mus304 encodes a novel DNA damage checkpoint protein required during *Drosophila* development

Michael H. Brodsky,^{1,4} Jeff J. Sekelsky,^{2,3} Garson Tsang,¹ R. Scott Hawley,² and Gerald M. Rubin¹

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 USA; ²Section of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California, Davis, California 95616 USA

Checkpoints block cell cycle progression in eukaryotic cells exposed to DNA damaging agents. We show that several *Drosophila* homologs of checkpoint genes, *mei-41*, *grapes*, and *14-3-3ε*, regulate a DNA damage checkpoint in the developing eye. We have used this assay to show that the mutagen-sensitive gene *mus304* is also required for this checkpoint. *mus304* encodes a novel coiled-coil domain protein, which is targeted to the cytoplasm. Similar to *mei-41*, *mus304* is required for chromosome break repair and for genomic stability. *mus304* animals also exhibit three developmental defects, abnormal bristle morphology, decreased meiotic recombination, and arrested embryonic development. We suggest that these phenotypes reflect distinct developmental consequences of a single underlying checkpoint defect. Similar mechanisms may account for the puzzling array of symptoms observed in humans with mutations in the ATM tumor suppressor gene.

[Key Words: Cell cycle; checkpoint; DNA damage; *Drosophila*; development]

Received December 2, 1999; revised version accepted January 31, 2000.

Signal-transduction pathways known as checkpoints help eukaryotic cells recognize and react to DNA damage. Both budding yeast and fission yeast respond to DNA damage by delaying cell cycle progression (Weinert and Hartwell 1988; Kitazono and Matsumoto 1998; Rhind and Russell 1998; Weinert 1998). This delay provides time for DNA repair prior to replication in S phase or to chromosome segregation in M phase. These checkpoints are initiated by a group of sensor proteins that may directly interact with damaged DNA. These sensor proteins help to activate a kinase of the ATM protein kinase family that is closely related to the human tumor suppressor gene *ATM* (Savitsky et al. 1995). Downstream components, such as the Chk1 and Cds1 kinases of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, then act on regulators of the cell cycle.

The Cdc2 kinase is the best characterized of the checkpoint targets (Weinert 1997). Dephosphorylation of Cdc2 by Cdc25 and association of Cdc2 with a cyclin subunit are both required for the G₂/M transition of the cell cycle. In fission yeast, the Chk1 kinase acts with the 14-3-3 protein Rad24 to inactivate Cdc25 and help prevent Cdc2 dephosphorylation (Lopez-Girona et al.

1999). In mammalian cells, both Cdc2 phosphorylation as well as the subcellular localization of Cyclin B are regulated by a DNA damage checkpoint (Jin et al. 1998; Toyoshima et al. 1998).

Whereas yeast checkpoint mutants typically exhibit sensitivity to agents that damage DNA or inhibit replication, disruption of checkpoint function in multicellular organisms may have more pleiotropic effects. Mutation of the human checkpoint gene *ATM* is responsible for the inherited syndrome ataxia telangiectasia (A-T) (Savitsky et al. 1995; Lavin and Shiloh 1997). *ATM* regulates DNA damage checkpoints at multiple points in the cell cycle. Some of the targets of *ATM* during checkpoint control include p53 and the Chk1 and Chk2 kinases (Westphal 1997; Matsuoka et al. 1998). Among the diverse symptoms of A-T, radiation sensitivity and a high incidence of lymphomas are easily accounted for by a cellular defect in responding to DNA damage; however, other features, including neurodegeneration and telangiectasias (dilated blood vessels) are not clearly related to DNA repair defects (Hawley and Friend 1996; Morgan and Kastan 1997; Rotman and Shiloh 1998; Brown and Chakravarti 1999). This range of symptoms may indicate that *ATM* acts in additional signal-transduction pathways or may reflect aspects of physiology that are unusually sensitive to checkpoint defects.

Drosophila homologs of several yeast checkpoint genes have been identified by cloning meiotic and developmental mutants. *mei-41* was identified as a gene re-

³Present address: Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3280 USA.

⁴Corresponding author.

E-MAIL brodsky@uclink4.berkeley.edu; FAX (510) 643-9947.

quired for normal meiosis and DNA repair (Baker and Carpenter 1972; Boyd et al. 1976). Molecular cloning of *mei-41* revealed homology to the human *ATM*, budding yeast *MEC1*, and fission yeast *rad3* checkpoint genes (Hari et al. 1995). *mei-41* is required for a DNA damage checkpoint in the *Drosophila* brain, indicating that *mei-41* is a functional *ATM* homolog (Hari et al. 1995). *grapes* (*grp*), a homolog of the *S. pombe* gene *chk1*, was identified as a maternal effect gene required for normal cell cycle progression during the nuclear divisions preceding cellularization of the embryo (Fogarty et al. 1994, 1997; Sibon et al. 1997; Su et al. 1999). *grp* and *mei-41* are both required in the embryo to delay mitosis following inhibition of DNA replication (Sibon et al. 1997, 1999). These studies provided the first evidence that checkpoint homologs play an essential role during *Drosophila* embryogenesis. In contrast, another *Drosophila* checkpoint homolog, *14-3-3ε*, was isolated as a component of the Ras signal-transduction pathway, but is not required for either viability or fertility (Chang and Rubin 1997).

In this study, we show that there is a DNA damage checkpoint in the developing *Drosophila* eye and that *mei-41*, *grp*, and *14-3-3ε* have defects in this checkpoint. We also describe a new checkpoint gene, *mus304*, identified from a collection of mutagen-sensitive mutants. *mus304* encodes a novel, cytoplasmic protein with a predicted coiled-coil domain. Genetic analysis indicates that *mus304* is not required for viability and acts in the *mei-41* pathway. *mus304* shares several phenotypes with *mei-41*, including defective chromosome break repair and a high level of genomic instability. Loss of zygotic *mus304* function leads to bristle defects and decreased meiotic recombination. Embryos from *mus304* mothers undergo a disruption in the early cell cycles leading to chromosome damage and a block in embryonic development. Our results indicate that a DNA damage checkpoint pathway containing *mus304* is required for genomic stability and several specific aspects of development.

Results

A DNA damage checkpoint in the developing eye

We examined a G₂/M DNA damage checkpoint in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Differentiation in the eye is particularly sensitive to disruptions in cell cycle control; even mild alterations in cell cycle gene function can result in a rough eye phenotype. The third instar eye disc is an epithelium with three populations of cells: postmitotic, dividing asynchronously, or dividing synchronously in response to a developmental signal (Wolff and Ready 1993; Bonini and Choi 1995). Mitotic cells can be identified by their condensed chromosomes, apical position in the epithelium, or by staining with an antibody to phospho-histone H3 (PH3) (Hendzel et al. 1997).

We determined the number of mitotic cells in untreated or irradiated eye discs. Approximately 100 mitotic cells were stained with the anti-PH3 antibody in a wild-type disc (Fig. 1A). One hour following X-irradiation,

Figure 1. A DNA damage checkpoint in wild-type and mutant imaginal discs. Larvae were either unirradiated (A,G,I) or irradiated with 4000 rads of X-rays (B–F, H–I, K–L). Discs were dissected and fixed 1 hr following irradiation. Histochemical staining with a mitotic-specific antibody reveals the number and distribution of mitotic cells. The genotype of each disc is indicated. Alleles are listed in Materials and methods. (A–I) Eye-antennal imaginal discs with anterior left and dorsal on top. In each disc, the round tissue on the left is the antennal disc and the oval tissue to the right is the eye disc. (J–L) Wing imaginal discs with the future notum to the left and the wing pouch to the right. (M) A time course of mitotic cells in irradiated wild-type and *mus304*¹/Df(3L)WR4 eye discs. Irradiation with 4000 rads was performed at time zero. Error bars, S.E.M..

