Specific isoforms of Squid, a *Drosophila* hnRNP, perform distinct roles in Gurken localization during oogenesis

Amanda Norvell,¹ Richard L. Kelley,² Kristina Wehr,¹ and Trudi Schüpbach^{1,3}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544 USA; ²Department of Cell Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030 USA

Heterogeneous nuclear RNA-binding proteins, hnRNPs, have been implicated in nuclear export of mRNAs in organisms from yeast to humans. A germ-line mutation in a *Drosophila* hnRNP, Squid (Sqd)/hrp40, causes female sterility as a result of mislocalization of *gurken* (*grk*) mRNA during oogenesis. Alternative splicing produces three isoforms, SqdA, SqdB, and SqdS. Here we show that these isoforms are not equivalent; SqdA and SqdS perform overlapping but nonidentical functions in *grk* mRNA localization and protein accumulation, whereas SqdB cannot perform these functions. Furthermore, although all three Sqd isoforms are expressed in the germline cells of the ovary, they display distinct intracellular distributions. Both SqdB and SqdS are detected in germ-line nuclei, whereas SqdA is predominantly cytoplasmic. We show that this differential nuclear accumulation is correlated with a differential association with the nuclear import protein Transportin. Finally, we provide evidence that *grk* mRNA localization and translation are coupled by an interaction between Sqd and the translational repressor protein Bruno. These results demonstrate the isoform-specific contributions of individual hnRNP proteins in the regulation of a specific mRNA. Moreover, these data suggest a novel role for hnRNPs in localization and translational regulation of mRNAs.

[Key Words: hnRNP; RNA localization; oogenesis; Gurken; squid; *Drosophila*]

Received December 18, 1998; revised version accepted February 16, 1999.

The *Drosophila melanogaster* gene *squid* (*squid*) encodes a heterogeneous nuclear RNA binding protein (hnRNP), also known as hrp40 (Matunis et al. 1992; Kelley 1993). hnRNPs are a large family of proteins that have been implicated in the processing of nascent mRNA transcripts (for review, see Dreyfuss et al. 1993). Recent studies have demonstrated that a subset of hnRNPs, including human hnRNP A1 and A2, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Nplp3 and Hrp1, and *Chironomus tentans* Hrp36, rapidly shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Pinol-Roma and Dreyfuss 1992; Lee et al. 1996; Visa et al. 1996; Kessler et al. 1997; Hoek et al. 1998). A specific motif, termed M9, has been shown to mediate this nucleocytoplasmic shuttling (Michael et al. 1995; Siomi and Dreyfuss 1995; Weighardt et al. 1995), and this motif is present in Sqd. Nuclear import of M9-containing hnRNPs is achieved by an association with the nuclear import protein Transportin (Pollard et al. 1996). Studies of several of these hnRNPs have indicated that one of their major roles is the nuclear export of mRNAs (Pinol-Roma and Dreyfuss 1992; Lee et al. 1996; Visa et al. 1996), suggesting that Sqd may perform a similar function during *Drosophila* oogenesis.

Mutations in *squid* have been found to disrupt Gurken (Grk)-dependent dorsal-ventral (D-V) patterning during oogenesis (Kelley 1993). *grk* encodes a TGF- α homolog (Neuman-Silberberg and Schüpbach 1993), which is expressed specifically in the germ line. Grk is a ligand for the epidermal growth factor receptor (Egfr), which is expressed in the somatic follicle cells surrounding the germ line. Activation of Egfr by Grk in mid-oogenesis induces follicle cells to adopt a dorsal cell fate, thus defining the polarity of the egg and the future embryo. Therefore, it is imperative that Grk signaling be restricted to the future dorsal side of the egg chamber. Spatial restriction of Grk protein is achieved, in part through the localization of *grk* mRNA. Whereas Egfr expression is ubiquitous in all follicle cells, *grk* mRNA becomes tightly localized to the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte during mid-oogenesis (for review, see Nilson and Schüpbach 1999). Two female sterile mutations, *fs(1)K10* and *squid*, consistently cause a mislocalization of *grk* mRNA along the entire anterior cortex of the oocyte and lead to the production of strongly dorsalized eggs, demonstrating the critical role of *grk* mRNA localization (Wieschaus et al. 1978; Kelley 1993; Neuman-Silberberg and Schüpbach 1993). Moreover, multiple copies of a *grk* transgene also result in aberrant *grk* mRNA localization and a dorsalized egg phenotype, which suggests that the

³Corresponding author.

E-MAIL gschupbach@molbiol.princeton.edu; FAX (609) 258-1547.

oocyte contains a saturable machinery that is responsible for *grk* mRNA localization (Neuman-Silberberg and Schüpbach 1994). It is not known whether *grk* transcription occurs only within the germ-line-derived nurse cell nuclei, or whether *grk* represents a rare gene that is also transcribed within the oocyte nucleus itself. In either case, *grk* mRNA must be transported from the nucleus where it is transcribed and somehow anchored or stabilized in the dorso-anterior corner of the oocyte, and Ssqd must function at some step in this process.

In addition to its requirement for D-V patterning during oogenesis, Ssqd is required for viability during larval development (Kelley 1993). The *ssqd* gene is alternatively spliced to produce three protein isoforms: SsqdA (hrp40.1), SsqdB, and SsqdS (hrp40.2). These isoforms are identical over the majority of the protein, including the two RNA-binding domains. Interestingly, although all three isoforms contain an M9-like nuclear import motif within their common coding sequence, only the SsqdS isoform contains a strong consensus M9 motif within its alternatively spliced region (Siomi et al. 1998; Fig. 1). Within wild-type ovaries, Ssqd protein is expressed ubiquitously and can be detected in the cytoplasm and nuclei of all of the cells in the egg chamber (Matunis et al. 1994). Although null alleles of *ssqd* are lethal because of the somatic requirement for *ssqd* function, the *ssqd*¹ allele reveals the germ line requirement for *ssqd*, as it eliminates germ-line Ssqd expression during the critical stages of oogenesis when D-V patterning is established (Kelley 1993).

To gain a better understanding of the mechanism of *grk* mRNA localization during oogenesis, we have investigated the role of the Ssqd protein in this process. More specifically, using isoform-specific transgenes we have been able to analyze the contributions of the individual Ssqd isoforms during oogenesis. Despite the extensive

protein similarity, we have observed several striking differences among the isoforms. Namely, they do not function equivalently in either the localization of *grk* mRNA or in the accumulation of Grk protein. Furthermore, because of a differential association with the nuclear import protein Transportin, the Ssqd isoforms display distinct intracellular distribution patterns within the ovary. On the basis of its homology to proteins used in the nuclear export of mRNA, it seemed possible that Ssqd protein could function in the nuclear export of *grk* mRNA, although a nuclear export function alone would not fully explain the role of Ssqd in *grk* mRNA localization in the oocyte cytoplasm. Because Ssqd is required for *grk* mRNA localization and our findings that Ssqd protein can interact with *grk* mRNA directly and can associate with cytoplasmic regulators of Grk translation, we propose that Ssqd protein is responsible for the regulated nuclear export of *grk* mRNA.

