Wnt pathway components orient a mitotic spindle in the early *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo without requiring gene transcription in the responding cell
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In a four-cell-stage *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo, Wnt signaling polarizes an endoderm precursor called EMS. The polarization of this cell orients its mitotic spindle in addition to inducing endodermal fate in one daughter cell. Reducing the function of Wnt pathway genes, including a newly identified GSK-3B homolog called *gsk-3*, disrupts endoderm induction, whereas only a subset of these genes is required for proper EMS mitotic spindle orientation. Wnt pathway genes thought to act downstream of *gsk-3* appear not to be required for spindle orientation, suggesting that *gsk-3* represents a branch point in the control of endoderm induction and spindle orientation. Orientation of the mitotic spindle does not require gene transcription in EMS, suggesting that Wnt signaling may directly target the cytoskeleton in a responding cell.
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During asymmetric cell division, correct partitioning of segregated cytoplasmic determinants must be coordinated with the axis of cell division [Lu et al. 1998; Hawkins and Garriga 1999]. Such an asymmetric division occurs in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo when a four-cell-stage embryonic cell, or blastomere, called EMS divides along the anterior/posterior [A/P] axis. After replication of the EMS centriole, the two centrosomes migrate to align initially along the left/right [L/R] axis [Fig. 1B]. Shortly before mitosis, the EMS nucleus and centrosomes rotate 90°. The ensuing mitotic spindle forms along the A/P axis, and EMS divides into a posterior daughter, E, that produces endoderm, and an anterior daughter, MS, that makes mesoderm (Sulston et al. 1983; Hyman and White 1987; Fig. 1A).

Positioning of the centrosomes and polarization of endodermal potential within EMS both require signaling from the blastomere posterior to EMS, called P2 [Schierenberg 1987; Goldstein 1992, 1993, 1995a,b; Fig. 1D]. The role of P2 signaling was demonstrated using blastomeres isolated from wild-type embryos and cultured in vitro. If EMS is isolated early in its cell cycle and cultured alone, it produces two MS-like daughters that make only mesodermal tissue, such as muscle. Only when P2 is placed in contact with EMS does EMS make endoderm in addition to mesoderm (Goldstein 1992). Moreover, if EMS is cultured alone, its mitotic spindle elongates along the axis established by centrosome migration, without any rotation (Goldstein 1995b). Contact with P2 early in the EMS cell cycle induces rotation of the EMS nucleus and centrosomes, orienting the mitotic spindle perpendicular to the plane of contact between the two cells (Goldstein 1995b; Fig. 1D). Therefore P2 signaling polarizes EMS such that a posterior daughter is born next to P2 and produces endoderm. EMS remains competent to polarize endoderm potential in response to P2 signaling for longer than it remains competent to orient its mitotic spindle [Goldstein 1992, 1995a,b], suggesting that the signals or their responses may be distinct.

Genetic insight into the nature of P2 signaling has come, in part, from the identification of five *mom* genes required for endoderm specification. Mothers homozygous for a recessive mutation in any one of these essential genes produce mutant embryos that lack endoderm because both EMS daughters adopt an MS-like fate (Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997). Another maternally expressed gene, *pop-1*, is required to repress endoderm fate in the anterior EMS daughter, as both EMS daughters in *pop-1* mutant embryos adopt an E fate [Lin et al. 1995]. The identities of three *mom* genes and *pop-1* are known and all are related to Wnt pathway genes. *mom-1* is similar to *porcupine* (*porc*), *mom-2* to *wingless*.
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Figure 1. Proper EMS mitotic spindle orientation requires signaling from P2. All embryos are shown with anterior to the left. (A) Schematic lateral view of early C. elegans four- and eight-cell-stage embryos showing blastomere positions and lineages. P3, the smaller cell, is posterior to its sister EMS. On division, only the posterior EMS daughter, E, is in contact with P5. C and P4 are the daughters of P3. Sister cells are connected by short lines. (B) Nomarski micrograph of a ventral view of a four-cell-stage embryo. EMS centrosomes are indicated by arrows; the centrosomes are visible by their exclusion of cytoplasmic yolk droplets. (Left) The centrosomes oriented along the L/R axis after migration; (middle) the centrosomes have rotated 90° to lie along the A/P axis and the EMS spindle has begun to elongate, oriented toward P2; (right) E and MS after completion of cytokinesis. (C) Lateral views of four-cell-stage embryos. EMS centrosomes are indicated by arrows. (Left) A wild-type embryo with the EMS spindle oriented toward P2; (right) a mom-3 mutant embryo with the EMS spindle incorrectly oriented. (D) Isolated wild-type blastomeres in culture. EMS and P2 blastomeres were separated immediately after P1 division then recombined. P2 is on the right. EMS mitotic spindle angles were measured as described in Material and Methods. (Left) Results from experiments in which P2 and EMS were recombined early during the EMS cell cycle while EMS was competent to respond to the P2 signal. All spindles in these experiments oriented correctly, with spindle angles between 1° and 12°. (Right) Results from experiments in which P2 and EMS were recombined after EMS could no longer respond to the P2 signal. The range of spindle angles from 10° to 90° indicates that EMS spindles in these experiments were randomly oriented with respect to P2.