very few cells were in M phase (Fig. 1B). Detection of mitotic cells by DAPI staining confirms that the irradiation-induced checkpoint blocks mitosis, not simply the PH3 epitope (not shown). The same experiments were carried out using *mei-41* animals. The number of mitotic cells was unchanged following irradiation of *mei-41* discs (Fig. 1C) indicating that the DNA damage checkpoint is inactive in these cells. We obtained similar results using the developing wing and legs for the checkpoint assay (data not shown). In unirradiated *mei-41* animals, the morphology of the eye disc as well as the adult eye is normal, indicating that this gene is not required for cell cycle progression.

grp and *14-3-3ε* help regulate this DNA damage checkpoint. In the absence of irradiation, *grp* and *14-3-3ε* animals exhibit normal external appearance, normal disc

morphology, and normal numbers of mitotic cells (not shown). Following irradiation of *grp* and 14-3-3 ϵ discs, the number of mitotic cells was greater than found in irradiated wild-type discs, but less than found in irradiated *mei-41* discs (Fig. 1D,E). In contrast, mutations in *mus309*, the *Drosophila* homolog of Ku p70 (Beall and Rio 1996), do not affect the checkpoint (Fig. 1F).

mus304 is required for the DNA damage checkpoint

We used the eye disc assay to identify a new checkpoint gene, *mus304*. In budding yeast, checkpoint genes were identified within collections of radiation-sensitive (rad) mutants (Weinert and Hartwell 1988, 1989). Previously, a collection of *Drosophila* DNA repair mutants was generated in screens for mutagen-sensitive mutants (Boyd et al. 1987). To identify new checkpoint mutants, we examined these mutants for a checkpoint defect (M.H. Brodsky and G.M. Rubin, unpubl.). We found that mutations in a locus designated *mutagen-sensitive 304*, abbreviated *mus304* (Boyd et al. 1981) disrupt the checkpoint.

We examined mitotic cells in untreated and irradiated *mus304* discs. We found that untreated *mus304* discs had a wild-type number and distribution of mitotic cells and normal disc morphology (Fig. 1G,M). Following irradiation, the number of mitotic cells was unchanged (Fig. 1H,M). A time course of mitotic cell number following irradiation demonstrated that the presence of mitotic cells in *mus304* discs is not a result of either a delayed onset of or a rapid recovery from cell cycle arrest (Fig. 1M). Thus, *mus304*, like *mei-41*, is essential for the G₂/M checkpoint in the developing eye.

Three alleles of *mus304* were isolated in the original mutagen sensitivity screens. On the basis of their sensitivity to mutagen exposure, the *mus304*¹ and *mus304*² alleles are stronger than *mus304*³. Consistent with this result, we found that *mus304*¹ (Fig. 1H) and *mus304*² exhibited a strong defect in the eye disc assay, whereas *mus304*³ (Fig. 1I) only showed a partial loss of the checkpoint. *mus304* was also required for DNA damage-induced cell cycle arrest in the wing disc (Fig. 1J–L), leg disc, and other imaginal discs (not shown) examined. Thus, similar to the other checkpoint mutants described above, *mus304* is required for the DNA damage checkpoint in all dividing larval cells we have examined.

We used a noncomplementation screen to identify three additional alleles of *mus304* with strong loss-of-function phenotypes (see Materials and Methods). Unlike the original alleles of *mus304*, these new alleles were isolated in a screen that does not require viability. However, all three new alleles (*mus304*⁴, *mus304*⁵, and *mus304*⁶) are homozygous viable, suggesting that zygotic expression of *mus304* is not required for viability. Consistent with this conclusion, several previous screens for recessive lethal or visible mutations in the genetic region including *mus304* failed to recover any alleles of *mus304* (Abbott and Lengyel 1991; Grether et al. 1995).

Genetic Interaction of *mus304* with *E(mus304)* and *mei-41*

mus304 adults have wild-type eyes (Fig. 2A,B), but animals homozygous for *mus304* and heterozygous for a spontaneous mutation in a second locus have rough eyes (Fig. 2C) and abnormal or missing bristles (not shown). This locus, *Enhancer of mus304* [*E(mus304)*] maps to cytological position 93D–F and is required for viability (M.H. Brodsky, unpubl.). Although the origin of the eye and bristle phenotypes are unclear, previous studies (for review, see Ashburner 1989) have reported that irradiation of developing *Drosophila* with sublethal doses of X-rays causes similar eye (Fig. 2F) and bristle phenotypes. The synthetic phenotype of *mus304* with *E(mus304)* indicates that the *Drosophila* eye is particularly sensitive to checkpoint defects in some genetic backgrounds.

We compared the *E(mus304)*-dependent rough eye phenotypes among *mus304* animals, *mei-41* animals, and *mei-41; mus304* double-mutant animals. In these experiments, we used genetic null alleles of *mei-41* and *mus304*. As with *mus304*, animals homozygous for *mei-41* mutations have wild-type eyes, whereas animals ho-

Figure 2. Rough eye phenotypes in checkpoint mutants. Scanning electron micrographs of adult male eyes are shown with anterior to the left and dorsal on top. (A) Wild type. (B) *mus304*¹/*mus304*². (C) *mus304*¹, +/*mus304*², *E(mus304)*. (D) *mei-41*^{29D}/Y; +/*E(mus304)*¹. (E) *41*^{29D}/Y; *mus304*¹, +/*mus304*², *E(mus304)*. (F) Wild type following irradiation with 2000 rads during third instar.

mozygous for *mei-41* and heterozygous for *E(mus304)* have rough eyes and missing bristles (Fig. 2D). If *mei-41* and *mus304* act in distinct pathways, the double-mutant phenotype is predicted to be greater than that seen for each single mutant. However, the eye phenotypes of animals with mutations in either *mus304* or *mei-41* were similar to that of animals with mutations in both genes (Fig. 2C–E). This result combined with the similarity of other *mei-41* and *mus304* phenotypes suggests that these genes act in the same genetic pathway.

mus304 is required for DNA repair and genomic stability

Checkpoint genes are thought to promote DNA repair by delaying cell cycle progression in the presence of damaged DNA. We used a cytological assay (Gatti et al. 1994) to test whether *mus304* is required for chromosome break repair in dividing cells. Metaphase spreads from larval neuroblasts were examined for chromosome aberrations following X-ray irradiation. The frequency of chromosome aberrations in *mus304* cells was threefold greater than in wild type (Table 1).

We also measured the frequency of spontaneous chromosome breaks in *mus304* animals. Metaphase spreads from unirradiated wild-type and *mus304* larval neuroblasts were examined for chromosome aberrations. The spontaneous frequency of chromosome aberrations in *mus304* animals was >10-fold greater than in wild-type animals (Table 1). The majority of aberrations (26/28) were chromatid or isochromatid breaks.

The cytological assay described above measures the steady-state level of mitotic cells with chromosome breaks. To measure the frequency of post-mitotic cells that lost genetic material during development, we used a loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) assay based on the *multiple wing hair (mwh)* gene (Baker et al. 1978; Fig. 3A–D). LOH is greatly elevated in *mus304* mutants (Fig. 3E). In a wild-type background, the number of *mwh* cells per wing was between zero and two (mean = 0.4, S.E.M. = 0.2). This is likely an overestimate of the rate of wild-type LOH, as a small number of cells with multiple hairs appear in animals that have two copies of the wild-type *mwh* gene

Table 1. Spontaneous and induced chromosome aberrations in wild-type and *mus304* mutant larvae

Genotype	X-ray ^a	Aberration frequency ^b
+/+	–	0.7 (2/264)
<i>mus304</i> ¹ /Df(3L)WR4	–	9.6 (28/291)
+/+	+	19 (49/262)
<i>mus304</i> ¹ /Df(3L)WR4	+	60 (192/318)

^aLarvae were treated with either 0 or 220 rads of X-rays. Four hours later, larval neuroblasts were squashed and examined for chromosome aberrations.

^bThe average number of chromosome aberrations found per 100 metaphase cells. The total number of breaks scored over the total number of metaphase cells examined are indicated in parentheses.

