The unfolded protein response represses nitrogen-starvation induced developmental differentiation in yeast

Martin Schröder,¹ Jason S. Chang,² and Randal J. Kaufman¹⁻³

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute and ²Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-0650, USA

Diploid budding yeast exhibits two developmental programs in response to nitrogen starvation, pseudohyphal growth, and sporulation. Here we show that both programs are repressed by activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR), a stress-signal transduction pathway responsible for induction of endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-resident chaperones when protein folding in the ER is impaired. Pseudohyphal growth was derepressed in *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains. Activation of the UPR or overexpression of the transcription factor Hac1^p, the product of an unconventional splicing reaction regulated by the UPR, was sufficient for repression of pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. *HAC1* splicing occurred in a nitrogen-rich environment but ceased rapidly on nitrogen starvation. Further, addition of ammonium salts to nitrogen-starved cells was sufficient to rapidly reactivate *HAC1* splicing. We propose that high translation rates in a nitrogen-rich environment are coupled to limited protein unfolding in the ER, thereby activating the UPR. An activated UPR then represses pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. Nitrogen starvation slows translation rates, allowing for more efficient folding of nascent polypeptide chains, down-regulation of the UPR, and subsequent derepression of pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. These findings significantly broaden the range of physiological functions of the UPR and define a role for the UPR in nitrogen sensing.

[Key Words: unfolded protein response; pseudohyphal growth; meiosis; sporulation; nitrogen sensing; translation]

Received September 19, 2000; revised version accepted October 16, 2000.

Nitrogen starvation triggers one of two developmental responses in diploid cells of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nitrogen starvation in the presence of a fermentable carbon source, such as glucose, results in a morphological change from the yeast to a filamentous or pseudohyphal growth form. The pseudohyphal growth form is characterized by an elongated cell shape, a change to a unipolar budding pattern, adhesion of the cells to each other after cell division has been completed, invasion of the agar, and synchronization of cell division between mother and daughter cells (Kron et al. 1994). Macroscopically, these changes result in colonies with multiple projections radiating away from the center of the colony.

Two signaling cascades are required for induction of pseudohyphal growth. They partially overlap with the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway that signals the mating pheromone response (Liu et al. 1993) and the cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) path-

way (Gimeno et al. 1992). How both pathways are activated by nitrogen starvation is not very well understood. The high-affinity ammonium permease Mep2p is one of the most upstream elements of the PKA pathway (Lorenz and Heitman 1997) and, thus, may link activation of the PKA pathway to nitrogen starvation (Lorenz and Heitman 1998). However, how Mep2p senses nitrogen and transduces the signal is unknown. In addition to these two pathways, additional genes are involved in regulation of pseudohyphal growth. These include genes that induce pseudohyphal growth when overexpressed (*PHD1*, *PHD3*, *PHD4*, *PHD6*, *PHD7*, and *MSN1*; Gimeno and Fink 1994) and genes with recessive mutations that cause constitutive pseudohyphal growth (*ELM1-3*, *ELM5-8*, *ELM12*, *ELM14*, and *GRR1*; Blacketer et al. 1995).

Nitrogen starvation on nonfermentable carbon sources as acetate or ethanol induces meiosis and differentiation into asci in diploid *a/α* cells (Herskowitz 1988; Kupiec et al. 1997). Meiosis can be divided into at least three distinctive phases: early, middle, and late phases of gene expression. A transcriptional cascade governs entry into meiosis. The first known event is induction of *IME1* mRNA by relieving nutritional repression by ferment-

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL kaufmanr@umich.edu; FAX (734) 763-9323.

Article and publication are at www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.852300.

able carbon sources, that is, glucose, and nitrogen. Once synthesized, Ime1p binds to the transcriptional repressor Ume6p and converts it to an activator, resulting in transcriptional induction of early meiotic genes such as *IME2*, *HOP1*, and *SPO13* (Rubin-Bejerano et al. 1996; Malathi et al. 1997). Once early genes, including *IME2*, are induced the protein kinase, Ime2p activates a subset of early genes independent of *IME1*. Only after completion of the early phase are middle genes expressed.

The nature of the carbon source controls the decision whether filaments or asci are formed when nitrogen becomes limiting. The presence of a fermentable carbon source such as glucose results in formation of filaments, whereas the presence of a nonfermentable carbon source results in sporulation (Gimeno et al. 1992; Donzeau and Bandlow 1999). How this decision is made on a molecular level is not understood.

The unfolded protein response (UPR; for reviews see Chapman et al. 1998; Kaufman 1999) is an unconventional signal transduction pathway that transduces the stress signal for unfolded proteins in the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the nucleus. Drugs that interfere with N-linked glycosylation, for example, tunicamycin and 2-deoxyglucose, or reduce disulfide bonds, for example, β -mercaptoethanol and dithiothreitol, induce protein unfolding in the ER. Unfolded proteins in the ER sequester Kar2p/BiP/GRP78 from the type I transmembrane kinase/endoribonuclease Ire1p, resulting in its dimerization and autophosphorylation (Bertolotti et al. 2000). In yeast, activated Ire1p cleaves the mRNA for the transcription factor Hac1p. Unspliced *HAC1* mRNA (*HAC1^u*) consists of two exons that are separated by a 252-bp intron harboring a translational attenuator. After cleavage of both exon–intron junctions by Ire1p, the exons are joined by tRNA ligase, thereby bypassing the spliceosome. Consequently, the translational block is relieved and spliced *HAC1* mRNA (*HAC1^s*) is translated. Hac1p activates transcription of genes encoding ER-resident chaperones such as *KAR2*, *PDI1*, and *LHS1* through binding to the UPR-element (UPRE, CAGCGTG) as a homodimer. Globally, the UPR regulates transcription of 381 open reading frames (ORFs) in response to ER stress. Of these, ~50% of the ORFs with a known function play a role in the secretory pathway (Travers et al. 2000). However, ~100 ORFs with a known function are induced by the UPR on ER stress and have no known connection to the secretory pathway, indicating that aspects of the secretory pathway are involved in the regulation of more cellular processes than currently appreciated.

Here, we provide evidence that the UPR represses both nitrogen-starvation induced developmental programs, pseudohyphal growth, and meiosis and, thus, contributes to nitrogen sensing in budding yeast. We demonstrate that *HAC1* splicing is regulated by extracellular nitrogen sources. *HAC1* splicing was stimulated by high extracellular nitrogen levels and ceased rapidly on nitrogen starvation. To explain the dependence of *HAC1* splicing on high environmental levels of nitrogen sources, we propose the following model. In a nitrogen-rich environment, protein unfolding is a byproduct of

rapid translation, resulting in low-level activation of the UPR and subsequent repression of pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. When nitrogen becomes limiting, translation slows, the amount of unfolded protein in the ER drops below a threshold level that is no longer sufficient to activate the UPR, and repression of pseudohyphal growth and meiosis is relieved. Taken together, these findings demonstrate a novel function for the UPR and the secretory pathway in controlling the global physiology of eukaryotic cells in response to nutrient availability.