Results

Rescue of D-V patterning defects by specific Ssqd isoforms

In wild-type ovaries *grk* mRNA is tightly localized to the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte during mid-oogenesis in close proximity to the oocyte nucleus. In contrast, in egg chambers from *ssqd*¹ mutant females, *grk* mRNA is localized along the entire anterior cortex of the oocyte. This mislocalized RNA is translated efficiently and leads to a dorsalization of the follicle cells overlying this region of the oocyte (Fig. 2D). The dorsalized eggs produced by *ssqd*¹ females have two characteristics. First, the eggs have dorsal appendage material expanding to the ventral side of the eggshell, and second, the dorsal-most cell fate, which is represented by the dorsal midline cells between

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of Ssqd protein isoforms. The three Ssqd isoforms, SsqdA, SsqdB, and SsqdS, are identical to amino acid position 285 (A). Within this conserved region are the two RNA-binding domains RBD-1 and RBD-2 (depicted by the hatched boxes) and an M9-like nuclear-import domain (represented by the black box). At the carboxyl termini of the proteins, all three contain a glycine-rich domain, which in the SsqdS and SsqdB isoforms continues to the end of the protein. The SsqdB and SsqdS isoforms use the same 3' exon; however, the splice site in the SsqdB isoform results in a protein with 27 fewer amino acids than SsqdS. In addition, SsqdS contains another M9 nuclear import motif (amino acids 300–338) that is not present in either SsqdA or SsqdB. The SsqdA isoform uses an alternative 3' exon and encodes a protein with a unique carboxyl terminus. A comparison

of the human hnRNP A1 M9 domain with the M9 domains found in Ssqd is shown in B. The M9 motif found only in SsqdS shares 16 of 38 amino acid identity with human hnRNP A1 M9 (*top*), whereas the M9-like motif found in all three Ssqd isoforms shares 13 of 38 amino acid identity with hnRNP A1 (*bottom*). Alignment of the M9 motifs was accomplished using the ClustalW Multiple Sequence Alignment Program.

Figure 2. Rescue of *sqd*¹ D-V patterning defects by individual *Sqd* isoforms. Females of the indicated genotypes were placed in laying blocks and eggs were collected on days 2 and 3 after blocking. (Top) The series of dorsalized eggshell phenotypes: wild-type egg with two dorsal appendages (A), slightly dorsalized egg with a broad fused dorsal appendage (B), a more dorsalized egg with widely spaced dorsal appendages attributable to the expansion of the dorsal midline fates (C), and a severely dorsalized egg with expanded dorsal appendage material around the anterior circumference of the eggshell (D). For each maternal genotype the percentage of eggs with the indicated phenotypes were calculated (n = total number of eggs examined). In the case of *Sqd* transgene expressing females, a single female laid the range of eggshell phenotypes shown.

Maternal Genotype	Percentage Observed			
	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class D
<i>OregonR</i> ($n=402$)	100 (402)	0	0	0
<i>sqd1</i> ($n=400$) <i>sqd1</i>	0	0	0	100 (400)
<i>SqdA;sqd1</i> ($n=440$) <i>SqdA;sqd1</i>	61.5 (271)	1.5 (7)	8.8 (39)	27.9 (123)
<i>SqdS;sqd1</i> ($n=409$) <i>SqdS;sqd1</i>	68.9 (282)	29.0 (119)	0.4 (2)	1.4 (6)
<i>SqdB;sqd1</i> ($n=380$) <i>SqdB;sqd1</i>	0	0	0.52 (2)	99.4 (378)
<i>SqdA;sqd1</i> ($n=389$) <i>SqdS;sqd1</i>	98.4 (383)	1.5 (6)		

the dorsal appendages, is missing in *sqd*¹ eggs. This absence of dorsal midline fates is the result of an inability to produce a highpoint of Grk protein expression on the dorsal side of the oocyte (Kelley 1993; Neuman-Silberberg and Schüpbach 1993).

The *sqd* transcript is alternatively spliced to produce three protein isoforms designated *SqdA* (hrp40.1), *SqdS* (hrp40.2), and *SqdB* (see Fig. 1) (Matunis et al. 1992; Kelley 1993). Previous studies of *Sqd* within wild-type ovaries have shown that *Sqd* protein is detected within the somatic follicle cells and the germ-line-derived nurse cells and oocyte (Matunis et al. 1994). The *sqd*¹ mutation affects a germ-line promoter of *sqd* and eliminates expression of all of the *Sqd* isoforms at the critical stages of oogenesis when D-V patterning is established. This allowed us to investigate the germ-line requirements for the individual *Sqd* isoforms using transgenes encoding specific *Sqd* proteins in a *sqd*¹ homozygous background. Expression of these transgenes is driven by the endogenous *Sqd* promoter/enhancer region; therefore, their expression should reflect that of the wild-type gene. For brevity, females carrying two copies of a specific transgene in a *sqd*¹ homozygous background will be referred to as *SqdA*, *SqdS*, or *SqdB* females, respectively.

The individual isoforms showed striking differences in their ability to rescue the D-V patterning defects of *sqd*¹ mutant females (Fig. 2). Despite the fact that the transgene is expressed in the germ line (see below), the *SqdB*

isoform cannot restore proper D-V patterning of the eggshell; *SqdB* females lay 100% strongly dorsalized eggs, which are indistinguishable from those laid by *sqd*¹ homozygotes (Fig. 2D). In contrast, expression of either the *SqdS* or *SqdA* isoform can rescue the dorsalized phenotype of *sqd*¹ mutants. Females carrying two copies of either the *SqdA* or *SqdS* transgene lay a large percentage of wild-type eggs. Neither transgene alone, however, is capable of entirely restoring the function of the wild-type gene, as *SqdA* or *SqdS* females lay a number of dorsalized and partially rescued eggs as well. Furthermore, the classification or phenotype of the partially rescued eggs laid by these females is strikingly different. *SqdA* females lay a number of very dorsalized eggs (Fig. 2D), whereas in contrast, *SqdS* females lay eggs with broad, fused dorsal appendages (Fig. 2B). It should be noted, that although *SqdA* females lay a number of severely dorsalized eggs (Fig. 2D), these eggs are not identical to *sqd*¹ or to *SqdB* eggs in that the *SqdA* eggs do not have a uniform crown of dorsal appendage material around the entire anterior circumference of the eggshell. Frequently, the *SqdA* eggs show a restoration of the dorsal midline fates (indicative of high levels of Grk protein). The differences in the phenotypes of partially rescued eggs are not attributable to differences in transgene expression levels, as decreasing the copy number from two to one does not affect the type of eggs observed (data not shown). Interestingly, females carrying one copy of each of these

transgenes (SsqdS and SsqdA) lay nearly all wild-type eggs (98% as compared with ~60% in females with two copies of either transgene). Therefore, the two Ssqd isoforms, SsqdA and SsqdS, appear to have nonidentical functions in establishing proper dorsoventral patterning during oogenesis and act together in this process.

In addition to its requirement in oogenesis, Ssqd is also required somatically. A number of lethal alleles of *ssqd* have been generated, and we have investigated the ability of the individual isoforms to restore viability of *ssqd* null alleles. Again, as with the ability of the specific isoforms to function during oogenesis, the three Ssqd isoforms differ in their ability to rescue the viability of the lethal *ssqd* allelic combination *ssqd*^{IX50}/*Df*(3R)*urd*. We observed that both SsqdS and SsqdB were capable of rescuing the essential somatic Ssqd function, in that expression of either of these transgenes allowed recovery of 11% and 19% of the expected number of *ssqd*^{IX50}/*Df*(3R)*urd* adults, respectively. In contrast, however, SsqdA was incapable of restoring the essential somatic function of Ssqd, as <0.2% of the expected number of *ssqd*^{IX50}/*Df*(3R)*urd* adults were recovered. These data further demonstrate that the individual Ssqd isoforms are not functionally equivalent.

grk mRNA and protein localization in transgenic females

Given the observation that the different Ssqd isoforms differ so markedly in their ability to function during oogenesis, we examined their effects on *grk* mRNA localization and protein accumulation. Ovaries from females carrying two copies of the SsqdS or SsqdB transgenes showed a *grk* mRNA and protein localization pattern that was consistent with their effect on patterning of the eggshell. Females carrying the SsqdB transgene lay severely dorsalized eggs, and within late stage SsqdB egg chambers, *grk* mRNA and Grk protein are observed in a symmetric ring around the anterior circumference of the oocyte (Fig. 3D,H), much like the *grk* mRNA and protein distribution in *ssqd*¹ egg chambers. In contrast, SsqdS expression allows the production of a large number of wild-type eggs, and egg chambers from SsqdS females consistently showed *grk* mRNA and Grk protein tightly localized to the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte (Fig. 3C,G), similar to wild type (Fig. 3A,E).