Figure 3. High rates of LOH in *mus304* animals. (A) The genetic basis of the LOH assay. The fertilized egg is heterozygous for a mutation in the *mwh* gene. If the wild-type copy of the gene is lost during the proliferation of a wing cell precursor, that cell's progeny will exhibit the recessive *mwh* phenotype. Loss of the wild-type gene can occur because of a chromosome break (shown here), point mutation, chromosome loss, or nondisjunction. (B) Individual wing hairs in an animal heterozygous for *mwh*. Each hair cell has one hair. There are ~20,000 hair cells per wing. (C) In an animal homozygous for *mwh*, each hair cell has two or more hairs. (D) In an animal heterozygous for *mwh* and homozygous for a mutation that increases genomic instability, individual cells that lost the wild-type *mwh* gene (arrow) can be seen within a field of heterozygous cells. (E) The frequency of *mwh* clones per wing in wild-type and *mus304* animals. Error bars, S.E.M..

(Baker et al. 1978). In the strongest alleles of *mus304*, there was a nearly 200-fold increase in the level of LOH. In *mus304*¹/Df(3L)WR4 wings there were 75 (S.E.M. = 3) clones of cells with LOH at the *mwh* locus (Fig. 3E), representing a total of 106 (S.E.M. = 6) *mwh* cells. In the weakest allele, *mus304*³, there was a sixfold increase in LOH compared with wild type.

We used this assay to ask whether the strong alleles behave like deficiencies for the locus (Fig. 3E). We found that the level of LOH of *mus304*¹ varied somewhat in combination with different deficiencies, possibly because of other genes contained within the deficiency. The smallest available deficiency including *mus304* is Df(3L)x37 (Segraves 1988). The phenotype of *mus304*¹ when homozygous was similar to *mus304*¹/Df(3L)x37. In addition, Df(3L)x37/Df(3L)WR4 animals and *mus304*¹/Df(3L)WR4 animals also have the *mus304*¹

Figure 4. Macrochaete defects in *mus304* adults. (A) The genetic basis of bristle defects due to genomic instability. *Minute* loci (*M*) are present throughout the genome and are haploinsufficient for macrochaete (bristle) development. If one of the two wild-type copies of a *Minute* locus is lost in a macrochaete precursor, the resulting macrochaete will exhibit a *Minute* phenotype. (B) A wild-type notum. Two specific macrochaetae are indicated (arrows). (C) A *mus304* notum. The indicated macrochaetae exhibit a *Minute* phenotype (i.e., shorter and thinner). (D) The frequency of *Minute* bristles in different genetic backgrounds. Error bars, S.E.M..

similar phenotypes. These results indicate that this allele behaves as a genetic null mutation.

Bristle defects in mus304 adults

Despite a high frequency of genetic loss, *mus304* adults have largely normal external morphology. However, close examination of these animals revealed a significant

fraction of the large bristles, known as macrochaetae, were missing or defective (Fig. 4). Normally, each macrochaete has a characteristic position and length (Fig. 4B). In *mus304* animals, some macrochaetae were entirely missing, whereas others were shorter and thinner (Fig. 4C), resembling macrochaetae in animals mutant for a *Minute* locus (Ashburner 1989). The frequency of defective or missing macrochaetae was scored for the head and thorax (see Materials and Methods). No defective bristles were found in >50 wild-type animals examined. In strong alleles of *mus304*, 5%–10% of macrochaetae are defective (Fig. 4D). The frequency approached 30% in *mus304* animals that were also heterozygous for *E(mus304)*. The bristle defects in checkpoint mutants appear to reflect the loss of genetic material at haploinsufficient loci (see Discussion; Fig. 4A).

Meiotic defects in mus304 females

Females carrying *mus304* mutations display defects in meiotic recombination and chromosome segregation (Green 1982). To characterize the meiotic recombination defect more thoroughly, we measured meiotic crossing over in six intervals extending from *net*, at the tip of chromosome arm 2L, to *cn*, at the base of 2R (Table 2). Because strong alleles of *mus304* severely decrease female fertility, we used *mus304³*, a partial loss-of-function allele that does not affect female fertility. The total genetic distance from *net* to *cn* was 40.0 map units in wild-type females (*n* = 1987), but only 20.9 map units in *mus304³/Df(3L)WR4* females (*n* = 2680). A quantitatively similar decrease in recombination was observed in females bearing stronger alleles of *mus304* (data not shown). The decrease in recombination was not uniform in the six intervals tested. The decrease was most severe in distal and medial intervals (35% of control between *net* and *dp*; 45% of control between *dp* and *b*). In contrast, there was actually a slight increase in the interval that spans the centromere (130% of control between *b* and *cn*).

A meiotic chromosome segregation defect in *mus304* females (Table 3) was manifested as a moderate increase in exceptional female progeny (derived from diplo-X ova) and a pronounced increase in exceptional male progeny (derived from nullo-X ova). The most straightforward interpretation of this data is that *mus304* females exhibit

Table 2. Decreased rates and altered distribution of meiotic recombination in *mus304* mutant females

Maternal genotype (total progeny scored)	Recombination frequencies within specific genetic intervals ^a					
	<i>net</i> – <i>cn</i> (total)	I. <i>net</i> – <i>ho</i>	II. <i>ho</i> – <i>dp</i>	III. <i>dp</i> – <i>Sp</i>	IV. <i>Sp</i> – <i>b</i>	V. <i>b</i> – <i>pr</i>
A. <i>mus304³/+</i> (<i>n</i> = 1333)	40.0	4.3	7.7	8.8	15.9	2.2
B. <i>mus304³/Df(3L)WR4</i> (<i>n</i> = 1378)	20.9	1.5	2.7	3.6	8.8	2.9
B/A ^b	0.52	0.35	0.35	0.41	0.55	1.32

^aRecombination frequencies are expressed as centiMorgans (100 × number of recombination events/number progeny scored). The sum of the recombination frequencies of all six intervals is listed in the first column.

^bThe recombination frequency of *mus304³* hemizygotes divided by the recombination frequency of *mus304³* heterozygotes.

Table 3. Meiotic segregation defects in *mus304* mutant females

Maternal genotype (total progeny scored)	Exceptional females (%) ^a	Exceptional males (%) ^b	Progeny/female
<i>mus304</i> ³ /+ (<i>n</i> = 1333)	0.02	0.03	71
<i>mus304</i> ³ / <i>mus304</i> ³ (<i>n</i> = 820)	0.5	2.7	68
<i>mus304</i> ³ / <i>Df</i> (3L) <i>WR4</i> (<i>n</i> = 1378)	0.4	3.3	85
<i>mus304</i> ¹ / <i>Df</i> (3L) <i>WR4</i> (<i>n</i> = 221)	0.5	3.6	3.1

Numbers given are percentage of total progeny in each class, corrected for inviability of some genotypes.

^aExceptional (or matroclinous) females received both X chromosomes from their mother (i.e., are derived from diplo-X ova).

^bExceptional (or patroclinous) males received their X chromosome from their father (i.e., are derived from nullo-X ova).

an ~10-fold increase in X chromosome nondisjunction and an ~50-fold increase in X chromosome loss.

Embryonic defects in *mus304* embryos

It has been suggested that checkpoint genes regulate cell cycle progression and zygotic gene transcription in early embryos (Fogarty et al. 1997; Sibon et al. 1997, 1999). We examined these phenotypes in embryos laid by *mus304* females. Because early embryonic development is entirely regulated by maternal gene products, only the maternal genotype is described. During the first 2 hr of wild-type embryogenesis, 13 synchronized nuclear divisions occur within a syncytial environment (Foe et al. 1993). Interphase lengthens during cycles 10–13. Following mitosis 13, the embryo enters a prolonged interphase 14 during which cellularization and high levels of zygotic transcription begin. At this stage, most nuclei have a uniform morphology and are evenly distributed near the surface of the embryo. DAPI staining of fixed *mus304*

embryos revealed three classes: A total of 24% (107/453) exhibited the uniform nuclear morphology and spacing seen in wild-type embryos (Figs. 5A and 6F); 16% (73/453) were arrested at an early stage of embryogenesis, apparently between cycles 3 and 5, and had highly fragmented nuclei (not shown); 60% (273/453) showed a complete or partial arrest at about cycle 14 with severe disruptions in nuclear morphology and spacing (Figs. 5 and 6G,H). Previous studies report that all *grp* and *mei-41* embryos exhibit this last phenotype (Fogarty et al. 1994; Sibon et al. 1997, 1999).