Results

Diploid ire1Δ and hac1Δ strains grow constitutively as pseudohyphae

To our surprise, microscopic examination of diploid *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells in mid-log phase revealed a striking morphological change (Fig. 1A). Wild-type (WT) diploid cells displayed the familiar yeast morphology, a round or oval cell shape, and mother cells with buds of every different size. In contrast, *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells were elongated beyond a length to width ratio of two and formed chains of cells sticking together even after cell division was completed. This morphology of the *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains is identical to yeast growing as filaments or pseudohyphae (Gimeno et al. 1992). The same observation was made in the W303 genetic background. *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains did not only form pseudohyphae when grown on glucose but also when grown on acetate (Fig. 1A), a condition that represses pseudohyphae formation in WT strains (Donzeau and Bandlow 1999). To quantify this observation, the cells were classed into two groups after their morphological appearance: yeast-form (YF) cells with a length to width ratio less than two, and pseudohyphal (PH) cells with a length to width ratio of greater than or equal to two (Mösch et al. 1996). More than 50% of the *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells belonged to the PH group. Surprisingly, the percentage of PH cells was more pronounced on a nonfermentable carbon source like acetate (Fig. 1B).

Cell separation in pseudohyphae of *S. cerevisiae* is complete, and pseudohyphae can easily be disrupted in shaking liquid cultures. Further, the strong tendency of some strain backgrounds for flocculation can complicate the identification of pseudohyphae in liquid culture. We therefore tested whether our *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains also displayed another macroscopic change characteristic for pseudohyphal growth. Colonies growing as pseudohyphae are characterized by multiple projections radiating away from the center of the colony, whereas vegetatively growing colonies form round and smooth colonies (Gimeno et al. 1992). Pseudohyphae formation on plates can be induced with low-ammonium sulfate media (50 μ M, SLAD-medium; Lorenz and Heitman 1997). Colonies of *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells displayed more vigorous pseudohyphae formation than WT cells (Fig. 1C, left panel). Invasive growth of

Figure 1. Pseudohyphal growth of diploid *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains. (A) Morphology of WT (AMP1618 × AMP1619), *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* (MSY17-46 × MSY18-59) and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* (MSY19-29 × MSY20-19) cells in mid-log phase in liquid culture grown on glucose (YPD) or acetate (YPAc) as carbon source. (B) Quantitation of yeast form (length to width ratio less than two; black bars) and pseudohyphal (length to width ratio greater than or equal to two; open bars) from the experiment shown in part A. For each strain, >100 cells were counted. (C) Pseudohyphal growth is derepressed in homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains and insensitive to activation of the UPR by 2-deoxyglucose or repression by ammonium sulfate. The strains are the same as in A and were grown on SLAD-plates for 7 d with the indicated concentrations of ammonium sulfate and 2-deoxyglucose. (D) Overexpression of Hac1^p represses pseudohyphal growth in nitrogen-starved diploid WT cells (AMP1618 × AMP1619). The strains were grown on SLAD-plates as described in B. The same result was obtained with three independent transformants.

haploid cells was not altered in *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains (data not shown).

Spliced Hac1^p represses pseudohyphal development

As both *IRE1* and *HAC1* were required to repress pseudohyphal growth, we speculated that repression of pseu-

dohyphal growth requires *HAC1* splicing. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed spliced Hac1^p (Hac1^p) from a single-copy plasmid carrying the *HAC1ⁱ* gene under control of its own promoter (pRS316-*HAC1ⁱ*) in a WT strain. Cells carrying this plasmid constitutively expressed Hac1^p (e.g., see Fig. 4B, below). When grown on SLAD plates, only WT cells formed pseudohyphae,

whereas pseudohyphae formation was strongly repressed in cells expressing Hac1^p (Fig. 1D). Thus, Hac1^p is sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth.

Unfolded proteins in the ER repress pseudohyphal growth through activation of the UPR

So far, the role of components of the UPR in repression of pseudohyphal growth resembles their role in the UPR. Both *IRE1* and *HAC1* were required, and overexpression of Hac1^p was sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth. Therefore, we speculated that the whole UPR, starting with activation of Ire1p by unfolded proteins and resulting in splicing of *HAC1* mRNA might be a repressing pathway for pseudohyphal growth. We therefore tested directly whether induction of unfolded proteins in the ER by disrupting N-linked glycosylation with tunicamycin or 2-deoxyglucose was sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth in WT cells at low nitrogen concentrations. Homozygous diploid WT, *ire1Δ*, and *hac1Δ* strains were grown on SLAD-plates in the presence of low concentrations of tunicamycin or 2-deoxyglucose. Sublethal concentrations of tunicamycin (0.2 μg/mL; data not shown) and 2-deoxyglucose (1 mM; Fig. 1C center panel) inhibited pseudohyphae formation in WT cells. Both drugs did not interfere with pseudohyphae formation by homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains. This demonstrated that accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER is sufficient to repress pseudohyphal development through activation of Ire1p and *HAC1* splicing.

Repression of pseudohyphal growth by nitrogen is defective in cells with a compromised UPR

Pseudohyphae formation in *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains was observed in nitrogen-rich media independent of carbon source (Fig. 1A). As pseudohyphal growth is repressed by high extracellular nitrogen concentrations (Gimeno et al. 1992), we speculated that homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* cells are defective in nitrogen repression of pseudohyphal growth. To test this idea more directly, we grew WT, *ire1Δ/ire1Δ*, and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains at different ammonium sulfate concentrations. Whereas pseudohyphae formation in the WT strain was completely repressed by 1 mM ammonium sulfate, the strains defective in the UPR still formed hyphae at this ammonium sulfate concentration (Fig. 1C, right panel). This suggested that the UPR is, indeed, transmitting a nitrogen signal to repress pseudohyphal growth. However, hyphae formation at 1 mM ammonium sulfate was not as vigorous as at 100 μM in *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains, indicating the existence of additional pathways that repress pseudohyphal growth in response to ammonium.

Basal activity of the UPR during vegetative growth

The data presented up to this point suggest that the UPR contributes to repression of pseudohyphal growth in a nitrogen-rich environment. If true, synthesis of the read-

out of the UPR, spliced Hac1^p, in unstressed, vegetatively growing cells must occur. To test this hypothesis, a WT strain was grown to mid-log phase on different carbon sources, RNA extracted, and analyzed by Northern blotting and PhosphorImaging. Synthesis of Hac1^p is controlled by splicing of *HAC1* precursor mRNA (Chapman et al. 1998; Kaufman 1999). Thus, *HAC1ⁱ* mRNA is a hallmark of activation of Ire1p and the UPR. On all carbon sources, *HAC1* splicing was observed (Fig. 2A). On glucose, ~1%–3% of *HAC1* mRNA was spliced (Fig. 2B). *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* cells showed no signals that corresponded to *HAC1ⁱ* mRNA or cleavage intermediates (Fig. 2A), thus demonstrating the specificity of these signals for *HAC1* mRNA species in WT cells. The amount of *HAC1ⁱ* mRNA increased five- to 10-fold during growth on a nonfermentable carbon source such as ethanol or acetate (Fig. 2). Growth on glucose-repressible carbon sources like maltose or raffinose resulted in a twofold increase in *HAC1* splicing (Fig. 2B). *HAC1* splicing on nonfermentable carbon sources peaked in the exponential growth phase and was lower in the stationary growth phase (data not shown). *HAC1* splicing in unstressed, vegetatively growing yeast was observed in both haploid and diploid cells (data not shown), demonstrating that basal low-level UPR activity is not dependent on cell type. Similar results were obtained with different strain backgrounds.