Unexpectedly, the analysis of *grk* mRNA localization in late stage SsqdA egg chambers did not reflect directly the ability of this isoform to rescue the D-V patterning defects of *ssqd*¹ mutants. SsqdA females lay a large percentage of wild-type eggs, yet <10% of the SsqdA stage 10 egg chambers showed tight localization of *grk* mRNA. In the overwhelming majority of SsqdA egg chambers, *grk* mRNA appeared to be enriched on the dorsal side of the egg chamber but was readily detectable on the ventral side as well. Given the large percentage of wild-type eggs laid by SsqdA females, the mislocalization of *grk* mRNA in these egg chambers is surprising. However, we found that the Grk protein distribution in SsqdA egg chambers was much closer to wild type, in that we detected Grk

Figure 3. *grk* mRNA localization and protein distribution within Ssqd transgenic egg chambers. In wild-type egg chambers, *grk* mRNA is tightly localized to the dorso-anterior corner of the oocyte in close proximity to the oocyte nucleus (A). Within egg chambers from SsqdA females, *grk* mRNA is distributed around the anterior circumference of the oocyte, although it is more concentrated on the dorsal side (B). Egg chambers from SsqdS females show a *grk* mRNA localization pattern indistinguishable from wild-type (C), and in contrast, in egg chambers from SsqdB females, *grk* mRNA is mislocalized in an anterior ring in a pattern that is indistinguishable from *ssqd*¹ egg chambers (D). Grk protein localization within egg chambers from Ssqd transgenic females (E–H). In stage 10 wild-type egg chambers, Grk protein accumulates in the dorso-anterior corner of the oocyte in a location coincident with the *grk* mRNA (E). Within SsqdA and SsqdS egg chambers, Grk protein is localized to the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte (F,G), much like that in wild type, and in SsqdB egg chambers Grk protein is mislocalized along the anterior circumference of the oocyte (H). The granular staining observed in the nurse cells in F and H is nonspecific background staining that is seen in *grk* mutant ovaries as well.

protein localized to the dorsoanterior corner in a large proportion of late stage egg chambers (Fig. 3F). A smaller fraction showed an obvious asymmetric concentration of Grk protein in the dorsoanterior corner and some detectable Grk protein on the ventral side, and a further smaller fraction of egg chambers had symmetrically distributed Grk protein very similar to that observed in *ssqd*¹ or SsqdB females. Thus, this protein distribution closely mirrors the distribution of eggshell phenotypes produced by SsqdA-expressing females. Because the Grk protein distribution was less consistently abnormal than the *grk* mRNA distribution, these data show that the SsqdA isoform is less capable in functioning in *grk* mRNA localization than SsqdS. They also indicate that SsqdA affects the production of Grk protein.

In summary, therefore, the Ssqd isoforms differ in their ability to localize *grk* mRNA and in addition, they ap-

pear to affect differentially the accumulation of Grk protein. The SqdB isoform seems incapable of restoring normal *grk* RNA or protein distribution. The SqdS isoform restores the normal pattern of *grk* RNA and protein distribution, but in some cases does not allow the production of peak levels of Grk protein, as evidenced by the fraction of eggs with a broad fused appendage. Finally, SqdA is much less effective in restoring *grk* mRNA localization than SqdS, but produces less mislocalized Grk protein than SqdB.

Intracellular distribution of individual *Sqd* isoforms

Given the striking functional differences (rescue of germ-line and somatic function, as well as *grk* mRNA and protein distribution) of the individual *Sqd* isoforms, we were interested in determining whether there were any differences in their subcellular distributions. Previous analysis of *Sqd* protein expression in wild-type ovaries examined bulk *Sqd* expression and did not distinguish the distinct isoforms from one another. Recent reports have defined a 38-amino-acid motif, termed M9, which mediates the nuclear import of hnRNPs through an interaction with the nuclear import protein Transportin (Siomi and Dreyfuss 1995; Pollard et al. 1996). Sequence analysis of the *Sqd* protein isoforms reveals that such an M9 motif is present in *Sqd*. A comparison of the human hnRNPA1 M9 sequence with the *Sqd* isoforms shows that M9 is differentially present in the isoforms (see Fig. 1). Specifically, within the alternatively spliced region of SqdS, there is a region (amino acids 300–338) that aligns with the human hnRNPA1 M9 sequence (16 of 38 amino acid identity) (Siomi et al. 1998). Interestingly, this sequence is not present in either the SqdA or the SqdB isoforms. However, we found that there is another M9-like sequence present in all three *Sqd* isoforms (amino acids 215–254) that aligns with the human hnRNPA1 M9 motif (13 of 38 amino acid identity).

We examined *Sqd* protein expression in the ovaries of *sqd¹* females carrying two copies of the individual transgenes (Fig. 4). Both SqdB and SqdS are detected in the nuclei of the germ-line-derived nurse cells (Fig. 4B,C). In addition, SqdS also accumulates in the oocyte nucleus, whereas SqdB does not. Interestingly, examination of SqdA females revealed that this isoform was undetectable in the germ line (Fig. 4A). Given that SqdA rescues efficiently the D-V patterning defect of *sqd¹* mutants, it seemed unlikely that it is not expressed in the germ line. Therefore, we analyzed *Sqd* RNA expression in SqdA females using isoform-specific probes and found that the SqdA transcript is readily detectable in the germ line of ovaries from SqdA females (data not shown). It seems, therefore, likely that the intracellular distribution of SqdA is predominantly cytoplasmic and thus, too diffuse to be visualized. Consistent with this possibility, the *Sqd* protein expression in the somatic follicle cells in SqdA egg chambers appears much more diffuse and cytoplasmic than in SqdS egg chambers (Fig. 4D,E).

Nuclear import of M9-containing hnRNPs is mediated through an interaction with the import protein Trans-

Figure 4. Expression of *Sqd* isoforms within the ovary. Stage 10 egg chambers from *sqd¹* females carrying the individual *Sqd* transgenes were examined for *Sqd* protein expression. *Sqd* protein is in red, and cortical actin detected with phalloidin is in green. Within egg chambers from *Sqd* transgenic females *Sqd* protein is undetectable in the germ line of SqdA females (A), but is detected within the nurse cell nuclei of both SqdS and SqdB females (B,C). Furthermore, in egg chambers from SqdS females, *Sqd* protein is detected within the oocyte nucleus (B). Higher magnification view of the somatic follicle cells is shown, in which endogenous protein and the transgene-derived protein, is detected. In SqdS egg chambers the follicle cell *Sqd* protein is predominantly nuclear (E). However, in SqdA egg chambers diffuse, cytoplasmic *Sqd* protein is detected (D). The differential nuclear accumulation of SqdB, SqdS, and SqdA is associated with a differential interaction with the nuclear import protein Transportin (F). [³⁵S]methionine-labeled *Drosophila* Transportin protein was incubated with a series of GST fusion proteins (GST only, GST-SqdA, GST-SqdS, GST-SqdB, and GST-Encore) and precipitated with glutathione-coated-agarose beads. Transportin binding to the GST-fusions was assessed with SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. Transportin protein is brought down by both SqdS and SqdB, but not with GST only, SqdA, or Encore protein.

portin (Pollard et al. 1996). As the M9 motif is expressed differentially in the *Sqd* isoforms, it seemed possible that the differences in nuclear accumulation that we observed could be attributable to a differential association with Transportin. To address this issue, we tested the ability of in vitro transcribed and translated *Drosophila* Transportin protein to bind to GST fusion proteins corresponding to the *Sqd* isoforms GST alone or a GST-Encore fusion (Fig. 4F). We quantitated the amount of ³⁵S-labeled protein (cpm) associated with each GST fusion using a PhosphorImager. In direct correlation to the intracellular distributions observed, we found that Transportin protein associates strongly with both SqdS (800,901 cpm) and SqdB (269,932 cpm), the two isoforms detected within germ-line nuclei but much less strongly with GST only (213 cpm), GST-Encore (9255 cpm) or

SqdA (16,325 cpm), which assumes a cytoplasmic distribution.