We used a histone–GFP fusion (Clarkson and Saint 1999) to examine nuclear divisions 11–14 in live embryos (Fig. 5). Of seven wild-type embryos examined, all exhibited synchronous nuclear divisions followed by a prolonged interphase 14. Of six *mus304* embryos examined, two resembled wild-type and four showed defective nuclear divisions. Defects were visible during anaphase 12 and became more severe during cycles 13 and 14. Defects included asynchronous and incomplete chromo-

Figure 5. Cell cycle defects in *mus304* embryos. (A) Nuclear morphologies are visualized in wild-type and *mus304* embryos using a maternally contributed Histone–GFP fusion protein. Following interphase 14, the *mus304* embryo enters an additional, asynchronous mitosis. (B) The length of interphase (white bars) and M phase (black bars) is indicated for the wild-type and *mus304* embryos shown in A.

Figure 6. Disrupted zygotic gene expression in irradiated or *mus304* embryos. Embryos from wild-type mothers (A,D,E,F,I,J,K) or *mus304* mothers (B,C,G,H,L,M) were processed for either *runt* RNA expression (A–E) and DAPI staining (F–I), or *cyclin B* RNA expression (J–M) and DAPI staining (not shown). The nuclear division cycle for each embryo, as determined by timed collection and DAPI staining, is indicated in parentheses. X-ray indicates wild-type embryos irradiated 1 hr prior to fixation (D,E,I). Note that the stripes of staining by the RNA in situ procedure interferes with the DAPI signal, causing the apparent stripes in F.

some segregation during anaphase as well as fused and irregularly spaced nuclei during interphase. To determine cell cycle timing in these embryos, a small group of nuclei in the center of each image was followed after exit from telophase 10 (Fig. 5B). In three of six *mus304* embryos examined, interphase failed to lengthen until cycle 14 and interphase 14 was interrupted by a premature mitosis 14. Although the disruption of nuclear morphology may affect control of cycles 13 and 14, the alteration of interphase 12 occurs prior to the most severe defects. These observations are consistent with a model (Sibon et al. 1997) in which a DNA replication checkpoint is required to slow nuclear division cycles 11–14 during normal embryonic development.

We examined how these defects in cell cycle progression affect gene expression during interphase 14. In wild-type embryos, strong expression of zygotic genes begins in cycle 14. We used RNA in situ hybridization to the *runt* (Fig. 6A–E) and *tailless* (not shown) genes to detect zygotic gene transcription. The variable nuclear morphology phenotype was mirrored as a variable defect in zygotic transcription. In some *mus304* embryos, *runt* and *tailless* gene expression was completely blocked (Fig. 6B). Among the embryos with some *runt* expression, many exhibited an abnormal pattern (Fig. 6C). Embryos with partial defects in *runt* transcription demonstrate that the block in transcription was not simply due to a global delay in embryonic development. Double staining of *mus304* embryos with antisense RNA probes and DAPI revealed a spatial correlation between defects in nuclear morphology and defects in zygotic transcription (Fig. 6F–I).

In *grp* embryos, nuclei accumulate chromosome damage (Fogarty et al. 1997) possibly due to entering mitosis with incompletely replicated DNA. To address whether chromosome damage during nuclear division is sufficient to explain subsequent developmental defects, we examined the phenotypes of irradiated embryos. Irradiation of wild-type embryos produces a phenocopy of the nuclear defects observed in *grp* and *mus304* embryos (Fogarty et al. 1997; Fig. 6I). We examined *runt* transcription in irradiated wild-type embryos. Irradiation of embryos with actively dividing nuclei (i.e., before interphase 14) with 300 rads, significantly disrupted *runt*

transcription (Fig. 6D). Irradiation with 600 rads completely blocked transcription in all embryos (not shown). Cellularization and gastrulation were also blocked in these embryos. To determine whether the effect of irradiation on transcription required cell cycle progression, we also examined embryos irradiated during the prolonged interphase 14. This treatment did not affect later *runt* expression (Fig. 6E). These results suggest that the block in zygotic transcription in *mus304* embryos may be due to disrupted nuclear structure.

During cycle 14, several maternal transcripts, including the cell cycle regulator *cyclin B*, are degraded (Foe et al. 1993). In *mus304* embryos, the degradation of *cyclin B* RNA occurred at approximately the correct stage of development (Fig. 6J–M); however, the patchy appearance of the *cyclin B* transcript may indicate that the degradation is less synchronous than in wild type. Thus, whereas defects in nuclear structure appear to block activation of transcription, other aspects of gene regulation, such as RNA degradation during cycle 14, may be unaffected.

Molecular analysis of *mus304*

We used deletion mapping to identify the *mus304* coding sequence (Materials and Methods). Characterization of deletions that include *mus304* revealed that the overlap between deletions *Df(3L)x37* and *Df(3L)WR4* includes *mus304*, but no genes required for viability. On the basis of previous mapping of these deletions (Segraves 1988), this overlap is ~2 kb.

To identify the *mus304* transcripts, we screened an embryonic cDNA library with a 10-kb genomic fragment surrounding the *Df(3L)x37* and *Df(3L)WR4* overlap. We identified seven cDNAs that spanned the deficiency overlap and contained a single ORF (Fig. 7A). Sequence analysis of the six point mutant alleles of *mus304* revealed that each contains either an amino acid change or a premature stop codon within this ORF. Transgenes (Materials and Methods) containing either the cDNA fused to 300 bases of upstream genomic sequence or the cDNA with a Glass-responsive promoter were able to rescue a *mus304*-dependent rough eye phenotype (data not shown). The transgene with the genomic promoter

Figure 7. *mus304* encodes a novel protein targeted to the cytoplasm. (A) *mus304* gene structure. (Top) A map of the *mus304* genomic sequence with the following restriction enzyme sites indicated: *EcoRI* (Ec); *SacII* (Sa); *XbaI* (Xb); *XhoI* (Xh). The broken lines indicate genomic DNA deleted in two deficiencies that contain *mus304*. The *mus304* cDNA (GenBank accession no. AF224715) is shown to scale with the restriction map. Untranslated regions and introns are indicated as a thin line and coding regions are indicated as boxes. The position of each mutant allele is shown; the allele number is followed by the wild-type amino acid, the amino acid number, and the mutant amino acid or stop codon. The position of a potential dimeric coiled-coil region within the coding region is highlighted in gray. (B) *mus304* RNA expression in a wild-type ovary. (C) *mus304* RNA expression in a wild-type interphase 13 embryo. (D) *mus304* RNA expression in a wild-type interphase 14 embryo. (E) Anti-Flag staining (red) of an interphase S2 cell transfected with a Flag-MUS304 transgene. (F) DAPI staining (blue) of the cell in E. (G) Anti-Flag staining (red) of a mitotic S2 cell transfected with a Flag-MUS304 transgene. (H) DAPI staining (blue) of the cell in G.

also rescued female infertility and genomic instability. Thus, these cDNAs correspond to the *mus304* gene.

We used this cDNA to examine the distribution of the *mus304* transcript in ovaries and embryos. Consistent with a maternal requirement, high levels of *mus304* RNA were seen in the oocyte and nurse cells from stage 5 onward (Fig. 7B) and in embryos prior to during nuclear division 14 (Fig. 7C). Transcript levels decrease to background levels during interphase 14 (Fig. 7D). In later stage embryos and imaginal discs, no signal was detected above background (data not shown). Previous analysis of *mei-41* and *grp* expression indicated that these genes are also highly expressed in ovaries and early embryos (Hari et al. 1995; Fogarty et al. 1997).