The small percentage of *HAC1* splicing detected in

Figure 2. Basal UPR activity during vegetative growth. (A) *HAC1* splicing in a WT strain [AMP1619] grown to mid-log phase on different carbon sources (2%) on rich medium. All lanes are from one Northern blot and were exposed for the same time. Symbols to the left of the *HAC1* blot, starting at the top: unspliced, spliced *HAC1* mRNA, products of the endonucleolytic cleavage of *HAC1* mRNA by Ire1p at the 3'- and 5'-splice site. The two exons of *HAC1* mRNA are labeled 1 and 2. A black bar indicates the region recognized by the *HAC1* probe. (B) Quantitative analysis of *HAC1* splicing on different carbon sources by PhosphorImaging. The average and standard error from two independent experiments are shown.

WT cells grown on glucose (Fig. 2A) must be sufficient for repression of pseudohyphal growth, as *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells do not synthesize any Hac1p and were constitutively growing as pseudohyphae. Further, as *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* cells also grew constitutively as pseudohyphae, it can be concluded that the splicing reaction is necessary to produce the inhibitor; in other words, unspliced *HAC1* mRNA does not contribute to the repression. Therefore, either Hac1p levels are too low in *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* cells for efficient repression or the change in the C terminus of Hac1p introduced by the splicing reaction (Mori et al. 2000) is required for repression. Unspliced *HAC1* mRNA levels in *ire1Δ* cells are the same as in WT cells (Fig. 2A, glucose as carbon source) or elevated (see below, Fig. 4D, acetate as carbon source) showing that a loss in *HAC1* transcription is not responsible for constitutive activation of pseudohyphal growth in diploid *ire1Δ* strains. On the basis of these different lines of evidence, we conclude that the UPR represses pseudohyphal growth in a nitrogen-rich environment in vegetatively growing cells.

HAC1 splicing is regulated by extracellular nitrogen

Repression of pseudohyphal growth by the UPR must be relieved when nitrogen becomes limiting to allow for

hyphae formation. On the basis of the data discussed before (Fig. 1D), the repressing activity resides in Hac1p. Therefore, a mechanism has to exist that inactivates Hac1p during nitrogen starvation. That the activity of Hac1p is modulated can be ruled out, as overexpression of Hac1p was sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth at low nitrogen concentrations (Fig. 1D). Regulation of Hac1p synthesis is sufficient to control Hac1p levels, as both forms of Hac1p have a half-life of ~2 min (Kawahara et al. 1997). Several mechanisms for how synthesis of Hac1p can be regulated by nitrogen sources can be envisioned, such as transcription of *HAC1* or the splicing reaction. Nitrogen starvation did not result in a dramatic decrease in *HAC1* mRNA levels (Fig. 3; Fig. 4B,D), showing that rapid transcriptional regulation of Hac1p synthesis by nitrogen sources does not occur.

To test whether the splicing reaction is regulated by nitrogen, we looked at the effect of nitrogen starvation on *HAC1* splicing in cells grown on acetate, as this carbon source yielded levels of *HAC1* splicing that allowed us to detect changes in the level of *HAC1* splicing by Northern blotting (Fig. 2). RNA extracted from WT cells grown to mid-log phase on acetate (YPAc) or after nitrogen starvation with C-SPO medium was subjected to Northern analysis. As early as 5 min after establishing

Figure 3. *HAC1* splicing is regulated by extracellular nitrogen. (A) Northern analysis of a WT strain (AMP1619) grown to mid-log phase on acetate (lanes 1,7) after nitrogen starvation with C-SPO medium (lanes 2–6,8), and 15 and 60 min after addition of ammonium sulfate (5 g/L) to cells starved for nitrogen for 15 min (lanes 9,10). Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments. (B) PhosphorImaging analysis of the experiment in part A. The average and standard error from two independent experiments are shown. (C) Titration of the ammonium concentration necessary to stimulate *HAC1* splicing in nitrogen-starved WT cells. Northern analysis of the same WT strain as in A grown to mid-log phase on YPAc, starved for nitrogen with C-SPO medium for 15 min and stimulated with different concentrations of ammonium sulfate for 30 min. (D) PhosphorImaging analysis of the Northern shown in C. The standard error for each data point is <2%. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments.

Figure 4. *HAC1* is a negative regulator of early meiotic gene expression. (A,C) *ime2-lacZ* expression after induction of meiosis with C-SPO medium. The average and standard error of three independent clones (A) or experiments (C) are shown. (A) WT cells (AMP1618 and AMP1619) were transformed with an empty plasmid (pRS316) or with a plasmid that carries the *HAC1ⁱ* gene under control of its own promoter (pRS316-*HAC1ⁱ*). Uracil was omitted from acetate (PSP2) and C-SPO medium. The black and open bars represent two independent experiments. (C) WT (AMP1619), *ire1Δ* (MSY18-59), and *hac1Δ* cells (MSY20-19) were grown on YPAc and shifted to C-SPO medium. (B,D) Northern analysis to the experiments described in A and C, respectively. All lanes were taken from the same blot with the same exposure time. Two mRNAs for *HOP1* and *SPO13* were detected, as reported by Smith et al. (1990). Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments.

nitrogen starvation, virtually no *HAC1* splicing was seen (Fig. 3A, lanes 1–6). *HAC1* splicing was not restored under these conditions over a period of 2 h. Next, we wanted to demonstrate that the level of nitrogen sources actually regulates *HAC1* splicing and that regulation of *HAC1* splicing is not caused by changes in metabolite levels other than nitrogen sources. We therefore tried to reactivate *HAC1* splicing in cells starved for nitrogen with C-SPO medium for 15 min by adding ammonium sulfate to the concentration in vegetative growth media

(5 g/L or 37.9 mM). Indeed, *HAC1* splicing was seen 15 min after nitrogen stimulation and persisted for at least 1 h under these conditions (Fig. 3A, lanes 7–10). Moreover, the level of *HAC1* splicing 15 min after reactivation with ammonium sulfate was identical to the level seen in exponentially growing cells (Fig. 3B, cf. lane 9 with lane 7). This demonstrated that the only environmental change to which *HAC1* splicing responds is the change in the nitrogen source ammonium sulfate. Other ammonium salts such as ammonium chloride and am-

monium acetate stimulated *HAC1* splicing in a similar manner (data not shown); showing that ammonium is the regulatory component and not sulfate. The smallest concentration of ammonium able to reactivate *HAC1* splicing was 10 mM (Fig. 3C). Thus, the amount of ammonium present in SLAD-medium (100 μ M) used to induce pseudohyphal growth is not sufficient to activate *HAC1* splicing. The same response of the splicing reaction to environmental nitrogen levels was observed in haploid and diploid cells and in different genetic backgrounds, showing that it is independent of cell type.

