmid pUGH constructed by Jan Qiu [Brandeis University, Waltham, MA], mobilized onto *CyO* by Paolo d'Avino [University of Cambridge, UK]) and selecting the non-GFP-expressing larval progeny.

For rescue of mutant phenotypes, expression of cDNA LD19810 (Razzaq et al. 2000), which had been cloned into the

GAL4-dependent expression vector pUAST (Brand and Perrimon 1993) and inserted on chromosome 3, was driven by a heat-shock-inducible GAL4 insertion, hs-GAL4 (a kind gift of T.L. Schwarz, Harvard University, Boston, MA). Flies heterozygous for *amph^{mut}* and carrying either LD19810 or hs-GAL4 over a third chromosome balancer were mated to each other, to generate homozygous *amph^{mut}* progeny that carried either both LD19810 and hs-GAL4 (*amph^{rescue}* individuals, which could express amphiphysin), or only one of these constructs (effectively *amph^{mut}*). The latter were used as nonexpressing controls in the rescue experiments. All progeny were raised at 25°C throughout development and given daily heat shocks for 30 min at 37°C. Rescue and control flies were then assessed for flight ability (by observing whether they flew away when walking in an open space) and T-system integrity.

Confocal markers and antibodies

A rabbit antibody, Ra29, raised against the *Drosophila* amphiphysin SH3 domain (residues 525–602), was used for all experiments, except those in Figure 5A–D, at 1:500 dilution. Rabbit anti-*Drosophila* amphiphysin antibody 9906 (raised against residues 523–602 of the *Drosophila* amphiphysin SH3 domain and affinity-purified) was used at 1:250 for Figure 5A–D, and gave the same results as Ra29 in all immunomicroscopy. Monoclonal mouse anti-CSP (Reichmuth et al. 1995) was used at 1:250, polyclonal guinea pig anti-DLG (Woods et al. 1997) at 1:500, anti-RyR (Seok et al. 1992) at 1:20, and Texas-Red phalloidin (Molecular Probes) at 1:150 dilution.

Immunocytochemistry

Third instar larval dissections (Atwood et al. 1993) and thoracic dissections of IFMs (Peckham et al. 1990) were performed as described. Tissues were dissected directly in fixative (4% formaldehyde in PBS: 10 mM phosphate buffer, 2.7 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl at pH 7.4) for 20 min. All subsequent washes and incubations were performed in blocking buffer (0.3% Triton X-100, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, 2% donkey serum in PBS). Samples were blocked in four changes of buffer for 30 min each, then incubated at 4°C overnight in primary antibody. Samples were washed, incubated in donkey secondary antibody (Jackson laboratories, 1:250) for 60 min, washed, mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories), and visualized using a Bio-Rad Radiance confocal microscope. To estimate the volume fraction of muscle cells occupied by RyR immunoreactivity, a quadratic lattice was overlaid on 10 randomly selected fields of view from 5 wild-type and 5 mutant IFMs, and the number of points landing on immunoreactive elements was divided by the total number of points on the IFM.

Ultrastructural analysis

Adult IFMs were prepared essentially as in O'Donnell and Bernstein (1988), with modifications taken from Forbes et al. (1977). Adults aged 3–5 d were dissected in buffer (5 mM HEPES, 128 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 36 mM sucrose at pH 7.2), and the half-thoraces were immediately transferred into fixative (0.1 M sodium phosphate, 3% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, 2 mM sodium EGTA, 0.1 M sucrose at pH 7.2) and incubated at 4°C overnight on a blood mixer. After 60 min in wash buffer (0.1 M sodium cacodylate, 0.1 M sucrose at pH 7.2), samples were postfixed at room temperature for 60 min in 1% osmium tetroxide in wash buffer supplemented with 0.8% potassium ferrocyanide. Following a further 60 min in wash

buffer, samples were dehydrated through a graded acetone series and then embedded in Spurr resin.