Sequence analysis of *mus304* cDNAs predicted a novel 846 amino acid protein. Motif searching programs predicted a coiled-coil domain in the amino-terminal region of the protein (Fig. 7A). Database searching with this ORF revealed many proteins with homology to the

coiled-coil region only. Searches excluding the coiled-coil domain did not reveal any specific sequence similarities. Despite the absence of sequence motifs or similarities in the carboxyl terminus of MUS304, analysis of *mus304* mutations indicated that this region is critical for MUS304 function (Fig. 7A). All six mutant alleles have point mutations in the carboxy-terminal half of the coding sequence. In two strong alleles, *mus304*² and *mus304*⁵, stop codons occur 87 and 88 residues from the end of the coding region. The weakest allele, *mus304*³, has an amino acid change at position 695.

To examine MUS304 subcellular localization, we constructed a Flag-tagged version of MUS304. A single transgene containing the *mus304* promoter driving Flag-MUS304 rescued multiple *mus304* phenotypes including female infertility, genomic instability, and the rough eye phenotype seen in combination with *E(mus304)* (Figs. 3 and 4; data not shown). The *mus304* promoter did not drive sufficient expression to detect the Flag epitope in embryos or discs. However, when this construct was transfected into *Drosophila* tissue culture cells, Flag-MUS304 was largely or entirely localized to the cytoplasm of interphase cells (Fig. 7E,F) and was not associated with the condensed chromosomes of metaphase cells (Fig. 7G,H). Similar results were obtained following overexpression of Flag-MUS304 in eye discs using a Glass-dependent promoter. Irradiation of S2 cells or imaginal discs expressing Flag-MUS304 did not alter the cytoplasmic localization of the protein (data not shown).

Discussion

In this study, we have characterized a novel checkpoint gene, *mus304*. To better understand how checkpoint pathways regulate *Drosophila* DNA repair and development, we have compared the phenotypes of *mus304* animals with phenotypes described previously for two other DNA damage checkpoint genes, *mei-41* and *grp*. We find that *mus304* animals exhibit reduced chromosome break repair and remarkably high levels of genetic instability. In addition, *mus304* animals show defects in meiotic recombination, bristle development, and early embryogenesis. We discuss how these specific phenotypes may be a direct or indirect consequence of the cell cycle checkpoint defect.

mus304 is a novel checkpoint regulator in *Drosophila*

To characterize previously identified checkpoint homologs, we assayed a DNA damage checkpoint in third instar larvae. *mei-41* is absolutely required for this checkpoint in imaginal discs (this study) and in the larval brain (Hari et al. 1995). Because these tissues include cells in logarithmic growth phase as well as cells undergoing their final division, *mei-41* may be required for damage-induced cell cycle arrest in all dividing larval cells. Larvae with null mutations in *grp* and *14-3-3ε* have a partial checkpoint defect, suggesting that other genes act in parallel to these during cell cycle arrest. Because

the *S. pombe* mitotic checkpoint requires the combined action of Chk1 and Cds1 (Rhind and Russell 1998; Russell 1998), the *Drosophila* homolog of Cds1 (Oishi et al. 1998) may act in parallel to *grp*, the Chk1 homolog (Fogarty et al. 1997). In 14-3-3 ϵ animals, another 14-3-3 isoform, such as 14-3-3 ζ (Kockel et al. 1997), may help regulate the G₂/M checkpoint. Our genetic results are consistent with conclusions reached in *S. pombe*: The ATM kinase homolog is absolutely required for the G₂/M checkpoint, whereas the 14-3-3 and Chk1 homologs cooperate with other genes.

We also show that the gene *mus304* is also required for the DNA damage checkpoint. For this phenotype and others, *mus304* strongly resembles *mei-41*. Combined with double mutant analysis, these results suggest that *mus304* and *mei-41* act in the same genetic pathway during DNA repair and development. However, a comparison of *mus304* and *grp* mutant phenotypes indicates that the relative importance of individual checkpoint genes can vary in different developmental contexts. The DNA damage checkpoint is completely absent in *mus304* imaginal discs, whereas *grp* discs retain a partial checkpoint. In contrast, 100% of *grp* embryos fail to undergo cellularization, whereas many *mus304* embryos show at least some cellularization. *mei-41* animals show severe defects in both the damage checkpoint and during embryogenesis. One model that could explain these results is that *grp* and *mus304* act in parallel pathways downstream of *mei-41* and that these branches contribute in varying degrees depending on the cell type or the activating signal.

To help determine how *mus304* regulates DNA damage responses, we have begun to characterize the gene product. The amino-terminal region of MUS304 contains the only recognizable motif, a coiled-coil domain that might mediate protein–protein interactions. Analysis of mutations in *mus304* indicates that the carboxy-terminal region of MUS304 is critical for its function. The lack of an obvious *mus304* homolog in yeast may reflect either a biochemical mechanism that is not conserved in yeast, or a protein whose primary sequence can rapidly diverge. When expressed in tissue culture, epitope-tagged MUS304 is cytoplasmic, although we cannot rule out the possibility that a small amount of this protein is active in the nucleus. Potential cytoplasmic targets of DNA damage checkpoints in animal cells include Cyclin B (Jin et al. 1998; Toyoshima et al. 1998) and Myt1, a kinase that phosphorylates Cdc2 (Mueller et al. 1995; Liu et al. 1997).

DNA repair defects in *mus304* mutants

In previous studies, X-linked DNA repair loci were examined for defects in DNA repair (Baker et al. 1978; Baker and Smith 1979; Gatti 1979). Among these loci, *mei-41* mutations result in the strongest disruption of chromosome break repair and genomic stability. The mutagen-sensitivity phenotype of *mus304* suggests that it also mediates DNA repair. Compared with wild type, *mus304* neuroblasts show a threefold increase relative in

irradiation-induced chromosome breaks (this study), whereas *mei-41* neuroblasts show a sixfold increase (Gatti 1979). These results confirm that G₂/M checkpoint genes play a role in chromosome break repair. The difference between *mus304* and *mei-41* may indicate that *mei-41* has roles in DNA damage repair not shared by *mus304*.

In both *mei-41* (Gatti 1979) and *mus304* neuroblasts, the frequency of spontaneous chromosome breaks is at least 10-fold higher than in wild type. As expected from the high frequency of breaks, elevated levels of spontaneous cell death are observed in *mus304* or *mei-41* discs (M.H. Brodsky, unpubl.) that may help prevent cells with damaged genomes from contributing to adult tissues. The LOH assay measures the number of adult cells with compromised genetic material. In this assay, *mus304* mutants have a severe defect, only slightly less than reported for *mei-41* (Baker et al. 1978). The similar effect on genetic instability due to *mus304* and *mei-41* mutations suggests that the G₂/M checkpoint transition plays a critical role in preventing genetic loss during normal animal development.

Developmental roles of checkpoint genes

mus304 function is required for meiotic recombination, embryonic development, and bristle formation. *mei-41* is also required for these processes (Baker and Carpenter 1972; Sibon et al. 1999; M.H. Brodsky and G.M. Rubin, unpubl.). Below, we argue that the developmental phenotypes shared by *mei-41* and *mus304* arise in cell types with particular sensitivity to checkpoint defects. These cell types have either developmentally programmed DNA breaks, abbreviated cell cycle control, or unusual susceptibility to aneuploidy.