(wg), and mom-5 to frizzled (fz) [Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997]. pop-1 is related to Drosophila pango-lin/dTCF (pan) and mammalian TCF/LEF family members that encode putative transcription factors regulated by Wnt signaling [Lin et al. 1995, 1998]. Therefore, the widely conserved Wnt signal transduction pathway is required for endoderm induction during embryogenesis in C. elegans [for review, see Lu et al. 1998; Hawkins and Garriga 1999].

To determine whether Wnt signaling regulates mitotic spindle orientation in addition to polarizing endoderm potential in EMS, we asked if rotation of the EMS nucleus and its associated centrosomes requires the mom and other Wnt pathway genes. Previous studies have documented a mitotic spindle orientation defect in an eight-cell-stage blastomere called ABar in mom mutant embryos, as well as extensive defects in mitotic spindle orientation and blastomere positioning in mom-1;mom-2 double mutants [Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997]. EMS spindle orientation has not been examined in mutant embryos lacking the function of individual mom genes. Using blastomeres isolated from mutant embryos and cultured in vitro, we show that a subset of the C. elegans Wnt pathway genes known to be required for endoderm specification also are required for proper orientation of the EMS spindle. In addition, we have identified a C. elegans GSK-3β gene that may function as a branchpoint for endoderm specification and spindle orientation. Finally, we present evidence that the induced rotation of the EMS mitotic spindle does not require gene transcription, suggesting that Wnt signaling may target the cytoskeleton directly in a responding cell.

**Results**

_Wnt pathway components mom-1/porc and mom-5/fz, but not mom-2/wg, are required for proper spindle orientation_

Both endoderm specification and proper EMS spindle orientation require signaling from P2 [see introductory section]. To determine if the mom genes, which are required for endoderm induction, also are required for rotation of the EMS nucleus and its pair of centrosomes, we first observed the orientation of the EMS spindle in developing mutant embryos using Nomarski optics. In wild-type embryos, the EMS centrosomes initially align along the L/R axis of the embryo. Before nuclear envelope break-
down, the EMS nucleus/centrosome complex rotates to form a spindle along the A/P axis (Hyman and White 1987, Fig. 1B). The spindle then elongates, with only the posterior daughter of EMS born in contact with P2. In mom-1/porc, mom-3, and mom-5/fz mutant embryos, we observed defects in EMS spindle orientation. The axis of orientation varied, exhibiting significant dorsal/ventral or L/R components (Fig. 1C, data not shown). As the mitotic spindles elongated, however, they invariably assumed a mostly A/P orientation before the end of cytokinesis (data not shown). The A/P axis of EMS also is its longest axis, and therefore spindles may rotate passively to accommodate their length, making it somewhat difficult to quantitate this phenotype when observing intact embryos within their chitinous eggshells.

To facilitate scoring EMS mitotic spindle orientation in wild-type and mutant embryos, we isolated blastomeres in culture medium after removing the eggshells (Goldstein 1995b; see Materials and Methods). We isolated the smaller, posterior-most blastomere in a two-cell-stage embryo, called P1, and allowed it to divide into its daughters, P2 and EMS. The orientation of the mitotic spindle in EMS was then measured relative to the plane of contact between EMS and P2. As illustrated in Figure 2, the range of wild-type EMS spindle angles is within 19° of a line perpendicular to the plane of contact with P2. The mitotic spindles in EMS blastomeres from mom-1/porc, mom-3, and mom-5/fz mutant embryos, however, generated a much wider range of angles, showing nearly random orientations [Fig. 2]. The EMS spindle in mom-2/wg mutant blastomeres oriented more normally [Fig. 2]. mom-4 experiments yielded a wide range of EMS spindle angles (0°–90°). Only 4/26 mom-4 EMS spindles were abnormal, however, whereas the majority oriented properly. Therefore mom-1/porc, mom-5/fz, mom-3, and perhaps to a lesser degree mom-4 are required for both endoderm induction and proper EMS spindle orientation, but mom-2/wg appears to be required more specifically for endoderm induction.

