RESEARCH COMMUNICATION

Essential role for Max in early embryonic growth and development

Hong Shen-Li,¹ Rónán C. O'Hagan,²
Harry Hou, Jr.,¹ James W. Horner II,²
Han-Woong Lee,^{1,4} and Ronald A. DePinho^{2,3,5}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, New York 10461 USA; ²Adult Oncology, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 USA; ³Department of Medicine and Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 USA

Loss of Max function in the mouse resulted in generalized developmental arrest of both embryonic and extra-embryonic tissues at early postimplantation (~E5.5–6.5), coincident with loss or dilution of maternal Max stores in the expanding embryo in vivo and in blastocyst outgrowths in vitro. Developmentally arrested embryos were reduced in size and exhibited widespread cytological degeneration and feeble BrdU incorporation. Max and, by extension, the Myc superfamily, serve essential roles in early mammalian development and a maternal reservoir of Max exists in sufficient amount to sustain Myc superfamily function through preimplantation stages of development.

Received October 25, 1999; revised version accepted November 23, 1999.

The Myc superfamily comprises an extended network of related basic region helix-loop-helix/leucine zipper (bHLH/LZ) proteins that interact physically and functionally to regulate diverse biological processes (Henriksson and Lüscher 1996; Facchini and Penn 1998). Current evidence supports the view that in this superfamily, Max serves a central and essential role as obligate dimerization–DNA-binding partner for Myc oncoproteins and Mad transcriptional repressors (Blackwood and Eisenman 1991; Prendergast et al. 1991; for review, see Schreiber-Agus and DePinho 1998). Max appears to be required for all known biological functions of Myc, as evidenced through the use of engineered Myc and Max proteins that do not bind their endogenous partners yet dimerize efficiently with each other (Amati et al. 1993a,b). Such engineered Myc proteins remain biologically inert unless provided with the permissive binding form of Max. Members of the Myc family—c-Myc, N-Myc, and L-Myc—serve important roles in mammalian development as verified by induction of congenital de-

fects brought about through aberrant Myc transgene expression (Morgenbesser and DePinho 1994) or loss of c- or N-Myc function (Charron et al. 1992; Moens et al. 1992; Stanton et al. 1992; Davis et al. 1993; Sawai et al. 1993). In more differentiated tissues, the actions of Myc appear to be countered by those of the Mad family (Mad1, Mxi1, Mad3, and Mad4) via their competitive interaction for Max, occupation of common consensus sites, and recruitment of the mSin3 complex (Ayer et al. 1995; Schreiber-Agus et al. 1995; Foley et al. 1999). These Mad proteins can promote terminal differentiation (Cultrano and Eisenmann 1999; Foley and Eisenmann 1999), maintain organ homeostasis with advancing age (Schreiber-Agus et al. 1998), and suppress the development of cancer in vitro (Lahoz et al. 1994; Koskinen et al. 1995) and in vivo (Schreiber-Agus et al. 1998). The dynamic and contrasting patterns of Myc and Mad family proteins are also consistent with their opposing roles in governing processes of growth and development (Larsson et al. 1994; Chin et al. 1995; Hurlin et al. 1995; Vastrik et al. 1995; for review, see Schreiber-Agus et al. 1998). In contrast, Max has been shown to be present in virtually all cell types and maintained at near constant levels during cellular differentiation, suggesting an important, albeit more passive, role in processes governed by the Myc superfamily (Blackwood and Eisenman 1991; Berberich et al. 1992; Schreiber-Agus et al. 1993).

To assess the role of Max in development, a null Max allele was generated in which the *neomycin resistance* (*Neo*) cassette replaced sequences encoding the Max helix II, leucine zipper, and entire carboxyl terminus, including nuclear localization signals (Fig. 1A). Both genomic PCR and Southern blot analyses were used to identify the mutant allele in ES cells, as well as verify its transmission through the germ line via chimera formation (Fig. 1B,C). Primers directed to sequences in the deleted Max region, *Neo* cassette, and flanking genomic region yielded the expected 1.7- and 1.4-kb amplification products for wild-type and mutant alleles, respectively (Fig. 1B, only tail DNAs shown). Employing a 3'-flanking probe, Southern blot assays of ES- and tail-derived DNA produced the anticipated 9.4- and 5.4-kb *Bgl*II restriction fragment for wild-type and mutant alleles, respectively (Fig. 1C, only tail DNAs shown).