Consistent with the notion that cells undergoing developmentally programmed DNA recombination are especially susceptible to defects in checkpoint signaling, *mus304* is required for normal meiosis. Meiotic recombination is initiated by double-strand break formation and is often disrupted by DNA repair mutations (Baker et al. 1976; Paques and Haber 1999). *mei-41* mutations cause an altered frequency and distribution of meiotic recombination events in *Drosophila* (Baker and Carpenter 1972). During meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *MEC1*, a homolog of *mei-41*, has been proposed to prevent the cell from entering anaphase in the presence of incomplete recombination events (Lydall et al. 1996). In *Drosophila* ovaries with defects in double-strand break repair, a *mei-41*-dependent checkpoint regulates dorso-ventral patterning (Ghabrial and Schüpbach 1999). In ovaries with normal double-strand break repair, *mei-41* is not required for patterning, but might regulate the timing of cell cycle progression in response to meiotic recombination intermediates (Sekelsky et al. 1998). The *mus304* meiotic recombination and segregation phenotypes are similar, both qualitatively and quantitatively, to that reported for *mei-41*. The shared meiotic defects in these DNA damage checkpoint mutants suggest that

they act in a common pathway that couples progress of recombination to the meiotic cell cycle.

Among the phenotypes we have examined, *Drosophila* meiosis is particularly sensitive to a partial loss of *mus304* function. The *mus304*³ allele shows a partial defect in the DNA damage checkpoint, acts as a weak allele in mutagen sensitivity and LOH assays, and has no effect on embryo development. However, its effects on recombination and nondisjunction rates are similar to those seen in genetic null alleles. Thus, meiosis, the one developmental event in *Drosophila* utilizing DNA strand breaks, is exceptionally sensitive to reduced checkpoint function.

Another developmental context with a specific requirement for checkpoint function is during the rapid nuclear division cycles in the *Drosophila* syncytial blastoderm. These cycles are ~10 min and lack the G₁ and G₂ phases present in most dividing cells (Foe et al. 1993). In contrast, the cell cycle of imaginal disc cells typically requires 10 hr. In the absence of gap phases, it is critical to prevent mitosis until DNA replication is complete. In *grp* (Fogarty et al. 1994, 1997; Sibon et al. 1997), *mei-41* (Sibon et al. 1999), or *mus304* embryos (this study), mitotic delay fails, possibly leading to aberrant mitoses and disruptions in nuclear structure. Alternatively, a defect in cyclin proteolysis may be responsible for the nuclear division phenotypes (Su et al. 1999). Regardless of the initial defect, the similar phenotypes seen in checkpoint deficient embryos and in irradiated embryos (this study; Fogarty et al. 1994, 1997) suggest that a defect in chromosome structure leads to subsequent defects in zygotic transcription and cellularization. In general, cell types with abbreviated cell cycle controls may have a greater dependency on checkpoint signaling to block cell cycle progression in the presence of unreplicated or unrepaired DNA.

We propose that a third developmental phenotype of *mus304* mutants is due to a combination of loci haploinsufficient for bristle development (see below) and a high level of genetic instability. In a *mus304* wing, ~0.5% (106/20,000) of cells show LOH at the *mwh* locus. The high frequency of chromosome breaks in dividing cells (Table 1) and the clone size distribution in the adult wing (M.H. Brodsky and G.M. Rubin, unpubl.; see Baker et al. 1978) suggest that most LOH in *mus304* animals is due to chromosome breaks. A similar conclusion was reached in a genetic analysis of LOH in *mei-41* animals (Baker et al. 1978). Thus, ~0.5% of *mus304* wing cells lost part of the chromosome arm carrying the wild-type *mwh*. This assay only measures genetic loss at a single point on one chromosome arm. Assuming that the 10 major chromosome arms are equally affected, the frequency of wing hair cells with genetic loss would be at least 10-fold higher, or 5%.

If the wing is typical of other tissues in the adult, the LOH measurements suggest that on the order of 5% of cells in a *mus304* adult have lost some genetic material. Most cell types appear unaffected by this high level of aneuploidy, consistent with the observation that only a few *Drosophila* genes have visible haploinsufficient phe-

notypes (Ashburner 1989). *Minute* loci encode ribosomal proteins and have several haploinsufficient phenotypes including smaller or missing macrochaetae. Because the ~50 *Minute* loci are distributed throughout the genome, *Minute* macrochaetae should appear at high frequencies in animals with large numbers of aneuploid cells. A previous analysis of *mei-41* demonstrated that LOH in abdominal bristles is often accompanied by a *Minute* phenotype (Baker et al. 1978). In *mus304* adults, nearly 10% of examined macrochaetae exhibit a *Minute*-like phenotype. This result is roughly consistent with the levels of LOH measured in the wing. We suggest that the *mus304* macrochaetae phenotype may be explained by the sensitivity of this cell type to haploinsufficiency at *Minute* loci.

Some of the symptoms associated with the human cancer predisposition syndrome A-T may arise from mechanisms similar to those we have described for *mus304* and other *Drosophila* checkpoint genes. Consistent with the homology between *ATM* and checkpoint genes from yeast and flies, *ATM* cells have checkpoint defects and chromosome instability. A cellular defect in DNA repair is likely to lead to increased levels of genetic instability and provides a straightforward explanation for the occurrence of lymphomas in A-T patients. Similarly, the immune and reproductive system symptoms are likely to arise from the combination of developmentally programmed DNA breaks (occurring during meiotic and V(D)J recombination) and defective checkpoints. However, other features such as neurodegeneration, dilated blood vessels, premature aging, and diabetes are less easily attributed to a checkpoint defect. One possible explanation is that *ATM* acts in multiple signal transduction pathways. Alternatively, some symptoms may reflect cell types that have an unrecognized sensitivity to checkpoint defects due to unusual cell cycle control or sensitivity to haploinsufficiency, such as found in *Drosophila* embryos and bristles, respectively. In future studies, the genetic and developmental tools available in *Drosophila* should provide further clues to the roles of checkpoint genes animal development and disease.

Materials and methods

Checkpoint assay

All animals were kept at 25°C. Climbing third instar larvae were irradiated in a Torrex 120D X-ray machine at 4000 rad. After 1 hr, imaginal discs were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 hr. Discs were washed in PBS and 0.3% Triton (PBT), and blocked in PBT and 2% goat serum (PBTN). Discs were incubated in a 1:500 dilution of a rabbit anti-phospho-histone H3 antibody (Upstate Biotechnology) in PBTN overnight at 4°C. Discs were incubated with a secondary antibody and stained for HRP activity.

Chromosome instability assays

Chromosome breaks were assayed as described previously (Gatti et al. 1994). Brains were irradiated at 220 rads, dissected, and incubated for 2.5 hr in saline followed by 1.5 hr in colchi-

cine. Mitotic figures were scored using 0.5 mg/ml DAPI to stain chromosomes.

To assay *mwh* loss, wings were dehydrated in isopropanol and mounted in 1:1 methylsalicylate: Canada balsam (Sigma). All intervein wing hair cells were examined for the *mwh* phenotype. Five to ten wings were examined for each genotype.

Bristle defects were examined in 1- to 4-day-old adults. A total of 34 bristles were examined per individual, orbitals (6), ocellar (2), verticals (4), postvertical (2), presutural (2), notopleurals (4), supra-alars (4), dorsocentrals (4), anterior post-alars (2), and scutellars (4). Bristles were scored as mutant if at least 50% shorter than the corresponding wild-type bristle. Ten adult females were scored for each genotype.

Analysis of embryonic phenotypes

Video microscopy was performed on embryos laid by mothers heterozygous for a transgene containing a histone-GFP fusion (Clarkson and Saint 1999). Images were collected every 30 sec using the DeltaVision microscope and software (Applied Precision Instruments). Analysis of gene expression in fixed wild-type and mutant embryos was performed by RNA in situ analysis (Tautz and Pfeifle 1989) using antisense RNA probes. Embryos at 1–2 and 2–3 hr after egg laying were used in these experiments. To determine the division cycle and nuclear structure, 0.5 mg/ml DAPI was added to the anti-digoxigenin antibody incubation step. In irradiation experiments, embryos at 1–2 and 2–3 hr after egg laying were irradiated, aged 1 hr, and processed for RNA expression and DAPI staining.