Electrophysiology

Wandering third instar larvae were dissected in HL3 (Stewart et al. 1994) containing 1.8 mM CaCl₂ unless otherwise stated. Nerves innervating the body muscle walls were cut near the ventral ganglion and stimulated using a suction electrode and isolated pulse stimulator Digitimer DS2A (constant current modification), with a current double that needed to initiate a compound response. All recordings were made intracellularly in muscle cell 6, abdominal segment 2 or 3, at ambient room temperature (–26°C), using microelectrodes filled with 3 M KCl that had tip resistances of 20–30 MΩ. In 1.8 mM extracellular Ca²⁺, resting membrane potentials were -71.4 ± 1.6 mV ($n = 20$) and -73.5 ± 1.4 mV ($n = 20$; $P > 0.05$) for *amph⁺* and *amph^{mut}* larvae, respectively. Data were acquired using an Axopatch 200B amplifier, filtered at 1 kHz, and digitized at 10 kHz before being stored and analyzed with locally written software (Labview 5.0, National Instruments) and acquired with an AT-MIO-16X DAQ board (National Instruments), or using pClamp8.02 and a Digidata 1320A DAQ board (Axon). Histograms were constructed by averaging 50 separate events stimulated at 1 Hz from an individual muscle cell and then calculating the mean response from at least 8 larvae per line. In the rundown experiment, temperatures were regulated using a TC-10 temperature controlled stage (Dagan); the permissive temperature used for *shibire^{ts1}* recordings was 19°C, and the restrictive temperature was 32°C. To facilitate comparisons of data between different cells in the rundown experiment, the first 10 events in a train were averaged and all events were normalized to this value. A mean of normalized evoked responses was made for every 100 stimulations applied to each larva. Spontaneous release events were recorded for 120 sec prior to any electrical stimulation, the first 150 individual nonoverlapping events were measured for each cell, and means from 20 individuals were then averaged to generate the histograms. Mini frequencies were calculated for the full recording period.

Protein expression and binding studies

Domains of amphiphysin were expressed as N- or C-terminal GST fusions, expressed in BL-21(DE3) bacteria, and purified on glutathione agarose beads (Sigma). The constructs used were rat-Amph 2-1 N (residues 1–422), rat-Amph 2-6, *Drosophila*-Amph N (residues 1–357), *Drosophila*-Amph SH3 (residues 525–602), rat-Amph 2 SH3 (residues 494–588 of Amph 2-1), and *Drosophila*-DAP160 SH3a,b,c,d (Roos and Kelly 1998). The rat amphiphysin splice variants are described in Wigge et al. (1997a). The cloned rat-Amph 2-6 is either identical, or very similar, to the splice form(s) of Amph 2 expressed in muscle. This was determined by comparing the mobilities of the expressed and endogenous proteins on PAGE, and also by sequencing of PCR products from a muscle cDNA library. The muscle form was missing amino acids 336–483, which contain the clathrin-binding motif. Amphiphysin constructs (20 μg of each) were used for affinity interaction experiments (Owen et al. 1998) with tissue extracts (at ~1 mg/mL) prepared by homogenization in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, and 1:1000 dilution of protease inhibitor cocktail Set III (Calbiochem). Following SDS-PAGE and transfer onto nitrocellulose, binding partners were assessed by immunoblotting.

Liposome preparation

Liposomes were prepared as described in Stowell et al. (1999). Folch fraction I lipids (Sigma) were suspended at 1 mg/mL in 10

mM HEPES (pH 7.4) and extruded through a 0.1- μ m filter. Amphiphysin was added to ~0.1 mg/mL, and lipid binding was terminated after 5 min by applying 10 μ L to a carbon-coated 350-mesh grid, which was then blotted and stained with 0.5% uranyl acetate (Stowell et al. 1999).