A subset of Wnt pathway components are required for EMS spindle orientation

Because mom-1/porc and mom-5/fz are required for EMS spindle orientation, we examined mutant blastomeres lacking the function of other Wnt pathway components required for polarizing endoderm potential in EMS, as well as blastomeres lacking the function of a C. elegans GSK-3β gene that we identified using degenerate PCR [Fig. 3; Materials and Methods). The predicted GSK-3 protein is 76% similar and 69% identical to the GSK-3β encoded by Drosophila zeste white 3 [zw3]. We investigated the function of gsk-3 using RNA-mediated interference (RNAi), a method that involves microinjecting double-stranded exonic RNA into the syncytial nematode ovary to reduce the function of the corresponding maternally expressed gene (Fire et al. 1998; see Materials and Methods). We refer to embryos from mothers microinjected with gsk-3 RNA as gsk-3[RNAi] embryos. After isolation of gsk-3[RNAi] P1 blastomeres, we observed that EMS spindles were randomly oriented [Fig. 2]. We conclude that, in addition to mom-1/porc and mom-5/fz, the Wnt pathway component gsk-3 also is required to orient the EMS mitotic spindle.

GSK-3β is thought to interact with both β-catenin/Armadillo (Arm) and adenomatous polyposis ecoli (APC), possibly phosphorylating one or both proteins (Rubinfeld et al. 1996; Miller and Moon 1997). C. elegans
genes related to β-catenin/arm and APC, called Wormadillo-1 (wrm-1) and APC-related-1 (apr-1), are known to be required for endoderm induction (Rocheleau et al. 1997). Reducing the function of either wrm-1/arm or apr-1/APC, using RNAi, does not significantly affect EMS mitotic spindle orientation (Fig. 2). To test for any possible functional redundancy between wrm-1/arm and apr-1/APC, both of which contain multiple arm repeats (Rocheleau et al. 1997), RNAs from both genes were injected simultaneously. The EMS spindle in these double mutants oriented correctly, further indicating that wrm-1/arm and apr-1/APC are not required (Fig. 2). Although RNAi may not completely eliminate gene function in these experiments, the lack of requirement for wrm-1/arm is particularly compelling, as reducing wrm-1/arm function by RNAi causes a fully penetrant loss of endoderm (Rocheleau et al. 1997; A. Schlesinger et al., unpubl.). In contrast, incorrectly oriented spindles were observed in 57% mom-5/fz mutant embryos, even though only 5% of mom-5/fz mutants lack endoderm (Fig. 2, Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997). Finally, EMS spindles are oriented normally in mutant embryos lacking maternal expression of pop-1/pan (Fig. 2), which encodes an HMG-domain protein targeted by Wnt signaling during endoderm induction (Lin et al. 1995, 1998; Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997). Therefore, the genes acting downstream of gsk-3 in the Wnt pathway are not required for proper EMS spindle orientation even when both wrm-1/arm and apr-1/APC functions are compromised simultaneously.

The Wnt pathway in EMS may branch at gsk-3 to regulate both endoderm specification and mitotic spindle orientation

Next we examined the role of gsk-3 during endoderm specification. Most gsk-3[RNAi] embryos produce intestine (82%, Table 1). Approximately one-fifth lack endoderm and instead make large clumps of cells similar in appearance to the extra pharyngeal tissue observed in mom mutant embryos (Table 1; data not shown). To determine the origins of the endoderm made in gsk-
Table 1. Cell fate in gsk-3(RNAi) embryos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percent making pharyngeal muscle</th>
<th>Percent making body wall muscle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type isolated E</td>
<td>30 (4/12)*</td>
<td>38 (3/8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gsk-3(RNAi) isolated E</td>
<td>0 (0/9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Using polarizing optics to detect the presence of rhabditin granules, endoderm was scored in terminally differentiated embryos following ablation of indicated blastomeres. The total number of ablations scored is indicated in parenthesis.

3[RNAi] embryos, we used a laser microbeam to kill all but one early blastomere, subsequently scoring the ability of the isolated blastomere to produce endodermal or mesodermal cell types (Table 1). Whereas E always makes endoderm in wild-type embryos, 44% of gsk-3[RNAi] E blastomeres failed to make endoderm and instead produced pharyngeal and body wall muscle cells, consistent with E adopting an MS-like fate (Table 1). Therefore gsk-3 is required positively specifying endoderm in C. elegans. As genes thought to act downstream of gsk-3 are required only for endoderm specification, whereas gsk-3 itself is required for both endoderm specification and EMS spindle orientation, we conclude that gsk-3 represents a branchpoint by which Wnt signaling regulates both processes.