Genetics

All crosses were performed at 25°C. *mei-41^{RT1}*, *mei-41^{RT2}*, *grp^{fs(A)4}*, *14-3-3^{ej2b10}*, and *mus309^{d2}/mus309^{d3}* were tested for defects in checkpoint assay. *w¹¹¹⁸* was used as the wild-type control. *mus304¹*, *mus304²*, and *mus304³* were originally described as *mus304^{D1}*, *mus304^{D2}*, and *mus304^{D3}* (Boyd et al. 1981). *E(mus304)* was identified as a spontaneous recessive lethal in a *mus304²* strain. Recombination and deficiency mapping places *E(mus304)* in cytological region 93D–F.

Additional alleles of *mus304* were identified in two non-complementation screens. In the first screen, *st* males were mutagenized with EMS and crossed to *mus304²*, *E(mus304)/TM3Sb* females. Male progeny were screened for the rough eye phenotype associated with animals that are homozygous for *mus304* and heterozygous for *E(mus304)* (see Fig. 2). Because *mus304²*, *E(mus304)* is viable over deficiencies for the *mus304* locus, this screen is not biased against lethal alleles. *mus304⁴*, *mus304⁵*, and *mus304⁶* were recovered from this screen. In addition, *l(3)j6A11*, a P element near the *mus304* gene, was mobilized using a genomic source of transposase, *delta2-3*, to create two new deficiencies *Df(3L)mus304-1* and *Df(3L)mus304-2* in this region (M.H. Brodsky, unpubl.). Cytological and Southern blot analysis indicate that the left breakpoint of the deletions begin at the original P insertion site, whereas the right breakpoint extends into cytological region 75C1–2.

Previous results placed *mus304* at meiotic map position 3–46 (Boyd et al. 1981). *mus304* was localized by deficiency mapping (Segraves 1988; Grether et al. 1995) to 75B8–75C1 between *E75* and *hid*. *mus304* failed to complement both *Df(3L)W4* and *Df(3L)x37* which overlap in a single genomic clone from this region, λ 3510 (Segraves 1988; Segraves and Hogness 1990).

Molecular analysis of *mus304*

Subclones from λ 3510 were used to screen the LD embryonic cDNA library. Standard procedures were used for library screen-

ing, subcloning, and sequencing of *mus304* cDNAs. Intron-exon structure was determined by sequencing of subclones from λ clone λ 3510. PCR products from mutant alleles were directly sequenced. The breakpoint of *Df(3L)WR4* was determined by sequencing of λ WR4Xho (Segraves 1988), which contains the breakpoint. This deficiency interrupts the predicted protein after amino acid number 586 and adds 22 residues before the next stop codon.

Rescue constructs were created by placing a *mus304* cDNA in the pCaSpeR2 vector with the *mus304* promoter region (300 bp from the beginning of the longest cDNA). Epitope-tagged versions were created by PCR. A Flag tag was added immediately before the start codon with the following oligonucleotides: *mus304.f1* ATGGACTACAAGGACGACGACGACAAGGG-CGGCATGGCCAAGCGCTTTTCTGCC; *mus304.f2* GTCGTCGTCGCTTGTAGTCCATGTTTTGCAGTTTCCGCT-ATATTCGTTAC. A MYC tag was added following the final amino acid with the following oligonucleotides: *mus304.m1* CAGAAGCTGATCTCCGAGGAGGACCTGTAGTTATAGT-TAGCTTAAACGTT; *mus304.m2* CTCCTCGGAGATCA-GCTTCTGCTCGCCGCCCTCAAAAGTGTTCTCCTCCCGT. The tagged cDNA derivatives were also cloned into the pGUS vector, which contains upstream binding sites for both the Glass and Gal4 transcription factors (M.H. Brodsky and G.M. Rubin, unpubl.). The carboxy-terminal Myc-tagged constructs showed the same localization as the amino-terminal Flag-tagged constructs, but only provided partial rescue of *mus304* phenotypes (data not shown).

For immunostaining, the Flag-*mus304* fusion under control of the *mus304* promoter was transfected into S2 cells using the SuperFect reagent (Qiagen). A 1:200 dilution of the M5 anti-flag antibody (Sigma) and a 1:2000 dilution of a Cy3 secondary antibody (Jackson labs) were used to detect the expressed protein.

Acknowledgments

For providing *Drosophila* stocks, DNA clones, and other reagents, we thank Bill Segraves, Ed Garza, Julie Agapite, Rob Saint, Ling Hong, and the Bowling Green and Bloomington Stock Centers. We also thank Susan Mullaney, Elaine Kwan, Chris Suh, and Todd Laverty for technical assistance, Peter Carlton, Carrie Cowan, and Satoru Uzawa for video microscopy advice and help, and Catherine Nelson, Bobby Williams, Andrea Page-McCaw, and Audrey Huang for helpful comments. Support was provided by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, the California Division of the American Cancer Society (M.H.B.), and the Cancer Research Fund of the Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Foundation (J.J.S.).

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Abbott, M.K. and J.A. Lengyel. 1991. Embryonic head involution and rotation of male terminalia require the *Drosophila* locus *head involution defective*. *Genetics* **129**: 783–789.
- Ashburner, M. 1989. *Drosophila: A laboratory handbook*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Baker, B.S., J.B. Boyd, A.T. Carpenter, M.M. Green, T.D. Nguyen, P. Ripoll, and P.D. Smith. 1976. Genetic controls of meiotic recombination and somatic DNA metabolism in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **73**: 4140–4144.