Acknowledgments

We thank Janet Powell of the University of Cambridge Multi-Imaging Centre for EM preparations, and Chris Huang for helpful insights into muscle structure. We also thank Frances Brodsky, Erich Buchner, Gerhard Meissner, Jack Roos, and Dan Woods for antibodies; Reg Kelly and Jack Roos for the GST-Dap160 construct; and Daniel Pauli, Paolo d'Avino, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, and the Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project for fly stocks. We are grateful to Chris Doe for support of A. Zelhof's work, and to Kathryn Lilley for facilitating completion of this study. The Cambridge Multi-Imaging Center was established with Wellcome Trust grant 039398/Z/93/Z. I.M.R. is an MRC Career Development Award Fellow. This work was supported by Wellcome Trust grant 052625 to N.J.G., A.P.J., and C.J.O'K.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Atwood, H., Govind, C., and Wu, C. 1993. Differential ultrastructure of synaptic terminals on ventral longitudinal abdominal muscles in *Drosophila* larvae. *J. Neurobiol.* **24**: 1008–1024.
- Block, B., Leung, A., Campbell, K., and Franzini-Armstrong, C. 1988. Structural evidence for direct interaction between molecular components of the transverse tubule/sarcoplasmic reticulum junction in skeletal muscle. *J. Cell Biol.* **107**: 2587–2600.
- Bon, E., Recordon-Navarro, P., and Durrrens, P. 2000. A network of proteins around Rvs167p and Rvs161p, two proteins related to the yeast actin cytoskeleton. *Yeast* **16**: 1229–1241.
- Brand, A.H. and Perrimon, N. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**: 401–415.
- Butler, M.H., David, C., Ochoa, G.-C., Freyberg, Z., Daniell, L., Grabs, D., Cremona, O., and De Camilli, P. 1997. Amphiphysin II (SH3; BIN1), a member of the Amphiphysin/Rvs family, is concentrated in the cortical cytomatrix of axon initial segments and nodes of Ranvier in brain and around T-tubules in skeletal muscle. *J. Cell Biol.* **137**: 1355–1367.
- Carozzi, A., Ikonen, E., Lindsay, M., and Parton, R. 2000. Role of cholesterol in developing T-tubules. Analogous mechanisms of T-tubule and caveolae biogenesis. *Traffic* **1**: 326–341.
- Crossley, A. 1978. Morphology and development of the *Drosophila* muscular system. In *The genetics and biology of Drosophila*, Vol. 2B (eds. M. Ashburner and T. Wright), pp. 499–560. Academic Press, San Francisco, CA.
- David, C., McPherson, P.S., Mundigl, O., and de Camilli, P. 1996. A role of amphiphysin in synaptic vesicle endocytosis suggested by its binding to dynamin in nerve terminals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 331–335.
- Delgado, R., Maureira, C., Oliva, C., Kidokoro, Y., and Labarca, P. 2000. Size of vesicle pools, rates of mobilization and recycling at neuromuscular synapses of a *Drosophila* mutant, *shibire*. *Neuron* **28**: 941–953.
- Dell Angelica, E., Klumperman, J., Stoorvogel, W., and Bonifacio, J. 1998. Association of the AP3 adaptor complex with clathrin. *Science* **280**: 431–434.
- Dimitratos, S.D., Woods, D.F., Stathakis, D.G., and Bryant, P.J. 1999. Signaling pathways are focused at specialized regions of the plasma membrane by scaffolding proteins of the MAGUK family. *BioEssays* **21**: 912–921.