The production of endoderm by E in only 56% of gsk-3[RNAi] embryos does not account for the observation that 82% of intact mutant embryos produce endoderm. Accounting for this discrepancy, we found that a dorsal-posterior eight-cell-stage descendant of P2 called C ectopically makes endoderm in most gsk-3[RNAi] embryos. These results indicate that in wild-type embryos gsk-3 negatively regulates endoderm production in C while positively specifying endoderm in EMS (see Discussion).

EMS spindle orientation requires mom-1/porc and mom-3 in P2, and mom-5/fz and gsk-3 in EMS

Having established a requirement for mom-1/porc, mom-3, mom-5/fz and gsk-3 in spindle orientation, we constructed genetic chimeras to determine if these and other genes are required in P2 to signal, or in EMS to respond to signaling. We isolated blastomeres from mutant and wild-type embryos, and in each experiment reassociated a mutant cell with a wild-type partner (see Materials and Methods). When placed in contact with a wild-type EMS, mom-1/porc, mom-2/wg, and mom-3 mutant P2 blastomeres failed to properly orient EMS mitotic spindles, whereas EMS cells from these mutant embryos were capable of responding to wild-type P2 cells (Fig. 4). EMS blastomeres from mom-5/fz and gsk-3 mutants did not respond competently to wild-type P2 signaling, but mom-5/fz and gsk-3 mutant P2 blastomeres properly oriented the spindle of wild-type EMS cells (Fig. 4). Orientation of the EMS spindle was normal in the mom-4 mosaics. Therefore, if mom-4 is required for spindle orientation (Fig. 2), apparently either blastomere can provide the necessary function, as one cell is wild-type in the chimeras (Fig. 4). The requirement for mom-2/wg in P2 signaling in genetically mosaic partial embryos was surprising (Fig. 4), as mom-2/wg is not required for EMS mitotic spindle orientation after isolating P1 blastomeres from mom-2/wg mutant embryos (Fig. 2). When assembling mosaic partial embryos in culture, however, we did not strictly control the age of P2 when placed in contact with EMS (see Materials and Methods), as wild-type P2 blastomeres remain competent to signal throughout their cell cycle (Goldstein 1995b; A. Schlesinger et al., unpubl.). Although the age of a wild-type P2 does not affect its ability to orient an EMS mitotic spindle, mom-2/wg mutant P2 blastomeres are able to signal only early in the P2 cell cycle. When assembled into a genetic chimera within the first five minutes of their cell cycle, mom-2/wg mutant P2 blastomeres properly orient the mitotic spindle of a wild-type EMS; however, older P2 blastomeres fail to do so (Fig. 4B). Therefore, mom-2/wg mutant P2 blastomeres prematurely lose their signaling ability. This defect in signaling from older P2 blastomeres is specific for mom-2/wg mutant embryos and does not occur in genetic mosaic experiments with mom-1/porc or mom-3 mutant P2 blastomeres (data not shown). We conclude either that our mom-2/wg mutant alleles retain some maternal function that rapidly fades with time, or that other signals act in parallel with mom-2/wg to polarize EMS before cell division.

P2 signaling orients the mitotic spindle in EMS independent of gene transcription

The induced rotation of the EMS nucleus and centrosomes involves a polarization of the cytoskeleton. P2 signaling could polarize the cytoskeleton in EMS either directly, or as a secondary consequence of regulated gene
transcription in EMS. Because some components of the Wnt pathway in Drosophila are required for the proper orientation of epidermal bristles, and bristle orientation depends on the proper organization of the actin cytoskeleton, it has been long suspected that Wnt signaling might directly target the cytoskeleton (Wong and Adler 1993; see Discussion). Determining if the signal that induces endoderm in C. elegans primarily targets the cytoskeleton or the regulation of gene expression is difficult, as endoderm markers appear only after several subsequent developmental steps that clearly involve gene transcription. The EMS mitotic spindle rotation occurs within minutes of the P2 signal, however, and we have therefore been able to test if this response to Wnt signaling requires gene transcription in the responding cell.