Two steps in the splicing reaction may be regulated by nitrogen: either the endoribonuclease activity of Ire1p or tRNA-ligase activity. In the first case, not only does *HAC1*ⁱ mRNA decrease on nitrogen starvation but the mRNAs for the cleavage intermediates at the 5'- and 3'-splice junction do also. In the latter case, only *HAC1*ⁱ mRNA decreases on nitrogen starvation, and mRNAs for the intermediates should be unaffected. Nitrogen starvation resulted in a loss of *HAC1*ⁱ mRNA and the mRNAs for the cleavage intermediates (Fig. 3A), demonstrating that the endoribonuclease activity of Ire1p is regulated by extracellular nitrogen sources.

On the basis of these results, we conclude that the UPR represses pseudohyphal growth in response to nitrogen. If nitrogen starvation is encountered, the UPR and *HAC1* splicing are significantly down-regulated to permit formation of pseudohyphae. Induction of unfolded proteins in the ER by drug treatment was sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth in an *IRE1*- and *HAC1*-dependent manner. In addition, high extracellular nitrogen levels activated the endoribonuclease activity of Ire1p. Therefore, the most attractive hypothesis for how nitrogen activates Ire1p is to assume that it acts through increased synthesis of unfolded proteins, which may be a byproduct of high translation rates in a nitrogen-rich environment.

Spliced *Hac1p* represses entry into meiosis

In addition to pseudohyphal growth, nitrogen starvation can trigger other physiological events, such as derepression of arginine catabolism (Strich et al. 1994) or induction of meiosis (Kupiec et al. 1997). We therefore asked whether the repressing role of the UPR in response to a nitrogen-rich environment is limited to pseudohyphal growth or whether it extends to other adaptational responses to nitrogen starvation. Meiosis, besides pseudohyphal growth, is the second and only other known developmental response of *S. cerevisiae* to nitrogen starvation (Kupiec et al. 1997). Meiosis is triggered by the presence of poor carbon sources (e.g., acetate), whereas pseudohyphal growth is believed to require the presence of a fermentable carbon source (Gimeno et al. 1992). A role for *HAC1* in meiosis was proposed earlier on more circumstantial data. First, a GGCGG-element, reminiscent of the URS1 site, was noted in the *HAC1* promoter (Nojima et al. 1994). Second, *HAC1* mRNA levels were reported to oscillate during meiosis but not during veg-

etative growth (Nojima et al. 1994). Most interesting, tunicamycin was reported to inhibit ascus formation if added during the early phase of meiosis but to not do so during the later phases (Weinstock and Ballou 1987). Differences in tunicamycin uptake during the three stages of meiosis did not account for this observation. It seemed reasonable to assume that this inhibitory effect was caused by activation of *HAC1* splicing and repression of early genes by Hac1ⁱp. Last, nitrogen starvation resulted in rapid loss of *HAC1* splicing (Fig. 3A), further supporting the idea that *HAC1* may play the role of a negative regulator of early meiotic genes.

To test whether Hac1ⁱp inhibits early meiotic gene expression, we studied the effect of Hac1ⁱp overexpression on activation of the promoter for the early meiotic gene *IME2*. To follow *IME2* induction, an integrated reporter was used in which nucleotides -983 to +114 of *IME2* were fused to *Escherichia coli* at codon 39 of *IME2* (Su and Mitchell 1993). We found that pseudohyphal growth persisted in homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains after induction of meiosis (e.g., see Fig. 6B, below) and interfered with efficient sporulation. We therefore decided to use haploid cells in which the cell type specific repressor of meiosis *RME1* was deleted to study the role of *IRE1* and *HAC1* in regulation of early meiotic genes. The kinetics and extent of early meiotic gene induction in *rme1Δ* haploid cells is comparable to WT diploid cells (Su and Mitchell 1993). Haploid cells do not induce filamentation in response to nitrogen starvation (Roberts and Fink 1994), and haploid invasive growth was not altered in *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* cells (see above). Overexpression of Hac1ⁱp in these cells did repress expression of the *ime2-lacZ* reporter (Fig. 4A), proving that Hac1ⁱp is a negative regulator of *IME2*.

Induction of early meiotic genes such as *IME2*, *HOP1*, and *SPO13* is mainly controlled at the transcriptional level and requires the conversion of the transcriptional repressor Ume6p to an activator by binding it to Ime1p (Rubin-Bejerano et al. 1996; Malathi et al. 1997). Ime1p activity is controlled by its abundance in the cell. Glucose and nitrogen repress its transcription (Kupiec et al. 1997). Thus, glucose and nitrogen starvation induce *IME1* and activate transcription of early meiotic genes by the Ume6p-Ime1p complex. Therefore, repression of *IME2* by Hac1ⁱp may be indirect, that is, a consequence of repression of *IME1* by Hac1ⁱp. To address this question, we performed Northern analysis on WT and on WT cells carrying the extra *HAC1*ⁱ gene. Overexpression of Hac1ⁱp had no or only little effect on transcription of *IME1*, whereas the early meiotic genes *HOP1*, *IME2*, and *SPO13* were repressed four- to fivefold (Fig. 4B). To ensure that the *HAC1*ⁱ gene was expressed, we looked at *HAC1* and *KAR2* mRNA levels. *HAC1*ⁱ mRNA was detected in cells carrying the *HAC1*ⁱ gene even in the presence of nitrogen starvation (Fig. 4B). Further, transcription of the chaperone gene *KAR2*, characterized as one target gene induced by Hac1ⁱp (Kaufman 1999), was elevated compared to WT cells (Fig. 4B), showing that Hac1ⁱp levels were elevated and functional in these cells. Taken together, these data demonstrate that Hac1ⁱp is a

negative regulator of early meiotic genes but not of their positive regulator *IME1*.

Different roles for IRE1 and HAC1 in regulation of entry into meiosis

If Hac1^p is a negative regulator of early meiotic genes, cells defective in *HAC1* splicing should show enhanced induction of early meiotic genes. Therefore, we analyzed induction of early meiotic genes in *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains. First, expression of the *ime2-lacZ* reporter during nitrogen starvation on acetate was monitored. Indeed, *hac1Δ* cells showed enhanced expression of the reporter (Fig. 4C), and *ime2-lacZ* expression was also derepressed during vegetative growth (data not shown). Surprisingly, *ire1Δ* cells were found to be defective in induction of *IME2* (Fig. 4C). To confirm this result, we performed Northern analysis on these cells. Consistent with the previous results, deletion of *HAC1* had no major effect on induction of *IME1* (Fig. 4D). Further, the early meiotic genes *IME2*, *HOP1*, and *SPO13* were induced faster than in the WT strain, and transcript levels of these genes were elevated during vegetative growth (Fig. 4D). This shows that *HAC1* is a negative regulator for these genes but not of their activator *IME1*. In contrast, *ire1Δ* cells displayed a slower induction of *IME1* than the WT strain (Fig. 4D). As transcription of early meiotic genes is primarily regulated by the abundance of Ime1p in the cell, this defect in *IME1* induction in the *ire1Δ* strain is sufficient to explain the delay in induction of *IME2* (Fig. 4C) and other early genes (Fig. 4D).