At this time it is not clear why SqdA and SqdB, which share the same M9-like motif, behave so differently with respect to nuclear accumulation and association with Transportin. The carboxyl termini of these two isoforms are different from one another, with SqdB having an extensively glycine-rich domain that SqdA does not contain. In addition, the reason for the exclusive accumulation of SqdS, and not SqdB, within the oocyte nucleus is not known. One potential explanation could be that SqdS may be transported preferentially into the oocyte, thus allowing its import into the oocyte nucleus, whereas SqdB may be retained in the nurse cells. In any event, these data demonstrate that the differential nuclear accumulation of the Sqd proteins is associated with a differential ability to interact with *Drosophila* Transportin.

Sqd protein distribution is altered in egg chambers from *fs(1)K10* females

The phenotypes of eggs laid by *sqd¹* and *fs(1)K10* females are similar (Wieschaus et al. 1978; Kelley 1993). In both cases, *grk* mRNA is mislocalized and Grk protein is produced around the entire anterior circumference of the oocyte. These two female sterile mutations, although similar, are also unique with respect to other known female sterile mutants. In most other cases in which *grk* mRNA is mislocalized, for example, in *orb* and *spnB* mutant egg chambers, the unlocalized RNA is not translated efficiently (for review, see Nilson and Schüpbach 1999). Mutations in *cappuccino* and *spire* also result in a mislocalization of *grk* RNA and translation of the mislocalized message, but these two mutations have more

generalized effects on oocyte patterning and do not seem as specific for *grk* function as K10 or the germ-line forms of Sqd (for review, see Nilson and Schüpbach 1999). In addition, early in oogenesis Grk is necessary for the establishment of anteroposterior patterning. However, eggs laid by both *sqd¹* and *K10* mutant mothers display no anteroposterior defects, but are abnormal along only the D-V axis.

When we examined the expression of K10 protein in *sqd¹* mutant ovaries we found that the distribution of K10 in *sqd¹* mutants is unaffected and K10 protein is detected in the oocyte nucleus of late stage egg chambers (data not shown). Conversely, however, the expression of Sqd protein is affected by the *K10* mutation (Fig. 5). In *K10* mutant ovaries, Sqd is present in the nurse cell nuclei but absent from the oocyte nucleus (Fig. 5C). In addition, in wild-type egg chambers Sqd protein is detected at the posterior pole at late stages and this cytoplasmic Sqd localization is unaffected in *K10* egg chambers (data not shown). These data indicate that Sqd protein is, in fact, expressed in *K10* mutant egg chambers, but that its accumulation in the oocyte nucleus is specifically lost in the absence of K10 function.

To assess whether the role of K10 in Sqd protein localization to the oocyte nucleus is direct, we wanted to determine whether K10 protein and Sqd protein interact with one another physically. The full-length K10 protein (463 amino acids) is comprised of a hydrophilic amino-terminal domain (residues 1–225), a central apolar domain (amino acids 225–337), and a carboxy-terminal domain containing a helix-turn-helix motif (Prost et al. 1988). In vitro-translated labeled K10 protein corresponding to the first two domains of the protein was incubated with purified GST–Sqd fusion proteins, and after incubation with glutathione-coated-agarose beads,

Figure 5. Sqd protein distribution is altered in *fs(1)K10* egg chambers and physically interacts with K10 protein. Expression of Sqd protein in wild type, *sqd¹* and *fs(1)K10* stage 10 egg chambers, with Sqd in red and cortical actin detected with phalloidin in green. In wild-type egg chambers, Sqd protein is detected in the follicle cell nuclei, the nurse cell nuclei, and the oocyte nucleus (A). In contrast, in *sqd¹* egg chambers, Sqd protein is undetectable in the germ line (B). Within *fs(1)K10* egg chambers, Sqd protein is detected in the nurse cell nuclei, but is undetectable within the oocyte nucleus (C). To determine whether Sqd protein and K10 protein can physically associate with one another, in vitro binding studies were conducted. ³⁵S-labeled K10 protein was incubated with a series of

GST fusion proteins, and after incubation with glutathione-coated-agarose beads, K10 binding was assessed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography (D). The input ³⁵S-K10 protein is shown in lane 1. Association with the following GST-fusion proteins was tested: (lane 2) GST only; (lane 3) GST-SqdA; (lane 4) GST-SqdS; (lane 5) GST-SqdB; (lane 6) GST-Grk.

K10 association was determined by SDS-PAGE analysis and autoradiography. In a representative experiment (Fig. 5D), K10 protein associates with all three of the Sqd isoforms [SqdA (80,038 cpm), SqdS (80,061 cpm), and SqdB (30,239 cpm)], but interacts much more weakly with either GST alone (11,577 cpm) or with GST-Grk (9552 cpm). These data indicate that Sqd protein and K10 protein can interact directly with one another, even in the absence of other ovarian proteins or RNAs.

Sqd protein can interact with *grk* mRNA

The *sqd* gene is clearly required for proper *grk* mRNA localization. As it encodes a known RNA-binding protein, one potential target for Sqd is *grk* mRNA itself. Therefore, we undertook a UV cross-linking analysis looking for interactions between ovarian proteins and parts of the *grk* RNA. In these experiments, wild-type ovarian extracts were used as a source of Sqd protein. We used different regions of the *grk* 3' untranslated region (UTR) as probes (Fig. 6A), and as a negative control, we used the +3 fragment of the *nanos* (*nos*) 3' UTR (Gavis et al. 1996), because *nanos* mRNA localization is unaffected by the *sqd*¹ mutation (data not shown).

To investigate whether Sqd protein can interact with the 3' UTR of the *grk* transcript, ovarian extracts were incubated with labeled *grk* 3' UTR fragment 1 or the *nos* +3 fragment. The appropriately treated protein-RNA complexes were subjected to immunoprecipitation with a monoclonal anti-Sqd antibody and visualized after SDS-PAGE (Fig. 6B). The Sqd proteins, which are resolved as a distinct doublet at ~42 kD, interact well with the 3' UTR of *grk*, but interact much less strongly with the *nos* +3 3' UTR RNA fragment.

We then further investigated the interaction between

Sqd protein and the *grk* 3' UTR through competition with excess cold competitor RNAs (Fig. 6C,D). In these experiments, the *grk* 3' UTR fragment 1, which comprises nearly all of the *grk* 3' UTR, was broken into two smaller fragments: *grk* 3' UTR fragment 2 and *grk* 3' UTR fragment 3. Incubating either 3' UTR probe (fragment 2 or 3) with whole ovarian extracts allows detection of several protein RNA complexes, a 42-kD doublet, and two others of higher molecular mass. These complexes are competed away more efficiently by the addition of excess cold self RNA probe (~20×, 100×, or 200× excess), than by excess *nos* +3 3' UTR probe. On the basis of molecular mass, and the immunoprecipitation experiments, it appears that the 42-kD bands are Sqd protein. The largest protein that interacts with the *grk* 3' UTR, which runs with an apparent molecular mass of ~66 kD, appears to correspond to the ovarian protein Bruno. Bruno was identified as a *trans*-acting factor that represses the translation of unlocalized *oskar* mRNA (Kim-Ha et al. 1995). A specific binding sequence for Bruno has been identified and the *grk* 3' UTR contains one such Bruno response element (BRE). Interestingly, however, both *grk* 3' UTR fragments 2 and 3 appear to interact with the 66-kD protein. Although computer searching has revealed a single canonical BRE at the extreme 3' end of the *grk* 3' UTR, it is possible that Bruno can interact with other regions of the *grk* 3' UTR, either directly or indirectly through an association with other proteins (in particular, Sqd). Nevertheless, previous studies have reported that Bruno does bind the *grk* 3' UTR (Kim-Ha et al. 1995), and our data are consistent with those findings. As of this time, the identity of the 55-kD protein is unknown.