Using cultured isolated blastomeres, we quantitatively assayed the induced rotation of the EMS centrosome/nucleus complex after blocking transcription using a chemical inhibitor and RNA interference. To provide an internal control for monitoring gene transcription, we used a transgenic C. elegans strain that expresses the green fluorescent protein (GFP) under control of the pes-10 promoter [Fig. 5A]. The pes-10 gene is among the earliest expressed zygotic genes that have been identified in C. elegans, with pes-10 RNA detectable in EMS and its descendants beginning at the four-cell stage (Seydoux and Fire 1994; Seydoux et al. 1996). Culturing blastomeres from pes-10::GFP embryos in actinomycin D, a chemical inhibitor of transcription, blocks detectable expression of GFP, as does RNA-mediated gene interference with ama-1 RNA [see Materials and Methods]. The ama-1 gene encodes the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (Pol II), and RNAi has been used previously to block its function [Powell-Coffman et al. 1996]. In our most rigorous tests, we cultured blastomeres from ama-1[RNAi]/pes-10::GFP embryos in actinomycin D. Detectable GFP expression was absent, but in all cases EMS mitotic spindle orientation was normal [Fig. 5B]. Previous studies have also suggested that cleavage patterns in early C. elegans embryos appear normal after blocking transcription [Edgar et al. 1994; Powell-Coffman et al. 1996]. We conclude that components of the Wnt signal transduction pathway control EMS
spindle rotation by directly targeting the cytoskeleton in EMS, not by regulating gene expression.

Discussion

The polarization of endoderm potential in EMS and the orientation of its mitotic spindle both require Wnt pathway components

Our analysis indicates that Wnt signaling from P₂ coordinates the polarization of endoderm potential and orientation of the EMS mitotic spindle during C. elegans embryogenesis. Having both outcomes depend on shared genetic components perhaps ensures that differentially localized cytoplasmic determinants are appropriately segregated into the two daughters on cell division. Nevertheless, the two responses in EMS are separable—in some mom mutants, proper spindle orientation can occur in the absence of endoderm induction (Fig. 2). Moreover, endoderm can be induced during most of the EMS cell cycle, whereas its spindle can be oriented in response to P₂ signaling only if contact between the two blastomeres is made early in the EMS cell cycle (Goldstein 1995a,b). These differences in the two EMS responses may be due to P₂ expressing more than one signal, or perhaps to differences in the response pathways beyond a gsk-3 branchpoint (see below). The temporally restricted ability of mom-2/wg P₂ blastomeres to properly orient EMS spindles (Fig. 4B) may reflect the presence of separate, functionally redundant signals. An unidentified signal for spindle orientation may be expressed by P₂ early in its cell cycle, masking any requirement for mom-2/wg.

C. elegans gsk-3 is a branchpoint for polarizing endodermal potential and orienting the EMS mitotic spindle

We have shown that gsk-3 and all upstream Wnt pathway components so far identified in our system, with the possible exception of mom-2/wg, are required for both endoderm polarization and for mitotic spindle orientation in EMS. In contrast, the identified nematode Wnt pathway components predicted to act downstream of gsk-3 (apr-1/APC, wrm-1/arm, and pop-1/pan) are required for endoderm induction but not for spindle orientation. We therefore propose a branchpoint at gsk-3, to explain how Wnt signaling from P₂ regulates the asymmetric division of EMS (Fig. 6). Pathway components beyond gsk-3 that act in the spindle orientation branch remain unknown, although several genes with more general roles in spindle orientation during C. elegans embryogenesis have been identified (for review, see Kemphues and Strome 1997). Recent studies indicate that Wnt signaling in Drosophila also branches within responding cells to regulate both fate specification and tissue polarity (Axelrod et al. 1998; Boutros et al. 1998). This branchpoint occurs at dishevelled (dsh), however, before zp3/GSK-3β. Therefore the induction of multiple responses by Wnt signaling may involve branches within responding cells that execute distinct outputs by varying downstream effectors.

gsk-3 acts positively to promote both endoderm specification and proper mitotic spindle orientation

We have shown that the C. elegans GSK-3β homolog, gsk-3, acts positively during both endoderm specification and mitotic spindle orientation in EMS. This positive role contrasts with the negative roles for GSK-3β during Wnt signal transduction as documented in Drosophila, Xenopus, and humans (Boyle et al. 1991; Siegfried et al. 1992; He et al. 1995). In these studies of the Wnt pathway, GSK-3β is thought to be active in the absence of Wnt signaling, promoting the degradation of β-catenin in a complex with APC and other proteins (Rubinfeld et al. 1996; Ikeda et al. 1998). In this canonical view, Wnt signaling blocks GSK-3β activity, thereby sta-
hilizing β-catenin, which subsequently can translocate to the nucleus and activate target gene expression by binding to and converting ubiquitous HMG domain repressors into transcriptional activators [Brannon et al. 1997, Fig. 6].