Although a single ES clone was used to generate the mutant Max colony, this clone yielded a high degree of chimerism, arguing against random genetic anomalies. Furthermore, any potential cell culture artifacts were diminished by first backcrossing Max^{+/-} progeny (arising from chimera × C57Bl/6 crosses) to CD1 or C57Bl/6 mice for at least two generations prior to genotype distribution studies and phenotypic characterization. Genotype distribution of mice arising from Max^{+/-} intercrosses failed to show a homozygous null Max mouse in >400 offspring analyzed. Max heterozygotes were indistinguishable from wild-type mice with respect to weight, size, activity, fecundity, and life span—as assessed over a 3- to 4-year period of observation. Histological organ sur-

[Key Words: Max; mammalian development; Myc function]

⁴Present address: Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Suwon 440-746, Korea.

⁵Corresponding author.

E-MAIL ron_depinho@dfci.harvard.edu; FAX (617) 632-6069.

Figure 1. Gene targeting strategy. (A) Alignment of *max* cDNA and the 3' genomic region showing exons encoding helix II, the bHLH/LZ region, and the entire carboxyl terminus of the Max protein. Heavy bars indicate 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions. The *neo* gene replaces a 1.8-kb *SmaI*-*Sall* genomic fragment. G418 and Gancyclovir selection produced an enrichment factor of 4 and led to the identification of 1 targeted ES clone from a total of 80 clones screened. The location of the primers or probes used in B and C, and the generated PCR products or restricted fragments corresponding to wild-type or disrupted alleles are indicated. (X) *XbaI*; (B) *BglII*; (S) *SmaI*; (Sl) *Sall*; (SA) *Sau3AI*. (B) Three-primer PCR analysis of representative genomic tail DNA from *max* heterozygous intercrosses showing wild-type (1.7-kb, top arrow) and targeted (1.4-kb, bottom arrow) alleles. (C) Southern blot of *BglII*-digested tail DNA depicting the wild-type (9.4-kb, top arrow) and mutant (5.4-kb, bottom arrow) banding patterns obtained from live offspring derived from *Max*^{+/-} intercrosses. The probe was a 250-bp *RsaI* fragment located in the 3' UTR outside of the 3' arm. (D) Genotype distribution of *Max*^{+/-} intercrosses; (N.D.) A result was not obtained or was inconclusive.

veys of adult *Max*^{+/-} mice were also normal (data not shown). These results indicate that loss of Max function is associated with an embryonic lethal condition and that the level of Max in heterozygotes is adequate to permit normal growth and development throughout life.

To determine the basis for embryonic lethality, embryos generated from heterozygous intercrosses were harvested for detailed molecular and morphological studies. Of the 71 embryos analyzed from E8.5 to E12.5, none were *Max*^{-/-} (Fig. 1D, E8.5–E12.5); and of the 30 E7.5 embryos assayed, only 2 degenerate samples were homozygous null (Fig. 1D, E7.5). In contrast, *Max*^{-/-} blastocysts were morphologically normal and well represented (Fig. 1D, E3.5), thus pointing to early postimplantation as the period of developmental arrest. Dissection of E6.5 embryos from surrounding maternal tissue followed by PCR genotyping confirmed the presence of *Max*^{-/-} embryos; however, these null embryos were un-