In the classical UPR, Ire1p activates transcription of chaperone genes through up-regulation of synthesis of Hac1^p (Chapman et al. 1998). However, *HAC1* was not required for induction of *IME1*, raising the possibility that activation of the *IME1* promoter by *IRE1* is independent of *HAC1* function. To confirm this observation, we asked whether overexpression of Hac1^p from pRS316-*HAC1*ⁱ in *ire1Δ* cells could rescue the defect in activation of *IME1* transcription. Indeed, *IME1* mRNA levels in cells overexpressing Hac1^p were similar to the levels in an *ire1Δ* strain (Fig. 4D), showing that *HAC1*ⁱ cannot rescue the defect in *IME1* transcription. Hac1^p was synthesized in these cells as *HAC1*ⁱ mRNA was detected and *KAR2* mRNA levels were elevated throughout the experiment (Fig. 4D). Further, overexpression of Hac1^p in WT cells did not affect *IME1* mRNA levels (Fig. 4B). These results demonstrate that *IRE1*, but not *HAC1*, is a positive regulator of *IME1*.

Unfolded proteins in the ER repress entry into meiosis

Repression of early meiotic genes by Hac1^p resembled repression of pseudohyphal growth by the UPR. Therefore, we were interested in whether the most upstream element of the UPR is also part of the pathway repressing early meiotic genes. Meiosis was induced in WT cells by nitrogen starvation for 4 h in the presence or absence of 2 μg/mL tunicamycin and induction of *IME1* and *IME2*

was compared. We observed a twofold reduction of *ime2-lacZ* mRNA levels (Fig. 5B) and expression of the *ime2-lacZ* reporter (Fig. 5A) in tunicamycin-treated WT cells but no change in *IME1* mRNA levels (Fig. 5B). In *ire1Δ* cells, tunicamycin did not further decrease *ime2-lacZ* expression (Fig. 5A), showing that repression of *IME2* by unfolded proteins in the ER requires activation of Ire1p and most likely *HAC1* splicing. Other commonly used activators of the UPR, 2-deoxyglucose and β-mercaptoethanol (Chapman et al. 1998), severely interfered with cell viability on nonfermentable carbon sources (data not shown). Thus, unfolded proteins are the upstream element of the pathway that represses early meiotic genes such as *IME2* during vegetative growth through activation of *HAC1* splicing. Taken together, these results establish the UPR pathway as a negative regulator for early meiotic genes that are targets of the Ume6p-Ime1p transcriptional activator complex. In addition, *IRE1* acts independent of *HAC1* as a positive regulator for *IME1*.

Derepression of pseudohyphal growth interferes with efficient sporulation

To this point, our data establish the UPR, that is, activation of *HAC1* splicing through protein unfolding in the ER, as a nitrogen-dependent repressor of both pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. On nitrogen starvation, *HAC1* splicing ceases, Hac1^p levels drop dramatically, and repression of both developmental responses is relieved. Mechanisms must exist that ensure that only the correct adaptational response to certain conditions is chosen. Carbon source influences this choice. On glucose, the predominant response to nitrogen starvation is pseudohyphal growth, whereas in its absence meiosis prevails (Gimeno et al. 1992; Donzeau and Bandlow 1999). Homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains grew as pseudohyphae under conditions that normally favor vegetative growth (Fig. 2A). We now wanted to know how these cells respond to conditions that induce meio-

Figure 5. Induction of unfolded proteins in the ER represses *IME2*. (A) Induction of the *ime2-lacZ* reporter in WT (AMP1619) and *ire1Δ* cells (MSY18-59) treated with 2 μg/mL tunicamycin (Tm) during meiosis. Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments. (B) Analysis of *IME1* and *ime2-lacZ* mRNA levels by Northern blotting in a WT strain under the conditions described in A.

sis in a WT strain, that is, nitrogen starvation in the presence of a nonfermentable carbon source. *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains displayed a very similar phenotype when sporulated, indicating that abrogation of *HAC1* splicing in these cells is the main cause for their behavior. At the onset of meiosis, ascus formation was slower in the *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* strain than in the *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strain (Fig. 6A), consistent with the observation that only *IRE1* was required for efficient induction of *IME1* (Fig. 4D). However, induction of *IME1* mRNA in the *ire1Δ* strain was not completely abolished (Fig. 4D), and *IME1* mRNA accumulated after prolonged times to levels comparable to WT cells (Fig. 4D). Sporulation efficiency was decreased to one-third of the WT in both strains (Fig. 6A,C). Pseudohyphal growth persisted for at least the first 20 h of meiosis (Fig. 6B), and chains of pseudohyphal cells were also observed 2 d after induction of meiosis. Homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains were able to form asci that originated from pseudohyphal cells (Fig. 6B). This indicates that pseudohyphal cells are capable of inducing and completing the meiotic program. However, asci formed by *ire1Δ/ire1Δ*

and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells were greatly enlarged compared with asci formed by WT cells (Fig. 6B). We propose that derepression of pseudohyphal growth under vegetative conditions may interfere with efficient induction of meiosis in homozygous diploid *ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ* strains.

We also tried to induce meiosis in cells grown to mid-log phase on glucose, which resulted in an ~70% reduction of sporulation in the UPR-defective strains, compared to only a 30% reduction for the WT (Fig. 6C). Under these conditions, the *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* strain induced pseudohyphal growth as an increase in the pseudohyphal population was observed (Fig. 6D). In contrast, WT and even *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells responded by forming asci. This suggests that *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* cells are defective in a second pathway that represses pseudohyphal growth during meiosis. Interestingly, overexpression of the *IME1* target *IME2* repressed pseudohyphal growth (Donzeau and Bandlow 1999). We therefore propose that the physiological significance of *HAC1* independent activation of the *IME1* promoter by *IRE1* is repression of pseudohyphal growth during meiosis through subsequent induction of *IME2*.

Figure 6. Pseudohyphal growth persists during meiosis in *ire1Δ/ire1Δ* and *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* strains. (A) Time course of ascus formation by homozygous diploid WT (filled squares), *ire1Δ* (open squares), and *hac1Δ* (filled circles) strains. (B,D) Meiosis was induced in homozygous diploid WT, *ire1Δ*, and *hac1Δ* strains grown to mid-log phase on glucose (D) or acetate (B) by shifting to C-SPO medium. Cells were photographed and counted before (filled bars) and 30 (D) or 20 h (B; open bars) after induction of meiosis. Representative pictures of the majority of the population after induction of meiosis are shown. Abbreviations: YF, yeast form (length to width [l/w] ratio less than 2), PH, pseudohyphal cells (l/w greater than or equal to two). More than 100 cells were counted for each strain. (C) Sporulation efficiency after 5 d. Growth to mid-log phase before induction of meiosis was on glucose (filled bars) or acetate (open bars). The average and standard error of two experiments are shown.