These studies demonstrate that Sqd protein can interact with *grk* mRNA, although Sqd will most likely interact with a variety of RNAs as well (see Discussion

Figure 6. Sqd protein binds *grk* mRNA directly. (A) The regions of the *grk* 3' UTR and the *nos* 3' UTR that were used as probes are depicted. (B) Wild-type ovarian lysates were subjected to UV cross-linking to the indicated RNA probes, after cross-linking the RNA-protein complexes were precipitated with anti-Sqd and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Sqd protein associates with the *grk* 3' UTR (lane 1), whereas it does not interact with the +3 region of the *nos* 3' UTR (lane 2). (C,D) To test the specificity of the Sqd-*grk* interactions, UV cross-linking analyses were repeated in the presence of excess, cold competitor RNAs. The interaction between whole ovarian protein extracts and *grk* 3' UTR fragments 2 and 3 were tested. Binding in the presence of no competitor (lane 1), or with increasing amounts (~20×, 100×, or 200× excess) of specific competitor (lanes 2–4), or with increasing amounts of non-specific competitor (lanes 5–7) are shown.

section). In fact, we have observed that excess *nos* RNA can act as a competitor for Ssqd binding to a certain extent. However, these data do indicate that an interaction between Ssqd and *grk* is mediated by sequences located within the 3' UTR of the *grk* transcript. Data from our laboratory supports this finding, as studies of the *cis*-acting sequences required for *grk* mRNA localization have shown that the 3' UTR is required for localization to the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte (G. Thio and T. Schüpbach, in prep.).

Ssqd protein can associate with Bruno protein

Because Ssqd protein binds *grk* RNA directly and belongs to the class of hnRNPs implicated in nuclear mRNA export, it seems likely that Ssqd functions in the nuclear export of *grk* mRNA. One complication to this model is that in the *ssqd*¹ mutant *grk* mRNA is still able to leave the nucleus and accumulate in the oocyte cytoplasm but not in the dorso-anterior corner. Therefore, the function of the Ssqd protein appears to be in the regulated nuclear export of *grk* mRNA, such that Ssqd is responsible for delivering the *grk* message to a cytoplasmic protein involved in its anchoring, possibly coupled to translation. Thus, one might expect that Ssqd protein should interact with some cytoplasmic ovarian proteins.

A number of proteins have been implicated in the translational regulation of *grk* mRNA, both positively (e.g., Encore and Vasa) and negatively (e.g., Bruno) (for review, see Nilson and Schüpbach 1999). Therefore, we decided to investigate whether Ssqd protein could directly associate with any of these candidates. Using the *in vitro* association assay described above, we looked for interactions between the Ssqd isoforms and Encore, Vasa, or Bruno. Although we were unable to detect a direct interaction between Ssqd and Encore or Vasa (data not shown), Ssqd protein did associate with Bruno protein *in vitro* (Fig. 7A). Although the Ssqd–Bruno interaction we have observed *in vitro* is not extremely strong, it is very consistently observed over multiple experiments. In this representative experiment, the amount of Bruno brought down by the GST fusions was quantitated using a Phos-

phorImager. The cpm of Bruno associated with each of the fusions was as follows: GST only (10,632 cpm), GST–SsqdA (121,092 cpm), GST–SsqdS (53,872 cpm), GST–SsqdB (32,805 cpm), and GST–Encore (9291 cpm). To further examine the association we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments looking for an interaction between these two proteins *in vivo*. As shown in Figure 7B, immunoprecipitation of Ssqd protein, but not Windbeutel protein, also brings down a protein of ~66 kDa that reacts with anti-Bruno antisera. Because of the high level of Ssqd protein expression in the follicle cells of *ssqd*¹ mutant ovaries, it is not possible to use *ssqd*¹ lysates as a negative control for the coimmunoprecipitation. However, collectively, our data show that Ssqd protein and Bruno protein can associate with one another. Moreover, these data provide evidence for a link between *grk* mRNA localization and translational regulation.

Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that in *ssqd*¹ mutant females *grk* mRNA is mislocalized to the entire anterior circumference of the oocyte in late stage egg chambers. This mislocalized mRNA is translated efficiently and results in a corresponding expansion of Grk protein expression. As a consequence, too many follicle cells receive the Grk signal and adopt a dorsal cell fate that leads to dorsalization of the resulting egg and embryo (Neuman-Silberberg and Schüpbach 1993).

Ssqd is a member of the shuttling class of hnRNPs and its homologs include human hnRNPA1, *S. cerevisiae* Npl3 and Hrp1, as well as *C. tentans* hrp36 (Pinol-Roma and Dreyfuss 1992; Lee et al. 1996; Visa et al. 1996; Kessler et al. 1997; Hoek et al. 1998). This family of RNA-binding proteins has been implicated in the nuclear export of mRNAs. On the basis of its homology to the shuttling hnRNPs, the nuclear accumulation of some of the Ssqd isoforms, and their ability to interact with Transportin, in conjunction with our observation that Ssqd protein can interact with sequences within the 3' UTR of the *grk* transcript, we suggest that Ssqd protein is a key mediator of regulated *grk* mRNA nuclear export.

Figure 7. Ssqd protein associates with the translational repressor protein Bruno. (A) ³⁵S-labeled Bruno protein (lane 1) was incubated with a series of GST fusion proteins, and after incubation with glutathione-coated-agarose beads, Bruno's association was assessed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. The following GST fusions were tested for their ability to bind Bruno: (lane 2) GST only; (lane 3) GST–SsqdA; (lane 4) GST–SsqdS; (lane 5) GST–SsqdB; and (lane 6) GST–Encore. (B) To further investigate the interaction between Ssqd protein and Bruno protein, coimmunoprecipitation experiments were done. Whole cell lysates from wild-type ovaries were subjected to

immunoprecipitation with a mouse anti-Ssqd monoclonal or with a mouse anti-Wind antisera. The blots were probed with a rabbit anti-Bruno antisera: (lane 1) whole cell ovarian extract; (lane 2) anti-Wind immunoprecipitate; (lane 3) anti-Ssqd immunoprecipitate.

Because the absence of Sqd protein in the germ line leads to mislocalized *grk* mRNA rather than the nuclear retention of *grk* message, we suggest that the association of Sqd with *grk* message leads to the delivery of the mRNA from the nucleus to appropriately localized anchors in the dorsoanterior corner of the oocyte.

Sqd protein accumulation within the oocyte nucleus is required for grk mRNA localization

At least two lines of evidence indicate that Sqd protein must be present within the oocyte nucleus for *grk* mRNA to be localized properly during oogenesis. First, of the three Sqd isoforms only SqdS is detected within the oocyte nucleus and among the Sqd transgenic females only those expressing the SqdS isoform show properly localized *grk* mRNA. Second, the relationship between K10 and the distribution of Sqd protein also demonstrates the importance of Sqd accumulation within the oocyte nucleus. Although the nuclear import of SqdS protein is most likely driven by its association with Transportin, K10 function is required for the stable accumulation of Sqd in the oocyte nucleus. This places K10 function upstream of Sqd in the germ line. Accumulation of Sqd protein in the nurse cells is not affected, and in addition Sqd is detectable within the oocyte cytoplasm of K10 mutants. As the SqdS protein is the only Sqd isoform that is normally detected within the oocyte nucleus, the major effect of K10 must be on the nuclear retention of SqdS.

At this time it is unclear how K10 performs this function mechanistically. We have observed that K10 protein will physically interact with the Sqd isoforms. The only known motif within the K10 protein is a potential helix-turn-helix domain in the carboxyl terminus (Prost et al. 1988), but site-directed mutagenesis of this domain has revealed that this motif is unnecessary for K10 function (Cohen and Serano 1995). K10 could be responsible for the modification of Sqd protein in such a manner as to promote nuclear retention, or alternatively K10 could form a complex with Sqd protein that stabilizes its accumulation within the oocyte nucleus. In either case, the finding that Sqd protein requires the presence of K10 to accumulate in the oocyte nucleus further suggests that the phenotype of *K10* mutant eggs is attributable to an effect on Sqd.