der-represented (Fig. 1D, E6.5), severely compromised, and 50%–70% smaller than *Max*^{+/+} and *Max*^{+/-} littermates (Fig. 2A). In one series of heterozygous matings, six growth-retarded embryos were determined to be *Max*^{-/-} either by PCR genotyping (Fig. 2B; *n* = 3) or by whole-mount immunostaining showing negligible anti-Max signal (Fig. 2D; *n* = 3). The remaining 21 normal-sized embryos were confirmed to be either *Max*^{+/-} or *Max*^{+/+} on the DNA (*n* = 7) or protein (*n* = 14) levels (Fig. 2, B and C, respectively). Furthermore, although wild-type embryos exhibited well-defined extraembryonic ectoderm and endoderm, *Max*^{-/-} embryos did not possess discernible embryonic features or clear demarcation of embryonic and extraembryonic regions (Fig. 2A). These findings held constant on predominantly C57Bl/6 and CD1 backgrounds. Thus, Max deficiency is associated with generalized developmental arrest soon after implantation.

Similar to the dissected embryos, the histological analysis of E5.5 and E6.5 decidua generated from heterozygous intercrosses identified two distinct morphological classes. One set of embryos (77%, *n* = 44) exhibited normal cellularity and cytoarchitecture in all embryonic and extraembryonic structures (Fig. 2E). When tested for anti-Max immunoreactivity, they showed robust anti-Max staining (Fig. 2F). The other embryos (23%, *n* = 13) were severely growth retarded and displayed signs of cellular degeneration, diminished cellularity, thin germ layers, and overall disorganization of embryonic and extra-

Figure 2. Phenotypic presentation of *Max*^{-/-} embryos and its correlation with genotype or expression. (A) Gross appearance of PCR-verified E6.5 *Max*^{-/-} embryo (left) and *Max*^{+/+} embryo (right). (B) PCR results of E6.5 embryos derived from *Max*^{+/-} intercrosses (150-bp mutant allele; 350-bp wild-type allele). *Max*^{-/-} embryos are indicated with arrows (lanes 1,6); embryos in lanes 1 and 6 are 50% and 70% smaller than *Max*^{+/+} and *Max*^{+/-} littermates, respectively. (C,D) Whole-mount immunofluorescence staining of Max in wild-type (C) or *Max*^{-/-} (D) E6.5 embryos, showing nuclear staining of normal embryonic cells but dramatically reduced nuclear staining in the mutant. Histological presentation and Max expression analysis of putative wild-type (E,F) and mutant (G,H) E6.5 embryo sections, as performed on adjacent sections. Bars, 40 μm.

embryonic structures (Fig. 2G). These abnormal embryos possessed very weak anti-Max signal (Fig. 2H).

These phenotypic observations stand in contrast to Myc family knockouts, which survive to much later stages of development, the latter studies fueling speculation that a critical role for the Myc superfamily remains masked due to molecular complementation among the Myc family (Charron et al. 1992; Moens et al. 1992; Stanton et al. 1992; Davis et al. 1993; Hatton et al. 1996). Previous studies have confirmed c-, N-, and L-Myc gene expression in early cleavage and postimplantation embryonic stages (Downs et al. 1989; Domashenko et al. 1997), as well as a coordinate increase in c-Myc transcripts and several Myc-responsive gene targets (Domashenko et al. 1997). If this is the case, the possible existence of maternal Max stores and extreme stability of the protein (Blackwood and Eisenman 1991) could account for progression of *Max*^{-/-} embryos through early developmental stages. To explore this possibility, Max expression was assayed in staged mouse embryos by immunohistochemical methods utilizing a highly specific anti-Max antibody (see Materials and Methods). As shown in Figure 3A, E0.5 embryos exhibited very intense pronuclear staining, predominantly in the nucleoplasm, as well as modest cytoplasmic signal comparable to that detected in the surrounding granulosa cells and maternal tissues. Because zygotic gene activity initiates at the two-cell stage and paternal protein contributions are

thought to be negligible (Schultz 1993), the maternal origin of these abundant Max stores was confirmed by documenting intense Max signal in unfertilized eggs (Fig. 3B). Notably, in contrast to fertilized eggs, Max protein was found to be evenly distributed throughout the entire unfertilized oocyte, indicating that a subcellular shift in Max coincides with fertilization-induced maturation of the pronuclear membrane (Szollosi et al. 1972). These observations are somewhat reminiscent of observations in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes showing dramatic cytoplasmic to nuclear translocation of c-Myc upon fertilization (Gusse et al. 1989; Lemaître et al. 1995). However, the Max patterns described here are clearly distinct from those of *Xenopus* c-Myc in that the latter remains completely sequestered in the cytoplasm prior to fertilization. An assessment of c-Myc distribution in mouse oocytes was not possible with available anti-c-Myc antisera. Together, these observations imply a role for the Myc superfamily during early cleavage stages of development.