The response to Wnt signaling during endoderm induction in *C. elegans* appears to differ substantially from the canonical model. Instead of converting a repressor into a transcriptional activator, Wnt signaling from P2 down-regulates the HMG domain protein POP-1 in the posterior daughter of the responding cell, thereby promoting endodermal fate [Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997, Fig. 6]. To reconcile these different observations, we suggest that Wnt signaling may induce the coincident degradation of POP-1/PAN and WRM-1/arm in E but not MS. This model invokes the established abilities of β-catenin/arm to form a complex with HMG domain proteins, and of GSK-3β to promote the degradation of β-catenin. How Wnt signaling could be adapted to activate, instead of block, GSK-3β function in this pathway remains entirely unclear, as does the ability of GSK-3 to positively promote mitotic spindle rotation, which occurs independently of pop-1/pan function. Our understanding of these issues may improve once the subcellular localizations of different Wnt pathway components are determined, and more interacting factors are identified.

Because all Wnt pathway components are required positively for endoderm induction in EMS, it has not been possible to order the function of different gene products relative to each other using double mutant analyses. Nevertheless, other data suggest that components of the Wnt pathway function in the same relative order during endoderm induction as they do in the canonical pathway. First, the excess endoderm phenotype of pop-1/pan mutants is epistatic to the mom-2/wg, mom-5/fz, wrm-1/arm, gsk-3, and mom-4 mutant phenotypes, indicating that pop-1/pan acts downstream of these genes [Rocheleau et al. 1997; Thorpe et al. 1997, data not shown]. Second, our analysis of genetically mosaic partial embryos shows that mom-5/fz and gsk-3 are both required in EMS, the responding cell, and are therefore downstream of mom-1/porc and mom-2/wg, genes that are required in the signaling cell, P2. Third, we note that all Wnt pathway components up to and including gsk-3, except possibly mom-2/wg, are required both to polarize endoderm potential and to orient the EMS mitotic spindle, whereas all Wnt pathway components downstream of gsk-3 are required only for endoderm induction. This latter observation is consistent with gsk-3 functioning upstream of wrm-1/arm and apr-1/APC. Given these similarities to the canonical Wnt pathway, it seems likely that the relative order in which Wnt pathway components function is conserved during endoderm induction in *C. elegans* in spite of their different regulatory relationships.

Finally, our finding that gsk-3 acts positively during endoderm induction is not without precedent for a GSK-3β family member. A GSK-3β homolog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Rim11p, positively regulates the expression of meiotic genes during sporulation by complexing with and phosphorylating two transcription factors, Ume6p and Ime1p [Mandel et al. 1994; Strich et al. 1994; Rubin-Bejerano et al. 1996; Malathi et al. 1997]. Furthermore, we note that gsk-3 in *C. elegans* appears to be required both positively and negatively to regulate the specification of endoderm in the early embryo. Whereas E fails to produce endoderm in fewer than half of gsk-3 mutant embryos, a daughter of P2 called C produces endoderm ectopically in approximately three-fourths of the mutant embryos (Table 1). Therefore, gsk-3 is required to negatively regulate endoderm specification in P2, the sister of EMS. These results suggest that gsk-3 function is capable of generating opposite outputs even within a single organism, perhaps through the intervention of other regulatory pathways.

**The cytoskeleton as the primary target of cell signaling**

Because rotational positioning of the EMS centrosomes appears to not require gene transcription, we suggest that P2 to EMS signaling directly targets the cytoskeleton in EMS. Microtubules are the primary structural component of the mitotic spindle and are thought to interact with cortical microfilaments during rotation of the nucleus/centrosome complex in EMS [Hyman 1989; Goldstein et al. 1993; Waddle et al. 1994]. Either microtubules or microfilaments could be targets of Wnt signaling, as both are required for correct orientation of the mitotic spindle in EMS [Hyman and White 1987; Goldstein 1995b]. Wnt pathway genes have been implicated in cytoskeleton-related processes in other systems. Mammalian GSK-3β can phosphorylate the microtubule-associated protein tau, and this activity is regulated by dsh, another Wnt pathway component [Wagner et al. 1997]. Therefore P2 signaling might influence microtubule dynamics directly through gsk-3. Alternatively, P2 signaling might influence spindle orientation in EMS by locally activating microtubule motor complexes that directly interact with astral microtubules to orient the nucleus/centrosome complex before cell division.

It seems likely that Wnt signaling directly targets the cytoskeleton to control other developmental processes. For example, the polarized organization of hair cells in the *Drosophila* epithelium appears to be controlled by the orientation of actin microfilaments, which in turn are regulated by tissue polarity genes including fz and dsh [Wong and Adler 1993; Axelrod et al. 1998; Boutros et al. 1998]. Wnt signaling in *C. elegans* may also target the cytoskeleton to polarize endoderm potential in EMS, as the polarization of endoderm potential appears to require functional microfilaments and microtubules [Goldstein 1995a]. Alternatively, P2 signaling may simply cause a localized activation of downstream Wnt pathway components, resulting in an asymmetric segregation of their activity to the daughters of EMS.