These data on Sqd and K10 also have interesting implications for *grk* transcription. It has been an open question as to whether *grk* mRNA is transcribed in the nurse cell nuclei, the oocyte nucleus, or both. The observations that Sqd protein can interact with *grk* transcripts and that Sqd must be present within the oocyte nucleus to localize properly *grk* mRNA demonstrate the critical importance of the oocyte nucleus in this process. These findings suggest that, at least in mid-to late oogenesis, the oocyte nucleus may be the critical site of *grk* mRNA transcription and that this is coupled directly to its localization. This interpretation is supported by the recent report of Saunders and Cohen (1999), which also suggests

that the oocyte nucleus is the site of *grk* mRNA transcription.

Regulation of Grk activity is mediated through coupled RNA localization and translational regulation

Despite their extensive protein identity, the three Sqd isoforms behave strikingly differently from one another. As discussed, the three Sqd isoforms assume distinct intracellular distributions within the ovary. Furthermore, the three Sqd isoforms are also unique with respect to function. Sqd is required both somatically and in the germ line, and we have investigated the ability of each of the isoforms to function in these two capacities. Both SqdS and SqdB can provide at least some of the essential somatic functions of Sqd, whereas SqdA cannot. In contrast, the SqdS and SqdA isoforms can function during oogenesis to restore the patterning defects of *sqd¹* mutant females, whereas SqdB cannot do so. Significantly, although both SqdA and SqdS can rescue the patterning defects of *sqd¹* mutants, rescue is not complete, and the phenotype of partially rescued eggs laid by these females is qualitatively different. These differences demonstrate that the SqdS and SqdA isoforms perform unique roles in the regulation of Grk activity and that together these proteins cooperate to establish proper D-V patterning during oogenesis.

A comparison of the partially rescued Sqd eggs to those laid by *sqd¹* and *K10* mutant females shows that there are at least two critical aspects of Grk localization that are required for correct D-V patterning: (1) an absolute exclusion of Grk signaling from the ventral side of the egg chamber, and (2) high levels of Grk signaling on the dorsal-most side of the egg chamber to establish the dorsal-most cell fates. The first aspect of Grk localization is normally achieved through the localization of *grk* mRNA itself. *sqd¹* and *K10* mutant females are both defective in this process, and therefore, these females lay severely dorsalized eggs that have dorsal appendage material on the ventral and lateral sides of the eggshell. This aspect of Grk localization is accomplished efficiently in SqdS females and requires the accumulation of Sqd protein within the oocyte nucleus. In contrast, SqdA is only partially capable of restricting *grk* mRNA to the dorsal side of the egg chamber. In the overwhelming majority of SqdA egg chambers examined *grk* mRNA was not excluded from the ventral side of the oocyte. However, 60% of the eggs laid by SqdA females were wild type and Grk protein was often only detected on the dorsal side. Therefore, although SqdA is capable of restricting Grk protein from the ventral side of the egg chamber, this localization is not achieved primarily through the strict localization of *grk* mRNA itself, but appears to reveal a role for SqdA in translation.

The second aspect of Grk localization is the requirement for high levels of Grk protein on the dorsal-most side of the egg chamber, which produces the dorsal-midline cell fates (Deng and Bownes 1997; Queenan et al. 1997). Eggs from *sqd¹* and *K10* females are not identical in this aspect of Grk function. In the case of *K10* eggs,

the dorsal–midline cell fates are expanded. Close examination of *grk* mRNA localization within *K10* oocytes has shown that although *grk* mRNA expression has expanded to the ventral side, a higher concentration of *grk* mRNA, and consequently Grk protein, remains on the dorsal side of the egg chamber (Roth and Schüpbach 1994). In contrast, *sqd¹* females produce eggs that lack the dorsal–midline cell fates, and *grk* mRNA within these egg chambers is deposited symmetrically around the entire anterior circumference of the oocyte. Interestingly, partially rescued SqdS egg chambers do not produce the dorsal-most cell fate, but rather have an expansion of the more lateral dorsal appendage-secreting cell fates. Therefore, SqdS is not as efficient at achieving the peak levels of *grk* signaling needed for the specification of the dorsal–midline cell fates. Expression of SqdA, however, does allow the correct establishment of the dorsal–midline. Although the partially rescued eggs laid by SqdA females are classified as severely dorsalized, they appear more similar to *K10* eggs than *sqd¹* eggs in that for the most part, the severely dorsalized SqdA eggs do not have the uniform crown of dorsal appendage material that *sqd¹* eggs have. This suggests that SqdA allows the highest levels of *grk* signaling to occur properly on the dorsal-most side of the egg chamber. The general similarities in phenotype of *K10* mutant egg chambers and egg chambers that express SqdA further supports the conclusion that the major function of K10 is directed at SqdS. Taken together, the ability of SqdA to prevent translation of ventrally localized *grk* mRNA and its ability to provide peak levels of Grk protein required on the dorsal side of the egg chamber, strongly suggests that SqdA has a role in the accumulation of Grk protein. Moreover, the role of SqdA is both positive and negative, suggesting that SqdA may influence the association of *grk* mRNA with appropriate translational regulators.

A model for the role of Sqd in the regulation of Grk

The ability to investigate the roles of the individual Sqd isoforms in the regulation of Grk during *Drosophila* oogenesis has revealed that there are two key aspects of Grk regulation: *grk* mRNA localization and Grk protein accumulation. Our data demonstrate that both of these critical aspects of Grk regulation are accomplished by Sqd protein; however, these functions are performed differentially by the SqdS and SqdA isoforms. The severity of the *sqd¹* mutation, therefore, reflects the loss of function of both of these proteins within the germ line, thus causing the mislocalization of *grk* mRNA and the inappropriate accumulation of ectopic Grk protein. Restoration of either of these levels of regulation allows partial rescue of the D–V patterning defects of *sqd¹* mutants, but full rescue requires the function of both SqdS and SqdA.

Our data suggest that Sqd protein is a key regulator of both aspects of Grk regulation. The interaction of Sqd with the translational repressor protein Bruno provides a link between *grk* mRNA localization and its translational regulation. Bruno has been shown directly to have a role in the translational repression of unlocalized *oskar*

(*osk*) mRNA. The interaction between Bruno and *osk* mRNA is mediated by a specific sequence within the *osk* message, which is termed BRE (Kim-Ha et al. 1995). As of yet, the molecular mechanism of Bruno action is not fully understood. However, correctly localized *osk* mRNA must somehow be relieved from the Bruno-mediated repression by specific *trans*-acting factors localized to the posterior of the embryo (Gunkel et al. 1998).

The interaction between Sqd and Bruno suggests that Bruno may play a role in the translational regulation of *grk* mRNA. In support of this, *grk* mRNA is known to contain a BRE within its 3' UTR, and Bruno has been shown to bind the *grk* message (Kim-Ha et al. 1995; Fig. 7). In addition, it has been demonstrated that during late stages of oogenesis, Bruno protein is concentrated at the anterior end of the oocyte, in a position that is coincident with localized *grk* mRNA (Webster et al. 1998). On the basis of our protein interaction data, we suggest that it may serve as a translational repressor of unlocalized *grk* mRNA. As is the case for localized *osk* mRNA, the appropriately localized *grk* message would be relieved of its Bruno-mediated repression by other localized *trans*-acting factors. Moreover, the physical association between Sqd protein and Bruno protein suggests an appealing model to explain the similarity of the *sqd* and *K10* mutant phenotypes. As discussed, these two female sterile mutations represent the only cases in which mislocalized *grk* mRNA is translated consistently and efficiently in all egg chambers. We propose that the role of Sqd protein is to take *grk* mRNA from the oocyte nucleus, recruit Bruno in the cytoplasm, and deliver *grk* mRNA to an anchor (Fig. 8). In the absence of nuclear Sqd, in either *sqd* or *K10* egg chambers, *grk* RNA exits the nucleus by a generalized export mechanism, but does not associate efficiently with the repressor Bruno nor with the anchor. Because the interaction between *grk* mRNA and Bruno does not occur, even the unlocalized *grk* mRNA is translated efficiently. Using this model, Sqd protein provides the physical link between *grk* mRNA transport, localization, and its appropriately regulated translation.