Max expression was detected in the nuclei of virtually all cells of the conceptus at levels comparable to or greater than those detected in the maternally derived cells of the surrounding decidua. In the blastocyst, Max signal was detected in nuclei of the inner cell mass and trophectodermal layer (Fig. 3C). In early postimplantation embryos, strong nuclear-associated and modest cytoplasmic Max signal was maintained in all embryonic and extraembryonic structures including the embryonic ectoderm, visceral and parietal layers of the embryonic endoderm, extraembryonic ectoderm, and ectoplacental cone of the E5.5 and E6.5 conceptus (Fig. 3, D and F, respectively). Additionally, in the face of dynamic differentiation events in E7.5 and E12.5 embryos, strong and constant nuclear-associated Max signal was detected in all embryonic and extraembryonic tissues (Fig. 3G,H; E12.5 not shown). For all stages, nuclear and cytoplasmic anti-Max signals were abolished with preincubation of the antisera with Max peptide (Fig. 3E; data not shown), confirming that the Max signal was specific. These observations are consistent with previous observations that abundant Max is present in virtually all normal and transformed cells and mature tissues (Blackwood and Eisenman 1991; Berberich et al. 1992; Schreiber-Agus et al. 1993), with the singular exception of a cultured neuronal cell line (Hopewell and Ziff 1995). The dynamic and opposing expression patterns of *Myc* and *Mad(Mxi1)*, in conjunction with the constitutive expression of *Max* (Larsson et al. 1994; Chin et al. 1995; Hurlin et al. 1995; Vastrik et al. 1995), lend support to the prevailing view of Max as a nodal element through which the opposing actions of *myc* and *mad(Mxi1)* are executed.

The capacity of Max-deficient embryos to reach early postimplantation could relate to the abundant maternal Max stores and the stable nature of the protein (half-life > 24 hr; Blackwood and Eisenman 1991). To assess this possibility more rigorously, the relationship between the timing of developmental arrest and depletion of Max stores was determined. All blastocysts (*n* = 8) derived from heterozygous intercrosses expressed Max, although

Figure 3. Max expression in early development. (A) Oviduct sections containing one-cell stage embryos; (B) oviduct sections containing unfertilized eggs; (C) whole-mount immunofluorescence of an E3.5 blastocyst; (D) E5.5 embryo section (bar, 40 μ m); (E) E6.5 embryo section exposed to excess immunogen peptide (bar, 40 μ m); (F) E6.5 embryo section (bar, 40 μ m); (G,H) E7.5 embryo sections (G), (bar, 100 μ m), (H) (bar, 25 μ m). (de) Deciduum; (al) allantois; (am) amnion; (ee) embryonic ectoderm; (xe) extraembryonic ectoderm; (ve) visceral endoderm; (me) mesoderm; (pe) parietal endoderm; (epc) ectoplacental cone.

~25% showed slightly reduced staining (data not shown), indicating the presence of Max irrespective of the embryo genotype. Next, blastocysts derived from heterozygous intercrosses were placed in culture, monitored for outgrowth potential, and assayed for Max expression over a 4-day period. Following hatching, all outgrowths assumed the classical appearance of an extended trophodermal layer and a discernible inner cell mass node (e.g., Fig. 4B). Although ~75% of the blastocyst outgrowths increased in size (Fig. 4A,B), the growth of the remaining 25% was stunted and ceased by day 3 (Fig. 4C,D). The experiment was terminated on day 4 to permit anti-Max immunohistochemistry and genotyping prior to cellular degeneration. In all cases examined, the stunted inner cell mass phenotype (30%–50% reduction in surface area) correlated with either low or undetectable Max protein expression ($n = 2$) (Fig. 4, cf. A and C) or PCR-confirmed null genotype ($n = 3$; data not shown). The arrest of blastocyst outgrowths after 2–3 days in culture parallels the timing of developmental arrest in vivo, ~5.5–6.5 days (see above). Based upon these results, we conclude that the timing of $Max^{-/-}$ lethality is due to loss and/or dilution of maternal Max at the point when the developing embryo experiences its first burst in growth on E5 and E6.