- Dubois, L., Lecourtois, M., Alexandre, C., Hirst, E., and Vincent, J.-P. 2001. Regulated endocytic routing modulates wingless signaling in *Drosophila* embryos. *Cell* **105**: 613–624.
- Entchev, E.V., Schwabedissen, A., and González-Gaitán, M. 2000. Gradient formation of the TGF- β homolog Dpp. *Cell* **103**: 981–991.
- Estes, P.S., Roos, J., van der Bliek, A., Kelly, R.B., Krishnan, K.S., and Ramaswami, M. 1996. Traffic of dynamin within individual *Drosophila* synaptic boutons relative to compartment-specific markers. *J. Neurosci.* **16**: 5443–5456.
- Fergestad, T., Davis, W.S., and Broadie, K. 1999. The stoned proteins regulate synaptic vesicle recycling in the presynaptic terminal. *J. Neurosci.* **19**: 5847–5860.
- Forbes, M.S., Plantholt, B.A., and Sperelakis, N. 1977. Cytochemical staining procedures for sarcotubular systems of muscle: Modifications and applications. *J. Ultrastruct. Res.* **60**: 306–327.
- He, J., Conklin, M., Foell, J., Wolff, M., Haworth, R., Coronado, R., and Kamp, T. 2001. Reduction in density of transverse tubules and L-type calcium channels in canine tachycardia-induced heart failure. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **49**: 298–307.
- Lahey, T., Gorczyca, M., Jia, X., and Budnik, V. 1994. The *Drosophila* tumor suppressor gene *Dlg* is required for normal synaptic bouton structure. *Neuron* **13**: 823–825.
- Li, J. and Schwarz, T.L. 1999. Genetic evidence for an equilibrium between docked and undocked vesicles. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. (London) B Biol. Sci.* **354**: 299–306.
- Liao, G.-C., Rehm, E.J., and Rubin, G.M. 2000. Insertion site preferences of the *P* transposable element in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 3347–3351.
- Lichte, B., Veh, R.W., Meyer, H.E., and Kilimann, M.W. 1992. Amphiphysin, a novel protein associated with synaptic vesicles. *EMBO J.* **11**: 2521–2530.
- Liu, S.H., Towler, M.C., Chen, E., Chen, C.-Y., Song, W., Apodaca, G., and Brodsky, F.M. 2001. A novel clathrin homolog that co-distributes with cytoskeletal components functions in the trans-Golgi network. *EMBO J.* **20**: 272–284.
- MacLennan, D. 2000. Ca^{2+} signalling and muscle disease. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **267**: 5291–5297.
- Mastrogriacomo, A., Parsons, S.M., Zampighi, G.A., Jenden, D.J., Umbach, J.A., and Gundersen, C.B. 1994. Cysteine string proteins: A potential link between synaptic vesicles and presynaptic Ca^{2+} channels. *Science* **263**: 981–982.
- McPherson, P.S., Garcia, E.P., Slepnev, V.I., David, C., Zhang, X., Grabs, D., Sossin, W.S., Bauerfeind, R., Nemoto, Y., and De Camilli, P. 1996. A presynaptic inositol-5-phosphatase. *Nature* **379**: 353–357.
- Monck, J., Robinson, I., Escobar, A., Vergar, J., and Fernandez, J.M. 1994. Pulsed laser imaging of rapid Ca^{2+} gradients in excitable cells. *Biophys. J.* **67**: 505–514.
- Munn, A.L., Stevenson, B.J., Geli, M.I., and Riezman, H. 1995. *end5*, *end6* and *end7*: Mutations that cause actin delocalisation and block the internalisation step of endocytosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **6**: 1721–1742.
- Neufeld, T.P., Tang, A.H., and Rubin, G.M. 1998. A genetic screen to identify components of the sina signaling pathway in *Drosophila* eye development. *Genetics* **148**: 277–286.
- O'Donnell, P.T. and Bernstein, S.I. 1988. Molecular and ultra-