In summary, the analysis of the role of Sqd in *Drosophila* oogenesis has revealed several novel aspects of hnRNP function. Although the *sqd¹* mutation has provided the opportunity to study specifically the role of Sqd in oogenesis, the lethality of *sqd* null alleles demonstrates that it is required for other processes during development. Moreover, as *grk* itself is not required in other tissues and because Sqd is clearly required at other times during development, *grk* cannot be the only target of Sqd protein. Although we have observed that Sqd will interact with the *grk* transcript, it will most certainly bind to other mRNAs as well. Nevertheless, the requirement for Sqd during oogenesis and its specific effect on *grk* mRNA localization have revealed additional roles for hnRNPs. Specifically, these data have shown that through its direct association with *grk* mRNA, Sqd protein causes the mRNA to be localized properly to a very specific site within the oocyte cytoplasm. Moreover, through an association with Bruno, a defined transla-

Figure 8. Model for the role of Sqd in *grk* mRNA localization and translation. In the oocyte nucleus SqdS protein binds to *grk* mRNA and to K10 protein, possibly as a complex. Then SqdS protein in a complex with *grk* mRNA and potentially other factors, exits the nucleus. Once in the cytoplasm, SqdS delivers *grk* mRNA to the SqdA isoform and the translational regulatory protein Bruno. The RNA–protein complex is localized and anchored, whereupon positive factors, such as Encore, Orb, Vasa, (Orb)oo18 RNA-binding, or (SpnE), Spindle E, relieve the translational repression by Bruno (and possibly interact with Sqd), leading to efficient translation of *grk* mRNA.

tional regulator, Sqd protein provides a link between *grk* mRNA export and its regulated translation. Finally, one of the most unexpected findings of these studies is the differential functionality of the unique Sqd isoforms, both in terms of Sqd's somatic function and its germ line requirement. Different isoforms, presumably through differential association with RNA-anchoring proteins, components of the translational machinery, or cellular trafficking molecules, can affect differentially localization and efficient translation of mRNAs.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks, transgenes

The previously described *sqd*¹, *sqd*^{IX50}, and *fs(1)K10* mutant stocks were used (Wieschaus et al. 1978; Kelley 1993). To generate transgenic flies expressing the individual Sqd protein isoforms, a 15-kb genomic fragment containing the complete *sqd* locus was used. By digestion with *Eco*R1 and *Bgl*II restriction enzymes, the genomic region containing the alternatively spliced exons was removed. This genomic region was replaced with corresponding regions of the appropriate cDNAs, resulting in pseudo-minigene constructs. These constructs were introduced into flies using standard P-element-mediated transformation (Spradling and Rubin 1982). The Sqd transgenes were crossed into *sqd*¹ and *sqd*^{IX50} backgrounds to generate stocks that were used to test the ability of each of the Sqd isoforms to rescue the germ-line and somatic requirements for *sqd*. Somatic function of the isoforms was measured by their ability to rescue the viability of the lethal allelic combination *sqd*^{IX50}/*Df*(3R)*urd*. Similarly, the germ-line function of the isoforms was determined by their ability to rescue the patterning defects of eggs laid by *sqd*¹ homozygous females.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described

(Matunis et al. 1994). Ovaries were fixed in 6% formaldehyde, 16.7 mM KP0₄, 75 mM KCl, 25 mM NaCl, 3.3 mM MgCl₂, and heptane. After fixation, ovaries were washed in PBS + 0.3% Triton X-100, and incubated with appropriate primary and secondary antibodies. The following primary antibodies were used: monoclonal anti-Sqd (8G6) (kindly provided by G. Dreyfuss, University of Pennsylvania) (Matunis et al. 1992), polyclonal rat anti-K10 (a generous gift of R. Cohen, University of Kansas) (Prost et al. 1988), and mouse monoclonal anti-Grk (1D12), which was used at a dilution of 1:40 (Van Buskirk and Schüpbach 1999). After staining, ovaries were mounted in 70% PBS/30% glycerol and analyzed by confocal microscopy (BioRad MRC 600 confocal microscope).

Construction of Sqd–GST fusion proteins

To generate full-length GST fusion proteins of each of the Sqd isoforms, full-length cDNA clones were used as templates for PCR amplification. Primers were designed to produce in-frame fusions, and to produce convenient restriction enzyme sequences for directional cloning. The cDNAs were cloned into the pGex3 bacterial expression vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and transformed into JM109 bacteria. To induce fusion protein expression, cells were grown 1 hr at 37°C under no selection conditions (no antibiotic). After this time, induction was performed by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM, grown for an additional 3 hr, and cells were harvested by centrifugation. The cells were lysed in 1× PBS, containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mg/ml lysozyme, 50 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitors. After incubation on ice, the cell lysates were subjected to three rounds of freeze–thawing, and cellular debris was pelleted. Glycerol was to a final concentration of 20%, and the supernatants were stored at –80°C. The GST fusions were purified from the supernatants by incubation with glutathione-coated agarose beads (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). To check the integrity and size of the GST fusions, purified proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and Western blotted with an anti-GST monoclonal antibody (SantaCruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Finally, the GST fusions were quantitated using a Bio-Rad Protein Kit.

In vitro association of recombinant proteins

³⁵S-Labeled proteins were made using TNT reticulocyte transcription translation system (Promega, Madison, WI). The following plasmids were used: the K10 cDNA (pKXR; R. Cohen), Bruno cDNA (p4004; P. Macdonald, Stanford University) Vasa cDNA (P. Lasko, McGill University), and *Drosophila* Transportin (Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project). All binding studies were conducted in 1× PBS + 0.5% BSA. For each sample, the ³⁵S-labeled proteins were precleared by incubation with 50 µl of a 50% slurry glutathione-coated agarose beads (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Supernatants were transferred to new tubes, 50 µl of fresh glutathione beads and 1 µg GST fusion protein were added. After incubation at room temperature for 30 min, the beads were washed three times with PBS + 0.1% Tween 20, resuspended in 2× reducing SDS-PAGE sample buffer and resolved by SDS-PAGE. After drying, associated proteins were visualized by autoradiography and the amount of radioactivity associated with each GST fusion protein was quantitated using a PhosphorImager and ImageQuant Software (Molecular Dynamics).

Immunoprecipitations

Anti-Sqd immunoprecipitations were performed using the 8G6 mouse monoclonal anti-Sqd antibody (provided by G. Dreyfuss). Briefly, whole cell ovarian lysates were prepared by lysing Oregon R ovaries in lysis buffer [10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5% Triton X-100]. Lysates were cleared of cellular debris by centrifugation and supernatants were precleared by incubation with protein A-G conjugated-agarose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). Supernatants were subjected to immunoprecipitation with the anti-Sqd monoclonal and fresh protein A-G-agarose beads. Immunoprecipitates were washed with lysis buffer, resuspended in 2× SDS-PAGE reducing sample buffer and resolved by SDS-PAGE.

UV cross linking analysis

RNA probes were made by in vitro transcription. Radiolabeled probes were generated by including [³²P]UTP in the transcription reaction mix in which 500 ng of linearized DNA template was used (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). After synthesis, unincorporated nucleotides were removed by purification over Sephadex G-50 microcolumns (Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). Cold, competitor RNA probes were generated in a similar manner, except the amount of template DNA was increased to 2.5 or 30 µg and these probes were purified by ethanol precipitation. UV cross-linking analysis was performed as described (Hedley and Maniatis 1991). Whole cell ovarian lysates were prepared as described above, approximately two to three ovary equivalents were used per reaction. Ovarian proteins were incubated under the following reaction conditions: 1× binding buffer [5 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 2 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM KCl], 3 mg of tRNA, 75 mg of heparin, 1.5 ml of 10 mM DTT, and RNase inhibitor. Water or cold competitor RNAs were added to a final volume of 15 ml and the binding reactions incubated on ice for 10 min. ³²P-Labeled RNAs (5 × 10⁵ to 1 × 10⁶ cpm) were added to the binding reactions and they were further incubated on ice for 10 min. RNAs and proteins were cross-linked on ice in a Stratilinker UV cross-linker (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). RNase was added, the samples incubated at room temperature for 20 min, and 2× reducing SDS-PAGE sample buffer added. Proteins and associated RNAs were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by autoradiography.