The growth deficit of $Max^{-/-}$ embryos could result from generalized metabolic collapse, excess cell death, decreased cell proliferation, or a combination of these

processes. To compare the proliferative and apoptotic profiles in the presence or absence of Max, BrdU incorporation and TUNEL assays were performed on sections of E6.5 decidua. The embryos were classified as normal ($Max^{+/+}$ or $Max^{+/-}$) or mutant ($Max^{-/-}$) according to histological appearance of an adjacent section or staining with anti-Max antibody. As shown in Figure 4, the normal conceptus exhibits both robust anti-Max staining (see, e.g., Fig. 2F) and many BrdU-positive nuclei throughout the embryonic and extraembryonic structures (Fig. 4E,F). The putative mutants showed reduced size (Fig. 4G,I), weak anti-Max staining (see, e.g., Fig. 2H), and fewer BrdU-positive nuclei (Fig. 4H,J). The ratio of proliferating cells (BrdU-positive nuclei) to total cell number on the adjacent section was calculated to be 84% for four normal embryos versus 69% for four mutant embryos, suggesting that the decline in Max leads to a corresponding reduction in cell proliferation in early postimplantation mouse embryos. Because cell division cycles have been estimated to be 10–12 hr during this period (Snow 1981), a 10%–20% decline in the proportion of S-phase cells during early postimplantation could result in a 50% decline in embryo size over a period of 2 days. Severe cellular degeneration is also rampant throughout the mutant embryos and likely contributes to the observed reduction in size. This more generalized process is suggestive of a role for Myc in cellular metabolism (Dang 1999). TUNEL assays showed minimal apoptosis in the histologically normal and compromised E6.5 embryos, suggesting that programmed cell death does not contribute to the null phenotype, although it is possible that an earlier wave of apoptosis was missed.

The finding that Myc family transcripts are abundant from early cleavage stages onward (Domashenko et al. 1997), together with the capacity for c-, N-, or L-Myc null embryos to progress well beyond early development, has stimulated a continuing debate centered on whether survival relates to redundancy among Myc family members or to dispensability of Myc family function during early embryonic development. Here, appreciable Myc and Max expression and early arrest of Max -deficient embryos suggests that the Myc superfamily, Myc/Max in particular, serve vital roles in early development and thereafter.

Materials and methods

Gene targeting

A 15-kb genomic clone containing the 3' region of *Max* was isolated from a 129/Sv mouse genomic library and used to generate a positive-negative type targeting construct, *pMaxKO*, in which the *Neo* and the HSV thymidine kinase (*TK*) genes were driven by the *PGK* promoter (Fig. 1A). *pMaxKO* was electroporated into WW6 mouse ES cells (Ioffe et al. 1995) and clones selected in 150 μ g/ml active G418 and 2 μ M gancyclovir. $Max^{+/-}$ ES cell clones were identified by PCR using a common external primer (5'-CAGGTAAGTCGCTCTTGGA-3') in combination with a *Neo*-specific primer (5'-GCCTTCTTGACGAGTCTTC-3') and a *Max* coding primer (5'-CTGCATGTAGGGGTGTCTGT-3'). Germ-line transmission was achieved as depicted in Figure 1. For PCR-based genotyping, E3.5–E10.5 embryos underwent lysis by boiling in 10 μ l of lysis buffer containing 0.035 N NaOH and 0.5% SDS.