GENES & DEVELOPMENT 2971

mentable carbon source. As *hac1Δ/hac1Δ* cells responded correctly to nitrogen starvation under these conditions (Fig. 6D), it seems reasonable to assume that this *IRE1* function is identical to its stimulatory effect on the *IME1* promoter and subsequent induction of *IME2*, a repressor of pseudohyphal growth (Donzeau and Bandlow 1999). This model is supported by the observation that *HAC1* was not required for activation of the *IME1* promoter by *IRE1*.

The change from the yeast to a filamentous growth form is common to many fungi and is in many cases associated with a pathogenic phenotype. The best-studied examples are the human pathogens *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* and the corn pathogen *Ustilago maydis* (Madhani and Fink 1998). Interestingly, tunicamycin inhibited germ tube formation in *C. albicans* (Chaffin 1985), indicating that the UPR plays a similar role in this organism. Therefore, drugs that impair protein folding in the ER or otherwise activate the UPR may serve as new lead structures in the search for new antifungal agents.

Protein unfolding and UPR activation as a sensor for translation rate and a nitrogen-rich environment

The physiological event common to pseudohyphal growth and meiosis is induction by nitrogen starvation (Gimeno et al. 1992; Kupiec et al. 1997). Both programs are repressed by the UPR, and we found pseudohyphal growth to be resistant to nitrogen repression in UPR-defective cells. This led us to the idea that the UPR represses both programs in a nitrogen-rich environment; in other words, it transduces a signal for nitrogen. But how does nitrogen regulate the UPR? We have seen that *HAC1* splicing in unstressed cells depends on the presence of ammonium as an extracellular nitrogen source. As long as nitrogen was present, *HAC1* mRNA was spliced. However, *HAC1* splicing was dramatically reduced during nitrogen starvation. Furthermore, activation of the UPR by induction of unfolded proteins in the ER with tunicamycin or 2-deoxyglucose was sufficient to repress pseudohyphal growth (Fig. 1B) and meiosis (Fig. 5; Weinstock and Ballou 1987) under nitrogen-limiting conditions. This suggests that protein unfolding in the ER can substitute for high extracellular nitrogen levels to repress both developmental programs. Thus, nitrogen may act through protein unfolding in the ER to activate the UPR. Activation of *HAC1* splicing by ammonium salts required protein synthesis and could be completely blocked with cycloheximide (M. Schröder and R.J. Kaufman, unpubl.), supporting this idea.

On the basis of these observations, we propose the following model for how the UPR senses nitrogen levels (Fig. 7B). Unfolded proteins in the ER are a by-product of rapid translation in a nitrogen-rich environment and, ultimately, activate the UPR to enhance their chances to fold correctly and to also repress developmental responses to nitrogen starvation. Indirect proof that unfolded proteins exist in vegetatively growing, unstressed cells comes from the observation that abrogation of both

known pathways that deal with unfolded proteins in the ER (UPR and ERAD) is synthetic lethal (Travers et al. 2000). It was also estimated that as much as one-third of all proteins are sensed as unfolded during their synthesis (Ellgaard et al. 1999). This should be sufficient for constitutive low-level activation of the UPR that we see during vegetative growth in a nitrogen-rich environment (Fig. 2). Under nitrogen-limiting conditions, translation is slower, thus providing for more efficient folding of nascent chains (Fig. 7B). In this view, the efficiency of protein folding in the ER and subsequent activation of the UPR is a sensor for translation rate and, at least downstream, elements of a nitrogen sensing pathway. Translation is inhibited by amino acid starvation, a condition that closely resembles nitrogen starvation, and results in activation of the general amino acid control system (Hinnebusch 1992). We therefore think that transient inhibition of translation, triggered by nitrogen starvation, is a very early event in initiating pseudohyphal development and meiosis and may precede the G₁ arrest and induction of *IME1* in meiosis. Inhibition of translation before meiosis has not been observed to date (Miller 1989), but on the basis of the published data, an inhibition for only a limited time early in meiosis cannot be ruled out. Future experiments will address both this question and whether activation of Ire1p by nitrogen is strictly dependent on protein unfolding in the ER or if it occurs by another mechanism that is also dependent on protein synthesis and that bypasses the ER.

Molecular mechanisms of regulation of early meiotic gene expression and pseudohyphal growth by the UPR

Hac1^p-mediated repression of both meiosis and pseudohyphal growth. Hac1^p is a bZIP transcription factor and activates transcription of genes containing a UPR element (Kaufman 1999). In addition, it was suggested that Hac1p activated transcription of *INO1* by titrating out the transcriptional repressor Op1p by formation of a heterodimeric complex between these two leucine zipper proteins (Chapman et al. 1998). However, a genome-wide two-hybrid interaction screen failed to detect any interaction of Hac1^p with any protein other than itself (Uetz et al. 2000). Therefore, the most attractive scenario is that Hac1^p represses pseudohyphal growth and meiosis through transcriptional activation of a repressor. The global scope of the UPR has been characterized (Travers et al. 2000) and should be a very valuable tool for identifying the transcriptional targets of Hac1^p that mediate its repression of pseudohyphal growth and meiosis. Interestingly, *SIN3*, which is part of the Sin3p–Rpd3p histone deacetylase complex required for transcriptional repression of early meiotic genes by Ume6p (Kadosh and Struhl 1997), is induced approximately twofold on ER-stress in an *IRE1*- and *HAC1*-dependent manner (Travers et al. 2000). It also possesses a well-conserved UPR-like element at position –406 (GGgCAGCGcGT, nucleotides identical to the UPR-consensus sequence in capitals), making it a likely target to mediate repression of early meiotic genes by Hac1^p.

The UPR also regulates transcription of *GRR1* in response to ER stress (Travers et al. 2000). Grr1p is the F-box protein in the SCF^{Grr1} (Skp1/Cdc53/Grr1) ubiquitin–ligase complex (Loeb et al. 1999) and is required for repression of pseudohyphal growth (Blacketer et al. 1995). SCF^{Grr1} is responsible for degradation of the G₁ cyclins Cln1p and Cln2p. Both *CLN1* and *CLN2* are required for pseudohyphal growth (Loeb et al. 1999), and *grr1* mutants exhibit derepression of pseudohyphal growth. Activation of *GRR1* by Hac1p or the UPR should result in increased destruction of Cln1p and Cln2p and in a phenotype similar to *cln1/cln1* and *cln2/cln2* strains. It is interesting to note here that the UPR is also responsible for disposal of unfolded proteins through an ubiquitinylation-dependent degradation pathway (Casagrande et al. 2000). This may indicate a more global control of protein-degrading machineries by the UPR than appreciated previously.