Acknowledgments

We thank Robert Cohen, Gideon Dreyfuss, Elizabeth Gavis, Paul Lasko, and Paul Macdonald for their generous contributions of reagents. We also thank Eric Wieschaus, Elizabeth Gavis, and the members of the Schüpbach laboratory for their critical reading of this manuscript. A.N. was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the American Cancer Society postdoctoral fellowship PF-98-011-01 DDC. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Public Health Service grant PO1 CA41086 to T.S.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked 'advertisement' in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Cohen, R.S. and T.L. Serano. 1995. mRNA localization and function of the *Drosophila* fs(1)K10 gene. In *Localized RNAs* (ed. H.D. Lipshitz), pp. 99–112. R.G. Landes Company, Austin, TX.
- Deng, W.M. and M. Bownes. 1997. Two signalling pathways specify localised expression of the Broad-Complex in *Drosophila* eggshell patterning and morphogenesis. *Development* **124**: 4639–4647.
- Dreyfuss, G., M.J. Matunis, S. Pinol-Roma, and C.G. Burd. 1993. hnRNP proteins and the biogenesis of mRNA. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **62**: 289–321.
- Gavis, E.R., D. Curtis, and R. Lehmann. 1996. Identification of *cis*-acting sequences that control *nanos* RNA localization. *Dev. Biol.* **176**: 36–50.
- Gunkel, N., T. Yano, F.-H. Markussen, L.C. Olsen, and A. Ephrussi. 1998. Localization-dependent translation requires a functional interaction between the 5' and 3' ends of oskar mRNA. *Genes & Dev.* **12**: 1652–1664.
- Hedley, M.L. and T. Maniatis. 1991. Sex-specific splicing and polyadenylation of *dsx* pre-mRNA requires a sequence that binds specifically to tra-2. *Cell* **65**: 579–586.
- Hoek, K.S., G.J. Kidd, J.H. Carson, and R. Smith. 1998. hnRNP A2 selectively binds the cytoplasmic transport sequence of myelin basic protein mRNA. *Biochemistry* **37**: 7021–7029.
- Kelley, R.L. 1993. Initial organization of the *Drosophila* dorso-ventral axis depends on an RNA-binding protein encoded by the *squid* gene. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 948–960.
- Kessler, M.M., M.F. Henry, E. Shen, J. Zhao, S. Gross, P.A. Silver, and C.L. Moore. 1997. Hrp1, a sequence-specific RNA-binding protein that shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, is required for mRNA 3'-end formation in yeast. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 2545–2556.
- Kim-Ha, J., K. Kerr, and P.M. Macdonald. 1995. Translational regulation of *oskar* mRNA by BRUNO, an ovarian RNA-binding protein, is essential. *Cell* **81**: 403–412.
- Lee, M.S., M. Henry, and P.A. Silver. 1996. A protein that shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm is an important mediator of RNA export. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 1233–1246.
- Matunis, E.L., M.J. Matunis, and G. Dreyfuss. 1992. Characterization of the major hnRNP proteins from *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Cell Biol.* **116**: 257–269.
- Matunis, E.L., R.L. Kelley, and G. Dreyfuss. 1994. Essential role for a heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) in oogenesis: hrp40 is absent from the germ line in the dorso-ventral mutant *squid*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 2781–2784.
- Michael, W.M., M. Choi, and G. Dreyfuss. 1995. A nuclear export signal in hnRNP A1: A signal-mediated, temperature-

Norvell et al.

- dependent nuclear protein export pathway. *Cell* **83**: 415–422.
- Neuman-Silberberg, F.S. and T. Schüpbach, T. 1993. The *Drosophila* dorsoventral patterning gene *gurken* produces a dorsally localized RNA and encodes a TGF α -like protein. *Cell* **75**: 165–174.
- . 1994. Dorsoventral axis formation in *Drosophila* depends on the correct dosage of the gene *gurken*. *Development* **120**: 2457–2463.
- Nilson, L.A. and T. Schüpbach. 1999. EGF receptor signaling in *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **44**: 203–243.
- Pinol-Roma, S. and G. Dreyfuss. 1992. Shuttling of pre-mRNA binding proteins between nucleus and cytoplasm. *Nature* **355**: 730–732.
- Pollard, V.W., W.M. Michael, S. Nakielnny, M.C. Siomi, F. Wang, and G. Dreyfuss. 1996. A novel receptor-mediated nuclear protein import pathway. *Cell* **86**: 985–994.
- Prost, E., F. Deryckere, C. Roos, M. Haenlin, V. Pantescio, and E. Mohier. 1988. Role of the oocyte nucleus in determination of the dorsoventral polarity of *Drosophila* as revealed by molecular analysis of the K10 gene. *Genes & Dev.* **2**: 891–900.
- Queenan, A.M., A. Ghabrial, and T. Schüpbach. 1997. Ectopic activation of torpedo/Egfr, a *Drosophila* receptor tyrosine kinase, dorsalizes both the eggshell and the embryo. *Development* **124**: 3871–3880.
- Roth, S. and T. Schüpbach. 1994. The relationship between ovarian and embryonic dorsoventral patterning in *Drosophila*. *Development* **120**: 2245–2257.
- Saunders, C. and R.S. Cohen. 1999. The role of oocyte transcription, the 5'UTR, and translational repression and derepression in *Drosophila gurken* mRNA and protein localization. *Mol. Cell* **3**: 43–54.
- Siomi, H. and G. Dreyfuss. 1995. A nuclear localization domain in the hnRNP A1 protein. *J. Cell Biol.* **129**: 551–560.
- Siomi, M.C., M. Fromont, J.C. Rain, L. Wan, F. Wang, P. Legrain, and G. Dreyfuss. 1998. Functional conservation of the transportin nuclear import pathway in divergent organisms. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**: 4141–4148.
- Spradling, A. and G.M. Rubin. 1982. Transposition of cloned P elements into *Drosophila* germ line chromosomes. *Science* **218**: 341–347.
- Van Buskirk, C. and T. Schüpbach. 1999. Versatility in signaling: Multiple responses to EGF receptor activation during *Drosophila* oogenesis. *Trends Cell Biol.* **9**: 1–4.
- Visa, N., A.T. Alzhanova-Ericsson, X. Sun, E. Kiseleva, B. Björkroth, R. Wurtz, and B. Daneholt. 1996. A pre-mRNA-binding protein accompanies the RNA from the gene through the nuclear pores and into polysomes. *Cell* **84**: 253–264.
- Webster, P.J., L. Liang, C.A. Berg, P. Lasko, and P.M. Macdonald. 1998. Translational repressor bruno plays multiple roles in development and is widely conserved. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 2510–2521.
- Weighardt, F., G. Biamonti, and S. Riva. 1995. Nucleocytoplasmic distribution of hnRNP proteins: A search for the targeting domains in hnRNP A1. *J. Cell Sci.* **108**: 545–555.
- Wieschaus, E., J.L. Marsh, and W. Gehring. 1978. *fs(1)K10*, a germline-dependent female sterile mutation causing abnormal chorion morphology in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **184**: 75–82.

Specific isoforms of Squid, a *Drosophila* hnRNP, perform distinct roles in Gurken localization during oogenesis

Amanda Norvell, Richard L. Kelley, Kristina Wehr, et al.

Genes Dev. 1999, **13**:

References

This article cites 29 articles, 15 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/13/7/864.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).