Immunohistochemical analyses

Staged deciduae (E5.5, E6.5, and E7.5) were isolated in ice-cold PBS, fixed

Figure 4. Blastocyst outgrowth, proliferation, and apoptosis assays. (A,B) Max immunofluorescence and appearance of a normal blastocyst outgrowth with phase-contrast view revealing a growing inner cell mass node and a single layer of trophoderm cells. (C,D) Max immunofluorescence and appearance of a stunted blastocyst outgrowth showing reduced size of inner cell mass and diminished Max expression. (E–J) In vivo proliferation in E6.5 embryos. (E,F) Adjacent sections of a wild-type E6.5 embryo with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (E) and BrdU incorporation (F). (G–J) Adjacent sections of two putative Max -deficient E6.5 embryos with H&E staining (G,I) and BrdU incorporation (H,J).

in 10% buffered formalin overnight at 4°C, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 µm. Max expression was detected using a polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz), a biotinylated secondary antibody, and avidin-conjugated peroxidase (Vector Laboratories). For whole-mount immunofluorescence staining, embryos were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100. Max was detected using a polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz) and a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody. The stained embryos were mounted in PBS/glycerol and observed under an Axiophot fluorescence microscope.

Blastocyst outgrowth studies

Blastocysts generated from heterozygous intercrosses were collected by uterine flush and individually cultured in poly-L-lysine-coated chamber dishes in ES media, in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. After 4 days in culture, cells were washed twice in HBSS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in 2.5% goat serum for 30 min. Max was detected using a polyclonal antibody (Santa Cruz) and a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody. Immunofluorescence was viewed with an Olympus IX70 microscope with Planapochromat 10× N.A. 0.3 or 20× N.A. 0.4 objective. Images were collected with a Photometrics Sensys cooled CCD camera with a grade 1 chip. Intensities were quantitated with I.P. Lab Spectrum software running on a Macintosh G3.