- structural defects in a *Drosophila* myosin heavy-chain mutant. *J. Cell Biol.* **107**: 2601–2612.
- O’Kane, C.J. 1998. Enhancer traps. In *Drosophila: A practical approach* (ed. D.B. Roberts), pp. 131–178. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Owen, D.J., Wigge, P., Vallis, Y., Moore, J.D.A., Evans, P.R., and McMahon, H.T. 1998. Crystal structure of the amphiphysin-2 SH3 domain and its role in the prevention of dynamin ring formation. *EMBO J.* **17**: 5273–5285.
- Peckham, M., Molloy, J., and Sparrow, J. 1990. Physiological properties of the dorsal longitudinal flight muscle and the tergal depressor of the trochanter muscle of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Muscle Res. Cell. Motil.* **11**: 203–215.
- Pennetta, G. and Pauli, D. 1998. The *Drosophila Sin3* gene encodes a widely distributed transcription factor essential for embryonic viability. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **208**: 531–536.
- Ramjaun, A.R., Micheva, K.D., Bouchelet, I., and McPherson, P.S. 1997. Identification and characterisation of a nerve terminal-enriched amphiphysin isoform. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 16700–16706.
- Ramjaun, A.R., Philie, J., de Heuvel, E., and McPherson, P.S. 1999. The N terminus of amphiphysin II mediates dimerization and plasma membrane targeting. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**: 19785–19791.
- Razzaq, A., Su, Y., Mehren, J.E., Mizuguchi, K., Jackson, A.P., Gay, N.J., and O’Kane, C.J. 2000. Characterisation of the gene for *Drosophila* amphiphysin. *Gene* **241**: 167–174.
- Reichmuth, C., Becker, S., Benz, M., Debel, K., Reisch, D., Heimbeck, G., Hoffbauer, A., Klagges, B., Pflugfelder, G.O., and Buchner, E. 1995. The *sap47* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* codes for a novel conserved neuronal protein associated with synaptic terminals. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* **32**: 45–54.
- Robertson, H.M., Preston, C.R., Phillis, R.W., Johnson-Schlitz, D.M., Benz, W.K., and Engels, W.R. 1988. A stable genomic source of *P* element transposase in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **118**: 461–470.
- Roos, J. and Kelly, R.B. 1998. Dap160, a neural-specific Eps15 homology and multiple SH3 domain-containing protein that interacts with *Drosophila* dynamin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**: 19108–19119.
- Sakamuro, D., Elliott, K., Wechsler-Reya, R., and Prendergast, G. 1996. BIN1 is a novel MYC interacting protein with features of a tumour suppressor. *Nat. Genet.* **14**: 66–77.
- Scriven, D., Dan, P., and Moore, E. 2000. Distribution of proteins implicated in the excitation-contraction coupling in cardiac myocytes. *Biophys. J.* **79**: 2682–2691.
- Seok, J., Xu, L., Kramarcy, N., Sealock, R., and Meissner, G. 1992. The 30-S lobster skeletal muscle Ca^{2+} release channel (ryanodine receptor) has functional properties distinct from the mammalian channel proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**: 15893–15901.
- Seugnet, L., Simpson, P., and Haenlin, M. 1997. Requirement for dynamin during Notch signaling in *Drosophila* neurogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **192**: 585–598.
- Shafiq, S. 1964. An electron microscopical study of the innervation and sarcoplasmic reticulum of the fibrillar flight muscle of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Quart. J. Micro. Sci.* **105**: 1–6.
- Shupliakov, O., Löw, P., Grabs, D., Gad, H., Chen, H., David, C., Takei, K., De Camilli, P., and Brodin, L. 1997. Synaptic vesicle endocytosis impaired by disruption of dynamin–SH3 domain interactions. *Science* **276**: 259–263.
- Slepnev, V.I., Ochoa, G.-C., Butler, M.H., Grabs, D., and De Camilli, P. 1998. Role of phosphorylation in regulation of the assembly of endocytic coat complexes. *Science* **281**: 821–824.