In response to ER stress, both mammalian homologs of Ire1p activate the MAPK Jnk1p (Urano et al. 2000) by phosphorylation. In addition, the endoribonuclease activity of Ire1 α p is necessary for activation of the BiP-promoter (W. Tirasophon and R.J. Kaufman, unpubl.). In yeast, the only known signaling event downstream of Ire1p in relieving unfolded protein stress in the ER is the splicing of *HAC1* mRNA. In contrast to yeast, the mammalian UPR uses at least two mechanisms to transduce the unfolded protein signal. Our finding that activation of the *IME1* promoter by Ire1p does not require *HAC1* suggests that signal transduction pathways other than *HAC1* splicing are regulated by Ire1p in yeast. This is the first report that divergence of pathways downstream of Ire1p also exists in yeast. Whether this divergence involves a second endonucleolytic cleavage event or solely requires the kinase function of yeast Ire1p is under investigation.

The findings reported here help to understand the regulation of developmental responses of yeast by nitrogen starvation. Intriguingly, deletion of *IRE1* in mice had no effect on induction of the UPR but resulted in a lethal developmental defect (Urano et al. 2000; W. Tirasophon and R.J. Kaufman, unpubl.). In light of our findings, it seems clear that the role of the UPR in development is conserved from yeast to mammals. The UPR may have evolved from the need of archaic unicellular organisms to cope with long times of starvation by differentiation into a starvation resistant cell type. Deletion of *IRE1* in other model organisms such as *Caenorhabditis elegans* or *Drosophila melanogaster* will help to study the role of *IRE1* in development. Finally, our data show that protein folding is not solely the last event in gene expression but is regulated by and reports the nutritional status of the cell. Protein folding is also involved in deciding the fate of the cell, be it adaptation or apoptosis.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

Yeast strains AMP1618 (MAT α *arg6* *IME2-20-lacZ::LEU2 rme1 Δ 5::LEU2* *ura3* *leu2* *trp1* *lys2* *ho::LYS2*; Vidan and

Mitchell 1997), AMP1619 (MAT α *met4* *IME2-20-lacZ::LEU2 rme1 Δ 5::LEU2* *ura3* *leu2* *trp1* *lys2* *ho::LYS2*; kindly provided by A.P. Mitchell) were described previously. *IRE1* and *HAC1* were deleted as described previously (Welihinda et al. 2000) to yield strains MSY17-46 and MSY18-59 (both *ire1 Δ ::kanMX2*) and MSY19-29 and MSY20-19 (both *hac1 Δ ::URA3*) derived from AMP1618 and AMP1619, respectively. Both deletions were confirmed by PCR and Southern blotting. *ire1 Δ* and *hac1 Δ* strains in the W303 background were derived from W303 1b (MAT α *ade2-1* *can1-100* *leu2-3,112* *his3-11,15* *trp1-1* *ura3-52*; Welihinda et al. 1998) and W303 1a (as W303 1b but MAT α) as described above. Plasmid pRS316-*HAC1*ⁱ was described previously (Welihinda et al. 2000).

Yeast media and growth conditions

For vegetative growth, rich medium containing 1% bacto-yeast extract, 2% bacto-peptone, and 2% of the indicated carbon source (YPD, 2% glucose; YPAc, 2% potassium acetate; Vidan and Mitchell 1997), synthetic dextrose medium (SD; Vidan and Mitchell 1997), and minimal acetate vegetative medium (PSP2; Kassir et al. 1988) were used. Pseudohyphal growth was induced with synthetic low ammonium dextrose medium (SLAD; Lorenz and Heitman 1997). The UPR was induced with 2 μ g/mL tunicamycin. Sporulation was induced by washing cells grown to mid-log phase with water and resuspending in complete sporulation medium (C-SPO; Vidan and Mitchell 1997).

ime2-lacZ reporter assays and sporulation efficiency

To monitor expression of the *ime2-lacZ* reporter during sporulation, samples were taken before and 4 and 8 h after induction of sporulation, and β -galactosidase activity was assayed and standardized to the protein concentration of the samples as described previously (Welihinda et al. 2000). The later time points were corrected for the 0-h-value and divided by the length of the induction period to express β -galactosidase induction per milligram intracellular protein and hour. Sporulation efficiency was scored 5 d after induction of sporulation by counting >200 cells and expressed as percentage asci per total cells counted.

Pseudohyphal growth assays

Pseudohyphal growth in liquid culture was observed in mid-log phase cultures. Photographs were taken with an Edge R400 microscope (Edge Scientific Instruments) at 400 $\times$ magnification after fixing the cells with 3% formaldehyde. The length to width (l/w) ratio of at least 100 cells was determined from representative photographs and cells grouped into yeast form (YF, l/w < 2) and pseudohyphal cells (PH, l/w $\geq$ 2). Pseudohyphal growth on SLAD plates was scored after growth for 7 d, and pictures from representative colonies were taken at 100 $\times$ magnification with an inverted microscope (Nikon TMS, Nikon). Agar invasion by haploid strains was assayed as described by Roberts and Fink (1994).

Northern blots

Isolation of RNA, the Northern blotting protocol, and probes for *HAC1* and *KAR2* were described previously (Welihinda et al. 1997, 2000). For *lacZ*, the 2.8-kb *PvuII* fragment of Z691 (Mori et al. 1993) was used. The probes for *IME1*, the early meiotic genes *HOP1*, *IME2*, and *SPO13*; and the loading control pC4/2, which hybridizes to an RNA unaffected by starvation (Smith et al. 1990) were described elsewhere (Vidan and Mitchell 1997). All mRNAs were quantified by PhosphorImager scanning (Mo-