BrdU and TUNEL assays

BrdU incorporation and TUNEL assays were performed as described previously (Morgenbesser et al. 1994).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Ken Chen and Adam Silverman for technical assistance, and Frank Macaluso, Leslie Gunther and Michael Cammer of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine Analytical Imaging Facility for excellent assistance on microscopy and embryo sections. We also thank Dr. Nicole Schreiber-Agus, Dr. Leila Alland, and Dr. Arthur Skoultschi for helpful discussion and critical readings of the manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants RO1EY09300 and RO1HD28317 (to R.A.D.), DFCC grant 5P30CA06516 (to R.A.D.), and NIH grant 5P30CA13330 to Albert Einstein Comprehensive Cancer Center. R.A.D. is an American Cancer Society Research Professor.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Amati, B., M.W. Brooks, N. Levy, T.D. Littlewood, G.I. Evan, H. Land, L. Kretzner, and E.M. Blackwood. 1993a. Oncogenic activity of the c-Myc protein requires dimerization with Max. *Cell* **72**: 233–245.
- Amati, B., T.D. Littlewood, G.I. Evan, and H. Land. 1993b. The c-Myc protein induces cell cycle progression and apoptosis through dimerization with Max. *EMBO J.* **12**: 5083–5087.
- Ayer, D.E., Q.A. Lawrence, and R.N. Eisenman. 1995. Mad-Max transcriptional repression is mediated by ternary complex formation with mammalian homologs of yeast repressor Sin3. *Cell* **80**: 767–776.
- Berberich, S., N. Hyde-DeRuyscher, P. Espenshade, and M. Cole. 1992. max encodes a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein and is not regulated by serum growth factors. *Oncogene* **7**: 775–779.
- Blackwood, E.M., and R.N. Eisenman. 1991. Max: A helix-loop-helix zipper protein that forms a sequence-specific DNA-binding complex with Myc. *Science* **251**: 1211–1217.
- Charron, J., B.A. Malynn, P. Fisher, V. Stewart, L. Jeannotte, S.P. Goff, E.J. Robertson, and F.W. Alt. 1992. Embryonic lethality in mice homozygous for a targeted disruption of the N-myc gene. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 2248–2257.
- Chin, L., N. Schreiber-Agus, I. Pellicer, K. Chen, H.W. Lee, M. Dudast, C. Cordon-Cardo, and R.A. DePinho. 1995. Contrasting roles for Myc and Mad proteins in cellular growth and differentiation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 8488–8492.
- Cultraro, C.M., T. Bino, and S. Segal. 1997. Function of the c-Myc antagonist Mad1 during a molecular switch from proliferation to differentiation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **17**: 2353–2359.
- Dang, C.V. 1999. c-Myc target genes involved in cell growth, apoptosis, and metabolism. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**: 1–11.
- Davis, A.C., M. Wims, G.D. Spotts, S.R. Hann, and A. Bradley. 1993. A null c-myc mutation causes lethality before 10.5 days of gestation in homozygotes and reduced fertility in heterozygous female mice. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 671–682.
- Domashenko, A.D., K.E. Latham, and K.S. Hatton. 1997. Expression of myc-family, myc-interacting, and myc-target genes during preimplantation mouse development. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **47**: 57–65.
- Downs, K.M., G.R. Martin, and J.M. Bishop. 1989. Contrasting patterns of myc and N-myc expression during gastrulation of the mouse embryo. *Genes & Dev.* **3**: 860–869.
- Facchini, L.M. and L.Z. Penn. 1998. The molecular role of Myc in growth and transformation: Recent discoveries lead to new insights. *FASEB J.* **12**: 633–651.
- Foley, K.P. and R.N. Eisenman. 1999. Two MAD tails: What the recent knockouts of Mad1 and Mxi1 tell us about the MYC/MAX/MAD network. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1423**: M37–M47.
- Foley, K.P., G.A. McArthur, C. Queva, P.J. Hurlin, P. Soriano, and R.N. Eisenman. 1998. Targeted disruption of the MYC antagonist MAD1 inhibits cell cycle exit during granulocyte differentiation. *EMBO J.* **17**: 774–785.
- Gusse, M., J. Ghysdael, G. Evan, T. Soussi, and M. Mechali. 1989. Translocation of a store of maternal cytoplasmic c-myc protein into nuclei during early development. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**: 5395–5403.
- Hatton, K.S., K. Mahon, L. Chin, F.C. Chiu, H.W. Lee, D. Peng, S.D. Morgenbesser, J. Horner, and R.A. DePinho. 1996. Expression and activity of L-Myc in normal mouse development. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**: 1794–1804.
- Henriksson, M. and B. Lüscher. 1996. Proteins of the Myc network: Essential regulators of cell growth and differentiation. *Adv. Cancer Res.* **68**: 109–182.
- Hopewell, R. and E.B. Ziff. 1995. The nerve growth factor-responsive PC12 cell line does not express the Myc dimerization partner Max. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **5**: 3470–3478.
- Hurlin, P.J., C. Queva, P.J. Koskinen, E. Steingrimsson, D.E. Ayer, N.G. Copeland, N.A. Jenkins, and R.N. Eisenman. 1995. Mad3 and Mad4: Novel Max-interacting transcriptional repressors that suppress c-myc dependent transformation and are expressed during neural and epidermal differentiation. *EMBO J.* **14**: 5646–5659.
- Ioffe, E., Y. Liu, M. Bhaumik, F. Poirier, S.M. Factor, and P. Stanley. 1995. WW6: An embryonic stem cell line with an inert genetic marker that can be traced in chimeras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 7357–7361.
- Koskinen, P.J., D.E. Ayer, and R.N. Eisenman. 1995. Repression of Myc-Ras cotransformation by Mad is mediated by multiple protein-protein interactions. *Cell Growth Differ.* **6**: 623–629.
- Lahoz, E.G., L. Xu, N. Schreiber-Agus, and R.A. DePinho. 1994. Suppression of Myc, but not E1a, transformation activity by Max-associated proteins, Mad and Mxi1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 5503–5507.
- Larsson, L.G., M. Pettersson, F. Oberg, K. Nilsson, and B. Lüscher. 1994. Expression of mad, mxi1, max and c-myc during induced differentiation of hematopoietic cells: Opposite regulation of mad and c-myc. *Oncogene* **9**: 1247–1252.
- Lemaitre, J.M., S. Bocquet, R. Buckle, and M. Mechali. 1995. Selective and rapid nuclear translocation of a c-Myc-containing complex after fertilization of *Xenopus laevis* eggs. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **15**: 5054–5062.
- Moens, C.B., A.B. Auerbach, R.A. Conlon, A.L. Joyner, and J. Rossant. 1992. A targeted mutation reveals a role for N-myc in branching morphogenesis in the embryonic mouse lung. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 691–704.
- Morgenbesser, S.D. and R.A. DePinho. 1994. Use of transgenic mice to study myc family gene function in normal mammalian development and in cancer. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **5**: 21–36.
- Morgenbesser, S.D., B.O. Williams, T. Jacks, and R.A. DePinho. 1994. p53-dependent apoptosis produced by Rb-deficiency in the developing mouse lens. *Nature* **371**: 72–74.
- Prendergast, G.C., D. Lawe, and E.B. Ziff. 1991. Association of Myn, the murine homolog of max, with c-Myc stimulates methylation-sensitive DNA binding and ras cotransformation. *Cell* **65**: 395–407.
- Sawai, S., A. Shimono, Y. Wakamatsu, C. Palmes, K. Hanaoka, and H. Kondoh. 1993. Defects of embryonic organogenesis resulting from targeted disruption of the N-myc gene in the mouse. *Development* **117**: 1445–1455.