In conclusion, we emphasize that some developmental signals may influence cell fate by directly targeting cytoplasmic components in a responding cell and only in-
directly regulate gene transcription. As the Wnt pathway is widely conserved, it will be interesting to learn if direct targeting of the cytoskeleton in cells responding to Wnt signaling proves to be of general importance during animal development.

**Materials and methods**

**Strains and alleles**

Basic. _c. elegans_ culturing methods were used as described in Brenner [1974]. N2 Bristol was used for the wild-type strain. The _mom_ and _pop-1_ alleles are recessive, maternal-effect lethal mutations. The mutant strains used were EU340 _pop-1_(zu189) _dpy-5_(e61) _l1t1_ (I;V), EU308 _mom-1_(or10) _dpy-6_(e14) _l/szT1_ (I;X), EU98 _mom-1_(or10) _lin-2_(e1309) _l/f1t1_ (I;X), EU278 _mom-2_(or42) _v/d1t1_ (IV;V), EU95 _mom-2_(or9) _v/d1t1_ (IV;V), EU365 _mom-2_(or85) _v/dnt1_ (IV;V), EU376 _rol-6_(e187) _mom-3_(or187) _mnc1_ II, _lin-2_(e1309) _x/, EU341 _rol-1_(e91) _mom-3_(or78) _l1n-(e1413) _mnc1_ II, _him-5_(e1490) _v/, EU309 _mom-4_(or39) _dpy-5_(e61) _l1t2_ (II;III), and EU452 _unc-13_ (e1091) _mom-5_(zu193) _l1t2_ (II;III), EU340 _pop-1_(zu189) _dpy-5_(e61) _l1t1_ (IV;V), _him-5_(e1490) _v/. The JH103 transgenic strain containing the _pes-10::GFP_ construct was provided by G. Seydoux [Seydoux and Fire 1994].

**Microscopy and laser ablations**

Light microscopy was performed with a Zeiss Axioscope equipped for Nomarski optics and epifluorescence. Data were either photographed with TechPan 200 film and developed with HC110, or recorded using a Dage-MTI Ve1000 digital camera linked to the Scion Image program run on a Macintosh PowerPC 8100/110. All images were processed using Adobe Photoshop 4.0.

Laser ablations were performed as described previously [Avery and Horvitz 1989]. Embryos were fixed and stained with monoclonal antibodies 3NB12 and 5.6 to detect pharyngeal muscle and body wall muscle cells, respectively [Miller et al. 1983; Priess and Thomson 1987; Bowerman et al. 1992].

**Blastomere isolation and spindle angle measurements**

Early embryos were obtained by cutting open adult hermaphrodites and blastomeres were isolated and cultured as described previously [Edgar 1995; Shetton and Bowerman 1996]. The blastomeres were mechanically separated by repeated mouth pipetting through a glass micropipette. For _P_ isolations, sister cells _AB_ and _P_ were separated and _P_ was cultured alone. The orientation of the EMS mitotic spindle was determined as illustrated in Figure 1D—axes were designated [black lines] with the plane of contact between EMS and _P_ as 90° and the spindle angle (gray line) was measured relative to these axes. The angle of the EMS spindle was measured when the EMS cytokinetic furrow began to form. At this point in the cell cycle, the mitotic spindle has begun to elongate and the nuclear membrane has broken down. As in intact embryos, the EMS cell cycle was ~15 min long and _P_ divided ~4 min after EMS. For genetically mosaic partial blastomeres, wild-type and mutant early EMS and _P_ blastomeres were concurrently isolated. EMS and _P_ were recombined early in the EMS cell cycle allowing the cells to be in contact for at least 9 min before EMS cytokinesis. For all figures, data from multiple trials were schematically condensed into a single quadrant. Each line on the periphery of the quadrant, representing a data point, is placed at the angle of EMS division.

**Identification of C. elegans gsk-3**

Degenerate primers were made to regions conserved in known _gsk-3_ genes but not conserved in other, related Ser/Thr kinases. cDNA was obtained from _N_ worms by standard techniques using Trizol reagent [GIBCO-BRL] for RNA isolation and Superscript II [GIBCO-BRL] for first-strand synthesis. PCR was performed with a MJ-Research PTC-100 thermocycler using Taq polymerase [Perkin Elmer], 1.5 mM MgCl2, and an annealing temperature of 50°C. A single 900-bp band was obtained, cloned into pCRII (Invitrogen), and sequenced.