- Baker, B.S. and A.T. Carpenter. 1972. Genetic analysis of sex chromosomal meiotic mutants in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **71**: 255–286.
- Baker, B.S. and D.A. Smith. 1979. The effects of mutagen-sensitive mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster* in nonmutagenized cells. *Genetics* **92**: 833–847.
- Baker, B.S., A.T.C. Carpenter, and P. Ripoll. 1978. The utilization during mitotic cell division of loci controlling meiotic recombination and disjunction in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **90**: 531–578.
- Beall, E.L. and D.C. Rio. 1996. *Drosophila* IRBP/Ku p70 corresponds to the mutagen-sensitive *mus309* gene and is involved in P-element excision in vivo. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 921–933.
- Bonini, N.M. and K.W. Choi. 1995. Early decisions in *Drosophila* eye morphogenesis. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **5**: 507–515.
- Boyd, J.B., M.D. Golino, T.D. Nguyen, and M.M. Green. 1976. Isolation and characterization of X-linked mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster* which are sensitive to mutagens. *Genetics* **84**: 485–506.
- Boyd, J.B., M.D. Golino, K.E. Shaw, C.J. Osgood, and M.M. Green. 1981. Third-chromosome mutagen-sensitive mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **97**: 607–623.
- Boyd, J.B., J.M. Mason, A.H. Yamamoto, R.K. Brodberg, S.S. Banga, and K. Sakaguchi. 1987. A genetic and molecular analysis of DNA repair in *Drosophila*. *J. Cell. Sci. Suppl.* **6**: 39–60.
- Brown, K.D. and A. Chakravarti. 1999. Multiple ATM-dependent pathways: An explanation for pleiotropy. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **64**: 46–50.
- Chang, H.C. and G.M. Rubin. 1997. 14-3-3 ϵ positively regulates Ras-mediated signaling in *Drosophila*. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 1132–1139.
- Clarkson, M. and R. Saint. 1999. A His2AvDGFP fusion gene complements a lethal His2AvD mutant allele and provides an in vivo marker for *Drosophila* chromosome behavior. *DNA Cell. Biol.* **18**: 457–462.
- Foe, V.E., G.M. Odell, and B.A. Edgar. 1993. Mitosis and morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* embryo: Point and counterpoint. In *The development of Drosophila melanogaster* (ed. M. Bate and A.M. Arias), pp. 149–300. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Fogarty, P., R.F. Kalpin, and W. Sullivan. 1994. The *Drosophila* maternal-effect mutation *grapes* causes a metaphase arrest at nuclear cycle 13. *Development* **120**: 2131–2142.
- Fogarty, P., S.D. Campbell, R. Abu-Shumays, B.S. Phalle, K.R. Yu, G.L. Uy, M.L. Goldberg, and W. Sullivan. 1997. The *Drosophila grapes* gene is related to checkpoint gene *chk1/rad27* and is required for late syncytial division fidelity. *Curr. Biol.* **7**: 418–426.
- Gatti, M. 1979. Genetic control of chromosome breakage and rejoining in *Drosophila melanogaster*: Spontaneous chromosome aberrations in X-linked mutants defective in DNA metabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **76**: 1377–1381.
- Gatti, M., S. Bonaccorsi, and S. Pimpinelli. 1994. Looking at *Drosophila* mitotic chromosomes. *Methods Cell. Biol.* **44**: 371–391.
- Ghabrial, A. and T. Schüpbach. 1999. Activation of a meiotic checkpoint regulates translation of Gurken during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **1**: 354–357.
- Green, M.M. 1982. On the meiotic effect of mutants at the mutagen-sensitive locus, *mus(3)304*, in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biol. Zentbl.* **101**(2): 223–226.
- Grether, M.E., J.M. Abrams, J. Agapite, K. White, and H. Steller. 1995. The head involution defective gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* functions in programmed cell death. *Genes & Dev.* **9**: 1694–1708.
- Hari, K.L., A. Santerre, J.J. Sekelsky, K.S. McKim, J.B. Boyd, and R.S. Hawley. 1995. The *mei-41* gene of *D. melanogaster* is a structural and functional homolog of the human ataxia telangiectasia gene. *Cell* **82**: 815–821.
- Hawley, R.S. and S.H. Friend. 1996. Strange bedfellows in even stranger places: The role of ATM in meiotic cells, lymphocytes, tumors, and its functional links to p53. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 2383–2388.
- Hendzel, M.J., Y. Wei, M.A. Mancini, A. Van Hooser, T. Ranalli, B.R. Brinkley, D.P. Bazett-Jones, and C.D. Allis. 1997. Mitosis-specific phosphorylation of histone H3 initiates primarily within pericentromeric heterochromatin during G2 and spreads in an ordered fashion coincident with mitotic chromosome condensation. *Chromosoma* **106**: 348–360.
- Jin, P., S. Hardy, and D.O. Morgan. 1998. Nuclear localization of cyclin B1 controls mitotic entry after DNA damage. *J. Cell. Biol.* **141**: 875–885.
- Kitazono, A. and T. Matsumoto. 1998. “Isogaba Maware”: Quality control of genome DNA by checkpoints. *BioEssays* **20**: 391–399.
- Kockel, L., G. Vorbruggen, H. Jackle, M. Mlodzik, and D. Bohmann. 1997. Requirement for *Drosophila* 14-3-3 ζ in Raf-dependent photoreceptor development. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 1140–1147.
- Lavin, M.F. and Y. Shiloh. 1997. The genetic defect in ataxia-telangiectasia. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **15**: 177–202.
- Liu, F., J.J. Stanton, Z. Wu, and H. Piwnicka-Worms. 1997. The human Myt1 kinase preferentially phosphorylates Cdc2 on threonine 14 and localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**: 571–583.
- Lopez-Girona, A., B. Furnari, O. Mondesert, and P. Russell. 1999. Nuclear localization of Cdc25 is regulated by DNA damage and a 14-3-3 protein. *Nature* **397**: 172–175.
- Lydall, D., Y. Nikolsky, D.K. Bishop, and T. Weinert. 1996. A meiotic recombination checkpoint controlled by mitotic checkpoint genes. *Nature* **383**: 840–843.
- Matsuoka, S., M. Huang, and S.J. Elledge. 1998. Linkage of ATM to cell cycle regulation by the Chk2 protein kinase. *Science* **282**: 1893–1897.
- Morgan, S.E. and M.B. Kastan. 1997. p53 and ATM: Cell cycle, cell death, and cancer. *Adv. Cancer Res.* **71**: 1–25.
- Mueller, P.R., T.R. Coleman, A. Kumagai, and W.G. Dunphy. 1995. Myt1: A membrane-associated inhibitory kinase that phosphorylates Cdc2 on both threonine-14 and tyrosine-15. *Science* **270**: 86–90.
- Oishi, I., S. Sugiyama, H. Otani, H. Yamamura, Y. Nishida, and Y. Minami. 1998. A novel *Drosophila* nuclear protein serine/threonine kinase expressed in the germline during its establishment. *Mech. Dev.* **71**: 49–63.
- Paques, F. and J.E. Haber. 1999. Multiple pathways of recombination induced by double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **63**: 349–404.
- Rhind, N. and P. Russell. 1998. Mitotic DNA damage and replication checkpoints in yeast. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* **10**: 749–758.
- Rotman, G. and Y. Shiloh. 1998. ATM: From gene to function. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **7**: 1555–1563.
- Russell, P. 1998. Checkpoints on the road to mitosis. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **23**: 399–402.
- Savitsky, K., A. Bar-Shira, S. Gilad, G. Rotman, Y. Ziv, L. Vanaigait, D.A. Tagle, S. Smith, T. Uziel, S. Sfez et al. 1995. A single ataxia telangiectasia gene with a product similar to PI-3 kinase. *Science* **268**: 1749–1753.
- Segraves, W.A. 1988. “Molecular and genetic analysis of the *E75*

Brodsky et al.

- ecdysone-responsive gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*", Ph.D. thesis. Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Segraves, W.A. and D.S. Hogness. 1990. The E75 ecdysone-inducible gene responsible for the 75B early puff in *Drosophila* encodes two new members of the steroid receptor superfamily. *Genes & Dev.* **4**: 204–219.
- Sekelsky, J.J., K.C. Burtis, and R.S. Hawley. 1998. Damage control: The pleiotropy of DNA repair genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **148**: 1587–1598.
- Sibon, O.C., V.A. Stevenson, and W.E. Theurkauf. 1997. DNA-replication checkpoint control at the *Drosophila* midblastula transition. *Nature* **388**: 93–97.
- Sibon, O.C., A. Laurencon, R. Hawley, and W.E. Theurkauf. 1999. The *Drosophila* ATM homologue Mei-41 has an essential checkpoint function at the midblastula transition. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 302–312.
- Su, T.T., S.D. Campbell, and P.H. O'Farrell. 1999. *Drosophila* grapes/CHK1 mutants are defective in cyclin proteolysis and coordination of mitotic events. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 919–922.
- Tautz, D. and C. Pfeifle. 1989. A nonradioactive in situ hybridization method for the localization of specific RNAs in *Drosophila* embryos reveals a translational control of segmentation gene *hunchback*. *Chromosoma* **98**: 81–85.
- Toyoshima, F., T. Moriguchi, A. Wada, M. Fukuda, and E. Nishida. 1998. Nuclear export of cyclin B1 and its possible role in the DNA damage-induced G2 checkpoint. *EMBO J.* **17**: 2728–2735.
- Weinert, T. 1997. A DNA damage checkpoint meets the cell cycle engine. *Science* **277**: 1450–1451.
- . 1998. DNA damage and checkpoint pathways: Molecular anatomy and interactions with repair. *Cell* **94**: 555–558.
- Weinert, T.A. and L.H. Hartwell. 1988. The *RAD9* gene controls the cell cycle response to DNA damage in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Science* **241**: 317–322.
- . 1989. Control of G2 delay by the *rad9* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Cell. Sci. Suppl.* **12**: 145–148.
- Westphal, C.H. 1997. Cell-cycle signaling: Atm displays its many talents. *Curr. Biol.* **7**: R789–R792.
- Wolff, T. and D.F. Ready. 1993. Pattern Formation in the *Drosophila* Retina. In *The development of Drosophila melanogaster* (ed. M. Bate and A.M. Arias), pp. 1277–1325. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

***mus304* encodes a novel DNA damage checkpoint protein required during *Drosophila* development**

Michael H. Brodsky, Jeff J. Sekelsky, Garson Tsang, et al.

Genes Dev. 2000, **14**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.14.6.666](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.14.6.666)

References

This article cites 51 articles, 25 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/14/6/666.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).