- Slepnev, V.I., Ochoa, G.-C., Butler, M.H., and De Camilli, P. 2000. Tandem arrangement of the clathrin and AP-2 binding domains in amphiphysin I and disruption of clathrin coat function by amphiphysin fragments comprising these sites. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**: 17583–17589.
- Smith, D. 1961. The structure of insect fibrillar muscle. *J. Biochem. Phys. Biochem. Cyt. (Suppl.)* **10**: 123–158.
- Smith, D.S. and Sacktor, B. 1970. Disposition of membranes and the entry of haemolymph-borne ferritin in flight muscle fibres of the fly *Phormia regina*. *Tissue and Cell* **2**: 355–374.
- Stewart, B., Atwood, H., Renger, J., Wang, J., and Wu, C. 1994. Improved stability of *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular preparations in haemolymph-like physiological solutions. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **175**: 179–191.
- Stimson, D.T., Estes, P., Smith, M., Kelly, L.E., and Ramaswami, M. 1998. A product of the *Drosophila stoned* locus regulates neurotransmitter release. *J. Neurosci.* **18**: 9638–9649.
- Stowell, M.H.B., Marks, B., Wigge, P., and McMahon, H.T. 1999. Nucleotide-dependent conformational changes in dynamin: Evidence for a mechanochemical molecular spring. *Nature Cell Biol.* **1**: 27–32.
- Takei, K., Slepnev, V.I., Haucke, V., and De Camilli, P. 1999. Functional partnership between amphiphysin and dynamin in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **1**: 33–39.
- ter Haar, E., Harrison, S., and Kirchhausen, T. 2000. Peptide-in-groove interactions link target proteins to the β -propeller of clathrin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 1096–1100.
- Thomas, U., Ebisch, S., Gorczyca, M., Koh, Y., Hough, C., Woods, D., Gundelfinger, E., and Budnik, V. 2000. Synaptic targeting and localization of Discs-large in a stepwise process controlled by different domains of the protein. *Curr. Biol.* **10**: 1108–1117.
- Tuvia, S., Buhusi, M., Davis, L., Reedy, M., and Bennett, V. 1999. Ankyrin-B is required for intracellular sorting of structurally diverse Ca^{2+} homeostasis proteins. *J. Cell Biol.* **147**: 995–1007.
- Vallis, Y., Wigge, P., Marks, B., Evans, P.R., and McMahon, H.T. 1999. Importance of the pleckstrin homology domain of dynamin in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 257–260.
- Vieira, A.V., Lamaze, C., and Schmid, S.L. 1996. Control of EGF receptor signaling via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Science* **274**: 2086–2089.
- Wechsler-Reya, R., Sakamuro, D., Zhang, J., Duhadaway, J., and Prendergast, G.C. 1997. Structural analysis of the human BIN1 gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 31453–31458.
- Wigge, P., Köhler, K., Vallis, Y., Doyle, C.A., Owen, D., Hunt, S.P., and McMahon, H.T. 1997a. Amphiphysin heterodimers: Potential role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **8**: 2003–2015.
- Wigge, P., Vallis, Y., and McMahon, H.T. 1997b. Inhibition of receptor-mediated endocytosis by the amphiphysin SH3 domain. *Curr. Biol.* **7**: 554–560.
- Woods, D., Wu, J., and Bryant, P. 1997. Localization of proteins to the apico-lateral junctions of *Drosophila* epithelia. *Dev. Genet.* **20**: 111–118.
- Zhang, B., Koh, Y.H., Beckstead, R.B., Budnik, V., Ganetzky, B., and Bellen, H.J. 1998. Synaptic vesicle size and number are regulated by a clathrin adaptor protein required for endocytosis. *Neuron* **21**: 1465–1475.

Amphiphysin is necessary for organization of the excitation–contraction coupling machinery of muscles, but not for synaptic vesicle endocytosis in *Drosophila*

Azam Razzaq, Iain M. Robinson, Harvey T. McMahon, et al.

Genes Dev. 2001, **15**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.207801](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.207801)

References

This article cites 58 articles, 27 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/15/22/2967.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).