Sequence data were used to design primers for cloning additional cDNA fragments. For all PCR reactions described below, the high-fidelity Pwo polymerase [Boehringer Mannheim] was used. The 5’ end of the cDNA was obtained by PCR with a primer to the trans-spliced leader sequence SL1 and internal primer ZW3C. The resulting 556-bp band was cloned into pGEM-T [Promega] to yield pJMZW. A fragment corresponding to bp 461–1227 was obtained by using primers ZW5E and ZW3F and cloned into pGEM-T to yield pJMZWF. For sequencing, isolates from at least two independent PCR reactions were obtained, and each isolate was sequenced on both strands. The resulting sequence was used to search a _c. elegans_ EST database, which revealed three cDNA clones corresponding to the _gsk-3_ gene—yk307d8, yk387f2, and yk451l9. These clones were obtained and analyzed to determine the remaining 3’ sequence.

**RNA-mediated gene interference (RNAi)**

gsk3 RNA was made from plasmid zw3/F1, which contains ~800 bp of the 5’ coding region in pGEM-T [Promega]. The insert was PCR-amplified with SP6 and T7 primers. The PCR product was used to make SP6 and T7 primed RNA, which was mixed to yield double-stranded RNA. _apr-1_/APC and _wrm-1_/arrN RNAs were generated from cDNA phage clones using T7 and T3 primers for reverse transcription reactions [apr-1_/APC was made from phage clone yk40c12 and _wrm-1_/arrn from yk21a9]. _ama-1_ RNA was made with T7 primers from plasmid DB19, which contains ~4.7 kb of the _ama-1_ coding region in pGEM-7ZF.

RNA was injected at concentrations between 1 and 5 μg/ml into wild-type hermaphrodites, except for _ama-1_ RNA, which was injected into the transgenic _pes-10::GFP_ strain. After 24 hr, injected hermaphrodites were singled out and mated with wild-type males [except for _mom-2_/RNAi] to increase the number of progeny. Twelve to 24 hr later, hermaphrodites laying embryos with the appropriate phenotype were used to isolate early embryos.

**Transcriptional inhibition**

In embryos from the JH103 strain, GFP fluorescence, driven by the _pes-10_ promoter, is detectable at the 15-cell stage [after the daughters of EMS have divided], when it comes on in most somatic blastomeres [G. Seydoux, pers. comm.; data not shown]. _pes-10_ expression is detectable at the four-cell stage by RNA in-situ hybridization [Seydoux and Fire 1994; Seydoux et al. 1996]. The lag in GFP detection is caused by a delay in transcription and the long oxidation step required for GFP fluorescence [G. Seydoux, pers. comm.; Reid and Flynn 1997]. GFP fluorescence was checked at the 15-cell stage as well as 24 hr later. The lack of GFP fluorescence was taken as an indication that inhibition of transcription occurred before transcription of the _pes-10::GFP_ construct would have begun at the four-cell stage.

Transcriptional inhibition was achieved using two methods. First, RNA made from _ama-1_, the gene encoding the largest
subunit of RNA Pol II was used in RNA-mediated gene interference (Bird and Riddle 1989). *ama-1* ([RNAi]) embryos die with <100 cells [Powell-Coffman et al. 1996]. RNAi was performed on pes-10::GFP hermaphrodites as described above and *P₁* blastomeres were isolated from embryos collected 36–48 hr after injection. In addition, *P₁* blastomeres were cultured in media supplemented with 0.5 µg/ml actinomycin D (Sigma, from an ~10 mg/ml stock in EtOH). These partial embryos arrested as a group of 12 cells, which is the equivalent of the 28-cell stage. With either method alone, GFP expression was not detected in blastomeres and EMS mitotic spindles oriented within the wild-type range [10/10 for *ama-1* ([RNAi]) and 10/10 for 0.5 µg/ml actinomycin D]. For the experiments shown in Figure 5B, *P₁* blastomeres from *ama-1* ([RNAi]) embryos were isolated and allowed to divide in culture media containing ~0.5 µg/ml actinomycin D. The division angle of the EMS spindle was measured as indicated above. Correct EMS spindle orientation was also seen in experiments done using *ama-1* ([RNAi]) blastomeres cultured in higher concentrations of actinomycin D [10–20 µg/ml (*n* = 6)]. At the higher concentrations, however, blastomeres sometimes failed to divide even though the EMS spindle oriented normally, apparently attributable to toxic levels of the ethanol solvent [data not shown].
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**Note added in proof**

The GenBank accession no. for gsk-3 is AF159950. A deletion allele of this gene has been isolated by Michael Basson at Axys Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In future publications the gene sgg-1 will replace gsk-3.
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