Shen-Li et al.

- Schreiber-Agus, N. and R.A. DePinho. 1998. Repression by the Mad-(Mx1)-Sin3 complex. *BioEssays* **20**: 808–818.
- Schreiber-Agus, N., J. Horner, R. Torres, F.C. Chiu, and R.A. DePinho. 1993. Zebra fish myc family and max genes: differential expression and oncogenic activity throughout vertebrate evolution. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**: 2765–2775.
- Schreiber-Agus, N., L. Chin, K. Chen, R. Torres, G. Rao, P. Guida, A.I. Skoultchi, and R.A. DePinho. 1995. An amino-terminal domain of Mx1 mediates anti-Myc oncogenic activity and interacts with a homolog of the yeast transcriptional repressor SIN3. *Cell* **80**: 777–786.
- Schreiber-Agus, N., Y. Meng, T. Hoang, H. Hou, Jr., K. Chen, R. Greenberg, C. Cordon-Cardo, H.W. Lee, and R.A. DePinho. 1998. Role of Mx1 in ageing organ systems and the regulation of normal and neoplastic growth. *Nature* **393**: 483–487.
- Schultz, R.M. 1993. Regulation of zygotic gene activation in the mouse. *BioEssays* **15**: 531–538.
- Snow, M.H. 1981. Growth and its control in early mammalian development. *Br. Med. Bull.* **37**: 221–226.
- Stanton, B.R., A.S. Perkins, L. Tessarollo, D.A. Sassoon, and L.F. Parada. 1992. Loss of N-myc function results in embryonic lethality and failure of the epithelial component of the embryo to develop. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 2235–2247.
- Szollasi, D., P.G. Calarco, and R.P. Donahue. 1972. The nuclear envelope: Its breakdown and fate in mammalian oögonia and oocytes. *Anat. Rec.* **174**: 325–339.
- Vastrik, I., A. Kaipainen, T.L. Penttilä, A. Lymboussakis, R. Alitalo, M. Parvinen, and K. Alitalo. 1995. Expression of the mad gene during cell differentiation in vivo and its inhibition of cell growth in vitro. *J. Cell. Biol.* **128**: 1197–1208.

Essential role for Max in early embryonic growth and development

Hong Shen-Li, Rónán C. O'Hagan, Harry Hou, Jr., et al.

Genes Dev. 2000, **14**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.14.1.17](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.14.1.17)

References

This article cites 39 articles, 19 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/14/1/17.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).