lecular Dynamics) and standardized to the level of the loading control pC4/2.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to A.P. Mitchell (Columbia University) for generously providing strains and plasmids, his advice, and helpful discussions. We thank B. Athey (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor) for use of the Edge 400 microscope. We also thank D. Thiele for critically reading the manuscript.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Bertolotti, A., Zhang, Y., Hendershot, L.M., Harding, H.P., and Ron, D. 2000. Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2**: 326–332.
- Blacketer, M.J., Madaule, P., and Myers, A.M. 1995. Mutational analysis of morphologic differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **140**: 1259–1275.
- Casagrande, R., Stern, P., Diehn, M., Shamu, C., Osario, M., Zúñiga, M., Brown, P.O., and Ploegh, H. 2000. Degradation of proteins from the ER of *S. cerevisiae* requires an intact unfolded protein response pathway. *Mol. Cell* **5**: 729–735.
- Chaffin, W.L. 1985. Effect of tunicamycin on germ tube and yeast bud formation in *Candida albicans*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **131**: 1853–1861.
- Chapman, R., Sidrauski, C., and Walter, P. 1998. Intracellular signaling from the endoplasmic reticulum to the nucleus. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **14**: 459–485.
- Donzeau, M. and Bandlow, W. 1999. The yeast trimeric guanine nucleotide-binding protein α subunit, Gpa2p, controls the meiosis-specific kinase Ime2p activity in response to nutrients. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**: 6110–6119.
- Ellgaard, L., Molinari, M., and Helenius, A. 1999. Setting the standards: Quality control in the secretory pathway. *Science* **286**: 1882–1888.
- Gimeno, C.J., Ljungdahl, P.O., Styles, C.A., and Fink, G.R. 1992. Unipolar cell divisions in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* lead to filamentous growth: Regulation by starvation and RAS. *Cell* **68**: 1077–1090.
- Herskowitz, I. 1988. Life cycle of the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiol. Rev.* **52**: 536–553.
- Hinnebusch, A.G. 1992. General and pathway-specific regulatory mechanisms controlling the synthesis of amino acid biosynthetic enzymes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In *The molecular and cellular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces*, Vol. 2 (ed. E.W. Jones et al.), pp. 319–414. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Kadosh, D. and Struhl, K. 1997. Repression by Ume6 involves recruitment of a complex containing Sin3 corepressor and Rpd3 histone deacetylase to target promoters. *Cell* **89**: 365–371.
- Kassir, Y., Granot, D., and Simchen, G. 1988. IME1, a positive regulator gene of meiosis in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **52**: 853–862.
- Kaufman, R.J. 1999. Stress signaling from the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum: Coordination of gene transcriptional and translational controls. *Genes & Dev.* **13**: 1211–1233.
- Kawahara, T., Yanagi, H., Yura, T., and Mori, K. 1997. Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced mRNA splicing permits synthesis of transcription factor Hac1p/Ern4p that activates the unfolded protein response. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **8**: 1845–1862.
- Kron, S.J., Styles, C.A., and Fink, G.R. 1994. Symmetric cell division in pseudohyphae of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **5**: 1003–1022.
- Kupiec, M., Byers, B., Esposito, R.E., and Mitchell, A.P. 1997. Meiosis and sporulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The molecular and cellular biology of the yeast Saccharomyces*, Vol. 3 (ed. J.R. Pringle et al.), pp. 889–1036. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Liu, H., Styles, C.A., and Fink, G.R. 1993. Elements of the yeast pheromone response pathway required for filamentous growth of diploids. *Science* **262**: 1741–1744.
- Loeb, J.D.J., Kerentseva, T.A., Pan, T., Sepulveda-Becerra, M., and Liu, H. 1999. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* G1 cyclins are differentially involved in invasive and pseudohyphal growth independent of the filamentation mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Genetics* **153**: 1535–1546.
- Lorenz, M.C. and Heitman, J. 1997. Yeast pseudohyphal growth is regulated by GPA2, a G protein α homolog. *EMBO J.* **16**: 7008–7018.
- . 1998. The MEP2 ammonium permease regulates pseudohyphal differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **17**: 1236–1247.
- Madhani, H.D. and Fink, G.R. 1998. The control of filamentous differentiation and virulence in fungi. *Trends Cell Biol.* **8**: 348–353.
- Malathi, K., Xiao, Y., and Mitchell, A.P. 1997. Interaction of yeast repressor-activator protein Ume6p with glycogen synthase kinase 3 homolog Rim1p. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **17**: 7230–7236.
- Miller, J.J. 1989. Sporulation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In *The yeasts*, Vol. 3, 2nd ed. (ed. A.H. Rose and J.S. Harrison), pp. 489–550. Academic Press, London.
- Mori, K., Ma, W., Gething, M.-J., and Sambrook, J. 1993. A transmembrane protein with a cdc2⁺/CDC28-related kinase activity is required for signaling from the ER to the nucleus. *Cell* **74**: 743–756.
- Mori, K., Ogawa, N., Kawahara, T., Yanagi, H., and Yura, T. 2000. mRNA splicing-mediated C-terminal replacement of transcription factor Hac1p is required for efficient activation of the unfolded protein response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 4660–4665.
- Mösch, H.-U., Roberts, R.L., and Fink, G.R. 1996. Ras2 signals via the Cdc42/Ste20/mitogen-activated protein kinase module to induce filamentous growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 5352–5356.
- Nojima, H., Leem, S.-H., Araki, H., Sakai, A., Nakashima, N., Kanaoka, Y., and Ono, Y. 1994. Hac1: A novel yeast bZIP protein binding to the CRE motif is a multicopy suppressor for cdc10 mutant of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**: 5279–5288.
- Roberts, R.L. and Fink, G.R. 1994. Elements of a single MAP kinase cascade in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mediate two developmental programs in the same cell type: Mating and invasive growth. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 2974–2985.
- Rubin-Bejerano, I., Mandel, S., Robzyk, K., and Kassir, Y. 1996. Induction of meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* depends on conversion of the transcriptional repressor Ume6 to a positive regulator by its regulated association with the transcriptional activator Ime1. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**: 2518–2526.
- Smith, H.E., Su, S.S.Y., Neugeborn, L., Driscoll, S.E., and Mitchell, A.P. 1990. Role of IME1 expression in regulation of meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**: 6103–6113.
- Strich, R., Surosky, R.T., Steber, C., Dubois, E., Messenguy, F.,

- and Esposito, R.E. 1994. UME6 is a key regulator of nitrogen repression and meiotic development. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 796–810.
- Su, S.S.Y. and Mitchell, A.P. 1993. Identification of functionally related genes that stimulate early meiotic gene expression in yeast. *Genetics* **133**: 67–77.
- Travers, K.J., Patil, C.K., Wodicka, L., Lockhart, D.J., Weissman, J.S., and Walter, P. 2000. Functional and genomic analyses reveal an essential coordination between the unfolded protein response and ER-associated degradation. *Cell* **101**: 249–258.
- Uetz, P., Giot, L., Cagney, G., Mansfield, T.A., Judson, R.S., Knight, J.R., Lockshon, D., Narayan, V., Srinivasan, M., Pochar, P., et al. 2000. A comprehensive analysis of protein–protein interactions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* **403**: 623–627.
- Urano, F., Wang, X., Bertolotti, A., Chung, Y.P., Harding, H.P., and Ron, D. 2000. Coupling of stress in the ER to activation of JNK protein kinases by transmembrane protein kinase IRE1. *Science* **287**: 664–666.
- Vidan, S. and Mitchell, A.P. 1997. Stimulation of yeast meiotic gene expression by the glucose-repressible protein kinase Rim15p. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**: 2688–2697.
- Weinstock, K.G. and Ballou, C.E. 1987. Tunicamycin inhibition of episore formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Bacteriol.* **169**: 4384–4387.
- Welihinda, A.A., Tirasophon, W., Green, S.R., and Kaufman, R.J. 1997. Gene induction in response to unfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum is mediated through Ire1p kinase interaction with a transcriptional coactivator complex containing Ada5p. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 4289–4294.
- . 1998. Protein serine/threonine phosphatase Ptc2p negatively regulates the unfolded-protein response by dephosphorylating Ire1p kinase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**: 1967–1977.
- Welihinda, A.A., Tirasophon, W., and Kaufman, R.J. 2000. The transcriptional co-activator ADA5 is required for HAC1 mRNA processing in vivo. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**: 3377–3381.

The unfolded protein response represses nitrogen-starvation induced developmental differentiation in yeast

Martin Schröder, Jason S. Chang and Randal J. Kaufman

Genes Dev. 2000, **14**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.852300](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.852300)

References

This article cites 37 articles, 25 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/14/23/2962.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).